

COCH/101/109/000009

Sharon Parker


Patient Safety Lead Feedback Management Form

I&S

Name and reference

Current approval status	Finally approved
ID	PD
Name	Mother D
Ref	I&S
Reported Date (dd/MM/yyyy)	21/06/2015
Opened date (dd/MM/yyyy)	22/06/2015
Submitted time (hh:mm)	18:07
Handler	Kenny, Miss Siobhan
Manager	Peacock, Debbie

Location

Division	Planned Care
Specialty	Obstetrics
Location (exact)	Central Labour Suite

Coding

Type	Clinical Incident
Category	Obstetric (Pick List)
Sub Category	Admission to Neonatal Unit >37 weeks gestation

Is this a Safeguarding concern?

Did this incident occur as a direct result of staffing levels? No

Risk Grading

Result	Actual Harm
Actual Harm	Moderate (short term harm caused)
Potential for Harm	Low Potential Harm

Details

Incident date (dd/MM/yyyy)	PD 06/2015
Time (hh:mm)	19:15
Description	Term baby admitted to nnu.

Background - Baby born by em c/s at 1601 (failed iol) Iol for pprom. Srom at 36+6, prom for 60.5 hours by delivery. Baby born in good condition, went off at 12 mins of age in theatre needing resuscitation. Reviewed by paed in theatre - nfa. Commenced grunting leaving theatre which became more significant and persistent. Paed r/v requested again 20 mins out of theatre - baby seen by sho again, plan: feed, observe, if still grunting at 4-6 hours further review. Baby showed no interest to feed, no reaction to im vit k, alerted by hca that baby had poor colour (light pale pink, purple hands/feet) d/w paed reg and r/v requested, 25 mins later paed sho arrived (reg apparently busy) baby seen and taken to nnu for a screen as still grunting.

Action taken Baby seen by paed sho in theatre, concerns regarding baby escalated to paed sho again post theatre and then to paed reg before final admission to nnu.

Notify Neonatal Incident Review Group
Obs Secondary Review

Report to NRLS? Yes

RIDDOR? No

Last updated Mr Dean Bennett 07/12/2016 00:00:00

Duty of Candour - Reporter Disclosure

Yes

COCH/101/109/000010

Was a patient involved in this incident?

Has the patient been told what has happened? Yes

What has the patient been told? Parents of baby were fully informed of my concerns regarding baby and my reasons for requesting paed review on both occasions.

Incident Investigation

Please use this field to document **ALL** updates in relation to the investigation.

Peacock, Debbie 05/01/2016 09:36:09	Dr ZA has confirmed action complete - email attached
Bennett, Mr Dean 26/11/2015 13:07:40	Final L2 Report with Addendum sent to West Cheshire CCG.
Bennett, Mr Dean 23/07/2015 14:57:08	<p>[22/07/2015 10:45:39 Debbie Peacock] Response from jg re dr - reflection and support provided [03/07/2015 10:59:06 Debbie Peacock] [24/06/2015 10:45:05 Debbie Peacock] neonatal review: Just to confirm that I have met with Eirian and reviewed the case notes of [Child D] who died in the early hours this morning. We have also discussed whether there are any other issues to address in view of the two other recent sudden deaths on NNU.</p> <p>In regard to the 3 deaths:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • All deaths occurred in room 1, our intensive care room, but in different cot spaces. • All microbiology results have been negative to date. • Initial post mortem result for [Child A] did not identify any definite cause of death, although [I&S] [I&S] [I&S], presumably following [I&S] transfer. The other two PMs are in process. <p>[Child D] was not on TPN and died at less than [I&S] days of age, so nosocomial infection is very unlikely.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • There does not seem to be any staff (medical or nursing) members present at all three episodes other than one nurse, who was not the nurse responsible for [Child D] on that shift. <p>With regard to [Child D] care:</p> <p>It appears that neonatal GBS sepsis following prolonged rupture of membranes is the most likely cause for death.</p> <p>[Child D] was born 1601, [PD] June after PROM of about 36 hours at 37 weeks gestation. Although her initial APGARs were 8 and 9 at 1 and 5 min, she was pale and floppy in Dad's arms at 12 min of age and required inflation breaths. Grunting persisted, and she was brought to NNU at about 3-4 hrs of age. Initial saturations were 48% in air, with temp instability and poor respiratory effort. She received iv antibiotics, an iv fluid bolus and nasal CPAP. Blood gas showed a mixed resp and metabolic acidosis (pH7.1) and blood sugar of 4.2. Bilirubin was 92 consistent with early infection.</p> <p>She was still tachypnoeic and had a high oxygen requirement at 2145 so was intubated and went onto mechanical ventilation. Capnography was used and showed CO2 throughout. Curosurf was given at 2300.</p> <p>[PD] June, [PD] day of life [Child D] was extubated at 0900 successfully, but received a further fluid bolus at 1100 due to raised lactate and poor cap refill. UVC and UAC were attempted at 1325. UAC was low lying and removed, UVC was high and withdrawn to 6 cm – both appropriately imaged and changes documented. 1900 gas was borderline pH 7.11, pCO2 9.0 and CPAP was commenced. Baby was too unstable for LP and thought to be too unstable when trialled off CPAP.</p> <p>[Child D] only received 4ml of EBM so any aspiration was unlikely. Na was 126, possibly indicating a CNS focus of infection. Cefotaxime and increased dose of Benzyl Penicillin were given.</p> <p>22nd June [Child D] became mottled at 0140 and subsequently arrested. Subsequent resuscitation efforts were unsuccessful but record of resuscitation efforts are well recorded and seem appropriate.</p> <p>In summary, [Child D] is most likely to have suffered from early neonatal sepsis which she showed signs of from 12 min of age and she continued to be unstable on NNU despite iv antibiotics. Although there are some minor practice points that would be appropriate to discuss at a perinatal meeting (such as the time taken before she was admitted to NNU) it seems unlikely that these would have changed the final outcome. It would be helpful to know if there is any microbiology evidence from mother or baby and we are awaiting the PM report. I would be very surprised if [Child D] death is linked in any way to the previous recent deaths of [Child A] and [Child C].</p> <p>We have agreed an action plan however:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. I will review [Child A] and [Child C]'s case notes in detail this week. 2. I will review [Child A]'s preliminary PM report which I have not seen yet. 3. I will discuss with Microbiology to make them aware of the deaths and ask them to review all the results. 4. Eirian will check the thermometers used, the incubators used and that the antibiotics prescribed and signed for were actually given. 5. I have briefly discussed with Jo Davies already, but if there is any placental histology or maternal microbiology or biochemical evidence of infection for [Child D] this will be helpful to know. <p>[24/06/2015 10:42:58 Debbie Peacock] osr completed. review of neonatal care to be undertaken. for sbar [23/06/2015 08:59:16 Debbie Peacock] No concerns with antenatal or intrapartum care. Dr sb (paed) to be contacted re neonatal care [22/06/2015 16:59:43 Debbie Peacock] OSR with dr jd, lm and dp</p>