1	Thursday, 14 November 2024	1						
2	(10.00 am)	2						
3	LADY JUSTICE THIRLWALL: Mr De La Poer.	3						
4	<b>MR DE LA POER:</b> My Lady, we are now moving to	4						
5	a phase of the oral evidence hearings focused on the	5						
6	Care Quality Commission. Before we begin, it is	6						
7								
8	Putting it neutrally, the disclosure process of	8						
9	material from the CQC to the Inquiry has not run	9						
10	smoothly, both in terms of its timing and its content.	10						
11	As matters stand, we understand there are a number	11						
12	of documents which were created at the time which are no	12						
13	longer available. My Lady, you will be hearing from	13						
14	a witness tomorrow, Ann Ford, a person senior within the	14						
15	CQC, who will give evidence as to the detail of the	15						
16	disclosure process, which documents are missing and why.	16						
17	So far as the evidence of today is concerned, you	17						
18	will be hearing from those who conducted the inspection	18						
19	in February 2016 whose evidence would have been assisted	19						
20	by such material and as such, it's important I identify	20						
21	the missing material before you hear that evidence.	21						
22	As the Inquiry understands it, first, there are no	22						
23	records available of a number of the pre-inspection	23						
24	meetings.	24						
25	Second, there are no records available of any of 1	25						
1	<b>Q.</b> You have prepared a statement for this Inquiry	1						
2	dated 23 June 2024, haven't you?	2						
3	A. Yes.	3						
4	Q. Is that statement true to your best knowledge	4						
5	and belief?	5						
6	A. Yes.	6						
7	<b>Q.</b> So far as your background, you explain in your	7						
8	statement that by profession you are a children's nurse?	8						
9	A. Yes.	9						
10	<b>Q.</b> You undertook training, becoming a Registered	10						
11	Nurse in 1987, you worked then as a Staff Nurse before	11						
12	training as a Registered Sick Children's Nurse in 1990?	12						
13	A. Yes.	13						
14	<b>Q.</b> In terms of other positions, you trained as	14						
15	a Health Visitor in 1994?	15						
16	A. Yes.	16						
17	<b>Q.</b> In June 2015 you commenced full-time	17						
18	employment with the CQC as an Acute Hospitals Inspector?	18						
19	A. Yes.	19						
20	Q. You remained employed by the CQC until	20						
21	May 2018?	21						
22	A. Yes.	22						
23	<b>Q.</b> Now, the CQC inspected the Countess of Chester	23						
24	Hospital, undertaking visits in February and March 2016?	24						
25	A. Yes.	25						
	3							

3

1	the core interviews with senior managers during the				
2	inspection.				
3	Third, there is an incomplete record of the focus				
4	group with the Consultants.				
5	Fourth, there are a number of other records which				
6	might have been expected to exist for the period				
7	following the inspection which cannot be found.				
8	As we have said, why this is so will be a matter				
9	for the evidence tomorrow, but we thought my Lady ought				
10	to know that before we get to the witnesses who would				
11	have been assisted by it.				
12	LADY JUSTICE THIRLWALL: Thank you very much,				
13	Mr De La Poer.				
14	MR DE LA POER: My Lady, I will turn over to				
15	Mr Carr for the first of our witnesses.				
16	LADY JUSTICE THIRLWALL: Very well.				
17	MR CARR: My Lady, good morning. The first witness				
18	is Helen Cain.				
19	LADY JUSTICE THIRLWALL: Ms Cain, would you like to				
20	come forward.				
21	MS HELEN CAIN (sworn)				
22	Questions by MR CARR				
23	LADY JUSTICE THIRLWALL: Do sit down.				
24	<b>MR CARR:</b> Can we start with your full name, please?				
25	A. Helen Cain.				
	2				
1	<b>Q.</b> They published a report on the hospital				
2	following that inspection on 29 June 2016?				
3	A. Yes.				
4	<b>Q.</b> The way that inspections work is that				
5	different inspection sub teams inspect different				
6	services or departments within a hospital?				
7	A. Yes.				
8	<b>Q.</b> Your role for the inspection was as the Core				
9	Service Lead, so you led the team looking into services				
10	for Children and Young People's Services?				
11	A. Yes.				
12	<b>Q.</b> It was that team that inspected the neonatal				
13	unit at the Countess of Chester Hospital?				
14	A. Yes.				
15	<b>Q.</b> Following the inspection visit, it was you who				
16	wrote the section of the report on Children and Young				
16	wrote the section of the report on Children and Young People's Services?				
16 17	wrote the section of the report on Children and Young People's Services? A. Yes.				
16 17 18	People's Services? A. Yes.				
16 17 18 19	People's Services? A. Yes. Q. You had on your core team two Specialist				
16 17 18 19 20	People's Services? A. Yes. Q. You had on your core team two Specialist Advisers: Dr Benjamin Odeka and Mary Potter?				
16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>People's Services?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. You had on your core team two Specialist</li> <li>Advisers: Dr Benjamin Odeka and Mary Potter?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> </ul>				
16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>People's Services?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. You had on your core team two Specialist</li> <li>Advisers: Dr Benjamin Odeka and Mary Potter?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. We will be hearing evidence from them later</li> </ul>				
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>People's Services?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. You had on your core team two Specialist</li> <li>Advisers: Dr Benjamin Odeka and Mary Potter?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. We will be hearing evidence from them later today.</li> </ul>				
16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>People's Services?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. You had on your core team two Specialist</li> <li>Advisers: Dr Benjamin Odeka and Mary Potter?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. We will be hearing evidence from them later</li> </ul>				

(1) Pages 1 - 4

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

visit.

Q.

So their main function is to provide support

I would -- I planned the inspection, 1 Α. 2 I reviewed the evidence that was available prior to the 3 inspection and planned where myself and the Special 4 Professional Advisers would visit on which days. I very much approached from a regulatory perspective to inspect 5 6 under the Health and Social Care Act, the Specialist 7 Professional Advisers were there to provide clinical 8 support, to ask if -- if I had any queries from 9 a clinical perspective, perhaps about best practice, 10 current guidance. I would then -- we would all individually interview 11 members of the service. We jointly interviewed 12 members -- members of the management team for the core 13 service. I would then review the templates at the end 14 of each day, the note-taking templates that we had taken 15 16 to ensure that enough evidence was collected to ensure 17 that judgments could be made when the report and -- to satisfy the subheadings of the report. 18 19 What you have advised so far is the support Q. 20 that they gave you during the inspection visits. So far 21 as their involvement before the inspection visits and 22 following the inspection visit, in the lead-up to the 23 publication of the report, is it right that they have 24 little to no involvement? 25 Δ Yes 5 1 apart from an inspection? 2 Α. Yes, yes. Is it right that the information gathered as 3 Q. 4 part of monitoring can help to inform inspections? 5 Α. Yes. 6 Q. Now, amongst the data and information that the 7 CQC receives aside from preparation for an inspection, 8 are those relating to notifiable safety incidents? 9 Α. Yes. 10 Q. There are two systems that I want to ask you about, firstly NHS England's National Reporting and 11 Learning System. That is a system that is used to 12 report patient safety incidents, isn't it? 13 14 Α. Yes 15 Where a Trust reports to the National Q. Reporting and Learning System, that satisfies the 16 17 obligation on a Trust to notify the CQC of such incidents? 18 Α. Yes. 19 20 Q. That is because the CQC has access to reports to that system? 21 22 Α. Yes. 23 Another reporting system is the Strategic Q. 24 Executive Information System? 25 Α. Yes. 7

during the visit itself? Α. Yes, and their clinical expertise. O. Before we turn to consider the inspection in more detail, if we take a step back to look at the context, inspections are one of the two main ways in which the CQC regulates care providers, isn't it? Α. Yes. Q. The other being monitoring? Α. Yes. Q. And monitoring includes things such as carrying out engagement meetings? Α. Yes. Q. As that's where you visit a Trust and see Executives or senior managers at the Trust? Α. Yes. Q. Management review meetings, which are internal meetings amongst CQC employees? Α. Yes Q. You can make decisions as to what action, if any, you need to take in respect of a regulated care provider? Α. Yes. Q. Also receiving information and data and assessing information and data relating to Trusts quite Q. That's a system to which Serious Incidents or Never Events must be reported by Trusts? Α. Yes. Q. Again is it right that the CQC has access to reports to that system? Α. Yes. Q. Returning to the 2016 inspection. I want to look at the information gathered ahead of the inspection. Can you explain the different ways in which the CQC receives information, specifically for an inspection? The -- the Trusts were sent six months ahead Α. of inspection requests through the Provider Information Report and that was a spreadsheet asking for a lot of detail about services provided, performance, anything that the Trust might hold that would be useful ahead of the inspection. There was a Provider Information Return 1 and a Provider Information Return 2. Also ahead of the inspection, CQC data analysts would review data from a number of sources and provide each inspector for each core service a data pack or an intelligence pack to -- to support the information for inspecting the Trust ahead of, ahead of the on-site

(2) Pages 5 - 8

2

3

4

If we can deal then first with Provider Q. 1 2 Information Return. So that is documents provided by 3 the Trust ahead of an inspection? 4 Α. (Nods) 5 As the inspector, the CQC employee, it was Q. 6 your job to review the Provider Information Return? 7 Α. For the core service, yes. 8 Q. For the core service? 9 Α. Yes, because it came, there was a Trust-wide 10 section and there was a core service section and within that, there was evidence in -- for the safe, effective, 11 caring, responsive and well-led domain so there was 12 a number of documents that would fit in in either of 13 those tabs. 14 15 Q. Then once you considered the Provider 16 Information Return, there was an ability, wasn't there, 17 for you to seek further information through a data 18 request? 19 Α. Not ahead of the inspection. Ahead of the 20 inspection, you would have the Provider Information 21 Return and the analyst pack. Data requests from a core 22 service perspective would have been requested either 23 while you were on site or after the inspection. 24 Q. If we can consider the role of the Special 25 Adviser, Specialist Advisers. 9 1 wrong -- the process is you consider the Provider 2 Information Returns as part of your role and if there 3 are documents which cause you concern, then you will 4 share those with the Specialist Advisers for specialist 5 advice? 6 Α. Yes. And my understanding was that the -- the 7 intelligence report or the data pack that we had that 8 they were also provided to the Specialist Advisers. 9 I am going to come to that in a few moments. Q. 10 Dealing first, please, with the adequacy of the documents received as part of the Provider Information 11 Return, paragraph 19 of your statement, you say the 12 13 following: 14 "As noted at paragraph 8 above in preparing a statement I have only had access to the documents 15 provided to me by CQC. I am confident that there 16 17 were -- there was more information provided by the Trust as part of the PIR and in response to data requests 18 which I have not been shown. I understand that CQC is 19 20 working to locate these documents." 21 What you are describing there is although you had 22 been given some documents for the purposes of providing 23 this statement --

- 24 **A.** Yes. 25 **Q.** -- you
  - Q. -- you think there were more documents

11

Now, you have explained that they are not involved prior to the inspection?

- A. Yes.
- Q. Is there a process that you undertake, having
- 5 looked at the Provider Information Return, to determine
- 6 what documents, if any, you need to share with the7 Specialist Advisers?
- 8 **A.** I think it, at the -- on the first morning of
- 9 the inspection you would meet with the Specialist
- 10 Professional Advisers, I would -- I shared the
- 11 inspection plan and would, and discussed what evidence
- 12 we had, what information we had and if there were any
- 13 areas that we specifically or I specifically felt needed
- 14 further attention.
- 15 **Q.** Would you share documents received from the
- 16 Trust, whether through a Provider Information Return or
- 17 a subsequent data request, would you share that
- 18 documentation with Specialist Advisers?
- 19 A. Yes. I -- I have done. I can't remember on
- 20 the Countess of Chester whether there was a specific,
- 21 any specific documents, but certainly -- or if there was
- 22 anything in the documents that came that I was unsure
- 23 about, any clinical questions I had, anything that
- 24 I needed clarification on from a clinical perspective.
- 25 **Q**. So it sounds like -- correct me if I am 10
- obtained by the Trust for the purposes of this
   inspection?
- A. Yes. And the second cohort of documents that
  I received a couple of weeks ago, a lot of those I was
  referring to there have now -- were provided to me in
  the second collection of evidence.
- 7 Q. Can you explain the nature of the documents
  8 that weren't provided to you initially that have more
  9 recently been provided that would have made up the
  10 Provider Information Return?
- 11 **A.** I am trying to think what else came through.
- 12 I -- to be honest I can't think of a -- a specific
- 13 document that would have made up the Provider
- 14 Information Return.
- 15 Q. Again, dealing with this information or data16 and looking at paragraph 47 of your statement, please,
- 17 there you state:
- 18 "I do not recall having any concerns about the
- 19 sufficiency of the information provided by the Trust in
- 20 the PIR. However, it is difficult to comment on this
- 21 without access to all the documentation."
- 22 I want to cross-reference that with your
- 23 paragraph 18 and there you describe that to the best of
- 24 your recollection you requested additional documentation
- 25 from the Trust ahead of the inspection?

Not ahead of the inspection. The -- the 1 Α. 2 requested documentation as data requests were as part of 3 the on-site inspection or following the on-site 4 inspection. The PIR, or the Provider Information Return, you have prior to the inspection while you are 5 6 on inspection and afterwards for the purposes of writing the report further data requests are made, and that is 7 8 what I am referring to there. 9 By the time you get to inspection because of the 10 six months lead-in time, a lot of the data is old data for want of a better -- and actually while you are on 11 site, you want current data to inform the report. 12 13 If we can have on screen, please, INQ0103249, Q. if we turn, please, to page 3 of that document. The 14 email in the bottom half of that page dated 15 16 15 February 2016 from John Cunningham to Ruth Millward 17 at the Trust. John Cunningham, he's a CQC employee, isn't he? 18 19 Α. I don't -- I don't know, if I honest, 20 I couldn't remember. This email -- and dated 15 February 2016, so 21 Q. 22 that's a day before the inspection started. 23 Α. I would not have made any requests. The -- it looks like the core service, the accident and emergency 24 25 and surgical care, but my practice, I didn't -- as far 13 1 the first visit. 2 So it must -- the, that was the period of the Α. 3 inspection week. So it would have been that -- that 4 I would -- I would take as the week of the inspection. 5 Back to page 4. So these requests for Q. 6 additional documents in this service area, these have 7 come from you? 8 Α. Yes, and as part of the inspection as part of 9 the on-site inspection. LADY JUSTICE THIRLWALL: Do you know why you would 10 have said "pre-inspection requests"? 11 12 Α. I think no, I don't. If I am honest, my 13 understanding is that that was the inspection week so 14 although we hadn't arrived on site, that was the week of 15 the inspection. 16 LADY JUSTICE THIRLWALL: So I suppose from the perspective of the hospital, these were requests made 17 before the inspection? Because it was before you were 18 19 in the hospital. 20 Α. Yes, from the Trust's perspective. But I think it would have -- from my CQC perspective this 21 22 was part of the on-site inspection. 23 Making the distinction between these and the 24 Provider Information Report that would have been sent 25 evidence through up to six months before the inspection. 15

as I remember and I don't think I ever did for any 1 2 inspection -- make any requests prior to the inspection. If we turn to page 4, please. This sets out 3 Q. 4 a list of requests for documents and we can see the 5 second half? 6 Α. Yes. 7 Q. The bottom half of that page is made up of requests for documents concerning Children and Young 8 People's Services. Is it your position that these 9 10 requests were not made by you? 11 No, they would have been made by me. Can we Α. go back to the date of the --12 13 LADY JUSTICE THIRLWALL: Sorry did you say "No, 14 they would have been"? 15 They would have been made by me, yes, these Α. 16 would have been my requests but my -- the inspection 17 period -- it tended to be the inspection period I would have counted as that week. I mean, to be honest, 18 19 I can't remember whether the Monday was -- but I would 20 have made it in this inspection period. Maybe I am not making myself clear. The -- that week was the 21 22 inspection period. The period of the on-site 23 inspection. 24 MR CARR: So you asked to see the date, it is on 25 page 3. It is 15 February 2016, so it is a day before 14 LADY JUSTICE THIRLWALL: Yes. But so we are clear, 1 2 you did ask for them before you got to the hospital? 3 Α. Before we were actually on site. 4 LADY JUSTICE THIRLWALL: Yes, yes, thank you. 5 MR CARR: Thank you. And you described a few 6 moments ago that one of the reasons for a data request 7 might be that by the time you arrive at a hospital the information obtained under a Provider Information Return 8 might be a bit out of date? 9 10 Α. Yes 11 O. So if we look at some of the entries here, fourth from the bottom: Paediatric speciality meeting 12 13 minutes for the last two months, while they wouldn't 14 have been included in a Provider Information Return that 15 came six months previously? 16 They couldn't have been because I wouldn't Α. 17 have asked for them if they had. 18 Yes. The penultimate entry you have asked for Q. minutes from the Paediatric Mortality and Morbidity 19 20 Meeting from 10 December 2015. Why were you asking for

22 A. Because it's -- it's part of the inspection

21

that?

- 23 process, part -- for the subheading of incidents in the
- 24 safe domain to ensure that, for the -- to see how the
- 25 Trust assure themselves that they are reviewing deaths 16

and learning from them. 1 2 Every Trust should have a mortality and morbidity 3 process. Part of the inspection process is to identify 4 how the Trust assure themselves they are learning from that, they are following the process and learning from 5 6 deaths and subsequently assure the CQC that the process 7 is in place and it's being followed. 8 Page 5 of this document, please. The first Q. 9 entry. 10 "Incidents relating to neonates and paediatrics, last 12 months"? 11 Α. 12 Yes. 13 So you are asking there for incidents leading Q. right up to the time of the inspection? 14 15 Α. Yes. 16 Q. Again that is presumably because you want the 17 most up-to-date information as to what's going on in the hospital? 18 19 Α. Yes. 20 Q. Did you receive all of the documents that you requested? 21 22 Α. No 23 Q. Which documents did you not receive? 24 Α. The only one that I can remember specifically 25 is the Mortality and Morbidity Meeting minutes from 17 1 practice, I -- I would take although the day before the 2 on-site inspection it is part of the inspection period 3 which is I think where my confusion has come between 4 making them months before or weeks before and the day 5 before. If it was the week of the on-site inspection 6 that I would -- my interpretation is that that is part 7 of the inspection week. Those documents that we had asked for would come 8 9 through in tranches, either myself or any of the other core services leads, it could be the next day, it could 10 be with -- on the inspection time, we were on site, it 11 12 could be subsequent to the site visit. MR CARR: What was the nature of the further 13 14 documents that you requested after 15 February? 15 I am sure -- I would have to have a look to Α. 16 see what I have requested. 17 Q. The other page is page 4. There would definitely be staffing information 18 Α. because during the on-site inspection, nurse staffing on 19 20 both the paediatric unit and the neonatal unit was 21 identified as a cause of concern. 22 Q. In circumstances where a data request is not

- 23 made until the day before the inspection visit starts,
- 24 and may not be responded to until after the inspection
- 25 visit occurs, any documents received afterwards can't be

1 10 December.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

- Q. When did you receive the documents that you had requested?
  A. It could -- it was -- it could be immediately, it could be during the period of the inspection. It could be subsequently following the on-site visit.
  Q. Do you know which of those applied here?
  A. I'm sorry?
  Q. Do you know which of those timeframes applied on this inspection, did you --
- A. It was that -- it would -- it could be any
- 12 time. The Trust -- during the inspection the Trust were
- 13 questioning, we were -- the CQC requested a lot of
- 14 documents from the Trust and it was quite an undertaking
- 15 for the Trust to provide all the documents for all the
- 16 core services as they were required.
- 17 LADY JUSTICE THIRLWALL: Sorry, just so that
- 18 I understand this, so you made the request for all the
- 19 documents that we are looking at now before you got
- 20 there but then did you make more requests for more
- 21 documents while you were there?
- 22 A. It is possible.
- 23 LADY JUSTICE THIRLWALL: Well, can you help 24 a little bit more than that?
- A. Sorry, yes, yes, I would have done. Normal 18
- 1 discussed with the people you are interviewing?

A. Usually the request for a document has come asa result of an observation or a discussion on site.

- **Q.** Well, this request is dated 15 February, so it
- 5 can't have come as a result of an observation or
- 6 a discussion?

4

A. I'm sorry, I think -- there were -- you
would -- there would be a normal number of documents
that you would request as a matter of course to support
the judgment making. And this -- you would -- these are

- 11 part of those requests.
- 12 Q. Wouldn't you want to see up-to-date
- 13 information and to receive it prior to conducting14 interviews?
- 15 A. It -- it would depend on the information.
- 16 Some of it is information that you require to -- to
- 17 support the judgment. Some of it is to provide
- 18 assurance of what, of the service that's been provided.
- 19 So not every piece of evidence requested would require
- 20 a conversation. You wouldn't need to ask about every
- 21 piece of evidence.

22 Q. Yes, but you wouldn't know what you need to

- 23 ask questions about or not until you have received it
- 24 and assessed it?
- 25 A. Not necessarily. Because some of the 20

1	information you would ask you would request would	1	Q. Why would you not expect an increase in
2	you would request for every inspection. Not all of it	2	neonatal deaths to be part of the evidence that you
3	would be focused from a conversation.	3	would see?
4	<b>Q.</b> We can take that document down now, thank you.	4	A. Well, I would hope it would be provided but
5	Can we consider information relating to deaths and	5	not not every Trust would, would highlight if there
6	mortality, please.	6	was an increase. Often mortality is is one of the
7	If we turn to paragraph 20 of your statement, you	7	things that we would always look at, always or the
8	say there:	8	CQC would always look at as part of the inspection
9	"I would expect to receive information relating to	9	process.
10	neonatal deaths prior to an inspection."	10	If there was anything out of the ordinary, that's
11	A. Yes.	11	what I would hope that the Trust would highlight that.
12	<b>Q.</b> At paragraph 22, you say:	12	<b>Q.</b> The query is why isn't there an expectation,
13	"I would hope to receive information regarding an	13	is an increase in mortality not something that you would
14	increase in neonatal deaths before or during an	14	expect to see as a matter of course for an inspection
15	inspection."	15	and if not, why not?
16	Would you not expect also to see information about	16	A. Well, I would expect it but I wouldn't I am
17	an increase in neonatal deaths rather than hope?	17	not, I wouldn't think not every Trust would would
18	A. The I think the distinction is the	18	provide it.
19	information would be about neonates, about it would	19	Q. Not every Trust would meet your expectation
20	be expected that's what I would expect to see. If	20	A. Possibly.
21	there was an increase I would, I would hope that that	21	Q is your concern but your expectation is if
22	would have been highlighted in the evidence.	22	there is an increase
23	Q. Why would you not expect an increase in	23	A. That.
24	neonates to be part of the evidence that you see?	24	<b>Q.</b> you would want to see it?
25	A. Can you repeat the question again, please?	25	A. Absolutely.
	21		22
1	<b>Q.</b> At paragraph 24 you state:	1	a usual number of deaths for a neonatal unit or what
2	"At the time of this inspection, I would not have	2	constitutes an increase, how would the Specialist
3	known how many neonatal deaths would be usual for the	3	Advisers be able to advise you on that if they aren't
4	neonatal unit and how many would constitute an increase.	4	given the data contained in Provider Returns?
5	At that time, I would be reliant on either the Child and	5	<b>A.</b> Because it's not always not all of it is in
6	Young People's Services Special Advisers or the Trust	6	the Provider Return. And I think it would be in the
7	itself to highlight any such increase in deaths to me.	7	because they were in the interviews with us, with me
, 8	This did not occur in relation to this inspection."	8	when I interviewed the service leaders and we talked
9	How would you expect the Trust to highlight	9	about neonatal mortality and morbidity. I think if
10	neonatal deaths and how many would be usual for the	10	there was anything out of the ordinary that's where
10	unit?	10	I would hope the Special Professional Advisers would be
12	<b>A.</b> When we discussed in a discussion because	12	able to provide that clinical expertise and that
12			
	mortality and morbidity was discussed as part of the interview with the at the core service level with the	13 14	knowledge of perhaps what would be normal for a unit of this size.
14 15		14	
15 16	core service, the leadership team for the specialty.		
	Q. So your expectation is that that is	16	Trust or the Specialist Advisers or the CQC that you
17 10	information that would emerge at interview?	17	were missing information as to the usual death rate or
18 10	A. Yes.	18	what would constitute an increase in neonatal mortality?
19 20	Q. But why wouldn't you expect it to be provided	19 20	A. No.
20	in advance?	20	<b>Q.</b> At paragraph 25 of your statement, when
21	A. Because my experience was that some Trusts you	21	addressing unexpected or unexplained deaths, you say a
22	would have to ask for the information rather than it	22	it's the final sentence of that paragraph:
22	being outomotically provided		
23	being automatically provided.	23	"I would expect unexpected or unexplained deaths to
23 24 25	<ul><li>being automatically provided.</li><li>Q. When you describe being reliant on Children and Young People's Specialist Advisers, as to what is</li></ul>	23 24 25	"I would expect unexpected or unexplained deaths t have formed part of the documentation provided to the CQC."

23

(6) Pages 21 - 24

28

Now we are about to look at some of the Now, I am not going to put that document on screen 1 1 2 documentation. But from who and how would you expect 2 because it contains sensitive third party information 3 but you will have seen from those spreadsheets that on that information to be provided? 3 4 4 3 July 2015, the Trust reported to the Strategic Δ Through the Provider Information Return. 5 When you considered the Provider Information Executive Information System for Serious Incidents and Q. 5 6 Return did you find anywhere in there clear 6 Never Events the inspected potentially avoidable death 7 documentation setting out details regarding unexpected 7 of Child D? 8 or unexplained deaths at the neonatal unit? 8 Α. Yes. 9 Not that I remember. 9 Q. Α. It was reported as a Serious Incident, due to what was reported as a delay recognising sepsis. 10 Again, did you raise with the Trust or the CQC 10 Q. the absence of that information? 11 Were you made aware of that report in the course of 11 Α. your preparations for the inspection? 12 No. 12 13 I asked you earlier about the two NHS England 13 Not that I can remember. Q. Α. reporting systems: the National Reporting and Learning 14 The deaths of Child A, Child C, Child D, 14 Q. System and the Strategic Executive Information System, Child E and Child I were reported to the National 15 15 16 so the systems for reporting serious incidents and 16 Reporting and Learning System in the months prior to 17 patient harm incidents? 17 your inspection. Were you aware of the reports made to 18 Α. the National Reporting and Learning System? Yes. 18 19 Q. You explained that the CQC tracks those 19 Α. No. not that I remember. 20 systems as part of its monitoring. For the purposes of 20 Q. Given the CQC has access to that information preparing your evidence, you have been provided the 21 and tracks those systems, should it have been provided 21 22 spreadsheets, haven't you, showing entries on those two 22 to you? 23 systems --23 My -- my understanding is that the Α. 24 Α. 24 intelligence report provided by the CQC data analysts Yes. 25 Q. -- containing reporting made by the Trust. 25 reviews Serious Incidents Never Events and provides that 25 1 information for the core service ahead of the 1 Q. A document that you did receive, and again 2 2 I am not going to put it on screen because of the inspection. 3 I don't remember from the intelligence pack those 3 sensitive third party information it contains, is one 4 being highlighted. 4 that I understand was prepared by the Trust, it is 5 a spreadsheet titled "NNU Paediatric Incidents We will look at some of the documents relevant 5 Q. 6 to the inspection in a few moments. But they don't 6 1 December 2015 to 31 January 2016". 7 7 identify, do they, the report of the Serious Incident of Α. Yes. Child D? 8 8 Q. You know the spreadsheet that I am referring 9 Α. No. 9 to? 10 Q. And they don't make any mention at all, do 10 Α. Yes. they, of the entries that I have described, to the 11 O. It contains in total some 377 entries? 11 National Reporting and Learning System, in respect of 12 12 Α. Yes Child A, Child C, Child D, Child E and Child I? 13 13 Q. It's arranged over six columns with a column 14 Α. No 14 for an ID number? 15 Had you been given access to this information 15 Q. Α. Yes. would it have changed your approach in preparing for or 16 Q. A column for the date of the incident? 16 17 conducting the inspection? 17 Α. Yes. 18 Α. Yes. 18 Q. A column for the location of the incident? 19 19 Α. Yes Q. How so? 20 Α. Because it would have been more of a focus of 20 Q. And then there is a column which is colour the inspection. There would have been more direct coded for actual harm with green for none, yellow for 21 21 22 questions asked about mortality and morbidity. 22 low, so low harm, orange for moderate harm and red for 23 And something that you would have 23 severe harm? Q. 24 investigated? 24 Α. Yes 25 25 Α. Q. Then there is a description of the incident in Absolutely.

1	question?
2	A. Yes.
3	<b>Q.</b> What was your understanding as to the basis
4	for that document, how it had been prepared?
5	A. It was a list, with detail, of all the
6	incidents that had been reported in the Children and
7	Young People's Service within that timeframe.
8	<b>Q.</b> The presentation of that document, is that
9	a standard document that you would see for most
10	inspections?
11	A. Yes.
12	<b>Q.</b> It's quite a substantial document, isn't it?
13	A. Yes.
14	<b>Q.</b> It runs to some 25 pages with as I said what
15	appears to be 377 entries. Are you confident that you
16	would have read each and every entry or is it likely
17	that you would focus only on those coloured red for
18	severe or orange for moderate?
19	A. I would have looked at every incident.
20	<b>Q.</b> Eight entries in that table include in the
21	description death, don't they? A. Yes.
22 23	<ul><li>A. Yes.</li><li>Q. Now the first query. Would you expect all</li></ul>
23 24	neonatal deaths on a unit to be included in a table such
24 25	as that?
25	29
1	<b>A.</b> I think incidents would have been discussed.
2	I can't remember exactly the detail of, of the
2 3	I can't remember exactly the detail of, of the conversation.
2 3 4	I can't remember exactly the detail of, of the conversation. Q. Dr Odeka, one of the Specialist Advisories and
2 3 4 5	I can't remember exactly the detail of, of the conversation. Q. Dr Odeka, one of the Specialist Advisories and we are going to be hearing evidence from him later
2 3 4 5 6	I can't remember exactly the detail of, of the conversation. <b>Q.</b> Dr Odeka, one of the Specialist Advisories and we are going to be hearing evidence from him later today, but in his statement when looking at this table
2 3 4 5 6 7	I can't remember exactly the detail of, of the conversation. <b>Q.</b> Dr Odeka, one of the Specialist Advisories and we are going to be hearing evidence from him later today, but in his statement when looking at this table he reviewed it for the purposes of his statement. He
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	I can't remember exactly the detail of, of the conversation. Q. Dr Odeka, one of the Specialist Advisories and we are going to be hearing evidence from him later today, but in his statement when looking at this table he reviewed it for the purposes of his statement. He says that the categorisation struck him as inaccurate
2 3 5 6 7 8 9	I can't remember exactly the detail of, of the conversation. Q. Dr Odeka, one of the Specialist Advisories and we are going to be hearing evidence from him later today, but in his statement when looking at this table he reviewed it for the purposes of his statement. He says that the categorisation struck him as inaccurate and he wondered if the data was being inputted into the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	I can't remember exactly the detail of, of the conversation. <b>Q.</b> Dr Odeka, one of the Specialist Advisories and we are going to be hearing evidence from him later today, but in his statement when looking at this table he reviewed it for the purposes of his statement. He says that the categorisation struck him as inaccurate and he wondered if the data was being inputted into the Datix system incorrectly.
2 3 4 5 7 8 9 10 11	I can't remember exactly the detail of, of the conversation. <b>Q.</b> Dr Odeka, one of the Specialist Advisories and we are going to be hearing evidence from him later today, but in his statement when looking at this table he reviewed it for the purposes of his statement. He says that the categorisation struck him as inaccurate and he wondered if the data was being inputted into the Datix system incorrectly. Now, if you had had a discussion with him about
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	I can't remember exactly the detail of, of the conversation. <b>Q.</b> Dr Odeka, one of the Specialist Advisories and we are going to be hearing evidence from him later today, but in his statement when looking at this table he reviewed it for the purposes of his statement. He says that the categorisation struck him as inaccurate and he wondered if the data was being inputted into the Datix system incorrectly. Now, if you had had a discussion with him about this table, at the inspection, and he told you that he
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	I can't remember exactly the detail of, of the conversation. Q. Dr Odeka, one of the Specialist Advisories and we are going to be hearing evidence from him later today, but in his statement when looking at this table he reviewed it for the purposes of his statement. He says that the categorisation struck him as inaccurate and he wondered if the data was being inputted into the Datix system incorrectly. Now, if you had had a discussion with him about this table, at the inspection, and he told you that he considered the categorisations to be inaccurate, how
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	I can't remember exactly the detail of, of the conversation. <b>Q.</b> Dr Odeka, one of the Specialist Advisories and we are going to be hearing evidence from him later today, but in his statement when looking at this table he reviewed it for the purposes of his statement. He says that the categorisation struck him as inaccurate and he wondered if the data was being inputted into the Datix system incorrectly. Now, if you had had a discussion with him about this table, at the inspection, and he told you that he considered the categorisations to be inaccurate, how would that have affected your conduct of the inspection?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	I can't remember exactly the detail of, of the conversation. <b>Q.</b> Dr Odeka, one of the Specialist Advisories and we are going to be hearing evidence from him later today, but in his statement when looking at this table he reviewed it for the purposes of his statement. He says that the categorisation struck him as inaccurate and he wondered if the data was being inputted into the Datix system incorrectly. Now, if you had had a discussion with him about this table, at the inspection, and he told you that he considered the categorisations to be inaccurate, how would that have affected your conduct of the inspection?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	I can't remember exactly the detail of, of the conversation. <b>Q.</b> Dr Odeka, one of the Specialist Advisories and we are going to be hearing evidence from him later today, but in his statement when looking at this table he reviewed it for the purposes of his statement. He says that the categorisation struck him as inaccurate and he wondered if the data was being inputted into the Datix system incorrectly. Now, if you had had a discussion with him about this table, at the inspection, and he told you that he considered the categorisations to be inaccurate, how would that have affected your conduct of the inspection? <b>A.</b> It would have been one of the questions asked
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	I can't remember exactly the detail of, of the conversation. Q. Dr Odeka, one of the Specialist Advisories and we are going to be hearing evidence from him later today, but in his statement when looking at this table he reviewed it for the purposes of his statement. He says that the categorisation struck him as inaccurate and he wondered if the data was being inputted into the Datix system incorrectly. Now, if you had had a discussion with him about this table, at the inspection, and he told you that he considered the categorisations to be inaccurate, how would that have affected your conduct of the inspection? A. It would have been one of the questions asked with the service leads.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	I can't remember exactly the detail of, of the conversation. <b>Q.</b> Dr Odeka, one of the Specialist Advisories and we are going to be hearing evidence from him later today, but in his statement when looking at this table he reviewed it for the purposes of his statement. He says that the categorisation struck him as inaccurate and he wondered if the data was being inputted into the Datix system incorrectly. Now, if you had had a discussion with him about this table, at the inspection, and he told you that he considered the categorisations to be inaccurate, how would that have affected your conduct of the inspection? <b>A.</b> It would have been one of the questions asked with the service leads. <b>Q.</b> If you can turn to your paragraph 57, please.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	I can't remember exactly the detail of, of the conversation. <b>Q.</b> Dr Odeka, one of the Specialist Advisories and we are going to be hearing evidence from him later today, but in his statement when looking at this table he reviewed it for the purposes of his statement. He says that the categorisation struck him as inaccurate and he wondered if the data was being inputted into the Datix system incorrectly. Now, if you had had a discussion with him about this table, at the inspection, and he told you that he considered the categorisations to be inaccurate, how would that have affected your conduct of the inspection? <b>A.</b> It would have been one of the questions asked with the service leads. <b>Q.</b> If you can turn to your paragraph 57, please. It's the first sentence. When dealing with this table
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	I can't remember exactly the detail of, of the conversation. Q. Dr Odeka, one of the Specialist Advisories and we are going to be hearing evidence from him later today, but in his statement when looking at this table he reviewed it for the purposes of his statement. He says that the categorisation struck him as inaccurate and he wondered if the data was being inputted into the Datix system incorrectly. Now, if you had had a discussion with him about this table, at the inspection, and he told you that he considered the categorisations to be inaccurate, how would that have affected your conduct of the inspection? A. It would have been one of the questions asked with the service leads. Q. If you can turn to your paragraph 57, please. It's the first sentence. When dealing with this table you say:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	I can't remember exactly the detail of, of the conversation. <b>Q.</b> Dr Odeka, one of the Specialist Advisories and we are going to be hearing evidence from him later today, but in his statement when looking at this table he reviewed it for the purposes of his statement. He says that the categorisation struck him as inaccurate and he wondered if the data was being inputted into the Datix system incorrectly. Now, if you had had a discussion with him about this table, at the inspection, and he told you that he considered the categorisations to be inaccurate, how would that have affected your conduct of the inspection? <b>A.</b> It would have been one of the questions asked with the service leads. <b>Q.</b> If you can turn to your paragraph 57, please. It's the first sentence. When dealing with this table you say: "Overall, nothing from the table of incidents
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	I can't remember exactly the detail of, of the conversation. <b>Q.</b> Dr Odeka, one of the Specialist Advisories and we are going to be hearing evidence from him later today, but in his statement when looking at this table he reviewed it for the purposes of his statement. He says that the categorisation struck him as inaccurate and he wondered if the data was being inputted into the Datix system incorrectly. Now, if you had had a discussion with him about this table, at the inspection, and he told you that he considered the categorisations to be inaccurate, how would that have affected your conduct of the inspection? <b>A.</b> It would have been one of the questions asked with the service leads. <b>Q.</b> If you can turn to your paragraph 57, please. It's the first sentence. When dealing with this table you say: "Overall, nothing from the table of incidents appeared immediately concerning when I reviewed it in
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	I can't remember exactly the detail of, of the conversation. <b>Q.</b> Dr Odeka, one of the Specialist Advisories and we are going to be hearing evidence from him later today, but in his statement when looking at this table he reviewed it for the purposes of his statement. He says that the categorisation struck him as inaccurate and he wondered if the data was being inputted into the Datix system incorrectly. Now, if you had had a discussion with him about this table, at the inspection, and he told you that he considered the categorisations to be inaccurate, how would that have affected your conduct of the inspection? <b>A.</b> It would have been one of the questions asked with the service leads. <b>Q.</b> If you can turn to your paragraph 57, please. It's the first sentence. When dealing with this table you say: "Overall, nothing from the table of incidents appeared immediately concerning when I reviewed it in advance of the inspection."
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	I can't remember exactly the detail of, of the conversation. <b>Q.</b> Dr Odeka, one of the Specialist Advisories and we are going to be hearing evidence from him later today, but in his statement when looking at this table he reviewed it for the purposes of his statement. He says that the categorisation struck him as inaccurate and he wondered if the data was being inputted into the Datix system incorrectly. Now, if you had had a discussion with him about this table, at the inspection, and he told you that he considered the categorisations to be inaccurate, how would that have affected your conduct of the inspection? <b>A.</b> It would have been one of the questions asked with the service leads. <b>Q.</b> If you can turn to your paragraph 57, please. It's the first sentence. When dealing with this table you say: "Overall, nothing from the table of incidents appeared immediately concerning when I reviewed it in advance of the inspection." That would suggest, then, when looking at the

1 Α. Yes. 2 Q. And those eight entries, they are all marked green for none, aren't they, in the "Actual harm" 3 column? 4 5 Α. Yes. 6 Q. Can you explain your understanding of the "no 7 harm" categorisation? It's paragraph 58 if you want to see what you said in your statement. 8 My understanding was the clinician completing 9 Α. 10 the incident form made the assessment that no harm had occurred as a result -- direct result of the clinical 11 care provided. 12 13 So not that the death in itself wasn't harmful but in their opinion when they were completing the incident 14 form, that no harm had occurred as a result of the 15 16 treatment or care. 17 Q. Did it strike you as odd that cases involving death were marked "no harm"? 18 19 Α. No, for the reasons I have just mentioned. 20 Did you share or discuss this table or the Q. 21 entries in the table with the Specialist Advisers? 22 Α. I can't remember. 23 Q. Do you think this is a document that you 24 should have shared or discussed with the Specialist 25 Advisers? 30 1 involving child death, was or might be incorrect? 2 Α. No. 3 Q. Going back in your statement to paragraph 51, 4 and again this is a reference to one of the entries in 5 that table, it's one concerning Child A and it's entry 6 188 in the table, the spreadsheet. 7 You describe the entry there, what the entry in the 8 table says is: "Sudden and unexpected deterioration and death of 9 a patient on the neonatal unit after full resuscitation. 10 Requiring postmortem." 11 So within the description in the table you have 12 13 a reference, don't you, to a Sudden and Unexpected Death? 14 15 Α. Yes. There is a suggestion -- well, it's clear, not 16 Q. a suggestion -- that the cause of death is yet to be 17 ascertained? 18 Α. 19 Yes. It is awaiting postmortem. 20 Q. 21 Now whilst this has been marked "no harm" in the 22 table, there's nothing in the description that explains 23 or seeks to justify why it's been marked "no harm"? 24 Α. But there was no evidence, there was no --25 nothing in the description to suggest that there was any

1 harm caused. 2 Q. Well, it may be that you are making the same 3 point. The description identifies a sudden and 4 unexpected deterioration and death. There is no cause of death. There is nothing on the face of that 5 6 description which would justify a no harm or any other 7 categorisation, there is an absence of an explanation 8 for how to categorise it? 9 Α. Yes 10 Now, in light of that, wouldn't you want to Q. explore at the inspection, for instance, why the death 11 was unexpected and whether an explanation had been 12 13 obtained? 14 The role of the inspection is not to look at Α. specific -- specific incidents. It's to ensure that 15 16 there is from a regulatory perspective, there is 17 a process in place to ensure incidents like this are identified, reported, identified, investigated and 18 19 lessons learnt. 20 So individual examples of incidents would not be 21 pursued. But, however as part of the inspection, 22 evidence would be requested to ensure that that 23 mortality and morbidity process was being followed. So as part of the inspection and certainly in my inspection 24 25 report I do mention that I reviewed three incident 33 1 discussion and exploration to test the system of 2 reporting, to test the system of categorisation? 3 Α. And the process was tested, like I say, I did 4 -- we talked about incidents with numerous members of 5 staff about incident reporting, about what would be 6 reported, how it would be reported, how things were 7 investigated, how lessons were learnt. And as part of that process, I reviewed three 8 9 incident reports. I can't tell you which ones they were because that's part of the information that I have not 10 been privy to. But so it was tested, the process was 11 tested. I just can't tell you which specific incidents 12 13 it was. 14 Q. You say the process was tested. How was it 15 tested? 16 I would, I requested the reviews so I would Α. look -- looked at the review reports to ensure that the 17 mortality and morbidity process was being followed, that 18 the appropriate information was included in the report, 19 if there were any lessons learnt, what the actions were, 20 and how they were disseminated to staff, where they 21

- 22 would be discussed, whether that be at governance
- 23 meetings as well as Mortality and Morbidity Meetings and
- 24 what -- what the progress was with the actions as
- 25 a result.

1 reviews to ensure the process was taking place so that

- 2 how -- to identify how the Trust assured themselves that
- they were investigating incidents and learning lessons 3
- and how that information was disseminated. 4
- 5 Q. Part of the regulatory function and
- 6 considering, for instance, whether incidents are
- 7 properly reported and lessons learnt would involve,
- wouldn't it, considering whether incidents are properly 8
- 9 categorised?
- 10 Α. Yes
- 11 Q. If on reading a table you saw an entry involving an unexpected death without an explanation, 12
- that would be a very pertinent -- that would be marked 13
- "no harm", that would be a pertinent example to test the 14
- processes in place for reporting and learning lessons? 15
- 16 Yes. But like I said, I -- my report states Α.
- 17 that I looked at three incident reviews, I can't say
- which incidents they were but that to ensure that the 18
- 19 process was being followed.
- 20 Well, paragraph 49 of your statement deals Q. 21 with the entry in the table concerning Child E and
- 22 although not set out in your statement, the entry in the
- 23 table, it's line 200, also lists the death as an
- 24 unexpected one, albeit marked green for "no harm".
- 25 Again a similar guestion: wouldn't that warrant 34

1 Q. How did you test the categorisation of 2 incidents within Children and Young People's Services? 3 Α. Through discussion with staff so I would ask, 4 I asked staff as a matter of course in an inspection: 5 what would you report? How would you report it? What 6 type of incidents would you report? Where would you 7 find the policy? Do you know what the policy says? 8 Could you access it? Often I would ask individual members of staff what 9 was the last incident you did report, did you have 10 11 feedback, were there any lessons learnt? How are lessons learnt shared? And where are they discussed? 12 13 Q. Please can we have up on screen INQ0017411. 14 The section of your statement dealing with this is at paragraphs 59 to 62. What we have on screen is an email 15 from Alison Kelly at the Countess of Chester Hospital to 16 17 Ann Ford. Ann Ford is another CQC inspector, isn't she? 18 No, she's actually -- she was actually the Α. head of hospital inspection at the time of this 19 20 inspection. 21 Forgive me, she's a CQC employee rather than Q. a specialist adviser? 22 23 Α. Yes. 24 Q. And this is an email that you address in your

- 25
  - statement. If we look under the heading "Context", you 36

1	will see that what Alison Kelly writes is:
2	"The Trust has identified an increase in the number
3	of deaths of newborn babies differing levels of
4	prematurity on our neonatal unit in 2015 to 16 and now
5	in 2016 to 17 compared to previous years.
6	"An in-depth thematic medical review of the
7	individual cases was undertaken internally followed by
8	a subsequent peer review by a Consultant from Liverpool
9	Women's Trust. However the reviews have failed to
10	identify any cause or common theme for this increase.
11	These reviews were submitted as part of our recent CQC
12	inspection data pack."
13	Now, the first point to make is in terms of the
14	date of that email it is 30 June, so that is the day
15	after the CQC inspection report had been published?
16	A. Yes.
17	<b>Q.</b> The final line of the paragraph I read, that
18	is in parentheses, that suggests that two reviews were
19	shared with the CQC and you have been given access to
20	those reviews for the purposes of preparing your
21	statement, haven't you?
22	A. Could you clarify which reviews you mean?
23	<b>Q.</b> Yes, it is the Dr Brigham report from 2015?
24	A. Yes.
25	<b>Q.</b> November 2015, forgive me. And the Thematic
	37
1	"In response to a perceived increase in number of
1 2	"In response to a perceived increase in number of stillbirths and neonatal deaths at the Countess of
2	stillbirths and neonatal deaths at the Countess of
2 3	stillbirths and neonatal deaths at the Countess of Chester Hospital in 2015 it was decided to set up
2 3 4	stillbirths and neonatal deaths at the Countess of Chester Hospital in 2015 it was decided to set up a panel to independently review all of these cases
2 3 4 5	stillbirths and neonatal deaths at the Countess of Chester Hospital in 2015 it was decided to set up a panel to independently review all of these cases again."
2 3 4 5 6	stillbirths and neonatal deaths at the Countess of Chester Hospital in 2015 it was decided to set up a panel to independently review all of these cases again." The February 2016 Thematic Review starts by saying:
2 3 4 5 6 7	stillbirths and neonatal deaths at the Countess of Chester Hospital in 2015 it was decided to set up a panel to independently review all of these cases again." The February 2016 Thematic Review starts by saying: "There was a higher than expected mortality rate on
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	stillbirths and neonatal deaths at the Countess of Chester Hospital in 2015 it was decided to set up a panel to independently review all of these cases again." The February 2016 Thematic Review starts by saying: "There was a higher than expected mortality rate on the neonatal unit in 2015."
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	stillbirths and neonatal deaths at the Countess of Chester Hospital in 2015 it was decided to set up a panel to independently review all of these cases again." The February 2016 Thematic Review starts by saying: "There was a higher than expected mortality rate on the neonatal unit in 2015." Now, if you had become aware of the existence of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	stillbirths and neonatal deaths at the Countess of Chester Hospital in 2015 it was decided to set up a panel to independently review all of these cases again." The February 2016 Thematic Review starts by saying: "There was a higher than expected mortality rate on the neonatal unit in 2015." Now, if you had become aware of the existence of those reviews, during your inspection, would you have
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	stillbirths and neonatal deaths at the Countess of Chester Hospital in 2015 it was decided to set up a panel to independently review all of these cases again." The February 2016 Thematic Review starts by saying: "There was a higher than expected mortality rate on the neonatal unit in 2015." Now, if you had become aware of the existence of those reviews, during your inspection, would you have requested them?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	stillbirths and neonatal deaths at the Countess of Chester Hospital in 2015 it was decided to set up a panel to independently review all of these cases again." The February 2016 Thematic Review starts by saying: "There was a higher than expected mortality rate on the neonatal unit in 2015." Now, if you had become aware of the existence of those reviews, during your inspection, would you have requested them? <b>A.</b> Absolutely.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	stillbirths and neonatal deaths at the Countess of Chester Hospital in 2015 it was decided to set up a panel to independently review all of these cases again." The February 2016 Thematic Review starts by saying: "There was a higher than expected mortality rate on the neonatal unit in 2015." Now, if you had become aware of the existence of those reviews, during your inspection, would you have requested them? <b>A.</b> Absolutely. <b>Q.</b> Had you received and considered those reviews
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<ul> <li>stillbirths and neonatal deaths at the Countess of</li> <li>Chester Hospital in 2015 it was decided to set up</li> <li>a panel to independently review all of these cases</li> <li>again."</li> <li>The February 2016 Thematic Review starts by saying:</li> <li>"There was a higher than expected mortality rate on</li> <li>the neonatal unit in 2015."</li> <li>Now, if you had become aware of the existence of</li> <li>those reviews, during your inspection, would you have</li> <li>requested them?</li> <li>A. Absolutely.</li> <li>Q. Had you received and considered those reviews</li> <li>and for the moment I am talking just about those two, so</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<ul> <li>stillbirths and neonatal deaths at the Countess of</li> <li>Chester Hospital in 2015 it was decided to set up</li> <li>a panel to independently review all of these cases</li> <li>again."</li> <li>The February 2016 Thematic Review starts by saying:</li> <li>"There was a higher than expected mortality rate on</li> <li>the neonatal unit in 2015."</li> <li>Now, if you had become aware of the existence of</li> <li>those reviews, during your inspection, would you have</li> <li>requested them?</li> <li>A. Absolutely.</li> <li>Q. Had you received and considered those reviews</li> <li>and for the moment I am talking just about those two, so</li> <li>the November 2015 review and the first version of the</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<ul> <li>stillbirths and neonatal deaths at the Countess of</li> <li>Chester Hospital in 2015 it was decided to set up</li> <li>a panel to independently review all of these cases</li> <li>again."</li> <li>The February 2016 Thematic Review starts by saying:</li> <li>"There was a higher than expected mortality rate on</li> <li>the neonatal unit in 2015."</li> <li>Now, if you had become aware of the existence of</li> <li>those reviews, during your inspection, would you have</li> <li>requested them?</li> <li>A. Absolutely.</li> <li>Q. Had you received and considered those reviews</li> <li>and for the moment I am talking just about those two, so</li> <li>the November 2015 review and the first version of the</li> <li>Thematic Review dated February 2016, how would that have</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<ul> <li>stillbirths and neonatal deaths at the Countess of</li> <li>Chester Hospital in 2015 it was decided to set up</li> <li>a panel to independently review all of these cases</li> <li>again."</li> <li>The February 2016 Thematic Review starts by saying:</li> <li>"There was a higher than expected mortality rate on</li> <li>the neonatal unit in 2015."</li> <li>Now, if you had become aware of the existence of</li> <li>those reviews, during your inspection, would you have</li> <li>requested them?</li> <li>A. Absolutely.</li> <li>Q. Had you received and considered those reviews</li> <li>and for the moment I am talking just about those two, so</li> <li>the November 2015 review and the first version of the</li> <li>Thematic Review dated February 2016, how would that have</li> <li>affected your preparation for or conduct of the</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>stillbirths and neonatal deaths at the Countess of</li> <li>Chester Hospital in 2015 it was decided to set up</li> <li>a panel to independently review all of these cases</li> <li>again."</li> <li>The February 2016 Thematic Review starts by saying:</li> <li>"There was a higher than expected mortality rate on</li> <li>the neonatal unit in 2015."</li> <li>Now, if you had become aware of the existence of</li> <li>those reviews, during your inspection, would you have</li> <li>requested them?</li> <li>A. Absolutely.</li> <li>Q. Had you received and considered those reviews</li> <li>and for the moment I am talking just about those two, so</li> <li>the November 2015 review and the first version of the</li> <li>Thematic Review dated February 2016, how would that have</li> <li>affected your preparation for or conduct of the</li> <li>inspection?</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>stillbirths and neonatal deaths at the Countess of Chester Hospital in 2015 it was decided to set up a panel to independently review all of these cases again."</li> <li>The February 2016 Thematic Review starts by saying: "There was a higher than expected mortality rate on the neonatal unit in 2015."</li> <li>Now, if you had become aware of the existence of those reviews, during your inspection, would you have requested them?</li> <li>A. Absolutely.</li> <li>Q. Had you received and considered those reviews and for the moment I am talking just about those two, so the November 2015 review and the first version of the Thematic Review dated February 2016, how would that have affected your preparation for or conduct of the inspection?</li> <li>A. Rather than just review the mortality and</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<ul> <li>stillbirths and neonatal deaths at the Countess of</li> <li>Chester Hospital in 2015 it was decided to set up</li> <li>a panel to independently review all of these cases</li> <li>again."</li> <li>The February 2016 Thematic Review starts by saying:</li> <li>"There was a higher than expected mortality rate on</li> <li>the neonatal unit in 2015."</li> <li>Now, if you had become aware of the existence of</li> <li>those reviews, during your inspection, would you have</li> <li>requested them?</li> <li>A. Absolutely.</li> <li>Q. Had you received and considered those reviews</li> <li>and for the moment I am talking just about those two, so</li> <li>the November 2015 review and the first version of the</li> <li>Thematic Review dated February 2016, how would that have</li> <li>affected your preparation for or conduct of the</li> <li>inspection?</li> <li>A. Rather than just review the mortality and</li> <li>morbidity process and how it was being followed and how</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>stillbirths and neonatal deaths at the Countess of</li> <li>Chester Hospital in 2015 it was decided to set up</li> <li>a panel to independently review all of these cases</li> <li>again."</li> <li>The February 2016 Thematic Review starts by saying:</li> <li>"There was a higher than expected mortality rate on</li> <li>the neonatal unit in 2015."</li> <li>Now, if you had become aware of the existence of</li> <li>those reviews, during your inspection, would you have</li> <li>requested them?</li> <li>A. Absolutely.</li> <li>Q. Had you received and considered those reviews</li> <li>and for the moment I am talking just about those two, so</li> <li>the November 2015 review and the first version of the</li> <li>Thematic Review dated February 2016, how would that have</li> <li>affected your preparation for or conduct of the</li> <li>inspection?</li> <li>A. Rather than just review the mortality and</li> <li>morbidity process and how it was being followed and how</li> <li>the Trust were assuring themselves in more general</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>stillbirths and neonatal deaths at the Countess of Chester Hospital in 2015 it was decided to set up a panel to independently review all of these cases again."</li> <li>The February 2016 Thematic Review starts by saying: "There was a higher than expected mortality rate on the neonatal unit in 2015."</li> <li>Now, if you had become aware of the existence of those reviews, during your inspection, would you have requested them?</li> <li>A. Absolutely.</li> <li>Q. Had you received and considered those reviews and for the moment I am talking just about those two, so the November 2015 review and the first version of the Thematic Review dated February 2016, how would that have affected your preparation for or conduct of the inspection?</li> <li>A. Rather than just review the mortality and morbidity process and how it was being followed and how the Trust were assuring themselves in more general terms, there would have been specific questions asked</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>stillbirths and neonatal deaths at the Countess of Chester Hospital in 2015 it was decided to set up a panel to independently review all of these cases again."</li> <li>The February 2016 Thematic Review starts by saying: "There was a higher than expected mortality rate on the neonatal unit in 2015."</li> <li>Now, if you had become aware of the existence of those reviews, during your inspection, would you have requested them?</li> <li>A. Absolutely.</li> <li>Q. Had you received and considered those reviews and for the moment I am talking just about those two, so the November 2015 review and the first version of the Thematic Review dated February 2016, how would that have affected your preparation for or conduct of the inspection?</li> <li>A. Rather than just review the mortality and morbidity process and how it was being followed and how the Trust were assuring themselves in more general terms, there would have been specific questions asked about what action is being taken following</li> </ul>

39

Reviews involving Dr Subhedar from February 2016 and 1 then another version dated March 2016? 2 3 Α. Yes Now, in your statement you explain you do not 4 O. recall seeing any of those reviews? 5 6 Α. At the time of the inspection? 7 Q. Yes 8 Α. No. Q. 9 At paragraph 62 of your statement you say: 10 "I do not think I requested this review from the Trust. If it was requested by anyone else at CQC it may 11 have been one of the senior members of the CQC team." 12 13 In respect of the comment "I do not think I requested the review from the Trust", were you aware, 14 before seeing for the purposes of preparing your 15 16 statement, that those reviews had been undertaken? 17 Α. No. Q. 18 Was there any discussion of those reviews 19 during your inspection? 20 Α. No. Q. 21 Now we can, I can put -- we can take that down 22 now please, thank you. 23 I can put the reviews up if necessary but you have looked at both of them, the November 15 review starts by 24 25 stating: 38 1 Q. Paragraph 67 of your statement, the second 2 sentence, you state: 3 "I would have hoped to have been provided with the 4 information in these reviews." 5 Again I am going to ask you about the use of the 6 term "hope". Would you not expect to receive the 7 reports or at least the information contained in them? 8 If -- if a review of this nature had taken Α. place, I would have expected it. However, not all 9 Trusts are as open and transparent as you would hope 10 that they would be in the inspection process. 11 Would the fact that two internal reviews had 12 Q. 13 been carried out -- well, sorry, two reviews, one 14 internal one, involving a Consultant from another hospital, what would that tell you about the level of 15 concern about the neonatal mortality? 16 17 It would tell me that they had identified that Α. there was an increase in mortality, that it had been 18 recognised and that clinicians were looking into why 19

- 20 that was. It's -- for want of a better expression,
- 21 spikes in mortality happen within healthcare. It's
- 22 about identifying it and trying to explain or find the
- 23 reasons for it.
- 24 So that would suggest to me that they had
- 25 identified it and were investigating further. Having 40

(10) Pages 37 - 40

1	reviewed	each ca	ase indi	vidually,	they	were	also	looking
---	----------	---------	----------	-----------	------	------	------	---------

- 2 at neonatal mortality in a wider context to see if they
- 3 could identify anything, any common cause, anything that4 could be acted on.
- 5 **Q.** The second version of the Thematic Review and
- 6 we will get it up, it's INQ0003251, please. Now,
- 7 although on the first page there it has the date,
- 8 8 February, 2016, which refers to a meeting, this
- 9 version of the document is actually dated 2 March and so
- 10 that is after the planned visits at the hospital in
- 11 February, it's a day before the unplanned visit because
- 12 you went back in March, didn't you?
- 13 A. Yes, I did.
- 14 **Q.** 4 March.
- 15 It is some four months prior to the CQC report
- 16 being published --
- 17 **A.** Yes.
- 18 **Q.** -- isn't it?
- 19 If we look at page 7 of this document, please, and
- 20 it's at number 1 on that page, under the heading "Themes
- 21 identified during discussion of all cases", and this is
- 22 one change from the previous version of the report in
- 23 that you can see there:
- 24 "One sudden deterioration. Some of the babies
- 25 suddenly and unexpectedly deteriorated, but there was no 41
- 1 the focus, a focus of the unplanned visit. It would
- 2 have given an opportunity for questions to be asked.
- 3 **Q.** So a point that you have made in respect to
- 4 a number of these entries that we have looked at and in
- 5 a number of documents that we had gone to is that, well,
- 6 if you had seen that information, it would have given
- 7 you an opportunity or you would have asked more
- 8 questions, you would have focused on the issues arising.
- 9 Is the position that when you went to the
- 10 inspection you didn't focus on issues concerning
- 11 neonatal mortality, you didn't ask direct questions in
- $12 \ \ \,$  those areas, because you were unaware of the concerns
- 13 that we now know there were?
  - A. Yes.

14

- 15 Q. Now, on a related point, if we can -- we can
- 16 take that down, please -- go to another document,
- 17 INQ0103620, and it is page 7 of that document, please.
- 18 Now, this is titled "Self Assessment from PIR", it is
- 19 Provider Information Return.
- 20 So this appears to be a form filled out by the
- 21 hospital ahead of inspection where they assess
- 22 themselves and identify what they think their ranking
- 23 should be?
- 24 **A.** Yes.
  - Q. For services for children and young people,
    - 43

- 1 clear cause for the deterioration/death identified at
- 2 postmortem."
- 3 So clearly identifying there unexpected and
- 4 unexplained deaths.
- 5 Now, three questions, please: firstly, if the first
- 6 report had been provided to you, that is the 8 February
- 7 version of this report, had been provided to you prior
- 8 to or at the inspection, would you expect an update of
- 9 that same report also to be provided?
- 10 **A.** Yes.
- 11 **Q.** Even if that first report had not been
- 12 provided to you at the inspection, would you expect this
- 13 updated version of the report, particularly in light of
- 14 what is highlighted on the screen in front of you at
- 15 number 1, would you expect a reporting containing that
- 16 to be provided to you prior to the finalisation of the
- 17 report?
- 18 **A.** Yes.
- 19 **Q.** If you had received this document, post the
- 20 planned visit, but just before you returned for the
- 21 unplanned visit, what would you have done with it?
- 22 Would you have been able to conduct further
- 23 investigations as a result?
- 24 A. It would -- there would have been further
- 25 enquiries made, it would have been one of the -- one of 42
- 1 which was the sector that you were dealing with, we can 2 see it is a very positive self assessment, isn't it? 3 Α. Yes. 4 Q. It's the most positive -- well, equally with 5 maternity and gynaecology -- of all the services? 6 Α. Yes. 7 Q. Three good, two outstanding. 8 What impact would a positive self-assessment like 9 this have on your preparation for an inspection? 10 It wouldn't have any impact. Α. 11 O. Well, you have commented that if you had known 12 about some of the concerns that we have been looking at, 13 then you would have asked more direct questions about 14 those concerns.
- Does the converse apply? So where you havea service which is representing itself as "good" and
- 17 "outstanding", does that give a level of reassurance or
- 18 mean that you don't have to focus questions so much on19 any troubled areas?
- 20 A. No, because the -- the inspection and the core
- 21 service frameworks which we followed and the key lines
- 22 of enquiry, you would look at every area equally and
- 23 follow the evidence.
- 24 So prior to inspection, if there was anything that
- 25 you particularly wanted to look at you would identify 44

1	it, but as part of the inspection process, all areas
2	would be looked at.
3	If a Trust thought that they were outstanding in
4	caring, that wouldn't mean that you wouldn't look at
5	caring. You would look at it equally regardless of how
6	they rated themselves.
7	<b>Q.</b> We can take that down. If we look please now
8	at document INQ0101422. This is a document created by
9	the CQC. It is labelled "Pre-inspection document".
10	What is the purpose of this document?
11	<b>A.</b> It's to bring together evidence that by the
12	CQC analysts evidence that is available to support the
13	inspection.
14	<b>Q.</b> So I have seen references in the evidence to
15	a data pack. Is this the data pack?
16	A. This and the intelligence document, the
17	subsequent intelligence document that was used in the
18	presentation.
19	Q. Is this a document which would be provided to
20	the Specialist Advisers?
21	A. To my recollection, yes.
22	<b>Q.</b> And if we look, please, at page 5 of this
23	document we have here a summary of analysis. So this
24	will be based on the Provider Information Returns and
25	the other documents available to the CQC? 45
1	NHS Acute Hospitals". Are you familiar with this
1 2	NHS Acute Hospitals". Are you familiar with this document?
2	document?
2 3	document? A. Yes.
2 3 4	document? <b>A.</b> Yes. <b>Q.</b> And it appears to act as a guide for the
2 3 4 5	document? A. Yes. Q. And it appears to act as a guide for the issues to be considered for the purposes of a Children
2 3 4 5 6	document? A. Yes. Q. And it appears to act as a guide for the issues to be considered for the purposes of a Children and Young People's Services inspection?
2 3 4 5 6 7	<ul> <li>document?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And it appears to act as a guide for the issues to be considered for the purposes of a Children and Young People's Services inspection?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	document? A. Yes. Q. And it appears to act as a guide for the issues to be considered for the purposes of a Children and Young People's Services inspection? A. Yes. Q. In particular for preparing the report?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	<ul> <li>document?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And it appears to act as a guide for the issues to be considered for the purposes of a Children and Young People's Services inspection?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. In particular for preparing the report?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. If we look, please, at page 10, in the second half of the page, the box titled "Safe".</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	<ul> <li>document?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And it appears to act as a guide for the issues to be considered for the purposes of a Children and Young People's Services inspection?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. In particular for preparing the report?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. If we look, please, at page 10, in the second</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	<ul> <li>document?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And it appears to act as a guide for the issues to be considered for the purposes of a Children and Young People's Services inspection?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. In particular for preparing the report?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. If we look, please, at page 10, in the second half of the page, the box titled "Safe".</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	<ul> <li>document?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And it appears to act as a guide for the issues to be considered for the purposes of a Children and Young People's Services inspection?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. In particular for preparing the report?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. If we look, please, at page 10, in the second half of the page, the box titled "Safe".</li> <li>To clarify, "safe" is one of the categories against which you would be inspecting a hospital and grading it?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<ul> <li>document?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And it appears to act as a guide for the issues to be considered for the purposes of a Children and Young People's Services inspection?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. In particular for preparing the report?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. If we look, please, at page 10, in the second half of the page, the box titled "Safe". To clarify, "safe" is one of the categories against which you would be inspecting a hospital and grading it?</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<ul> <li>document?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And it appears to act as a guide for the issues to be considered for the purposes of a Children and Young People's Services inspection?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. In particular for preparing the report?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. If we look, please, at page 10, in the second half of the page, the box titled "Safe".</li> <li>To clarify, "safe" is one of the categories against which you would be inspecting a hospital and grading it?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. In the first box under the heading it describes what safe means:</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<ul> <li>document?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And it appears to act as a guide for the issues to be considered for the purposes of a Children and Young People's Services inspection?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. In particular for preparing the report?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. If we look, please, at page 10, in the second half of the page, the box titled "Safe".</li> <li>To clarify, "safe" is one of the categories against which you would be inspecting a hospital and grading it?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. In the first box under the heading it</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	document?         A. Yes.         Q. And it appears to act as a guide for the issues to be considered for the purposes of a Children and Young People's Services inspection?         A. Yes.         Q. In particular for preparing the report?         A. Yes.         Q. If we look, please, at page 10, in the second         half of the page, the box titled "Safe".         To clarify, "safe" is one of the categories against         which you would be inspecting a hospital and grading it?         A. Yes.         Q. In the first box under the heading it         describes what safe means:         "By 'safe' we mean people be protected from abuse and avoidable harm."
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<ul> <li>document?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And it appears to act as a guide for the issues to be considered for the purposes of a Children and Young People's Services inspection?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. In particular for preparing the report?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. If we look, please, at page 10, in the second half of the page, the box titled "Safe".</li> <li>To clarify, "safe" is one of the categories against which you would be inspecting a hospital and grading it?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. In the first box under the heading it describes what safe means:</li> <li>"By 'safe' we mean people be protected from abuse and avoidable harm."</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<ul> <li>document?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And it appears to act as a guide for the issues to be considered for the purposes of a Children and Young People's Services inspection?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. In particular for preparing the report?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. If we look, please, at page 10, in the second half of the page, the box titled "Safe".</li> <li>To clarify, "safe" is one of the categories against which you would be inspecting a hospital and grading it?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. In the first box under the heading it describes what safe means:</li> <li>"By 'safe' we mean people be protected from abuse and avoidable harm."</li> <li>The box underneath that requires further investigation:</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>document?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And it appears to act as a guide for the issues to be considered for the purposes of a Children and Young People's Services inspection?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. In particular for preparing the report?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. If we look, please, at page 10, in the second half of the page, the box titled "Safe".</li> <li>To clarify, "safe" is one of the categories against which you would be inspecting a hospital and grading it?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. In the first box under the heading it describes what safe means:</li> <li>"By 'safe' we mean people be protected from abuse and avoidable harm."</li> <li>The box underneath that requires further investigation:</li> <li>"Never Events involving children and young people</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>document?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And it appears to act as a guide for the issues to be considered for the purposes of a Children and Young People's Services inspection?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. In particular for preparing the report?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. If we look, please, at page 10, in the second half of the page, the box titled "Safe".</li> <li>To clarify, "safe" is one of the categories against which you would be inspecting a hospital and grading it?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. In the first box under the heading it describes what safe means:</li> <li>"By 'safe' we mean people be protected from abuse and avoidable harm."</li> <li>The box underneath that requires further investigation:</li> <li>"Never Events involving children and young people</li> <li>Serious Incidents involving children and young people</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	document? A. Yes. Q. And it appears to act as a guide for the issues to be considered for the purposes of a Children and Young People's Services inspection? A. Yes. Q. In particular for preparing the report? A. Yes. Q. If we look, please, at page 10, in the second half of the page, the box titled "Safe". To clarify, "safe" is one of the categories against which you would be inspecting a hospital and grading it? A. Yes. Q. In the first box under the heading it describes what safe means: "By 'safe' we mean people be protected from abuse and avoidable harm." The box underneath that requires further investigation: "Never Events involving children and young people Serious Incidents involving children and young people reports to NRLS re: moderate and above incidents."
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	<ul> <li>document?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And it appears to act as a guide for the issues to be considered for the purposes of a Children and Young People's Services inspection?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. In particular for preparing the report?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. If we look, please, at page 10, in the second half of the page, the box titled "Safe".</li> <li>To clarify, "safe" is one of the categories against which you would be inspecting a hospital and grading it?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. In the first box under the heading it describes what safe means:</li> <li>"By 'safe' we mean people be protected from abuse and avoidable harm."</li> <li>The box underneath that requires further investigation:</li> <li>"Never Events involving children and young people Serious Incidents involving children and young people reports to NRLS re: moderate and above incidents."</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	document? A. Yes. Q. And it appears to act as a guide for the issues to be considered for the purposes of a Children and Young People's Services inspection? A. Yes. Q. In particular for preparing the report? A. Yes. Q. If we look, please, at page 10, in the second half of the page, the box titled "Safe". To clarify, "safe" is one of the categories against which you would be inspecting a hospital and grading it? A. Yes. Q. In the first box under the heading it describes what safe means: "By 'safe' we mean people be protected from abuse and avoidable harm." The box underneath that requires further investigation: "Never Events involving children and young people Serious Incidents involving children and young people reports to NRLS re: moderate and above incidents."

Available to the CQC analysts, yes. 1 Α. 2 Q. Whilst there are other versions in the documents provided by the CQC of this, it doesn't appear 3 that this analysis was ever updated. Have you seen 4 another version of this document with this 5 6 information --7 Α. The inspection plan has actually got a differing date for the no Never Events and Serious 8 Incidents -- sorry, the intelligence pack. 9 10 Because we see there the first entry "No Never Q. Events or Serious Incidents have been reported by the 11 Trust between November 14 and October 15." 12 Well, you know from looking at that entry on the 13 STEIS report that that's not correct, is it? 14 Now -- now, yes. 15 Α. 16 There's nothing in this document about reports Q. 17 to the National Reporting and Learning System, is there? Α. 18 No. 19 Q. We will look at one more document before the 20 break, please. We can take that down now. It is INQ-sorry, ready? -- INQ0106785. 21 22 And if we go, please, to page 7. This is titled 23 "Inspection framework". We didn't need to zoom in on 24 that, we don't need to zoom in. It's page 7. This is titled "Inspection Framework 25 46 1 that you need to look at in order to make a determination, an assessment of the safety of 2 3 a service? 4 Α. Yes. 5 Q. And if we look at page 11, please, the next page, under the heading "Incidents", and relevant to S2, 6 7 "What is the track record on safety?" 8 The first bullet point: "What is the safety performance over time based on 9 internal and external information?" 10 11 So looking at safety performance, you would want to look at outcomes and you would want to look at outcomes 12 13 over a period of time to see how a service is 14 performing? 15 Α. Yes. Q. 16 Bullet point 2: 17 "How does safety performance compare to other similar services?" 18 So you would want to carry out a comparison with 19 20 like services? 21 Yes. Α. 22 Q. 3.

- 23 "Do staff understand their responsibilities to
- 24 raise concerns, to record safety incidents, concerns and
- 25 near misses and to report them internally and

1	externally?"							
2	Now, the reference to reporting externally safety							
3	incidents, that would be reporting externally to whom?							
4	A. NRLS, STEIS. At the time the Clinical							
5	Commissioning Group; those sorts of people.							
6	<b>Q.</b> Now, in respect of those first two entries:							
7	"Safety performance over time, how does safety performance compare?"							
8								
9	Did you obtain evidence as to the safety							
10	performance over time or the comparison with like units?							
11	<b>A.</b> That was the intelligence that the CQC							
12	analysts provided.							
13	<b>Q.</b> At page 19 of this document, please, under the							
14	heading "Effective":							
15	"Requires further investigation"							
16	Sorry, two boxes down, in bullet points "NRLS							
17	incident" and that is not restricted, is it, it doesn't							
18	say "only moderate"? That has an NRLS incident and then							
19	secondly STEIS Serious Incident, STEIS, Never Events.							
20	So in order to assess in the "effective" category							
21	this document is suggesting that you look at the entries							
22	on those reporting systems or you obtain evidence of the							
23	entries?							
24	<b>A.</b> But all those incidents that would be going to							
25	NRLS and STEIS would be part of the multiple incidents 49							
	49							
1	(11.40 am)							
1 2	(11.40 am) LADY JUSTICE THIRLWALL: Mr Carr.							
	LADY JUSTICE THIRLWALL: Mr Carr. MR CARR: Thank you. I asked you about the							
2	LADY JUSTICE THIRLWALL: Mr Carr.							
2 3	LADY JUSTICE THIRLWALL: Mr Carr. MR CARR: Thank you. I asked you about the							
2 3 4	LADY JUSTICE THIRLWALL: Mr Carr. MR CARR: Thank you. I asked you about the document dated December 2015, the pre-inspection document and I took you to what you said about Never Events being reported and you mentioned that there							
2 3 4 5	LADY JUSTICE THIRLWALL: Mr Carr. MR CARR: Thank you. I asked you about the document dated December 2015, the pre-inspection document and I took you to what you said about Never Events being reported and you mentioned that there had been an update from the December document to the							
2 3 4 5 6	LADY JUSTICE THIRLWALL: Mr Carr. MR CARR: Thank you. I asked you about the document dated December 2015, the pre-inspection document and I took you to what you said about Never Events being reported and you mentioned that there had been an update from the December document to the intelligence briefing. I just want to get that							
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	LADY JUSTICE THIRLWALL: Mr Carr. MR CARR: Thank you. I asked you about the document dated December 2015, the pre-inspection document and I took you to what you said about Never Events being reported and you mentioned that there had been an update from the December document to the intelligence briefing. I just want to get that intelligence briefing back up, it's INQ0103620.							
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	LADY JUSTICE THIRLWALL: Mr Carr. MR CARR: Thank you. I asked you about the document dated December 2015, the pre-inspection document and I took you to what you said about Never Events being reported and you mentioned that there had been an update from the December document to the intelligence briefing. I just want to get that intelligence briefing back up, it's INQ0103620. So that we can understand the purpose of this							
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	LADY JUSTICE THIRLWALL: Mr Carr. MR CARR: Thank you. I asked you about the document dated December 2015, the pre-inspection document and I took you to what you said about Never Events being reported and you mentioned that there had been an update from the December document to the intelligence briefing. I just want to get that intelligence briefing back up, it's INQ0103620. So that we can understand the purpose of this document, it appears to be a PowerPoint presentation							
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	LADY JUSTICE THIRLWALL: Mr Carr. MR CARR: Thank you. I asked you about the document dated December 2015, the pre-inspection document and I took you to what you said about Never Events being reported and you mentioned that there had been an update from the December document to the intelligence briefing. I just want to get that intelligence briefing back up, it's INQ0103620. So that we can understand the purpose of this							
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	LADY JUSTICE THIRLWALL: Mr Carr. MR CARR: Thank you. I asked you about the document dated December 2015, the pre-inspection document and I took you to what you said about Never Events being reported and you mentioned that there had been an update from the December document to the intelligence briefing. I just want to get that intelligence briefing back up, it's INQ0103620. So that we can understand the purpose of this document, it appears to be a PowerPoint presentation							
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	LADY JUSTICE THIRLWALL: Mr Carr. MR CARR: Thank you. I asked you about the document dated December 2015, the pre-inspection document and I took you to what you said about Never Events being reported and you mentioned that there had been an update from the December document to the intelligence briefing. I just want to get that intelligence briefing back up, it's INQ0103620. So that we can understand the purpose of this document, it appears to be a PowerPoint presentation for, a presentation on 16 February, the first day of the							
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	LADY JUSTICE THIRLWALL: Mr Carr. MR CARR: Thank you. I asked you about the document dated December 2015, the pre-inspection document and I took you to what you said about Never Events being reported and you mentioned that there had been an update from the December document to the intelligence briefing. I just want to get that intelligence briefing back up, it's INQ0103620. So that we can understand the purpose of this document, it appears to be a PowerPoint presentation for, a presentation on 16 February, the first day of the inspection visit? A. Yes. Q. It would be a presentation to who?							
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	LADY JUSTICE THIRLWALL: Mr Carr. MR CARR: Thank you. I asked you about the document dated December 2015, the pre-inspection document and I took you to what you said about Never Events being reported and you mentioned that there had been an update from the December document to the intelligence briefing. I just want to get that intelligence briefing back up, it's INQ0103620. So that we can understand the purpose of this document, it appears to be a PowerPoint presentation for, a presentation on 16 February, the first day of the inspection visit? A. Yes. Q. It would be a presentation to who? A. To all the inspection teams.							
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<ul> <li>LADY JUSTICE THIRLWALL: Mr Carr.</li> <li>MR CARR: Thank you. I asked you about the document dated December 2015, the pre-inspection document and I took you to what you said about</li> <li>Never Events being reported and you mentioned that there had been an update from the December document to the intelligence briefing. I just want to get that intelligence briefing back up, it's INQ0103620. So that we can understand the purpose of this document, it appears to be a PowerPoint presentation for, a presentation on 16 February, the first day of the inspection visit?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. It would be a presentation to who?</li> <li>A. To all the inspection teams.</li> <li>Q. And so the Specialist Advisers would see this?</li> </ul>							
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	LADY JUSTICE THIRLWALL: Mr Carr. MR CARR: Thank you. I asked you about the document dated December 2015, the pre-inspection document and I took you to what you said about Never Events being reported and you mentioned that there had been an update from the December document to the intelligence briefing. I just want to get that intelligence briefing back up, it's INQ0103620. So that we can understand the purpose of this document, it appears to be a PowerPoint presentation for, a presentation on 16 February, the first day of the inspection visit? A. Yes. Q. It would be a presentation to who? A. To all the inspection teams. Q. And so the Specialist Advisers would see this? A. Yes.							
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<ul> <li>LADY JUSTICE THIRLWALL: Mr Carr.</li> <li>MR CARR: Thank you. I asked you about the document dated December 2015, the pre-inspection document and I took you to what you said about</li> <li>Never Events being reported and you mentioned that there had been an update from the December document to the intelligence briefing. I just want to get that intelligence briefing back up, it's INQ0103620. So that we can understand the purpose of this document, it appears to be a PowerPoint presentation for, a presentation on 16 February, the first day of the inspection visit?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. It would be a presentation to who?</li> <li>A. To all the inspection teams.</li> <li>Q. And so the Specialist Advisers would see this?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Would they be given a copy of it as well or</li> </ul>							
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	LADY JUSTICE THIRLWALL: Mr Carr. MR CARR: Thank you. I asked you about the document dated December 2015, the pre-inspection document and I took you to what you said about Never Events being reported and you mentioned that there had been an update from the December document to the intelligence briefing. I just want to get that intelligence briefing back up, it's INQ0103620. So that we can understand the purpose of this document, it appears to be a PowerPoint presentation for, a presentation on 16 February, the first day of the inspection visit? A. Yes. Q. It would be a presentation to who? A. To all the inspection teams. Q. And so the Specialist Advisers would see this? A. Yes.							
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	LADY JUSTICE THIRLWALL: Mr Carr. MR CARR: Thank you. I asked you about the document dated December 2015, the pre-inspection document and I took you to what you said about Never Events being reported and you mentioned that there had been an update from the December document to the intelligence briefing. I just want to get that intelligence briefing back up, it's INQ0103620. So that we can understand the purpose of this document, it appears to be a PowerPoint presentation for, a presentation on 16 February, the first day of the inspection visit? A. Yes. Q. It would be a presentation to who? A. To all the inspection teams. Q. And so the Specialist Advisers would see this? A. Yes. Q. Would they be given a copy of it as well or would it just be on the screen? A. I don't know, to be honest.							
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	LADY JUSTICE THIRLWALL: Mr Carr. MR CARR: Thank you. I asked you about the document dated December 2015, the pre-inspection document and I took you to what you said about Never Events being reported and you mentioned that there had been an update from the December document to the intelligence briefing. I just want to get that intelligence briefing back up, it's INQ0103620. So that we can understand the purpose of this document, it appears to be a PowerPoint presentation for, a presentation on 16 February, the first day of the inspection visit? A. Yes. Q. It would be a presentation to who? A. To all the inspection teams. Q. And so the Specialist Advisers would see this? A. Yes. Q. Would they be given a copy of it as well or would it just be on the screen? A. I don't know, to be honest. Q. If we go to the section dealing with Children							
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>LADY JUSTICE THIRLWALL: Mr Carr.</li> <li>MR CARR: Thank you. I asked you about the document dated December 2015, the pre-inspection document and I took you to what you said about</li> <li>Never Events being reported and you mentioned that there had been an update from the December document to the intelligence briefing. I just want to get that intelligence briefing back up, it's INQ0103620.</li> <li>So that we can understand the purpose of this document, it appears to be a PowerPoint presentation for, a presentation on 16 February, the first day of the inspection visit?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. It would be a presentation to who?</li> <li>A. To all the inspection teams.</li> <li>Q. And so the Specialist Advisers would see this?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Would they be given a copy of it as well or would it just be on the screen?</li> <li>A. I don't know, to be honest.</li> <li>Q. If we go to the section dealing with Children and Young People's Services the summary of intelligence</li> </ul>							
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	LADY JUSTICE THIRLWALL: Mr Carr. MR CARR: Thank you. I asked you about the document dated December 2015, the pre-inspection document and I took you to what you said about Never Events being reported and you mentioned that there had been an update from the December document to the intelligence briefing. I just want to get that intelligence briefing back up, it's INQ0103620. So that we can understand the purpose of this document, it appears to be a PowerPoint presentation for, a presentation on 16 February, the first day of the inspection visit? A. Yes. Q. It would be a presentation to who? A. To all the inspection teams. Q. And so the Specialist Advisers would see this? A. Yes. Q. Would they be given a copy of it as well or would it just be on the screen? A. I don't know, to be honest. Q. If we go to the section dealing with Children							

that were reviewed as part of the spreadsheet. 1 2 So they would be on the incident spreadsheet, they would then be reported -- those that required reporting 3 would then be reported up to NRLS or STEIS. 4 So they should have already been part of the 5 6 incident spreadsheet. 7 Q. Yes, you reviewed the incident spreadsheet. It doesn't identify does it whether any of the entries 8 were reported to NRLS or STEIS? 9 10 Α. Not that I am aware of,, that I can remember. 11 When I was asking you earlier about the STEIS Q. report in respect of Child D, your recollection was that 12 you were unaware that there had been a report to STEIS 13 in respect of Child D? 14 Α. 15 Yes. 16 Q. You were unaware of the reports in respect of 17 several children to NRLS? Α. Yes 18 19 MR CARR: My Lady, that would be a convenient 20 moment for a break, if it pleases you? 21 LADY JUSTICE THIRLWALL: Thank you very much indeed. So we will take a break now and we will come 22 23 back in at 20 to. 24 (11.21 am) 25 (A short break) 50 1 "No Never Events or Serious Incidents reported up 2 to January 2016." So although it is right to say that the 3 4 December 2015 document was updated to January 2016 --5 Α. Yes. 6 Q. -- it still has the same omission, doesn't it? 7 Α. Yes. 8 Q. The summary of intelligence doesn't include, does it, any entries from the National Reporting and 9

- Learning System? 10
  - Α. No.

11

- Thank you. We can take that document down. 12 Q.
- There is a pre-inspection briefing pack which presumably 13
- was provided to you and Specialist Advisers which set 14
- out the key lines of inquiry to explore? 15
- 16 Α. Yes.

17 I am not going to take you to that, I am going Q.

- to move forward, please, to the inspection itself. Now, 18
- ahead of the inspection, a week before, there was 19
- 20 a listening event for patients on 9 February 2016, but
- as I understand it you didn't attend that? 21
- 22 Α. No.
- 23 Q. Did you receive any feedback of any issues
- 24 raised at that meeting?
- 25 Not that I remember. Α. 52

Q. There was a briefing inspection -- sorry, an 1 2 inspection briefing session on 10 February but again 3 I don't think you attended that? 4 Δ I can't remember, to be honest. 5 As to interactions with the Special Advisers, Q. 6 did you meet or have any discussions with the Special 7 Advisers before turning up at the hospital for the 8 inspection? 9 Α. I can't remember but my usual practice was to 10 telephone the Special Advisers just to introduce myself. That was my usual practice. I can't say for sure 11 whether this happened at the Countess of Chester. 12 13 Now, the visit, the planned visit occurred Q. 14 over three days: 16, 17, 18 February? 15 Yes, and if I am correct, possibly on the Α. 16 Friday, the -- possibly the 19th as well if -- I am 17 trying to think. That inspection week tended to be three and a half days for the CQC staff, that's 18 19 generally what happened, you would have three days with 20 the SPAs and then I am sure the CQC staff were around 21 until the Friday lunchtime. 22 Q. 16 February you did a walk through the 23 neonatal unit with Yvonne Farmer? 24 Α. Yes 25 Q. You also did a walk through the paediatric 53 1 mortality? 2 Α. Yes, discussions on neonatal mortality, 3 absolutely. In the lead, in the service leads' 4 interviews we discussed neonatal mortality. 5 I am going to take you to those notes in a few Q. 6 moments, but that was a discussion of Mortality and 7 Morbidity Meetings, there was no discussion, was there, 8 of mortality rates, the experience of mortality at the 9 hospital? 10 Α. Nothing, no, nothing like that. No discussion of incidents of unexplained and 11 Q. unexpected deaths at all, so not simply there was no 12 13 discussion of concerns; the topic of unexpected and 14 unexplained deaths was not discussed? 15 Α. No. 16 Q. None of the entries in the table of paediatric incidents that I have taken you to concerning death, 17 none of those were discussed? 18 Not specifically. 19 Α. 20 Q. And nothing on the NRLS or STEIS concerning deaths was discussed because you weren't aware of those 21 22 entries? 23 Α. No, because all the entries -- any entries to 24 NRLS and STEIS would have come from the incident table. 25 So --

unit, so the 16th was walk around the units and getting 1 2 familiar with the hospital? 3 Α. And collecting evidence as we went, so any 4 observational evidence, perhaps cleaning checklists, 5 anything -- anything that was obvious from 6 an observational perspective. 7 Q. On 17th and 18th you conducted a number of 8 interviews. Now you have described those in your statement at paragraphs 88 to 108: I count 16 interviews 9 10 in total and some interviews with were multiple members 11 of staff? 12 Α. Yes. 13 Q. Now, at paragraph 113 of your statement, 14 please, you state: 15 "I did not discuss concerns about an increase in 16 neonatal deaths with any of the interviewees." 17 Paragraph 114: "I did not discuss concerns about unexplained or 18 19 unexpected deaths with any of the interviewees." 20 Is the position that you didn't discuss unexplained 21 or unexpected deaths at all? 22 Α. We discussed mortality and morbidity in the 23 process, not specifically unexplained or unexpected 24 deaths. 25 Q. So there's no discussion of neonatal 54 1 LADY JUSTICE THIRLWALL: Sorry, would you mind just 2 saying that again because there was noise. 3 Α. Certainly. The NRLS and STEIS reports would 4 have come from the incident table. So all the incidents 5 in the table, those that were required would have then 6 gone to NRLS or STEIS. 7 MR CARR: Turning to the discussion of -- forgive 8 me, my Lady. LADY JUSTICE THIRLWALL: Yes, sorry do go ahead. 9 10 MR CARR: Thank you. Turning to the discussion of Mortality and Morbidity Meetings that you have 11 described. Can we have on screen please, INQ0017339. 12 13 Page 206. 14 These are your notes of a meeting, aren't they? 15 Α. Yes. 16 Q. And this was a large meeting as we can see in 17 that there were a number of attendees, we can see in the middle of the page the box for attendees, we have 18 Dr Brearey, Dr Jayaram, Anne Murphy, Sarah Jackson, 19 20 Gill Mort, Karen Townsend, Karen Rees and Eirian Powell? 21 Α. Yes 22 Q. This was a meeting I think you described it as 23 a team leads meeting? 24 Α. A service leads meeting, a core service leads 25 meeting.

56

55

(14) Pages 53 - 56

Q. All three of the -- or rather you as the 1 2 inspector and the two Specialist Advisers all attended 3 this meeting? 4 Α. Yes. 5 The discussion that you have referred to, Q. 6 which was of Mortality and Morbidity Meetings rather 7 than neonatal mortality, we see your notes of it at 8 page 207. It is the bottom third of the page, I know 9 you have translated this in your witness statement, it's 10 difficult to read. 11 Can you help us with that section? Certainly. So Mortality Morbidity Meetings we 12 Α. discussed, there was five from the neonatal unit last 13 year, four this year, with obstetricians and midwives. 14 Neonatal mortality, two last year depending on the 15 16 cases to be discussed. Two paediatric mortality 17 meetings numbers were fairly small. Majority were teenage suicides for the last meeting. Awaiting an 18 19 action plan from a serious case review, cases were 20 reviewed by the Cheshire and Merseyside Neonatal Network 21 and peer review and monthly governance meetings, 22 paediatric, neonates, obstetricians, gynaecology and 23 governance board. 24 Q. If we can zoom out, there is a note on the 25 right in the margin of that box to the bottom right 57 1 Q. You make the point and we can take that down 2 you make the point -- thank you -- at your statement 3 paragraph 93, that when describing that section of the 4 notes I just took you to you say: 5 "I would like to be clear again here that there was 6 no mention of an increase in deaths. If such 7 an increase had been raised this would have been 8 recorded in my notes and further enquiries would have 9 been raised." The point you are making is when looking at those 10 notes, the discussion is about meetings rather than 11 12 about mortality rates? 13 Α. Yes. I'm sorry, can you tell me what 14 paragraph you are referring to again? Forgive me, it is paragraph 93 of your 15 Q. statement, which is at page 16. If you look at 16 17 paragraph 93 and go four lines down. 18 Yes. So it wasn't specifically deaths, it was Α. about the process of reviewing mortality and morbidity. 19 20 Q. Yes, the point emerges here and it emerges in other parts of your statement that I have already taken 21 22 you to is that at no point during your visit were you 23 told about any increase in neonatal mortality; that is 24 your recollection, isn't it? 25 Yes, that is right. Α. 59

1 text. 2 Yes. Can you tell us what that says? The leads told us that the neonatal meetings 3 Α. hadn't happened as frequently as they would have liked 4 but they were back -- back on track now. 5 6 Q. Thank you. If we can go back to the main box 7 then and zoom in and just try to understand this. We can zoom in. The "times 5 from NNU last year, time 4 8 this year", so that's referring to Mortality and 9 10 Morbidity Meetings? 11 For the Perinatal Mortality Meetings so with Α. the obstetricians and the gynaecologists --12 obstetricians and the midwives, I beg your pardon. Then 13 neonatal mortality was separate and they were two from 14 last year. 15 16 So your understanding was that there had been Q. 17 two meetings last year and the numbers of meetings were fairly small? 18 19 Α. For the neonatal unit. 20 Q. Did you enquire as to how many cases were 21 being discussed at these meetings? 22 Α. No. 23 Q. Did you ask any questions as to the themes 24 emerging from these meetings? 25 Α. No, I don't think we did here. 58 1 Q. You weren't told about any concerns about 2 an increase in neonatal mortality? 3 Α. No. 4 Q. You weren't told about any concerns about 5 incidents of unexpected and unexplained deaths? 6 Α. No 7 Q. You weren't told about any concerns as to 8 a correlation between those incidents and a member of staff? 9 10 Α. No. 11 O. Concerns about potential deliberate harm by a member of staff? 12 13 Α. No. 14 Q. Did you ask any of the interviewees questions 15 directly related to neonatal mortality? 16 Only insofar as neonatal mortality and Α. 17 morbidity in the process, as far as I can remember. 18 Q. So is the answer to the question no? 19 Α. No. 20 Q. Was -- particularly we have looked at the

21 guidance to key lines of inquiry, to report writing, the

22 focus on safety performance. Wasn't discussion about an

- 23 outcome like mortality, wasn't that important to discuss
- 24 in order to assess the safety of the unit?
- 25 **A.** I think by discussing the process and how the 60

4

Trust assured themselves that they were assured was part 1 2 of that, about that safety process. How they 3 investigated, reported, investigated, reviewed and 4 assured themselves that mortality and neonatal morbidity 5 was being reviewed, investigated as appropriate. 6 Q. We know that there were concerns on the unit 7 about the increase in mortality. I have already asked 8 you questions about the Thematic Review and the internal 9 review. 10 Did you or your team ask any questions that you consider should have elicited that information? 11 12 Α. I think discussing neonatal mortality and 13 morbidity would -- should have elicited some information about if there were any concerns or any increase. That 14 would have been the opportunity for that to be raised. 15 16 If I understand your answer correctly I think Q. 17 what you are saying is: well, we were having a discussion about neonatal mortality within the context 18 19 of meetings and so the Thematic Reviews being relevant 20 to that, it should have been volunteered at that stage? 21 Α. It wasn't just about meetings, though; it was 22 about the whole mortality morbidity process. So it was 23 about how, how they approached neonatal mortality morbidity. So although meetings were mentioned and the 24 25 number of meetings, that would have been the opportunity 61 1 Α. On some occasions. You have made the point in respect of 2 Q. 3 documents you would expect to see and I asked you 4 earlier about information relating to increases in 5 neonatal mortality and unexpected and unexplained 6 deaths. In your statement you said you had hoped to see 7 it and when I asked you questions about it, I think to 8 summarise your evidence, the position was: well, you 9 would expect to see it, but some hospitals don't send 10 it? 11 Α. (Nods) 12 Q. In those circumstances, and given the 13 reluctance that can sometimes occur, wouldn't you ask, 14 for instance, open questions to interviewees that might give them an opportunity or encourage them to volunteer 15 information such as: is there anything causing you 16 17 concern at the moment? 18 Α. Yes. 19 Did you ask that question? Q. 20 Α. I think in, in -- from my -- from my recollection in the interviews I -- I used to conduct 21 with staff, I would very much, I would ask: is there 22 23 anything you're particularly proud of, is there anything

- 24 you could do better? Is there any -- and I always used
- 25 to finish the interviews with: is there anything else

63

- 1 to -- to advise that there was -- there were concerns,
- 2 there had been an increase, that there was a Thematic
- 3 Review had taken place. But that was the opportunity.
  - **Q.** Yes, that was an opportunity for it to be
- 5 volunteered, but my question is slightly different. My
- 6 question is: did you or your team put questions to the
- 7 interviewees to which you think the answer ought to have
- 8 been or should have been: we have these concerns,
- 9 there's been this Thematic Review?
- 10 A. Not -- not direct questions as far as I --
- 11 I -- from that meeting, from the statements I have read
- 12 and the notes I'm not sure if one of the Special
- Advisers actually spoke about mortality but that's fromreviewing the evidence.
- 15 Q. My question wasn't restricted only to that
- 16 interview with the team leads. My question was in
- 17 respect of your entire -- your entire period during the
- 18 inspection, so in any of the interviews.
- 19 A. My understanding is that one of the Special
- 20 Advisers discussed mortality.21 Q. Do you, or did you, once you worked at the
- 22 CQC, find that there could be a reticence or
- 23 a reluctance amongst staff who were being inspected to
- 24 volunteer difficulties or concerns that they were having
- 25 of their own volition?
  - 62

you think we should know, anything you would like to
 tell us?

- And that --but that wouldn't specifically be about
  neonatal mortality. It would be about --it could be
  about anything.
- 6 And I think that's where a lot of the concerns
- 7 regarding staffing were raised and if I remember8 rightly, one of the interviews from the paediatric
- 9 department, the information that came that occupancy --
- 10 bed occupancy rates weren't always accurate as they
- 11 could be because of how they were -- how they were
- 12 checked -- the time of day that occupancy rates were --
- 13 were assessed.
- 14 So certainly at the end of every interview, it very
- 15 much there was an opportunity for whoever we were
- 16 speaking to or I was speaking to for them to -- to --
- 17 and like I say it wouldn't necessarily be about safety.
- 18 It could be about -- about staffing, it could be
- 19 anything. It could be about facilities, it could be
- 20 about equipment, it could be about training.
- 21 There was an opportunity at the end of the
- 22 interviews for whoever we were -- I was interviewing to
- 23 tell us anything that they wanted us to know.
- 24 Q. Yes, so that catch-all question gave
- 25 an opportunity for interviewees to raise concerns?

Yes, and that was -- I -- that was a standard 1 Α. 1 2 way to -- to sort of finish an interview. 2 3 Q. Would you ask a more pointed catch-all 3 4 question such as, rather than "is there anything you 4 want us to know?", "is there anything that concerns you? 5 5 6 Is there anything you are investigating at the moment?" 6 7 Α. I certainly wouldn't have said 7 8 "investigating". I may have said "concerns". But 8 9 I would have also said "or anything you are particularly 9 10 proud of?" Because the inspection process isn't just 10 about finding things that perhaps aren't as they should 11 11 be but also it's to give a complete and accurate picture 12 12 of a Trust's performance, whether that be positive or 13 13 a negative. So it's an overall picture. 14 14 15 If we can on screen, please, INQ0017339. 15 Q. 16 Now, at paragraph 99 of your statement, you 16 17 describe your interview with Eirian Powell and we will 17 need to go forward, please, to page 200 of this 18 18 19 document. 19 20 Subparagraph (i) of paragraph 99, you say that: 20 21 "One of the points discussed was a positive 21 22 relationship with doctors." 22 23 Are you able to expand on nature of that 23 discussion? And you may want to do so by looking at 24 24 25 these notes, they are difficult to read, I think the 25 65 1 Specialist Advisers, if they do, how do they give you 1 2 their specialist advice or their views, is there 2 3 a debrief? 3 4 Α. At the end of every day on site, the whole --4 5 the whole team came together for corroboration and each 5 6 core service would feed back any sort of high level 6 7 findings that they had. But prior to that, the core 7 8 service lead on the Special Advisers would get together 8 9 to discuss what -- what their findings were because not 9 all interviews were conducted with all three members of 10 10 11 staff. We would all go and visit speak to different 11 12 12 people and come back and feed back and then at the end 13 of every day, the core service lead would collect their 13 14 note-taking templates to go through that evening. 14 15 Is it predominantly a verbal feedback session Q. 15 or do they provide written analysis or --16 16 17 Α. 17 No 18 -- written documents --Q. 18 No, it was verbal, to support what was already 19 19 Α. 20 in the note-taking templates. 20 21 Now, in the course of the preparation of the Q. 21 22 report and prior to the publication of it, there was 22 23 a meeting on 26 May 2016, an NQAG meeting which you 23 24 attended? 24 25 Α. 25 Yes. 67

relevant part might be the second half of the page. Α. Yes. And it was -- the feedback was that there was a positive relationship between the nursing staff and the Consultants, that it was a positive working relationship. And actually they also -- she also said that working -- there was a positive working relationship with maternity and obstetrics and gynaecology. Because while maternity and children and young people were different services, actually the maternity service sort of provided the patients for the neonatal unit so -- so it was -- although they were different core services, it was important that they worked well together. Q. Thank you. We can take that down. There is evidence that we will be hearing in due course about a focus group of the Consultants and I know that you weren't present at that focus group. There is some evidence to suggest that at the focus group issues were raised as to a bullying culture and an oppressive air at leadership level. In the course of your interviews and your inspection, did you hear any concerns of that nature? Α. No. Q. At the end of the inspection visit, how do the 66 Q. There was some discussion of a possible inadequate rating --Α. Yes. Q. -- in safety for Children and Young People's Services. But ultimately we can get the report up, it wasn't an inadequate rating. Can you address the concern that there was in the debate as to whether there should be an inadequate rating or not? Α. Could I have a look at the meeting minutes? O. It's INQ0017295, at page 10. The fifth column "High level ratings indicators". We see "panel" --Yes, yes. And that was about whether there Α. had been an inadequate rating considered due to the concerns found. So staffing was -- was a major consideration and the lack of advanced paediatric life support staff at night on the paediatric unit.

- 19 So that was the reason for this meeting is to
- 20 challenge the evidence, to speak with the inspector to
- 21 find if, how -- how they had got to their determination
- 22 even though they had read the report and it's, we
- 23 discussed about whether it should be "requires
- 24 improvement" or "inadequate" and my position, having
- 25 been on site was that there were significant issues,

1	particularly with staffing in both the neonatal unit and				
2	the children's and paediatric services.				
3	However, risks were mitigated, managers were aware				
4	of the risks, and were actively had actively				
5	described scenarios and experiences that they had moved				
6	to to mitigate that risk. They could describe actions				
7	that were being taken.				
8	So my view at that point was that managers we had				
9	spoken to were aware of the situation, and were actively				
10	trying to address and mitigate any risk.				
11	<b>Q.</b> So the decision was made and it's reflected in				
12	the final report, which we can now look at, that "safe"				
13	would be graded "requires improvement"?				
14	A. Yes.				
15	<b>Q.</b> The INQ reference 0017433 at page 106, please,				
16	so this is the section that you drafted, isn't it?				
17	A. Yes.				
18	<b>Q.</b> From page 106, Services for Children and Young				
19	People.				
20	"Safe" "requires improvement" but everything else				
21	ranked good?				
22	A. I'm, sorry say that again?				
23	<b>Q.</b> "Safe" has been graded "requires improvement"?				
24	A. Yes.				
25	<b>Q.</b> Everything else has been graded "good"?				
	69				
1	table. Your evidence, as I understand it, is that that				
1 2	table. Your evidence, as I understand it, is that that categorisation was tested by you looking at three				
2	categorisation was tested by you looking at three				
2 3	categorisation was tested by you looking at three particular examples				
2 3 4	categorisation was tested by you looking at three particular examples <b>A.</b> Yes.				
2 3 4 5	categorisation was tested by you looking at three particular examples A. Yes. Q and determining that their categorisations				
2 3 4 5 6	categorisation was tested by you looking at three particular examples A. Yes. Q and determining that their categorisations were correct?				
2 3 4 5 6 7	<ul> <li>categorisation was tested by you looking at three</li> <li>particular examples</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q and determining that their categorisations</li> <li>were correct?</li> <li>A. Yes, but not just the categorisation, it was</li> </ul>				
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	categorisation was tested by you looking at three particular examples A. Yes. Q and determining that their categorisations were correct? A. Yes, but not just the categorisation, it was actually the fact that the reviews were conducted and				
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	<ul> <li>categorisation was tested by you looking at three particular examples</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q and determining that their categorisations were correct?</li> <li>A. Yes, but not just the categorisation, it was actually the fact that the reviews were conducted and investigated appropriately. So it was about incident</li> </ul>				
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	<ul> <li>categorisation was tested by you looking at three particular examples</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q and determining that their categorisations were correct?</li> <li>A. Yes, but not just the categorisation, it was actually the fact that the reviews were conducted and investigated appropriately. So it was about incident reviews.</li> </ul>				
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	categorisation was tested by you looking at three particular examples A. Yes. Q and determining that their categorisations were correct? A. Yes, but not just the categorisation, it was actually the fact that the reviews were conducted and investigated appropriately. So it was about incident reviews. Q. Under the heading "Incidents" on that page on				
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	<ul> <li>categorisation was tested by you looking at three particular examples</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q and determining that their categorisations were correct?</li> <li>A. Yes, but not just the categorisation, it was actually the fact that the reviews were conducted and investigated appropriately. So it was about incident reviews.</li> <li>Q. Under the heading "Incidents" on that page on the left-hand side, second bullet point?</li> </ul>				
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<ul> <li>categorisation was tested by you looking at three particular examples</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q and determining that their categorisations were correct?</li> <li>A. Yes, but not just the categorisation, it was actually the fact that the reviews were conducted and investigated appropriately. So it was about incident reviews.</li> <li>Q. Under the heading "Incidents" on that page on the left-hand side, second bullet point?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> </ul>				
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<ul> <li>categorisation was tested by you looking at three particular examples</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q and determining that their categorisations</li> <li>were correct?</li> <li>A. Yes, but not just the categorisation, it was actually the fact that the reviews were conducted and investigated appropriately. So it was about incident reviews.</li> <li>Q. Under the heading "Incidents" on that page on the left-hand side, second bullet point?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. "No Never Events or Serious Incidents"</li> </ul>				
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<ul> <li>categorisation was tested by you looking at three particular examples</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q and determining that their categorisations were correct?</li> <li>A. Yes, but not just the categorisation, it was actually the fact that the reviews were conducted and investigated appropriately. So it was about incident reviews.</li> <li>Q. Under the heading "Incidents" on that page on the left-hand side, second bullet point?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. "No Never Events or Serious Incidents reported" well, you have seen the entry on the first</li> </ul>				
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<ul> <li>categorisation was tested by you looking at three particular examples</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q and determining that their categorisations were correct?</li> <li>A. Yes, but not just the categorisation, it was actually the fact that the reviews were conducted and investigated appropriately. So it was about incident reviews.</li> <li>Q. Under the heading "Incidents" on that page on the left-hand side, second bullet point?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. "No Never Events or Serious Incidents reported" well, you have seen the entry on the first STEIS, so that remains, doesn't it, carries over from</li> </ul>				
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<ul> <li>categorisation was tested by you looking at three particular examples</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q and determining that their categorisations</li> <li>were correct?</li> <li>A. Yes, but not just the categorisation, it was actually the fact that the reviews were conducted and investigated appropriately. So it was about incident reviews.</li> <li>Q. Under the heading "Incidents" on that page on the left-hand side, second bullet point?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. "No Never Events or Serious Incidents reported" well, you have seen the entry on the first STEIS, so that remains, doesn't it, carries over from the other CQC documents that we have seen, that remains</li> </ul>				
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>categorisation was tested by you looking at three particular examples</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q and determining that their categorisations were correct?</li> <li>A. Yes, but not just the categorisation, it was actually the fact that the reviews were conducted and investigated appropriately. So it was about incident reviews.</li> <li>Q. Under the heading "Incidents" on that page on the left-hand side, second bullet point?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. "No Never Events or Serious Incidents reported" well, you have seen the entry on the first STEIS, so that remains, doesn't it, carries over from the other CQC documents that we have seen, that remains an error?</li> </ul>				
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<ul> <li>categorisation was tested by you looking at three particular examples</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q and determining that their categorisations were correct?</li> <li>A. Yes, but not just the categorisation, it was actually the fact that the reviews were conducted and investigated appropriately. So it was about incident reviews.</li> <li>Q. Under the heading "Incidents" on that page on the left-hand side, second bullet point?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. "No Never Events or Serious Incidents reported" well, you have seen the entry on the first STEIS, so that remains, doesn't it, carries over from the other CQC documents that we have seen, that remains an error?</li> <li>A. That is the information that was provided in</li> </ul>				
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<ul> <li>categorisation was tested by you looking at three particular examples</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q and determining that their categorisations were correct?</li> <li>A. Yes, but not just the categorisation, it was actually the fact that the reviews were conducted and investigated appropriately. So it was about incident reviews.</li> <li>Q. Under the heading "Incidents" on that page on the left-hand side, second bullet point?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. "No Never Events or Serious Incidents reported" well, you have seen the entry on the first STEIS, so that remains, doesn't it, carries over from the other CQC documents that we have seen, that remains an error?</li> <li>A. That is the information that was provided in the intelligence briefing and the previous draft of</li> </ul>				
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>categorisation was tested by you looking at three particular examples</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q and determining that their categorisations were correct?</li> <li>A. Yes, but not just the categorisation, it was actually the fact that the reviews were conducted and investigated appropriately. So it was about incident reviews.</li> <li>Q. Under the heading "Incidents" on that page on the left-hand side, second bullet point?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. "No Never Events or Serious Incidents reported" well, you have seen the entry on the first STEIS, so that remains, doesn't it, carries over from the other CQC documents that we have seen, that remains an error?</li> <li>A. That is the information that was provided in the intelligence briefing and the previous draft of the the data pack.</li> </ul>				
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>categorisation was tested by you looking at three particular examples</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q and determining that their categorisations were correct?</li> <li>A. Yes, but not just the categorisation, it was actually the fact that the reviews were conducted and investigated appropriately. So it was about incident reviews.</li> <li>Q. Under the heading "Incidents" on that page on the left-hand side, second bullet point?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. "No Never Events or Serious Incidents reported" well, you have seen the entry on the first STEIS, so that remains, doesn't it, carries over from the other CQC documents that we have seen, that remains an error?</li> <li>A. That is the information that was provided in the intelligence briefing and the previous draft of the the data pack.</li> <li>Q. There is no discussion in this report, is</li> </ul>				
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>categorisation was tested by you looking at three particular examples</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q and determining that their categorisations were correct?</li> <li>A. Yes, but not just the categorisation, it was actually the fact that the reviews were conducted and investigated appropriately. So it was about incident reviews.</li> <li>Q. Under the heading "Incidents" on that page on the left-hand side, second bullet point?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. "No Never Events or Serious Incidents reported" well, you have seen the entry on the first STEIS, so that remains, doesn't it, carries over from the other CQC documents that we have seen, that remains an error?</li> <li>A. That is the information that was provided in the intelligence briefing and the previous draft of the the data pack.</li> <li>Q. There is no discussion in this report, is there, of mortality of neonatal mortality at the</li> </ul>				

quir	У	14 November 202
		<i></i>
1	Α.	Yes.
2	Q.	The overall grading as well is "good"?
3	Α.	Yes.
4	Q.	If we go forward to page 107, we can see in
5		n the right of the page, when addressing
6	,	der "requires improvement" a number of bullet
7		plaining why there was a "requires improvement"
8	• •	Again it's mainly staffing issues, isn't it?
9	Α.	Yes.
10	Q.	Do you want to summarise the basis for the
11	-	equires improvement" as set out there?
12	Α.	The paediatric staffing, the staffing on the
13	•	c unit didn't reflect our Royal College of
14		uidance and the neonatal unit didn't follow
15		sociation for Perinatal Medicine guidance for
16	-	n a number of occasions. It was a risk on the
17	-	ister and staff had told us throughout that
18	staffing w	as a concern for them.
19	Q.	If we can look, please, at page 108, left-hand
20		er the this is the second bullet point on
21		nder the term "however" there is a section
22	there abo	
23		ncidents being reported appropriately with
24		ity being 'low' or 'no harm'."
25	l ha	ve asked you many questions on that, that 70
1	a neonata	al mortality process, but not rates of neonatal
2	mortality,	I don't think.
3	Q.	We can take that document down.
4	Nov	v, moving away from the inspection and perhaps
5	a short po	pint. You were subsequently involved with the
6	Trust in 2	017 in the monitoring rather than the
7	inspection	n side
8	Α.	Yes.
9	Q.	of CQC regulation, you became for a brief
10	period the	e regulation owner?
11	Α.	Relationship owner.
12	Q.	Relationship owner, sorry, forgive me.
13	Α.	Yes.
14	Q.	You attended an engagement meeting and that
15	was in De	ecember 2017 and a management review meeting in
16	Novembe	er 2017?
17	Α.	Yes.
18	Q.	Now at that point, the CQC were aware that
19	a police ir	nvestigation was under way
20	Α.	Yes.
21	Q.	and that had been communicated by the
22	Trust?	-
~~		

- Were you aware that the police investigation was into or arose out of concerns and suspicions relating to
- a member of staff and deliberate harm by that member of

staff as at November 2017? 1 2 Α. I think possibly then, yes, I think. 3 Dealing finally, then, with some reflections. Q. 4 Dealing finally with some reflections, looking back 5 particularly on the inspection. 6 The CQC inspection did not detect the concerns that 7 we know existed at the neonatal unit. What is your 8 explanation for the failure to detect those concerns? 9 I think some of it is the -- the data, the --Α. 10 the there is always a lag with data and sources of data so I think that is -- is an issue is a consideration. 11 And I think very much the on-site inspection you can ask 12 a lot of open questions, a lot of general questions but 13 you are very much reliant on -- on people's responses. 14 15 MR CARR: Thank you, my Lady, I have no further 16 questions for this witness. 17 LADY JUSTICE THIRLWALL: Thank you. Mr Deakin, do 18 you have any questions? No, thank you. 19 Ms Cain, just one or two from me. 20 Questions by LADY JUSTICE THIRLWALL 21 LADY JUSTICE THIRLWALL: You have mentioned 22 a number of times the intelligence and that comes to you 23 from the data analysts? 24 Α. Yes 25 LADY JUSTICE THIRLWALL: Just so I have understood 73 1 Α. Again, I'm sorry, I can't answer, I don't 2 know. 3 LADY JUSTICE THIRLWALL: Do you know anything about 4 their background, what actually their qualifications 5 are, what their instructions are. 6 No, I'm sorry, I don't. I'm sorry. Δ LADY JUSTICE THIRLWALL: So you are just -- I don't 7 8 mean "just", but you are the passive recipient of what 9 they tell you? 10 Α. Yes, of the data they provide, yes. LADY JUSTICE THIRLWALL: Thank you. 11 On a second related point, we looked at a slide 12 with colours of the Countess of Chester's own 13 14 self-assessment of how they were doing and I know earlier in the evidence I think from someone working in 15 risk in the hospital, we saw a form that had been filled 16 17 in in some detail, a sort of self-assessment. 18 This is obviously a different thing. I want to know whether you know that whether the document with all 19 20 the colours on came from the Countess or again was something that was produced by the data analysts having 21 22 analysed the data from the Countess? 23 The self-assessment? Α. 24 LADY JUSTICE THIRLWALL: Yes, the one with all the 25 colours on, yes.

this: it's they who should have picked up the reports to 1 the NRLS? 2 3 A. My understanding is that they, they review, 4 yes, and they bring that data together ahead of an inspection to provide to the core service leads. 5 6 LADY JUSTICE THIRLWALL: And so the purpose of the 7 data analysts really is to save the team the effort of looking for that information, I don't mean that in 8 9 a disparaging way. 10 Α. No 11 LADY JUSTICE THIRLWALL: But that is their role? 12 Α. Yes 13 LADY JUSTICE THIRLWALL: Then they then present it 14 to you? 15 Α. Yes. 16 LADY JUSTICE THIRLWALL: But they are still part of 17 the CQC process? 18 A. Yes. 19 LADY JUSTICE THIRLWALL: What explanation is there 20 for the fact that there was no reference to the NRLS in 21 the intelligence pack? 22 Α. I'm sorry, I don't know, I can't answer, 23 I don't know what the explanation would be. LADY JUSTICE THIRLWALL: What's the process for 24 quality assuring the data analysts, do you know? 25 74 1 Α. That would have come from the Countess of 2 Chester. LADY JUSTICE THIRLWALL: In the colours? 3 4 Α. That was their self-assessment as part of the 5 Provider Information Return, as far as I am aware. LADY JUSTICE THIRLWALL: That is what you would 6 7 have assumed it was? 8 That was their self-assessment, yes. Α. LADY JUSTICE THIRLWALL: Yes, certainly, I just 9 10 wanted to make sure that it wasn't a sort of a restatement of it in a slightly different form by a data 11 12 analyst? 13 Α. No, my understanding is each Trust prior to 14 inspection rated themselves, assessed themselves against 15 the domains. 16 LADY JUSTICE THIRLWALL: I see, thank you, that is 17 helpful. 18 Mr Carr asked you a number of times about the difference between what you would expect and what you 19 20 would hope. Can I just check that my understanding is 21 correct? 22 So your professional expectation would be that the 23 information that you were then being asked about would 24 be and should be provided? 25 Α. Yes.

76

75

(19) Pages 73 - 76

LADY JUSTICE THIRLWALL: And the reason you 1 2 expressed it, sometimes as hope, is because experience 3 has shown you that people do not always provide the 4 information that you would expect as a matter of 5 professionalism? 6 Α. Yes. 7 LADY JUSTICE THIRLWALL: Why do you think they do 8 that? Why do they hold back? 9 Α. Pardon? 10 LADY JUSTICE THIRLWALL: Why do you think they hold 11 back? I think some Trusts would rather you find 12 Α. 13 out -- find it for yourself. I think some, as with perhaps the self-assessment here, they want to show 14 themselves in the best light possible. And some Trusts 15 16 are better than others at acknowledging their risks and 17 challenges. 18 My experience was certainly as a relationship owner 19 for other Trusts you would have one Trust who every time 20 there was something significant rather than waiting to -- for me to detect it on NRLS or STEIS, they would 21 22 phone up and say: we are declaring a Serious Incident, 23 this is what's happened, this is what we are doing about it immediately, as soon as we have our Serious Incident 24 25 report, we will pass it to you. Others --77 1 MR CARR: My Lady, the next witness is Dr Odeka, 2 may I call him. 3 LADY JUSTICE THIRLWALL: Sorry, Dr Odeka, I didn't 4 see that you had arrived. Do come forward. 5 DR BENJAMIN ODEKA (sworn) 6 Questions by MR CARR 7 LADY JUSTICE THIRLWALL: Do sit down. 8 MR CARR: Can you provide your full name, please? 9 Α. Dr Benjamin Odeka. 10 You have prepared a witness statement for this Q. Inquiry, haven't you, and it's signed and it is dated 11 10 June 2024? 12 13 Α. Yes, yes. 14 Q. The contents of that witness statement, are they true to the best of your knowledge and belief? 15 16 Α. Yes. 17 You give evidence in that statement, don't Q. you, of your professional background, you have been 18 a Consultant in paediatrics and gastroenterology since 19 20 1994? 21 That's correct, yes. Α. 22 Q. You describe at your paragraphs 3 and 4 23 a variety of academic posts and positions of 24 responsibility? 25 Α. Yes.

79

LADY JUSTICE THIRLWALL: Would that be between 1 2 inspections? Yes, and that would be the relationship owner. 3 Α. 4 LADY JUSTICE THIRLWALL: | see. So if I was a relationship owner for a Trust, 5 Α. 6 I actually had one Trust who would pick up the phone, 7 very transparent, this has happened, this is what we are doing about it immediately, the rapid review or the 8 9 SBAR, the investigation report, and these are the 10 measures we have put in place immediately. 11 Other Trusts you would wait, it would wait and you would find it on NRLS or STEIS. 12 13 So two very different approaches in the 14 relationship with the Care Quality Commission. 15 LADY JUSTICE THIRLWALL: Just to go back to my 16 earlier question, would the relationship owner as 17 a matter of course be checking NRLS in respect of the hospital with whom they had the relationship? 18 19 Α. Yes. 20 LADY JUSTICE THIRLWALL: Thank you. Those are my 21 questions. Mr Carr, do you have anything else? 22 MR CARR: No I don't, thank you very much. 23 LADY JUSTICE THIRLWALL: Thank you very much, you 24 are free to go. 25 Α. Thank you. 78 1 Q. That includes being a tutor at the University of Manchester from 1994 to 1996, a Clinical Director of 2 3 paediatrics for eight years, a clinical area lead for 4 paediatrics for two years, a Divisional Medical Director and Associate Medical Director for Women's and Children 5 2006 to 2009? 6 7 Α. Yes 8 Q. And you have particular experience in safeguarding, having chaired the Safeguarding Group for 9 the Child Health Division? 10 11 Α. Yes. So far as your role with the CQC you explain 12 Q. at paragraph 5 of your statement that you have assisted 13 14 with CQC inspections since June 2014? 15 Α. Yes. 16 Q. The 2016 inspection at the Countess of Chester Hospital with which we are concerned, you think was your 17 fourth such inspection? 18 Α. Yes 19 20 Q. You were a Specialist Adviser --21 Α. Yes 22 Q. -- on that inspection and that's distinct from 23 a CQC inspector, isn't it?

- 24 **A.** Yes.
- 25 Q. Do you want to explain the difference in the 80

2

3 4

5 6

7

8

9

11

13

14

19

20

21

24

1

2 3

4

1	roles, as you understood it?
2	A. As a professional adviser, we or for myself
3	were meant to give a professional slant and support to
4	the CQC interpreting medical issues that they might
5	encounter during inspections and to make the inspections
6	more clearer in terms of interpreting medical issues
7	they encounter during inspections.
8	So in short it's just to advise them on medical
9	issues picked up at inspection using their templates of
10	inspection but to put a medical angle to it.
11	<b>Q.</b> The role isn't simply advisory, is it, you are
12	an active participant, you partake in interviews during
13	the inspection?
14	A. Yes.
15	<b>Q.</b> There were some interviews, judging from the
16	notes, that you undertook alone, so without the other
17	two?
18	A. Yes.
19	<b>Q.</b> Specialist Adviser and CQC Inspector?
20	A. Yes.
21	<b>Q.</b> And the division appears to have been you
22	focused more on interviewing doctors, whereas the other
23	Specialist Adviser, who was a nurse, she did more of the
24	interviews with nurses?
25	A. Yes, that's correct.
	81
1	statement is:
2	"As a Specialist Adviser I would ordinarily expect
2 3	"As a Specialist Adviser I would ordinarily expect to receive the following information in advance of
2 3 4	"As a Specialist Adviser I would ordinarily expect to receive the following information in advance of an inspection: information regarding the venue, the
2 3 4 5	"As a Specialist Adviser I would ordinarily expect to receive the following information in advance of an inspection: information regarding the venue, the reason for the inspection, the focus of the inspection
2 3 4 5 6	"As a Specialist Adviser I would ordinarily expect to receive the following information in advance of an inspection: information regarding the venue, the reason for the inspection, the focus of the inspection from CQC, any particular areas of concern or red flags
2 3 4 5 6 7	"As a Specialist Adviser I would ordinarily expect to receive the following information in advance of an inspection: information regarding the venue, the reason for the inspection, the focus of the inspection from CQC, any particular areas of concern or red flags to be aware of."
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	"As a Specialist Adviser I would ordinarily expect to receive the following information in advance of an inspection: information regarding the venue, the reason for the inspection, the focus of the inspection from CQC, any particular areas of concern or red flags to be aware of." <b>A.</b> That's correct.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	<ul> <li>"As a Specialist Adviser I would ordinarily expect to receive the following information in advance of an inspection: information regarding the venue, the reason for the inspection, the focus of the inspection from CQC, any particular areas of concern or red flags to be aware of."</li> <li>A. That's correct.</li> <li>Q. You are aware that the CQC will gather a lot</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	<ul> <li>"As a Specialist Adviser I would ordinarily expect to receive the following information in advance of an inspection: information regarding the venue, the reason for the inspection, the focus of the inspection from CQC, any particular areas of concern or red flags to be aware of."</li> <li>A. That's correct.</li> <li>Q. You are aware that the CQC will gather a lot of documentation for the purposes of an inspection?</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	<ul> <li>"As a Specialist Adviser I would ordinarily expect to receive the following information in advance of an inspection: information regarding the venue, the reason for the inspection, the focus of the inspection from CQC, any particular areas of concern or red flags to be aware of."</li> <li>A. That's correct.</li> <li>Q. You are aware that the CQC will gather a lot of documentation for the purposes of an inspection?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	<ul> <li>"As a Specialist Adviser I would ordinarily expect to receive the following information in advance of an inspection: information regarding the venue, the reason for the inspection, the focus of the inspection from CQC, any particular areas of concern or red flags to be aware of."</li> <li>A. That's correct.</li> <li>Q. You are aware that the CQC will gather a lot of documentation for the purposes of an inspection?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Also as part of their ongoing monitoring?</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<ul> <li>"As a Specialist Adviser I would ordinarily expect to receive the following information in advance of an inspection: information regarding the venue, the reason for the inspection, the focus of the inspection from CQC, any particular areas of concern or red flags to be aware of."</li> <li>A. That's correct.</li> <li>Q. You are aware that the CQC will gather a lot of documentation for the purposes of an inspection?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Also as part of their ongoing monitoring?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<ul> <li>"As a Specialist Adviser I would ordinarily expect to receive the following information in advance of an inspection: information regarding the venue, the reason for the inspection, the focus of the inspection from CQC, any particular areas of concern or red flags to be aware of."</li> <li>A. That's correct.</li> <li>Q. You are aware that the CQC will gather a lot of documentation for the purposes of an inspection?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Also as part of their ongoing monitoring?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. But that doesn't get provided to you as</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<ul> <li>"As a Specialist Adviser I would ordinarily expect to receive the following information in advance of an inspection: information regarding the venue, the reason for the inspection, the focus of the inspection from CQC, any particular areas of concern or red flags to be aware of."</li> <li>A. That's correct.</li> <li>Q. You are aware that the CQC will gather a lot of documentation for the purposes of an inspection?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Also as part of their ongoing monitoring?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. But that doesn't get provided to you as a Specialist Adviser?</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<ul> <li>"As a Specialist Adviser I would ordinarily expect to receive the following information in advance of an inspection: information regarding the venue, the reason for the inspection, the focus of the inspection from CQC, any particular areas of concern or red flags to be aware of."</li> <li>A. That's correct.</li> <li>Q. You are aware that the CQC will gather a lot of documentation for the purposes of an inspection?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Also as part of their ongoing monitoring?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. But that doesn't get provided to you as a Specialist Adviser?</li> <li>A. No.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<ul> <li>"As a Specialist Adviser I would ordinarily expect to receive the following information in advance of an inspection: information regarding the venue, the reason for the inspection, the focus of the inspection from CQC, any particular areas of concern or red flags to be aware of."</li> <li>A. That's correct.</li> <li>Q. You are aware that the CQC will gather a lot of documentation for the purposes of an inspection?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Also as part of their ongoing monitoring?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. But that doesn't get provided to you as a Specialist Adviser?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. At paragraph 13 you say:</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>"As a Specialist Adviser I would ordinarily expect to receive the following information in advance of an inspection: information regarding the venue, the reason for the inspection, the focus of the inspection from CQC, any particular areas of concern or red flags to be aware of."</li> <li>A. That's correct.</li> <li>Q. You are aware that the CQC will gather a lot of documentation for the purposes of an inspection?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Also as part of their ongoing monitoring?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. But that doesn't get provided to you as a Specialist Adviser?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. At paragraph 13 you say: "Provider Information Returns and data requests</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>"As a Specialist Adviser I would ordinarily expect to receive the following information in advance of an inspection: information regarding the venue, the reason for the inspection, the focus of the inspection from CQC, any particular areas of concern or red flags to be aware of."</li> <li>A. That's correct.</li> <li>Q. You are aware that the CQC will gather a lot of documentation for the purposes of an inspection?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Also as part of their ongoing monitoring?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. But that doesn't get provided to you as a Specialist Adviser?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. At paragraph 13 you say: "Provider Information Returns and data requests were matters for the CQC Inspectors. I would only</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<ul> <li>"As a Specialist Adviser I would ordinarily expect to receive the following information in advance of an inspection: information regarding the venue, the reason for the inspection, the focus of the inspection from CQC, any particular areas of concern or red flags to be aware of."</li> <li>A. That's correct.</li> <li>Q. You are aware that the CQC will gather a lot of documentation for the purposes of an inspection?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Also as part of their ongoing monitoring?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. But that doesn't get provided to you as a Specialist Adviser?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. At paragraph 13 you say: "Provider Information Returns and data requests were matters for the CQC Inspectors. I would only receive information which CQC and in particular the CYP</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>"As a Specialist Adviser I would ordinarily expect to receive the following information in advance of an inspection: information regarding the venue, the reason for the inspection, the focus of the inspection from CQC, any particular areas of concern or red flags to be aware of."</li> <li>A. That's correct.</li> <li>Q. You are aware that the CQC will gather a lot of documentation for the purposes of an inspection?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Also as part of their ongoing monitoring?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. But that doesn't get provided to you as a Specialist Adviser?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. At paragraph 13 you say: "Provider Information Returns and data requests were matters for the CQC Inspectors. I would only receive information which CQC and in particular the CYP inspection lead"</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>"As a Specialist Adviser I would ordinarily expect to receive the following information in advance of an inspection: information regarding the venue, the reason for the inspection, the focus of the inspection from CQC, any particular areas of concern or red flags to be aware of."</li> <li>A. That's correct.</li> <li>Q. You are aware that the CQC will gather a lot of documentation for the purposes of an inspection?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Also as part of their ongoing monitoring?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. But that doesn't get provided to you as a Specialist Adviser?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. At paragraph 13 you say:</li> <li>"Provider Information Returns and data requests were matters for the CQC Inspectors. I would only receive information which CQC and in particular the CYP inspection lead"</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>"As a Specialist Adviser I would ordinarily expect to receive the following information in advance of an inspection: information regarding the venue, the reason for the inspection, the focus of the inspection from CQC, any particular areas of concern or red flags to be aware of."</li> <li>A. That's correct.</li> <li>Q. You are aware that the CQC will gather a lot of documentation for the purposes of an inspection?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Also as part of their ongoing monitoring?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. But that doesn't get provided to you as a Specialist Adviser?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. At paragraph 13 you say:</li> <li>"Provider Information Returns and data requests were matters for the CQC Inspectors. I would only receive information which CQC and in particular the CYP inspection lead"</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	<ul> <li>"As a Specialist Adviser I would ordinarily expect to receive the following information in advance of an inspection: information regarding the venue, the reason for the inspection, the focus of the inspection from CQC, any particular areas of concern or red flags to be aware of."</li> <li>A. That's correct.</li> <li>Q. You are aware that the CQC will gather a lot of documentation for the purposes of an inspection?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Also as part of their ongoing monitoring?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. But that doesn't get provided to you as a Specialist Adviser?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. At paragraph 13 you say:</li> <li>"Provider Information Returns and data requests were matters for the CQC Inspectors. I would only receive information which CQC and in particular the CYP inspection lead"</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>"As a Specialist Adviser I would ordinarily expect to receive the following information in advance of an inspection: information regarding the venue, the reason for the inspection, the focus of the inspection from CQC, any particular areas of concern or red flags to be aware of."</li> <li>A. That's correct.</li> <li>Q. You are aware that the CQC will gather a lot of documentation for the purposes of an inspection?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Also as part of their ongoing monitoring?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. But that doesn't get provided to you as a Specialist Adviser?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. At paragraph 13 you say:</li> <li>"Provider Information Returns and data requests were matters for the CQC Inspectors. I would only receive information which CQC and in particular the CYP inspection lead"</li> </ul>

Q. Was there any training that you received from the CQC or elsewhere for the Specialist Adviser role or was it unnecessary? Δ. There was a brief training. It's -- it wasn't a detailed training as such but you had introductory training of induction and explained to us what the role was and I think what they did was they looked at our background to match what they expected from us to the role and that formed the basis of the short training 10 that they gave us. I wanted to turn now to the documentation that Q. you receive ahead of and for the purposes of 12 an inspection visit and you explain in your statement the information you receive is guite limited, isn't it? That's correct. Yes. 15 Α. 16 Q. You don't receive the Provider Information 17 Return? Α. 18 No. Q. Or the documents submitted as part of a Provider Information Return and you don't receive the response to data requests --22 Α. No. 23 Q. -- from a Trust? Α. No. 25 Q. And what you say at paragraph 10 of your 82 So you did understand there to be a process that if there were particular documents which were a cause of concern or which called for specialist advice then you would see those?

5 Α. I would -- I would be informed of such and subsequently I will be provided with such information if 6 7 they deemed relevant and necessary.

8 Q. Can I try to understand, please, what it was that you were sent. So paragraph 42 of your statement, 9

you describe, if you find it, receiving the information 10

pack in advance of the inspection. You say it was 11

received by email and you understand searches are 12

ongoing within CQC to locate this email and its 13

14 attachments.

18

20

15 Now, I want to see if we can identify that

document. Have you subsequently seen a copy of the pack 16

17 that you think you were sent?

- I think I have seen a copy of it. Α.
- Is it the intelligence briefing pack? 19 Q.
  - Α. Yes.

If we can get that document up, it is 21 Q.

22 INQ0103620. So this is the document?

23 Α. Yes.

24 Q. As I understand it, it was a PowerPoint

25 presentation. Are you saying you would have been sent

3

4

5

9

21

it by email as well? 1 2 Α. Sorry, this is not the -- this is not the one 3 that is sent which is which contains the -- for the 4 Women and Children's Division. It's not this one. This was -- this was a presentation at the -- on the day of 5 6 the inspection itself, this was the intelligence 7 presentation on the day. 8 Okay, we can take that down. INQ0101422. Q. 9 Now we have this document. It's labelled "Draft 10 Pre-Inspection Document, 22 December 2015", the document that you are describing, is it --11 12 This, yes. Α. 13 Q. It's like this? 14 Α. Yes 15 Q. If we go forward, please, to page 5, we have 16 a summary of analysis on this page into the next page --17 I will go to the next page in a moment -- against the five domains of regulation: "Safe", "effective", "care" 18 19 and "responsive" and "well led". 20 Now, this version of the document is dated 21 December 2015. Do you believe that you received 22 an updated version of this document or was this the one 23 that you received for the inspection? 24 Α. I can't -- I can't be very specific here, but 25 it looked familiar but I am not, I am not very clear 85 1 performance? 2 Yes. Can I -- okay, I think the answer is Α. 3 yes, but when we look at our inspection templates we are 4 looking at -- looking at governance issues. So even if 5 the information given not as robust as it should be, we 6 have the -- I do have --we do have a template where we 7 look at the overall picture using the key lines of 8 inquiries to try and expand on that because we have the 9 scope that we need to examine. 10 So even with limited information like this, we 11 still have to go through the whole gamut of it. 12 Q. I have seen the inspection briefing pack -and you can take this down now -- we can go to it if we 13 14 need to, but that is a document which sets out the areas for the inspectors to probe at inspection, doesn't it? 15 16 Α. Yes. 17 Q. It doesn't contain information about the actual Trust? 18 19 Α. No So for you as a Specialist Adviser you are not 20 Q. given access to the wealth of information that the CQC 21 22 has and you are going into a hospital, you are given the 23 analysis at the level which I have just taken you to, so

24 a summary of four bullet points, one of which is a survey, one of which is staff skill mix. 25

87

about it. It's a long time now. 1

Q. And is it -- would it be typical for you as

a Specialist Adviser for the information that you

receive to be limited to this kind of document?

Α. Yes

6 Q. Would the extent of the information contained

7 in such a document be similar in its scope to what's

contained in this version? 8

> Α. Yes, maybe not as detailed but similar, yes.

10 If, for instance, we take the "safe" section. Q.

Nothing in positive analysis, nothing in negative 11

analysis. Then neutral analysis it says: 12

13 "No Never Events or Serious Incidents have been 14 reported."

15 And we will come back to that.

16 There's another bullet point dealing with pressure

17 ulcers and falls.

18 Bullet point 3 deals with questions in the

19 children's survey. Now, the children's survey, is that

20 a survey that goes out to patients?

Α. Yes.

22 Q. Then bullet point 4, a comment on the staff

23 skill mix. It seems quite light in terms of evidence

that's been given to you as a Specialist Adviser ahead 24

25 of going into inspecting a hospital to assess its 86

1 There doesn't seem to be much that you can provide 2 specialist advice on in terms of data prior to the 3 inspection? 4 Α. Correct, yes. It's not -- not detailed, not robust enough for inspection, yes. 5 6 Q. Now, you weren't given ahead of the 7 inspection, were you, any information relating to 8 neonatal mortality at this unit? 9 Α. No. 10 At paragraph 14 of your statement, you say: Q. "In my role as a Specialist Adviser I would not 11 necessarily expect to receive information concerning 12 neonatal deaths directly in advance of an inspection but 13 14 would have such information if this was available to the 15 CQC inspector." 16 And just so that I can make sure I am understanding 17 that, is the point that you are making there: well, if the information has gone to the inspector then you would 18 expect it to be shared to you but if the inspector 19 20 doesn't have it, so if Helen Cain doesn't have that information, then she can't share it with you? 21 22 Α. No. 23

Q. Is that the point you are making?

24 Α. The point I am making is they might receive 25

the details of neonatal deaths. That may not be given 88

1 to me directly, but mentioned as part of our inspection

2 plan, if it's an area that needs to be looked at closer,

3 then in that context, they also want to ask if the

- 4 deaths have actually been reviewed appropriately and
- 5 adequately and that's where I come in, to now advise on
- 6 that, to see if the -- if the different enquiries have
- 7 been made as to the reasons for deaths or the reasons
- 8 for the issues raised in the mortality reports, so
- 9 I think that is where I come in.
- 10 **Q.** Do you know who it is who makes the decision

11 as to whether or not you as a Specialist Adviser doctor,

12 with the experience that you described, should consider

- 13 for instance data or evidence on neonatal mortality?
- A. Sorry, I didn't quite -- can you just repeatthe question again, please?
- 16 **Q.** I said who is it -- as you understand it, who
- 17 is it that makes the decision about whether you should
- 18 consider the data. So what you have described is the
- 19 information comes in, the CQC will decide whether or not
- 20 you need to see it, I am trying to work out who at the
- CQC makes that decision as to whether specialist adviceis needed on data?
- 23 A. I think -- I think the lead inspector will be

24 the person to do that because she will be the direct

- 25 communicator with myself. If she had concerns or she 89
- 1 **A.** Yes.
- 2 If there were concerns about the correlation Q. 3 between a member of staff and those unexpected and 4 unexplained deaths and suspicions of potential 5 deliberate harm? 6 Δ I'm not sure -- I am not -- it might be 7 mentioned but I'm not sure if that's -- that will be 8 something that will be discussed in such meetings. 9 Q. Well, I am asking you about the circumstances in which you would expect details about neonatal 10 11 mortality to be communicated to you as a red flag and I am suggesting those factors that I just went through 12 would all be red flags where you would expect it to be 13 14 communicated to you? 15 Α. Yes. 16 Q. At paragraph 17, you say: 17 "In respect of unexpected and unexplained deaths I would expect CQC to be informed about unexpected or 18 unexplained neonatal deaths that these would be 19 20 identified at local level, ie within a particular hospital. Unexpected or unexplained neonatal death 21 22 could still be a red flag even if the hospital's overall 23 statistics remained within the regional or national 24 trends. If an unexpected or unexplained neonatal death
- 25 was identified as a red flag I would expect this

91

1 has data that she needed to be advised on, she would

- 2 actually share it directly with me.
- 3 So if I don't have access to the data and the lead
- 4 inspector has access to the data then she would actually
- 5 ask me if she has any issues to raise.
- 6 **Q.** You comment again paragraph 14 it is the last
- 7 sentence, that your expectation is that that information
- 8 would essentially be filtered down to you if there was
- 9 a concern which you have addressed or if it was a red
- 10 flag issue and there's a number of ways in which there
- 11 can be concerns or red flags about neonatal mortality,
- 12 aren't there?
- 13 **A.** Yes.
- 14 **Q.** One issue might be: well, if the unit or the
- 15 hospital was an outlier?
- 16 **A.** Yes.
- 17 **Q.** Another might be if there was a significant
- 18 increase in mortality beyond what is usual for that
- 19 particular hospital?
- 20 **A.** Yes.

23

- 21 Q. If the doctors themselves had concerns about22 the increase?
  - Yes, that is useful information.
- 24 **Q.** If there were incidents of unexpected and
- 25 unexplained neonatal deaths? 90
- 1 information then to be filtered down to me through the
- 2 Children and Young People's Inspection Lead."
- 3 Now, is the point that you are making there is that
- 4 even if the statistics on death might not constitute an
- 5 outlier, if you suddenly have, particularly within
- 6 a neonatal unit, incidents of unexpected and unexplained
- 7 deaths, that in itself is a red flag?
  - Yes, it will be, yes.
- 9 **Q.** You have seen for the purposes of preparing
- 10 your evidence for this Inquiry the Thematic Review
- 11 carried out in February 2016?
- 12 **A.** Yes, please.
- 13 **Q.** The review by Dr Brigham in November 2015.
- 14 Both of them identify an increase in neonatal mortality
- 15 at the unit. In light of that and the concerns we know
- 16 that there were, that is information that -- or do you
- 17 think that is information that the Care Quality
- 18 Commission and your team should have been provided with?19 A. Yes.
- 20 Q. At paragraphs 46 to 48 of your statement, you
- 21 address the spreadsheet of neonatal incidents that was
- 22 provided to the Care Quality Commission by the Trust as
- 23 part of the data for the inspection.
- 24 Now, because of the sensitive third party
- 25 information in that document, I am not going to put it 92

1	on screen. But you are familiar, aren't you, with the
2	document that I am referring to?
3	A. Yes.
4	<b>Q.</b> It is a table with some entries marked and
5	colour coded by the degree of harm?
6	A. Yes.
7	<b>Q.</b> Green for no harm. Yellow for low harm?
8	A. Yes.
9	<b>Q.</b> That is a document that you did not see prior
10	to preparing the evidence here?
11	A. Yes.
12	Q. It wasn't shown to you by the CQC or by
13	Helen Cain?
14	A. No, it wasn't shown.
15	<b>Q.</b> There was no discussion with you by anybody at
16	the CQC about that table?
17	A. No, no discussion.
18	<b>Q.</b> Or the contents of it?
19	A. No.
20	<b>Q</b> . You have reviewed it to prepare your
21	statement.
22	Now the first question is this: would you expect
23	all neonatal deaths at the unit to be included on
24	a table like that?
25	A. Yes.
25	93
1	it in that fashion.
2	<b>Q.</b> So had you received the table it's something
3	that you would want to investigate and test the
4	categorisation of?
5	A. Yes, yes.
6	<b>Q.</b> Is that something that you would explore at
7	the interviews?
8	A. The interviews was excuse me, was to
9	confirm that the entries
10	Q. Yes.
11	A and also to speak to the the managers
12	when I mean the managers, those who actually lead in
13	governance, produce that document. Then I would explore
14	what they have done about it and to see if the actions
15	they have taken, if it's in line with good practice and
16	if it also addresses the issue and if there are any
17	lessons to be learnt from those.
18	So it's the process I would be interested in
19	looking at and that would be something that CQC would be
20	interested in in terms of making a decision.
21	Q. But the point is because it strikes you as
22	inaccurate, that would be something that you would want
23	to look into to
24	A. Yes.
25	Q find out what's happened
	95

quiry	y 14 November 2
1	<b>Q</b> . Now, there are on my calculation eight entries
2	in the table involving death, all of which are marked
3	green coloured green and marked "none" in the "Actual
4	harm" column.
5	This is something that you were asked to address in
6	your statement and you say at paragraph 46:
7	"On the face of the document the categorisation of
8	incidents involving neonatal deaths as 'none' in the
9	'Actual harm' column immediately strikes me as
10	inaccurate; clearly a neonatal death is a significant
11	event. It appears that this may be due to the data
12	being input into the Datix system incorrectly. However,
13	I would need to see the key which was used to inform the
14	recording of incidents in order to comment more
15	categorically on whether the non-categorisation for
16	actual harm was incorrect."
17	So immediately striking that those entries are
18	marked green for "no harm".
19	If this document had been shared with you, or if
20	you had been asked questions about it, what would you
21	have done?
22	<b>A.</b> I would have checked the entry itself and
23	enquired about the decision or the reason behind the
24	decision. But having looked at that particular entry,
25	I think I have some idea as to why they have categorised 94
	01
1	A. Yes.
2	<b>Q.</b> and assess whether it is appropriately
3	categorised or not and investigated. Is that correct?
4	A. Yes, that is correct, yes, sir.
5	<b>Q.</b> Your paragraph 47C, just to take one example,
6	if we may, you address there the entry on that table
7	concerning Child A and that's entry 188 in the table.
8	Now in the table, the details of the incident are
9	given as: sudden and unexpected deterioration and death
10	of a patient on the neonatal unit after full
11	resuscitation requiring postmortem.
12	Now, if you had seen that in advance and seen that
13	it had been entered as a "no harm" or green for a no
14	harm entry, is that a particular incident that you would
15	want to explore as part of your inspection?
16	A. Yes.
17	Q. There are a number of features here. One the
18	fact that it is a Sudden and Unexpected Death, so is
19	that something that would ring alarm bells?
20	A. Yes.
21	<b>Q.</b> You have connected to that, don't you, that at
22	the time of the entry, you have a cause of death that is
23	unclear, it is awaiting postmortem?

- Α. That's right.
- **Q.** So would you want to explore at the inspection

2

3

4

5

6

7

1	what the postmortem said and whether a cause of death		
2	had been established?		
3	A. Yes, I would and obviously most of the deaths		
4	would have been referred to the Child's Death Inquiries		
5	so I would want to know the outcome of such inquiries.		
6	<b>Q.</b> What would you explore based on that		
7	description? For instance, whether the SUDIC guidelines		
8	were complied with, would that be appropriate to your		
9	regulatory investigations?		
10	A. Yes.		
11	<b>Q.</b> But in the event of course you didn't see this		
12	table?		
13	<b>A.</b> No.		
14	<b>Q.</b> And there was no discussion of those events at		
15	inspection?		
16	A. No.		
17	<b>Q.</b> Now, there are two reporting systems which the		
18	Care Quality Commission track.		
19 20	So there's the National Reporting and Learning		
20 21	System to which patient harm events are reported and there is the Strategic Executive Information System for		
21	Serious Incidents and the CQC has access to reports to		
22	those systems, doesn't it?		
24	<b>A.</b> I can't answer to that because I don't know.		
25	<b>Q.</b> You have seen, again as part of your		
20	97		
1	my reading of the notes, you interviewed Dr Brearey?		
2	A. Yes.		
3	Q. Dr V, Dr Lowe, Dr Gibbs, Dr Cooke?		
4	A. Yes.		
5	<b>Q.</b> Sorry. You also took part in the large interview with the team leads with both of the other		
6 7	members of your inspection team?		
7 8	A. Yes.		
9	<b>Q.</b> When I said you interviewed Dr Brearey, that		
10	was an additional interview to the interview as part of		
11	the team.		
12	So you would have seen him as part of the large		
13	interview, but you also had a separate interview with		
14	him?		
15	A. Yes, that's correct.		
16	<b>Q.</b> Turning to your statement, paragraphs 87 and		
17	88 please. Paragraph 87, are you there?		
18	"I do not recall discussing concerns about		
19	an increase in neonatal deaths with any of the		
20	interviewees. If this had been discussed I would expect		
21	it to be recorded in the notes."		
22	Paragraph 88:		
23	"I do not recall discussing concerns about		
24	unexplained or unexpected deaths. Again I would expect		
25	any discussion of this nature to have been recorded."		
	99		

99

Were you, for the purposes of the inspection or 8 during the inspection, informed by the CQC of the 9 10 reports in those table concerning children? 11 Α. No. Q. Do you think you should have been? 12 13 Α. Yes. 14 Q. Were you aware, did it come up during the inspection that the death of Child D had been reported 15 16 to the Strategic Information System for Serious 17 Incidents and other events as an unexpected potentially avoidable death and as a Serious Incident due to a delay 18 19 recognising sepsis? 20 Α. No. 21 Q. Turning to the visit itself. You were involved in a number of interviews, weren't you? 22 23 Α. Yes. 24 As you have explained already, your focus was Q. mainly on interviewing the doctors. You interviewed, on 25 98 1 Just looking at both of those sentences. It's 2 correct, isn't it, that it's not only that concerns 3 about an increase in deaths or concerns about 4 unexplained or unexpected deaths was not discussed. 5 Those topics weren't discussed at all? 6 Α. Not at all. 7 Q. We have already seen there was a discussion in 8 the team leads' interview as to Morbidity and Mortality Meetings but not a discussion as to neonatal rates on 9 the unit. 10 Α. 11 No 12 Sorry, neonatal mortality rates on the unit. Q. Wouldn't discussion of outcomes at the neonatal 13 14 unit, including for instance mortality rates, instances of unexpected or unexplained deaths, wouldn't that be 15 an important topic to explore for the purposes of 16 17 assessing safety of the unit? 18 Α. Yes. 19 Why wasn't it explored? Q. 20 Α. I -- the -- the incidents reports is what triggers such discussions because we will get the 21 22 reports of incidents, you look at -- we look at the way

preparation for giving evidence to this Inquiry, you

those two systems, haven't you --

-- in the period --

Yes.

Yes.

Α.

Q.

Α.

Q.

have seen spreadsheets showing reports by the Trust to

-- covering that prior to your inspection?

- it's been investigated, the outcome and the processesinvolved in that. But since those information were not
- 25 available to myself, it wasn't -- so all we will do it

in that instance would be to look at processes. So that 1 2 discussion did not take place and that's the reason for 3 that. That wasn't --4 Q. So in the interviews that you attended --5 Α. Yes 6 Q. -- were any of the interviewees asked 7 questions about neonatal mortality? 8 No, it didn't come up in a discussion. Α. 9 Can I just explain a bit there? Can I? LADY JUSTICE THIRLWALL: Yes. 10 Right. The questions to the doctors, the 11 Α. trainees and also parents reflects around incidents 12 reporting, that comes up in our discussion. Are 13 incidents reported in the unit? And are they discussed? 14 Are they properly done? And do you get feedback? So 15 16 that's the prompt that triggers situations where they 17 can come up with things. 18 So it's not that we go in and ask for specific 19 cases. You look at the processes and the processes actually brings up things that we explored in detail and 20 I think that that's the way the inspection format goes. 21 22 Q. You have suggested that having the information 23 that you weren't provided with would have caused you to 24 ask direct questions --25 Α. Yes. 101 1 the unit. 2 Now, you weren't aware of that. 3 Α. No 4 Q. We know that there were concerns about that at 5 the hospital. Did you ask any questions which, in your 6 view, should have elicited that information? 7 Α. The questions we -- that I asked generically 8 should have brought that up. 9 Q. Such as? Do you report incidents? Do you have 10 Α. a process of reporting incidents in the unit? And are 11 the incidents, are they investigated? And do you get 12 feedback from ...? 13 14 That's the standard process questions that we ask 15 on incidents. 16 Q. Forgive me. That will tell you there is a process, but it wouldn't -- that question is not or 17 might not elicit concerns about increased neonatal 18 19 mortality. 20 Do you ask in an open sense: Is there anything in the unit which is causing you concern, anything that you 21 22 are having to investigate at the moment? 23 Α. I can't recall if I asked in that -- questions 24 in that line. 25 MR CARR: My Lady, I am conscious of the time. 103

1 Q. -- as to issues of neonatal mortality? 2 Α. Yes. 3 Q. Because you didn't have that information, that's the reason you didn't ask direct questions? 4 5 Α. Correct, yes. 6 Q. It wasn't volunteered by the interviewees in 7 your interview sessions? 8 Α. None of them. 9 Q. As an inspector and from your experience in 10 healthcare more broadly, can there be a reticence amongst staff subject to an inspection to volunteer 11 difficulties or concerns that they have without being 12 13 asked? 14 They do. They do. Some, some do. Because Α. even when you don't ask direct questions and if we go 15 16 through the incidents reporting system and we say, "Do 17 you report incidents and are they investigated and do you get feedback from the incidents reported?" it 18 19 actually gets them to tell us things that they didn't 20 volunteer initially. 21 So, yes, some of them do. 22 Q. Well, that's asking questions about reporting 23 systems. What I am asking questions about more specifically is concerns about increases in neonatal 24 25 mortality, instances of unexpected, unexplained death at 102 1 I probably only have a short amount more, but I am 2 happy to break now if that's more convenient. LADY JUSTICE THIRLWALL: It's probably a bit more 3 4 convenient for the witness if we continue and finish. 5 How much longer do you think? 6 MR CARR: About five to 10 minutes. Is that all 7 right if we continue? 8 Α. Yes, yes. LADY JUSTICE THIRLWALL: Yes, let's do that, 9 10 Mr Carr. MR CARR: Thank you. 11 We have seen and you have been shown notes 12 regarding the Consultants' focus group as part of the 13 14 inspection. You weren't at the Consultants focus group, but one of the themes that emerged from that group was 15 a bullying culture. 16 17 In the course of your discussions with interviewees, were any concerns raised with you about 18 a bullying culture? 19 20 Α. No. Were any concerns raised with you at all by 21 Q. 22 any of the Consultants that you spoke to, any of the 23 doctors you spoke to? 24 Α. Not that I can recall. Anything different from the report I have already -- is in my statement. 25 104

(26) Pages 101 - 104

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Yes. Please.

lead based on my findings at the inspection."

what that narrative was. What did you tell her?

Just the findings.

Which were?

"I provided a narrative to the CYP inspection

I am asking if you can help us to understand

Which were the things written in my notes, all

Correct. Because I would have told her the

The interview notes that we have?

usually a summary. I usually summarise the key points,

so the key points would have been discussed with her.

LADY JUSTICE THIRLWALL: Yes, of course.

MR CARR: My Lady, thank you. I have no further

LADY JUSTICE THIRLWALL: Thank you. Mr Deakin.

Questions by MR DEAKIN

MR DEAKIN: Thank you. Dr Odeka, I just have a few

Is it your understanding that it's the role of

Thank you. Finally, and following on from

106

provide to me is assumed to be the filtered one that are

relevant to the inspection. So in that regard I have

that, would it be right to say that there could be all

sorts of different issues in a hospital. Would you be

expected to be informed about all potential issues of

concern even if they were not in fact of concern? I can ask that slightly convoluted question

key findings. And in the front of the notes there is

MR DEAKIN: My Lady, if I may.

Α.

Q.

Α.

Q.

Α.

Q.

Α.

Q.

Α.

questions for Dr Odeka.

questions, please.

Okay.

said yes. So it's a qualified yes.

Α.

Q.

Q.

the things.

Yes.

Paragraph 100 of your statement deals with 1 Q. 2 feedback that you provided to the Children and Young 3 People's Inspection Lead, so that's Helen Cain, based on 4 your findings at the inspection. 5 Now, does your role come to an end at the end of 6 inspection week? 7 Α. That's right, yes. 8 And so what you are describing here is the Q. feedback that you give to Helen Cain, who will then go 9 10 on to prepare the section of the report? That's right, yes. 11 Α. When you say you provided a narrative, was 12 Q. 13 that in writing or was that verbal? We do have a verbal discussion. Then we will 14 Α. give, we provide our written notes, all the recorded 15 16 interview notes, we hand everything over at that time in 17 addition to the --18 Q. Do you recall what your feedback was? 19 Α. Sorry, can you repeat that again? 20 Do you recall what your feedback was? You Q. 21 said, you say in your statement you provided a narrative 22 based on your findings at the inspection. 23 What were the issues that you raised with her? 24 The -- sorry, I couldn't quite hear that. Α. 25 Q. It's paragraph 100. 105 1 the CQC to investigate individual incidents of concern 2 or processes? 3 Α. Not individual concerns, but processes. 4 Q. Thank you. The role of a Specialist Adviser, 5 can you just explain what your role as a Specialist 6 Adviser is again, please, very briefly? 7 Α. The role is to support the CQC from its 8 professional standpoint, giving advice on 9 medical-related issues, to help with the inspection and also to support and interpret processes as they relate 10 to medical investigations. Just basically to advise 11 them on different medical aspects of the inspection. 12 13 Q. Thank you. In advance of the inspection, you 14 were provided with information and you were taken through some of that? 15 16 Α. Yes. I don't propose to take you through it again. 17 Q. At the time, do you consider that you were provided 18 with enough information to fulfil your role as a 19 20 Specialist Adviser in this process? 21 Yes. And can I just qualify that, please? Α. 22 Q. Please 23 Α. Right. The answer is yes, but the proviso is 24 that I would, I would have assumed that the CQC had all the information and that the information they now 25

107

another, another way? Α. Please. Q. You have been asked a lot of questions about whether or not you should have been informed about neonatal mortality rates. I want to step back from that. Neonatal mortality is one issue among a host of potential issues in a hospital, is that fair? Yes. Α. 0 If an issue is not of concern, the CQC has no reason to think that an issue is of concern, would you expect to be informed about a range of issues as a matter of course or would you only expect to be informed of issues in advance of the inspection that stood out for some reason? I think the information that's for reasons we Α. 108

(27) Pages 105 - 108

1	would be expected to be informed.
2	MR DEAKIN: Thank you very much.
3	Thank you very much, my Lady.
4	LADY JUSTICE THIRLWALL: Thank you very much,
5	Mr Deakin.
6	Have you got anything arising out of that, Mr Carr?
7	<b>MR CARR:</b> No, I don't. Thank you very much.
8	LADY JUSTICE THIRLWALL: Thank you very much
9	indeed, Dr Odeka.
10	A. Thank you.
11	LADY JUSTICE THIRLWALL: We will rise now until
12	10 past 2.
13	(1.08 pm)
14	(The luncheon adjournment)
15	(2.10 pm)
16	LADY JUSTICE THIRLWALL: Mr Carr.
17	<b>MR CARR:</b> May I call Mary Potter, please.
18	LADY JUSTICE THIRLWALL: Ms Potter, would you like
19	to come forward.
20	MS MARY POTTER (sworn)
21	LADY JUSTICE THIRLWALL: Thank you very much, do
22	sit down.
23	Questions by MR CARR
24	<b>MR CARR:</b> Can we start with your full name, please.
25	A. Mary Potter. 109
1	the Countess of Chester in February 2016?
2	A. Yes.
3	<b>Q.</b> That's the inspection that I will be asking
4	you questions about. Your recollection was that it was
5	the only inspection you undertook involving
6	an inspection of a neonatal unit?
7	A. Yes.
8	<b>Q.</b> So far as the role of a Specialist Adviser,
9	can you describe your understanding of that role and how
10 11	<ul><li>it differed to, for instance, a CQC Inspector?</li><li>A. Yes, as a Specialist Adviser on the for the</li></ul>
12	<b>A.</b> Yes, as a Specialist Adviser on the for the duration of the inspection, we go in on a daily basis
13	and we observe what is happening in the clinical areas
14	on that day and make comments about what we are actually
15	seeing.
16	<b>Q.</b> So the visit itself occurred over three days
17	of announced visits and then there were two other days
18	of unannounced visits, only one of which involved
19	Children and Young People's Services. So you would have
20	been present for the three day's worth of announced
21	visits?
22	A. Yes.
23	<b>Q.</b> Outside of your presence during those three
24	days, what was the extent of your involvement in the
25	inspection process?
	111

1	Q.	You have prepared a witness statement for this
2	Inquiry da	ited 21 June 2024, haven't you?
3	Α.	Yes.
4	Q.	Are the contents of that statement true to the
5	best of yo	ur knowledge and belief?
6	Α.	Sorry?
7	Q.	Are the contents of the witness statement true
8	to your be	est knowledge and belief?
9	Α.	Yes.
10	Q.	So far as your professional background, you
11	are a nurs	se, aren't you, you qualified in 1973 and
12	you've wo	rked as a Registered Sick Children's Nurse in
13	the past?	
14	Α.	Yes.
15	Q.	In 2004 you trained to become
16	a RCN re	presentative, a Royal College of Nursing
17	represent	ative?
18	Α.	(Nods)
19	Q.	You became a Specialist Adviser in Children's
20	Services	for the CQC in July 2014?
21	Α.	I think it was 2012, but it might have been
22	2014.	
23	Q.	At paragraph 6 of your statement, you state
24	your reco	llection to be that you completed three or four
25	inspectior	ns, the last of which was the inspection for
		110
1	Α.	Sorry, could you expand on that?
2	Q.	So you visited for three days?
3	Α.	Yes.
4	Q.	Three days' worth of inspection, and we are
5	going to c	ome on to that in a few moments. But putting
6	that to on	e side, what was the role of a Specialist
7	Advisor e	ither running up to the actual visit or in the
8	period fol	owing the visit?
9	Α.	As a Specialist Adviser I wasn't involved in
10	anything	prior to the actual inspection of the clinical
11	areas.	
12	Q.	So
13	Α.	Sorry.
14	Q.	Forgive me.
15	Α.	Post the inspection, we may have been called
16	to do an ι	inexpected visit, but on this occasion I never
17	was, so m	y only involvement was the actual three days of
18	the inspe	ction.
19	Q.	Did you ever receive any training for the role
20	of Specia	list Adviser?
21	A.	Yes.
22	Q.	Where was the training, who provided the
23	training?	-
24	A.	The CQC provided the training and it was to my
25	recollection	on because this is eight years ago, so longer
		112

(28) Pages 109 - 112

O.

Α.

Q.

doctors?

CQC?

Α.

Q.

Α.

Q.

Q.

Α.

Q.

Α. document. O.

Α.

Q.

Α.

Q.

Yes

making -- preparing my statement.

have seen those documents?

No.

a pre-inspection document.

Α.

Q.

area.

arranged between the two of you?

How was the division of work or advice

As a Specialist Adviser from a nursing

When it came to interviews looking at the

Yes, I don't recall interviewing anybody other

If I can turn to documentation provided to you

background my main role was to look at the clinical areas and observe the service provision of, from that

notes, it appears that you focused on interviewing nurses, most of the nurses and Dr Odeka did more of the

than nurses or care providers, healthcare assistants.

This was sent to me in preparation for

point that you make in your evidence is you wouldn't

So this document was not part of the

If we can look at INQ0017286, this is the

From my recollection this would have been the

Now, what this document does is, there is

Not to my knowledge or recollection.

Your recollection is that in fact you didn't

-- of the inspection which was on the 16th. Now, I have skipped over a few introductory pages

116

information pack and is not the information pack?

inspection team briefing pack. Now, is this the document that you say you received on day one?

a few introductory pages, we can look at page 11, please. Now, part of this pack there is an agenda for an inspection briefing section, 10 February at 2. Did you attend that briefing section?

that have individual's contact details. If we go to page 14, a section dealing with the services to be inspected, we can see the penultimate heading "Services for Children and Young People" and that's the service

seeing anything prior to that, that included

Not that I recall.

receive this document until day one --

Yes. About at the time of the inspection the

What you describe is receiving an information 114

ahead of the inspection. For the purposes of you preparing your statement to this Inquiry, you have seen, haven't you, the Provider Information Returns, and the responses to data requests that the hospital sent to the

1	than that since I did any training, I think it was all	1	
2	done remotely.	2	
3	LADY JUSTICE THIRLWALL: Eight years ago, it was	3	
4	done remotely?	4	1
5	A. Sorry?	5	
6	LADY JUSTICE THIRLWALL: All done remotely eight	6	
7	years ago, did you say?	7	
8	<b>A.</b> Probably now that you have guestioned that,	8	
9	no, probably not. But it was a long time ago and	9	
10	I don't remember what was involved in my actual	10	•
11	training. But I had some training about the role of the	11	
12	Specialist Adviser.	12	1
13	LADY JUSTICE THIRLWALL: But you can't remember	13	
14	what, what it was?	14	į
15	A. No, it it was around how we would be	15	1
16	expected, what we would be expected to look for.	16	ļ
17	MR CARR: You were a Specialist Adviser with	17	1
18	a nursing background?	18	•
19	A. Yes.	19	
20	<b>Q.</b> We have heard evidence from the other	20	I
21	Specialist Adviser who was a doctor. So between the two	21	
22	of you, Specialist Adviser doctor, Specialist Adviser in	22	
23	nursing, were there different issues that you would	23	ļ
24	provide specialist advice on?	24	
25	A. Yes.	25	
1 2	pack which was given to you on the first day of the inspection?	1 2	
3	<b>A.</b> On the inspection, yes.	3	
4	<b>Q.</b> So prior to arriving at the hospital, you	4	i
5	received no document containing any detail about the	5	
6	service at all?	6	
7	<b>A.</b> No.	7	i
8	<b>Q.</b> So you arrive on day one and you receive an	8	•
9	information pack. Now, there's a few different	9	
10	documents and I want to see if we can work out quite	10	•
11	what the data pack was. If we can look, please, at	11	
12	INQ0101422, does this look like the data pack that you	12	į
13	are describing?	13	1
14	A. Yes, to my recollection.	14	;
15	<b>Q.</b> Now, this version has draft marked on it, it's	15	
16	titled "Pre-inspection document 22 December 2015".	16	
17	A. I don't recall seeing a pre-inspection	17	
18	document.	18	
19	<b>Q.</b> That was the point of my question. So the	19	
20	draft pack that you described receiving on the first day	20	
21	-	21	
22	A. The draft pack on on the day would have	22	1
23	included the service provision of the Trust or	23	
24	organisation we were inspecting. What provisions they,	24	i
25	they had, what services they provided I do not recall 115	25	1

(29) Pages 113 - 116

that you were inspecting? 1 1 2 Α. Yes. 2 3 Q. But there is nothing in there which is 3 4 specific to the Countess of Chester, is there? This is 4 generic information about services for children and 5 5 6 young people? 6 7 Α. (Nods) 7 8 Then if we go forward, please, to page 16, Q. 8 9 this is a section of the document that deals with the 9 10 key lines of inquiry, which contains the prompts for you 10 to use when conducting interviews? 11 11 12 Α. Yes. 12 13 Q. It's aimed at obtaining evidence for the 13 purposes of the inspection report? 14 14 15 (Nods) 15 Α. 16 Q. Now, again this runs over several pages, it's 16 17 split into the different domains that you were 17 inspecting "safe", "effective", "responsive", "well 18 18 19 led". 19 20 But there's nothing in these key lines of inquiry 20 which is specific to the Countess of Chester, is there? 21 21 22 Α. No, this is a general, a general document for 22 23 how we -- we would -- the things we would look for 23 24 24 during an inspection. 25 Q. So in fact these are the same key lines of 25 117 1 receive anything specific. 1 2 The next document please, INQ0103620. Now, 2 Q. this appears to be a PowerPoint presentation, slides 3 3 from a PowerPoint presentation. You see there 4 4 5 5 "Intelligence presentation 16 February 2016". Do you 6 recall if you went to this presentation? 6 7 Α. I think this was, yes, yes, to that. This 7 8 would have been the first discussion we had on the 8 9 morning of the first day of the inspection. 9 If we go to page 7 of this document, please. 10 Q. 10 11 So when you are going through these slides, the 11 first few slides deal with Trust-wide issues and once 12 12 you got to slide 7, there is information as to Children 13 13 14 and Young People's Services', which of course you are 14 15 most directly concerned with? 15 16 Α. Yes 16 17 Q. So the first thing that you -- the first bit 17 of data that is specifically relevant to Children and 18 18 Young People's Services that you would have seen would 19 19 20 have been this slide? 20 21 I believe so. 21 Α. 22 Q. We can see the title, can we not, 22 23 "Self-assessment from PIR" so it's Provider Information 23 24 Return. So it's clear this is how the Trust is 24 25 assessing their own performance? 25 119

inquiry that you would see on other inspections? Α. Yes. Q. Then there are some concluding pages, page 32, which has procedures for the visit. And finally within this document, page 35, which deals with escalation procedures "Where an issue of concern arises". This is the bit in bold in the middle of the page "Where an issue of concern arise during an inspection", but again that is all generic, isn't it, nothing specific? Α. Yes, it's a generic one. Q. So going into the inspection, you receive this document which tells you nothing about the service you are about to inspect. Did you receive anything else which did contain information about the Countess of Chester? Α. Not to my recollection. Q. Was that usual for a CQC inspection that you as a Specialist Adviser turn up on day one --Α. Yes. Q. -- and you don't know anything about -- you don't have any advance information about what you are inspecting? Α. No. Q. That was usual or --Δ. Sorry, yes, this was usual that we wouldn't 118 Α. (Nods) But for Services for Children and Young People Q. we can see it is a positive assessment, isn't it, three "goods" and two "outstandings"? Α. Yes. When you see an assessment like that, "good" O. for safe "good" for effective, "outstanding" for caring, "outstanding" for responsive and "good" for well-led, how does that shape your approach to the inspection in the upcoming days? Seeing this document wouldn't have shaped my Δ. approach to an inspection. My approach would be that I go in and comment on what I personally am seeing of the service provision during the inspection. So your approach would be the same even if it Q. was "requires improvement across the board"? Α. Sorry. Your approach to the inspection would have Q. been the same even if the self-assessment was "requires improvement"? Α. Yes Q. If we go forward, please, to page 27 and here we have what is described as a summary of intelligence findings. So this is the first real data that you would

25 have seen about the service that you were about to 120

1	inspect?
2	A. (Nods)
3	Q. "No Never Events or Serious Incidents reported
4	up to January 2016."
5	What conclusion would you draw from seeing that
6	entry?
7	<b>A.</b> Again, I would try not to allow any of this to
8	influence how I approached the inspection and I would be
9	asking to look at their data recording myself.
10	<b>Q.</b> Looking at this page, the data contained on
11	this page, and thinking particularly about the domains
12	of safety and effectiveness, are there any other entries
13	on that page which are relevant to the assessment of
14	safety or of effectiveness?
15	<b>A.</b> Sorry, can you expand on that? I am not
16	really sure what you are asking.
17	<b>Q.</b> Yes. The inspection is according to the key
18	lines of inquiry?
19	A. Yes, yes.
20	Q. The key lines of inquiry are in five different
21	domains?
22	A. Yes.
23	<b>Q.</b> One of those domains is safety?
24	A. Yes.
25	<b>Q.</b> We looked at the document briefly before, but
	121
1	<b>MR CARR:</b> Up a page, I think.
1 2	MR CARR: Up a page, I think. LADY JUSTICE THIRLWALL: The next.
-	LADY JUSTICE THIRLWALL: The next. MR CARR: It is page 27.
2	LADY JUSTICE THIRLWALL: The next. MR CARR: It is page 27. LADY JUSTICE THIRLWALL: Thank you. Do you see the
2 3	LADY JUSTICE THIRLWALL: The next. MR CARR: It is page 27. LADY JUSTICE THIRLWALL: Thank you. Do you see the second last bullet paediatrics is "2.19 WTE over
2 3 4	LADY JUSTICE THIRLWALL: The next. MR CARR: It is page 27. LADY JUSTICE THIRLWALL: Thank you. Do you see the
2 3 4 5	LADY JUSTICE THIRLWALL: The next. MR CARR: It is page 27. LADY JUSTICE THIRLWALL: Thank you. Do you see the second last bullet paediatrics is "2.19 WTE over establishment". What does that mean? A. The over establishment so "WTE" is whole time
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	LADY JUSTICE THIRLWALL: The next. MR CARR: It is page 27. LADY JUSTICE THIRLWALL: Thank you. Do you see the second last bullet paediatrics is "2.19 WTE over establishment". What does that mean? A. The over establishment so "WTE" is whole time equivalent, so they are saying that they have more staff
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	LADY JUSTICE THIRLWALL: The next. MR CARR: It is page 27. LADY JUSTICE THIRLWALL: Thank you. Do you see the second last bullet paediatrics is "2.19 WTE over establishment". What does that mean? A. The over establishment so "WTE" is whole time equivalent, so they are saying that they have more staff than their establishment calls for.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	LADY JUSTICE THIRLWALL: The next. MR CARR: It is page 27. LADY JUSTICE THIRLWALL: Thank you. Do you see the second last bullet paediatrics is "2.19 WTE over establishment". What does that mean? A. The over establishment so "WTE" is whole time equivalent, so they are saying that they have more staff than their establishment calls for. LADY JUSTICE THIRLWALL: So that is more staff than
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	LADY JUSTICE THIRLWALL: The next. MR CARR: It is page 27. LADY JUSTICE THIRLWALL: Thank you. Do you see the second last bullet paediatrics is "2.19 WTE over establishment". What does that mean? A. The over establishment so "WTE" is whole time equivalent, so they are saying that they have more staff than their establishment calls for. LADY JUSTICE THIRLWALL: So that is more staff than they would expect to have?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	LADY JUSTICE THIRLWALL: The next. MR CARR: It is page 27. LADY JUSTICE THIRLWALL: Thank you. Do you see the second last bullet paediatrics is "2.19 WTE over establishment". What does that mean? A. The over establishment so "WTE" is whole time equivalent, so they are saying that they have more staff than their establishment calls for. LADY JUSTICE THIRLWALL: So that is more staff than they would expect to have? A. Than they would expect to have.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	LADY JUSTICE THIRLWALL: The next. MR CARR: It is page 27. LADY JUSTICE THIRLWALL: Thank you. Do you see the second last bullet paediatrics is "2.19 WTE over establishment". What does that mean? A. The over establishment so "WTE" is whole time equivalent, so they are saying that they have more staff than their establishment calls for. LADY JUSTICE THIRLWALL: So that is more staff than they would expect to have? A. Than they would expect to have. LADY JUSTICE THIRLWALL: Thank you.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	LADY JUSTICE THIRLWALL: The next. MR CARR: It is page 27. LADY JUSTICE THIRLWALL: Thank you. Do you see the second last bullet paediatrics is "2.19 WTE over establishment". What does that mean? A. The over establishment so "WTE" is whole time equivalent, so they are saying that they have more staff than their establishment calls for. LADY JUSTICE THIRLWALL: So that is more staff than they would expect to have? A. Than they would expect to have. LADY JUSTICE THIRLWALL: Thank you. Is that something that you would have explored?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	LADY JUSTICE THIRLWALL: The next. MR CARR: It is page 27. LADY JUSTICE THIRLWALL: Thank you. Do you see the second last bullet paediatrics is "2.19 WTE over establishment". What does that mean? A. The over establishment so "WTE" is whole time equivalent, so they are saying that they have more staff than their establishment calls for. LADY JUSTICE THIRLWALL: So that is more staff than they would expect to have? A. Than they would expect to have. LADY JUSTICE THIRLWALL: Thank you. Is that something that you would have explored? A. I would have explored it with the nursing
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	LADY JUSTICE THIRLWALL: The next. MR CARR: It is page 27. LADY JUSTICE THIRLWALL: Thank you. Do you see the second last bullet paediatrics is "2.19 WTE over establishment". What does that mean? A. The over establishment so "WTE" is whole time equivalent, so they are saying that they have more staff than their establishment calls for. LADY JUSTICE THIRLWALL: So that is more staff than they would expect to have? A. Than they would expect to have. LADY JUSTICE THIRLWALL: Thank you. Is that something that you would have explored? A. I would have explored it with the nursing establishment in general with the staff on the ward and
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	LADY JUSTICE THIRLWALL: The next. MR CARR: It is page 27. LADY JUSTICE THIRLWALL: Thank you. Do you see the second last bullet paediatrics is "2.19 WTE over establishment". What does that mean? A. The over establishment so "WTE" is whole time equivalent, so they are saying that they have more staff than their establishment calls for. LADY JUSTICE THIRLWALL: So that is more staff than they would expect to have? A. Than they would expect to have. LADY JUSTICE THIRLWALL: Thank you. Is that something that you would have explored? A. I would have explored it with the nursing establishment in general with the staff on the ward and the manager of the ward.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	LADY JUSTICE THIRLWALL: The next. MR CARR: It is page 27. LADY JUSTICE THIRLWALL: Thank you. Do you see the second last bullet paediatrics is "2.19 WTE over establishment". What does that mean? A. The over establishment so "WTE" is whole time equivalent, so they are saying that they have more staff than their establishment calls for. LADY JUSTICE THIRLWALL: So that is more staff than they would expect to have? A. Than they would expect to have. LADY JUSTICE THIRLWALL: Thank you. Is that something that you would have explored? A. I would have explored it with the nursing establishment in general with the staff on the ward and the manager of the ward. LADY JUSTICE THIRLWALL: Which ward?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	LADY JUSTICE THIRLWALL: The next. MR CARR: It is page 27. LADY JUSTICE THIRLWALL: Thank you. Do you see the second last bullet paediatrics is "2.19 WTE over establishment". What does that mean? A. The over establishment so "WTE" is whole time equivalent, so they are saying that they have more staff than their establishment calls for. LADY JUSTICE THIRLWALL: So that is more staff than they would expect to have? A. Than they would expect to have. LADY JUSTICE THIRLWALL: Thank you. Is that something that you would have explored? A. I would have explored it with the nursing establishment in general with the staff on the ward and the manager of the ward. LADY JUSTICE THIRLWALL: Which ward? A. Sorry?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	LADY JUSTICE THIRLWALL: The next. MR CARR: It is page 27. LADY JUSTICE THIRLWALL: Thank you. Do you see the second last bullet paediatrics is "2.19 WTE over establishment". What does that mean? A. The over establishment so "WTE" is whole time equivalent, so they are saying that they have more staff than their establishment calls for. LADY JUSTICE THIRLWALL: So that is more staff than they would expect to have? A. Than they would expect to have. LADY JUSTICE THIRLWALL: Thank you. Is that something that you would have explored? A. I would have explored it with the nursing establishment in general with the staff on the ward and the manager of the ward. LADY JUSTICE THIRLWALL: Which ward? A. Sorry? LADY JUSTICE THIRLWALL: Which ward?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	LADY JUSTICE THIRLWALL: The next. MR CARR: It is page 27. LADY JUSTICE THIRLWALL: Thank you. Do you see the second last bullet paediatrics is "2.19 WTE over establishment". What does that mean? A. The over establishment so "WTE" is whole time equivalent, so they are saying that they have more staff than their establishment calls for. LADY JUSTICE THIRLWALL: So that is more staff than they would expect to have? A. Than they would expect to have. LADY JUSTICE THIRLWALL: Thank you. Is that something that you would have explored? A. I would have explored it with the nursing establishment in general with the staff on the ward and the manager of the ward. LADY JUSTICE THIRLWALL: Which ward? A. Sorry? LADY JUSTICE THIRLWALL: Which ward? A. The areas the clinical areas I was
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	LADY JUSTICE THIRLWALL: The next. MR CARR: It is page 27. LADY JUSTICE THIRLWALL: Thank you. Do you see the second last bullet paediatrics is "2.19 WTE over establishment". What does that mean? A. The over establishment so "WTE" is whole time equivalent, so they are saying that they have more staff than their establishment calls for. LADY JUSTICE THIRLWALL: So that is more staff than they would expect to have? A. Than they would expect to have. LADY JUSTICE THIRLWALL: Thank you. Is that something that you would have explored? A. I would have explored it with the nursing establishment in general with the staff on the ward and the manager of the ward. LADY JUSTICE THIRLWALL: Which ward? A. Sorry? LADY JUSTICE THIRLWALL: Which ward? A. The areas the clinical areas I was inspecting, so the neonatal unit and the children's
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	LADY JUSTICE THIRLWALL: The next. MR CARR: It is page 27. LADY JUSTICE THIRLWALL: Thank you. Do you see the second last bullet paediatrics is "2.19 WTE over establishment". What does that mean? A. The over establishment so "WTE" is whole time equivalent, so they are saying that they have more staff than their establishment calls for. LADY JUSTICE THIRLWALL: So that is more staff than they would expect to have? A. Than they would expect to have. LADY JUSTICE THIRLWALL: Thank you. Is that something that you would have explored? A. I would have explored it with the nursing establishment in general with the staff on the ward and the manager of the ward. LADY JUSTICE THIRLWALL: Which ward? A. Sorry? LADY JUSTICE THIRLWALL: Which ward? A. The areas the clinical areas I was inspecting, so the neonatal unit and the children's wards.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	LADY JUSTICE THIRLWALL: The next. MR CARR: It is page 27. LADY JUSTICE THIRLWALL: Thank you. Do you see the second last bullet paediatrics is "2.19 WTE over establishment". What does that mean? A. The over establishment so "WTE" is whole time equivalent, so they are saying that they have more staff than their establishment calls for. LADY JUSTICE THIRLWALL: So that is more staff than they would expect to have? A. Than they would expect to have. LADY JUSTICE THIRLWALL: Thank you. Is that something that you would have explored? A. I would have explored it with the nursing establishment in general with the staff on the ward and the manager of the ward. LADY JUSTICE THIRLWALL: Which ward? A. Sorry? LADY JUSTICE THIRLWALL: Which ward? A. The areas the clinical areas I was inspecting, so the neonatal unit and the children's wards. LADY JUSTICE THIRLWALL: Thank you. Sorry,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	LADY JUSTICE THIRLWALL: The next. MR CARR: It is page 27. LADY JUSTICE THIRLWALL: Thank you. Do you see the second last bullet paediatrics is "2.19 WTE over establishment". What does that mean? A. The over establishment so "WTE" is whole time equivalent, so they are saying that they have more staff than their establishment calls for. LADY JUSTICE THIRLWALL: So that is more staff than they would expect to have? A. Than they would expect to have. LADY JUSTICE THIRLWALL: Thank you. Is that something that you would have explored? A. I would have explored it with the nursing establishment in general with the staff on the ward and the manager of the ward. LADY JUSTICE THIRLWALL: Which ward? A. Sorry? LADY JUSTICE THIRLWALL: Which ward? A. The areas the clinical areas I was inspecting, so the neonatal unit and the children's wards.

J			
1	within those key lines of inquiry there are prompts for		
2	safety, so the evidence that you are looking for or		
3	looking at in determining safety. Another is		
4	"effective", one of the prompts looking at effective.		
5	So what I am asking is when you look at these		
6	bullet points this is the first bit of information you		
7	have about the Trust, are there entries on that slide		
8	which are relevant to either of those two domains?		
9	A. No. Again I'm not sure what you are actually		
10	asking me.		
11	LADY JUSTICE THIRLWALL: Perhaps we will move on,		
12	Mr Carr.		
13	<b>MR CARR:</b> We can take that document down.		
14	LADY JUSTICE THIRLWALL: Actually, just before you		
15	do that, could I just ask a question.		
16	MR CARR: Yes, of course.		
17	LADY JUSTICE THIRLWALL: The second last bullet		
18	says paediatrics is and then it's something WTE.		
19	A. Sorry, it's gone off my screen.		
20	LADY JUSTICE THIRLWALL:   know, it's coming back.		
20 21			
21	A. Sorry.		
	LADY JUSTICE THIRLWALL: Can you just I'm sorry		
23	Mr Carr, could you remind us of the page?		
24 25	MR CARR: Yes no. LADY JUSTICE THIRLWALL: Here it is.		
25	LADY JUSTICE THIRLWALL: Here it is. 122		
1	<b>MR CARR:</b> Thank you.		
2	One of the documents that was provided to the CQC		
3	by the hospital was a spreadsheet titled "NNU paediatric		
4	incidents 1 January 2015 to 31" sorry, forgive me,		
5	1 February 2015 to 31 January 2016. It is a document		
6	that you wouldn't have seen at the time of the		
7	inspection but you have seen it for the purposes of		
8	providing your statement for this Inquiry, haven't you?		
9	A. (Nods)		
10	<b>Q.</b> It is a table that has the coloured column to		
11	the left marking incidents or categorising incidents		
12	according to harm, with the majority of them being in		
13	green.		
14	Now, having looked at that document, what you say		
15	in your statement, and I am considering your		
16	paragraph 34, you say:		
17	"Unless specific concerns relating to this document		
18	were identified by the lead inspector, I would not have		
19	expected to receive this document in my role as		
19 20	expected to receive this document in my role as Specialist Adviser."		

22 inspector looks at this document and would only come to

- 23 you if the lead inspector identified concerns that she
- 24 wanted your input on? A. Yes.

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

Q. On a document such as this, and in particular 1 2 issues as to categorisation of incidents, would that be 3 something that you would give specialist advice on or would you expect Dr Odeka to be the appropriate person 4 5 to give specialist advice or would it be both of you? 6 Α. We -- we would both have given some input on 7 that. But obviously our perspectives in it would be 8 slightly different and I would be doing it from the 9 perspective of the nurses on the ward or clinical area. 10 You say at paragraph 35 of your statement: Q. "Having not had access to this document at the time 11 of the inspection, I am unable to comment on why these 12 13 incidents have been categorised as they have been." Well, of course categorisation was done by the 14 hospital. The question for you is: if you had received 15 16 this table at or during the inspection, and considered 17 it, what impact it would have had, if any, on your approach to the inspection? 18 19 Α. It's very difficult to say what -- what 20 approach, if any, it would have had on my -- sorry, 21 influence on my approach to the inspection. 22 Had -- had I seen causes for concern, I would have 23 explored that further and I would have spoken with the inspector asking: is there further information that we 24 can see? Or: is there anything you want us to be 25 125 1 Q. National Reporting and Learning System, 2 Strategic Executive Information System. Those are both reporting tools operated by NHS England that NHS Trusts 3 4 report patient incidents to? 5 Α. (Nods) The Strategic Executive Information System, 6 Q. 7 that's for Serious Incidents, patient harm incidents 8 must be reported to the National Reporting and Learning 9 System. You have again, for the purposes of the preparation 10 of your evidence for this inquest (sic), you have been 11 provided with spreadsheets showing reports from the 12 Trust to those two systems, haven't you? 13 14 Α. Yes, for the preparation of my statement, yes. 15 Q. Yes, you didn't see them at the time? 16 Α. No 17 I took you to that PowerPoint presentation Q. where the first entry said "no Serious Incidents 18 reported"? 19 20 Α. (Nods) 21 Now, having seen the reports by the Trust, you Q. 22 have seen that in fact there was a Serious Incident 23 report, wasn't there, in respect of the death of 24 Child D? 25 Α. I don't recall it.

127

specifically asking for information around, from the people I was seeing on the ward? So if you identified something which caused Q. you concern you would investigate further? Α. Certainly. Q. I understand that. Having looked at the table, the query is whether it contains matters which would have caused you concern. There are eight entries in a table in which the description of the incident includes death. Each of those entries is marked green for none in the "Actual harm" column. Would that categorisation have been something that would have caused you concern leading to you to investigate further had you seen it? Now I would say yes, you know, if incidents --Α. if concerns were raised with me or I seen a document that was raising concerns I would have asked for further information or for it to be looked into further. The question is whether you would be concerned Q. by an entry which is marked green, "no harm", in circumstances where it involves neonatal mortality, not that the description itself states concern? Α. I -- I believe that I would want more information about how they got to the "no harm" category. 126 Q. Had you been provided with entries from the National Reporting and Learning System and the Strategic Executive Information System relating to neonates at the Countess of Chester ahead of the inspection, how would that have impacted your approach to the inspection?

- 6 As I say, it's -- it's eight years ago and Α. 7 information would have allowed me or prompted me to ask 8 different questions to what I was asking during the inspection. But I can't now say how that would have 9
- been because at that point I didn't have that 10
- information. 11
- 12 What different questions do you think you Q. 13 would have asked?
- 14 I say again it's difficult now to say in Α.
- 15 hindsight what I would have done differently. But
- I think I would have wanted more information about the 16
- 17 Serious Incident.
- 18 At the inspection you were involved in Q.
- a number of interviews. You in particular attended 19
- 20 an interview with the two other -- the two other members
- of your team and the team leaders within the neonatal 21 22 unit.
- 23 If we can go, please, to INQ0017339, page 164.
- 24 Now, we have looked earlier today at notes made by
- Helen Cain of this same meeting, but this is your 25

132

1	handwriting, isn't it?	1	notes of others. Based on those notes and based on your
2	A. This is my handwriting, yes.	2	recollection, did you discuss we can take this down,
3	<b>Q.</b> So this is your note of the same meeting. The	3	did you discuss directly with any of the interviewees
4	third line down:	4	neonatal mortality?
5	"Mortality and Morbidity Meetings equals five last	5	<b>A.</b> No.
6	year. Planned four this year CS."	6	Q. You didn't discuss therefore concerns about
7	What does CS refer to?	7	neonatal mortality?
8	A. Sorry, I didn't hear that question.	8	<b>A.</b> No.
9	<b>Q.</b> The CS that is highlighted on the screen,	9	Q. Instances of unexpected and unexplained
10	what, what is that a reference to? Or is it C-5 caring	10	deaths?
11	5, is it one of the prompts?	11	A. No, because we weren't given information
12	A. I think it's C-5 but as I say, this was eight	12	around that on, during the inspection.
13	years ago and I can't fully recall.	13	Q. When you say you weren't given information,
14	<b>Q.</b> The next sentence:	14	did you directly ask any did you directly ask anybody
15	"Neonatal depend on number of cases to be	15	that you interviewed about neonatal mortality?
6	discussed."	16	<b>A.</b> No.
17	What's your recollection as to what was said at	17	Q. You didn't ask and it wasn't volunteered by
18	this meeting as to Neonatal Mortality and Morbidity	18	anybody who you interviewed?
19	Meetings?	19	A. It wasn't.
20	A. My recollection is that all the cases would	20	<b>Q.</b> Did you ask any question that you think should
21	have been discussed. It's very difficult, as I said,	21	have elicited an answer describing concerns about
22	eight years on, to really recall what that sentence	22	neonatal mortality given that there were concerns on the
23	means.	23	unit?
24	<b>Q.</b> Now, you have considered your notes of the	24	A. To my recollection, I didn't ask any specific
25	interviews that you conducted, you have also seen the	25	questions.
	129		130
1	<b>Q.</b> Yes, I am thinking less about specific	1	paragraph 71, you say:
2	questions, but whether you asked any general questions	2	"I had been asked to provide a view on the relevant
3	any open questions that could have encouraged an	3	significance of these matters referred to above and
4	interviewer to share with you concerns they had, such as	4	whether they should have been raised by interviewees.
5	do you have any concerns on this unit? Is there	5	I think these matters were relevant and significant to
6	anything unusual? Is there anything that's worrying	6	the inspection and my expectation would be that they
7	you? An open question?	7	would be raised by interviewees such as Band 6 nurses
8	<b>A.</b> No, I didn't ask any of those questions.	8	and above where they were aware of these."
9	I did ask how staff felt about when an incident had	9	You go on to say:
10	occurred how they were supported and what feedback they	10	"I appreciate that it can sometimes be difficult to
11	got and what learning, if anything, came from those	11	provide these kinds of disclosure in the presence of
12	incidents.	12	colleagues or managers and we offered the opportunity
13	Q. Were you not keen to understand, particularly	13	for one-to-one fact-focused interviews during the
14	for the purposes of the assessment of safety and the	14	inspection to accommodate for this."
15	assessment of effectiveness, what the performance was so	15	Were you taken up on that offer of
16	far as outcomes, neonatal mortality was?	16	<b>A.</b> No.
17	<b>A.</b> We we dealt with what we saw during the	17	<b>Q.</b> If you look, please, at paragraph 40 of your
18	inspection.	18	witness statement?
19	<b>Q.</b> In paragraph 71 of your statement, and here	19	A. Sorry, which?
20	you are making reference to the Thematic Review from	20	Q. Paragraph 40.
20 21	February 2016 which references increased mortality and	20	<b>A.</b> 14?
22	also the November 2015 Dr Brigham report, and again you	21	<b>Q.</b> 4-0. Do you have it?
22	didn't see those at the time of the inspection?	22	<b>A.</b> Yes.
23 24	A. No.	23	<b>Q.</b> This is another paragraph dealing with those
24 25	<b>Q.</b> You saw them subsequently. But at	24	reviews, the Thematic Review and the Dr Brigham review.
-0	<b>Q.</b> Fou saw ment subsequently. But at	20	132

131

(33) Pages 129 - 132

1	You say in the first sentence that:		
2	"The provision of the Thematic Review or peer		
3	review ahead of an inspection would not have changed my		
4	approach to the inspection insofar as there was a CQC		
5	process for inspections and for inspectors and		
6	Specialist Advisers to follow."		
7	But you go on, don't you, in the rest of that		
8	paragraph to explain how receiving that information		
9	would have shaped your approach to the inspection and		
10	would have changed the areas of focus and the areas of		
11	questioning?		
12	A. (Nods) I believe that it would have done had		
13	I had that information prior to my inspecting the		
14	clinical areas and interviewing staff. I may have asked		
15	different questions but I was I did not have that		
16	information.		
17	Q. During interviews when you are asking staff		
18	about safeguarding policies, safeguarding practice and		
19	safeguarding knowledge, how do you go about testing the		
20	safeguarding knowledge of the people you are		
21	interviewing?		
22	A. I wouldn't say that we tested the knowledge.		
23	I would have a conversation with them just to see if		
24	they were aware of the safeguarding processes within the		
25	Trust.		
	133		
1	which is described at paragraph 85, you say:		
2	"To the best of my recollection some staff		
3	expressed concerns during interviews that staffing was		
4	not adequate and there were times when the ward unit was		
5	short-staffed".		
6	Aside from that, were there any concerns that		
7	emerged during your participation in this inspection?		
8	A. Not that I can recall now.		
9	<b>Q.</b> In terms of providing feedback because you		
10	were not involved at all, were you, in the writing of		
11	the report?		
12	<b>A.</b> No.		
13	<b>Q.</b> You have explained you were there for		
14	three days and that's it and then the report is written		
15	by Helen Cain.		
16	At the end of the three-day inspection, did you		
17	provide feedback to Helen Cain?		
18	<b>A.</b> At the end of each day of the inspection, we		
19	provided feedback on that day's inspection.		
20	MR CARR: Thank you, my Lady, subject to any		
21	questions Mr Deakin may have, those are my questions.		
22	LADY JUSTICE THIRLWALL: Thank you, Mr Carr. Mr		
23	Deakin?		
24	Thank you very much indeed, Mrs Potter, you are		
25	free to go.		
_0	135		

nquiry	/ 14 November 202
1	<b>Q.</b> In the course of that conversation would
2	you would you ask them, for instance, what the
3	safeguarding processes were?
4	A. Yes.
5	<b>Q.</b> Rather than just saying: have you had
6	safeguarding training, and they say yes, would you seek
7	evidence that demonstrates the person before you did
8	actually and could articulate what safeguarding
9	processes were?
10	A. Yes.
11	Q. You were not at the Consultants' focus group;
12	that was conducted by another member of staff.
13	As you will be aware as part of your part of the
14	preparation of your evidence for this Inquiry, there
15	were some concerns or concerns noted at the Consultants'
16	group of a culture of bullying.
17	In the course of your interviews with staff members
18	predominantly nurses did you hear any evidence were you
19	told of any culture or bullying at the hospital?
20	A. No, I asked a number of staff how they felt
21	they were supported in their clinical areas and by their
22	senior staff and I nobody said to me they had
23	concerns about how they were supported. Nobody voiced
24	any concerns about bullying with me.
25	<b>Q.</b> And beyond the concerns about staff numbers
	134
1	A. Thank you.
2	LADY JUSTICE THIRLWALL: Would you take the oath
3	please.
4	MS ELIZABETH CHILDS (sworn)
5	LADY JUSTICE THIRLWALL: Do sit down.
6	A. Thank you.
7	Questions by MR DE LA POER
8	LADY JUSTICE THIRLWALL: Yes. Mr De La Poer.
9	MR DE LA POER: Please could you state your full
10	name?
11	A. Elizabeth Childs.
12	<b>Q.</b> Ms Childs, is it correct that on 22 June of
13	this year you provided the Inquiry with a witness
14	statement?
15	A. Yes.
16	<b>Q.</b> Is the content of that witness statement true,
17	to the best of your knowledge and belief?
18	A. Yes.
19	<b>Q.</b> We will begin briefly with your background.
20	Did you qualify as a nurse in 1977?
21	A. Yes.
22	<b>Q.</b> Did you subsequently work in a management role
23	within Women and Children's Services?
24	A. Yes.

- 24 **A.** Yes.
- 25 **Q.** Did you obtain a Master's in Healthcare 136

1	Management in 1999?	1
2	A. Yes.	2
3	Q. In 2000, did you become the Executive Director	3
4	of Nursing at an NHS Foundation Trust? <b>A.</b> Yes.	4
5 6	<ul> <li>A. Tes.</li> <li>Q. And was the role of Deputy Chief Executive</li> </ul>	5 6
7	added to your portfolio in 2009 for the same Trust?	7
8	A. Yes, yes, it was.	8
9	<b>Q.</b> In 2011, did you qualify as an Executive	9
10	coach?	10
11	A. I did.	13
12	<b>Q.</b> Did you subsequently become a Non-Executive	12
13	Director at a different NHS Trust, in 2013?	13
14	A. Yes.	14
15	<b>Q.</b> I think you retired from that Non-Executive	15
16	role in 2019?	16
17	A. Yes, I did.	17
18	<b>Q.</b> If we deal with your experience of the CQC.	18
19	In 2014, did you start acting as a Specialist	19
20	Adviser on an ad hoc basis for CQC inspections?	20
21	A. Yes.	21
22	<b>Q.</b> And did you undertake that special advisory	22
23	role during the period 2014 to 2016?	23
24	A. Yes, I did.	24
25	<b>Q.</b> You estimate in your witness statement, is	25
	137	
1	<b>Q.</b> Can you tell us please in your own words what	1
2	the role of chair of a CQC inspection involves?	2
3	<b>A.</b> It involves supporting the head of hospital	3
4	inspection who's a CQC employee, it involves making sure	4
5	that the team that you have in front of you particularly	5
6	the specialist professional advisers are used to the	6
7	best advantage. It involves ensuring that you have	7
8	a thorough but a fair and respectful inspection because	8
9	you are working through a hospital that's engaged in its	9
10 11	duties.	10 11
12	You help to lead or you lead with the head of	11
12	hospital inspection the the briefing sessions and the corroboration sessions when people come together and you	12
14	listen to the evidence that's been provided and if there	13
15	are issues, any issues that are raised, you deal with	15
16	those areas of concern which we probably discussed with	16
17	the head of hospital inspection. And my experience is	17
18	that as a chair you would usually be involved in the	18
19	interviews of senior people in the organisation, such as	19
20	the chairperson, the Chief Executive, the Director of	20
21	Nursing.	21
22	<b>Q.</b> In that list of responsibilities, you identify	22
23	that the role of the chair was to ensure a thorough	23
24	investigation?	24
25	A. Yes.	25
	139	

3	Α.	Yes, that is an estimation, yes.
4	Q.	And of those five or six, you say that you
5	were the o	chair for two of them?
3	Α.	Yes, that's right.
7	Q.	Of course as we know you were the Chair for
3	the inspec	ction of the Countess of Chester in
9	February	
0	Α.	That's right.
1	Q.	Can you help us. Do you think that was the
2	first time	you acted as chair or the second time?
3	Α.	I think it was the second time I acted as
4	chair.	
5	Q.	And was the Countess of Chester the final
6	inspectior	n you were involved in or did you have
7	subseque	nt inspections in 2016?
8	Α.	I think that was the final inspection that
9	l was invo	lved in.
0	Q.	So in this sense, you were the most
1	experienc	ed that you ever became of acting as an
2	inspector	and chair
3	Α.	Yes.
4	Q.	at that inspection?
5	Α.	Yes.
		138
1	Q.	Does it follow from that that if it transpires
1 2		
<u>~</u> 3		vestigation or the inspection, rather
		norough, that the person who bears
4 =	•	ility for that overall would be the Chair?
5	<b>A</b> .	I think that would let me think.
5		igside the head of hospital inspection because
7		you only have responsibility for those days
3	-	ctually in the on-site inspection, not prior to
9		ction or following the inspection.
0		spect you would have some responsibility for
1	0	t was thorough. But you would hope that also
2		of hospital inspection who does this full time
3		ould work closely with you to ensure that that
4	was the c	
5	Q.	One of the things I am sure you will
6		e is that an important principle is
7	accountat	
8	A.	Yes.
9	Q.	And if I have understood your last answer,
0		objectively determined that a particular
1	•	was insufficiently thorough, the person who
2		accountable for that would be the Chair and
3		ead of hospital at the CQC.
4	A.	I think that's
5	Q.	Is that a fair way of characterising it? 140
		טדו
		(2F) D 407 - 440
		(35) Pages 137 - 140

this correct, that you did between five and six

inspections in that role?

1	A. I think that is probably a fair assessment,	1	you are the Chair, you are ultimately responsible for
2	yes.	2	making sure that this is thorough?
3	<b>Q.</b> At least that was your view at the time?	3	<b>A.</b> No. There would be no communication from the
4	<b>A.</b> Yes. I only hesitate because the role of the	4	CQC about that aspect of the Chair's role.
5	chair is quite a minimal role in just those few days	5	<b>Q.</b> So that has come from your own reflection?
6	that you are on site in the organisation.	6	A. It is just my own interpretation to your
7	<b>Q.</b> That being the case, just to explore this with	7	question.
8	you further, is it a surprising statement you have made	8	<b>Q.</b> Now, I mean this with the greatest of respect,
9	then that you are on the one hand accountable for the	9	but you hadn't in fact done very many inspections
10	thoroughness of the investigation but on the other have	10	<b>A.</b> No.
11	only a very limited role within it? Just help us to	11	<b>Q.</b> prior to becoming a chair?
12	understand that apparent tension?	12	Just looking back on it, if it's right that you are
13	<b>A.</b> In gathering the statement, putting the	13	accountable for the thoroughness of an investigation,
14	statement together for this Inquiry, and you can look at	14	does it surprise you that you were appointed to the role
15	information that you may not have had sight of, that was	15	of chair and considered suitable for that when you had
16	prepared ahead or seen ahead of the inspection and how	16	relatively limited experience of even being involved in
17	the detail following the inspection, it allows you to	17	inspections?
18	realise that your role is actually quite limited.	18	<b>A.</b> It does surprise me now. I am sure at the
19	It's not exactly as a chairperson would normally	19	time it probably didn't surprise me that much. I don't
20	carry a role where you may have a clear overview from	20	recall having any training at all as being a chair. It
21	start to finish of a process.	21	was more to do with the seniority of the role you had
22	<b>Q</b> . So the idea that you may be one of the two	22	outside of the CQC.
23	people accountable is that simply your interpretation or	23	<b>Q.</b> Well, plainly it was important that any person
24	is that something that was part of any training or	24	who sat down with the leadership team of any Trust was
25	information given to you by the CQC when telling you: 141	25	able to speak to them on an equivalent level of 142
1	seniority?	1	<b>Q.</b> At the time, did you think that the culture of
2	<b>A.</b> I think that's exactly right, yes.	2	inspectors should be one of curiosity?
3			A The culture of increators?
	<b>Q.</b> And so part of your function was to do exactly	3	A. The culture of inspectors?
4	that?	4	<b>Q.</b> Yes, should should the inspectors be
5	that? A. Yes. Yes.	4 5	<b>Q.</b> Yes, should should the inspectors be curious?
5 6	<ul><li>that?</li><li>A. Yes. Yes.</li><li>Q. But I think you have described your</li></ul>	4 5 6	<ul><li>Q. Yes, should should the inspectors be curious?</li><li>A. Yes.</li></ul>
5 6 7	<ul> <li>that?</li> <li>A. Yes. Yes.</li> <li>Q. But I think you have described your understanding of the remit as being broader than just</li> </ul>	4 5 6 7	<ul> <li>Q. Yes, should should the inspectors be</li> <li>curious?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Was it part of your role to encourage that</li> </ul>
5 6 7 8	<ul> <li>that?</li> <li>A. Yes. Yes.</li> <li>Q. But I think you have described your understanding of the remit as being broader than just being an appropriately senior person for those</li> </ul>	4 5 6 7 8	<ul> <li>Q. Yes, should should the inspectors be</li> <li>curious?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Was it part of your role to encourage that</li> <li>curiosity?</li> </ul>
5 6 7 8 9	<ul> <li>that?</li> <li>A. Yes. Yes.</li> <li>Q. But I think you have described your understanding of the remit as being broader than just being an appropriately senior person for those interviews because you have talked about liaising with</li> </ul>	4 5 7 8 9	<ul> <li>Q. Yes, should should the inspectors be</li> <li>curious?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Was it part of your role to encourage that</li> <li>curiosity?</li> <li>A. Yes, it would have been part of the role</li> </ul>
5 6 7 8 9 10	<ul> <li>that?</li> <li>A. Yes. Yes.</li> <li>Q. But I think you have described your understanding of the remit as being broader than just being an appropriately senior person for those interviews because you have talked about liaising with the head of hospitals and ensuring that the whole</li> </ul>	4 5 7 8 9 10	<ul> <li>Q. Yes, should should the inspectors be</li> <li>curious?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Was it part of your role to encourage that</li> <li>curiosity?</li> <li>A. Yes, it would have been part of the role</li> <li>although it was quite a strict format that people</li> </ul>
5 6 7 8 9 10 11	<ul> <li>that?</li> <li>A. Yes. Yes.</li> <li>Q. But I think you have described your understanding of the remit as being broader than just being an appropriately senior person for those interviews because you have talked about liaising with the head of hospitals and ensuring that the whole process worked?</li> </ul>	4 5 7 8 9 10 11	<ul> <li>Q. Yes, should should the inspectors be</li> <li>curious?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Was it part of your role to encourage that</li> <li>curiosity?</li> <li>A. Yes, it would have been part of the role</li> <li>although it was quite a strict format that people</li> <li>followed. But you would expect that people would ask</li> </ul>
5 7 8 9 10 11 12	<ul> <li>that?</li> <li>A. Yes. Yes.</li> <li>Q. But I think you have described your understanding of the remit as being broader than just being an appropriately senior person for those interviews because you have talked about liaising with the head of hospitals and ensuring that the whole process worked?</li> <li>A. Well, yes, you didn't just interview the</li> </ul>	4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12	<ul> <li>Q. Yes, should should the inspectors be curious?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Was it part of your role to encourage that curiosity?</li> <li>A. Yes, it would have been part of the role although it was quite a strict format that people followed. But you would expect that people would ask questions and if that might lead to further questions</li> </ul>
5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<ul> <li>that?</li> <li>A. Yes. Yes.</li> <li>Q. But I think you have described your understanding of the remit as being broader than just being an appropriately senior person for those interviews because you have talked about liaising with the head of hospitals and ensuring that the whole process worked?</li> <li>A. Well, yes, you didn't just interview the senior people in the organisation. You actually were,</li> </ul>	4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<ul> <li>Q. Yes, should should the inspectors be curious?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Was it part of your role to encourage that curiosity?</li> <li>A. Yes, it would have been part of the role although it was quite a strict format that people followed. But you would expect that people would ask questions and if that might lead to further questions and they would look for evidence to support what they</li> </ul>
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<ul> <li>that?</li> <li>A. Yes. Yes.</li> <li>Q. But I think you have described your understanding of the remit as being broader than just being an appropriately senior person for those interviews because you have talked about liaising with the head of hospitals and ensuring that the whole process worked?</li> <li>A. Well, yes, you didn't just interview the senior people in the organisation. You actually were, I suppose, overseeing the Specialist Advisers who were</li> </ul>	4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<ul> <li>Q. Yes, should should the inspectors be curious?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Was it part of your role to encourage that curiosity?</li> <li>A. Yes, it would have been part of the role although it was quite a strict format that people followed. But you would expect that people would ask questions and if that might lead to further questions and they would look for evidence to support what they were asking the questions about.</li> </ul>
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<ul> <li>that?</li> <li>A. Yes. Yes.</li> <li>Q. But I think you have described your understanding of the remit as being broader than just being an appropriately senior person for those interviews because you have talked about liaising with the head of hospitals and ensuring that the whole process worked?</li> <li>A. Well, yes, you didn't just interview the senior people in the organisation. You actually were, I suppose, overseeing the Specialist Advisers who were there. I was a Specialist Adviser, they were Specialist</li> </ul>	4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<ul> <li>Q. Yes, should should the inspectors be curious?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Was it part of your role to encourage that curiosity?</li> <li>A. Yes, it would have been part of the role although it was quite a strict format that people followed. But you would expect that people would ask questions and if that might lead to further questions and they would look for evidence to support what they were asking the questions about.</li> <li>Q. You have talked about a role as in a way</li> </ul>
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<ul> <li>that?</li> <li>A. Yes. Yes.</li> <li>Q. But I think you have described your understanding of the remit as being broader than just being an appropriately senior person for those interviews because you have talked about liaising with the head of hospitals and ensuring that the whole process worked?</li> <li>A. Well, yes, you didn't just interview the senior people in the organisation. You actually were, I suppose, overseeing the Specialist Advisers who were there. I was a Specialist Adviser, they were Specialist Advisers making sure that actually they were supported</li> </ul>	4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<ul> <li>Q. Yes, should should the inspectors be curious?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Was it part of your role to encourage that curiosity?</li> <li>A. Yes, it would have been part of the role although it was quite a strict format that people followed. But you would expect that people would ask questions and if that might lead to further questions and they would look for evidence to support what they were asking the questions about.</li> <li>Q. You have talked about a role as in a way managing the Special Advisers and how they operated, was a strict of the strict of the role of the strict format that people would ask questions and if that might lead to further questions and they would look for evidence to support what they were asking the questions about.</li> </ul>
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<ul> <li>that?</li> <li>A. Yes. Yes.</li> <li>Q. But I think you have described your understanding of the remit as being broader than just being an appropriately senior person for those interviews because you have talked about liaising with the head of hospitals and ensuring that the whole process worked?</li> <li>A. Well, yes, you didn't just interview the senior people in the organisation. You actually were, I suppose, overseeing the Specialist Advisers who were there. I was a Specialist Adviser, they were Specialist Advisers making sure that actually they were supported if they needed support and they were used to the best of</li> </ul>	4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<ul> <li>Q. Yes, should should the inspectors be curious?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Was it part of your role to encourage that curiosity?</li> <li>A. Yes, it would have been part of the role although it was quite a strict format that people followed. But you would expect that people would ask questions and if that might lead to further questions and they would look for evidence to support what they were asking the questions about.</li> <li>Q. You have talked about a role as in a way managing the Special Advisers and how they operated, was there an opportunity for you to say: Right, well this</li> </ul>
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<ul> <li>that?</li> <li>A. Yes. Yes.</li> <li>Q. But I think you have described your understanding of the remit as being broader than just being an appropriately senior person for those interviews because you have talked about liaising with the head of hospitals and ensuring that the whole process worked?</li> <li>A. Well, yes, you didn't just interview the senior people in the organisation. You actually were, I suppose, overseeing the Specialist Advisers who were there. I was a Specialist Adviser, they were Specialist Advisers making sure that actually they were supported if they needed support and they were used to the best of their advantage along with the head of hospital</li> </ul>	4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<ul> <li>Q. Yes, should should the inspectors be curious?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Was it part of your role to encourage that curiosity?</li> <li>A. Yes, it would have been part of the role although it was quite a strict format that people followed. But you would expect that people would ask questions and if that might lead to further questions and they would look for evidence to support what they were asking the questions about.</li> <li>Q. You have talked about a role as in a way managing the Special Advisers and how they operated, was there an opportunity for you to say: Right, well this is the inspection that I am the chair of, this is what</li> </ul>
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<ul> <li>that?</li> <li>A. Yes. Yes.</li> <li>Q. But I think you have described your understanding of the remit as being broader than just being an appropriately senior person for those interviews because you have talked about liaising with the head of hospitals and ensuring that the whole process worked?</li> <li>A. Well, yes, you didn't just interview the senior people in the organisation. You actually were, I suppose, overseeing the Specialist Advisers who were there. I was a Specialist Adviser, they were Specialist Advisers making sure that actually they were supported if they needed support and they were used to the best of their advantage along with the head of hospital inspection, who of course is much more used to</li> </ul>	4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<ul> <li>Q. Yes, should should the inspectors be curious?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Was it part of your role to encourage that curiosity?</li> <li>A. Yes, it would have been part of the role although it was quite a strict format that people followed. But you would expect that people would ask questions and if that might lead to further questions and they would look for evidence to support what they were asking the questions about.</li> <li>Q. You have talked about a role as in a way managing the Special Advisers and how they operated, was there an opportunity for you to say: Right, well this is the inspection that I am the chair of, this is what I am hoping we are all going to achieve? Did you have</li> </ul>
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<ul> <li>hat?</li> <li>A. Yes. Yes.</li> <li>Q. But I think you have described your understanding of the remit as being broader than just being an appropriately senior person for those interviews because you have talked about liaising with the head of hospitals and ensuring that the whole process worked?</li> <li>A. Well, yes, you didn't just interview the senior people in the organisation. You actually were, I suppose, overseeing the Specialist Advisers who were there. I was a Specialist Adviser, they were Specialist Advisers making sure that actually they were supported if they needed support and they were used to the best of their advantage along with the head of hospital inspection, who of course is much more used to inspections than I was.</li> </ul>	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<ul> <li>Q. Yes, should should the inspectors be curious?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Was it part of your role to encourage that curiosity?</li> <li>A. Yes, it would have been part of the role although it was quite a strict format that people followed. But you would expect that people would ask questions and if that might lead to further questions and they would look for evidence to support what they were asking the questions about.</li> <li>Q. You have talked about a role as in a way managing the Special Advisers and how they operated, we there an opportunity for you to say: Right, well this is the inspection that I am the chair of, this is what I am hoping we are all going to achieve? Did you have that short of leadership role where you spoke to the</li> </ul>
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>hat?</li> <li>A. Yes. Yes.</li> <li>Q. But I think you have described your understanding of the remit as being broader than just being an appropriately senior person for those interviews because you have talked about liaising with the head of hospitals and ensuring that the whole process worked?</li> <li>A. Well, yes, you didn't just interview the senior people in the organisation. You actually were, I suppose, overseeing the Specialist Advisers who were there. I was a Specialist Adviser, they were Specialist Advisers making sure that actually they were supported if they needed support and they were used to the best of their advantage along with the head of hospital inspections than I was.</li> <li>Q. That person, so we are all clear, is a person</li> </ul>	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>Q. Yes, should should the inspectors be curious?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Was it part of your role to encourage that curiosity?</li> <li>A. Yes, it would have been part of the role although it was quite a strict format that people followed. But you would expect that people would ask questions and if that might lead to further questions and they would look for evidence to support what they were asking the questions about.</li> <li>Q. You have talked about a role as in a way managing the Special Advisers and how they operated, we there an opportunity for you to say: Right, well this is the inspection that I am the chair of, this is what I am hoping we are all going to achieve? Did you have that short of leadership role where you spoke to the team and told them how you wanted it all to run or how</li> </ul>
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>that?</li> <li>A. Yes. Yes.</li> <li>Q. But I think you have described your understanding of the remit as being broader than just being an appropriately senior person for those interviews because you have talked about liaising with the head of hospitals and ensuring that the whole process worked?</li> <li>A. Well, yes, you didn't just interview the senior people in the organisation. You actually were, I suppose, overseeing the Specialist Advisers who were there. I was a Specialist Adviser, they were Specialist Advisers making sure that actually they were supported if they needed support and they were used to the best of their advantage along with the head of hospital inspection, who of course is much more used to inspections than I was.</li> <li>Q. That person, so we are all clear, is a person called Ann Ford at the time?</li> </ul>	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>Q. Yes, should should the inspectors be curious?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Was it part of your role to encourage that curiosity?</li> <li>A. Yes, it would have been part of the role although it was quite a strict format that people followed. But you would expect that people would ask questions and if that might lead to further questions and they would look for evidence to support what they were asking the questions about.</li> <li>Q. You have talked about a role as in a way managing the Special Advisers and how they operated, was there an opportunity for you to say: Right, well this is the inspection that I am the chair of, this is what I am hoping we are all going to achieve? Did you have that short of leadership role where you spoke to the team and told them how you wanted it all to run or how you thought it should run?</li> </ul>
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>that?</li> <li>A. Yes. Yes.</li> <li>Q. But I think you have described your understanding of the remit as being broader than just being an appropriately senior person for those interviews because you have talked about liaising with the head of hospitals and ensuring that the whole process worked?</li> <li>A. Well, yes, you didn't just interview the senior people in the organisation. You actually were, I suppose, overseeing the Specialist Advisers who were there. I was a Specialist Adviser, they were Specialist Advisers making sure that actually they were supported if they needed support and they were used to the best of their advantage along with the head of hospital inspection, who of course is much more used to inspections than I was.</li> <li>Q. That person, so we are all clear, is a person called Ann Ford at the time?</li> <li>A. That's right yes.</li> </ul>	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>Q. Yes, should should the inspectors be curious?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Was it part of your role to encourage that curiosity?</li> <li>A. Yes, it would have been part of the role although it was quite a strict format that people followed. But you would expect that people would ask questions and if that might lead to further questions and they would look for evidence to support what they were asking the questions about.</li> <li>Q. You have talked about a role as in a way managing the Special Advisers and how they operated, was there an opportunity for you to say: Right, well this is the inspection that I am the chair of, this is what I am hoping we are all going to achieve? Did you have that short of leadership role where you spoke to the team and told them how you wanted it all to run or how you thought it should run?</li> <li>A. There was a format at the pre-inspection</li> </ul>
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>that?</li> <li>A. Yes. Yes.</li> <li>Q. But I think you have described your understanding of the remit as being broader than just being an appropriately senior person for those interviews because you have talked about liaising with the head of hospitals and ensuring that the whole process worked?</li> <li>A. Well, yes, you didn't just interview the senior people in the organisation. You actually were, I suppose, overseeing the Specialist Advisers who were there. I was a Specialist Adviser, they were Specialist Advisers making sure that actually they were supported if they needed support and they were used to the best of their advantage along with the head of hospital inspection, who of course is much more used to inspections than I was.</li> <li>Q. That person, so we are all clear, is a person called Ann Ford at the time?</li> </ul>	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>Q. Yes, should should the inspectors be curious?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Was it part of your role to encourage that curiosity?</li> <li>A. Yes, it would have been part of the role although it was quite a strict format that people followed. But you would expect that people would ask questions and if that might lead to further questions and they would look for evidence to support what they were asking the questions about.</li> <li>Q. You have talked about a role as in a way managing the Special Advisers and how they operated, was there an opportunity for you to say: Right, well this is the inspection that I am the chair of, this is what I am hoping we are all going to achieve? Did you have that short of leadership role where you spoke to the team and told them how you wanted it all to run or how you thought it should run?</li> </ul>

(36) Pages 141 - 144

## The Thirlwall Inquiry

1	that, you know, the culture is that we are polite, we	1	staff at the hospital had identified that unexpected
2	are respectful, so you just go through those headings.	2	increase in mortality?
3	There was no further I don't recall there being	3	A. (Nods)
4	a further sort of opportunity to really engage fully	4	<b>Q.</b> All of the seven Consultants were aware of i
5	with people. At the start of the inspection, you would	5	including the Lead Clinician for children's services,
6	again reiterate the behaviours you expected of people.	6	and the neonatal lead. The lead nurse for children's
7	<b>Q.</b> And was one of those behaviours, being	7	services and the neonatal unit manager was aware of i
8	curious?	8	and the recent deaths had caused considerable distres
9	A. I don't remember using the word "curious".	9	we know, amongst junior doctors and nurses.
10	<b>Q.</b> So let's just consider the inspection in	10	We also know that the risk and patient safety
11	February 2016 and please bear with me here, I am going	11	department was aware of the unexpected increase and
12	to provide quite a lot of information in quite direct	12	obstetrics department was aware of the unexpected
13	terms about what we know now	13	increase and that the Medical Director and the Director
14	A. Okay.	14	of Nursing were aware of it and that the local neonatal
15	<b>Q</b> as at the morning of 16 February	15	network was aware of it, or at least one of its members
16	A. Yes.	16	was.
17	<b>Q.</b> and the position at the Countess.	17	We know now that numerous investigations had b
18	We know now that in the nine months prior to that	18	undertaken including a Thematic Review trying to
19	first morning, Letby murdered five babies and attempted	19	understand that unexpected increase and that despite
20	to murder four more. We know that now. We know that	20	of the investigations that were undertaken, no
21	during the inspection she attempted to murder another	21	non-sinister explanation for that unexpected increase
22	baby.	22	had been identified.
23	Her murders had caused an unexpected increase in	23	In the week before your inspection, we know that
24	the neonatal mortality rate for the neonatal unit in	24	there was a Thematic Review meeting which brought
25	that nine-month period previously. We know now that	25	together all of the deaths and said that there was no
1	common theme for the unexpected increase and that that	1	over and above all of that, over the nine months prior
2	draft report was sent to the Medical Director and the	2	to your inspection, four Consultants at least, two
3	Director of Nursing the day before you attended and I am	3	nursing managers, and one Risk and Safety Lead had
4	just going to bring up an email that you won't have seen	4	identified or were otherwise told that Letby was
5	before	5	associated with the unexplained spike. And that in the
6	<b>A.</b> No.	6	case of the four Consultants they had varying degrees
7	<b>Q</b> INQ0003140. If we go to the bottom email	7	concern that that association may suggest causation.
8	there, this comes from Ian Harvey the day before you	8	Now, I am sure you will agree with this, the CQC
9	arrived. "Dear Steve", and if we just scroll down:	9	did not identify any of that in the inspection; is that
10	"Am I correct in thinking that you commissioned an	10	right?
11	external review of recently neonatal deaths? If so, is	11	A. That's right.
12	there any early feedback ahead of this week's visit?"	12	<b>Q.</b> In fact the CQC published a report which sa
13	That is a reference to your visit. If we go up, we	13	that particular part of the hospital was "good"?
14	can see that Dr Brearey, who is one of the people your	14	A. The safe element was "requires improveme
15	team interviewed, explains a little bit about what	15	but overall
16	occurred and we know that he attached to that email the	16	Q. Overall "good"?
17	draft minutes of the meeting which had taken place just	17	A it was "good".
18	seven days before this email exchange.	18	<b>Q.</b> So members of the public may find that
19	Finally at the top we can see that Dr Brearey's	19	a surprising state of affairs?
20	email was then forwarded by Mr Harvey to the Director of	20	A. (Nods)
21	Nursing, Alison Kelly, with some comments about the	21	<b>Q.</b> Now, plainly one explanation is that it was
22	interpretation of it.	22	deliberately hidden from the CQC and you can't comm
23	A. Yes.	23	upon that directly. But there is no documentary
24	<b>Q.</b> The final thing we know and I promise that	24	evidence that any direct lie was told.
25	there is a question coming at the end of this that	25	What I am hoping you might be able to assist us

with, reflecting on all of that information, much of 1 2 which I daresay you knew already --3 Α. No. 4 O. Well, reflecting upon that information, was there anything about the CQC inspection that you think 5 6 failed to draw that out in some way? 7 Α. I was able to look through the transcripts of 8 the interviews that had been held with the 9 paediatricians and the nurses and the unit managers of 10 the Children's and Young People's Services and the neonatal service. 11 12 I wasn't involved in those interviews, but I did 13 have a chance to look through them and make a comment and I -- nowhere could I find a comment or the words 14 "Concern", "unexplained", "unexpected" in those notes. 15 16 The Mortality Morbidity Meetings were mentioned in 17 three of those notes, briefly in a couple, but no transcripts that would say that actually this was raised 18 19 with a level of concern. That's all I can say, I wasn't 20 in those interviews but I did look through those records and that's, I could not find evidence of that being 21 22 written down. 23 Q. So it wasn't volunteered, you can't see 24 evidence of it being volunteered? 25 Δ I don't -- I would have to say I could not see 149 1 Q. Well, one way to pick it up is to say as part 2 of a standard meeting, "Is there anything that you think I should be aware of or anything that you are concerned 3 about that we haven't talked about yet?" 4 5 And quite often at the end of a session, you Α. 6 would finish with, "Is there anything else you want to 7 tell me that we haven't discussed?" And also you would 8 probably say, you know, we'd hope that people would say, 9 "There's opportunity if you wish to raise something individually to a CQC member of staff" and it would be 10 anonymised. 11 12 And throughout the organisation there was 13 opportunities, you know, posters that would allow staff 14 to use what they might see as the whistleblowing policy but to come forward if they had a concern that they 15 didn't wish to discuss in a -- in a forum where other 16 17 people were with them. 18 Now, you didn't yourself, because it wasn't Q. your role, speak to anybody in the children services 19 20 area? 21 Α. No 22 Q. But you did speak to Ian Harvey and to 23 Alison Kelly? 24 Α. Yes 25 Q. And you have seen the email that --

151

1 evidence that it was volunteered.

2 Q. If inspectors are operating and the Special
3 Advisers are operating curiously, would you expect them
4 to be asking questions that might draw that out?

A. Well, you would expect that they would be
discussing them, mortality and morbidity meetings, and
you would depend on the answer in a sense to lead you to
where the next question is.

9 If there's a discussion that we have Morbidity and10 Mortality Meetings and we know that we look at the

11 actions taken and the learning from that and we haven't

- 12 yet found any common themes but there hasn't been
- 13 a mention of a rise in deaths, then actually you would
- 14 see that as good practice; that each neonate that dies
- 15 has a review of the care that's undertaken with junior
- 16 staff engaged in that, actions are identified, lessons17 are learnt.
- 18 There was evidence from those notes that actually
- 19 action from incidents was passed through staff on safety

20 briefings, et cetera. So you would be following that21 lead.

22 I think without somebody giving you information

- 23 that it's an unexpected number or a rise in our number,
- 24 using those terms, it may be difficult to think that you
  - 150

1 Α. Yes. 2 -- chain the day before. Q. 3 Did you ask either of them, "We have talked about 4 all of that. Is there anything else that you think 5 I should be aware of or that I need to know or that you 6 are worried about?" 7 Did you ask that question to either of them? 8 I can only say I may have asked. I can't Α. absolutely remember, but I would have asked, "What are 9 the serious concerns or risks you have around patient 10 safety?" That's usually one of the questions I would 11 ask. "Tell me what those serious risks are. Where are 12 you most concerned? What are you doing to mitigate 13 14 against that?" 15 So that would be one of the regular sort of questions that I would ask around the safety and quality 16 17 of patient care generally. You know, not asking about a particular -- neonates because I wouldn't have 18 considered that to be something to ask but generally, 19 20 "What are the serious risks? Are there any concerns you have about care? What are you doing about those 21 22 concerns? What are you doing to mitigate those

23 concerns."

24

25

25

would pick that up.

- That would be a question that I would ask.
- **Q.** Drawing on your experience as a Executive 152

## The Thirlwall Inquiry

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

11

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

11

18

Director of Nursing and as a non-executive director, if 1 2 you had an unexpected increase in a mortality rate in 3 any department, and that there was a Thematic Review 4 done of those cases and that review came back and said, "We cannot identify a common theme that explains this", 5 6 is that something that a Director of Nursing or 7 a non-executive director should regard as an area of 8 concern? 9 Α. I think it is. It's also an area of good 10 practice in that sense that actually you've investigated to that point, you have investigated, you have had 11 a concern, there's a raised number, you have looked at 12 that. You have had a Thematic Review. You haven't as 13 yet found any common thread. 14 15 Q. So --16 Α. But you have taken it seriously to the point 17 that you have looked at it through a Thematic Review. 18 Good practice you haven't ignored it. But the Q. 19 fact that it remains potentially unanswered, is that something that you would regard as being sufficient to 20 arise in a meeting with somebody like you coming to 21 22 inspect? 23 Α. What you would probably want to do is follow on with that. So, you know, "Okay, there's no common 24 25 threads. Where do we go from here? Where are you going 153 1 Well, if that's sort of information coming in just 2 before the inspection, I really ought to raise it with 3 the inspector, or the special adviser or do you think: 4 Well, it might not need to be raised? 5 A. I think it's -- it was common practice to feel 6 that you should always raise things early if there was 7 a concern rather than wait until it was too late. 8 So with CQC, what we would tend to do is let them 9 know ahead, "We've got a bit of a concern here, this is what we are doing about it", and then it's on their 10 11 agenda If nothing comes of it then actually that's all 12 13 well and good, but if there's further work on that that 14 raises something else then they have already been made aware of it. 15 16 Q. Now, the Inquiry has received some evidence to the effect that if you tell the CQC about your problems 17 there's going to be an adverse consequence for you or 18 potentially because they are going to give you a bad 19 rating. Is that -- whether it's correct or not or 20 whether that's the right way of thinking about it -- is 21 22 that an attitude that you are aware of existing within 23 the National Health Service? 24 Α. No. no. 25 Q. You have never heard anybody say, "Don't tell

155

- to go next? What are you going to do?" And then, you know, if -- part of CQC's role because they have a consistent monitoring would be to say, "Keep us informed. We need to know what the next steps are that you are going to take." Q. So just to absolutely tie you down. Does it cross the threshold, as I have described it to you, for being something that should be brought up at a meeting with the Chair of a CQC inspection or is it sufficiently 10 well under control not to reach that level? Sorry, could you say that -- ask that question Α. 12 again? 13 Q. Of course. 14 I'm not sure --Α. 15 Q. I am just inviting you to put yourself in the 16 position of Director of Nursing --17 Α. Okay. 18 Q. -- or Medical Director? 19 Α. Yes. 20 Q. They've got lots of things to talk to you 21 about as an inspector. We know that they received 22 a report which said: Here is the increase in deaths, no 23 common theme has been identified. There's some further 24 detail behind it. 25 I'm just trying to understand whether your view is: 154 the CQC" or "We don't need to quite let them know yet, this may turn out to be nothing. We don't want them worrying about this or putting it on our rating." Α. I don't feel that that was something that was commonly thought through the NHS, no. Q. Turning to the detail of your particular involvement in this inspection. You hadn't had any previous involvement with the Countess of Chester before this? 10 Α. No. And your first involvement with the inspection O. was, I think you tell us, a pre-inspection briefing 12 13 call? 14 Α. Yes. 15 Q. And did you also receive a pre-inspection briefing pack? 16 17 Α. Yes And was that -- it sounds like you got it Q. before 16 February? 19 20 Α. Yes.
- 21 Q. You are satisfied you did?
- 22 Α. Yes
- 23 Q. You weren't involved in any of the information
- 24 gathering that produced that pack, is that right?
- 25 Α. That's right.

156

1 2

3

6

7

One part of -- well, let's have a look at 1 Q. 2 a document. It's the children's pack, INQ0101422. 3 That's termed a pre-inspection document, it's dated 4 22 December 2015. Do you recall whether this was within 5 your pre-inspection pack? 6 Α. Yes, this was. Yes, this was part of the 7 pre-inspection pack. 8 Now, you had a background in children's Q. 9 services as a clinician, didn't you? 10 Α. Yes. Q. But that wasn't your function at this 11 particular inspection. Is this something that you will 12 have read before you went to the inspection? 13 Yes. This would have come out prior to the 14 Α. pre-inspection briefing, which was held on the 15 16 10th February. So there would have been an expectation 17 that anybody who was attending the pre-inspection briefing would have looked at the -- the pre-inspection 18 19 pack. 20 Now, just one query as to whether you noticed Q. 21 it at the time and if so whether it was in any way 22 a problem. If we look at page 6, we can see there is 23 a summary of analysis presumably provided by people at the CQC based on information that they were --24 25 I have got page 5 up on my screen. Α. 157 1 incidents. I think you have had a chance to have a look 2 before you have come in today to give your evidence. 3 I'm not proposing to put it on screen. 4 Α. Okay. 5 Q. You say in your statement that you don't 6 recall having seen that? 7 Α. No 8 Q. If you had seen it, do you think it would have made a difference to your approach, is it something that 9 you would have looked at and thought I need to ask about 10 this? 11 That's the table with the 200 -- or a long 12 Α. 13 list of the incidents in the unit? 14 Q. Yes, exactly so. Quite possibly if you were in the Children and 15 Α. Young People's team, you would look at those, some of 16 those in more detail and want to ask, pick one or two 17 out for example to ask the questions of them. 18 19 I think from memory there were a couple where there 20 was very little detail around the neonatal deaths. So those in particular you may want to say, "Can you 21 22 explore, can we explore this a little bit further?" 23 Sitting there now, the fact that you don't Q. 24 have a memory of having seen that table, does that suggest that it's likely that you weren't sent it in 25

159

It's page 6, it's document page 6. Q.

Α. Sorry. Okay, yes.

No trouble at all. But this, this appears to Q.

be information gathered by the CQC and prepared for the 4

inspectors? 5

- Α. Yes.
  - Q. Now, "Well-led" is blank.
- 8 Α. Yes.

Q. 9 Is that something that was common, that there 10 would be a blank field about an important area that you were looking at? 11

I can't remember if it would be common in the 12 Α. 13 pre-inspection briefing pack or not to be quite honest.

14 And if you were reading that, would you be Q.

sufficiently concerned about that absence to say, "We 15

16 need to know that before we come along", or would you

17 just say, "Well, I am sure we will find that out when we

18 get there." 19

20

1

4

5

6

I mean, how important is this?

I think I would assume that that would be Α.

21 found out when you get there, when you start talking to

22 staff and asking them about their role, the way they

23 work within the organisation, et cetera.

24 Q. Thank you. We can take that down. The next

25 document I want to ask you about is a table of neonatal 158

advance or as part of your inspection? 2 Δ. No, no, I had not seen that table. 3

Q. You had not seen --

Α. I had not seen that table until I had it sent

to me in readiness to put the statement together.

Q. And the third and final pre-inspection

7 document, INQ0103620. This is the intelligence 8 presentation?

9 Α. Yes.

Q. 10 Was this something that you will have seen

11 before the inspection or on the day of the inspection? 12 Α.

This is on the day of the inspection.

13 Q. And was this a presentation to the Trust or 14 was it just within the inspectors that this presentation 15 was --

16 Α. I think it was just to the inspectors in the

17 presentation -- in the inspection team.

18 So it's a way of preparing you for what you Q. 19 were about --

20 Α. It is a way of preparing everybody for the

inspection which was about to -- which had started that 21 22 day.

23 Others have been asked about this. We can go Q.

24 to page 7 and see the self-assessment where we can see

for services for children and young people that the 25

160

hospital has rated itself as "good", "good", 1 2 "outstanding", "outstanding" and "good" across the 3 five domains? 4 Α. Yes. 5 How important was the fact that the hospital Q. 6 thought that it was doing well in particular areas to 7 you in formulating what you were going to explore or 8 examine? 9 Α. I don't know that it would have had such 10 an impact on you. Your job was to look at evidence in front of you that you had gathered from that. It -- it 11 may have related to that but I don't think that, you 12 13 know, that influenced. 14 So if you saw "outstanding", I don't think you were thinking, right, this is going to be outstanding. It 15 16 was your job to actually look at the evidence against 17 the key lines of inquiry and standardise the approach to 18 the inspection. 19 Your answer, if I may say, is broadly similar Q. 20 to the three previous witnesses that we have had about 21 this. 22 Α. Phew 23 Q. Well, it is important to get your perspective. 24 But if it wasn't going to make any difference, why do you think you are being told this on the morning of 25 161 1 Do you recall whether that was something that you 2 actively investigated or tried to get to the bottom of 3 with the interviews that you conducted? 4 Α. I honestly can't recall. The -- the 5 interviews that I conducted, I have very little memory 6 of those, I'm afraid. Unfortunately, I haven't been 7 able to see any of the records of those either. 8 Q. No. Well, that is because they cannot be 9 found. 10 Α. Yes. 11 O. When I say that, you shouldn't think that I am implying criticism of you. 12 13 Α. No, no, I don't. 14 So we can take that down and just deal with Q. some other areas of information. Did you know anything 15 about healthcare episodes statistics or HES? 16 17 Vaguely. Α. 18 What did you understand that was? Q. Α. It was a way of comparison between different 19 20 organisations in terms of their performance and patient 21 incidents and deaths. 22 Q. And did you expect to see the output of that 23 as part of your preparation so that you would be told in 24 advance what the big data picture was? 25 I'm not sure that I expected to see that. Α. 163

your inspection? What's the value of that information 1 2 if all you are going to do is say, "Well, that's what they think. I am now going to get on with what I think 3 4 I need to do"? It would be worrying if actually a Trust felt 5 Α. 6 it was outstanding in very many areas and you found that 7 it required improvement. That would indicate to you that maybe this is a Trust that isn't really 8 understanding, you know, its services. 9 10 So in that respect you might think, well, you know, we are seeing something very different from this 11 organisation. So that would make you sort of question 12 13 things, wouldn't it? 14 But that's only a comparison that's valuable Q. once you have conducted your own independent assessment. 15 16 Α. Yes, it is. Yes, it is. 17 Q. But I am trying to understand why before you go in you thought you were being told what they thought 18 19 about themselves when you were effectively just going to 20 ignore that? 21 Α. I can't say what value it had then. 22 Q. Page 34, please, within this document. This 23 is the recap key messages. The fourth bullet is: 24 "Data quality and reporting issues in some areas of 25 the Trust." 162 1 Q. Is it fair to characterise your reaction that 2 from the fact you only knew it vaguely that this wasn't 3 a big part of what you understood was going to be 4 important for the inspection process? 5 A. I think had it been something that was 6 off-kilter, we would have been informed about it prior 7 to the inspection. 8 Q. So it would only come to you if it was identified in advance that that data had suggested 9 a problem? 10 11 Α. Yes. 12 Q. Before you attended, did you have any reason 13 to think that there had been an increase in the 14 mortality rate on the neonatal unit? 15 Before I ... ? Α. 16 Q. Attended the inspection? 17 Α. No. 18 Q. Before you attended the inspection, did you know anything about suspicions which may have existed 19 20 about a particular member of staff being connected with 21 that mortality rate? 22 Α. No. 23 Q. The Thematic Review document, which you have 24 seen referred to in those emails --25 Yes. Α. 164

(41) Pages 161 - 164

1 Q. -- do you have any recollection of having seen 2 a Thematic Review of neonatal mortality? 3 Α. I did not see a Thematic Review, no. 4 I have characterised that document to you now. Q. 5 It's about 10 pages long or so and it goes through each 6 of the deaths, looks at different factors --7 Α. Yes, yes. 8 Q. -- identifies some areas for improvement but 9 says ultimately no common theme. Is that a document 10 that should have been provided to you and the inspection team beforehand, do you think? Is it a document that 11 would have been valuable to your inspection? 12 13 I think it would have been, as we said Α. earlier, giving CQC a heads up that there had been 14 a concern raised and this is the work that had been 15 16 undertaken to try and identify a little bit more about 17 that concern. 18 Q. So does it all come to this: that your 19 position is that you should have been told about 20 whatever concerns existed certainly about the increase 21 in mortality rate? 22 Α. Yes 23 Q. And that that should have come before you 24 started? 25 Α. Or as we started discussing with the 165 1 Α. Oh, absolutely. 2 Who kept those notes, who was the scribe or Q. 3 was everybody writing their own notes? 4 Α. Well, you could write yourself and there would 5 be a second person with you, a CQC person, who would 6 also be transcribing. 7 All of the records would be handed in at the end of 8 any inspection. It was really important that every record you had was handed in to CQC, which obviously 9 became part of the process of taking the report writing 10 forward. 11 12 Was it your practice to make notes? Q. 13 Α. It was my practice to make notes, yes. 14 So do you think for each of these interviews Q. there existed, at one time, your record of what was 15 16 said? 17 Α. Yes. And there was a, you know, a table that you would use from CQC that you could write them down 18 19 on, yes. 20 Q. Now, you have told us your recollection is 21 extremely limited. 22 Α. Yes, I'm afraid so. 23 Q. You do have a recollection of Alison Kelly 24 becoming distressed in your meeting with her? 25 Α. Yes.

167

1 paediatric team or the Medical Director or the Director 2 of Nursing. We will just identify the people that you 3 Q. 4 interviewed. I can bring a document up but I'm sure you can take it from me you interviewed the non-executive 5 6 Director for Quality and Safety, Mr Higgins? 7 Α. Yes. 8 Q. The Chair, Sir Duncan Nichol? 9 Α. Yes 10 Q. The Director of Nursing, Alison Kelly? 11 Α. Yes Q. The Senior Information and Risk Owner, also 12 13 Alison Kelly? 14 Α. Yes Q. 15 The chief operating officer, Lorraine Burnett? 16 Α. Yes. 17 Q. That was all on the 17th. And then on the 18th, the Senior Lead for HR Sue Hodkinson, the 18 19 Chief Executive Tony Chambers and the Medical Director 20 lan Harvey? 21 Α. Yes 22 Q. As you have told us you have got no notes 23 available to you to refresh your memory from that? 24 Α. No. I haven't. 25 Q. Were notes kept of those meetings? 166 1 Q. And just tell us what you recall she became 2 distressed about. 3 Α. Well, we visited in February, as you know, and 4 it was the middle of winter, winter pressures, what we 5 call in the NHS winter pressures, and the hospital was 6 under a great deal of strain with patients who were 7 ready for discharge, but couldn't be discharged. 8 So really things had come to pretty much a 9 standstill in terms of, you know, putting people through A&E, emergency admissions, trying to get elective 10 11 surgery in, trying to move people out that didn't

- require the acute hospital beds any more, and extra beds
  had been opened, surgery had been cancelled.
  And I recall this specifically because I have been
- And the call this specifically because thave been
- 15 in that situation many a time myself and I -- I knew how
- 16 dreadful that situation is because as much as you try
- 17 there's very little you can do to sort of alleviate it.
- 18 And so you worry about the quality of care that's being
- 19 given to people, you worry about the staff that are
- 20 working extremely hard, and maybe having to do overtime
- 21 et cetera. So it's a real concern.
- 22 **Q.** I think in fact you subsequently spoke to
- 23 Ann Ford to effectively make the observation, "I wonder
- 24 if we'd had a different picture if we'd come at
- 25 a different time of year."
- 168

## The Thirlwall Inquiry

1	A. Yes, yes.
2	<b>Q.</b> In terms of other things that you have
3	recorded in your witness statement that were or weren't
4	said, you say that you can't recall discussing
5	a situation of any unexpected or unexplained increase in
6	neonatal deaths and that you can say, with absolute
7	certainty, that there was no mention of any suspicion or
8	correlation with a member of staff and neonatal deaths?
9	A. Yes.
10	<b>Q.</b> And had that, had either of those things been
11	raised, would they have provoked a reaction from you?
12	A. Yes, I would have discussed those with
13	well, you might have asked more questions in the actual
14	interview itself. But I think I would have discussed
15	those with the Head of Hospital Inspection, that
16	concerns had been raised that maybe we hadn't been made
17	aware of before the inspection, particularly
18	correlations between staff members and, you know,
19	patient deaths. That's a serious issue.
20	<b>Q.</b> You record in your statement that staff were
21	generally positive about the culture of the
22	organisation?
23	A. Yes.
24 25	<b>Q.</b> And that they spoke positively about the
25	visibility of the CEO and Director of Nursing? 169
1	<b>Q.</b> You say that staff shortages were most
2	frequently mentioned?
2 3	frequently mentioned? A. Yes.
2 3 4	frequently mentioned? <b>A.</b> Yes. <b>Q.</b> And that there was real concern about that?
2 3 4 5	frequently mentioned? A. Yes. Q. And that there was real concern about that? A. Yes.
2 3 4 5 6	frequently mentioned? A. Yes. Q. And that there was real concern about that? A. Yes. Q. But that the nursing shortages in particular
2 3 4 5 6 7	frequently mentioned? A. Yes. Q. And that there was real concern about that? A. Yes. Q. But that the nursing shortages in particular were long-standing?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	frequently mentioned? A. Yes. Q. And that there was real concern about that? A. Yes. Q. But that the nursing shortages in particular were long-standing? A. Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	frequently mentioned? A. Yes. Q. And that there was real concern about that? A. Yes. Q. But that the nursing shortages in particular were long-standing? A. Yes. Q. Your involvement as you have told us was
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	frequently mentioned? A. Yes. Q. And that there was real concern about that? A. Yes. Q. But that the nursing shortages in particular were long-standing? A. Yes. Q. Your involvement as you have told us was effectively for three days?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	frequently mentioned? A. Yes. Q. And that there was real concern about that? A. Yes. Q. But that the nursing shortages in particular were long-standing? A. Yes. Q. Your involvement as you have told us was effectively for three days? A. Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	frequently mentioned? A. Yes. Q. And that there was real concern about that? A. Yes. Q. But that the nursing shortages in particular were long-standing? A. Yes. Q. Your involvement as you have told us was effectively for three days? A. Yes. Q. That's the 16th, 17th and 18th.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	frequently mentioned? A. Yes. Q. And that there was real concern about that? A. Yes. Q. But that the nursing shortages in particular were long-standing? A. Yes. Q. Your involvement as you have told us was effectively for three days? A. Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	frequently mentioned? A. Yes. Q. And that there was real concern about that? A. Yes. Q. But that the nursing shortages in particular were long-standing? A. Yes. Q. Your involvement as you have told us was effectively for three days? A. Yes. Q. That's the 16th, 17th and 18th. The inspection continued on the 19th? A. Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	frequently mentioned? A. Yes. Q. And that there was real concern about that? A. Yes. Q. But that the nursing shortages in particular were long-standing? A. Yes. Q. Your involvement as you have told us was effectively for three days? A. Yes. Q. That's the 16th, 17th and 18th. The inspection continued on the 19th? A. Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	frequently mentioned? A. Yes. Q. And that there was real concern about that? A. Yes. Q. But that the nursing shortages in particular were long-standing? A. Yes. Q. Your involvement as you have told us was effectively for three days? A. Yes. Q. That's the 16th, 17th and 18th. The inspection continued on the 19th? A. Yes. Q. But even though you were Chair, that wasn't your function to be present for that?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	frequently mentioned? A. Yes. Q. And that there was real concern about that? A. Yes. Q. But that the nursing shortages in particular were long-standing? A. Yes. Q. Your involvement as you have told us was effectively for three days? A. Yes. Q. That's the 16th, 17th and 18th. The inspection continued on the 19th? A. Yes. Q. But even though you were Chair, that wasn't your function to be present for that?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	frequently mentioned? A. Yes. Q. And that there was real concern about that? A. Yes. Q. But that the nursing shortages in particular were long-standing? A. Yes. Q. Your involvement as you have told us was effectively for three days? A. Yes. Q. That's the 16th, 17th and 18th. The inspection continued on the 19th? A. Yes. Q. But even though you were Chair, that wasn't your function to be present for that? A. No. That was I think a CQC wrap-up meeting.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	frequently mentioned? A. Yes. Q. And that there was real concern about that? A. Yes. Q. But that the nursing shortages in particular were long-standing? A. Yes. Q. Your involvement as you have told us was effectively for three days? A. Yes. Q. That's the 16th, 17th and 18th. The inspection continued on the 19th? A. Yes. Q. But even though you were Chair, that wasn't your function to be present for that? A. No. That was I think a CQC wrap-up meeting. I'm not quite sure how that was addressed.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	frequently mentioned? A. Yes. Q. And that there was real concern about that? A. Yes. Q. But that the nursing shortages in particular were long-standing? A. Yes. Q. Your involvement as you have told us was effectively for three days? A. Yes. Q. That's the 16th, 17th and 18th. The inspection continued on the 19th? A. Yes. Q. But even though you were Chair, that wasn't your function to be present for that? A. No. That was I think a CQC wrap-up meeting. I'm not quite sure how that was addressed. But no, we stayed for the three days that we were
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	frequently mentioned? A. Yes. Q. And that there was real concern about that? A. Yes. Q. But that the nursing shortages in particular were long-standing? A. Yes. Q. Your involvement as you have told us was effectively for three days? A. Yes. Q. That's the 16th, 17th and 18th. The inspection continued on the 19th? A. Yes. Q. But even though you were Chair, that wasn't your function to be present for that? A. No. That was I think a CQC wrap-up meeting. I'm not quite sure how that was addressed. But no, we stayed for the three days that we were conducting the inspection in the hospital itself.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	frequently mentioned? A. Yes. Q. And that there was real concern about that? A. Yes. Q. But that the nursing shortages in particular were long-standing? A. Yes. Q. Your involvement as you have told us was effectively for three days? A. Yes. Q. That's the 16th, 17th and 18th. The inspection continued on the 19th? A. Yes. Q. But even though you were Chair, that wasn't your function to be present for that? A. No. That was I think a CQC wrap-up meeting. I'm not quite sure how that was addressed. But no, we stayed for the three days that we were conducting the inspection in the hospital itself. Q. And it would appear there was some sort of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	frequently mentioned? A. Yes. Q. And that there was real concern about that? A. Yes. Q. But that the nursing shortages in particular were long-standing? A. Yes. Q. Your involvement as you have told us was effectively for three days? A. Yes. Q. That's the 16th, 17th and 18th. The inspection continued on the 19th? A. Yes. Q. But even though you were Chair, that wasn't your function to be present for that? A. No. That was I think a CQC wrap-up meeting. I'm not quite sure how that was addressed. But no, we stayed for the three days that we were conducting the inspection in the hospital itself. Q. And it would appear there was some sort of connected visit in very early March, but I don't
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	frequently mentioned? A. Yes. Q. And that there was real concern about that? A. Yes. Q. But that the nursing shortages in particular were long-standing? A. Yes. Q. Your involvement as you have told us was effectively for three days? A. Yes. Q. That's the 16th, 17th and 18th. The inspection continued on the 19th? A. Yes. Q. But even though you were Chair, that wasn't your function to be present for that? A. No. That was I think a CQC wrap-up meeting. I'm not quite sure how that was addressed. But no, we stayed for the three days that we were conducting the inspection in the hospital itself. Q. And it would appear there was some sort of connected visit in very early March, but I don't think

That wasn't necessarily just the Children's 1 Α. Unit. I think that was when I was asked to give an 2 account of the interviews that had taken place with 3 4 other staff groups, yes. Yes. So this is you giving your overview as 5 Q. 6 Chair effectively? 7 Α. Yes. I wasn't involved in any of those but I looked through all of the transcripts and I was trying 8 to get an overview for the purposes of the statement and 9 10 the Rule 9. 11 Q. You say your review caused you to find that generally interviewees gave positive accounts of the 12 care they delivered to children and young people? 13 14 Α. Yes 15 Q. That in two interviews the issue of the 16 Children's Unit not having a voice at board level was 17 raised? 18 Α. Yes. 19 Q. However, you say the neonatal unit department 20 manager gave a slightly different impression? 21 Α. Yes That person is Eirian Powell. I think you say 22 Q. 23 that she described the board as being visible and 24 supportive? 25 Α. Yes. 170 1 appears to be connected with -- the follow-up is connected with the February visit. 2 3 For my purpose, what's important is you didn't go 4 back in March? 5 Α. No. No, I didn't, I didn't go back. And you say in your witness statement you 6 Q. 7 don't believe you went to any post-inspection meetings? 8 Α. No, that was the quality summit and my name was on the template and I wasn't present at the quality 9 10 summit. And you weren't involved in the drafting of 11 Q. 12 the report? 13 Α. No, no, I wasn't. 14 Q. Or provided with the email sent by 15 Alison Kelly on 30 June? Α. No, no. 16 17 Q. So I would just like to invite you to reflect and you have had a little bit of processing time, 18 although I have been asking you questions about it; if 19 20 it is thought that something's gone wrong with this 21 inspection --22 Α. Yes.

- 23 Q. -- the process overall and everybody involved
- 24 in it, where do you think improvements might be made to 25
  - try and prevent that in the future? And when I say 172

something gone wrong, an important piece of information 1 2 does not appear to have made it to the CQC and as 3 a result the CQC has given a report that suggests that 4 effectively there isn't a big problem when there is? I think if some of the raw data that was seen 5 Α. 6 in the Provider Information Returns was seen by the 7 people who were going to inspect the service, the specialist professional advisers, not just the CQC 8 9 Inspectors, then actually they potentially would 10 actually use that to base further questions on. So I think that would have been helpful rather than 11 just a summary of. 12 13 I think it's made me think about the role of the Chair undoubtedly because, you know, an expectation 14 really, when you use that terminology, is that 15 16 somebody's there at the start and right through to the 17 finish and in a sense signs something off. So whether that's the right terminology for somebody who's called 18 19 in for three days just to provide some sort of sense of 20 leadership alongside the Head of Hospital Inspections is 21 maybe not the right terminology. 22 Or if you do want somebody to do that role who's 23 external to the CQC, it needs to be thought through differently so they can give more time to it and they 24 25 are not somebody who's, you know, already working and 173 1 say that we haven't yet covered?" 2 I can't recall that that was something, but it may 3 well have been something that was actually explored with 4 all the Specialist Advisers and CQC Inspectors that 5 that's how you would finish off every interview or every 6 focus group. 7 Q. And --8 Α. It's certainly important I think that that would be stated. It was obviously very important that 9 you allowed people to know that they could come and see 10 you independently if they had a concern and that that 11 would be confidential. 12 13 Q. You frame that question in terms of "Anything 14 you want to say?" Isn't there a slightly tighter question which is, "Is there anything that you think you 15 should tell us?", not that you necessarily want to, but 16 17 that you --18 Yes. Α. 19 You know --Q. 20 Α. Yes, I think that's probably a better word to use. "Is there anything that you think you should tell 21 22 us that we haven't already covered?"

- 23 Yes, I think that's a better word.
- 24 MR DE LA POER: Yes, thank you very much indeed.
- 25 Those are my questions, my Lady.
  - 175

- 1 just doing this as another issue.
- 2 Yes, can you say the question again because I have
- 3 sort of forgotten where we are with that?
  - **Q.** No, not at all. I mean, one possibility is
  - you say it was your practice to ask quite a general open
- 6 question?

4

5

7

- A. Yes.
- 8 Q. But it seems that that was something that you
- 9 decided to come up with rather than something you were
- 10 trained to do or it's something that you were told in
- 11 every meeting, "You need to make sure that you're asking
- 12 this" in case people aren't being forthcoming on the
- 13 basis that people may not want to volunteer information
- 14 but they may be less likely just to lie.
- 15 Do you think that that's the sort of thing that
- 16 inspectors need to be doing, not just focusing on
- 17 process but just asking people to step back and say,
- 18 look --

23

- 19 A. Yes, I do.
- 20 **Q.** Sorry.
- 21 A. I do. But I can't say with any certainty that
- 22 that wasn't already in place, that maybe it was
  - suggested that at the end of every interview or focus
- 24 group one of the final things should be, "Anything else
- 25 you need to say? Anything else that anybody wants to 174

1	LADY JUSTICE THIRLWALL: Any questions, Mr Deakin?
2	MR DEAKIN: No questions.
3	LADY JUSTICE THIRLWALL: Thank you. I have no
4	questions either, Mrs Childs, so thank you very much,
5	you are free to go.
6	A. Thank you.
7	MR DE LA POER: My Lady, that concludes the
8	evidence for today. As my Lady knows there are two
9	further witnesses from the Care Quality Commission
10	tomorrow morning.
11	LADY JUSTICE THIRLWALL: Very good. Thank you very
12	much, Mr De La Poer. So we will rise now until
13	10 o'clock tomorrow morning.
14	(3.44 pm)
15	(The Inquiry adjourned until 10.00 am,
16	on Friday, 15 November 2024)
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
-5	

## INDEX

2		
3	MS HELEN CAIN (sworn)	2
4	Questions by MR CARR	2
5	Questions by LADY JUSTICE THIRLWALL	73
6		
7	DR BENJAMIN ODEKA (sworn)	79
8	Questions by MR CARR	79
9	Questions by MR DEAKIN	106
10		
11	MS MARY POTTER (sworn)	109
12	Questions by MR CARR	109
13		
14	MS ELIZABETH CHILDS (sworn)	136
15	Questions by MR DE LA POER	136
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		

24 25

1

177

[	4 1	4007 [4] 0/44	00 1	
	1 January 2015 [1]	<b>1987 [1]</b> 3/11	29 June 2016 [1] 4/2	35/4 35/5 35/5 39/14
LADY JUSTICE	124/4	<b>1990 [1]</b> 3/12	3	39/23 40/5 40/15
THIRLWALL: [77]	1.08 pm [1] 109/13	<b>1994 [3]</b> 3/15 79/20		40/16 40/22 44/12
1/3 2/12 2/16 2/19	<b>10 [4]</b> 47/10 68/11	80/2	3 July 2015 [1] 26/4	44/13 46/16 50/11
	82/25 165/5	1996 [1] 80/2	3.44 pm [1] 176/14	51/3 51/5 54/15 54/18
2/23 14/13 15/10	10 December [1]	<b>1999 [1]</b> 137/1	30 June [2] 37/14	59/11 59/12 59/19
15/16 16/1 16/4 18/17	18/1	<b>19th [2]</b> 53/16 171/13		59/23 60/1 60/1 60/4
18/23 50/21 51/2 56/1		<b>1901 [2]</b> 33/10 171/13	<b>31 [2]</b> 124/4 124/5	
56/9 73/17 73/21	10 December 2015	2		60/4 60/7 60/11 60/22
73/25 74/6 74/11	<b>[1]</b> 16/20		31 January 2016 [1]	61/2 61/7 61/8 61/14
74/13 74/16 74/19	10 February [2] 53/2	2 March [1] 41/9	28/6	61/18 61/21 61/22
74/24 75/3 75/7 75/11	116/14	2.10 pm [1] 109/15	<b>32 [1]</b> 118/3	61/23 62/13 63/4 63/7
	10 June 2024 [1]	<b>2.19 [1]</b> 123/5	<b>34 [2]</b> 124/16 162/22	64/3 64/4 64/5 64/17
75/24 76/3 76/6 76/9	79/12	<b>20 [2]</b> 21/7 50/23	<b>35 [2]</b> 118/5 125/10	64/18 64/18 64/19
76/16 77/1 77/7 77/10	<b>10 minutes [1]</b> 104/6		<b>377 [2]</b> 28/11 29/15	64/20 64/20 65/11
78/1 78/4 78/15 78/20				66/16 68/14 68/23
78/23 79/3 79/7	<b>10 o'clock [1]</b> 176/13		4	I I
101/10 104/3 104/9	10 past [1] 109/12	<b>2000 [1]</b> 137/3	4 March [4] 41/14	70/22 71/9 75/3 76/18
106/18 106/20 109/4	<b>10.00 [2]</b> 1/2 176/15	2004 [1] 110/15	4 March [1] 41/14	76/23 77/23 78/8 86/1
109/8 109/11 109/16	<b>100 [2]</b> 105/1 105/25	<b>2006 [1]</b> 80/6	<b>4-0 [1]</b> 132/22	87/17 89/17 90/11
	<b>106 [2]</b> 69/15 69/18	<b>2009 [2]</b> 80/6 137/7	<b>40 [2]</b> 132/17 132/20	90/21 91/2 91/9 91/10
109/18 109/21 113/3	<b>107 [1]</b> 70/4	<b>2011 [1]</b> 137/9	<b>42 [1]</b> 84/9	91/18 93/16 94/20
113/6 113/13 122/11	<b>108 [2]</b> 54/9 70/19	<b>2012 [1]</b> 110/21	46 [2] 92/20 94/6	94/23 95/14 99/18
122/14 122/17 122/20		<b>2013 [1]</b> 137/13	<b>47 [1]</b> 12/16	I I
122/22 122/25 123/2	10th February [1]		47C [1] 96/5	99/23 100/3 100/3
123/4 123/10 123/13	157/16	<b>2014 [5]</b> 80/14		101/7 102/22 102/23
123/18 123/20 123/24	<b>11 [2]</b> 48/5 116/12	110/20 110/22 137/19	48 [1] 92/20	102/24 103/4 103/18
135/22 136/2 136/5	11.21 [1] 50/24	137/23	<b>49 [1]</b> 34/20	104/6 104/18 108/7
136/8 176/1 176/3	<b>11.40 [1]</b> 51/1	<b>2015 [20]</b> 3/17 16/20	5	108/12 108/13 108/21
	<b>113 [1]</b> 54/13	26/4 28/6 37/4 37/23		111/4 111/14 113/11
176/11	<b>114 [1]</b> 54/17	37/25 39/3 39/8 39/15	<b>51 [1]</b> 32/3	114/21 115/5 117/5
<b>MR CARR: [28]</b> 2/17	<b>12 months [1]</b> 17/11	51/4 52/4 85/10 85/21	<b>57 [1]</b> 31/17	118/12 118/13 118/14
2/24 14/24 16/5 19/13		92/13 115/16 124/4	<b>58 [1]</b> 30/7	I I
50/19 51/3 56/7 56/10	<b>13 [1]</b> 83/17		<b>59 [1]</b> 36/15	118/20 118/21 120/25
73/15 78/22 79/1 79/8	<b>14 [5]</b> 46/12 88/10	124/5 131/22 157/4	<b>59 [1]</b> 56/15	120/25 121/11 122/7
103/25 104/6 104/11	90/6 116/23 132/21	<b>2016 [29]</b> 1/19 3/24	6	126/24 128/16 130/6
	14 November 2024	4/2 8/7 13/16 13/21		130/15 130/21 131/1
106/16 109/7 109/17	<b>[1]</b> 1/1	14/25 28/6 37/5 38/1	62 [2] 36/15 38/9	131/9 133/18 133/19
109/24 113/17 122/13	<b>15 [2]</b> 38/24 46/12	38/2 39/6 39/16 41/8	<b>67 [1]</b> 40/1	134/23 134/24 134/25
122/16 122/24 123/1	15 February [2]	52/2 52/4 52/20 67/23	7	142/4 143/9 144/14
123/3 124/1 135/20		80/16 92/11 111/1	<u>/</u>	I I
MR DE LA POER: [5]	19/14 20/4		71 [2] 131/19 132/1	144/15 145/13 147/15
1/4 2/14 136/9	15 February 2016 [3]	119/5 121/4 124/5		147/21 149/5 151/4
175/24 176/7	13/16 13/21 14/25	131/21 137/23 138/9	8	151/4 152/3 152/6
	15 November 2024	138/17 145/11	8 February [2] 41/8	152/17 152/21 152/21
MR DEAKIN: [4]	<b>[1]</b> 176/16	<b>2017 [4]</b> 72/6 72/15	42/6	154/21 155/10 155/17
106/19 106/22 109/2	<b>16 [5]</b> 37/4 53/14	72/16 73/1		155/21 156/3 158/10
176/2	54/9 59/16 117/8	<b>2018 [1]</b> 3/21	<b>85 [1]</b> 135/1	158/15 158/22 158/25
		<b>2019 [1]</b> 137/16	87 [2] 99/16 99/17	
	16 February [4]		<b>88 [3]</b> 54/9 99/17	159/10 160/19 160/21
'Actual [1] 94/9	51/12 53/22 145/15	<b>2024 [5]</b> 1/1 3/2	99/22	160/23 161/20 162/19
'low' [1] 70/24	156/19	79/12 110/2 176/16		163/16 164/6 164/19
'no [1] 70/24	16 February 2016 [1]	<b>206 [1]</b> 56/13	9	164/20 165/5 165/16
'none' [1] 94/8	119/5	<b>207 [1]</b> 57/8	9 February 2016 [1]	165/19 165/20 168/2
	<b>164 [1]</b> 128/23	21 June 2024 [1]	52/20	168/18 168/19 169/21
'safe' [1] 47/17	<b>16th [3]</b> 54/1 116/20	110/2		169/24 171/4 172/19
-	171/12	<b>22 [1]</b> 21/12	<b>93 [3]</b> 59/3 59/15	173/13
		22 December [1]	59/17	
but [1] 64/3	<b>17 [3]</b> 37/5 53/14		<b>99 [2]</b> 65/16 65/20	above [5] 11/14
it [1] 64/4	91/16	157/4	٨	47/23 132/3 132/8
we [1] 87/6	17th [3] 54/7 166/17	22 December 2015	Α	148/1
	171/12	<b>[2]</b> 85/10 115/16	ability [1] 9/16	absence [3] 25/11
0	<b>18 [1]</b> 12/23	22 June [1] 136/12	able [8] 24/3 24/12	33/7 158/15
<b>0017433 [1]</b> 69/15	18 February [1]	23 June 2024 [1] 3/2	42/22 65/23 142/25	absolute [1] 169/6
	53/14	<b>24 [1]</b> 23/1	148/25 149/7 163/7	absolutely [7] 22/25
1	<b>188 [2]</b> 32/6 96/7	<b>25 [1]</b> 24/20		27/25 39/12 55/3
		<b>25 pages [1]</b> 29/14	about [177] 1/7 5/9	
1 December 2015 [1]	<b>18th [3]</b> 54/7 166/18		7/11 8/15 10/23 12/18	
28/6	171/12	26 May 2016 [1]	20/20 20/23 21/16	abuse [1] 47/17
1 February 2015 [1]	<b>19 [2]</b> 11/12 49/13	67/23	21/19 21/19 24/9 25/1	
124/5	<b>1973 [1]</b> 110/11	<b>27 [3]</b> 51/24 120/22	25/13 27/22 31/11	access [13] 7/20 8/4
	<b>1977 [1]</b> 136/20	123/3		11/15 12/21 26/20

Α	addressed [2] 90/9	afterwards [2] 13/6	175/4	analysts [9] 8/20
access [8] 27/15	171/18	19/25	alleviate [1] 168/17	26/24 45/12 46/1
36/8 37/19 87/21 90/3	addresses [1] 95/16	again [35] 8/4 12/15	allow [2] 121/7	49/12 73/23 74/7
90/4 97/22 125/11	addressing [2] 24/21	17/16 21/25 25/10	151/13	74/25 75/21
accident [1] 13/24	70/5	28/1 32/4 34/25 39/5 40/5 53/2 56/2 59/5	allowed [2] 128/7 175/10	angle [1] 81/10
accommodate [1]	adequacy [1] 11/10 adequate [1] 135/4	59/14 69/22 70/8 75/1	allows [1] 141/17	Ann [5] 1/14 36/17 36/17 143/22 168/23
132/14	adequately [1] 89/5	75/20 89/15 90/6	alone [1] 81/16	Ann Ford [4] 36/17
according [2] 121/17	adjourned [1] 176/15	97/25 99/24 105/19	along [2] 143/18	36/17 143/22 168/23
124/12	adjournment [1]	107/6 107/17 117/16	158/16	Anne [1] 56/19
account [1] 170/3	109/14	118/9 121/7 122/9	alongside [2] 140/6	Anne Murphy [1]
accountability [1] 140/17	admissions [1]	127/10 128/14 131/22		56/19
accountable [4]	168/10	145/6 154/12 174/2	already [12] 50/5	announced [2]
140/22 141/9 141/23	advance [12] 23/20	against [5] 47/12	59/21 61/7 67/19	111/17 111/20
142/13	31/22 83/3 84/11	76/14 85/17 152/14	98/24 100/7 104/25	anonymised [1]
accounts [1] 170/12	88/13 96/12 107/13	161/16	149/2 155/14 173/25	151/11
accurate [2] 64/10	108/23 118/21 160/1	agenda [2] 116/13	174/22 175/22	another [15] 7/23
65/12	163/24 164/9	155/11	also [30] 6/24 8/20 11/8 21/16 34/23 41/1	36/17 38/2 40/14
achieve [1] 144/19	advanced [1] 68/17 advantage [2] 139/7	ago [8] 12/4 16/6 112/25 113/3 113/7	42/9 53/25 65/9 65/12	43/16 46/5 86/16 90/17 108/10 108/10
acknowledging [1]	143/18	113/9 128/6 129/13	66/5 66/6 83/12 89/3	122/3 132/24 134/12
77/16	adverse [1] 155/18	agree [1] 148/8	95/11 95/16 99/5	145/21 174/1
across [2] 120/16	advice [10] 11/5 67/2	ahead [26] 8/8 8/12	99/13 101/12 107/10	answer [12] 60/18
161/2	84/3 88/2 89/21 107/8		129/25 131/22 140/11	61/16 62/7 74/22 75/1
act [2] 5/6 47/4	113/24 114/1 125/3	9/3 9/19 9/19 12/25	140/23 146/10 151/7	87/2 97/24 107/23
acted [3] 41/4 138/12 138/13	125/5	13/1 27/1 43/21 52/19		130/21 140/19 150/7
acting [2] 137/19	advise [5] 24/3 62/1	56/9 74/4 82/12 86/24		161/19
138/21	81/8 89/5 107/11	88/6 114/14 128/4	although [10] 11/21	any [112] 1/25 5/8
action [4] 6/20 39/23	advised [2] 5/19 90/1	133/3 141/16 141/16	15/14 19/1 34/22 41/7	6/21 10/6 10/12 10/21
57/19 150/19	adviser [33] 9/25	147/12 155/9	52/3 61/24 66/12	10/23 12/18 13/23
actions [6] 35/20	36/22 80/20 81/2 81/19 81/23 82/2 83/2	aimed [1] 117/13	144/10 172/19	14/1 14/2 18/11 19/9 19/25 23/7 24/15
35/24 69/6 95/14	83/15 86/3 86/24	alarm [1] 96/20	always [9] 22/7 22/7 22/8 24/5 63/24 64/10	
150/11 150/16	87/20 88/11 89/11	albeit [1] 34/24	73/10 77/3 155/6	35/20 36/11 37/10
active [1] 81/12	107/4 107/6 107/20		am [60] 1/2 10/25	38/5 38/18 41/3 44/10
actively [4] 69/4 69/4	110/19 111/8 111/11		11/9 11/16 12/11 13/8	
69/9 163/2	112/9 112/20 113/12	166/13 167/23 172/15		52/23 53/6 54/3 54/16
actual [11] 28/21 30/3 87/18 94/3 94/16	113/17 113/21 113/22	Alison Kelly [8]	22/16 26/1 28/2 28/8	54/19 55/23 58/23
112/7 112/10 112/17	113/22 114/3 118/18	36/16 37/1 147/21	39/14 40/5 50/10	59/23 60/1 60/4 60/7
113/10 126/11 169/13	124/20 137/20 143/15			60/14 61/10 61/14
actually [37] 13/11	155/3	167/23 172/15	52/17 53/15 53/16	61/14 62/18 63/24
16/3 36/18 36/18 41/9	advisers [36] 4/20 4/24 5/4 5/7 9/25 10/7	all [73] 5/11 12/21 17/20 18/15 18/15	53/20 55/5 76/5 85/25 85/25 88/16 88/24	66/23 67/6 69/10
46/7 62/13 66/5 66/10	10/10 10/18 11/4 11/8	18/18 21/2 24/5 27/10		73/18 82/1 83/6 88/7 90/5 95/16 99/19
71/8 75/4 78/6 89/4	23/6 23/25 24/3 24/11	29/5 29/23 30/2 31/24		99/25 101/6 103/5
90/2 90/4 95/12	24/16 30/21 30/25	39/4 40/9 41/21 44/5	103/25 104/1 106/5	104/18 104/21 104/22
101/20 102/19 111/14	45/20 51/17 52/14	45/1 47/25 49/24	120/13 121/15 122/5	104/22 112/19 113/1
122/9 122/14 134/8 140/8 140/13 141/18	53/5 53/7 53/10 57/2	51/16 54/21 55/12	124/15 125/12 131/1	115/5 118/21 121/7
143/13 143/16 149/18	62/13 62/20 67/1 67/8		140/15 142/18 144/18	121/12 125/17 125/20
150/13 150/18 153/10	133/6 139/6 143/14	64/24 65/3 67/10	144/19 145/11 147/3	130/3 130/14 130/20
155/12 161/16 162/5	143/16 144/16 150/3	67/10 67/11 75/19	147/10 148/8 148/25	130/24 131/2 131/3
173/9 173/10 175/3	173/8 175/4	75/24 91/13 93/23	154/15 158/17 162/3	131/5 131/8 134/18
acute [3] 3/18 47/1	Advisor [1] 112/7	94/2 100/5 100/6	162/17 163/11 176/15	
168/12	Advisories [1] 31/4 advisory [2] 81/11	100/25 104/6 104/21 105/15 106/9 107/24	among [1] 108/16 amongst [5] 6/18 7/6	135/20 139/15 141/24 142/20 142/23 142/24
ad [1] 137/20	137/22	108/5 108/7 113/1	62/23 102/11 146/9	147/12 148/9 148/24
ad hoc [1] 137/20	affairs [1] 148/19	113/6 115/6 118/9	amount [1] 104/1	150/12 152/20 153/3
added [1] 137/7	affected [2] 31/14	129/20 135/10 142/20		153/14 156/7 156/23
addition [1] 105/17	39/17	143/21 144/19 144/21	analysis [9] 45/23	157/21 161/24 163/7
additional [3] 12/24 15/6 99/10	afraid [2] 163/6	146/4 146/19 146/25	46/4 67/16 85/16	164/12 165/1 167/8
address [6] 36/24	167/22	148/1 149/1 149/19	86/11 86/12 86/12	168/12 169/5 169/7
68/7 69/10 92/21 94/5	after [7] 9/23 19/14	152/4 155/12 158/3	87/23 157/23	170/7 172/7 174/21
96/6	19/24 32/10 37/15	162/2 165/18 166/17	analyst [2] 9/21	176/1
	41/10 96/10	167/7 170/8 174/4	76/12	anybody [8] 93/15

(47) access... - anybody

Α	65/25 73/14 74/16	arranged [2] 28/13	120/19 121/13 131/14	145/19
	75/5 75/5 75/7 75/8	114/2	131/15 141/1 160/24	baby [1] 145/22
anybody [7] 114/11 130/14 130/18 151/19	77/16 77/22 77/23	arrive [2] 16/7 115/8	162/15	back [25] 6/5 14/12
155/25 157/17 174/25	78/7 78/9 78/20 78/24		assist [1] 148/25	15/5 32/3 41/12 50/23
anyone [1] 38/11	79/14 80/17 81/11	79/4 147/9	assistants [1] 114/12	51/9 58/5 58/5 58/6
anything [49] 8/15	83/9 84/12 84/25	arriving [1] 115/4	assisted [3] 1/19	67/6 67/12 67/12 73/4
10/22 10/23 22/10	85/11 87/3 87/20	articulate [1] 134/8	2/11 80/13	77/8 77/11 78/15
24/10 41/3 41/3 44/24	87/22 87/22 88/17	as [225]	Associate [1] 80/5	86/15 108/15 122/20
54/5 54/5 63/16 63/23	88/23 92/3 93/1 94/1	ascertained [1]	associated [1] 148/5	142/12 153/4 172/4
63/23 63/25 64/1 64/5	94/2 94/17 95/16 96/8		association [2] 70/15	
64/19 64/23 65/4 65/5	96/17 97/17 97/20	aside [2] 7/7 135/6	148/7	background [9] 3/7
65/6 65/9 75/3 78/21	99/17 101/13 101/14	ask [52] 5/8 7/10	assume [1] 158/20	75/4 79/18 82/8
103/20 103/21 104/24	101/15 102/17 103/11		assumed [3] 76/7	110/10 113/18 114/4
109/6 112/10 116/1	103/12 103/22 105/8	23/22 36/3 36/9 40/5	107/24 108/1	136/19 157/8
118/13 118/20 119/1	108/1 110/4 110/7 110/11 111/14 112/4	43/11 58/23 60/14 61/10 63/13 63/19	assurance [1] 20/18	bad [1] 155/19
125/25 131/6 131/6	115/13 117/25 118/3	63/22 65/3 73/12 89/3	assure [3] 16/25 17/4	Band 6 [1] 132/7
131/11 149/5 151/2	118/13 118/21 119/11	90/5 101/18 101/24	assured [4] 34/2 61/1	
151/3 151/6 152/4	119/14 121/12 121/13		61/1 61/4	based [9] 45/24 48/9
163/15 164/19 174/24	121/16 121/20 122/1	103/14 103/20 108/9	assuring [2] 39/21	97/6 105/3 105/22
174/25 175/13 175/15	122/2 122/7 122/8	122/15 128/7 130/14	74/25	106/3 130/1 130/1
175/21	122/9 123/8 124/21	130/14 130/17 130/20		157/24
anywhere [1] 25/6	126/8 127/2 131/20	130/24 131/8 131/9	at November 2017 [1]	
apart [1] 7/1	133/17 133/20 135/21	134/2 144/11 152/3	73/1	basis [6] 29/3 70/10
apparent [1] 141/12	135/24 139/6 139/9	152/7 152/12 152/16	attached [1] 147/16	82/9 111/12 137/20
appear [3] 46/3 171/21 173/2	139/15 139/15 140/8	152/19 152/24 154/11	attachments [1]	174/13
appeared [1] 31/21	141/6 141/9 142/1	158/25 159/10 159/17	84/14	be [236]
appears [10] 29/15	142/1 142/12 143/21	159/18 174/5		bear [1] 145/11
43/20 47/4 51/11	144/19 145/1 145/2	asked [37] 14/24	145/21	bears [1] 140/3
81/21 94/11 114/8	150/2 150/3 150/16	16/17 16/18 19/8	attend [2] 52/21	became [5] 72/9
119/3 158/3 172/1	150/17 151/3 152/6	25/13 27/22 31/15	116/15	110/19 138/21 167/10
applied [2] 18/7 18/9	152/9 152/12 152/12	36/4 39/22 43/2 43/7	attended [10] 53/3	168/1
apply [1] 44/15	152/13 152/20 152/20	44/13 51/3 61/7 63/3	57/2 67/24 72/14	because [55] 7/20
appointed [1] 142/14	152/21 152/22 153/25 154/1 154/5 154/5	63/7 70/25 76/18 76/23 94/5 94/20	101/4 128/19 147/3 164/12 164/16 164/18	9/9 13/9 15/18 16/16 16/22 17/16 19/19
appreciate [2]	155/10 155/19 155/22	101/6 102/13 103/7	attendees [2] 56/17	20/25 23/12 23/21
132/10 140/16	156/21 161/25 162/2	103/23 108/12 126/17	56/18	24/5 24/7 26/2 27/20
approach [14] 27/16	162/11 168/19 173/25		attending [1] 157/17	28/2 35/10 41/11
	174/3 175/25 176/5	133/14 134/20 152/8	attention [1] 10/14	43/12 44/20 46/10
120/15 120/18 125/18	176/8	152/9 160/23 169/13	attitude [1] 155/22	55/21 55/23 56/2
125/20 125/21 128/5 133/4 133/9 159/9	area [10] 15/6 44/22	170/2	automatically [1]	64/11 65/10 66/9 67/9
161/17	80/3 89/2 114/6 125/9	asking [24] 8/14	23/23	77/2 87/8 89/24 92/24
approached [3] 5/5	151/20 153/7 153/9	16/20 17/13 50/11	available [10] 1/13	95/21 97/24 100/21
61/23 121/8	158/10	91/9 102/22 102/23	1/23 1/25 5/2 45/12	102/3 102/14 106/12
approaches [1]	areas [21] 10/13	106/5 111/3 121/9	45/25 46/1 88/14	112/25 128/10 130/11
78/13	43/12 44/19 45/1 83/6		100/25 166/23	135/9 139/8 140/6
appropriate [4] 35/19	87/14 111/13 112/11	125/24 126/1 128/8	avoidable [3] 26/6	141/4 143/9 151/18
61/5 97/8 125/4	114/5 123/21 123/21	133/17 144/14 150/4	47/18 98/18	152/18 154/3 155/19
appropriately [5]	133/10 133/10 133/14			163/8 168/14 168/16
70/23 71/9 89/4 96/2	134/21 139/16 161/6 162/6 162/24 163/15	174/11 174/17	57/18 96/23	173/14 174/2
143/8	165/8	aspect [1] 142/4 aspects [1] 107/12	aware [29] 26/11 26/17 38/14 39/9	<b>become [4]</b> 39/9 110/15 137/3 137/12
are [168] 1/4 1/11	aren't [8] 24/3 30/3	assess [5] 43/21	50/10 55/21 69/3 69/9	
1/12 1/16 1/22 1/25	56/14 65/11 90/12	49/20 60/24 86/25	72/18 72/23 76/5 83/7	142/11 167/24
2/5 3/8 6/6 6/17 7/8	93/1 110/11 174/12	96/2	83/9 98/14 103/2	bed [1] 64/10
7/10 10/1 11/3 11/21	arise [2] 118/8	assessed [3] 20/24	132/8 133/24 134/13	beds [2] 168/12
13/5 13/7 13/11 16/1	153/21	64/13 76/14	146/4 146/7 146/11	168/12
16/25 17/4 17/5 17/13 18/19 20/1 20/10 25/1	arises [1] 118/6	assessing [3] 6/25	146/12 146/14 146/15	been [133] 1/19 2/6
29/15 30/2 31/5 33/2	arising [2] 43/8 109/6	100/17 119/25	151/3 152/5 155/15	2/11 9/22 11/19 11/22
33/17 34/6 34/8 36/11	arose [1] 72/24	assessment [21]	155/22 169/17	12/9 14/11 14/14
36/12 40/10 46/2 47/1	around [9] 53/20	30/10 43/18 44/2 44/8	away [1] 72/4	14/15 14/16 15/3
56/14 59/10 59/14	54/1 101/12 113/15	48/2 75/14 75/17	B	15/24 16/14 16/16
61/17 65/6 65/9 65/23	126/1 130/12 152/10	75/23 76/4 76/8 77/14	-	20/18 21/22 25/21
	152/16 159/20	119/23 120/3 120/6	babies [3] 37/3 41/24	26/21 27/15 27/20
				(48) anybody been

(48) anybody... - been

D	33/23 34/19 35/18	51/9 52/13 53/1 53/2	149/20 150/12 151/15	169/6 173/24 174/2
B	39/20 39/23 41/16	71/20 84/19 87/12	151/22 152/9 152/19	can't [32] 10/19
been [112] 27/21	51/6 58/21 61/5 61/19	116/7 116/14 116/15	153/16 153/18 155/13	
29/4 29/6 31/1 31/15	62/23 69/7 70/23	139/12 144/24 156/12		20/5 30/22 31/2 34/17
32/21 32/23 33/12	70/24 76/23 80/1	156/16 157/15 157/18		35/9 35/12 53/4 53/9
35/11 37/15 37/19	94/12 102/12 124/12	158/13	165/8 166/4 168/7	53/11 74/22 75/1
38/12 38/16 39/22	141/7 142/16 142/20	briefings [1] 150/20	169/14 170/7 171/6	85/24 85/24 88/21
40/3 40/13 40/18 42/6	143/7 143/8 145/3	briefly [4] 107/6	171/15 171/19 171/22	97/24 103/23 113/13
42/7 42/11 42/22	145/7 149/21 149/24	121/25 136/19 149/17	171/25 174/8 174/14	128/9 129/13 148/22
42/24 42/25 44/12	153/20 154/8 161/25	Brigham [4] 37/23	174/17 174/21 175/2	149/23 152/8 158/12
46/11 50/5 50/13 51/7	162/18 164/20 168/18		175/16	162/21 163/4 169/4
58/16 59/7 59/7 59/9	170/23 174/12	bring [4] 45/11 74/4		174/21 175/2
61/15 61/20 61/25	belief [5] 3/5 79/15	147/4 166/4	C	cancelled [1] 168/13
62/2 62/8 62/8 62/9	110/5 110/8 136/17	brings [1] 101/20	C-5 [2] 129/10 129/12	cannot [3] 2/7 153/5
68/15 68/25 69/23	believe [5] 85/21	British [1] 70/15	Cain [14] 2/18 2/19	163/8
69/25 72/21 75/16	119/21 126/23 133/12		2/21 2/25 73/19 83/25	
79/18 81/21 84/25	172/7	[1] 70/15	88/20 93/13 105/3	6/21 13/25 30/12
86/13 86/24 89/4 89/7	bells [1] 96/19	broader [1] 143/7	105/9 128/25 135/15	30/16 78/14 85/18
92/18 94/19 94/20	Benjamin [4] 4/20	broadly [2] 102/10	135/17 177/3	92/17 92/22 97/18
96/13 97/2 97/4 98/12	79/5 79/9 177/7	161/19	calculation [1] 94/1	114/12 150/15 152/17
98/15 99/20 99/25	best [11] 3/4 5/9	brought [3] 103/8	call [5] 79/2 109/17	152/21 168/18 170/13
100/23 104/12 106/15	12/23 77/15 79/15	146/24 154/8	144/25 156/13 168/5	176/9
108/12 108/13 110/21	110/5 110/8 135/2		called [4] 84/3	caring [5] 9/12 45/4
111/20 112/15 116/9	136/17 139/7 143/17	49/16 51/25 70/6	112/15 143/22 173/18	45/5 120/7 129/10
119/8 119/20 120/19	better [6] 13/11	70/20 71/12 86/16	calls [1] 123/9	Carr [17] 2/15 2/22
125/13 125/13 126/12	40/20 63/24 77/16	86/18 86/22 87/24	came [10] 9/9 10/22	51/2 76/18 78/21 79/6
127/11 128/1 128/10	175/20 175/23	122/6 122/17 123/5	12/11 16/15 64/9 67/5	
129/21 132/2 132/4	between [13] 15/23	162/23	75/20 114/7 131/11	109/23 122/12 122/23
139/14 144/9 146/17	19/3 46/12 60/8 66/3	bullying [6] 66/20	153/4	123/25 135/22 177/4
146/22 149/8 150/12	76/19 78/1 91/3	104/16 104/19 134/16		177/8 177/12
154/23 155/14 157/16	113/21 114/2 138/1	134/19 134/24	7/4 8/10 9/1 9/24 12/7	carried [2] 40/13
160/23 163/6 164/5	163/19 169/18	Burnett [1] 166/15	13/13 14/4 14/11	92/11
164/6 164/13 165/10	<b>boyond</b> [2] $90/18$	but [135] 2/9 10/21	17/24 18/23 21/4 21/5	
165/12 165/13 165/14	131/25	13/25 14/16 14/19	21/25 26/13 30/6	carry [2] 48/19
165/15 165/19 168/13	bia [2] 162/24 164/2	15/20 16/1 18/20	31/17 36/13 38/21	141/20
168/13 168/14 169/10	173/4	20/22 22/4 22/16	38/21 38/21 38/23	carrying [1] 6/12
169/16 169/16 172/19	bit [12] 16/9 18/24	22/21 23/19 25/2 26/3		case [6] 41/1 57/19
173/11 175/3	101/9 104/3 118/7		44/1 45/7 46/20 50/10	
before [48] 1/6 1/21	119/17 122/6 147/15	33/21 34/16 34/18	51/10 52/12 56/12	174/12
2/10 3/11 5/21 6/4	155/9 159/22 165/16	35/11 38/23 41/25	56/16 56/17 57/11	cases [11] 30/17
13/22 14/25 15/18	172/18	42/20 45/1 49/24	57/24 58/2 58/6 58/8	37/7 39/4 41/21 57/16
15/18 15/25 16/2 16/3	blank [2] 158/7	52/20 53/2 53/9 55/6	59/1 59/13 60/17	57/19 58/20 101/19
18/19 19/1 19/4 19/4	158/10	58/5 62/3 62/5 62/13	63/13 65/15 66/15	129/15 129/20 153/4
19/5 19/23 21/14	board [4] 57/23	63/9 64/3 65/8 65/12	68/5 68/7 69/12 70/4	catch [2] 64/24 65/3
38/15 41/11 42/20	120/16 170/16 170/23		70/19 72/3 73/12	catch-all [2] 64/24
46/19 52/19 53/7	bold [1] 118/7	72/1 73/13 74/11	76/20 79/8 84/8 84/15	65/3
121/25 122/14 134/7	both [10] 1/10 19/20		84/21 85/8 87/2 87/13	
146/23 147/3 147/5	38/24 69/1 92/14 99/6		87/13 88/1 88/16	94/15
147/8 147/18 152/2	100/1 125/5 125/6	86/9 87/3 87/14 88/13		categories [2] 47/12
155/2 156/8 156/19	127/2	88/19 89/1 91/7 93/1	101/9 101/17 102/10	47/25
157/13 158/16 159/2	bottom [7] 12/15	94/24 95/21 97/11	104/24 105/19 106/5	categorisation [14]
160/11 162/17 164/12	14/7 16/12 57/8 57/25	99/13 100/9 100/24	107/5 107/21 108/9	30/7 31/8 31/25 33/7
164/15 164/18 165/23	147/7 163/2	103/17 104/1 104/15	109/24 111/9 114/13	35/2 36/1 71/2 71/7
169/17	box [6] 47/11 47/15	107/3 107/23 110/21	115/10 115/11 116/6	94/7 94/15 95/4 125/2
beforehand [1]	47/19 56/18 57/25	112/5 112/16 113/9	116/12 116/24 119/22	125/14 126/12
165/11	58/6	113/11 113/13 117/3	119/22 120/3 121/15	categorisations [2]
beg [1] 58/13	boxes [1] 49/16	117/20 118/8 120/2	122/13 122/22 125/25	31/13 71/5
begin [2] 1/6 136/19	break [5] 46/20 50/20	121/25 124/7 125/7	128/23 130/2 132/10	categorise [1] 33/8
<b>behaviours [2]</b> 145/6	50/22 50/25 104/2	128/9 128/15 128/25	135/8 138/11 139/1	categorised [4] 34/9
145/7	Brearey [4] 56/19	129/12 131/2 131/25	141/14 147/14 147/19	94/25 96/3 125/13
behind [2] 94/23	99/1 99/9 147/14	133/7 133/15 139/8	149/19 152/8 157/22	categorising [1]
154/24	Brearey's [1] 147/19	140/11 141/10 142/9	158/24 159/21 159/22	124/11
being [42] 6/9 17/7	brief [2] 72/9 82/4	143/6 144/11 148/15	160/23 160/24 163/14	
23/23 23/24 27/4 31/9	briefing [18] 51/8	148/23 149/12 149/17		126/25
				(49) been category

(49) been... - category

C	139/20 166/15 166/19	123/21 125/9 133/14	158/12 165/9	conclusion [1] 121/5
С	Chief Executive [1]	134/21	commonly [1] 156/5	conduct [4] 31/14
causation [1] 148/7	166/19	clinician [3] 30/9	communicated [3]	39/17 42/22 63/21
cause [10] 11/3	child [22] 23/5 26/7	146/5 157/9	72/21 91/11 91/14	conducted [9] 1/18
19/21 32/17 33/4	26/14 26/14 26/14	clinicians [1] 40/19	communication [1]	54/7 67/10 71/8
37/10 41/3 42/1 84/2	26/15 26/15 27/8	closely [1] 140/13	142/3	129/25 134/12 162/15
96/22 97/1	27/13 27/13 27/13	closer [1] 89/2	communicator [1]	163/3 163/5
caused [8] 33/1	27/13 27/13 32/1 32/5		89/25	conducting [4] 20/13
101/23 126/3 126/8	34/21 50/12 50/14	coded [2] 28/21 93/5	compare [2] 48/17	27/17 117/11 171/20
126/13 145/23 146/8	80/10 96/7 98/15	cohort [1] 12/3	49/8	confident [2] 11/16
170/11	127/24	colleagues [1]	compared [1] 37/5	29/15
causes [1] 125/22	Child A [4] 26/14	132/12	comparison [4]	confidential [1]
causing [2] 63/16	27/13 32/5 96/7	collect [1] 67/13	48/19 49/10 162/14	175/12
103/21	Child C [2] 26/14	<b>collected</b> [1] 5/16	163/19	confirm [1] 95/9
CEO [1] 169/25	27/13	collecting [1] 54/3	complete [1] 65/12	confusion [1] 19/3
certainly [11] 10/21	Child D [8] 26/7	collection [1] 12/6	completed [1]	connected [5] 96/21
33/24 56/3 57/12	26/14 27/8 27/13	College [2] 70/13	110/24	164/20 171/22 172/1
64/14 65/7 76/9 77/18	50/12 50/14 98/15	110/16	completing [2] 30/9	172/2
126/5 165/20 175/8	127/24	colour [2] 28/20 93/5	30/14	conscious [1] 103/25
certainty [2] 169/7	Child E [2] 26/15	coloured [3] 29/17	complied [1] 97/8	consequence [1]
174/21	34/21	94/3 124/10	concern [37] 11/3	155/18
cetera [3] 150/20 158/23 168/21	Child I [1] 27/13	colours [4] 75/13	19/21 22/21 40/16	consider [10] 6/4
	Child I were [1]	75/20 75/25 76/3	63/17 68/7 70/18 83/6	
chain [1] 152/2	26/15	column [10] 28/13	84/3 90/9 103/21	83/25 89/12 89/18
chair [22] 138/5	Child's [1] 97/4	28/16 28/18 28/20	107/1 108/8 108/8	107/18 145/10
138/7 138/12 138/14 138/22 139/2 139/18	children [30] 4/10	30/4 68/12 94/4 94/9	108/19 108/20 118/6	considerable [1]
139/23 140/4 140/7	4/16 14/8 23/24 29/6	124/10 126/11	118/8 125/22 126/4	146/8
140/22 141/5 142/1	36/2 43/25 47/5 47/21	columns [1] 28/13	126/8 126/13 126/22	consideration [2]
142/11 142/15 142/20	47/22 50/17 51/22	come [36] 2/20 11/9	139/16 148/7 149/15	68/17 73/11
144/18 154/9 166/8	66/9 68/4 69/18 80/5	15/7 19/3 19/8 20/2	149/19 151/15 153/8	considered [10] 9/15
170/6 171/15 173/14	83/22 92/2 98/10	20/5 50/22 55/24 56/4	153/12 155/7 155/9	25/5 31/13 39/13 47/5
Chair's [1] 142/4	105/2 111/19 116/25	67/12 76/1 79/4 86/15		68/15 125/16 129/24
chaired [1] 80/9	117/5 119/13 119/18	89/5 89/9 98/14 101/8		142/15 152/19
chairperson [2]	120/2 151/19 159/15	101/17 105/5 109/19	concerned [8] 1/17	considering [3] 34/6
139/20 141/19	160/25 170/13	112/5 124/22 139/13	31/24 80/17 119/15	34/8 124/15
challenge [1] 68/20	children's [17] 3/8	142/5 151/15 157/14	126/19 151/3 152/13	consistent [1] 154/3
challenges [1] 77/17	3/12 69/2 85/4 86/19	158/16 159/2 164/8	158/15	constitute [3] 23/4
Chambers [1] 166/19	86/19 110/12 110/19	165/18 165/23 168/8	concerning [10] 14/8	
chance [2] 149/13	120/22 100/20 140/0	168/24 174/9 175/10	31/21 32/5 34/21	constitutes [1] 24/2
159/1	146/6 149/10 157/2	comes [5] 73/22	43/10 55/17 55/20	Consultant [3] 37/8
change [1] 41/22	157/8 170/1 170/16	89/19 101/13 147/8	88/12 96/7 98/10	40/14 79/19
changed [3] 27/16	Children's Unit [1]	155/12	concerns [65] 12/18	Consultants [8] 2/4
133/3 133/10	170/16	coming [4] 122/20	43/12 44/12 44/14	66/4 66/17 104/14
characterise [1]	CHILDS [5] 136/4	147/25 153/21 155/1	48/24 48/24 54/15	104/22 146/4 148/2
164/1	136/11 136/12 176/4 177/14	commenced [1] 3/17 comment [10] 12/20		
characterised [1]	circumstances [4]	38/13 86/22 90/6	60/7 60/11 61/6 61/14 62/1 62/8 62/24 64/6	104/13 134/11 134/15
165/4	19/22 63/12 91/9	94/14 120/13 125/12	64/25 65/5 65/8 66/23	
characterising [1]	126/21	148/22 149/13 149/14		
140/25	clarification [1]	commented [1]	89/25 90/11 90/21	118/14
check [1] 76/20	10/24	44/11	91/2 92/15 99/18	contained [5] 24/4
checked [2] 64/12	clarify [2] 37/22	comments [2]	99/23 100/2 100/3	40/7 86/6 86/8 121/10
94/22	47/12	111/14 147/21	102/12 102/24 103/4	containing [3] 25/25
checking [1] 78/17	cleaning [1] 54/4	<b>Commission [6]</b> 1/6	103/18 104/18 104/21	42/15 115/5
checklists [1] 54/4	clear [10] 14/21 16/1	78/14 92/18 92/22	107/3 124/17 124/23	contains [6] 26/2
Cheshire [1] 57/20	25/6 32/16 42/1 59/5	97/18 176/9	126/16 126/17 130/6	28/3 28/11 85/3
<b>Chester [16]</b> 3/23	85/25 119/24 141/20	commissioned [1]	130/21 130/22 131/4	117/10 126/7
4/13 10/20 36/16 39/3	143/21	147/10	131/5 134/15 134/15	content [2] 1/10
53/12 76/2 80/16	clearer [1] 81/6	Commissioning [1]	134/23 134/24 134/25	
111/1 117/4 117/21 118/15 128/4 138/8	clearly [2] 42/3 94/10	•	135/3 135/6 152/10	contents [4] 79/14
138/15 156/8	clinical [17] 5/7 5/9	common [12] 37/10	152/20 152/22 152/23	
Chester's [1] 75/13	6/3 10/23 10/24 24/12		165/20 169/16	context [5] 6/6 36/25
chief [4] 137/6	30/11 49/4 80/2 80/3	153/5 153/14 153/24	concludes [1] 176/7	41/2 61/18 89/3
	111/13 112/10 114/4	154/23 155/5 158/9	concluding [1] 118/3	continue [2] 104/4
			1	

(50) causation - continue

С	66/16 66/22 67/21	13/12	deal [6] 9/1 119/12	delivered [1] 170/13
continue [1] 104/7	78/17 97/11 104/17	CYP [2] 83/20 106/2	137/18 139/15 163/14	
continued [1] 171/13	106/20 108/22 119/14		168/6	134/7
control [1] 154/10	122/16 125/14 134/1	D	dealing [11] 11/10	department [5] 64/9
convenient [3] 50/19	134/17 138/7 143/19	daily [1] 111/12	12/15 31/18 36/14	146/11 146/12 153/3
104/2 104/4	154/13	daresay [1] 149/2	44/1 51/22 73/3 73/4	170/19
conversation [5]	covered [2] 175/1	data [59] 6/24 6/25	86/16 116/23 132/24	departments [1] 4/6
20/20 21/3 31/3	175/22	7/6 8/20 8/21 8/22	deals [5] 34/20 86/18	depend [3] 20/15
133/23 134/1	covering [1] 98/7	9/17 9/21 10/17 11/7	105/1 117/9 118/5	129/15 150/7
converse [1] 44/15	CQC [111] 1/9 1/15	11/18 12/15 13/2 13/7	dealt [1] 131/17	depending [1] 57/15
convoluted [1] 108/9	3/18 3/20 3/23 4/25	13/10 13/10 13/12	Dear [1] 147/9	depth [1] 37/6
Cooke [1] 99/3	6/7 6/18 7/7 7/17 7/20	16/6 19/22 24/4 26/24	death [31] 24/17 26/6	
copy [3] 51/19 84/16	8/4 8/10 8/20 9/5	31/9 37/12 45/15	29/21 30/13 30/18	describe [9] 12/23
84/18	11/16 11/19 13/18	45/15 71/21 73/9	32/1 32/9 32/14 32/17	23/24 32/7 65/17 69/6
core [22] 2/1 4/8 4/19	15/21 17/6 18/13 22/8	73/10 73/10 73/23	33/4 33/5 33/11 34/12	I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I
5/13 8/22 9/7 9/8 9/10	24/16 24/25 25/10	74/4 74/7 74/25 75/10		114/25
9/21 13/24 18/16	25/19 26/20 26/24	75/21 75/22 76/11	91/21 91/24 92/4 94/2	
19/10 23/14 23/15	36/17 36/21 37/11	82/21 83/18 88/2	94/10 96/9 96/18	27/11 54/8 56/12
27/1 44/20 56/24	37/15 37/19 38/11	89/13 89/18 89/22	96/22 97/1 97/4 98/15	56/22 69/5 89/12
66/13 67/6 67/7 67/13	38/12 41/15 45/9	90/1 90/3 90/4 92/23	98/18 102/25 126/10	89/18 115/20 120/23
74/5	45/12 45/25 46/1 46/3	94/11 114/17 115/11	127/23	135/1 143/6 154/7
correct [21] 10/25	49/11 53/18 53/20	115/12 119/18 120/24		170/23
46/14 53/15 71/6	62/22 71/17 72/9	121/9 121/10 162/24	17/6 21/5 21/10 21/14	describes [1] 47/16
76/21 79/21 81/25	72/18 73/6 74/17	163/24 164/9 173/5	21/17 22/2 23/3 23/7	describing [7] 11/21
82/15 83/8 83/24 88/4	80/12 80/14 80/23	date [9] 14/12 14/24	23/10 24/1 24/21	59/3 85/11 105/8
96/3 96/4 99/15 100/2	81/4 81/19 82/2 83/6	16/9 17/17 20/12	24/23 25/8 26/14	115/13 124/21 130/21
102/5 106/12 136/12	83/9 83/19 83/20	28/16 37/14 41/7 46/8		description [10]
138/1 147/10 155/20	84/13 87/21 88/15	dated [12] 3/2 13/15	54/16 54/19 54/21	28/25 29/21 32/12
correctly [1] 61/16	89/19 89/21 91/18	13/21 20/4 38/2 39/16		32/22 32/25 33/3 33/6
correlation [3] 60/8	93/12 93/16 95/19	41/9 51/4 79/11 85/20		
91/2 169/8	97/22 98/9 107/1	110/2 157/3	63/6 88/13 88/25 89/4	
correlations [1]	107/7 107/24 108/19	dated December	89/7 90/25 91/4 91/17	
169/18	110/20 111/10 112/24		91/19 92/7 93/23 94/8	
corroboration [2]	114/18 118/17 124/2	dated February 2016	97/3 99/19 99/24	101/20 115/5 141/17
67/5 139/13	133/4 137/18 137/20	[1] 39/16	100/3 100/4 100/15	154/24 156/6 159/17
could [39] 5/17 18/4	139/2 139/4 140/23	dated March 2016 [1] 38/2		159/20
18/4 18/5 18/6 18/11	141/25 142/4 142/22	Datix [2] 31/10 94/12		detailed [3] 82/5 86/9
19/10 19/10 19/12	148/8 148/12 148/22 149/5 151/10 154/9	day [32] 5/15 13/22	159/20 163/21 165/6	88/4
36/8 37/22 41/3 41/4	155/8 155/17 156/1	14/25 19/1 19/4 19/10	169/6 169/8 169/19	details [5] 25/7 88/25 91/10 96/8 116/22
62/22 63/24 64/4	157/24 158/4 165/14	19/23 37/14 41/11		detect [3] 73/6 73/8
64/11 64/18 64/18	167/5 167/9 167/18	51/12 64/12 67/4	debrief [1] 67/3 December [11] 16/20	77/21
64/19 64/19 64/20	171/17 173/2 173/3	67/13 85/5 85/7	18/1 28/6 51/4 51/7	
68/10 69/6 91/22	173/8 173/23 175/4	111/14 115/1 115/8	52/4 72/15 85/10	deteriorated [1] 41/25
108/5 112/1 122/15	CQC's [1] 154/2	115/20 115/22 116/8	85/21 115/16 157/4	deterioration [5] 32/9
122/23 131/3 134/8	created [2] 1/12 45/8	116/18 118/18 119/9	December 2015 [2]	33/4 41/24 42/1 96/9
136/9 149/14 149/21	criticism [1] 163/12	135/16 135/18 147/3	52/4 85/21	deterioration/death
149/25 154/11 167/4	cross [2] 12/22 154/7	147/8 152/2 160/11	decide [1] 89/19	[1] 42/1
167/18 175/10	cross-reference [1]	160/12 160/22	decided [2] 39/3	determination [2]
couldn't [4] 13/20	12/22	day's [2] 111/20	174/9	48/2 68/21
16/16 105/24 168/7	<b>CS [3]</b> 129/6 129/7	135/19	decision [7] 69/11	determine [1] 10/5
count [1] 54/9	129/9	days [17] 5/4 53/14	89/10 89/17 89/21	determined [1]
counted [1] 14/18	culture [9] 66/20	53/18 53/19 111/16	94/23 94/24 95/20	140/20
Countess [20] 3/23	104/16 104/19 134/16		decisions [1] 6/20	determining [2] 71/5
4/13 10/20 36/16 39/2	134/19 144/1 144/3	112/17 120/10 135/14	declaring [1] 77/22	122/3
53/12 75/13 75/20	145/1 169/21	140/7 141/5 147/18	deemed [1] 84/7	did [91] 14/1 14/13
75/22 76/1 80/16	Cunningham [2]	171/10 171/19 173/19	definitely [1] 19/18	16/2 17/20 17/23 18/2
	13/16 13/18	days' [1] 112/4	degree [1] 93/5	18/10 18/20 23/8
118/14 128/4 138/8	curiosity [2] 144/2	<b>De [6]</b> 1/3 2/13 136/7	degrees [1] 148/6	24/15 25/6 25/10 28/1
138/15 145/17 156/8	144/8	136/8 176/12 177/15	delay [2] 26/10 98/18	30/17 30/20 35/3 36/1
couple [3] 12/4	curious [3] 144/5	Deakin [8] 73/17	deliberate [3] 60/11	36/10 36/10 41/13
149/17 159/19	145/8 145/9	106/18 106/21 109/5	72/25 91/5	49/9 52/23 53/6 53/22
course [20] 20/9	curiously [1] 150/3	135/21 135/23 176/1	deliberately [1]	53/25 54/15 54/18
22/14 26/11 36/4	current [2] 5/10	177/9	148/22	58/20 58/23 58/25

(51) continue... - did

D	148/24	27/10 30/23 33/25	165/4 165/9 165/11	171/22 172/7
	directly [9] 60/15	36/7 38/4 38/10 38/13	166/4	done [14] 10/19
did [61] 60/14	88/13 89/1 90/2	48/23 56/9 62/21	document with [1]	18/25 42/21 94/21
61/10 62/6 62/21	119/15 130/3 130/14	63/24 65/24 66/25	46/5	95/14 101/15 113/2
63/19 66/23 73/6	130/14 148/23	67/1 67/1 67/16 70/10		113/4 113/6 125/14
81/23 82/7 84/1 93/9	director [23] 80/2	73/17 74/25 75/3 77/3		128/15 133/12 142/9
98/14 101/2 103/5	80/4 80/5 137/3	77/7 77/7 77/8 77/10	documentation [10]	153/4
106/6 112/19 113/1	137/13 139/20 146/13	78/21 79/4 79/7 80/25		down [29] 2/23 21/4
113/7 114/9 116/15	146/13 147/2 147/3	85/21 87/6 87/6 89/10		38/21 43/16 45/7
118/13 118/14 130/2	147/20 153/1 153/1	89/24 92/16 98/12	82/11 83/10 114/13	46/20 49/16 52/12
130/3 130/14 130/14	153/6 153/7 154/16	99/18 99/23 100/25	documents [43] 1/7	59/1 59/17 66/15 72/3
130/20 131/9 133/15	154/18 166/1 166/1	101/15 102/14 102/14		79/7 85/8 87/13 90/8
134/7 134/18 135/16	166/6 166/10 166/10	102/14 102/16 102/17		92/1 109/22 122/13
136/20 136/22 136/25	169/25	102/21 103/10 103/10		129/4 130/2 136/5
137/3 137/9 137/11	diacharga [1] 160/7	103/12 103/20 104/5	11/15 11/20 11/22	142/24 147/9 149/22
137/12 137/17 137/19	discharged [1] 168/7	104/9 105/14 105/18	11/25 12/3 12/7 14/4	154/6 158/24 163/14
137/22 137/24 138/1	disclosure [3] 1/8	105/20 107/18 109/21	14/8 15/6 17/20 17/23	I I
138/16 144/1 144/19	1/16 132/11	112/16 115/25 119/5	18/2 18/14 18/15	Dr [27] 4/20 31/4
148/9 149/12 149/20	discuss [10] 30/20	122/15 123/4 128/12	18/19 18/21 19/8	37/23 38/1 56/19
151/22 152/3 152/7	54/15 54/18 54/20	131/5 132/22 133/19	19/14 19/25 20/8 27/5	I I
156/15 156/21 163/15	60/23 67/9 130/2	136/5 138/11 142/21	43/5 45/25 46/3 63/3	79/9 92/13 99/1 99/3
163/18 163/22 164/12	130/3 130/6 151/16		67/18 71/17 82/19	
164/18 165/3		143/3 153/23 153/25 154/1 155/3 155/8	84/2 114/23 115/10	99/3 99/3 99/3 99/9 106/17 106/22 109/9
didn't [34] 13/25	discussed [31] 10/11 20/1 23/12 23/13	157/4 159/8 161/25	84/2 114/23 115/10 124/2	114/9 125/4 131/22
41/12 43/10 43/11				
46/23 52/21 54/20	30/24 31/1 35/22	162/2 162/4 163/1 165/1 165/11 167/14	does [20] 44/15 44/17 48/17 49/7 50/8	132/25 147/14 147/19 177/7
70/13 70/14 79/3	36/12 54/22 55/4 55/14 55/18 55/21	167/23 168/17 168/20		
89/14 97/11 101/8	57/13 57/16 58/21	172/24 173/22 173/22		Dr Benjamin Odeka
102/3 102/4 102/19	62/20 65/21 68/23	174/10 174/15 174/19		<b>[4]</b> 4/20 79/5 79/9 177/7
116/17 127/15 128/10	91/8 99/20 100/4	174/10 174/15 174/19	142/14 142/18 154/6	
129/8 130/6 130/17	100/5 101/14 106/15		159/24 165/18 173/2	<b>Dr Brearey [4]</b> 56/19 99/1 99/9 147/14
130/24 131/8 131/23	129/16 129/21 139/16	doctor [3] 89/11 113/21 113/22		I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I
142/19 143/12 151/16	151/7 169/12 169/14	doctors [8] 65/22	doesn't [13] 46/3 49/17 50/8 52/6 52/8	Dr Brearey's [1] 147/19
151/18 157/9 168/11	discussing [7] 60/25	81/22 90/21 98/25	71/16 83/14 87/15	Dr Brigham [4] 37/23
172/3 172/5 172/5	61/12 99/18 99/23	101/11 104/23 114/10		92/13 131/22 132/25
dies [1] 150/14	150/6 165/25 169/4	146/9	88/20 97/23	Dr Cooke [1] 99/3
differed [1] 111/10		document [94] 12/13		Dr Gibbs [1] 99/3
difference [4] 76/19		13/14 17/8 20/2 21/4		Dr Jayaram [1] 56/19
80/25 159/9 161/24	36/3 38/18 41/21	26/1 28/1 29/4 29/8	152/13 152/21 152/22	Dr Lowe [1] 99/3
different [30] 4/5 4/5	54/25 55/6 55/7 55/11	29/9 29/12 30/23 41/9		Dr Odeka [8] 31/4
4/24 8/10 62/5 66/10	55/13 56/7 56/10 57/5	41/19 42/19 43/16	174/16	79/1 79/3 106/17
66/12 67/11 75/18	59/11 60/22 61/18	43/17 45/8 45/8 45/9	domain [2] 9/12	106/22 109/9 114/9
76/11 78/13 89/6	65/24 68/1 71/22	45/10 45/16 45/17	16/24	125/4
104/24 107/12 108/6	93/15 93/17 97/14	45/19 45/23 46/5	domains [8] 76/15	Dr Subhedar [1] 38/1
113/23 115/9 117/17	99/25 100/7 100/9	46/16 46/19 47/2	85/18 117/17 121/11	Dr V [1] 99/3
121/20 125/8 128/8	100/12 101/2 101/9	49/13 49/21 51/4 51/5		draft [7] 71/20 85/9
128/12 133/15 137/13	101/13 105/14 119/8	51/7 51/11 52/4 52/12		115/15 115/20 115/22
162/11 163/19 165/6	150/0	65/19 72/3 75/19	don't [55] 13/19	147/2 147/17
168/24 168/25 170/20	discussions [4] 53/6	84/16 84/21 84/22	13/19 14/1 15/12 27/3	
differently [2] 128/15	55/2 100/21 104/17	85/9 85/10 85/10	27/6 27/10 29/21	drafting [1] 172/11
173/24	disparaging [1] 74/9	85/20 85/22 86/4 86/7		draw [3] 121/5 149/6
differing [2] 37/3	disseminated [2]		51/21 53/3 58/25 63/9	
46/8	34/4 35/21	94/7 94/19 95/13	72/2 74/8 74/22 74/23	
difficult [8] 12/20	distinct [1] 80/22	115/5 115/16 115/18	75/1 75/6 75/7 78/22	dreadful [1] 168/16
57/10 65/25 125/19	distinction [2] 15/23	116/2 116/3 116/8	79/17 82/16 82/20	due [5] 26/9 66/16
128/14 129/21 132/10	21/18	116/10 116/11 116/18		68/15 94/11 98/18
150/24	distress [1] 146/8	117/9 117/22 118/5	102/15 107/17 109/7	Duncan [1] 166/8
difficult to [1] 132/10	distressed [2]	118/12 119/2 119/10	113/10 114/11 115/17	duration [1] 111/12
difficulties [2] 62/24	167/24 168/2	120/11 121/25 122/13		
102/12	division [4] 80/10	124/5 124/14 124/17	133/7 142/19 145/3	6/2 18/5 18/12 19/19
direct [11] 27/21	81/21 85/4 114/1	124/19 124/22 125/1	145/9 149/25 155/25	21/14 38/19 39/10
30/11 43/11 44/13	Divisional [1] 80/4	125/11 126/16 157/2	156/1 156/2 156/4	41/21 59/22 62/17
62/10 89/24 101/24	do [100] 2/23 12/18	157/3 158/1 158/25	159/5 159/23 161/9	81/5 81/7 81/12 98/9
102/4 102/15 145/12	15/10 18/7 18/9 27/7	160/7 162/22 164/23	161/12 161/14 163/13	
				(52) did during

(52) did... - during

D	emails [1] 164/24	126/20 127/18	134/7 134/14 134/18	12/7 30/6 38/4 40/22
during [12] 118/8	emerge [1] 23/17	episodes [1] 163/16	139/14 144/13 148/24	1
120/14 125/16 128/8	emerged [2] 104/15	equally [3] 44/4	149/21 149/24 150/1	101/9 107/5 133/8
130/12 131/17 132/13	135/7	44/22 45/5	150/18 155/16 159/2	explained [5] 10/1
133/17 135/3 135/7	emergency [2] 13/24 168/10	equals [1] 129/5 equipment [1] 64/20	161/10 161/16 176/8	25/19 82/6 98/24 135/13
137/23 145/21	emerges [2] 59/20	equivalent [1] 123/8	exactly [5] 31/2 141/19 143/2 143/3	explaining [1] 70/7
duties [1] 139/10	59/20	142/25	159/14	explains [3] 32/22
E	emerging [1] 58/24	error [1] 71/18	examine [2] 87/9	147/15 153/5
each [12] 5/15 8/22	employed [1] 3/20	escalation [1] 118/5	161/8	explanation [8] 33/7
8/22 29/16 41/1 67/5	employee [5] 4/25	essentially [1] 90/8	example [3] 34/14	33/12 34/12 73/8
76/13 126/10 135/18	9/5 13/18 36/21 139/4 employees [1] 6/18	established [1] 97/2 establishment [4]	96/5 159/18 examples [2] 33/20	74/19 74/23 146/21 148/21
150/14 165/5 167/14	employment [1] 3/18	123/6 123/7 123/9	71/3	exploration [1] 35/1
earlier [7] 25/13 50/11 63/4 75/15	encounter [2] 81/5	123/16	exchange [1] 147/18	explore [12] 33/11
78/16 128/24 165/14	81/7	estimate [1] 137/25	excuse [1] 95/8	52/15 95/6 95/13
early [3] 147/12	encourage [2] 63/15	estimation [1] 138/3	executive [18] 7/24	96/15 96/25 97/6
155/6 171/22	144/7	et [3] 150/20 158/23	25/15 26/5 97/21	100/16 141/7 159/22
early March [1]	encouraged [1] 131/3	168/21 et cetera [3] 150/20	127/2 127/6 128/3 137/3 137/6 137/9	159/22 161/7 explored [6] 100/19
171/22	end [14] 5/14 64/14	158/23 168/21	137/12 137/15 139/20	
effect [1] 155/17 effective [8] 9/11	64/21 66/25 67/4	even [12] 42/11	152/25 153/1 153/7	125/23 175/3
49/14 49/20 85/18	67/12 105/5 105/5	68/22 87/4 87/10	166/5 166/19	expressed [2] 77/2
117/18 120/7 122/4	135/16 135/18 147/25		Executives [1] 6/15	135/3
122/4	151/5 167/7 174/23	108/8 120/15 120/19	exist [1] 2/6	expression [1] 40/20
effectively [5] 162/19	engage [1] 145/4 engaged [2] 139/9	142/16 171/15 evening [1] 67/14	existed [4] 73/7 164/19 165/20 167/15	extent [2] 86/6 111/24
168/23 170/6 171/10 173/4	150/16	event [3] 52/20 94/11	existence [1] 39/9	external [3] 48/10
effectiveness [3]	engagement [2] 6/12	97/11	existing [1] 155/22	147/11 173/23
121/12 121/14 131/15	72/14	events [16] 8/2 26/6	expand [4] 65/23	externally [3] 49/1
effort [1] 74/7	England [2] 25/13	26/25 31/25 46/8	87/8 112/1 121/15	49/2 49/3
eight [11] 29/20 30/2	127/3 England's [1] 7/11	46/11 47/21 49/19 51/6 52/1 71/14 86/13	expect [39] 21/9	extra [1] 168/12 extremely [2] 167/21
80/3 94/1 112/25 113/3 113/6 126/8	enough [3] 5/16 88/5	97/14 97/20 98/17	22/1 22/14 22/16 23/9	
128/6 129/12 129/22	107/19	121/3	23/19 24/23 25/2	
Eirian [3] 56/20	enquire [1] 58/20	ever [4] 14/1 46/4	29/23 40/6 42/8 42/12	F
65/17 170/22	enquired [1] 94/23	112/19 138/21	42/15 63/3 63/9 76/19 77/4 83/2 88/12 88/19	Tace [2] 33/5 94/7
Eirian Powell [3]	enquiries [3] 42/25 59/8 89/6	20/20 21/2 22/5 22/17		fact [16] 40/12 71/8
56/20 65/17 170/22 either [13] 9/13 9/22	enquiry [1] 44/22	22/19 29/16 29/19	91/25 93/22 99/20	74/20 96/18 108/8
19/9 23/5 24/15 39/24	ensure [11] 5/16 5/16	44/22 64/14 67/4	99/24 108/21 108/22	116/17 117/25 127/22
112/7 122/8 152/3	16/24 33/15 33/17	67/13 77/19 167/8	123/11 123/12 125/4	132/13 142/9 148/12
152/7 163/7 169/10	33/22 34/1 34/18	174/11 174/23 175/5 175/5	144/11 150/3 150/5 163/22	153/19 159/23 161/5 164/2 168/22
176/4	35/17 139/23 140/13 ensuring [3] 139/7	everybody [5] 144/24		fact-focused [1]
elective [1] 168/10	140/11 143/10	144/25 160/20 167/3	22/12 22/19 22/21	132/13
element [1] 148/14 elicit [1] 103/18	entered [1] 96/13	172/23	23/16 76/22 90/7	factors [2] 91/12
elicited [4] 61/11	entire [2] 62/17 62/17	, , , ,	132/6 157/16 173/14	165/6
61/13 103/6 130/21	entries [29] 16/11 25/22 27/11 28/11	69/25 105/16	expected [12] 2/6 21/20 39/7 40/9 82/8	failed [2] 37/9 149/6 failure [1] 73/8
ELIZABETH [3]	29/15 29/20 30/2	evidence [63] 1/5 1/15 1/17 1/19 1/21	108/7 109/1 113/16	fair [5] 108/17 139/8
136/4 136/11 177/14 else [12] 12/11 38/11	30/21 31/24 32/4 43/4			140/25 141/1 164/1
63/25 69/20 69/25	49/6 49/21 49/23 50/8	10/11 12/6 15/25	163/25	fairly [2] 57/17 58/18
78/21 118/13 151/6	52/9 55/16 55/22	20/19 20/21 21/22	experience [11]	falls [1] 86/17
152/4 155/14 174/24	55/23 55/23 93/4 94/1		23/21 55/8 77/2 77/18	familiar [4] 47/1 54/2 85/25 93/1
174/25	94/17 95/9 121/12 122/7 126/8 126/10	32/24 33/22 44/23 45/11 45/12 45/14	80/8 89/12 102/9 137/18 139/17 142/16	far [13] 1/17 3/7 5/19
elsewhere [1] 82/2 email [15] 13/15	128/1	49/9 49/22 54/3 54/4	152/25	5/20 13/25 60/17
13/21 36/15 36/24	entry [21] 16/18 17/9	62/14 63/8 66/16	experienced [1]	62/10 76/5 80/12
37/14 84/12 84/13	29/16 32/5 32/7 32/7	66/19 68/20 71/1	138/21	110/10 111/8 131/16
85/1 147/4 147/7	34/11 34/21 34/22	75/15 79/17 86/23	experiences [1] 69/5	171/25 Farmer [1] 53/23
147/16 147/18 147/20	46/10 46/13 71/15 94/22 94/24 96/6 96/7	89/13 92/10 93/10 98/1 113/20 114/22	expertise [2] 6/3	fashion [1] 95/1
151/25 172/14	96/14 96/22 121/6	117/13 122/2 127/11	explain [12] 3/7 8/10	features [1] 96/17
L		L	1	(53) during - features

(53) during... - features

F	133/1 138/12 145/19	52/18 65/18 70/4 79/4	152/17 152/19 169/21	44/16 69/21 69/25
Eabra [24] 1/10	156/11	85/15 109/19 117/8	170/12	70/2 95/15 120/6
February [31] 1/19	first report [1] 42/11	120/22 151/15 167/11	generic [3] 117/5	120/7 120/8 148/13
3/24 13/16 13/21				
14/25 19/14 20/4 38/1	firstly [2] 7/11 42/5	forwarded [1] 147/20		148/16 148/17 150/14
39/6 39/16 41/8 41/11	fit [1] 9/13	found [7] 2/7 68/16	generically [1] 103/7	153/9 153/18 155/13
	five [9] 57/13 85/18	150/12 153/14 158/21	get [19] 2/10 13/9	161/1 161/1 161/2
42/6 51/12 52/20 53/2	104/6 121/20 129/5	162/6 163/9	41/6 51/8 67/8 68/5	176/11
53/14 53/22 92/11				
111/1 116/14 119/5	138/1 138/4 145/19	Foundation [1] 137/4		goods [1] 120/4
124/5 131/21 138/9	161/3	four [9] 41/15 57/14	101/15 102/18 103/12	got [13] 16/2 18/19
	five and [1] 138/1	59/17 87/24 110/24	158/18 158/21 161/23	
145/11 145/15 156/19			162/3 163/2 168/10	119/13 126/24 131/11
157/16 168/3 172/2	five babies [1]	129/6 145/20 148/2		
February 2016 [5]	145/19	148/6	170/9	154/20 155/9 156/18
	five different [1]	four months [1]	gets [1] 102/19	157/25 166/22
1/19 111/1 131/21	121/20	41/15	getting [1] 54/1	governance [5]
138/9 145/11				
feed [2] 67/6 67/12	five domains [2]	fourth [4] 2/5 16/12	Gibbs [1] 99/3	35/22 57/21 57/23
	85/18 161/3	80/18 162/23	Gill [1] 56/20	87/4 95/13
feedback [16] 36/11	five from [1] 57/13	frame [1] 175/13	Gill Mort [1] 56/20	graded [3] 69/13
52/23 66/2 67/15				
101/15 102/18 103/13	five last [1] 129/5	framework [2] 46/23	give [15] 1/15 44/17	69/23 69/25
105/2 105/9 105/18	flag [5] 90/10 91/11	46/25	63/15 65/12 67/1	grading [3] 47/13
	91/22 91/25 92/7	frameworks [1]	79/17 81/3 105/9	70/2 70/8
105/20 131/10 135/9		44/21	105/15 125/3 125/5	great [1] 168/6
135/17 135/19 147/12	flags [3] 83/6 90/11			
feel [2] 155/5 156/4	91/13	free [3] 78/24 135/25	155/19 159/2 170/2	greatest [1] 142/8
	focus [19] 2/3 27/20	176/5	173/24	green [11] 28/21 30/3
felt [4] 10/13 131/9	29/17 43/1 43/1 43/10		given [24] 11/22 24/4	34/24 93/7 94/3 94/3
134/20 162/5		171/2		
few [11] 11/9 16/5	44/18 60/22 66/17		26/20 27/15 37/19	94/18 96/13 124/13
27/6 55/5 106/22	66/18 66/19 83/5	Friday [3] 53/16	43/2 43/6 51/19 63/12	
	98/24 104/13 104/14	53/21 176/16	86/24 87/5 87/21	group [13] 2/4 49/5
112/5 115/9 116/12	133/10 134/11 174/23	front [4] 42/14	87/22 88/6 88/25 96/9	
116/21 119/12 141/5				
field [1] 158/10	175/6	106/13 139/5 161/11	115/1 125/6 130/11	80/9 104/13 104/14
	focused [6] 1/5 21/3	fulfil [1] 107/19	130/13 130/22 141/25	104/15 134/11 134/16
<b>fifth [1]</b> 68/12	43/8 81/22 114/8	full [8] 2/24 3/17	168/19 173/3	174/24 175/6
filled [2] 43/20 75/16	132/13	32/10 79/8 96/10	giving [5] 98/1 107/8	groups [1] 170/4
filtered [3] 90/8 92/1				
108/1	focusing [1] 174/16	109/24 136/9 140/12	150/22 165/14 170/5	guidance [4] 5/10
	follow [6] 44/23	full-time [1] 3/17	go [42] 14/12 43/16	60/21 70/14 70/15
final [8] 24/22 37/17	70/14 133/6 140/1	fully [2] 129/13 145/4		guide [1] 47/4
69/12 138/15 138/18	153/23 172/1			
147/24 160/6 174/24		function [5] 6/1 34/5	59/17 65/18 67/11	guidelines [1] 97/7
finalisation [1] 42/16	followed [8] 17/7	143/3 157/11 171/16	67/14 70/4 78/15	gynaecologists [1]
	33/23 34/19 35/18	further [27] 9/17	78/24 85/15 85/17	58/12
finally [5] 73/3 73/4	37/7 39/20 44/21	10/14 13/7 19/13	87/11 87/13 101/18	gynaecology [3] 44/5
108/4 118/4 147/19				
find [15] 25/6 36/7	144/11	40/25 42/22 42/24	102/15 105/9 111/12	57/22 66/8
	following [15] 2/7 4/2	47/19 49/15 59/8	116/22 117/8 119/10	
40/22 62/22 68/21	4/15 5/22 11/13 13/3	73/15 106/16 125/23	120/13 120/22 128/23	Н
77/12 77/13 78/12	17/5 18/6 39/23 83/3	125/24 126/4 126/14	132/9 133/7 133/19	had [132] 4/19 5/8
84/10 95/25 148/18				
149/14 149/21 158/17	108/4 112/8 140/9	126/17 126/18 141/8	135/25 145/2 147/7	5/15 10/12 10/12
	141/17 150/20	144/12 145/3 145/4	147/13 153/25 154/1	10/23 11/7 11/15
170/11	Ford [6] 1/14 36/17	154/23 155/13 159/22		11/21 16/17 18/3 19/8
finding [2] 65/11	36/17 143/22 143/24	173/10 176/9	172/3 172/5 176/5	27/15 29/4 29/6 30/10
70/11				
findings [8] 67/7 67/9	168/23	future [1] 172/25	goes [3] 86/20	30/15 31/11 31/11
	forgive [8] 36/21		101/21 165/5	33/12 37/15 38/16
105/4 105/22 106/3	37/25 56/7 59/15	G	going [33] 11/9 17/17	39/9 39/13 40/8 40/12
106/7 106/13 120/24		gamut [1] 87/11		40/17 40/18 40/24
finish [7] 63/25 65/2	72/12 103/16 112/14		26/1 28/2 31/5 32/3	
104/4 141/21 151/6	124/4	gastroenterology [1]	40/5 49/24 52/17	42/6 42/7 42/11 42/19
	forgotten [1] 174/3	79/19	52/17 55/5 86/25	43/5 43/6 44/11 50/13
173/17 175/5	form [5] 30/10 30/15	gather [1] 83/9	87/22 92/25 112/5	51/7 58/16 59/7 62/2
first [37] 1/22 2/15		gathered [4] 7/3 8/8		62/3 63/6 67/7 68/15
2/17 9/1 10/8 11/10	43/20 75/16 76/11		118/11 119/11 144/19	
15/1 17/8 29/23 31/18	format [3] 101/21	158/4 161/11	145/11 147/4 153/25	68/21 68/22 69/4 69/5
	1/1/10 1/1/23	gathering [2] 141/13	154/1 154/5 155/18	69/8 70/17 72/21
37/13 39/15 41/7 42/5	formed [2] 24/24	156/24	155/19 161/7 161/15	75/16 78/6 78/18 79/4
42/11 46/10 47/15				82/5 89/25 90/21
48/8 49/6 51/12 51/24	82/9	gave [5] 5/20 64/24	161/24 162/2 162/3	
71/15 93/22 115/1	formulating [1] 161/7	82/10 170/12 170/20	162/19 164/3 173/7	94/19 94/20 95/2
	forthcoming [1]	general [7] 39/21	gone [6] 43/5 56/6	96/12 96/13 97/2
115/20 119/8 119/9	174/12	73/13 117/22 117/22	88/18 122/19 172/20	98/15 99/13 99/20
119/12 119/17 119/17				
120/24 122/6 127/18	forum [1] 151/16	123/16 131/2 174/5	173/1	107/24 113/11 115/25
	forward [11] 2/20	generally [5] 53/19	good [21] 2/17 44/7	119/8 125/11 125/15
				(E4) Eabruary bar

(54) February - had

Н	173/3	45/23 58/25 59/5	35/7 35/14 35/21 36/1	85/24 85/24 97/24
	hasn't [1] 150/12	59/20 77/14 85/24	36/5 36/11 39/16	103/23 129/13 152/8
had [58] 125/17	have [365]	93/10 96/17 105/8	39/20 39/20 45/5	158/12 162/21 174/21
	haven't [18] 3/2	120/22 122/25 131/19		175/2
126/14 128/1 131/4 131/9 132/2 133/12	25/22 37/21 79/11	145/11 153/25 154/22	58/20 60/25 61/2	I certainly [1] 65/7
133/13 134/5 134/22	98/3 110/2 114/16	155/9	61/23 61/23 64/11	I come [2] 89/5 89/9
141/15 142/15 142/21	124/8 127/13 150/11	HES [1] 163/16	64/11 66/25 67/1	I conducted [1]
145/23 146/1 146/8	151/4 151/7 153/13	hesitate [1] 141/4	68/21 68/21 75/14	163/5
146/17 146/22 147/17	153/18 163/6 166/24	hidden [1] 148/22	104/5 111/9 113/15	I correct [1] 147/10
148/3 148/6 149/8	175/1 175/22	Higgins [1] 166/6	114/1 117/23 119/24	l could [2] 149/21
151/15 153/2 153/11	having [21] 10/4	high [2] 67/6 68/12	120/9 121/8 126/24	149/25
153/13 156/7 157/8	12/18 40/25 61/17	higher [1] 39/7	128/4 128/9 131/9	I couldn't [2] 13/20
159/1 159/8 160/2	62/24 68/24 75/21 80/9 94/24 101/22	highlight [4] 22/5 22/11 23/7 23/9	131/10 133/8 133/19 134/20 134/23 141/16	105/24
160/3 160/4 160/4		highlighted [4] 21/22		
160/21 161/9 161/11	126/6 127/21 142/20	27/4 42/14 129/9		I did [13] 35/3 41/13
161/20 162/21 164/5	159/6 159/24 165/1	him [6] 31/5 31/8	171/18 175/5	54/15 54/18 113/1
164/9 164/13 165/14	168/20 170/16	31/11 79/2 99/12	however [8] 12/20	131/9 133/15 137/11
165/15 167/9 168/8	he [7] 13/18 31/7	99/14	33/21 37/9 40/9 69/3	137/17 137/24 149/12
168/13 168/13 168/24 169/10 169/10 169/16	31/7 31/9 31/12 31/12	hindsight [1] 128/15	70/21 94/12 170/19	149/20 165/3
170/3 172/18 175/11	147/16	his [2] 31/6 31/7	HR [1] 166/18	I didn't [7] 13/25 79/3
hadn't [5] 15/14 58/4	he's [1] 13/18	hoc [1] 137/20		89/14 128/10 129/8
142/9 156/7 169/16	head [11] 36/19	Hodkinson [1]		130/24 172/5
half [6] 13/15 14/5	139/3 139/11 139/17	166/18	l acted [1] 138/13	I do [10] 12/18 33/25
14/7 47/11 53/18 66/1	140/6 140/12 140/23	hold [3] 8/16 77/8	I actually [1] 78/6	38/10 38/13 87/6
hand [4] 70/19 71/12	143/10 143/18 169/15		l always [1] 63/24	99/18 99/23 115/25
105/16 141/9	173/20	honest [7] 12/12	I am [51] 10/25 11/9 11/16 12/11 13/8	174/19 174/21
handed [2] 167/7	heading [7] 36/25	13/19 14/18 15/12	14/20 15/12 19/15	I don't [30] 13/19 13/19 14/1 27/3 51/21
167/9	41/20 47/15 48/6 49/14 71/11 116/24	51/21 53/4 158/13 honestly [1] 163/4	22/16 26/1 28/2 28/8	53/3 72/2 74/8 74/22
handwriting [2]	headings [1] 145/2	hope [12] 21/13	39/14 40/5 50/10	74/23 75/1 75/6 75/7
129/1 129/2	heads [1] 165/14	21/17 21/21 22/4	52/17 52/17 53/15	78/22 90/3 97/24
happen [1] 40/21	Health [4] 3/15 5/6	22/11 24/11 40/6	53/16 53/20 55/5 76/5	107/17 113/10 114/11
happened [6] 53/12	80/10 155/23	40/10 76/20 77/2	85/25 85/25 88/16	115/17 127/25 142/19
53/19 58/4 77/23 78/7 95/25	healthcare [5] 40/21	140/11 151/8	88/24 89/20 91/6	145/3 145/9 149/25
happening [1]	102/10 114/12 136/25	hoped [2] 40/3 63/6	91/12 92/25 93/2	156/4 161/9 161/12
111/13	163/16	hoping [2] 144/19	102/23 103/25 104/1	161/14 171/22
happy [1] 104/2	hear [5] 1/21 66/23	148/25	106/5 121/15 122/5	l ever [1] 14/1
hard [1] 168/20	105/24 129/8 134/18	hospital [52] 3/24 4/1	124/15 125/12 140/15	I expected [1] 163/25
harm [34] 25/17	heard [2] 113/20	4/6 4/13 15/17 15/19	142/18 144/18 144/19	
28/21 28/22 28/22	155/25	16/2 16/7 17/18 36/16	145/11 147/3 148/8 148/25 154/15 162/3	<b>I go [1]</b> 120/13
28/23 30/3 30/7 30/10	hearing [6] 1/13 1/18 4/22 31/5 66/16	36/19 39/3 40/15 41/10 43/21 47/13	162/17 163/11	I had [8] 5/8 10/23 113/11 132/2 133/13
30/15 30/18 32/21	143/24	53/7 54/2 55/9 75/16	I appreciate [1]	160/2 160/4 160/4
32/23 33/1 33/6 34/14	hearings [1] 1/5	78/18 80/17 86/25	132/10	<b>I have [33]</b> 10/19
34/24 47/18 60/11	held [2] 149/8 157/15		I approached [1]	11/15 11/19 19/16
72/25 91/5 93/5 93/7	Helen [12] 2/18 2/21	91/21 103/5 108/6	121/8	27/11 30/19 35/10
93/7 94/4 94/16 94/18	2/25 83/25 88/20	108/17 114/17 115/4	l asked [8] 25/13	45/14 55/17 59/21
96/13 96/14 97/20 124/12 126/11 126/20	93/13 105/3 105/9	124/3 125/15 134/19	36/4 51/3 63/3 63/7	61/7 62/11 68/10
126/24 127/7	128/25 135/15 135/17		103/7 103/23 134/20	70/25 73/15 73/25
harm' [2] 70/24 94/9	177/3	139/17 140/6 140/12	I beg [1] 58/13	84/18 87/12 87/23
harmful [1] 30/13	Helen Cain [10] 2/18	140/23 143/18 146/1	I believe [3] 119/21	94/25 104/25 106/16
Harvey [4] 147/8	2/25 83/25 88/20	148/13 161/1 161/5	126/23 133/12	108/2 116/21 140/19
147/20 151/22 166/20	93/13 105/3 105/9	168/5 168/12 169/15	I call [2] 79/2 109/17	154/7 157/25 163/5
has [32] 1/9 7/20 8/4	128/25 135/15 135/17	171/20 173/20	l can [14] 17/24 26/13 38/21 38/23	165/4 168/14 172/19
19/3 20/2 26/20 32/21	help [8] 7/4 18/23 57/11 106/5 107/9	hospital's [1] 91/22	50/10 60/17 88/16	174/2 176/3 I haven't [2] 163/6
37/2 41/7 46/7 49/18	138/11 139/11 141/11	hospitals [4] 3/18 47/1 63/9 143/10	104/24 108/9 114/13	166/24
52/6 69/23 69/25 77/3	helpful [2] 76/17	host [1] 108/16	135/8 149/19 152/8	I honest [1] 13/19
78/7 87/22 88/18 90/1	173/11	how [69] 4/24 16/24	166/4	I honestly [1] 163/4
90/4 90/5 97/22	her [6] 105/23 106/6	17/4 23/3 23/4 23/9	I can't [22] 10/19	l identify [1] 1/20
108/19 115/15 118/4	106/12 106/15 145/23			l interviewed [1] 24/8
124/10 142/5 150/15 154/23 155/16 161/1	167/24	29/4 31/13 33/8 34/2	31/2 34/17 35/9 53/4	I just [10] 35/12 51/8
	here [18] 16/11 18/7	34/2 34/4 35/6 35/6	53/9 53/11 74/22 75/1	59/4 76/9 76/20 91/12
	1	1		

(55) had... - I just

-			07/7 00/40 00/40	
	138/13 138/18 140/5	91/6 91/7 122/9	27/7 28/16 28/18	102/24
I just [4] 101/9	140/24 141/1 143/2	122/22 154/14 154/25	28/25 29/19 30/10	indeed [4] 50/22
	143/6 150/22 153/9	159/3 163/6 163/25	30/14 33/25 34/17	109/9 135/24 175/24
106/22 107/21 122/15	155/5 156/12 158/20	166/4 167/22 171/18	35/5 35/9 36/10 49/17	independent [1]
I knew [1] 168/15	159/1 159/19 160/16	171/25	49/18 49/19 50/2 50/6	
I know [4] 57/8 66/17	162/3 164/5 165/13	I'm afraid [2] 163/6	50/7 55/24 56/4 71/9	independently [2]
75/14 122/20				
I looked [2] 34/17	168/22 169/14 170/2	167/22	77/22 77/24 96/8	39/4 175/11
170/8	170/22 171/17 173/5	lan [3] 147/8 151/22	96/14 98/18 126/9	indicate [1] 162/7
I may [4] 65/8 133/14	173/11 173/13 175/8	166/20	127/22 128/17 131/9	indicators [1] 68/12
152/8 161/19	175/20 175/23	lan Harvey [3] 147/8	incidents [80] 7/8	individual [5] 33/20
	I took [2] 51/5 127/17	151/22 166/20	7/13 7/18 8/1 16/23	36/9 37/7 107/1 107/3
I mean [4] 14/18	I try [1] 84/8	<b>ID [1]</b> 28/14	17/10 17/13 25/16	individual's [1]
95/12 142/8 174/4	I understand [8]	idea [2] 94/25 141/22	25/17 26/5 26/25 28/5	
I need [3] 152/5	11/19 18/18 28/4		29/6 31/1 31/20 33/15	
159/10 162/4		identification [1]		
I needed [1] 10/24	52/21 61/16 71/1	39/24	33/17 33/20 34/3 34/6	
I never [1] 112/16	84/24 126/6	identified [19] 19/21	34/8 34/18 35/4 35/12	
I only [1] 141/4	I used [1] 63/21	33/18 33/18 37/2	36/2 36/6 46/9 46/11	influence [2] 121/8
	I usually [1] 106/14	40/17 40/25 41/21	47/22 47/23 48/6	125/21
I personally [1]	I very [1] 5/4	42/1 91/20 91/25	48/24 49/3 49/24	influenced [1] 161/13
120/13	I want [8] 7/10 8/7	124/18 124/23 126/3	49/25 52/1 55/11	inform [3] 7/4 13/12
I planned [1] 5/1	12/22 75/18 84/15	146/1 146/22 148/4	55/17 56/4 60/5 60/8	94/13
I probably [1] 104/1			70/23 71/11 71/14	
I promise [1] 147/24	108/15 115/10 158/25			information [156]
I provided [1] 106/2	I wanted [1] 82/11	identifies [2] 33/3	86/13 90/24 92/6	6/24 6/25 7/3 7/6 7/24
I read [1] 37/17	I was [16] 10/22 12/4	165/8	92/21 94/8 94/14	8/8 8/11 8/13 8/18
I really [1] 155/2	50/11 64/16 64/22	identify [16] 1/20	97/22 98/17 100/20	8/19 8/23 9/2 9/6 9/16
	78/5 123/21 126/2	17/3 27/7 34/2 37/10	100/22 101/12 101/14	9/17 9/20 10/5 10/12
I recall [2] 116/5	128/8 133/15 138/19	41/3 43/22 44/25 50/8	102/16 102/17 102/18	
168/14	143/15 143/20 149/7	84/15 92/14 139/22	103/10 103/11 103/12	
I received [1] 12/4	170/2 170/8	148/9 153/5 165/16	103/15 107/1 121/3	12/15 12/19 13/4
I remember [5] 14/1		166/3	124/4 124/11 124/11	15/24 16/8 16/8 16/14
25/9 26/19 52/25 64/7	I wasn't [5] 112/9			
I requested [3] 35/16	149/12 149/19 172/9	identifying [3] 40/22	125/2 125/13 126/15	17/17 19/18 20/13
38/10 38/14	172/13	42/3 47/25	127/4 127/7 127/7	20/15 20/16 21/1 21/5
I reviewed [4] 5/2	I will [4] 2/14 84/6	ie [1] 91/20	127/18 131/12 150/19	21/9 21/13 21/16
	85/17 111/3	if [185]	159/1 159/13 163/21	21/19 23/17 23/22
31/21 33/25 35/8	I wonder [1] 168/23	ignore [1] 162/20	include [2] 29/20	24/17 25/3 25/4 25/5
I said [5] 29/14 34/16	I would [72] 5/1 5/11	ignored [1] 153/18	52/8	25/11 25/15 26/2 26/5
89/16 99/9 129/21	5/14 10/10 13/23	immediately [7] 18/4	included [6] 16/14	26/20 27/1 27/15 28/3
I say [7] 35/3 64/17				
128/6 128/14 129/12			29/24 35/19 93/23	34/4 35/10 35/19 40/4
163/11 172/25	19/1 19/6 19/15 21/9	78/10 94/9 94/17	115/23 116/1	40/7 43/6 43/19 45/24
I see [2] 76/16 78/4	21/13 21/20 21/21	impact [4] 44/8 44/10		46/6 48/10 61/11
I seen [2] 125/22	21/21 22/4 22/11 23/2	125/17 161/10	80/1 126/10	61/13 63/4 63/16 64/9
	23/5 24/11 24/23	impacted [1] 128/5	including [3] 100/14	71/19 74/8 76/5 76/23
126/16	29/19 35/16 35/16	implying [1] 163/12	146/5 146/18	77/4 82/14 82/16
I shared [1] 10/10	36/3 36/9 40/3 40/9	important [17] 1/7	incomplete [1] 2/3	82/20 83/3 83/4 83/18
I should [2] 151/3	59/5 63/22 63/22 65/9		incorrect [2] 32/1	83/20 84/6 84/10 86/3
152/5	83/2 83/19 84/5 84/5	100/16 140/16 142/23		86/6 87/5 87/10 87/17
I specifically [1]				
10/13	88/11 91/18 91/25	158/10 158/19 161/5	incorrectly [2] 31/10	87/21 88/7 88/12
I suppose [2] 15/16	94/13 94/22 95/13	161/23 164/4 167/8	94/12	88/14 88/18 88/21
143/14	95/18 99/20 99/24	172/3 173/1 175/8	increase [41] 21/14	89/19 90/7 90/23 92/1
<b>I suspect [1]</b> 140/10	106/12 107/24 107/24	175/9	21/17 21/21 21/23	92/16 92/17 92/25
	121/7 121/8 123/15	impression [1]	22/1 22/6 22/13 22/22	97/21 98/16 100/24
I think [71] 10/8	124/18 125/8 125/22	170/20	23/4 23/7 24/2 24/18	101/22 102/3 103/6
15/12 15/21 19/3 20/7	125/23 126/15 126/17		37/2 37/10 39/1 40/18	
21/18 24/6 24/9 31/1	126/23 128/15 128/16		54/15 59/6 59/7 59/23	107/25 108/25 114/16
56/22 60/25 61/12	133/23 149/25 152/9	69/23 70/6 70/7 70/11	60/2 61/7 61/14 62/2	114/25 115/9 116/4
61/16 63/7 63/20 64/6				
65/25 73/2 73/2 73/9	152/11 152/16 152/24	120/16 120/20 148/14	90/18 90/22 92/14	116/4 117/5 118/14
73/11 73/12 75/15	158/20 169/14 171/25		99/19 100/3 145/23	118/21 119/13 119/23
77/12 77/13 82/7	172/17	improvements [1]	146/2 146/11 146/13	122/6 125/24 126/1
84/18 87/2 89/9 89/23	I wouldn't [5] 16/16	172/24	146/19 146/21 147/1	126/18 126/24 127/2
	22/16 22/17 133/22	inaccurate [4] 31/8	153/2 154/22 164/13	127/6 128/3 128/7
89/23 94/25 101/21	152/18	31/13 94/10 95/22	165/20 169/5	128/11 128/16 130/11
108/25 110/21 113/1	l'm [22] 18/8 20/7	inadequate [5] 68/2	increased [3] 39/24	130/13 133/8 133/13
119/7 123/1 128/16	59/13 62/12 69/22	68/6 68/8 68/15 68/24		133/16 141/15 141/25
129/12 132/5 137/15	74/22 75/1 75/6 75/6			145/12 149/1 149/4
	1-1/22 10/11/0/0/0/0	incident [31] 26/9	increases [2] 63/4	170/12 143/1 143/4
				(EC) Livet information

(56) I just... - information

I	110/25 118/1 133/5	104/18 130/3 132/4	47/21 47/22 94/2 94/8	J
information [11]	137/20 138/2 138/17	132/7 170/12	111/5	Jackson [1] 56/19
150/22 155/1 156/23	142/9 142/17 143/20	interviewer [1] 131/4	is [400]	January [6] 28/6 52/2
157/24 158/4 162/1	173/20	interviewing [8] 20/1	is titled [1] 43/18	52/4 121/4 124/4
163/15 166/12 173/1	inspector [22] 3/18	64/22 81/22 98/25	isn't [22] 6/7 7/13	124/5
173/6 174/13	8/22 9/5 36/17 57/2	114/8 114/11 133/14	13/18 22/12 29/12	January 2016 [1]
informed [10] 84/5	68/20 80/23 81/19	133/21	36/17 41/18 44/2	52/2
91/18 98/9 108/7	88/15 88/18 88/19 89/23 90/4 102/9	interviews [39] 2/1 20/14 24/7 54/8 54/9	59/24 65/10 69/16 70/8 80/23 81/11	Jayaram [1] 56/19
108/13 108/21 108/23	111/10 124/18 124/22	54/10 55/4 62/18	82/14 100/2 118/9	job [3] 9/6 161/10
109/1 154/4 164/6	124/23 125/24 138/22	63/21 63/25 64/8	120/3 129/1 162/8	161/16
initially [2] 12/8	154/21 155/3	64/22 66/22 67/10	173/4 175/14	John [2] 13/16 13/18
102/20	inspectors [13]	81/12 81/15 81/24	issue [12] 73/11	jointly [1] 5/12
input [3] 94/12	83/19 87/15 133/5	95/7 95/8 98/22 101/4		judging [1] 81/15
124/24 125/6	144/2 144/3 144/4	114/7 117/11 128/19	108/16 108/19 108/20	judgment [2] 20/10
inputted [1] 31/9	150/2 158/5 160/14	129/25 132/13 133/17	118/6 118/8 169/19	20/17
INQ [2] 46/20 69/15 INQ0003140 [1]	160/16 173/9 174/16	134/17 135/3 139/19	170/15 174/1	judgments [1] 5/17 July [2] 26/4 110/20
147/7	175/4	143/9 149/8 149/12	issues [27] 43/8	June [9] 3/2 3/17 4/2
<b>INQ0003251 [1]</b> 41/6	instance [10] 33/11	149/20 163/3 163/5	43/10 47/5 52/23	37/14 79/12 80/14
INQ0003231 [1] 41/0	34/6 63/14 86/10	167/14 170/3 170/15	66/19 68/25 70/8 81/4	110/2 136/12 172/15
116/6	89/13 97/7 100/14	into [12] 4/9 31/9	81/6 81/9 87/4 89/8	junior [2] 146/9
INQ0017295 [1]	101/1 111/10 134/2	40/19 72/24 85/16	90/5 102/1 105/23	150/15
68/11	instances [3] 100/14	86/25 87/22 94/12	107/9 108/6 108/7	just [74] 18/17 30/19
INQ0017339 [3]	102/25 130/9	95/23 117/17 118/11	108/16 108/21 108/23	35/12 39/14 39/19
56/12 65/15 128/23	instructions [1] 75/5	126/18	113/23 119/12 125/2	42/20 51/8 51/20
INQ0017411 [1]	insufficiently [1] 140/21	introduce [1] 53/10	139/15 139/15 162/24	53/10 56/1 58/7 59/4
36/13	intelligence [20] 8/23	introductory [3] 82/5 116/12 116/21	it [425] it's [86] 1/20 16/22	61/21 65/10 71/7
INQ0101422 [4] 45/8	11/7 26/24 27/3 45/16		16/22 17/7 24/5 24/22	73/19 73/25 75/7 75/8
85/8 115/12 157/2	45/17 46/9 49/11 51/8	103/22 107/1 126/4	28/13 29/12 30/7	76/9 76/20 78/15 81/8
INQ0103249 [1]	51/9 51/23 52/8 71/20	126/14	31/18 32/5 32/5 32/16	87/23 88/16 89/14
13/13	73/22 74/21 84/19	investigated [14]	32/23 33/15 34/23	91/12 96/5 100/1
INQ0103620 [5]	85/6 119/5 120/23	27/24 33/18 35/7 61/3		101/9 106/7 106/22
43/17 51/9 84/22	160/7	61/3 61/5 71/9 96/3	41/11 41/20 44/4	107/5 107/11 107/21
119/2 160/7	interactions [1] 53/5	100/23 102/17 103/12	45/11 46/25 51/9 57/9	122/14 122/15 122/22 133/23 134/5 141/5
INQ0106785 [1] 46/21	interested [2] 95/18	153/10 153/11 163/2	65/12 65/14 68/11	141/7 141/11 142/6
inquest [1] 127/11	95/20	investigating [4]	68/22 69/11 70/8 74/1	142/12 143/7 143/12
inquiries [3] 87/8	internal [5] 6/17	34/3 40/25 65/6 65/8	79/11 81/8 82/4 85/4	144/24 145/2 145/10
97/4 97/5	40/12 40/14 48/10	investigation [9]	85/9 85/13 86/1 88/4	147/4 147/9 147/17
inquiry [23] 1/9 1/22	61/8	47/20 49/15 72/19	89/2 95/2 95/15 95/18	154/6 154/15 154/25
3/1 52/15 60/21 79/11	internally [2] 37/7	72/23 78/9 139/24	100/1 100/2 100/23	155/1 157/20 158/17
92/10 98/1 110/2	48/25	140/2 141/10 142/13	101/18 104/3 105/25	160/14 160/16 162/19
114/15 117/10 117/20	interpret [1] 107/10	investigations [5] 42/23 97/9 107/11		163/14 166/3 168/1
118/1 121/18 121/20	interpretation [4] 19/6 141/23 142/6	42/23 97/9 107/11	117/13 117/16 118/10 119/23 119/24 122/18	170/1 172/17 173/8
122/1 124/8 134/14	19/6 141/23 142/6	invite [1] 172/17	122/19 122/20 125/19	173/12 173/19 174/1
136/13 141/14 155/16	interpreting [2] 81/4	inviting [1] 154/15	128/6 128/6 128/14	174/14 174/16 174/17
161/17 176/15	81/6	involve [1] 34/7	129/12 129/21 141/19	JUSTICE [2] 73/20
insofar [2] 60/16	interview [21] 5/11	involve [1] 04/7	142/12 150/23 153/9	177/5
133/4	23/14 23/17 62/16	72/5 98/22 100/24	155/5 155/10 155/20	justify [2] 32/23 33/6
inspect [6] 4/5 5/5	64/14 65/2 65/17 99/6	111/18 112/9 113/10	157/2 157/3 158/1	κ
118/13 121/1 153/22 173/7	99/10 99/10 99/13	128/18 135/10 138/16		Karen [2] 56/20
	99/13 100/8 102/7	138/19 139/18 142/16		56/20
inspected [5] 3/23 4/12 26/6 62/23	105/16 106/11 128/20		174/10 175/8	Karen Rees [1] 56/20
116/24	143/12 169/14 174/23		its [10] 1/10 1/10	Karen Townsend [1]
inspecting [9] 8/24	175/5	involvement [8] 5/21	25/20 84/13 86/7	56/20
47/13 86/25 115/24	interviewed [10] 5/12		86/25 107/7 139/9	keen [1] 131/13
117/1 117/18 118/22	24/8 98/25 99/1 99/9	156/7 156/8 156/11	146/15 162/9	Keep [1] 154/4
123/22 133/13	130/15 130/18 147/15	171/9	itself [14] 6/2 23/7	Kelly [8] 36/16 37/1
inspection [302]	166/4 166/5	involves [5] 126/21	30/13 44/16 52/18	147/21 151/23 166/10
inspections [19] 4/4	interviewees [14] 54/16 54/19 60/14	139/2 139/3 139/4 139/7	85/6 92/7 94/22 98/21 111/16 126/22 161/1	166/13 167/23 172/15
6/6 7/4 29/10 78/2	62/7 63/14 64/25	involving [10] 30/17	169/14 171/20	kept [2] 166/25 167/2
80/14 81/5 81/5 81/7	99/20 101/6 102/6	32/1 34/12 38/1 40/14		key [16] 44/21 52/15
				(57) informationkov

(57) information... - key

	load [29] 1/0 5/22	35/3 44/8 48/20 49/10	04/24 121/25 124/14	managar [2] 122/17
K	lead [28] 4/9 5/22 13/10 55/3 67/8 67/13			manager [3] 123/17 146/7 170/20
key [14] 60/21 87/7	80/3 83/21 83/23	64/17 85/13 87/10	153/12 153/17 157/18	
94/13 106/13 106/14	89/23 90/3 92/2 95/12	93/24 109/18 115/12	159/10 170/8	6/15 69/3 69/8 95/11
106/15 117/10 117/20	105/3 106/3 124/18	120/6 153/21 156/18	looking [26] 4/9	95/12 132/12 148/3
117/25 121/17 121/20	124/21 124/23 139/11	172/17	12/16 18/19 31/6	149/9
122/1 161/17 162/23	139/11 144/12 146/5		31/23 40/19 41/1	
kilter [1] 164/6		liked [1] 58/4		managing [1] 144/16
kind [1] 86/4	146/6 146/6 148/3	likely [3] 29/16	44/12 46/13 48/11	Manchester [1] 80/2
kinds [1] 132/11	150/7 150/21 166/18	159/25 174/14	59/10 65/24 71/2 73/4	many [8] 23/3 23/4
knew [3] 149/2 164/2	lead-in [1] 13/10	limited [7] 82/14 86/4		23/10 58/20 70/25
168/15	lead-up [1] 5/22	87/10 141/11 141/18	100/1 114/7 121/10	142/9 162/6 168/15
know [73] 2/10 13/19	leaders [2] 24/8		122/2 122/3 122/4	March [7] 3/24 38/2
15/10 18/7 18/9 20/22	128/21	line [5] 34/23 37/17	142/12 158/11	41/9 41/12 41/14
28/8 36/7 43/13 46/13	leadership [5] 23/15	95/15 103/24 129/4	looks [3] 13/24	171/22 172/4
51/21 57/8 61/6 64/1	66/21 142/24 144/20	line 200 [1] 34/23	124/22 165/6	margin [1] 57/25
64/23 65/5 66/17 73/7	173/20	lines [12] 44/21	Lorraine [1] 166/15	marked [13] 30/2
74/22 74/23 74/25	leading [2] 17/13	52/15 59/17 60/21	Lorraine Burnett [1]	30/18 32/21 32/23
75/2 75/3 75/14 75/19	126/13	87/7 117/10 117/20	166/15	34/13 34/24 93/4 94/2
75/19 89/10 92/15	leads [9] 19/10 31/16	117/25 121/18 121/20		94/3 94/18 115/15
97/5 97/24 103/4	56/23 56/24 56/24	122/1 161/17	13/10 18/13 64/6	126/10 126/20
118/20 122/20 126/15	58/3 62/16 74/5 99/6	list [4] 14/4 29/5	73/13 73/13 83/9	marking [1] 124/11
138/7 145/1 145/13	leads' [2] 55/3 100/8	139/22 159/13	108/12 145/12	Mary [5] 4/20 109/17
145/18 145/20 145/20	learning [19] 7/12	listen [1] 139/14	lots [1] 154/20	109/20 109/25 177/11
145/25 146/9 146/10	7/16 17/1 17/4 17/5	listening [1] 52/20	low [3] 28/22 28/22	Mary Potter [3] 4/20
146/17 146/23 147/16	25/14 26/16 26/18	lists [1] 34/23	93/7	109/17 109/25
147/24 150/10 151/8	27/12 34/3 34/15	little [9] 5/24 18/24	Lowe [1] 99/3	Master's [1] 136/25
151/13 152/5 152/17	46/17 52/10 97/19		luncheon [1] 109/14	match [1] 82/8
153/24 154/2 154/4	127/1 127/8 128/2	163/5 165/16 168/17	lunchtime [1] 53/21	material [3] 1/9 1/20
154/21 155/9 156/1	131/11 150/11	172/18	Μ	1/21
158/16 161/9 161/13	learnt [8] 33/19 34/7	Liverpool [1] 37/8		maternity [4] 44/5
162/9 162/10 163/15	35/7 35/20 36/11	local [2] 91/20	made [30] 5/17 12/9	66/7 66/9 66/10
164/19 167/17 168/3	36/12 95/17 150/17	146/14	12/13 13/7 13/23 14/7	matter [7] 2/8 20/9
168/9 169/18 173/14	least [4] 40/7 141/3	locate [2] 11/20	14/10 14/11 14/15	22/14 36/4 77/4 78/17
173/25 175/10 175/19	146/15 148/2	84/13	14/20 15/17 18/18	108/22
knowledge [10] 3/4	led [6] 4/9 9/12 85/19	location [1] 28/18	19/23 25/25 26/11	matters [5] 1/11
24/13 79/15 110/5	117/19 120/8 158/7	long [5] 86/1 113/9	26/17 30/10 42/25	83/19 126/7 132/3
110/8 116/16 133/19	left [4] 70/19 70/21	159/12 165/5 171/7	43/3 63/2 69/11 89/7	132/5
133/20 133/22 136/17	71/12 124/11	long-standing [1]	128/24 141/8 155/14	may [31] 3/21 19/24
	left-hand [2] 70/19	171/7	159/9 169/16 172/24	33/2 38/11 65/8 65/24
known [2] 23/3 44/11	71/12	longer [3] 1/13 104/5	173/2 173/13	67/23 79/2 88/25
knows [1] 176/8	less [2] 131/1 174/14	112/25	main [4] 6/1 6/6 58/6	94/11 96/6 106/19
L	lessons [10] 33/19	look [59] 6/5 8/8	114/4	109/17 112/15 133/14
La [6] 1/3 2/13 136/7	34/3 34/7 34/15 35/7	16/11 19/15 22/7 22/8	mainly [2] 70/8 98/25	
136/8 176/12 177/15	35/20 36/11 36/12	25/1 27/5 33/14 35/17		141/22 148/7 148/18
labelled [2] 45/9 85/9	95/17 150/16	36/25 41/19 44/22	majority [3] 57/17	150/24 152/8 156/2
lack [1] 68/17	let [3] 140/5 155/8	44/25 45/4 45/5 45/7	70/24 124/12	159/21 161/12 161/19
Lady [19] 1/4 1/13	156/1	45/22 46/19 47/10	make [20] 6/20 14/2	164/19 174/13 174/14
2/9 2/14 2/17 50/19	let's [3] 104/9 145/10	48/1 48/5 48/12 48/12		175/2
56/8 73/15 73/20 79/1	157/1	49/21 59/16 68/10	48/1 59/1 59/2 76/10	May 2018 [1] 3/21
	1 ath ( [0] 145/10	69/12 70/19 87/3 87/7	81/5 88/16 111/14	maybe [7] 14/20 86/9
103/25 106/16 106/19	148/4	95/23 100/22 100/22	114/22 149/13 161/24	162/8 168/20 169/16
109/3 135/20 175/25	level [12] 23/14	101/1 101/19 113/16	162/12 167/12 167/13	
176/7 176/8 177/5	40/15 44/17 66/21	114/4 115/11 115/12	168/23 174/11	me [43] 10/25 11/16
lag [1] 73/10	67/6 68/12 87/23	116/6 116/12 117/23	makes [3] 89/10	12/5 14/11 14/15 23/7
large [3] 56/16 99/5	91/20 142/25 149/19	121/9 122/5 132/17	89/17 89/21	24/7 36/21 37/25
99/12	154/10 170/16	141/14 144/13 149/7	making [16] 14/21	40/17 40/24 56/8
last [15] 16/13 17/11	levels [1] 37/3		15/23 19/4 20/10 33/2	59/13 59/15 72/12
36/10 57/13 57/15	liaising [1] 143/9	157/1 157/22 159/1	59/10 88/17 88/23	73/19 77/21 89/1 90/2
57/18 58/8 58/15	lie [2] 148/24 174/14	159/16 161/10 161/16		90/5 92/1 94/9 95/8
58/17 90/6 110/25	life [1] 68/17	174/18	114/20 131/20 139/4	103/16 108/1 112/14
122/17 123/5 129/5	light [5] 33/10 42/13	looked [23] 10/5	142/2 143/16	114/19 122/10 124/4
140/19	77/15 86/23 92/15	29/19 34/17 35/17	management [5]	126/16 128/7 128/7
late [1] 155/7	like [23] 2/19 10/25	38/24 43/4 45/2 60/20		134/22 134/24 140/5
later [2] 4/22 31/5	13/24 33/17 34/16	75/12 82/7 85/25 89/2	136/22 137/1	142/18 142/19 145/11
		1 31 12 3211 33123 3312		
				(58) kov - mo

(58) key... - me

Μ	Merseyside [1] 57/20	128/16 142/21 143/19	1/3 2/13 136/7 136/8	120/11 120/12 122/19
	messages [1] 162/23			124/19 125/20 125/21
me [5] 151/7	middle [3] 56/18	168/12 169/13 173/24		127/14 129/2 129/20
152/12 160/5 166/5	118/7 168/4	morning [8] 2/17	106/18 106/21 109/5	130/24 132/6 133/3
173/13	midwives [2] 57/14	10/8 119/9 145/15	135/21 176/1 177/9	133/13 135/2 135/20
mean [12] 14/18	58/13	145/19 161/25 176/10	Mr Harvey [1] 147/20	135/21 139/17 142/6
37/22 44/18 45/4	might [23] 2/6 8/16	176/13	Mr Higgins [1] 166/6	157/25 167/13 172/3
47/17 74/8 75/8 95/12	16/7 16/9 32/1 63/14	Mort [1] 56/20	Mrs [2] 135/24 176/4	172/8 175/25 175/25
123/6 142/8 158/19 174/4	66/1 81/4 88/24 90/14		Mrs Childs [1] 176/4	176/7 176/8
	90/17 91/6 92/4	17/2 17/25 21/6 22/6	Mrs Potter [1] 135/24	my Lady [16] 1/4
means [2] 47/16 129/23	103/18 110/21 144/12	22/13 23/13 24/9	Ms [11] 2/19 2/21	1/13 2/9 2/14 2/17
meant [1] 81/3	148/25 150/4 151/14	24/18 27/22 33/23	73/19 109/18 109/20	50/19 56/8 73/15 79/1
measures [1] 78/10	155/4 162/10 169/13	35/18 35/23 39/7	136/4 136/12 143/24	103/25 106/16 109/3
medical [15] 37/6	172/24	39/19 39/25 40/16	177/3 177/11 177/14	135/20 175/25 176/7
80/4 80/5 81/4 81/6	Millward [1] 13/16	40/18 40/21 41/2	Ms Cain [2] 2/19	176/8
81/8 81/10 107/9	mind [1] 56/1	43/11 54/22 55/1 55/2		myself [9] 5/3 14/21
107/11 107/12 146/13	minimal [1] 141/5	55/4 55/6 55/8 55/8	Ms Childs [1] 136/12	19/9 53/10 81/2 89/25
147/2 154/18 166/1	minutes [6] 16/13	56/11 57/6 57/7 57/12		100/25 121/9 168/15
166/19	16/19 17/25 68/10	57/15 57/16 58/9	CHILDS [2] 136/4	N
medical-related [1]	104/6 147/17	58/11 58/14 59/12	177/14	
107/9	misses [1] 48/25	59/19 59/23 60/2	Ms Ford [1] 143/24	name [5] 2/24 79/8
Medicine [1] 70/15	missing [3] 1/16 1/21	60/15 60/16 60/23	MS HELEN [2] 2/21	
meet [3] 10/9 22/19	24/17	61/4 61/7 61/12 61/18		narrative [4] 105/12
53/6	mitigate [4] 69/6	61/22 61/23 62/13	MS MARY POTTER	105/21 106/2 106/6
meeting [31] 16/12	69/10 152/13 152/22	62/20 63/5 64/4 71/23		national [14] 7/11 7/15 25/14 26/15
16/20 17/25 41/8	mitigated [1] 69/3	71/23 72/1 72/2 88/8	Ms Potter [1] 109/18	26/18 27/12 46/17
52/24 56/14 56/16	mix [2] 86/23 87/25	89/8 89/13 90/11	much [27] 2/12 5/5	52/9 91/23 97/19
56/22 56/23 56/24	moderate [4] 28/22	90/18 91/11 92/14	44/18 50/21 63/22	127/1 127/8 128/2
56/25 57/3 57/18	29/18 47/23 49/18	100/8 100/12 100/14 101/7 102/1 102/25	64/15 73/12 73/14 78/22 78/23 88/1	155/23
62/11 67/23 67/23	moment [6] 39/14 50/20 63/17 65/6	103/19 108/14 108/15		nature [6] 12/7 19/13
68/10 68/19 72/14	85/17 103/22	126/21 129/5 129/18	109/4 109/7 109/8	40/8 65/23 66/23
72/15 128/25 129/3	moments [5] 11/9	130/4 130/7 130/15	109/21 135/24 142/19	
129/18 146/24 147/17	16/6 27/6 55/6 112/5	130/22 131/16 131/21	143/19 149/1 168/8	near [1] 48/25
151/2 153/21 154/8	Monday [1] 14/19	145/24 146/2 149/16	168/16 175/24 176/4	necessarily [5] 20/25
167/24 171/17 174/11	monitoring [7] 6/9	150/6 150/10 153/2	176/12	64/17 88/12 170/1
meetings [33] 1/24	6/11 7/4 25/20 72/6	164/14 164/21 165/2	multiple [2] 49/25	175/16
6/12 6/17 6/18 35/23	83/12 154/3	165/21	54/10	necessary [2] 38/23
35/23 55/7 56/11 57/6	month [1] 145/25	most [9] 17/17 29/9	murder [2] 145/20	84/7
57/12 57/17 57/21	monthly [1] 57/21	44/4 97/3 114/9	145/21	need [22] 6/21 10/6
58/3 58/10 58/11	months [11] 8/12	119/15 138/20 152/13	murdered [1] 145/19	20/20 20/22 46/23
58/17 58/17 58/21 58/24 59/11 61/19	13/10 15/25 16/13	171/1	murders [1] 145/23	46/24 48/1 65/18 87/9
61/21 61/24 61/25	16/15 17/11 19/4	move [3] 52/18	Murphy [1] 56/19	87/14 89/20 94/13
91/8 100/9 129/5	26/16 41/15 145/18	122/11 168/11	must [3] 8/2 15/2	152/5 154/4 155/4
129/19 149/16 150/6	148/1	moved [1] 69/5	127/8	156/1 158/16 159/10
150/10 166/25 172/7	morbidity [28] 16/19	moving [2] 1/4 72/4	my [88] 1/4 1/13 2/9	
member [9] 60/8		Mr [33] 1/3 2/13 2/15	2/14 2/17 11/6 13/25	174/25
60/12 72/25 72/25	27/22 33/23 35/18	2/22 51/2 73/17 76/18		needed [5] 10/13
91/3 134/12 151/10	35/23 39/20 54/22	78/21 79/6 104/10	15/21 19/3 19/6 23/21	10/24 89/22 90/1
164/20 169/8	55/7 56/11 57/6 57/12		26/23 26/23 30/9	143/17
members [14] 5/12	58/10 59/19 60/17	109/6 109/16 109/23	33/24 34/16 45/21	needs [2] 89/2
5/13 5/13 35/4 36/9	61/4 61/13 61/22	122/12 122/23 123/25		173/23
38/12 54/10 67/10	61/24 100/8 129/5	135/21 135/22 135/22		negative [2] 65/14
99/7 128/20 134/17	129/18 149/16 150/6	136/7 136/8 147/20	62/16 62/19 63/20	86/11 neonatal [103] 4/12
146/15 148/18 169/18	150/9	166/6 176/1 176/12	63/20 68/24 69/8	19/20 21/10 21/14
memory [4] 159/19	more [36] 6/5 11/17 11/25 12/8 18/20	177/4 177/8 177/9 177/12 177/15	73/15 74/3 76/13 76/20 77/18 78/15	21/17 22/2 23/3 23/4
159/24 163/5 166/23	18/20 18/24 27/20	Mr Carr [16] 2/15	78/20 79/1 88/11 94/1	23/10 24/1 24/9 24/18
mention [5] 27/10	27/21 39/21 43/7	2/22 51/2 76/18 78/21		25/8 29/24 32/10 37/4
33/25 59/6 150/13	44/13 46/19 65/3 81/6	79/6 104/10 109/16	106/3 106/9 106/16	39/2 39/8 39/25 40/16
169/7	81/22 81/23 94/14	109/23 122/12 122/23		41/2 43/11 53/23
mentioned [8] 30/19	102/10 102/23 104/1	123/25 135/22 177/4	112/24 113/10 114/4	54/16 54/25 55/2 55/4
51/6 61/24 73/21 89/1	104/2 104/3 114/9	177/8 177/12	114/20 115/14 115/19	
91/7 149/16 171/2	123/8 123/10 126/23	Mr De La Poer [6]	116/9 116/16 118/16	57/20 58/3 58/14

(59) me... - neonatal

N	no [163] 1/12 1/22	153/7 166/5	note-taking [3] 5/15	176/12
	1/25 5/24 14/11 14/13		67/14 67/20	nowhere [1] 149/14
neonatal [70] 58/19	15/12 17/22 24/19	[ <b>1]</b> 94/15	noted [2] 11/14	NQAG [1] 67/23
59/23 60/2 60/15 60/16 61/4 61/12	25/12 26/19 27/9	non-executive [2]	134/15	NRLS [17] 47/23 49/4
	27/14 30/6 30/10	137/15 166/5	notes [31] 55/5 56/14	49/16 49/18 49/25
61/18 61/23 63/5 64/4	30/15 30/18 30/19	non-sinister [1]	57/7 59/4 59/8 59/11	50/4 50/9 50/17 55/20
66/11 69/1 70/14	32/2 32/21 32/23	146/21	62/12 65/25 81/16	55/24 56/3 56/6 74/2
71/23 72/1 72/1 73/7 88/8 88/13 88/25	32/24 32/24 33/4 33/6	none [7] 28/21 30/3	99/1 99/21 104/12	74/20 77/21 78/12
	34/14 34/24 36/18	55/16 55/18 94/3	105/15 105/16 106/9	78/17
89/13 90/11 90/25 91/10 91/19 91/21	38/8 38/17 38/20	102/8 126/11	106/11 106/13 114/8	number [30] 1/11
91/24 92/6 92/14	41/25 44/20 46/8	normal [3] 18/25	128/24 129/24 130/1	1/23 2/5 8/21 9/13
92/21 93/23 94/8	46/10 46/18 52/1	20/8 24/13	130/1 149/15 149/17	20/8 24/1 28/14 37/2
94/10 96/10 99/19	52/11 52/22 54/25	normally [1] 141/19	150/18 166/22 166/25	39/1 41/20 42/15 43/4
100/9 100/12 100/13	55/7 55/10 55/11	not [158] 1/9 9/19	167/2 167/3 167/12	43/5 54/7 56/17 61/25
101/7 102/1 102/24	55/12 55/15 55/23	10/1 11/19 12/18 13/1		70/6 70/16 73/22
103/18 108/14 108/15	58/22 58/25 59/6	13/23 14/10 14/20	nothing [16] 31/20	76/18 90/10 96/17
111/6 123/22 126/21	59/22 60/3 60/6 60/10	17/23 19/22 19/24	32/22 32/25 33/5	98/22 128/19 129/15
128/21 129/15 129/18	60/13 60/18 60/19	20/19 20/23 20/25	46/16 55/10 55/10	134/20 150/23 150/23
130/4 130/7 130/15	66/24 67/17 67/19	21/2 21/16 21/23 22/1		153/12
130/22 131/16 145/24	71/14 71/22 73/15	22/5 22/5 22/13 22/15		number 1 [2] 41/20
145/24 146/6 146/7	73/18 74/10 74/20	22/15 22/17 22/17	118/12 155/12 156/2	42/15
146/14 147/11 149/11	75/6 76/13 78/22	22/19 23/2 23/8 24/5	noticed [1] 157/20	numbers [3] 57/17
158/25 159/20 164/14	82/18 82/22 82/24	24/5 25/9 26/1 26/13	notifiable [1] 7/8	58/17 134/25
165/2 169/6 169/8	83/16 86/13 87/19	26/19 28/2 30/13	notify [1] 7/17	numerous [2] 35/4
170/19	88/9 88/22 93/7 93/14	32/16 33/14 33/20	November [10] 1/1	146/17
neonate [1] 150/14	93/15 93/17 93/17	34/22 35/10 38/4	37/25 38/24 39/15	nurse [10] 3/8 3/11
neonates [6] 17/10	93/19 94/18 96/13	38/10 38/13 40/6 40/9		3/11 3/12 19/19 81/23
21/19 21/24 57/22	96/13 97/13 97/14	42/11 46/14 49/17	92/13 131/22 176/16	110/11 110/12 136/20
128/3 152/18	97/16 98/11 98/20	50/10 52/17 52/25	November 14 [1]	146/6
network [2] 57/20	100/11 101/8 103/3	54/15 54/18 54/23	46/12	nurses [9] 81/24
146/15	104/20 106/16 108/19		November 2015 [2]	114/9 114/9 114/12
neutral [1] 86/12	109/7 113/9 113/15	62/10 62/10 62/12	37/25 39/15	125/9 132/7 134/18
neutrally [1] 1/8	114/24 115/5 115/7	67/9 68/9 71/7 71/25	November 2017 [1]	146/9 149/9
never [14] 8/2 26/6	117/22 118/23 121/3	72/1 73/6 77/3 85/2	72/16	nursing [20] 66/3
26/25 46/8 46/10	122/9 122/24 126/20		now [98] 1/4 3/23 7/6	70/14 110/16 113/18
47/21 49/19 51/6 52/1	126/24 127/16 127/18	86/9 87/5 87/20 88/4	10/1 12/5 18/19 21/4	113/23 114/3 123/15
71/14 86/13 112/16	130/5 130/8 130/11	89/11 89/19 91/6 91/6	25/1 26/1 29/23 31/11	137/4 139/21 146/14 147/3 147/21 148/3
121/3 155/25	130/16 131/8 131/24 132/16 134/20 135/12		37/13 38/4 38/21	153/1 153/6 154/16
Never Events [7] 8/2	142/3 142/3 142/10	96/3 99/18 99/23	38/22 39/9 41/6 42/5	166/2 166/10 169/25
26/6 26/25 47/21	145/3 146/20 146/25	100/2 100/4 100/6	43/13 43/15 43/18	171/6
49/19 51/6 86/13	147/6 148/23 149/3	100/9 100/24 101/2	45/7 46/15 46/15	
newborn [1] 37/3	149/17 151/21 153/24	101/18 103/17 103/18		0
next [12] 19/10 48/5	154/22 155/24 155/24	104/24 107/3 108/8	52/18 53/13 54/8	o'clock [1] 176/13
79/1 85/16 85/17	156/5 156/10 158/3	108/13 108/19 113/9	54/13 58/5 65/16	oath [1] 136/2
119/2 123/2 129/14	159/7 160/2 160/2	115/25 116/3 116/4	67/21 69/12 72/4	objectively [1]
150/8 154/1 154/4	163/8 163/13 163/13	116/5 116/16 118/16	72/18 82/11 84/15	140/20
158/24	164/17 164/22 165/3	119/22 121/7 121/15	85/9 85/20 86/1 86/19	I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I
NHS [9] 7/11 25/13	165/9 166/22 166/24	122/9 124/18 125/11	87/13 88/6 89/5 92/3	observation [3] 20/3
47/1 127/3 127/3	169/7 171/17 171/19	126/21 131/13 133/3	92/24 93/22 94/1 96/8	
137/4 137/13 156/5	172/5 172/5 172/8	133/15 134/11 135/4	96/12 97/17 103/2	observational [2]
168/5	172/13 172/13 172/16		104/2 105/5 107/25	54/4 54/6
NHS England [2]	172/16 174/4 176/2	140/8 141/15 141/19	109/11 113/8 115/9	observe [2] 111/13
25/13 127/3	176/3	148/9 149/21 149/25	115/15 116/7 116/11	114/5
NHS England's [1]	nobody [2] 134/22	152/17 154/10 154/14		
7/11 Nichol [1] 166/8	134/23	155/4 155/20 158/13	119/2 124/14 126/15	57/14 57/22 58/12
Nichol [1] 166/8	Nods [13] 9/4 63/11	159/3 160/2 160/3	127/21 128/9 128/14	58/13
night [1] 68/18	110/18 117/7 117/15	160/4 163/25 165/3	128/24 129/24 135/8	obstetrics [2] 66/7
nine [3] 145/18 145/25 148/1	120/1 121/2 124/9	170/16 171/18 171/25	142/8 142/18 145/13	146/12
nine-month [1]	127/5 127/20 133/12	173/2 173/8 173/21	145/18 145/20 145/25	
145/25	146/3 148/20	173/25 174/4 174/13	146/17 148/8 148/21	136/25
NNU [3] 28/5 58/8	noise [1] 56/2	174/16 175/16	151/18 155/16 157/8	obtained [3] 12/1
124/3	non [7] 94/15 137/12		157/20 158/7 159/23	16/8 33/13
	137/15 146/21 153/1	67/14 67/20 129/3	162/3 165/4 167/20	obtaining [1] 117/13
L			L	

(60) neonatal... - obtaining

0	159/17 167/15 174/4	156/3	57/22 64/8 68/17	paragraph [47] 11/12
obvious [1] 54/5	174/24	out [31] 6/12 14/3	68/18 69/2 70/12	11/14 12/16 12/23
obvious [1] 54/5 obviously [6] 71/25	ones [1] 35/9	16/9 22/10 24/10 25/7		21/7 21/12 23/1 24/20
75/18 97/3 125/7	ongoing [2] 83/12	34/22 40/13 43/20	paediatricians [1]	24/22 30/7 31/17 32/3
167/9 175/9	84/13	48/19 52/15 57/24	149/9	34/20 37/17 38/9 40/1
occasion [1] 112/16	only [22] 11/15 17/24 29/17 49/18 51/24	70/11 72/24 77/13 86/20 87/14 89/20	paediatrics [6] 17/10 79/19 80/3 80/4	54/13 54/17 59/3 59/14 59/15 59/17
occasions [2] 63/1	60/16 62/15 83/19	92/11 95/25 108/24	122/18 123/5	65/16 65/20 80/13
70/16	100/2 104/1 108/22	109/6 115/10 149/6	page [61] 13/14	82/25 83/17 84/9
occupancy [3] 64/9	111/5 111/18 112/17	150/4 156/2 157/14	13/15 14/3 14/7 14/25	
64/10 64/12	124/22 140/7 141/4	158/17 158/21 159/18		96/5 99/17 99/22
occur [2] 23/8 63/13 occurred [6] 30/11	141/11 152/8 162/14	168/11	41/7 41/19 41/20	105/1 105/25 110/23
30/15 53/13 111/16	164/2 164/8	outcome [3] 60/23	43/17 45/22 46/22	124/16 125/10 131/19
131/10 147/16	open [7] 40/10 63/14	97/5 100/23	46/25 47/10 47/11	132/1 132/17 132/20
occurs [1] 19/25	73/13 103/20 131/3	outcomes [4] 48/12	48/5 48/6 49/13 51/24	
October [1] 46/12	131/7 174/5	48/12 100/13 131/16	56/13 56/18 57/8 57/8 59/16 65/18 66/1	paragraph 10 [1] 82/25
odd [1] 30/17	opened [1] 168/13 operated [2] 127/3	outlier [2] 90/15 92/5 output [1] 163/22	68/11 69/15 69/18	oz/25 paragraph 100 [2]
Odeka [12] 4/20 31/4	144/16	outside [2] 111/23	70/4 70/5 70/19 71/11	105/1 105/25
79/1 79/3 79/5 79/9	operating [3] 150/2	142/22	85/15 85/16 85/16	paragraph 113 [1]
106/17 106/22 109/9 114/9 125/4 177/7	150/3 166/15	outstanding [10]	85/17 116/12 116/23	54/13
off [4] 122/19 164/6	opinion [1] 30/14	44/7 44/17 45/3 120/7		Paragraph 114 [1]
173/17 175/5	opportunities [1]	120/8 161/2 161/2	118/7 119/10 120/22	54/17
off-kilter [1] 164/6	151/13	161/14 161/15 162/6	121/10 121/11 121/13	
offer [1] 132/15	opportunity [14] 43/2 43/7 61/15 61/25 62/3		122/23 123/1 123/3 128/23 157/22 157/25	83/17 paragraph 14 [2]
offered [1] 132/12	62/4 63/15 64/15	over [16] 2/14 28/13	158/1 158/1 160/24	88/10 90/6
officer [1] 166/15	64/21 64/25 132/12	48/9 48/13 49/7 49/10		paragraph 17 [1]
often [3] 22/6 36/9 151/5	144/17 145/4 151/9	53/14 71/16 105/16	page 10 [2] 47/10	91/16
Oh [1] 167/1	oppressive [1] 66/20	111/16 116/21 117/16	68/11	paragraph 18 [1]
okay [8] 85/8 87/2	or [197]	123/5 123/7 148/1	page 106 [2] 69/15	12/23
106/24 145/14 153/24	oral [1] 1/5	148/1	69/18	paragraph 19 [1]
154/17 158/2 159/4	orange [2] 28/22 29/18	overall [9] 31/20 65/14 70/2 87/7 91/22	page 107 [1] 70/4	11/12 paragraph 20 [1]
old [1] 13/10	order [4] 48/1 49/20	140/4 148/15 148/16	page 11 [2] 48/5	21/7
omission [1] 52/6	60/24 94/14	172/23	116/12	paragraph 22 [1]
on [227] on-site [12] 8/24 13/3	ordinarily [1] 83/2	overseeing [1]	page 14 [1] 116/23	21/12
13/3 14/22 15/9 15/22		143/14	page 16 [2] 59/16	paragraph 24 [1]
18/6 19/2 19/5 19/19	24/10	overtime [1] 168/20	117/8	23/1
73/12 140/8	organisation [8] 115/24 139/19 141/6	overview [3] 141/20 170/5 170/9	page 164 [1] 128/23	paragraph 25 [1] 24/20
once [4] 9/15 62/21	143/13 151/12 158/23		page 19 [1] 49/13 page 200 [1] 65/18	paragraph 34 [1]
119/12 162/15	162/12 169/22	119/25 139/1 142/5	Page 206 [1] 56/13	124/16
one [69] 6/6 16/6 17/24 22/6 28/3 31/4	organisations [1]	142/6 162/15 167/3	page 207 [1] 57/8	paragraph 35 [1]
31/15 32/4 32/5 34/24	163/20	owner [8] 72/10	page 27 [3] 51/24	125/10
38/12 40/13 40/14	other [29] 2/5 3/14	72/11 72/12 77/18	120/22 123/3	paragraph 40 [2]
41/22 41/24 42/25	6/9 19/9 19/17 33/6 45/25 46/2 48/17	78/3 78/5 78/16 166/12	page 3 [2] 13/14 14/25	132/17 132/20
42/25 46/19 47/12	45/25 46/2 48/17 59/21 71/17 77/19		page 32 [1] 118/3	paragraph 42 [1] 84/9
62/12 62/19 64/8	78/11 81/16 81/22	P	Page 34 [1] 162/22	paragraph 46 [1]
65/21 73/19 75/24 77/19 78/6 85/2 85/4	98/17 99/6 111/17	pack [33] 8/22 8/23	page 35 [1] 118/5	94/6
85/22 87/24 87/25	113/20 114/11 118/1	9/21 11/7 27/3 37/12	page 4 [3] 14/3 15/5	paragraph 47 [1]
90/14 96/5 96/17			19/17	12/16
104/15 108/1 108/16	141/10 151/16 163/15 169/2 170/4	52/13 71/21 74/21 84/11 84/16 84/19	page 5 [4] 17/8 45/22 85/15 157/25	paragraph 47C [1] 96/5
111/18 112/6 115/8	others [4] 77/16	87/12 115/1 115/9	page 6 [3] 157/22	paragraph 49 [1]
116/8 116/18 118/10	77/25 130/1 160/23	115/11 115/12 115/20		34/20
118/18 121/23 122/4 124/2 129/11 132/13	otherwise [1] 148/4	115/22 116/4 116/4	page 7 [6] 41/19	paragraph 5 [1]
132/13 140/15 141/9	ought [3] 2/9 62/7	116/7 116/13 156/16	43/17 46/22 46/25	80/13
141/22 144/2 145/7	155/2	156/24 157/2 157/5	119/10 160/24	paragraph 51 [1]
146/15 147/14 148/3	our [13] 2/15 37/4	157/7 157/19 158/13 paediatric [16] 16/12	pages [7] 29/14	32/3
148/21 151/1 152/11	37/11 70/13 77/24 82/7 87/3 89/1 101/13		51/24 116/12 116/21 117/16 118/3 165/5	paragraph 57 [1] 31/17
152/15 157/1 157/20	105/15 125/7 150/23	53/25 55/16 57/16	panel [2] 39/4 68/13	paragraph 58 [1]
			(6	1) obvious - paragraph 58

(61) obvious - paragraph 58

P	31/25 42/13 44/25	140/3 140/21 142/23	48/16 51/25 59/1 59/2	45/9 51/4 52/13 85/10
paragraph 58 [1]	60/20 63/23 65/9 69/1	143/8 143/21 143/21	59/10 59/20 59/22	115/16 115/17 116/2
30/7	73/5 92/5 121/11	167/5 167/5 170/22	63/2 69/8 70/20 71/12	
paragraph 6 [1]	131/13 139/5 169/17 parts [1] 59/21	Person's [1] 83/22 personally [1] 120/13	72/5 72/18 75/12	157/3 157/5 157/7 157/15 157/17 157/18
110/23	party [3] 26/2 28/3	perspective [11] 5/5	88/17 88/23 88/24	158/13 160/6
paragraph 62 [1]	92/24	5/9 9/22 10/24 15/17	92/3 95/21 114/22	pre-inspection [14]
38/9 Paragraph 67 [1]	pass [1] 77/25	15/20 15/21 33/16	115/19 128/10 153/11	1/23 15/11 45/9 51/4
40/1	passed [1] 150/19	54/6 125/9 161/23	153/16	85/10 115/16 144/23
paragraph 71 [2]	passive [1] 75/8	perspectives [1]	pointed [1] 65/3	157/5 157/7 157/15
131/19 132/1	past [2] 109/12	125/7	points [7] 49/16	157/17 157/18 158/13
paragraph 8 [1]	110/13 patient [12] 7/13	pertinent [2] 34/13 34/14	65/21 70/7 87/24 106/14 106/15 122/6	160/6 predominantly [2]
11/14	25/17 32/10 96/10	phase [1] 1/5	police [2] 72/19	67/15 134/18
paragraph 85 [1] 135/1	97/20 127/4 127/7	Phew [1] 161/22	72/23	prematurity [1] 37/4
Paragraph 87 [1]	146/10 152/10 152/17	phone [2] 77/22 78/6	policies [1] 133/18	preparation [10] 7/7
99/17	163/20 169/19	pick [4] 78/6 150/25	policy [3] 36/7 36/7	39/17 44/9 67/21 98/1
Paragraph 88 [1]	patients [4] 52/20	151/1 159/17	151/14	114/19 127/10 127/14
99/22	66/11 86/20 168/6 peer [3] 37/8 57/21	picked [2] 74/1 81/9 picture [5] 65/12	polite [1] 145/1 portfolio [1] 137/7	134/14 163/23 preparations [1]
paragraph 93 [3]	133/2	65/14 87/7 163/24	position [8] 14/9 43/9	
59/3 59/15 59/17	penultimate [2]	168/24	54/20 63/8 68/24	prepare [2] 93/20
paragraph 99 [2] 65/16 65/20	16/18 116/24	piece [3] 20/19 20/21	145/17 154/16 165/19	105/10
paragraphs [5] 36/15	people [38] 20/1	173/1	positions [2] 3/14	prepared [7] 3/1 28/4
54/9 79/22 92/20	43/25 47/17 47/21	PIR [5] 11/18 12/20	79/23	29/4 79/10 110/1
99/16	47/22 49/5 66/10 67/12 69/19 77/3	13/4 43/18 119/23 place [11] 17/7 33/17	positive [12] 44/2 44/4 44/8 65/13 65/21	141/16 158/4 preparing [12] 11/14
pardon [2] 58/13	116/25 117/6 120/2	34/1 34/15 40/9 62/3	66/3 66/4 66/6 86/11	25/21 27/16 37/20
77/9	126/2 133/20 139/13	78/10 101/2 147/17	120/3 169/21 170/12	38/15 47/8 92/9 93/10
parentheses [1] 37/18	139/19 141/23 143/13	170/3 174/22	positively [1] 169/24	114/15 114/20 160/18
parents [1] 101/12	144/10 144/11 145/5	plainly [2] 142/23	possibility [1] 174/4	160/20
part [61] 7/4 11/2	145/6 147/14 151/8	148/21	possible [3] 18/22	presence [2] 111/23
11/11 11/18 13/2 15/8	151/17 157/23 160/25 166/3 168/9 168/11	plan [4] 10/11 46/7 57/19 89/2	68/1 77/15	132/11
15/8 15/22 16/22	168/19 170/13 173/7	planned [6] 5/1 5/3	possibly [5] 22/20 53/15 53/16 73/2	present [5] 66/18 74/13 111/20 171/16
16/23 17/3 19/2 19/6	174/10 174/12 174/17	41/10 42/20 53/13	159/15	172/9
20/11 21/24 22/2 22/8 23/13 24/24 25/20	175/10	129/6	post [3] 42/19 112/15	
33/21 33/24 34/5 35/8	people's [18] 4/10	please [58] 2/24	172/7	29/8 45/18 51/11
35/10 37/11 45/1	4/17 14/9 23/6 23/25	11/10 12/16 13/13	post-inspection [1]	51/12 51/15 84/25
49/25 50/1 50/5 61/1	29/7 36/2 47/6 51/23 68/4 73/14 92/2 105/3	13/14 14/3 17/8 21/6 21/25 31/17 36/13	172/7 posters [1] 151/13	85/5 85/7 119/3 119/4 119/5 119/6 127/17
66/1 74/16 76/4 82/19	111/19 119/14 119/19			160/8 160/13 160/14
83/12 89/1 92/23	149/10 159/16	43/16 43/17 45/7	32/11 32/20 42/2	160/17
96/15 97/25 99/5 99/10 99/12 104/13	perceived [2] 39/1	45/22 46/20 46/22	96/11 96/23 97/1	pressure [1] 86/16
116/3 116/13 134/13	39/24	47/10 48/5 49/13	posts [1] 79/23	pressures [2] 168/4
134/13 141/24 143/3	performance [13]	52/18 54/14 56/12	potential [4] 60/11	168/5
144/7 144/9 148/13	8/15 48/9 48/11 48/17 49/7 49/8 49/10 60/22	65/15 65/18 69/15 70/19 79/8 84/8 85/15	91/4 108/7 108/16	presumably [3] 17/16 52/13 157/23
151/1 154/2 157/1	65/13 87/1 119/25	89/15 92/12 99/17	98/17 153/19 155/19	pretty [1] 168/8
157/6 160/1 163/23	131/15 163/20	106/1 106/23 107/6	173/9	prevent [1] 172/25
164/3 167/10 partake [1] 81/12	performing [1] 48/14	107/21 107/22 108/11	Potter [7] 4/20	previous [5] 37/5
participant [1] 81/12	perhaps [7] 5/9		109/17 109/18 109/20	
participation [1]	24/13 54/4 65/11 72/4		109/25 135/24 177/11	
135/7	77/14 122/11	119/10 120/22 128/23 132/17 136/3 136/9	Powell [3] 56/20 65/17 170/22	previously [2] 16/15 145/25
particular [21] 47/8	Perinatal [2] 58/11 70/15	139/1 145/11 162/22	PowerPoint [5] 51/11	
71/3 80/8 83/6 83/20	period [16] 2/6 14/17	pleases [1] 50/20	84/24 119/3 119/4	prior [27] 5/2 10/2
84/2 90/19 91/20 94/24 96/14 125/1	14/17 14/20 14/22	pm [3] 109/13 109/15	127/17	13/5 14/2 20/13 21/10
128/19 140/20 148/13	14/22 15/2 18/5 19/2	176/14	practice [14] 5/9	26/16 41/15 42/7
152/18 156/6 157/12	48/13 62/17 72/10	Poer [6] 1/3 2/13	13/25 19/1 53/9 53/11	42/16 44/24 67/7
159/21 161/6 164/20	98/5 112/8 137/23 145/25	136/7 136/8 176/12 177/15	95/15 133/18 150/14 153/10 153/18 155/5	67/22 76/13 88/2 93/9 98/7 112/10 115/4
171/6	person [13] 1/14	point [33] 24/15 33/3		116/1 133/13 140/8
particularly [13]	89/24 125/4 134/7	37/13 43/3 43/15 48/8		142/11 145/18 148/1
L				62) paragraph 59 prior

(62) paragraph 58... - prior

Р	88/1 105/15 108/1	Q	R	108/25
prior [2] 157/14	113/24 132/2 132/11 135/17 145/12 173/19	qualifications [1]	raise [8] 24/15 25/10	reassurance [1] 44/17
164/6	provided [56] 8/15	75/4	48/24 64/25 90/5	recall [27] 12/18 38/5
privy [1] 35/11 probably [10] 104/1	9/2 11/8 11/16 11/17	qualified [2] 108/3 110/11	151/9 155/2 155/6 raised [21] 52/24	99/18 99/23 103/23
104/3 113/8 113/9	12/5 12/8 12/9 12/19	qualify [3] 107/21	59/7 59/9 61/15 64/7	104/24 105/18 105/20
139/16 141/1 142/19	20/18 22/4 23/19	136/20 137/9	66/20 89/8 104/18	114/11 115/17 115/25
151/8 153/23 175/20	23/23 24/24 25/3 25/21 26/21 26/24	quality [13] 1/6 74/25		116/5 119/6 127/25 129/13 129/22 135/8
probe [1] 87/15	30/12 40/3 42/6 42/7	78/14 92/17 92/22	132/4 132/7 139/15	142/20 145/3 157/4
problem [3] 157/22	42/9 42/12 42/16	97/18 152/16 162/24 166/6 168/18 172/8	149/18 153/12 155/4 165/15 169/11 169/16	159/6 163/1 163/4
164/10 173/4 problems [1] 155/17	45/19 46/3 49/12	172/9 176/9	170/17	168/1 168/14 169/4
procedures [2] 118/4	52/14 66/11 71/19	queries [1] 5/8	raises [1] 155/14	175/2
118/6	76/24 83/14 84/6 92/18 92/22 101/23	query [4] 22/12 29/23	raising [1] 126/17	recap [1] 162/23
process [47] 1/8 1/16	105/2 105/12 105/21	126/7 157/20	range [1] 108/21	receive [26] 17/20 17/23 18/2 20/13 21/9
10/4 11/1 16/23 17/3	106/2 107/14 107/18	question [34] 21/25	ranked [1] 69/21	21/13 28/1 40/6 52/23
17/3 17/5 17/6 22/9 33/17 33/23 34/1	112/22 112/24 114/13	29/1 34/25 60/18 62/5 62/6 62/15 62/16	ranking [1] 43/22 rapid [1] 78/8	82/12 82/14 82/16
34/19 35/3 35/8 35/11	115/25 124/2 127/12	63/19 64/24 65/4	rate [8] 24/17 39/7	82/20 83/3 83/20 86/4
35/14 35/18 39/20	128/1 135/19 136/13 139/14 157/23 165/10	78/16 89/15 93/22	39/25 145/24 153/2	88/12 88/24 112/19
40/11 45/1 54/23	139/14 157/23 165/10	103/17 108/9 115/19	164/14 164/21 165/21	115/8 116/18 118/11 118/13 119/1 124/19
59/19 60/17 60/25	provider [31] 6/22	122/15 125/15 126/19		156/15
61/2 61/22 65/10 72/1 74/17 74/24 84/1	8/13 8/18 8/19 9/1 9/6	129/8 130/20 131/7 142/7 147/25 150/8	161/1 rates [9] 55/8 59/12	received [17] 10/15
95/18 103/11 103/14	9/15 9/20 10/5 10/16	152/7 152/24 154/11	64/10 64/12 72/1	11/11 12/4 19/25
103/17 107/20 111/25	11/1 11/11 12/10   12/13 13/4 15/24 16/8	162/12 174/2 174/6	100/9 100/12 100/14	20/23 39/13 42/19 82/1 84/12 85/21
124/21 133/5 141/21	16/14 24/4 24/6 25/4	175/13 175/15	108/14	85/23 95/2 115/5
143/11 164/4 167/10	25/5 43/19 45/24 76/5	questioned [1] 113/8		116/8 125/15 154/21
172/23 174/17 processes [11] 34/15	82/16 82/20 83/18	questioning [2] 18/13 133/11	23/22 36/21 39/19 57/1 57/6 59/11 65/4	155/16
100/23 101/1 101/19	114/10/19/23 173/0	questions [78] 2/22	72/6 77/12 77/20	receives [2] 7/7 8/11
101/19 107/2 107/3	providers [2] 6/7 114/12	10/23 20/23 27/22	134/5 140/2 155/7	receiving [5] 6/24 84/10 114/25 115/20
107/10 133/24 134/3	provides [1] 26/25	31/15 39/22 42/5 43/2		133/8
134/9	providing [3] 11/22	43/8 43/11 44/13	rating [6] 68/2 68/6	recent [2] 37/11
processing [1] 172/18	124/8 135/9	44/18 58/23 60/14 61/8 61/10 62/6 62/10	68/9 68/15 155/20 156/3	146/8
produce [1] 95/13	provision [4] 114/5	63/7 63/14 70/25	ratings [1] 68/12	recently [2] 12/9
produced [2] 75/21	115/23 120/14 133/2 provisions [1]	73/13 73/13 73/16	raw [1] 173/5	147/11
156/24	115/24	73/18 73/20 78/21	RCN [1] 110/16	recipient [1] 75/8 recognised [1] 40/19
profession [1] 3/8	proviso [1] 107/23	79/6 86/18 94/20	re [1] 47/23	recognising [2]
professional [12] 5/4 5/7 10/10 24/11 76/22	provoked [1] 169/11	101/7 101/11 101/24 102/4 102/15 102/22	reach [1] 154/10 reaction [2] 164/1	26/10 98/19
79/18 81/2 81/3 107/8	public [1] 148/18	102/23 103/5 103/7	169/11	recollection [21]
110/10 139/6 173/8	publication [2] 5/23 67/22		read [7] 29/16 37/17	12/24 45/21 50/12 59/24 63/21 110/24
professionalism [1]	published [4] 4/1	106/21 106/23 108/12		111/4 112/25 115/14
77/5	37/15 41/16 148/12	109/23 111/4 128/8	68/22 157/13	116/9 116/16 116/17
progress [1] 35/24 promise [1] 147/24	purpose [4] 45/10	128/12 130/25 131/2 131/2 131/3 131/8	readiness [1] 160/5 reading [4] 31/24	118/16 129/17 129/20
prompt [1] 101/16	51/10 74/6 172/3	133/15 135/21 135/21		130/2 130/24 135/2
prompted [1] 128/7	purposes [19] 11/22 12/1 13/6 25/20 31/7	136/7 144/12 144/12	ready [2] 46/21 168/7	165/1 167/20 167/23 record [6] 2/3 48/7
prompts [4] 117/10	37/20 38/15 47/5	144/14 150/4 152/11	real [3] 120/24	48/24 167/9 167/15
122/1 122/4 129/11	82/12 83/10 92/9 98/8	152/16 159/18 169/13		169/20
properly [3] 34/7 34/8 101/15	100/16 114/14 117/14	172/19 173/10 175/25 176/1 176/2 176/4	realise [1] 141/18 really [9] 74/7 121/16	recorded [5] 59/8
propose [1] 107/17	124/7 127/10 131/14	177/4 177/5 177/8	129/22 145/4 155/2	99/21 99/25 105/15
proposing [1] 159/3	170/9 pursued [1] 33/21	177/9 177/12 177/15	162/8 167/8 168/8	169/3 recording [2] 94/14
protected [1] 47/17	put [11] 26/1 28/2	quite [19] 6/25 18/14	173/15	121/9
proud [2] 63/23	38/21 38/23 62/6	29/12 82/14 86/23	reason [9] 68/19 77/1	records [6] 1/23 1/25
65/10 provide [21] 5/7 6/1	78/10 81/10 92/25	89/14 105/24 115/10 141/5 141/18 144/10	83/5 94/23 101/2 102/4 108/20 108/24	2/5 149/20 163/7
8/21 18/15 20/17	154/15 159/3 160/5	145/12 145/12 151/5	164/12	167/7
22/18 24/12 67/16	putting [5] 1/8 112/5 141/13 156/3 168/9	156/1 158/13 159/15	reasons [6] 16/6	red [10] 28/22 29/17 83/6 90/9 90/11 91/11
74/5 75/10 77/3 79/8	141/13 130/3 100/8	171/18 174/5	30/19 40/23 89/7 89/7	91/13 91/22 91/25
				(63) prior - rod

(63) prior... - red

R	reliant [3] 23/5 23/24	89/8 97/22 98/2 98/10	35/25 42/23 173/3	risks [6] 69/3 69/4
red [1] 92/7	73/14	100/20 100/22 127/12		77/16 152/10 152/12
Rees [1] 56/20	reluctance [2] 62/23	127/21	32/10 96/11	152/20
refer [1] 129/7	63/13 remained [2] 3/20	representative [2] 110/16 110/17	reticence [2] 62/22 102/10	robust [2] 87/5 88/5 role [49] 4/8 4/24
reference [9] 12/22	91/23	representing [1]	retired [1] 137/15	9/24 11/2 33/14 74/11
32/4 32/13 49/2 69/15	remains [3] 71/16	44/16	Return [22] 8/18 8/19	80/12 81/11 82/2 82/6
74/20 129/10 131/20 147/13	71/17 153/19	request [10] 9/18	9/2 9/6 9/16 9/21 10/5	82/9 88/11 105/5
references [2] 45/14	remember [22] 10/19	10/17 16/6 18/18	10/16 11/12 12/10	106/25 107/4 107/5
131/21	13/20 14/1 14/19	19/22 20/2 20/4 20/9 21/1 21/2	12/14 13/5 16/8 16/14 24/6 25/4 25/6 43/19	107/7 107/19 111/8 111/9 112/6 112/19
referred [4] 57/5 97/4	17/24 25/9 26/13 26/19 27/3 30/22 31/2		76/5 82/17 82/20	113/11 114/4 124/19
132/3 164/24	50/10 52/25 53/4 53/9			136/22 137/6 137/16
referring [6] 12/5 13/8 28/8 58/9 59/14	60/17 64/7 113/10	18/13 19/14 19/16	returned [1] 42/20	137/23 138/2 139/2
93/2	113/13 145/9 152/9	20/19 33/22 35/16	Returning [1] 8/7	139/23 141/4 141/5
refers [1] 41/8	158/12	38/10 38/11 38/14	Returns [6] 11/2 24/4	
reflect [2] 70/13	remind [1] 122/23 remit [1] 143/7	39/11 <b>requests [19]</b> 8/13	45/24 83/18 114/16 173/6	142/4 142/14 142/21 144/7 144/9 144/15
172/17	remotely [3] 113/2	9/21 11/18 13/2 13/7	review [45] 5/14 6/17	144/20 151/19 154/2
reflected [1] 69/11	113/4 113/6	13/23 14/2 14/4 14/8	8/21 9/6 35/17 37/6	158/22 173/13 173/22
reflecting [2] 149/1 149/4	repeat [3] 21/25	14/10 14/16 15/5	37/8 38/10 38/14	roles [1] 81/1
reflection [1] 142/5	89/14 105/19	15/11 15/17 18/20	38/24 39/4 39/6 39/15	
reflections [2] 73/3	report [60] 4/1 4/16	20/11 82/21 83/18	39/16 39/19 40/8 41/5	I I
73/4	5/17 5/18 5/23 7/13 8/14 11/7 13/7 13/12	114/17 <b>require [3]</b> 20/16	57/19 57/21 61/8 61/9 62/3 62/9 72/15 74/3	Rule [1] 170/10 Rule 9 [1] 170/10
reflects [1] 101/12	15/24 26/11 26/24	20/19 168/12	78/8 92/10 92/13	run [3] 1/9 144/21
refresh [1] 166/23	27/7 33/25 34/16	required [4] 18/16	131/20 132/25 132/25	
regard [3] 108/2 153/7 153/20	35/19 36/5 36/5 36/6	50/3 56/5 162/7	133/2 133/3 146/18	running [1] 112/7
regarding [5] 21/13	36/10 37/15 37/23	requires [12] 47/19		runs [2] 29/14 117/16
25/7 64/7 83/4 104/13	41/15 41/22 42/6 42/7 42/9 42/11 42/13	49/15 68/23 69/13 69/20 69/23 70/6 70/7	153/3 153/4 153/13 153/17 164/23 165/2	Ruth [1] 13/16
regardless [1] 45/5	42/9 42/11 42/13	70/11 120/16 120/19	165/3 170/11	Ruth Millward [1] 13/16
regional [1] 91/23	48/25 50/12 50/13	148/14	reviewed [13] 5/2	
Register [1] 70/17 Registered [3] 3/10	60/21 67/22 68/5	requiring [2] 32/11	31/7 31/21 33/25 35/8	
3/12 110/12	68/22 69/12 71/22	96/11	41/1 50/1 50/7 57/20	<b>S2 [1]</b> 48/6
regular [1] 152/15	77/25 78/9 102/17	respect [15] 6/21	61/3 61/5 89/4 93/20	safe [13] 9/11 16/24 47/11 47/12 47/16
regulated [1] 6/21	103/10 104/25 105/10	27/12 38/13 43/3 49/6 50/12 50/14 50/16	59/19 62/14	69/12 69/20 69/23
regulates [1] 6/7	131/22 135/11 135/14		reviews [23] 26/25	85/18 86/10 117/18
regulation [3] 72/9 72/10 85/18	147/2 148/12 154/22	91/17 127/23 142/8	34/1 34/17 35/16 37/9	120/7 148/14
regulatory [4] 5/5	167/10 172/12 173/3	162/10	37/11 37/18 37/20	safeguarding [10]
33/16 34/5 97/9	reported [27] 8/2	respectful [2] 139/8	37/22 38/1 38/5 38/16	80/9 80/9 133/18
reiterate [1] 145/6	26/4 26/9 26/10 26/15 29/6 33/18 34/7 35/6	145/2 responded [1] 19/24	38/18 38/23 39/10 39/13 40/4 40/12	133/18 133/19 133/20 133/24 134/3 134/6
relate [1] 107/10	35/6 46/11 50/3 50/4	response [3] 11/18	40/13 61/19 71/8	134/8
related [5] 43/15 60/15 75/12 107/9	50/9 51/6 52/1 61/3	39/1 82/21	71/10 132/25	safety [31] 7/8 7/13
161/12	70/23 71/15 86/14	responses [2] 73/14	right [31] 5/23 7/3 8/4	
relating [10] 6/25 7/8	97/20 98/15 101/14	114/17	17/14 52/3 57/25	48/17 48/24 49/2 49/7 49/7 49/9 60/22 60/24
17/10 21/5 21/9 63/4	102/18 121/3 127/8 127/19	responsibilities [2] 48/23 139/22	57/25 59/25 70/5 96/24 101/11 104/7	61/2 64/17 68/4 70/6
72/24 88/7 124/17	reporting [31] 7/11	responsibility [4]	105/7 105/11 107/23	100/17 121/12 121/14
128/3	7/16 7/23 25/14 25/14		108/5 138/6 138/10	121/23 122/2 122/3
relation [1] 23/8 relationship [12]	25/16 25/25 26/16	140/10	142/12 143/2 143/23	131/14 146/10 148/3
65/22 66/3 66/5 66/7	26/18 27/12 34/15	responsible [1]		150/19 152/11 152/16
72/11 72/12 77/18	35/2 35/5 42/15 46/17 49/2 49/3 49/22 50/3	142/1 responsive [4] 9/12	155/21 156/24 156/25 161/15 173/16 173/18	
78/3 78/5 78/14 78/16	52/9 97/17 97/19	85/19 117/18 120/8	173/21	29/14 30/8 34/16 51/5
78/18	101/13 102/16 102/22		rightly [1] 64/8	63/6 65/7 65/8 65/9
relatively [1] 142/16 relevant [12] 27/5	103/11 127/1 127/3	restatement [1]	ring [1] 96/19	66/6 89/16 97/1 99/9
48/6 61/19 66/1 83/25	127/8 128/2 162/24	76/11	rise [4] 109/11	105/21 108/3 127/18
84/7 108/2 119/18	reports [20] 7/15 7/20 8/5 26/17 35/9	restricted [2] 49/17 62/15	150/13 150/23 176/12 risk [8] 69/6 69/10	129/17 129/21 134/22 146/25 148/12 153/4
121/13 122/8 132/2	35/17 40/7 46/16	result [8] 20/3 20/5	70/16 70/17 75/16	154/22 165/13 167/16
132/5	47/23 50/16 56/3 74/1		146/10 148/3 166/12	169/4
L				(64) red poid

S	167/5	6/15 38/12 134/22	sets [2] 14/3 87/14	48/18 86/7 86/9
	secondly [1] 49/19	139/19 143/8 143/13	setting [1] 25/7	161/19
same [9] 33/2 42/9	section [15] 4/16	166/12 166/18	seven [2] 146/4	simply [3] 55/12
52/6 117/25 120/15 120/19 128/25 129/3	9/10 9/10 36/14 51/22		147/18	81/11 141/23
137/7	57/11 59/3 69/16	143/1	several [2] 50/17	since [4] 79/19 80/14
Sarah [1] 56/19	70/21 86/10 105/10	sense [6] 103/20	117/16	100/24 113/1
sat [1] 142/24	116/14 116/15 116/23		severe [2] 28/23	since June 2014 [1]
satisfied [1] 156/21	117/9	173/17 173/19	29/18	80/14
satisfies [1] 7/16	sector [1] 44/1	sensitive [3] 26/2	shape [1] 120/9	sinister [1] 146/21
satisfy [1] 5/18	see [67] 6/14 14/4	28/3 92/24	shaped [2] 120/11	sir [2] 96/4 166/8
save [1] 74/7	14/24 16/24 19/16 20/12 21/16 21/20	sent [12] 8/12 15/24	133/9	Sir Duncan [1] 166/8
saw [5] 34/11 75/16	21/24 22/3 22/14	84/9 84/17 84/25 85/3 114/17 114/19 147/2	10/17 11/4 30/20	sit [4] 2/23 79/7 109/22 136/5
131/17 131/25 161/14	22/24 29/9 30/8 37/1	159/25 160/4 172/14	88/21 90/2 131/4	site [22] 8/24 9/23
say [84] 1/7 11/12	41/2 41/23 44/2 46/10		shared [6] 10/10	13/3 13/3 13/12 14/22
14/13 21/8 21/12	48/13 51/17 51/24	31/18 40/2 90/7	30/24 36/12 37/19	15/9 15/14 15/22 16/3
24/21 31/19 34/17	56/16 56/17 57/7 63/3	129/14 129/22 133/1	88/19 94/19	18/6 19/2 19/5 19/11
35/3 35/14 38/9 49/18	63/6 63/9 68/13 70/4	sentences [1] 100/1	she [16] 36/17 36/18	19/12 19/19 20/3 67/4
52/3 53/11 59/4 64/17 65/20 69/22 77/22	76/16 78/4 79/4 84/4	separate [2] 58/14	66/5 81/23 88/21	68/25 73/12 140/8
82/25 83/17 84/11	84/15 89/6 89/20 93/9	99/13	89/24 89/25 89/25	141/6
88/10 91/16 94/6	94/13 95/14 97/11	sepsis [2] 26/10	90/1 90/1 90/4 90/5	Sitting [1] 159/23
102/16 105/12 105/21	115/10 116/24 118/1	98/19	124/23 145/21 168/1	situation [4] 69/9
108/5 113/7 116/8	119/4 119/22 120/3	serious [28] 8/1	170/23	168/15 168/16 169/5
124/14 124/16 125/10	120/6 123/4 125/25 127/15 131/23 133/23		she's [2] 36/18 36/21	situations [1] 101/16 six [7] 8/12 13/10
125/19 126/15 128/6	147/14 147/19 149/23	49/19 52/1 57/19	81/8 82/9 104/1 135/5	
128/9 128/14 128/14	149/25 150/14 151/14	71/14 77/22 77/24	144/20	138/1 138/4
129/12 130/13 132/1	157/22 160/24 160/24	86/13 97/22 98/16	short-staffed [1]	six months [3] 8/12
132/9 133/1 133/22	163/7 163/22 163/25	98/18 121/3 127/7	135/5	13/10 16/15
134/6 135/1 138/4 144/17 144/25 149/18	165/3 175/10	127/18 127/22 128/17	shortages [2] 171/1	size [1] 24/14
149/19 149/25 151/1	seeing [10] 38/5	152/10 152/12 152/20	171/6	skill [2] 86/23 87/25
151/8 151/8 152/8	38/15 111/15 115/17	169/19	should [40] 17/2	skipped [1] 116/21
154/4 154/11 155/25	116/1 120/11 120/13	seriously [1] 153/16	26/21 30/24 43/23	slant [1] 81/3
158/15 158/17 159/5	121/5 126/2 162/11	service [39] 4/9 5/12	50/5 61/11 61/13	slide [4] 75/12
159/21 161/19 162/2	seek [2] 9/17 134/6	5/14 8/22 9/7 9/8 9/10		
162/21 163/11 169/4	seeks [1] 32/23	9/22 13/24 15/6 20/18 23/14 23/15 24/8 27/1		<b>siides [3]</b> 119/3 119/11 119/12
169/6 170/11 170/19	seem [1] 88/1 seems [2] 86/23	29/7 31/16 44/16	92/18 98/12 103/6	slightly [6] 62/5
170/22 171/1 172/6	174/8	44/21 48/3 48/13 55/3		76/11 108/9 125/8
	0000 [42] 26/2 42/6	56/24 56/24 66/11	132/4 144/2 144/4	170/20 175/14
174/17 174/21 174/25	45/14 46/4 71/15	67/6 67/8 67/13 74/5	144/4 144/22 151/3	small [2] 57/17 58/18
175/1 175/14	71/17 84/16 84/18	114/5 115/6 115/23	152/5 153/7 154/8	smoothly [1] 1/10
saying [6] 39/6 56/2 61/17 84/25 123/8	87/12 92/9 96/12	116/25 118/12 120/14		so [201]
134/5	96/12 97/25 98/2	120/25 149/11 155/23		
says [7] 31/8 32/8	99/12 100/7 104/12	173/7	175/21	some [51] 11/22
36/7 58/2 86/12			shouldn't [1] 163/11	16/11 20/16 20/17
122/18 165/9	120/25 124/6 124/7	4/10 4/17 8/15 14/9	show [1] 77/14	20/25 23/21 25/1 27/5 28/11 29/14 41/15
SBAR [1] 78/9	125/22 126/14 126/16 127/21 127/22 129/25	18/16 19/10 23/6 36/2 43/25 44/5 47/6 48/18		41/24 44/12 54/10
scenarios [1] 69/5	141/16 147/4 151/25	48/20 51/23 66/10	shown [5] 11/19 77/3	
scope [2] 86/7 87/9	159/6 159/8 159/24	66/13 68/5 69/2 69/18		68/1 73/3 73/4 73/9
screen [14] 13/13	160/2 160/3 160/4	83/22 110/20 111/19	sic [1] 127/11	75/17 77/12 77/13
26/1 28/2 36/13 36/15	160/10 164/24 165/1		Sick [2] 3/12 110/12	77/15 81/15 93/4
42/14 51/20 56/12 65/15 93/1 122/19	173/5 173/6	117/5 119/19 120/2	side [4] 70/20 71/12	94/25 102/14 102/14
129/9 157/25 159/3	self [12] 43/18 44/2	136/23 146/5 146/7	72/7 112/6	102/21 107/15 108/24
scribe [1] 167/2	44/8 75/14 75/17	149/10 151/19 157/9	sight [1] 141/15	113/11 118/3 125/6
scroll [1] 147/9	75/23 76/4 76/8 77/14		signed [1] 79/11	134/15 135/2 140/10
searches [1] 84/12	119/23 120/19 160/24		significance [1]	147/21 149/6 154/23
second [16] 1/25	self-assessment [10] 44/8 75/14 75/17	session [3] 53/2 67/15 151/5	132/3 significant [5] 68/25	155/16 159/16 162/24 163/15 165/8 171/21
12/3 12/6 14/5 40/1	75/23 76/4 76/8 77/14		77/20 90/17 94/10	173/5 173/19
41/5 47/10 66/1 70/20	119/23 120/19 160/24		132/5	somebody [5] 150/22
71/12 75/12 122/17	send [1] 63/9	set [4] 34/22 39/3	signs [1] 173/17	153/21 173/18 173/22
123/5 138/12 138/13	senior [10] 1/14 2/1	52/14 70/11	similar [5] 34/25	173/25
				(05)

(65) same - somebody

S	30/21 30/24 31/4	19/19 64/7 64/18	87/11 91/22	suggests [2] 37/18
somebody's [1]	36/22 45/20 51/17	68/16 69/1 70/8 70/12	stillbirths [1] 39/2	173/3
173/16	52/14 57/2 67/1 67/2	70/12 70/16 70/18	stood [1] 108/24	suicides [1] 57/18
someone [1] 75/15	80/20 81/19 81/23	135/3	strain [1] 168/6	suitable [1] 142/15
something [39] 1/7	82/2 83/2 83/15 84/3	stage [1] 61/20	Strategic [8] 7/23	summarise [3] 63/8
22/13 27/23 75/21	86/3 86/24 87/20 88/2		25/15 26/4 97/21	70/10 106/14
77/20 91/8 94/5 95/2	88/11 89/11 89/21 107/4 107/5 107/20	standard [4] 29/9 65/1 103/14 151/2	98/16 127/2 127/6 128/2	summary [9] 45/23 51/23 52/8 85/16
95/6 95/19 95/22	110/19 111/8 111/11	standardise [1]	strict [1] 144/10	87/24 106/14 120/23
96/19 122/18 123/14	112/6 112/9 112/20	161/17	strike [1] 30/17	157/23 173/12
125/3 126/3 126/12	113/12 113/17 113/21		strikes [2] 94/9 95/21	
141/24 151/9 152/19 153/6 153/20 154/8		standpoint [1] 107/8	striking [1] 94/17	172/10
155/14 156/4 157/12	114/3 118/18 124/20	standstill [1] 168/9	struck [1] 31/8	support [14] 5/8 5/19
158/9 159/9 160/10	125/3 125/5 133/6	start [7] 2/24 109/24	sub [1] 4/5	6/1 8/23 20/9 20/17
162/11 163/1 164/5	137/19 139/6 143/14	137/19 141/21 145/5	sub teams [1] 4/5	45/12 67/19 68/18
173/1 173/17 174/8	143/15 143/15 173/8	158/21 173/16	subheading [1]	81/3 107/7 107/10
174/9 174/10 175/2	175/4	started [4] 13/22	16/23	143/17 144/13
175/3	speciality [1] 16/12	160/21 165/24 165/25		supported [4] 131/10 134/21 134/23 143/16
something's [1]	specialty [1] 23/15 specific [16] 10/20	starts [3] 19/23 38/24 39/6	Subhedar [1] 38/1	supporting [1] 139/3
172/20	10/21 12/12 33/15	state [7] 12/17 23/1	subject [2] 102/11	supportive [1]
sometimes [3] 63/13	33/15 35/12 39/22	40/2 54/14 110/23	135/20	170/24
77/2 132/10	85/24 101/18 117/4	136/9 148/19	submitted [2] 37/11	suppose [2] 15/16
soon [1] 77/24	117/21 118/9 119/1	stated [1] 175/9	82/19	143/14
<b>sorry [45]</b> 14/13 18/8 18/17 18/25 20/7	124/17 130/24 131/1	statement [69] 3/1	Subparagraph [1]	sure [23] 19/15 53/11
40/13 46/9 46/21	specifically [13] 8/11	3/4 3/8 11/12 11/15	65/20	53/20 62/12 76/10
49/16 53/1 56/1 56/9	10/13 10/13 17/24	11/23 12/16 21/7	subsequent [5]	88/16 91/6 91/7
59/13 69/22 72/12	54/23 55/19 59/18	24/20 30/8 31/6 31/7	10/17 19/12 37/8	121/16 122/9 139/4
74/22 75/1 75/6 75/6	64/3 71/25 102/24 119/18 126/1 168/14	32/3 34/20 34/22 36/14 36/25 37/21	45/17 138/17	140/15 142/2 142/18 143/16 148/8 154/14
79/3 85/2 89/14 99/5	spike [1] 148/5	38/4 38/9 38/16 40/1	subsequently [9] 17/6 18/6 72/5 84/6	158/17 163/25 166/4
100/12 105/19 105/24	spikes [1] 40/21	54/9 54/13 57/9 59/2	84/16 131/25 136/22	171/18 171/25 174/11
110/6 112/1 112/13	split [1] 117/17	59/16 59/21 63/6	137/12 168/22	surgery [2] 168/11
113/5 118/25 120/17 121/15 122/19 122/21	spoke [6] 62/13	65/16 79/10 79/14	substantial [1] 29/12	168/13
122/22 123/19 123/24	104/22 104/23 144/20	79/17 80/13 82/13	such [24] 1/20 1/20	surgical [1] 13/25
124/4 125/20 129/8	168/22 169/24	83/1 84/9 88/10 92/20		surprise [3] 142/14
132/19 154/11 158/2	spoken [2] 69/9	93/21 94/6 99/16	59/6 63/16 65/4 80/18	
174/20	125/23	104/25 105/1 105/21	82/5 84/5 84/6 86/7	surprising [2] 141/8
sort [14] 65/2 66/11	spreadsheet [10] 8/14 28/5 28/8 32/6	110/1 110/4 110/7 110/23 114/15 114/20	88/14 91/8 97/5	148/19
67/6 75/17 76/10	50/1 50/2 50/6 50/7	124/8 124/15 125/10	131/4 132/7 139/19	survey [4] 86/19 86/19 86/20 87/25
145/4 152/15 155/1	92/21 124/3	127/14 131/19 132/18		suspect [1] 140/10
162/12 168/17 171/21	spreadsheets [4]	136/14 136/16 137/25		suspicion [1] 169/7
173/19 174/3 174/15	25/22 26/3 98/2	141/8 141/13 141/14		suspicions [3] 72/24
sorts [2] 49/5 108/6 sounds [2] 10/25	127/12	159/5 160/5 169/3	96/18	91/4 164/19
156/18	staff [49] 3/11 35/5	169/20 170/9 172/6	suddenly [2] 41/25	sworn [8] 2/21 79/5
sources [2] 8/21	35/21 36/3 36/4 36/9	statements [1] 62/11	92/5	109/20 136/4 177/3
73/10	48/23 53/18 53/20	states [2] 34/16	SUDIC [1] 97/7	177/7 177/11 177/14
SPAs [1] 53/20	54/11 60/9 60/12	126/22	Sue [1] 166/18	system [30] 7/12 7/12 7/16 7/21 7/23
speak [6] 67/11	62/23 63/22 66/4 67/11 68/18 70/17	stating [1] 38/25 statistics [3] 91/23	Sue Hodkinson [1] 166/18	7/24 8/1 8/5 25/15
68/20 95/11 142/25	72/25 73/1 86/22	92/4 163/16	sufficiency [1] 12/19	25/15 26/5 26/16
151/19 151/22	87/25 91/3 102/11	stayed [1] 171/19	sufficient [1] 153/20	26/18 27/12 31/10
speaking [2] 64/16	123/8 123/10 123/16	STEIS [16] 46/14	sufficiently [2] 154/9	35/1 35/2 46/17 52/10
64/16 special [14] 5/3 9/24	131/9 133/14 133/17	49/4 49/19 49/19	158/15	94/12 97/20 97/21
23/6 24/11 53/5 53/6	134/12 134/17 134/20		suggest [6] 31/23	98/16 102/16 127/1
53/10 62/12 62/19	134/22 134/25 135/2	50/13 55/20 55/24	32/25 40/24 66/19	127/2 127/6 127/9
67/8 137/22 144/16	146/1 150/16 150/19	56/3 56/6 71/16 77/21		128/2 128/3
150/2 155/3	151/10 151/13 158/22	78/12	suggested [3]	systems [12] 7/10
specialist [65] 4/19	164/20 168/19 169/8 169/18 169/20 170/4	step [3] 6/5 108/15 174/17	101/22 164/9 174/23	25/14 25/16 25/20 25/23 26/21 49/22
4/24 5/6 9/25 10/7	171/1	steps [1] 154/5	suggesting [2] 49/21 91/12	97/17 97/23 98/3
10/9 10/18 11/4 11/4	staffed [1] 135/5	Steve [1] 147/9	suggestion [2] 32/16	
11/8 23/25 24/2 24/16	staffing [12] 19/18	still [4] 52/6 74/16	32/17	
L				

(66) somebody's - table

Т	163/20 168/9 169/2	83/12 119/25 121/9	65/25 78/9 91/19	think [120] 10/8
	175/13	123/9 134/21 134/21	117/20 117/25 119/11	11/25 12/11 12/12
tabs [1] 9/14 take [29] 6/5 6/21	test [5] 34/14 35/1	143/18 155/10 158/22	122/5 125/12 132/3	14/1 15/12 15/21 19/3
15/4 19/1 21/4 38/21	35/2 36/1 95/3	163/20 167/3	132/5 132/8 132/11	20/7 21/18 22/17 24/6
43/16 45/7 46/20	tested [7] 35/3 35/11	them [39] 4/22 16/2	167/14	24/9 30/23 31/1 38/10
50/22 52/12 52/17	35/12 35/14 35/15			38/13 43/22 53/3
55/5 59/1 66/15 72/3	71/2 133/22	39/11 40/7 48/25	5/23 10/1 11/8 14/11	53/17 56/22 58/25
85/8 86/10 87/13 96/5	testing [1] 133/19	63/15 63/15 64/16	14/14 14/15 16/13	60/25 61/12 61/16
101/2 107/17 122/13	text [2] 58/1 70/5 than [23] 18/24 21/17	70/18 81/8 92/14 102/8 102/19 102/21	16/16 16/17 16/25 17/4 17/5 18/16 24/3	62/7 63/7 63/20 64/1 64/6 65/25 72/2 73/2
130/2 136/2 154/5	23/22 36/21 39/7	107/12 124/12 127/15		73/2 73/9 73/11 73/12
158/24 163/14 166/5	39/19 57/7 59/11 65/4	131/25 133/23 134/2	27/11 29/21 30/2 30/3	75/15 77/7 77/10
taken [15] 5/15 39/23	72/6 77/16 77/20	138/5 142/25 144/21	30/14 34/3 34/18 35/9	77/12 77/13 80/17
40/8 55/17 59/21 62/3	113/1 114/12 123/9	149/13 150/3 150/6	35/21 35/21 36/12	82/7 84/17 84/18 87/2
69/7 87/23 95/15 107/14 132/15 147/17	123/10 123/12 134/5	151/17 152/3 152/7	40/11 40/17 40/24	89/9 89/23 89/23
150/11 153/16 170/3	143/7 143/20 155/7	155/8 156/1 156/2	41/1 41/2 43/21 43/22	92/17 94/25 98/12
takes [1] 51/24	173/11 174/9	158/22 159/18 167/18		101/21 104/5 108/20
taking [5] 5/15 34/1	thank [50] 2/12 16/4	thematic [21] 37/6	50/5 51/19 56/14 58/4	108/25 110/21 113/1
67/14 67/20 167/10	16/5 21/4 38/22 50/21	37/25 39/6 39/16 41/5		119/7 123/1 128/12
talk [1] 154/20	51/3 52/12 56/10 58/6	61/8 61/19 62/2 62/9	61/23 62/24 64/10	128/16 129/12 130/20
talked [6] 24/8 35/4	59/2 66/15 73/15	92/10 131/20 132/25	64/11 64/11 64/23	132/5 137/15 138/11
143/9 144/15 151/4	73/17 73/18 75/11 76/16 78/20 78/22	133/2 146/18 146/24 153/3 153/13 153/17	65/11 65/25 66/5 66/12 66/13 67/1 67/1	138/13 138/18 140/5 140/5 140/24 141/1
152/3	78/23 78/25 104/11	164/23 165/2 165/3	67/7 67/16 68/21	140/3 140/24 141/1
talking [2] 39/14	106/16 106/18 106/22		68/22 69/5 69/6 74/1	149/5 150/22 150/24
158/21	107/4 107/13 108/4	147/1 153/5 154/23	74/3 74/3 74/4 74/13	151/2 152/4 153/9
team [28] 4/9 4/12	109/2 109/3 109/4	165/9	74/16 75/9 75/10	155/3 155/5 156/12
4/19 5/13 23/15 38/12	109/7 109/8 109/10	themes [4] 41/20	75/14 77/7 77/8 77/10	158/20 159/1 159/8
56/23 61/10 62/6 62/16 67/5 74/7 92/18	109/21 123/4 123/13	58/23 104/15 150/12	77/14 77/21 78/18	159/19 160/16 161/12
99/6 99/7 99/11 100/8	123/24 124/1 135/20	themselves [13]	79/15 81/4 81/7 82/7	161/14 161/25 162/3
116/7 128/21 128/21	135/22 135/24 136/1	16/25 17/4 34/2 39/21	82/7 82/8 82/10 84/7	162/3 162/10 163/11
139/5 142/24 144/21	136/6 158/24 175/24	43/22 45/6 61/1 61/4	88/24 89/3 94/25	164/5 164/13 165/11
147/15 159/16 160/17	176/3 176/4 176/6	76/14 76/14 77/15	95/14 95/15 101/14	165/13 167/14 168/22
165/11 166/1	176/11	90/21 162/19		169/14 170/2 170/22 171/17 171/23 172/24
teams [2] 4/5 51/16	that [1012] that's [69] 6/14 8/1	then [52] 3/11 5/11 5/14 9/1 9/15 11/3	102/14 102/14 102/17 102/19 103/12 107/10	
teenage [1] 57/18	13/22 20/18 21/20	18/20 28/20 28/25	107/25 108/8 115/24	173/13 174/15 175/8
telephone [1] 53/10	22/10 24/10 35/10	31/23 38/2 44/13	115/25 115/25 123/8	175/15 175/20 175/21
tell [21] 35/9 35/12	46/14 53/18 58/9	49/18 50/3 50/4 53/20	123/8 123/11 123/12	175/23
40/15 40/17 58/2	62/13 64/6 79/21	56/5 58/7 58/13 67/12	125/13 126/24 131/4	thinking [5] 121/11
59/13 64/2 64/23 75/9 102/19 103/16 106/6	80/22 81/25 82/15	73/2 73/3 74/13 74/13	131/10 131/10 132/4	131/1 147/10 155/21
139/1 151/7 152/12	83/8 86/24 89/5 91/7	76/23 84/3 86/12	132/6 132/8 133/24	161/15
155/17 155/25 156/12	96/7 96/24 99/15	86/22 88/18 88/21	134/6 134/20 134/21	third [7] 2/3 26/2 28/3
168/1 175/16 175/21	101/2 101/16 101/21	89/3 90/4 92/1 95/13	134/22 134/23 143/15	I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I
telling [1] 141/25	102/4 102/22 103/14	105/9 105/14 111/17		I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I
tells [1] 118/12	104/2 105/3 105/7 105/11 108/25 111/3	117/8 118/3 122/18 124/21 135/14 141/9	144/13 144/13 144/16 148/6 150/5 151/14	28/3 92/24
template [2] 87/6	116/25 127/7 131/6	147/20 150/13 154/2	151/15 151/15 154/3	THIRLWALL [2]
172/9	135/14 138/6 138/10	155/10 155/12 155/14		
templates [6] 5/14	139/9 139/14 140/24	162/21 166/17 173/9	157/24 158/22 162/3	this [228]
5/15 67/14 67/20 81/9 87/3	143/2 143/23 148/11	there [228]	162/18 163/8 169/11	thorough [6] 139/8
tend [1] 155/8	149/19 149/21 150/15		169/24 170/13 173/9	139/23 140/3 140/11
tended [2] 14/17	152/11 155/1 155/12	46/16 54/25 62/9	173/24 173/24 174/14	
53/17	155/21 156/25 157/3	71/25 86/16 90/10	175/10 175/11	thoroughness [2]
tension [1] 141/12	159/12 162/2 162/14	97/19 115/9 117/20	They've [1] 154/20	141/10 142/13
term [2] 40/6 70/21	162/14 168/18 169/19		thing [4] 75/18	those [98] 1/18 7/8
termed [1] 157/3	171/12 173/18 174/15 175/5 175/20 175/23	153/24 154/23 155/13 155/18 168/17	119/17 147/24 174/15 things [18] 6/11 22/7	9/14 11/4 12/4 18/7 18/9 19/8 20/11 25/19
terminology [3]	their [33] 4/24 5/21	therefore [1] 130/6	35/6 65/11 101/17	25/22 26/3 26/21 27/3
173/15 173/18 173/21	6/1 6/3 30/14 43/22	these [29] 11/20 14/9		29/17 30/2 37/20 38/5
terms [15] 1/10 3/14	48/23 62/25 67/2 67/2	14/15 15/5 15/6 15/17	106/10 117/23 140/15	38/16 38/18 39/10
37/13 39/22 81/6	67/9 67/13 68/21 71/5	15/23 20/10 37/11	154/20 155/6 162/13	39/13 39/14 43/12
86/23 88/2 95/20 135/9 145/13 150/24	74/11 75/4 75/4 75/5	39/4 40/4 43/4 56/14	168/8 169/2 169/10	44/14 49/5 49/6 49/22
	76/4 76/8 77/16 81/9	58/21 58/24 62/8	174/24	49/24 50/3 54/8 55/5
L			1	

(67) tabs - those

Т	time [46] 1/12 3/17	112/22 112/23 112/24	tutor [1] 80/1	undoubtedly [1]
	13/9 13/10 16/7 17/14		two [39] 4/19 6/6	173/14
those [66] 55/18	18/12 19/11 23/2 23/5	134/6 141/24 142/20	7/10 16/13 25/13	unexpected [46]
55/21 56/5 59/10 60/8	36/19 38/6 48/9 48/13		25/22 37/18 39/14	24/21 24/23 25/7 32/9
63/12 73/8 78/20 84/4	49/4 49/7 49/10 58/8	transcribing [1]	40/12 40/13 44/7 49/6	32/13 33/4 33/12
91/3 91/12 94/17	64/12 77/19 86/1	167/6	49/16 51/24 57/2	34/12 34/24 42/3
95/12 95/17 97/14	96/22 103/25 105/16	transcripts [3] 149/7	57/15 57/16 58/14	54/19 54/21 54/23
97/23 98/3 98/10	107/18 113/9 114/21	149/18 170/8	58/17 73/19 78/13	55/12 55/13 60/5 63/5
100/1 100/5 100/24	123/7 124/6 125/11	translated [1] 57/9	80/4 81/17 97/17 98/3	90/24 91/3 91/17
111/23 114/23 121/23		transparent [2] 40/10		91/18 91/21 91/24
122/1 122/8 126/10	138/12 138/13 140/12		120/4 122/8 127/13	92/6 96/9 96/18 98/17
127/2 127/13 130/1		transpires [1] 140/1	128/20 128/20 138/5	99/24 100/4 100/15
131/8 131/11 131/23	144/1 157/21 167/15	treatment [1] 30/16	141/22 148/2 159/17	102/25 112/16 130/9
132/24 135/21 138/4	168/15 168/25 172/18		170/15 176/8	145/23 146/1 146/11
139/16 140/7 141/5	173/24	tried [1] 163/2	two months [1]	146/12 146/19 146/21
143/8 145/2 145/7	timeframe [1] 29/7	triggers [2] 100/21	16/13	147/1 149/15 150/23
149/12 149/15 149/17	timeframes [1] 18/9	101/16	two years [1] 80/4	153/2 169/5 171/24
149/20 149/20 150/18	times [4] 58/8 73/22	trouble [1] 158/3	type [1] 36/6	171/24
150/24 152/12 152/21	76/18 135/4	troubled [1] 44/19	typical [1] 86/2	unexpectedly [1]
152/22 153/4 159/16	timing [1] 1/10	true [5] 3/4 79/15		41/25
159/17 159/21 163/6	title [1] 119/22	110/4 110/7 136/16	U	unexplained [26]
163/7 164/24 166/25	titled [7] 28/5 43/18	Trust [66] 6/14 6/15	ulcers [1] 86/17	24/21 24/23 25/8 42/4
167/2 169/10 169/12	46/22 46/25 47/11	7/15 7/17 8/16 8/24	ultimately [3] 68/5	54/18 54/20 54/23
169/15 170/7 175/25	115/16 124/3	9/3 9/9 10/16 11/17	142/1 165/9	55/11 55/14 60/5 63/5
though [3] 61/21	today [6] 1/17 4/23	12/1 12/19 12/25	unable [1] 125/12	90/25 91/4 91/17
68/22 171/15	31/6 128/24 159/2	13/17 16/25 17/2 17/4		91/19 91/21 91/24
thought [10] 2/9 45/3	176/8	18/12 18/12 18/14	111/18	92/6 99/24 100/4
144/22 156/5 159/10	together [9] 45/11	18/15 22/5 22/11	unanswered [1]	100/15 102/25 130/9
161/6 162/18 162/18	66/14 67/5 67/8 74/4	22/17 22/19 23/6 23/9	153/19	148/5 149/15 169/5
172/20 173/23	139/13 141/14 146/25	24/16 25/10 25/25	unaware [3] 43/12	Unfortunately [1]
thread [1] 153/14	160/5	26/4 28/4 34/2 37/2	50/13 50/16	163/6
threads [1] 153/25	told [20] 31/12 58/3	37/9 38/11 38/14	unclear [1] 96/23	unit [53] 4/13 19/20
three [26] 33/25	59/23 60/1 60/4 60/7	39/21 45/3 46/12 61/1	under [14] 5/6 16/8	19/20 23/4 23/11 24/1
34/17 35/8 42/5 44/7	70/17 106/12 134/19	72/6 72/22 76/13	36/25 41/20 47/15	24/13 25/8 29/24
53/14 53/18 53/19	144/21 148/4 148/24	77/19 78/5 78/6 82/23	48/6 49/13 70/6 70/20	32/10 37/4 39/8 53/23
57/1 67/10 71/2 110/24 111/16 111/20	161/25 162/18 163/23	87/18 92/22 98/2	70/21 71/11 72/19	54/1 57/13 58/19
111/23 112/2 112/4	165/19 166/22 167/20	115/23 119/12 119/24	154/10 168/6	60/24 61/6 66/12
112/17 120/3 135/14	171/9 174/10	122/7 127/13 127/21	underneath [1] 47/19	68/18 69/1 70/13
135/16 149/17 161/20	tomorrow [5] 1/14	133/25 137/4 137/7	understand [23] 1/11	70/14 71/24 73/7 88/8
171/10 171/19 173/19	2/9 143/24 176/10	137/13 142/24 160/13	11/19 18/18 28/4	90/14 92/6 92/15
	176/13	162/5 162/8 162/25	48/23 51/10 52/21	93/23 96/10 100/10
three days [9] 53/14 53/19 111/16 112/2	Tony [1] 166/19	Trust's [2] 15/20	58/7 61/16 71/1 84/1	100/12 100/14 100/17
112/17 135/14 171/10	Tony Chambers [1]	65/13	84/8 84/12 84/24	101/14 103/1 103/11
171/19 173/19	166/19	Trust-wide [1]	89/16 106/5 126/6	103/21 111/6 123/22
Three days' [1] 112/4	too [1] 155/7	119/12	131/13 141/12 146/19	
three-day [1] 135/16	took [4] 51/5 59/4	Trusts [10] 6/25 8/2	154/25 162/17 163/18	
threshold [1] 154/7	99/5 127/17	8/12 23/21 40/10	understanding [16]	149/9 159/13 164/14
through [31] 8/13	tools [1] 127/3	77/12 77/15 77/19	11/6 15/13 26/23 29/3	
9/17 10/16 12/11	top [1] 147/19	78/11 127/3	30/6 30/9 58/16 62/19	
15/25 19/9 25/4 36/3	topic [2] 55/13	try [7] 58/7 84/8 87/8	74/3 76/13 76/20	University [1] 80/1
53/22 53/25 67/14	100/16	121/7 165/16 168/16	88/16 106/25 111/9	Unless [1] 124/17
87/11 91/12 92/1	topics [1] 100/5	172/25	143/7 162/9	unnecessary [1] 82/3
102/16 107/15 107/17	total [2] 28/11 54/10	trying [11] 12/11	understands [1] 1/22	unplanned [3] 41/11
119/11 139/9 145/2	Townsend [1] 56/20	40/22 53/17 69/10	understood [4] 73/25	
149/7 149/13 149/20	track [3] 48/7 58/5	89/20 146/18 154/25	81/1 140/19 164/3	unsure [1] 10/22
150/19 153/17 156/5	97/18	162/17 168/10 168/11	undertake [2] 10/4	until [11] 3/20 19/23
165/5 168/9 170/8	tracks [2] 25/19	170/8	137/22	19/24 20/23 53/21
173/16 173/23	26/21	turn [10] 2/14 6/4	undertaken [6] 37/7	109/11 116/18 155/7
throughout [2] 70/17	trained [3] 3/14	13/14 14/3 21/7 31/17	38/16 146/18 146/20	160/4 176/12 176/15
151/12	110/15 174/10	82/11 114/13 118/18	150/15 165/16	unusual [1] 131/6
Thursday [1] 1/1	trainees [1] 101/12	156/2	undertaking [2] 3/24	up [45] 5/22 12/9
tie [1] 154/6	training [18] 3/10	turning [6] 53/7 56/7	18/14	12/13 14/7 15/25
tighter [1] 175/14	3/12 64/20 82/1 82/4	56/10 98/21 99/16	undertook [3] 3/10	17/14 17/17 20/12
	82/5 82/6 82/9 112/19	156/6	81/16 111/5	36/13 38/23 39/3 41/6
L				(69) these up

(68) those... - up

U	63/22 64/14 73/12	wanted [7] 44/25	157/13 172/7	24/20 25/5 30/14 31/6
	73/14 78/7 78/13	64/23 76/10 82/11	were [195]	31/18 31/21 31/23
up [33] 50/4 51/9	78/22 78/23 85/24	124/24 128/16 144/21	weren't [20] 12/8	43/9 50/11 59/3 59/10
51/24 52/1 53/7 68/5	85/25 107/6 109/2	wants [1] 174/25	31/24 55/21 60/1 60/4	63/7 70/5 87/3 95/12
74/1 77/22 78/6 81/9 84/21 98/14 101/8	109/3 109/4 109/7	ward [7] 123/16	60/7 64/10 66/18 88/6	99/9 102/15 105/12
101/13 101/17 101/20	109/8 109/21 125/19	123/17 123/18 123/20	98/22 100/5 101/23	114/7 117/11 119/11
103/8 112/7 118/18	129/21 135/24 141/11	125/9 126/2 135/4	103/2 104/14 130/11	120/6 122/5 130/13
121/4 123/1 132/15	142/9 159/20 162/6	wards [1] 123/23	130/13 156/23 159/25	131/9 133/17 135/4
147/4 147/13 150/25	162/11 163/5 168/17	warrant [1] 34/25	169/3 172/11	139/13 141/25 142/15
151/1 154/8 157/25	171/22 175/9 175/24	was [361]	what [151] 5/19 6/20	158/17 158/21 158/21
165/14 166/4 171/17	176/4 176/11 176/11	wasn't [33] 9/16	10/6 10/11 10/12	162/19 163/11 170/2
172/1 174/9	view [5] 69/8 103/6	30/13 59/18 60/22	11/21 12/11 13/8	172/25 173/4 173/15
upcoming [1] 120/10	132/2 141/3 154/25	60/23 61/21 62/15	19/13 19/16 20/18	where [34] 5/3 6/14
update [2] 42/8 51/7	views [1] 67/2	68/6 76/10 82/4 93/12 93/14 100/19 100/25	20/22 21/20 22/11 23/25 24/1 24/13	7/15 19/3 19/22 24/10
updated [4] 42/13	visibility [1] 169/25 visible [1] 170/23	101/3 102/6 112/9	24/18 26/10 29/3	35/21 36/6 36/12 43/21 44/15 64/6 87/6
46/4 52/4 85/22	visit [32] 4/15 5/4	127/23 130/17 130/19		89/5 89/9 91/13
upon [2] 148/23	5/22 6/2 6/14 8/25	149/12 149/19 149/23		101/16 112/22 118/6
149/4	15/1 18/6 19/12 19/23			118/7 126/21 127/18
us [28] 24/7 57/11	19/25 41/11 42/20	164/2 170/1 170/7	36/15 37/1 39/23	132/8 141/20 144/20
58/2 58/3 64/2 64/23	42/21 43/1 51/13	171/15 172/9 172/13	40/15 42/14 42/21	150/8 151/16 152/12
64/23 65/5 70/17 82/6	53/13 53/13 59/22	174/22	43/22 44/8 45/10	153/25 153/25 159/19
82/8 82/10 102/19 106/5 122/23 125/25	66/25 67/11 82/13	way [17] 4/4 65/2	47/16 48/7 48/9 51/5	160/24 172/24 174/3
	98/21 111/16 112/7	72/19 74/9 100/22	53/19 58/2 59/13	whereas [1] 81/22
138/11 139/1 141/11 148/25 154/4 156/12	112/8 112/16 118/4	101/21 108/10 140/25	61/17 67/9 67/9 67/19	whether [37] 10/16
166/22 167/20 168/1	147/12 147/13 171/22	144/15 149/6 151/1	73/7 74/19 74/23 75/4	10/20 14/19 31/25
171/9 175/16 175/22	172/2	155/21 157/21 158/22		33/12 34/6 34/8 35/22
use [7] 40/5 117/11	visited [2] 112/2	160/18 160/20 163/19		50/8 53/12 65/13 68/8
151/14 167/18 173/10	168/3	ways [3] 6/6 8/10	82/7 82/8 82/25 84/8	68/14 68/23 75/19
173/15 175/21	Visitor [1] 3/15	90/10	89/18 90/18 94/20	75/19 89/11 89/17
used [8] 7/12 45/17	visits [7] 3/24 5/20	we [275]	95/14 97/1 97/6	89/19 89/21 94/15
63/21 63/24 94/13	5/21 41/10 111/17	we'd [3] 151/8	100/20 102/23 105/8	96/2 97/1 97/7 108/13
139/6 143/17 143/19	111/18 111/21	168/24 168/24	105/18 105/20 105/23	126/7 126/19 131/2
useful [2] 8/16 90/23	voice [1] 170/16 voiced [1] 134/23	We've [1] 155/9	106/6 106/6 107/5 111/13 111/14 111/24	132/4 154/25 155/20 155/21 157/4 157/20
using [4] 81/9 87/7	volition [1] 62/25	wealth [1] 87/21 week [12] 14/18	112/6 113/10 113/14	157/21 163/1 173/17
145/9 150/24	volunteer [5] 62/24	14/21 15/3 15/4 15/13		
usual [10] 23/3 23/10	63/15 102/11 102/20		115/11 115/24 115/25	
24/1 24/17 53/9 53/11	174/13	53/17 105/6 146/23	116/11 118/21 120/13	
90/18 118/17 118/24	volunteered [7]	week's [1] 147/12	120/23 121/5 121/16	11/19 17/23 18/7 18/9
118/25	61/20 62/5 102/6	weeks [2] 12/4 19/4	122/5 122/9 123/6	19/3 28/20 33/6 34/18
usually [5] 20/2 106/14 106/14 139/18	130/17 149/23 149/24		124/14 125/17 125/19	35/9 35/12 37/22 41/8
152/11	150/1	144/25	125/19 128/8 128/12	44/1 44/16 44/21
	w	well [53] 2/16 9/12	128/15 129/7 129/10	45/19 47/13 52/13
V		18/23 20/4 22/4 22/16		52/14 57/6 59/16 62/7
vaguely [2] 163/17	wait [3] 78/11 78/11	32/16 33/2 34/20	131/10 131/11 131/15	
164/2	155/7	35/23 40/13 43/5 44/4		83/20 84/2 84/3 85/3
valuable [2] 162/14	waiting [1] 77/20 walk [3] 53/22 53/25	44/11 46/13 51/19	139/1 144/13 144/18	85/3 87/14 87/23
165/12	<b>waik [3]</b> 53/22 53/25 54/1	53/16 61/17 63/8	145/13 147/15 148/25 151/14 152/9 152/12	87/24 87/25 90/9
value [2] 162/1	want [42] 7/10 8/7	66/13 70/2 71/15 85/1 85/19 88/17 90/14	151/14 152/9 152/12 152/13 152/20 152/21	90/10 91/10 94/2 94/13 97/17 97/20
162/21	12/22 13/11 13/12	91/9 102/22 117/18	152/22 153/23 154/1	103/5 103/21 106/8
variety [1] 79/23	17/16 20/12 22/24	120/8 125/14 142/23	154/4 155/8 155/10	106/9 110/25 111/18
varying [1] 148/6	30/7 33/10 40/20	143/12 144/17 149/4	160/18 161/7 162/2	115/1 116/20 117/3
venue [1] 83/4	48/11 48/12 48/19	150/5 151/1 154/10	162/3 162/18 162/21	117/10 117/21 118/4
verbal [4] 67/15 67/19 105/13 105/14	51/8 65/5 65/24 70/10		163/18 163/24 164/3	118/5 118/12 118/14
version [12] 38/2	75/18 77/14 80/25	157/1 158/7 158/17	167/15 168/1 168/4	119/14 121/13 122/8
39/15 41/5 41/9 41/22	84/15 89/3 95/3 95/22	161/6 161/23 162/2	what's [8] 17/17	123/18 123/20 126/3
42/7 42/13 46/5 85/20	96/15 96/25 97/5	162/10 163/8 167/4	74/24 77/23 86/7	126/7 126/9 126/20
85/22 86/8 115/15	108/15 115/10 125/25		95/25 129/17 162/1	131/21 132/19 135/1
versions [1] 46/2	126/23 151/6 153/23	well-led [3] 9/12	172/3	139/16 146/24 147/17
very [39] 2/12 2/16	156/2 158/25 159/17	120/8 158/7	whatever [1] 165/20	148/12 149/2 154/22
5/4 34/13 44/2 50/21	159/21 173/22 174/13		when [44] 5/17 18/2	157/15 160/21 160/21
	175/14 175/16	54/3 91/12 119/6	23/12 23/24 24/8	164/19 164/23 167/9
				(69) up - which

(69) up... - which

W	155/22 157/4 158/23	172/20 173/1
which [1] 175/15	160/14 162/22	wrote [1] 4/16
which was [1] 94/13	without [5] 12/21 34/12 81/16 102/12	WTE [3] 122/18 123/5 123/7
while [6] 9/23 13/5 13/11 16/13 18/21	150/22	
66/9	witness [16] 1/14	Y
whilst [2] 32/21 46/2	2/17 57/9 73/16 79/1 79/10 79/14 104/4	year [11] 57/14 57/14 57/14 57/15 58/8 58/9 58/15
whistleblowing [1]	110/1 110/7 132/18	58/17 129/6 129/6
151/14   <b>who [34]</b> 1/15 1/18	136/13 136/16 137/25	
2/10 4/15 25/2 51/15	169/3 172/6	years [9] 37/5 80/3 80/4 112/25 113/3
62/23 74/1 77/19 78/6	witnesses [4] 2/10 2/15 161/20 176/9	113/7 128/6 129/13
81/23 89/10 89/10 89/16 89/16 89/20	Women [2] 85/4	129/22
95/12 105/9 112/22	136/23	yellow [2] 28/21 93/7
113/21 130/18 140/3	Women's [2] 37/9 80/5	yes [387] yet [6] 32/17 150/12
140/12 140/21 142/24 143/14 143/19 147/14	won't [1] 1/7//	151/4 153/14 156/1
157/17 167/2 167/2	wonder [1] 168/23	175/1
167/5 168/6 173/7	wondered [1] 31/9 word [3] 145/9	you [1046] you're [2] 63/23
who's [4] 139/4 173/18 173/22 173/25	175/20 175/22	174/11
whoever [2] 64/15	words [2] 139/1	you've [2] 110/12
64/22	149/14 work [9] 4/4 89/20	153/10 young [28] 4/10 4/16
whole [6] 61/22 67/4 67/5 87/11 123/7	114/1 115/10 136/22	14/8 23/6 23/25 29/7
143/10	140/13 155/13 158/23	
whom [2] 49/3 78/18	165/15 worked [5] 3/11	47/22 51/23 66/9 68/4 69/18 83/22 92/2
whose [1] 1/19	62/21 66/13 110/12	105/2 111/19 116/25
why [21] 1/16 2/8 15/10 16/20 21/23	143/11	117/6 119/14 119/19
22/1 22/12 22/15	working [8] 11/20 66/5 66/6 66/6 75/15	120/2 149/10 159/16 160/25 170/13
23/19 32/23 33/11	139/9 168/20 173/25	your [200]
40/19 70/7 77/7 77/8 77/10 94/25 100/19	worried [1] 152/6	yours [1] 4/25
125/12 161/24 162/17	worry [2] 168/18 168/19	yourself [4] 77/13 151/18 154/15 167/4
wide [2] 9/9 119/12	worrying [3] 131/6	Yvonne [1] 53/23
wider [1] 41/2 will [46] 1/13 1/15	156/3 162/5	Z
1/18 2/8 2/14 4/22	worth [2] 111/20	<b>zoom [6]</b> 46/23 46/24
11/3 26/3 27/5 37/1 41/6 45/24 46/19	would [407]	57/24 58/7 58/8
50/22 50/22 65/17	wouldn't [28] 16/13	144/25
66/16 77/25 83/9 84/6	16/16 20/12 20/20 20/22 22/16 22/17	
85/17 86/15 89/19	23/10 33/10 34/8	
89/23 89/24 91/7 91/8 92/8 100/21 100/25	34/25 44/10 45/4 45/4	
103/16 105/9 105/14	63/13 64/3 64/17 65/7 100/13 100/15 103/17	
109/11 111/3 122/11	111/22 118/25 120/11	
134/13 136/19 140/15 143/24 148/8 157/12	124/6 133/22 152/18	
158/17 160/10 166/3	162/13 wrap [1] 171/17	
176/12	wrap-up [1] 171/17	
winter [3] 168/4 168/4 168/5	write [2] 167/4	
wish [2] 151/9	167/18 writes [1] 37/1	
151/16	writing [6] 13/6 60/21	
within [23] 1/14 4/6 9/10 29/7 32/12 36/2	105/13 135/10 167/3	
40/21 61/18 84/13	167/10 written [6] 67/16	
91/20 91/23 92/5	67/18 105/15 106/9	
118/4 122/1 128/21 133/24 136/23 141/11	135/14 149/22	
	wrong [3] 11/1	