

Scribe: SE

Interviewee Name & Role: Obs + Midwives

Key Areas to probe / take forward:

GS – we ~~will~~ have already told SMT what to put in place during the next six weeks

[Rec...] NN should be at the labour ward huddle.

Missing is a FM meeting MDT – include nurses + m/w

Improved[?] @ FM pathway

[called [Cl...] rota for parents[?]]

?? Why is Risk Midwife not at prenatal M&M??

Roc A Should have extend obs, [...atologist], Risk Midwife, Pathologist

(Pathologists don't talk to each other!!!) Good they present

Their PM material.

Steve 8 years in Ravi 3-4 years older

Feedback – GS =

Thanks for all. Headlines only. We need to go back, [?]

coming through + need find [re...]

Need case by case [?] of death + HR process for Lucy

[St...] ten of NN staff [?] + support each other. Good

Team reflected on AW practice in detail. Paeds work well

together + highly regarded by trainees

Good relationships deanery + trainees – reports + verbal

feedback today[?]

[Page break]

[Page 32]

Good relationships with transport. Will be [re...] of neonatal

transport for IC + to cap surgical care

Nothing[?] along with standards. S/G ok, [?] practice

examples

Consultant not sure about servicesupport + longer term staffing

Middle grade.

Need to ensure staff supported + maintain L2 skills for
when / should the unit return to Level 2 skills not lost

Will make some recommendation unit governance

Parents v happy

Large number of prenatal[?] staff consulted to [pr...] high
quality of staff for patients

?? Also – escalation + clinical processes. Needs a more in depth independent
review of cases

CM – Will list some areas of point to check in detailed review

What needs looking at

Tony Were these unexpected? We have heard that they were not expected
to die

Is the [cohesive team doing behaviours[?]] that one does not in the best
interests of the service. Is there [?] of that?

(am – no – seen lots of them – very proud to be a nurse)

This could be divisive

Letter to them – feedback re T.O.R.

[Page break]

[Page 33]

[RCPCH logo]

Invited Reviews Programme

Interview plan and notes

Please complete where relevant and retain as a record – hand written is fine. Please post or e-mail a
copy to the team at invited.reviews@[I&S] tel [Irrelevant & Sensitive]

Trust:

Visit Date:

Time:

Reviewer(s):

Scribe:

Interviewee Name & Role:

Key Areas to probe / take forward:

Q Were the deaths expected or not

Tony Some were unexpected. Cannot say if there is a link between them at the moment.

? Forensic results pathologist Tony Beswell[?] for Edinburgh[?]