

PD

	Quality of care	Relevance	What	Who
Birth not in NICU unit	?	2		O
No discussion with cardiology team	2	2	RAC	Consultant
No referral to NICU	2	2	RAC	Consultant
Milk feeds	2	2	RA	Consultant
Delayed review by SpR	2	2	RA	SpR
Delayed contact with consultant	2	1	RA	SpR

In absence of medical records and recorded cause of death, further comment on quality and relevance of care not possible

Quality of care

- 0 No suboptimal care
- 1 Minor suboptimal care
- 2 Significant suboptimal care
- 3 Major suboptimal care

Relevance of grade of care to outcome

- 0 Not relevant
- 1 Possibly relevant
- 2 Probably relevant
- 3 Almost certainly relevant

What

- R Failure to recognise problem
- A Failure to act appropriately
- C Communications failure
- S Failure to supervise
- H Any lack of human resource
- E Any lack or failure of equipment
- O Other

Summary of cases.

The cases may be divided into 2 groups and I have assigned each case to a likely group.

1. The death/collapse is explained but may have been prevented with different care, and learning may improve outcome for other babies (date of first collapse is noted).

Personal Data	11.12.15
Child H (?outcome)	21.9.15
Child Q (survived)	25.6.16
Child E	3.8.15
	27.1.15
	10.6.15
	18.2.16
Personal Data (survived)	8.1.16
	6.4.16
	6.3.16
(survived)	9.14
	3.9.15
Child D	22.6.15 (changed following PM review)

2. The death/collapse is unexplained. It is the investigation of these cases which would potentially benefit from local forensic review as to circumstances, personnel etc (date of first collapse is noted).

Child O	23.6.16
Child A	7.6.15
Child P	24.6.16
Child I	22.10.15

*Cause of death as given in post mortem report should be reviewed given baby stable in air in days preceding collapse