

From: HAWDON, Jane (ROYAL FREE LONDON NHS FOUNDATION TRUST)
Sent: Sun, 5 Feb 2017 13:04:32 +0000
To: HARVEY, Ian (COUNTESS OF CHESTER HOSPITAL NHS FOUNDATION TRUST)
Subject: Re: Neonatal review

Thank you for letting me know.

Mottling is variable. If transient probably not of significance but if longer lasting reflects peripheral shut down. Reasonable nurses and doctors spot recognise it as a significant finding which if nothing else warrants close observation and additional tests if there are other concerns.

Please let me know if you need response to more specific questions.

Jane

From: HARVEY, Ian (COUNTESS OF CHESTER HOSPITAL NHS FOUNDATION TRUST)
Sent: 05 February 2017 10:08
To: HAWDON, Jane (ROYAL FREE LONDON NHS FOUNDATION TRUST)
Subject: Fwd: Neonatal review

> Good morning Jane

>

> Apologies for emailing on a Sunday morning but I just wanted to give a "heads-up". The review features in an unplanned fashion in the Sunday Times. It references your review which was "demanded" by the College review but there are no names, nor is your name in the public domain. It is not my intention to give your name, and certainly not without first confirming with you.

>

> One item that features in the report and had also been highlighted by our medical team was unexplained mottling although this was only reported in the notes in three babies in your notes review. Our medical team had put great emphasis on this, although disproportionately given the incidence in the notes and my experience of medical memory is that it exaggerates numbers. I would, however, be interested in yours comments about so-called skin mottling as a clinical feature in babies.

>

> Many thanks

>

> Kind regards

>

> Ian