A. Yes. give my head a wobble and then go back and sit down and 1 1 Q. Very sad and very significant, as they all are. 2 carry on doing what I was doing. Moving on, if we could, go to tile 3, please, Q. There had been quite a lot of time spent looking at what 4 Mr Murphy. Events concerning | Child G in 4 had gone on in your reviews with you and Dr Brearey, 5 September 2015. 5 hadn't there? 6 And then the death —— events concerning Child I 6 A. Yes. 7 throughout that summer and into the autumn and her death 7 Q. You'd looked closely at this? 8 in October 2015; do you see that? 8 A. Yes. 9 9 Q. And as you said, the belief was that maybe she was 10 10 deliberately harming babies? Q. We can take those down, please, Mr Murphy. 11 By the time we get to the beginning of February 2018 11 A. That was one of the possibilities that we'd started to consider at that point. It absolutely wasn't a fixed 12 (sic) there was a clear association between deaths or 12 13 collapses and Lucy Letby, wasn't there? 13 belief, it must be that, but we'd pretty much looked at 14 A. There seemed to be, yes. 14 all of the other possibilities and couldn't identify any 15 Q. And that's when you mentioned a thematic review that was 15 common themes. Q. Right, so a high possibility that was what she was conducted? 16 17 A. That's correct 17 doing? And indeed a high possibility, given what you 1.8 Q. That was you and Dr Brearey and one doctor from another 18 had looked at, that she was killing them? 19 hospital? 19 A. Yes. A. Dr Brearey asked one of the neonatologists from 20 20 Q. Right. 21 Liverpool Women's Hospital, one of the tertiary centres, 21 The incident that took place on this morning, the 22 2.2 to comment and conduct a review of the case notes of first incident we are looking at, is around about 3.45 23 23 to 3.50. It's difficult to be precise. these comments and unusual collapses. 24 Q. That review was conducted on or around 8 February? 24 A. Yes. A. That's correct. I wasn't actually part of that review 25 Q. You agree around about that? 117 119 1 meeting. 1 A. I agree. 2 Q. No, but you were aware of it, weren't you? Q. We're going to hear from Joanne Williams and so perhaps 3 A. Absolutely, yes. 3 we're best dealing with what time she left the unit when 4 she said she left it. But we know -- I'm going to Q. And by this time there was a concern amongst --4 certainly between you and Dr Brearey that Ms Letby may 5 suggest it's around 3.30, by the way, but we have to have been associated with these events as responsible hear from her for that. We know you were on the for them? telephone around about 3.41. If we could put up 8 A. Not just myself and Dr Brearey, but other clinical tile 76, please. Have a look at that just for the 9 colleagues as well. timings. Go behind that, please, Mr Murphy. 10 Q. Right. I'm asking about your state of mind though for 10 If you look there, scroll down, we can see 03.41: 11 where we're going. By the time we get to PD February, 11 "Called Dr Jayaram back with the above plan." 12 the thought had crossed your mind, hadn't it, that she 12 Do you see that? 13 may be deliberately harming babies? 13 A. Yes. 14 A. Unfortunately, that unthinkable thought had crossed my 14 Q. So 3.41 you're on the phone making arrangements for mind and other colleagues' minds as well. 15 15 16 Q. That's why you tell us you were feeling uncomfortable 16 17 that morning and why you went into nursery $1\ ---$ 17 Q. We know, as it happens, that Nurse Williams comes back 18 A. That's correct. 18 on to the unit at 3.47. We know that from tile 98. Q. -- because you wanted to see whether she could have been 19 19 Could we put tile 98 up, please, and go behind that to 20 causing harm, whether there was anything that's 20 see the timing. We have it there:

lloquialism, 25 Q. —— it's actually labou

21

22

23

24

"Maternity neonatal to labour ward."

What's happened since the last trial, what we've

identified, Dr Jayaram, is this has been reversed -

21

22

23

24

25

consistent with that?

A. I wanted to reassure myself, because at this stage,

although we were thinking the unthinkable, we didn't

really want to believe that. I actually went in there

to reassure myself, basically, to use a colloquialism,

118