

Matthew Downey



# Datix Admin & Management Form

PD

## Name and reference

Current approval status	Finally approved
ID	PD
Name	Child C
Ref	PD
Reported Date (dd/MM/yyyy)	14/06/2015
Opened date (dd/MM/yyyy)	15/06/2015
Submitted time (hh:mm)	19:00
Handler	Kenny, Miss Siobhan
Manager	Peacock, Debbie

## Location

Division	Urgent Care
Specialty	Neonatology
Location (exact)	Neonatal Unit

## Coding

Type	Clinical Incident
Category	Neonatal Unit (Pick List)
Sub Category	Expected and unexpected death
Is this a Safeguarding concern?	
Did this incident occur as a direct result of staffing levels?	No

## Risk Grading

Result	No Harm
Actual Harm	None (no harm caused)
Potential for Harm	Low Potential Harm

## Details

Incident date (dd/MM/yyyy)	14/06/2015
Time (hh:mm)	05:53
Description	Sudden deterioration of an infant following full resuscitation.
Action taken	Parents nursing and medical team all present. Hospital coordinator aware.
Notify	Neonatal Incident Review Group Obs Secondary Review
Report to NRLS?	Yes

RIDDOR?

No

Last updated

Mr Dean Bennett 06/12/2016 00:00:00

**Duty of Candour - Reporter Disclosure**

Was a patient involved in this incident?

**Incident Investigation**Please use this field to document **ALL** updates in relation to the investigation.

No progress notes.

**Patient Details**

	ID	Forenames	Surname	Email	Job Title	CC Number	NHS No.	Date of birth	Type
	I&S	Child C				Child C	PD	PD/06/2015	Patient

**Employees Involved**

	Approval status	Title	Forenames	Surname	Type	Status	Contact role
	Approved	MRS	AMY	USHER	Midwife		Employee
	Approved	M/W	Rosalind	Harris	Midwife		Employee
	Approved	Mr	David	Semple	Consultant		Employee
	Approved		Lauren	Whitham	Employee		Employee
	Approved	Dr	Victoria	Finney	Consultant		Employee
	Approved	Dr	Lorraine	Dinardo	Consultant		Employee
	Approved	Mr	Jim	McCormack	Employee		Employee

**Incident Reporter**

	Approval status	Title	Forenames	Surname	Type	Status	Contact role
	Approved		Yvonne	Griffiths	Employee		Reporter

**Linked Records** **Linked claims (1)**

ID	Handler	Name	Opened date	Description	Link Notes	
I&S	Chloe Delbarre	Child C	29/06/2017	Potential claim - neonatal. The patient was born prematurely and was half the weight of babies his gestational age. He was given his first milk feed nasogastrically at PD days old. Shortly after this feed the patient collapsed: oxygen		

saturates dropped and he did not have a pulse rate. CPR was performed and he was intubated. The patient did not respond to the resuscitation.

## Documents

Created	Type	Description	ID
11/08/2015	E-Mail	OSR report	I&S

## Communication and Feedback

Can be used for sending out emails ONLY! All responses will be sent back to your inbox

## Recipients

## Message

Message history				
Date/Time	Sender	Recipient	Body of Message	Attachments
No messages				

## Divisional Meeting Review

Date of Review Meeting

Patient Safety Lead

DoC: Has the patient/family been notified?

Meeting Discussion Points

Meeting Action Points

Level of Investigation

Name of Person Investigating?

Can This Incident be Closed?

## Obstetric Secondary Review Report

OSR

Date of Meeting

Review Group

- ☐ Sara Brigham - Consultant Obstetrician
- ☐ Julie Fogarty – Head of Midwifery
- ☐ Annemarie Lawrence – Risk Midwife
- ☐ Lorraine Millward – Practice Development Midwife
- ☐ Kathie Grimes - Labour Ward Manager
- ☐ Jo Davies – Consultant Obstetrician
- ☐ Gwenda Jones – Supervisor of Midwives
- ☐ Other

Summary of Case

Review

SI Grade

Lessons Learnt

Action Plan

Duty of Candour

### Neonatal Incident Review Group

Date of Review

Review Group

- ☐ Steve Breary – Consultant Paediatrician
- ☐ Eirian Powell – Neonatal Unit Manager
- ☐ Annemarie Lawrence - Risk Midwife
- ☐ Other

Summary of Case

Review

Action Plan

Duty of Candour

### Medical Education

Date Incident Received

Does this apply to more than one  
Doctor?

Grade

Supervisor

Date Sent to Supervisor

Date Requested Return

Feedback Returned on Time

Incident Feedback

Feedback Sent to Risk Team

Incident Closed for Medical  
Education?

### SBAR

Situation

Background

Assessment

Immediate Action taken following  
incident

Any immediate lessons learnt

Recommendation

Family Awareness of Incident

SBAR Date

SBAR: By Name

SBAR: Presented To

**SI Panel Meeting**

Incident Review Panel Yes

SI Panel Date of Meeting 02/07/2015

SI Panel Attendees

☐ Mr Ian Harvey - Medical Director

☐ David Semple - Associate Medical Director, Quality & Safety

☒ Alison Kelly - Director of Nursing & Quality

☐ Julie Fogarty - Associate Director of Risk & Safety

☐ Melanie Kynaston - Associate Director of Nursing, Corporate

☐ Sarah Harper-Lea - Head of Legal Services

☐ Matthew Downey - Compliance Manager

SBAR Available Yes

Meeting Discussion Points Steve Brearey, Julie Fogarty and Debbie Peacock present.

Paed M&amp;M by Steve Brearey:

Apgar 9 at delivery

Born at 30 weeks, severe IUGR

800 grams weight - discussed with LWH

Mum had high risk factors - absent end diastolic flow and oligohydramnios

Developed respiratory distress - intubate and given surfactant

Extubated following day

Baby high risk - lactate raised, low platelets, low neut count

IVABs and TPN commenced - all appropriate

Bowels not opened - neonates at risk of NEC, treated cautiously

Long line - x-ray shows distended small bowel

Documentation excellent using sticker system

Fluid bolus

Arterial access would have been ideal to monitor blood pressure but wasn't possible however

UVC was in place; invasive access would have been an indicator for transferring baby out

Cons review - over weekend

Went into respiratory arrest - documentation of respiratory arrest excellent. Decision made to stop after 30 mins.

Awaiting PM but likely acute bowel distention/sepsis

Coincidental Findings:

delayed cord clamping at delivery [not hospital policy yet for pre-term babies]

No recorded use of CPAP in delivery room

Small delay in IVABs [1 hour delay] and TPN commencing

Glucose high on one occasion [above 10] however delay in repeat monitoring the glucose levels

AXR = NGT not in place, baby 'lively' and pulling at lines [only settled in 'kangaroo care']

IV Ranitidine prescribing

24 hour Cons to Cons discussion for babies on ventilator - learning point for when non-vented babies are not improving?

OSR by Jo Davies:

No issues re management

IUGR identified early on [at anatomy scan 19/40] and monitoring in place via JMc

Follow-up scans = confirmed small baby, normal liquor

Some incidental findings in the OSR re completeness of sticker during labour

CTG not repeated whilst Mum was having Magnesium infusion

Met criteria for Dawes Redman

Mum understanding of awareness:

Will attend pregnancy risk clinic at 6/52 by Cons Obs [Jmc]

Steve Brearey to discuss with Murthi Saladi re feedback to ensure undertaken

Level of Investigation M&M

Name of Investigating Officer Debbie Peacock

Report on STEIS No

### SI Tracker

Incident Lead Janet McMahon

Level of Investigation M and M

Title Sudden deterioration of an infant

Incident Status SBAR Completed

Is this a Never Event? No

Is This a Near Miss Never Event? No

Has this incident been reported to STEIS? No

Lead Investigator

Patient Nationality English

Date Report Completed 10/08/2015

All Actions Complete and Incident Closed? Yes

### CCG Serious Incident Review Group

The Group's remit is to determine:

1. If the incident has been adequately investigated
2. If the root causes and contributory factors have been identified
3. If the recommendations and action plan adequately address the root causes and contributory factors
4. If any theme can be identified with previous Serious Incidents
5. If the action plan has been completed in a timely manner
6. If there are any concerns that need escalating to Quality & Performance or Clinical Leads.

Has this incident been reviewed at the CCG SIRG?

Date of Meeting

Incident Outcome

Are the CCG satisfied with the report?

Can this incident be closed?

### Table Top Meeting

Table Top Meeting

Table Top Meeting Date

Table Top Attendees

## Table Top Actions

**Duty of Candour Assessment**

The patient and family have been supported to deal with the consequences and have a key named contact

The investigation has been appropriate to the incident investigation criteria for L1 and or L2 incidents.

The patient/family have been informed once it has been known that a moderate/severe incident has occurred within 10 working days.

The initial notification was provided face to face?

The verbal notification was accompanied by an offer of written notification and that this is documented in the notes.

An apology has been has been provided and that this has been checked that it is documented in the notes by the Lead Investigating Officer

A step by step explanation has been offered as soon as possible pending the investigation:

Full written documentation of all meetings with the patient/family have been taken and filed in Datix for future reference.

Note: These notes may be requested by Solicitors/Police/Coroner

Full written documentation has been kept of all staff interviews and meetings about the incident and filed in the incident/complaint in Datix.

Note: These notes may be requested by Family/Police/Coroner

The final investigation has been shared within 10 days of it being approved under governance arrangements.

The submitted action plan contains action points to address each root cause and with a named lead and timescale for implementation.

The incident/complaint identifies Trainee Doctors and information has been entered into Datix as a

contact to allow for reports to be sent to the Deanery.

The incident involved an adult or child abuse and has been referred to local safeguarding arrangements. Any actions are consistent with the local multi-agency safeguarding protocol and policies.

Does the incident involved a locum Doctor and if so has this been reported to Medical Staffing as required for Appraisal & Revalidation?

### Action Plan

No actions

### Datix Common Classification System (CCS)

Stage of care Other - please specify in description

Detail Other

Adverse event Other - please specify in description

### Incident Outcome & Closure

Please fill in these fields when you are closing the incident.

Remember to change the status to **Finally Approved** when you are closing the incident.

Outcome of Incident Investigation  
Use this field to record the outcome of the investigation.

**PLEASE NOTE: THIS FIELD WILL BE USED AS FEEDBACK TO THE REPORTER**

Closed Date (dd/MM/yyyy) 10/08/2015  
Click on the calendar icon to add the closed date.

Has this incident been sent to any external organisation(s) for review?

Are there any Lessons Learned? No

### Notifications

Recipient Name	Recipient E-mail	Date/Time	Contact ID	Telephone Number	Job title
No notification e-mails sent					