

**EXTRA-ORDINARY BOARD OF DIRECTORS (PRIVATE)**
**MINUTES OF THE MEETING HELD ON THURSDAY,**  
**14<sup>TH</sup> JULY 2016 at 12.30PM**  
**BOARDROOM**  
**SPC/CER FINAL VERSION**

		Attendance	
Chairman	Sir D Nichol	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	
Non Executive Director	Mr A Higgins		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Non Executive Director	Mr J Wilkie	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	
Non Executive Director	Mr E Oliver		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Non Executive Director	Mrs R Hopwood	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	
Non Executive Director	Ms R Fallon	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	
Chief Executive	Mr T Chambers	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	
Medical Director	Mr I Harvey	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	
Interim Chief Finance Officer	Mr S Holden	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	
Director of Nursing & Quality	Mrs A Kelly	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	
Director of People and Organisational Development	Mrs S Hodkinson		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Director of Corporate & Legal Services	Mr S P Cross	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	
Director of Operations	Ms L Burnett	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	

**In attendance:**

Mrs C Raggett – Secretary to the Board  
 Dr Steve Brearey, Consultant  
 Dr Ravi Jayaram, Consultant

***FORMAL BUSINESS***
**1. WELCOME AND APOLOGIES**

Apologies were received from Mrs Hodkinson, Mr Higgins and Mr Oliver.

**2. To review and consider the position with regard to the Neonatal Unit**

Mr Chambers reported that the Trust has noticed a change in mortality rates in the neonatal unit. This rise could not be explained and following on from concerns raised by the clinical team, the Trust is sufficiently motivated to do an in-depth review into the deaths. Mr Brearey, lead for the neonatal unit, had asked for a peer review from colleagues in Liverpool into the cases to see if anything had been

Mr Brearey stated that even if abnormalities were the cause of death, babies were stable before collapse.

In some ways post mortems are not always helpful and added that everyone had done a lot of work on the data. The clinicians had not seen the data until yesterday and it had no input from neonatal specialist or context with workloads at COCH compared to other units in the network. The network report had been discussed yesterday and if one looked at the activity in HDU, ITU and Special Care with others this is comparable. If one looked at nursing standards, the average amount of time compliant is 50% compared to the national average which is 64%. Some units such as Warrington only have 20% compliance. There are strains in the system and as the neonatal lead, Mr Brearey could not see that any of the apparent changes in acuity, or staffing levels can account for the increased mortality.

Mr Harvey said that he was not saying this would be the end as there are factors to be considered.

Dr Jayaram stated that what he was to say next was confidential and not to be minuted.

Mr Chambers reported that the Trust has seen an increase in harm and acuity which is probably greater than originally thought. The Trust has seen pressures on staffing, there is a proportion of part time staff on the unit. This has been discussed with the clinicians. Mr Chambers sought assurance that the board felt the Trust are taking this seriously. The Board agreed that the Trust was taking these issues seriously and agreed that the proposed actions were proportionate.

Mr Chambers reported that supported practice and increased supervision would be discussed with staff and put in place. There will also be increased security arrangements not only access and egress but also CCTV. The Trust needs to understand the data more and this will start quickly whilst continuing the investigation. This is supported by Dr John Gibbs, Senior Paediatrician. There will be more investigation around still births and transfers. The terms of reference for the external review with the scale and scope will include an investigation of the competence of all staff.

Mr Chambers stated that the unanimous view from the clinicians is that the actions are proportionate and balanced and is the right course of action.

Mr Brearey referred to the competence and stated that 'MBRACE' data for 14 babies (perinatal review) showed that COCH was between 0-10; less than the national average, coding can vary across Trusts however the Trust was below the national average in these 14 cases. Neonatal nursing staff are highly trained outside of the Trust for their qualifications and there is a double checking procedure on the neonatal unit. There was a thematic review undertaken in February 2016 which noted deaths occurred between 12am and 4am and there was an action to go back and look at the proceeding 12 hour period of collapse and a secondary review was

concerns raised about the individual referred to previously in the meeting. The individual has been praised by a transport consultant during a resuscitation. It is inconceivable to have a year like we have had and if there had been a competence issue this would have been flagged up.

Mr Brearey added that a full day has been spent on reviewing each of these incidents.

Mrs Hopwood asked how practical it was for the staff member to work under supervision. Mr Brearey said that the sister did talk to the staff member for a 3 month period, I have met with Mr Harvey and Mrs Kelly and in that 3 month period there were no unexplained collapses on nights when the individual was on days. When the recent deaths triggered where we are now, we came to execs with the background of increased mortality and concern around the member of staff. We then looked at the data when the individual was on leave however we have not seen the data for staffing so as a body we have not had the full information before making any decisions.

Sir Duncan stated that following the deep dive, the situation is that on the back of the staffing and the acuity this does not link to the mortality rates. What still needs to be informed is the rotas, competencies and situation all to be combined. This next stage is not a holding measure but it is understood that the proposed actions are a proportionate response while the deep dive continues.

Dr Jayaram agreed that the actions are to reduce risk and improve patient safety. He added that he did not mean to be an insult but thinking ahead, we would want to find something as we worry about the unit's well-being.

Dr Brearey stated that from the clinical lead point of view, the unit was high performing in the past and think it is now low performing that we will find something else and then come back to clinical concerns, however the clinicians are open to the external review.

Mr Chambers stated that the external review was totally necessary as we cannot say conclusively if there is a statistical blip and the advantage of the review was that it would look at the data, people, context and environment. The Trust is keeping an open mind, our values are safe, kind and effective and we need to do what is right for babies, families and staff. If the Trust felt conclusively about one issue then we would take absolute action however as we cannot determine that harm is happening by competence, we do think it is proportionate to take the proposed approach of supervised practice, ongoing review of data and an external review. The Trust has found things to question such as the antenatal pathway for patients. The Trust has to take an objective view. We are taking this personally and I understand that emotions are high but by putting babies, families and staff first there is a balance and this is in line with the problem we are facing as we cannot see what is causing the issues.

the police and that they would want hard evidence.

Mr Cross outlined his understanding of what action the police would take if they were called in to investigate this matter.

Dr Jayaram stated there was a need to be clear on the terms of reference for the external review. Mr Harvey replied that he had draft terms of references ready for review by Mr Cross.

Mr Harvey referred to the data and that the fact that this is now on one spreadsheet was massive as this was done in a 2 week time limit. We had to make a decision in 2 weeks but there is still more to do. Dr Gibbs has reviewed cases where babies collapsed and survived but we need to look at these as well. There are more stones to be turned over which is why we are where we are, does sound like a limited disclosure but we will know more next week. Mr Wilkie asked about the timescale. Mr Harvey replied that most of it would be completed in the next week.

Mr Chambers reported that the external review in August 2016 will feedback any concerns immediately. The Trust will continue to drill down into our data with the whole data pack and review being undertaken in August 2016.

Mr Wilkies stated so the results would be available by mid-September.

Mrs Fallon asked if the external review would look at staffing. Mr Harvey replied that the process was a bit like the CQC visit, the reviewers will be given a list of documentation and data, they will meet the Medical Director, Director of Nursing and the Chief Executive. They will then provide a list of those staff they want to interview either individually or as a group. The Longhouse Conference rooms have been cleared for the reviewers. The reviewers will bring their own administration team. Mr Harvey will provide the reviewers with whatever information they need.

Mrs Fallon asked if there was a direct correlation, would they uncover this. Mr Harvey replied that as part of the process any issues will be outed and we will advise them of the supervision of staff as it will be the part of the measures we have undertaken.

My Harvey gave details of the draft terms of reference for the review which included points from the RCPCH and the Trust.

Mrs Fallon asked about the individual and how many of those babies involved had the individual been on shift for. Mr Harvey relied that the individual had been on shift for 10 out of the 13 babies involved.

It was noted that the individual was a full time member of staff who also did overtime. Mrs Kelly had queried how staff were allocated overtime and it is allocated on the skills of the individual, as the individual in question was full time they would have been well skilled.



Mr Harvey said are we doing this on probabilities or the balance of doubt.

Dr Jayaram said that 2 days was not long for a review. Mr Harvey replied that the review will have all the data before they arrive so they will be fully prepared. If they need more time they will advise us.

Dr Brearey made a request that there is a neonatal specialist involved as it is a minor speciality.

Mr Brearey added that where we are we know most about this subject, there was a discussion about the plan to improve including reducing the number of band 4 nurses and to employ other nurses. Morale on the unit is rock bottom and many nurses have done extra shifts at the expense of families. Mrs Kelly said that it was not about getting rid of band 4s, it was about converting post to band 5s as the band 4s leave. There are a few nurses coming up for retirement that will be replaced with a band 5.

Sir Duncan said that in light of the data, if we take the basis that it was proportionate to call the police, we would. We recommend to the Board that we would explore the data and that if the individual returns to duty, this would be under supervision, Sir Duncan asked if the Board agreed.

Dr Brearey said that operationally that would take more staff away and we will have to reduce transitional care to allow nurses just on the neonatal unit. Mrs Kelly said that this was being looked into.

Sir Duncan said the review is important and asked whether the review team will be briefed on the explicit concerns. Mr Harvey said that they would be and this will be discussed as part of the review and interviews.

Mr Harvey confirmed that he would discuss the concerns regarding the individual during his interview with the review team.

Mrs Hopwood asked how the on-going discussions and fact finding would be brought to the Board. Mr Chambers stated that the dashboard will give a clear oversight of the current position, decisions on the unit and will be monitored at the weekly executive meeting. Mr Cross added that as soon as the Board needs to be updated they will be. Mr Chambers said that the Trust will be proactive on communications for all staff and the media.

Sir Duncan stated that Mrs Hopwood made a reasonable point and that he, along with Mr Higgins as Chair of QSPEC, will be in very close contact with the review.

Mrs Hopwood stated that she felt this was fine but that another Board meeting be held post review as a minimum unless there is a need to get together sooner.