

THURS. 29. DEC. 16.

10.00. DM. Neonates. (see sep. note) Level 1? Level 2?  
 Mb. with TC & IH. on 30.12.16.

11.00. Rose G. (Greenbury rules).  
 Disclosure. Q. of Ian Reitt?  
 Debs / Simon!

11.30. km. (End of service)

12.00. Sue H. (Debs) (MAU)

FRI. 30 DEC. 16.

9.15. TC. NEONATES. DEBS.

9.45. TE. ROR HOWARTH. Tele-briefing L. of Intent.

Irrelevant & Sensitive

10.15. NEONATES. DM TC. IH. LB. SPC.

IH. Summary update.

Un-redacted version → should it go anywhere?

Re-dacted version. (Debs & Chair)

further in-depth review. IH. Collating

all case reviews. (Jane Horden)

'Aim is to get all info in one version'

by end of next wk - 6 Jan. 17.

TC 2 rep matters - Review (as above)

- to what next?

re. Neonates plan?

what level (1) (2) or (3) going forward.

DISTRIBUTION?

- PARENTS.
- CORONER.
- IN-HOUSE (AIDS TEAM)
- NETWORK.
- IN-HOUSE (Nursing)
- Press Chronicle.

- IA. has met with Gill Galt re: mths. needed  
 Chronicle has been in touch for more info.
- IA & LB. hu. met with Network & will be supportive.  
 - Network wd like a copy of the Review.
- LB. Pressures in system. see SPEC. COMM.  
 L. from Vincent Connolly NHS.
- TC. Whole thing tricky. Board.  
 but need to 'complete'. Paed. consultants.  
 Difficult mth with Lucy & family.  
 - commitment to them at mth. eg. safety of babies.  
 - exposed in mth. somewhat reputation of Trust.  
 ↳ it. qn'ts need by consultants.  
 ↳ ∴ no alternative to moving Lucy  
 ↳ sment from Lucy & family needs to be shared with Board & Paed. consultants.  
 ↳ challenge of return of Lucy to unit & Trust will manage this return.  
 ↳ ∴ mth with Paed consultants needs to address grievance of Lucy - apology requested from Paed consultants.  
 'what is apology for? victimisation'  
 IA. → apology for behaviour - language used by Paed consultants  
 ↳ original decision to move Lucy - vindicated.  
 ↳ Lucy work mediation. to improve relationships.
- DN. finish Review. (some unexplained but not unusual!)  
 Does not leave open Q.  
 Clarity on any concerns & reference against standards.  
 Strategic issue of finances.  
 Will review demand bring requirements? IA. YES.  
 Investment needed if back to level 2.
- IA. Money for Paeds. service. R&H.
- DN. Board wd want to understand. Investment needed & Network requirements. & do we want it?
- LB. Need over-arching action plan following recommendation in Review.