

## Paediatrics Meeting 27th March 2017 - 5.10pm - 6.20pm

## **Attendees**

Tony Chambers (TC) Ravi Jayaram (RJ) Nim Subhedar (NS)

Ian Harvey (IH) Steve Brearey (SB) Sue Hodkinson (SH) Julie Maddocks (JM)

Welcomed everyone to the meeting. Provide some context of the current position. We've had:

- 1. Royal College Review actions and recommendations
- 2. Members of Staff grievance
- 3. Clinical, how we get to the point the Board and Organisation has done everything to answer questions. If it's not at that point, what do we need to do to get to this point?
- RJ/SB/NS/John Gibbs we had a useful meeting were we reviewed the 13 deaths.

There were five everyone was comfortable with.

There were eight were there were still concerns either cause of collapse, failure to respond to resus. Further in-depth review, which focused on collapses.

IH completed reviews of the eight and review of rotas together with all case notes and what recorded in the notes.

The next stage was to go through these

It was important that we were conscious of deaths in the first instance. This would drive anything regarding babies collapsed.

There are a number of questions we need help with:

- Collapsed unexpectedly, fail to respond
- If looking at potential causes, continuing consequences of collapses and how this is unpicked.
- This was discussed on a weekly basis.

Focused on 8/13 and transferred babies

It is disappointing the depth that this has been gone into.

A further 6 babies, arrested unexpectedly, which we identified in July. We don't feel these have been investigated in depth.

Nine months on and the hospital should not investigate this any further

This needs to escalate to the police. We have not had any explanation and we escalated this in July.

- TC Why are you escalating this now?
- SB We are still very worried. There is no natural cause of death.
- There have been deaths.... RJ
- But these were explainable. Not included in mortality review. SB
- TC You don't believe the different admission criteria had an impact?

involved, a forensic investigation.  We accept that we may not find cause.  We have our names on the end of the incubator, we need more assurance.  The interpretation of the reports differs to the Board.  We were presented with a plan and we have explored every avenue with the BMA (British Medical Association).  TC The review identified that there was no single casual factor, you identified further cases.  What do you agree and don't agree on?  SB The College review is a service review not investigating the deaths.  Jane Horden — four cases forensic review, her review was not forensic, stimulated discussion and learning. There are four cases not reviewed yet.  TC If this is your intention this is always going to continue, only higher authority in the police, not sure what they will say?  NS The cluster caused concern here. The College review is a service review not case note and followed up with further detail review. In depth review for more than four cases.  The standard needs to be external to be some degree.  TC I need to know if we do an individual case note review, or phone the police.  TC I need to know if we do an individual case note review, or phone the police.  If no process, the determining factor is that there is no other answer Mischievous activity is the only causal factor. I didn't think that was where we are. We can phone them now, everyone will be interviewed.		
further cases. What do you agree and don't agree on?  SB The College review is a service review not investigating the deaths. Jane Horden – four cases forensic review, her review was not forensic, stimulated discussion and learning. There are four cases not reviewed yet.  TC If this is your intention this is always going to continue, only higher authority in the police, not sure what they will say?  NS The cluster caused concern here. The College review is a service review not case note and followed up with further detail review. In depth review for more than four cases.  The standard needs to be external to be some degree.  TC I need to know if we do an individual case note review, or phone the police.  JM Given the information, on the balance of probability, illegal activity has caused the deaths.  IH Or reasonable doubt?  TC If no process, the determining factor is that there is no other answer Mischievous activity is the only causal factor. I didn't think that was where we are. We can phone them now, everyone will be interviewed.  SB The worries not going away. I'll share with you an email from one of our experienced consultants, who was new with us in July; he has some stronger feelings than me. Quotes e-mail (from Michael).  TC If that is where we are, then phone the police. You can call the police.  RJ After the case note review, we are still left with 8 cases.  NS Left missing staffing data, if that is reassuring.		note review and Jane Howden's review identified further ones. It's a difficult thing, what level of review do we need to do. We have a collective view that this now needs to be at the level of a rota review, who, where involved, a forensic investigation.  We accept that we may not find cause.  We have our names on the end of the incubator, we need more assurance. The interpretation of the reports differs to the Board.  We were presented with a plan and we have explored every avenue with the
Jane Horden – four cases forensic review, her review was not forensic, stimulated discussion and learning. There are four cases not reviewed yet.  TC If this is your intention this is always going to continue, only higher authority in the police, not sure what they will say?  NS The cluster caused concern here. The College review is a service review not case note and followed up with further detail review. In depth review for more than four cases.  The standard needs to be external to be some degree.  TC I need to know if we do an individual case note review, or phone the police.  JM Given the information, on the balance of probability, illegal activity has caused the deaths.  IH Or reasonable doubt?  TC If no process, the determining factor is that there is no other answer Mischievous activity is the only causal factor. I didn't think that was where we are. We can phone them now, everyone will be interviewed.  SB The worries not going away. I'll share with you an email from one of our experienced consultants, who was new with us in July; he has some stronger feelings than me. Quotes e-mail (from Michael).  TC If that is where we are, then phone the police. You can call the police.  RJ After the case note review, we are still left with 8 cases.  NS Left missing staffing data, if that is reassuring.	TO	further cases.
NS The cluster caused concern here. The College review is a service review no case note and followed up with further detail review. In depth review for more than four cases.  The standard needs to be external to be some degree.  TC I need to know if we do an individual case note review, or phone the police.  JM Given the information, on the balance of probability, illegal activity has caused the deaths.  IH Or reasonable doubt?  TC If no process, the determining factor is that there is no other answer Mischievous activity is the only causal factor. I didn't think that was where we are. We can phone them now, everyone will be interviewed.  SB The worries not going away. I'll share with you an email from one of our experienced consultants, who was new with us in July; he has some stronger feelings than me. Quotes e-mail (from Michael).  TC If that is where we are, then phone the police. You can call the police.  RJ After the case note review, we are still left with 8 cases.  NS Left missing staffing data, if that is reassuring.		Jane Horden – four cases forensic review, her review was not forensic, it stimulated discussion and learning. There are four cases not reviewed yet.
than four cases. The standard needs to be external to be some degree.  TC I need to know if we do an individual case note review, or phone the police.  JM Given the information, on the balance of probability, illegal activity has caused the deaths.  IH Or reasonable doubt?  TC If no process, the determining factor is that there is no other answer Mischievous activity is the only causal factor. I didn't think that was where we are. We can phone them now, everyone will be interviewed.  SB The worries not going away. I'll share with you an email from one of our experienced consultants, who was new with us in July; he has some stronger feelings than me. Quotes e-mail (from Michael).  TC If that is where we are, then phone the police. You can call the police.  RJ After the case note review, we are still left with 8 cases.  NS Left missing staffing data, if that is reassuring.	TC	If this is your intention this is always going to continue, only higher authority is the police, not sure what they will say?
JM Given the information, on the balance of probability, illegal activity has caused the deaths.  IH Or reasonable doubt?  TC If no process, the determining factor is that there is no other answer Mischievous activity is the only causal factor. I didn't think that was where we are. We can phone them now, everyone will be interviewed.  SB The worries not going away. I'll share with you an email from one of our experienced consultants, who was new with us in July; he has some stronger feelings than me. Quotes e-mail (from Michael).  TC If that is where we are, then phone the police. You can call the police.  RJ After the case note review, we are still left with 8 cases.  NS Left missing staffing data, if that is reassuring.	NS	case note and followed up with further detail review. In depth review for more than four cases.
IH Or reasonable doubt?  TC If no process, the determining factor is that there is no other answer Mischievous activity is the only causal factor. I didn't think that was where we are. We can phone them now, everyone will be interviewed.  SB The worries not going away. I'll share with you an email from one of our experienced consultants, who was new with us in July; he has some stronger feelings than me. Quotes e-mail (from Michael).  TC If that is where we are, then phone the police. You can call the police.  RJ After the case note review, we are still left with 8 cases.  NS Left missing staffing data, if that is reassuring.	TC	I need to know if we do an individual case note review, or phone the police.
TC If no process, the determining factor is that there is no other answer Mischievous activity is the only causal factor. I didn't think that was where we are. We can phone them now, everyone will be interviewed.  SB The worries not going away. I'll share with you an email from one of our experienced consultants, who was new with us in July; he has some stronger feelings than me. Quotes e-mail (from Michael).  TC If that is where we are, then phone the police. You can call the police.  RJ After the case note review, we are still left with 8 cases.  NS Left missing staffing data, if that is reassuring.	JM	and the balance of propability, lifedal activity has called
Mischievous activity is the only causal factor. I didn't think that was where we are. We can phone them now, everyone will be interviewed.  SB The worries not going away. I'll share with you an email from one of our experienced consultants, who was new with us in July; he has some stronger feelings than me. Quotes e-mail (from Michael).  TC If that is where we are, then phone the police. You can call the police.  RJ After the case note review, we are still left with 8 cases.  NS Left missing staffing data, if that is reassuring.	IH	Or reasonable doubt?
experienced consultants, who was new with us in July; he has some stronger feelings than me. Quotes e-mail (from Michael).  TC If that is where we are, then phone the police. You can call the police.  RJ After the case note review, we are still left with 8 cases.  NS Left missing staffing data, if that is reassuring.	TC	If no process, the determining factor is that there is no other answer. Mischievous activity is the only causal factor. I didn't think that was where we are. We can phone them now, everyone will be interviewed.
RJ After the case note review, we are still left with 8 cases.  NS Left missing staffing data, if that is reassuring.	SB	The worries not going away. I'll share with you an email from one of our experienced consultants, who was new with us in July; he has some stronger feelings than me. Quotes e-mail (from Michael).
NS Left missing staffing data, if that is reassuring.	TC	If that is where we are, then phone the police. You can call the police.
and the state of t	RJ	After the case note review, we are still left with 8 cases.
IH Does not highlight a single individual?	NS	Left missing staffing data, if that is reassuring.
	IH	Does not highlight a single individual?

	both reports received, we had concerns. We've written three letters. We've done anything to exhaust all internal avenues. We have 7 paediatricians' very experienced, very serious concerns, that the causes are unnatural.
IH	We have three options 1. Contact the Police, 2. Internal with NS support 3. Other experts conduct further review (e.g. Janet Renney) if anything to be gained?
RJ	What would be the level of depth?
ΙΗ	We've had the meeting with Jane Howden, there was our meeting, there has been the subsequent work I've done. It would be a case review with staff but this is not something that can be done remotely.
RJ	We need to speak to all individually, most of time they're not on the unit, which proves nothing but there is an association at the point of the collapse. We need to understand who, where this was. However, time has passed. Genuinely do not remember everything.  All we want as a group:
	<ol> <li>Is that we feel reassured enough that this cannot be investigated any further</li> <li>Is that the Board understand where we are coming from and that there is the Board's interpretation. We are now more aware than you guys.</li> </ol>
TC	I thought we'd agreed we need to do more now but if we are saying this needs to be done in a different way
SB	Don't think we are but the Joint Review has not offered anything else.
TC	As a Board, we have been guided by everybody that we have a safe unit. You guys, the nursing team. I can't risk babies being nursed in that environment. If there is a forensic dive needed — we can do that, get in a higher authority require authority, we can get on with that.
SB	There are six others we have not reviewed yet. (SB provides further details of the babies). This means there are twelve plus six, so there are eighteen cases to go to police with as we still have no answer.
TC	Need to explain this so let me check  - There are babies cared for and died on our unit  - There are a group of babies who survived  - There are a group of babies on another unit?
SB	The first group died at Chester. There are eight unexplained causes of death. The second group systematic review, some survived some died. John Gibbs identified six cases as unexplained. Assumptions IH made?

	L. II. L
IH	I did not make these.
SB	This has not been investigated in the level needed. The third group there were six babies, where there were still concerns and we would like a review of what happened.
RJ	I&S did not die but
SB	Dr McGuigan stated had no collapses. Mid Cheshire patients more acute and staffing challenges.
NS	If anything, we need to satisfy the clinical team as they feel this is a bit part review, where it has never got to the level of detail needed.  We cannot see an alternative to the police review. We can discuss the numbers.  But we would prefer to concentrate on deaths, this demands greater scrutiny or review.  If ultimately, we need to look retrospectively, we can be having those discussions.
TC	This is really helpful. Of the thirteen deaths, we have eight where we do not sufficiently have a clear answer on.  Royal College review indicates that there is no single casual factor and we've had the internal review. I can go to police that's the position.  If we are going to police, this is what we've done and we've got to the point, where we cannot answer all of the questions. Also, we need to exclude any other casual factors.  It is a significant step as implications massive from this.
RJ	The consultant body has talked about it a lot. We honestly can't see get level of detail that is needed. We need the resources and the interest.
NS	What has the coroners said? Not interested is surprising
IH	SPC and I have expressed and advised the teams concern. We met the coroner, Nicholas Reinberg. This is the second occasion we have met with NR and Alan Moore. We have shared the review, JH review and a copy of your letter and specifically called out the teams concerns. NR advised that we should leave it with him as he was reviewing his jurisdiction. There has been one definite inquest and two potential. There is no indication of reopening any of the cases and not all of the cases are in his jurisdiction. To date, and next 4-5 weeks, not heard anything from him or Alan Moore.
TC	He would phone police or act through normal inquest process. He has had everything we and you have had.
SE	Also there is an issue with the timescale. One is in May and the other not set.