Α. Correct 1 Monday, 4 November 2024 1 2 (10.00 am) 2 Q. In terms of your employment, what was your 3 LADY JUSTICE THIRLWALL: Good morning, everybody. role when you first started work at the hospital which 3 4 4 I believe was in 2001? Ms Brown. 5 MS BROWN: Yes, if we could call Ms Townsend, When I joined in 2001, I worked as a Health 5 6 please. 6 Record Supervisor. I then moved through the outpatients 7 LADY JUSTICE THIRLWALL: Ms Townsend, would you 7 department, became the Assistant Outpatients Manager and 8 come and sit in the chair by the table, please. then progressed to be Business Performance Manager, 8 9 MS KAREN TOWNSEND (affirmed) Acute Directorate Manager and then became the Interim 9 10 LADY JUSTICE THIRLWALL: Do sit down. 10 Divisional Director in 2015, and became substantive in Thank you. that role in I think it was May 2016 as Divisional 11 11 Questions by MS BROWN Director for Urgent Care. 12 12 13 MS BROWN: Could you please state your full name? 13 Yes. And being promoted in September 2015 to My name is Karen Townsend. Interim Divisional Director of Urgent Care, can you 14 14 You have provided a statement to the Inquiry recall when in September that was? 15 15 16 dated 21 June 2024, is that statement true to the best 16 Α. No, I can't, I'm sorry. 17 of your knowledge and belief? 17 Q. It's correct that the neonatal unit fell within your division? 18 A. It is. 18 19 I think it's correct that you did not 19 Α. At that point in time it did, yes. previously provide a written statement to the police and 20 Q. Who did you replace in the role of Divisional 20 were not interviewed by Facere Melius? 21 21 Director? 22 A. 22 Α. I replaced Lorraine Burnett who was the 23 Q. In terms of your background, that is in 23 Divisional Director at that point in time and became operational management in healthcare and you have no 24 moved to be Director of Operations. 24 25 medical qualifications? 25 Did you receive a handover from Ms Burnett 1 when you took over? 1 division reformed? 2 It was a transitional process because she was 2 Approximately, maybe about -- no, I can't 3 still available and would still be my line manager on 3 actually, I would be making a date up. 4 a one-to-one basis. There was no formal handover; it 4 I am sure someone can provide us with the 5 5 was more transitional. date 6 Did she or anyone else draw your attention to 6 If we could have up on screen, please, INQ0103833, 7 the fact that there was a concern regarding increased 7 this is at tab 3, my Lady, and this is an organisational 8 mortality on the neonatal ward in the three months prior 8 9 to starting, so between June and August? So just so we can understand the structure. We see 9 you there, your name at the top as Interim Divisional 10 A. No, not at all. 10 11 O. You have referred already to the fact that you 11 Director for Urgent Care. Reporting to you over on the were promoted from interim to the substantive post in left we have Dr Martin Sedgwick who is the Divisional 12 12 Medical Director? 13 May, so you spent several months interim and then the 13 14 substantive post. Was there any significance in that, 14 Α. Correct any difference in role? 15 Below that, reporting to him, we have 15 Q. 16 A. No, not at all. Dr Jayaram, who is one of the clinical leads, the 16 17 I think it is correct that you are still the 17 clinical lead for services. Also reporting to you next Q. Divisional Director of Urgent Care? along we see Karen Rees who is the Head of Nursing? 18 18 A. 19 A. Correct 19 I am 20 Q. But that now paediatrics and the neonatal unit 20 Then if we go along to the right-hand side of are now within the separate Women's and Children the page, we actually see your name appears there twice, 21 22 Division? 22 also as the Acute Directorate Manager which I think was 23 A. Yes, they have -- they have been separated and 23 your previous post?

24

25

Α.

Q.

Prior to being interim, did you hold both

24

25

made into their own division.

Can you say when that occurred, when was that

- 1 posts for a time or is that --
- 2 **A.** For a brief period of time I did until the
- 3 recruitment was undertaken to fulfil my role.
- 4 Q. So in November, so you had been there a few
- 5 months; that would be correct, would it?
- A. That would be correct.
- 7 Q. You were still holding both posts?
- 8 A. Yes
- 9 Q. Shortly after that, you would have
- 10 relinquished that role, can you remember?
- 11 A. I relinquished that role. Somebody else was
- 12 appointed to that role and then reported to me as the
- 13 Divisional Director.
- 14 Q. Can you give a date, approximate date, was
- 15 that before Christmas or after, for example?
- 16 **A.** That was probably the latter part of
- 17 2015/early 2016.

4

5

6

7

8

9

- 18 Q. Was that problematic, holding both roles, or
- 19 was it something you were able to --
- 20 **A.** I think the division of Urgent Care is very
- 21 busy and a very big part of the Trust. It is all the
- 22 inpatient wards, all the medical inpatient wards, it is
- 23 the A&E department and all the front door services and
- 24 in addition at that time there was the paediatrics
- 25 service. So it's very, big very complex service, yes.
 - 5
- also working with other partners, both internally andexternally.
 - **Q.** How often in practice would you actually visit the ward or units within your division, would you actually go down on to the wards and visit?
 - **A.** We would -- I would go to the wards. It was not regular, that has to be said. But I would go and speak to staff in some of the key departments but no, it wasn't a regular part of my working week.
- 10 **Q.** So approximately how often would you have 11 visited the neonatal unit, would that be once a month or 12 once every six months?
- A. No, I have probably been to the neonatal units
 about once every four to six weeks in that short period
 of time.
- 16 Q. So that is going to the neonatal unit. In
- 17 terms of meeting with divisional -- with the clinical
- 18 leads, so meeting with Dr Jayaram, obviously with
- 19 Dr Brearey, how often would you meet them on
- 20 a one-to-one basis to find out about their concerns?
- 21 A. It would have been Dr Jayaram because he was
- 22 the lead for paediatrics and probably about once every
- 23 couple of months.
- 24 Q. In terms of how you saw the role and
- 25 responsibility of Divisional Director, can you just --

- 1 Q. But did you feel able to perform your role as
- 2 Interim Divisional Director whilst holding the other
- 3 role as well?
- 4 **A.** Yes.
- 5 Q. Who did you then report to, we have seen who
- 6 reported to you, but who did you report to?
 - A. I reported to the Director of Operations.
- 8 Q. We know that eventually that became Lorraine
- 9 Bennett?

7

13

1

8

- 10 A. Lorraine Burnett, yes.
- 11 Q. Burnett, sorry, who was that initially? The
- 12 Director of Operations who were you first reporting to?
 - A. Prior to that? I can't recall.
- 14 Q. Once you were in the post of director of
- 15 Urgent Care, how did you ensure that you were aware of
- 16 the issues and concerns of staff on the ground, so to
- 17 speak, within the units that fell within it?
- 18 A. So as you can see on the organisational
- 19 structure there is a significant team that sits with --
- 20 beneath the Divisional Director, which is medical leads,
- 21 nursing leads and also operational leads, and I would
- 22 receive information from those individuals participating
- 23 in any discussions, attending speciality meetings and
- 24 through our governance forum, so our divisional
 - 25 governance committee and our divisional committee and
 - are you able to sum that up?
- 2 A. Yes. So it's my responsibility to work
- alongside the medical leads and the nursing leads to
- 4 provide oversight for all the operational aspects of the
- 5 division, for all our compliance, our finance and
- 6 support for our workforce and obviously the service
- 7 users and patients.
 - LADY JUSTICE THIRLWALL: I wonder if I might ask:
- 9 you said you work alongside them, but on the chart it
- 10 looks as though they report to you?
- 11 A. Yes. I appreciate that.
- 12 LADY JUSTICE THIRLWALL: Is that right?
- 13 A. But it's very much a triumvirate because I am
- 14 not clinically trained so I am heavily reliant on the
- 15 medical lead and also the nursing lead so we worked as
- 16 a -- we work cohesively as a team, really.
- 17 LADY JUSTICE THIRLWALL: So they don't report to
- 18 you or do they?
- 19 **A.** That indicates that they do but directly the
- 20 Divisional Medical Director reports to the Medical
- 21 Director in terms of professionalism as does the Senior
- 22 Nurse or Head of Nursing also reports to the Executive
- 23 Nurse for professionalism.
- 24 LADY JUSTICE THIRLWALL: So what do you bring, as
- 25 it were, to those people?

So operational -- all the operational aspects, A. business, workforce, finance, compliance in terms of our service delivery, in terms of our target compliance, and just the overall delivery of the division in terms of the day-to-day running of it.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

1

2

3

4

5

6

11

12

13

14

LADY JUSTICE THIRLWALL: Thank you. But so far as medical and nursing issues, that is not really your responsibility -- is it your responsibility?

No, we would work together. If something was highlighted as a senior team, so medical, nursing and operational, we would come together as a team and discuss those issues.

13 LADY JUSTICE THIRLWALL: Thank you. Thank you,

14 MS BROWN: Just turning then to the Risk Registers. 15 16 Can you explain the difference between the different 17 Risk Registers, there were Neonatal Unit Risk Registers, Divisional Risk Registers and Executive Risk Registers. 18 19 Can you just set out how they interacted?

20 Yes. So locally all areas and departments 21 have the opportunity to add a risk at any point in time. 22 Those risks, if there are lower risks, can be held 23 locally in, so in essence it's up to the team or the unit to be able to manage that risk. Any significant 24 25 risks go on are reviewed by the division and received by

level so that was where it would require something outside of the divisional sphere of responsibility.

What should be recorded on a Risk Register?

A. Anybody can enter a risk that they deem is appropriate in terms of any aspect of estate, operational, workforce or patient safety issues.

7 You say "anyone". That was going to be my 8 next question: what are the routes by which someone 9 would put -- something would be put on to a Risk Register? You say "anyone"? 10

Yes, so usually it could be a ward manager, an operational manager, it could be a clinician, it could be a senior manager, so anyone who identifies a risk at whatever level. So local, divisional or otherwise. Anyone can enter a risk on the Risk Register.

15 16 You refer in your statement to the Urgent Care 17 Governance and Risk Lead. Who was that in 2015/2016?

18 That at the time I believe was -- my recollection is it may have been Nicola Brown but that 19 20 is only -- that is a vague recollection.

21 You say it is in paragraph 13 if you want to 22 look at it, you say that in relation to all risks 23 documented you would review the recorded risks, look at 24 all documented risks across the Urgent Care team. What did that review involve, what were you reviewing for, 25

11

the division and we have some oversight and input into 1 2 what those risks are.

3 Just stopping you there. So when you say 4 "come to the division" that meant come to you, did it?

Yes, so they -- so they come through to the 5 6 division so that would be myself, the Divisional Medical 7 Director and the Head of Nursing that would review those risks and we would provide the input depending what that 8 9 risk was

10 Then from you up to the Executive Risk Q. 11 Register, how did that operate?

would be held by the Executive team.

12 Yes, so at the time, anything that was graded 13 as a 15 and above would go up to the Executives and that would be discussed through the Executive forum which was 14 the quality Safety and Patient Experience Committee and 15

17 When you say 15 and above, what's the -- just

18 explain the scale, please? 19 Yes, so anything that is -- anything less than 20 12 is often held as a local risk so something that the 21 department themselves can manage or resolve or address.

22 Anything 12 to 15 sometimes requires a wider input, it

23 could be financial, it could be operational, it could be

estate-wise. And then anything more significant than 24

that would be held -- 15 and above would go to Executive

1 what were you looking for?

2 So I would review the risks with the governance and risk leads and also in conjunction with 4 the senior nurse and the Divisional Medical Director as 5 appropriate. We would review the risk, we would 6 ascertain what the risk was to be, whether or not there 7 was any evidence to support that and whatever mitigation 8 or controls were in place to manage or support that risk 9 until it resolved.

10 So we would review that from all aspects and if we felt there wasn't sufficient evidence we would go back 11 to whoever had logged that risk to ask for additional 12 13 information as appropriate.

14 You say as well at paragraph 13, in addition 15 to reviewing the risks:

16 "I do also discuss risks as part of any discussion 17 I have with operational, medical or nursing leads."

18 So that is a discussion you are having with the medical and nursing leads? 19

The medical lead for the neonatal unit would be 20 21 Dr Brearey?

22 A.

25

23 Who would you be categorising as the nursing

24 lead for the neonatal; is that Eirian Powell?

> A. Eirian, yes.

2

Q. Between September 2015, when you took on the 1 2 role, and December 2015, did you discuss risks in the neonatal unit with either Dr Brearey or Eirian Powell? 3

Not with Dr Brearey. I do recall I have had discussions with Eirian Powell. I think those risks were associated with workforce and there was significant workforce constraints on the neonatal unit at the time.

So between when you took post and December, which we will come to, when other matters came to your attention, but up to December 2015, did Eirian Powell at any point in that period raise to you a concern about

a risk of an increased mortality? 12

> No, not at all. A.

14 So looking now at when you became aware of the increased mortality on the NNU, you say in your 15 16 statement -- this is paragraph 18 -- that you first 17 became aware of the increased mortality rates when you

received the Women and Children's Care Governance Board 18

19 minutes on 18th -- well, the minutes are dated

20 18 December 2015.

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

13

23

5

15

18

21

25

21 You were not -- that was a board that you were not 22 on, but you received their minutes?

A. Yes.

24 Q. You would be sent them as a matter of course as Divisional Director? 25

13

1 That in fact is a reference we know of the report 2 of Dr Brigham. Did you ask to see that underlying 3 report when you became aware of the increase in 4 mortality?

> A. No, I didn't see that report at the time.

6 Did you not think it was important, given the 7 importance of an increase in mortality, to see the 8 underlying report?

9 So that as I believe is associated with the A. stillbirths and neonates and that would have fell within 10 the obstetrics and gynaecology aspect of Women and 11 Children's rather than paediatrics at that stage. 12

So you understood that that report was an 13 14 obstetric report, did you?

A.

16 Q. But you didn't see it, that was your clear understanding? 17

> (Nods) A.

LADY JUSTICE THIRLWALL: At the time? 19

20 No, no, I understand that now, not at the time

MS BROWN: So the position in December then is that 22 23 you are aware that there is an increase in mortality?

24 A.

> Q. And you are aware there has been a report --15

A. Yes.

> Q. Generally how long after the meeting?

3 A. Sometimes it can be some weeks afterwards.

4 That very much depends on when the minutes were made available. Sometimes they often were delayed. 5

6 Presumably the purpose of receiving these 7 minutes was so that you were aware of what was going 8

9

14

A.

10 Q. -- within the units that fell within your

11 division?

12 A. Yes

13 Q. Because the Women and Children's Care

Governance Board, as well as dealing with obstetrics side of things which was not within your division, it 15

16 also dealt with paediatrics and neonatal that were

17 within your division --

Α. That's correct. 18

19 Q. -- at that time?

20 Α. Yes.

21 Q. So the minutes of the Women and Children's

22 Care Governance Board on 18 December, they referenced

23 stillbirth and early neonatal death review and action

plan and this is what you say alerted you to the 24

25 increase in mortality?

14

1 A. (Nods) 2

Q. -- which you haven't seen, the underlying

3 report?

4

5

Α. (Nods)

How are you then interrogating whether there

6 is or isn't an issue in relation to increased mortality

7 in the neonatal unit, which obviously would be a very

8 serious thing within the division?

Yes. So at that point I wasn't involved in 9 any discussions. I -- I was not made aware other than 10 what I had read in the minutes and I wasn't involved or 11

party to any further discussions after that. 12

13 Well, you were aware there was an increase in

14 mortality --

18

19

15 A.

-- in the neonatal unit and you were aware 16

there had been a report? 17

> (Nods) Α.

So why were you not asking questions, for

20 example, of Dr Brearey or Dr Jayaram as to whether they

had concerns about the mortality increase in the 21

22 neonatal unit?

23 Α. So I didn't meet with Dr Brearey. I had had 24 some meetings with Dr Jayaram, Dr Jayaram had never

raised that with me and, to be fair, I would suggest 25

that was part of my naivety of being very new in that role and paediatrics and neonatal not being particularly part of my career path in terms of my role to date.

But you -- despite being aware in December that mortality rates were going up, you didn't raise that with Dr Jayaram or anyone?

I don't recall raising it, no.

8 LADY JUSTICE THIRLWALL: Can I just ask: you were 9 asked whether you asked to see the report and you said 10 you hadn't seen it.

I don't recall asking to see it.

LADY JUSTICE THIRLWALL: Did you ask for it?

13

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

11 12

14 LADY JUSTICE THIRLWALL: No, thank you.

15 MS BROWN: It seems on the face of it surprising 16 that as a Divisional Director, the first that you became 17 aware of the increase in mortality was just a reference to a report in minutes of a meeting. 18

19 Was there no system in place to alert you as 20 Divisional Director of an increase in mortality rates independent of governance boards, but simply an alert to 21 22 mortality rates are going up? 23

Not at the time, no. I -- there was nothing 24 that I was sighted to or had in process to make me aware 25 of that.

17

- 1 would have been aware that increased mortality wasn't on
- 2 it. You are now aware in December, there is an issue
- 3 with increased mortality. Why were you not asking
- 4 questions or raising the fact that this was not
- 5 appearing on your Risk Register and there was clearly
- 6 an issue with increased mortality?
 - A. Yes, no --
 - Q. -- because that was coming up in your minutes?
 - -- I acknowledge that but no, I didn't do

10 that.

7

8

9

11

- At paragraph 29 of your statement, you say:
- 12 "I was not made aware through any discussion that 13
 - there was any risk associated with an increased
- 14 mortality on the NNU."

15 Just setting aside for a moment the terminology of risk and Risk Registers and just looking at the facts 16

- 17 here. You were being informed in December 2015 that
- more babies than expected were dying, that is what 18
- an increased rate of mortality is, more babies dying on 19
- 20 the ward. That was a unit in your division. You hadn't
- seen the underlying report so you didn't have a reason 21
- 22 to explain in fact that report didn't give a reason in
- 23 any event as to why more babies were dying.
- 24 Regardless of Risk Registers but why just out of
- 25 curiosity and out of your role as Divisional Director

Q. Just to be clear, you said you had some 1

meetings with Eirian Powell. That you met I think every

few months with -- you would have met with Dr Jayaram. 3

You never asked them about the increased mortality rates 4

when you became aware of them and is your evidence that 5

6 they never raised it with you either?

> A. Correct. Not during that period of time at all, no.

9 Q. At paragraph 28 of your statement, if we could

10 just look at that, you say:

11 "In my opinion, any concern associated with

an increase in mortality or risk to babies on the NNU 12

should have been registered as a risk on the Risk 13

14 Register."

7

8

15 Once you did become aware in December of the

16 increased mortality rates, why did you not at that point

17 put that on to a Risk Register?

18 So I -- I didn't have the detail associated

19 with -- associated with that and I would have felt it

20 was entirely appropriate for a member of the clinical

team who did have that report and have access to it to 21

22 put that on the Risk Register should they feel

23 appropriate to do so.

24 But we have just been through the fact that you reviewed over the board the Risk Register so you

did you not go to either Dr Jayaram or Dr Brearey and

say: what's this about? Is there a problem here? I am 2

being told more babies are dying, why is this? Why was

4 that question do you think not asked?

Yes. I think -- I think that was a gap and

6 a failing on my point but neither was that point raised

7 with me either. I didn't have that discussion with

8 Dr Jayaram or Dr Brearey. They didn't come forward with

that but actually no, I didn't go and ask them either. 9

10 Then moving forward to paragraph 20 in your 11 statement, we then see you refer to the minutes again,

later minutes of the Women and Children's Care 12

13 Governance Board that were from a meeting of 16 June.

14 Can you recall when you would have received those

15

19

24

25

5

16 Α. Those minutes actually came to Divisional

17 Governance in July 2016, I think.

18 Q. Yes.

> A. Yes

20 Q. Which you go on to deal with. My question is

would you -- so that is when they were discussed by the 21

22 next board up, so to speak, but would you have received

23 and reviewed those minutes at an earlier stage?

Α.

Q. Those minutes refer to the thematic review now

1 of the neonatal unit that was done in February --

- 2 **A.** Mmm mm.
- Q. -- with the involvement of Dr Brearey. Prior
 to you seeing the minutes in -- these June minutes, were
 you aware that that review had taken place?
 - A. I was not, no.
- 7 Q. That was a review, as you are aware now, an
- 8 outside Consultant was brought in to review --
 - A. Yes

6

9

- 10 Q. -- obviously the very serious issue of
- 11 increased mortality.
- 12 Why do you think it was as Divisional Director you
- 13 weren't aware of that?
- 14 A. So I didn't receive any -- I didn't receive
- 15 any handover associated with any issues with regards to
- 16 this issue. I didn't receive any back papers, I didn't
- 17 have any indication prior to that event of any situation
- 18 associated with the mortality on the neonatal unit.
- 19 Q. So you were aware in December that there was
- 20 an increased mortality. Then in June this is the first
- 21 you are aware these minutes, the first that you are
- 22 aware a review had been done in February?
- 23 A. Correct.
- 24 Q. You have explained before that you were
- 25 meeting every few months or so with Dr Jayaram --
 - 21
- 1 do with mortality rates?
- A. Potentially but I wasn't -- they weren't made
 available to me.
- 4 Q. If we just look then at what you say about the
- 5 discussion of those minutes, that they were received --
- 6 paragraph 21 -- by the Urgent Care Divisional board on
- 7 14 July. Now by 14 July, you had spoken to Dr Jayaram
- 8 then so you were aware of his concerns --
- 9 **A.** Yes
- 10 Q. -- that there was a member of staff harming
- 11 babies?
- 12 **A.** Mm-hm
- Q. And you were also aware that there was
- 14 an increased mortality issue on the neonatal unit.
- 15 At paragraph 23, you say:
- 16 "I understood from consideration of those minutes
- 17 [these are the Women and Children's Care Governance
- 18 Board minutes] no common theme had been identified
- 19 across all the cases and I understood there was no
- 20 apparent evidence to suggest there was any risk within
- 21 the NNU."
- 22 But by that time you expressly knew that Dr Jayaram
- $23\,$ $\,$ was saying there is a risk on the NNU and that risk is
- 24 a member of staff?
- 25 **A.** But I think what I was making reference to is 23

- A. (Nods)
- Q. -- and meeting I think more regularly with
- 3 Eirian Powell?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. Did you at no point, knowing that there was
- 6 increased mortality, raise with them what's being done
- $7 \hspace{0.1in}$ to look into this increased mortality on the neonatal
- 8 unit?

1

2

4

5

9

- A. No, I had no discussion.
- 10 Q. But that would have fallen with something you
- 11 as divisional director should have looked at?
- 12 A. Had I have known that there was a thematic
- 13 review from 2015 I may have done something differently
- 14 but I -- it wasn't discussed with me, I didn't know
- 15 anything about it and meeting Eirian or Dr Jayaram,
- 16 neither of them raised that with me either.
- 17 Q. Once you did see the minutes and discovered
- 18 that this -- this review had gone on, this thematic
- 19 review, did you ask to see the report then?
- 20 A. I didn't see the report then because this came
- 21 out after the events and I wasn't involved in the
- 22 ongoing events after June 2016 at all.
- 23 Q. But did you, regardless of the fact things had
- 24 moved on, did you not think it was important to see what
- 25 review had gone on within your division in February to
 - 2
- 1 what was within the minutes. So within the minutes, it
- 2 talks about there being no apparent evidence and
- 3 suggestion of any risk, that was in the minutes from the
- 4 board, not actually the events that had taken place.
- 5 Q. Well, let's just look at the minutes, shall
- 6 we?

16

- 7 So if we could go to 0003212, at page 5. So this
- 8 is the minutes of the 16 June 2016 meeting that was then
- 9 discussed at divisional level. So these are the minutes
- 10 that you saw and were discussed at divisional. It says
- 11 there under "NN Thematic Review" there was a higher than
- 12 expected mortality rate, which you were aware of in any
- 13 event. Then going down, there was an external reviewer.
- 14 So it was of significance that someone else was
- 15 brought in from outside the hospital?
 - A. Mm-hm.
- 17 Q. It says what they were assessing was where all
- 18 action points were completed, any new areas of care
- 19 improvement, any possible common themes, discuss if
- 20 further action is required. There was no common theme
- 21 identified in all the cases.
- 22 So it says there is no common theme. But you can't
- 23 tell from that whether there were any issues, whether
- 24 there were areas of care improvement needed. Did you
- 25 not feel on seeing that note: I need to see this report,

I need to understand what, for example, the areas of care improvement are, what the explanation is if there is no explanation for the mortality?

1

2

3

4

5 6

7

8

13

14

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

No. So I received that in the July as part of the Urgent Care governance. The situation had been superseded by the events back in June and therefore this was being dealt with very much by the Executives in conjunction with the paediatricians.

9 Yes. Just going back, just look at 10 paragraph 23 of your statement. You say there you understood there was no evidence to suggest there was 11 any risk within the NNU. 12

That's wrong, isn't it, you did understand there was a risk within the NNU then?

But I was referring to the notes in the 15 16 minutes, sorry, that's the way I have reported that. 17 I am referring to the minutes -- obviously I received the minutes after I had had the conversation with 18 19 Dr Javaram back in June.

20 But given that you were aware of it, and you 21 were discussing this on the Urgent Care Divisional 22 board, you say there was consequently no broad 23 discussion as to these minutes within the Urgent Care 24 Divisional board.

Sitting on that board, the point comes up in the

A. Yes.

Did you consider that in fact influenced what in fact was put on Risk Registers, whether there was a desire to keep this sort of risk off a Risk Register?

No, I didn't have any -- can you repeat that, A. sorry?

7 Well, because they were open to outside 8 scrutiny were you aware of any move to keep these sort of risks -- you say you feel those risks should go on 9 there, but were you aware of a view that those risks 10 shouldn't be put there? 11

12 Α.

13 We are going to come to the meeting with 14 Dr Jayaram in a moment, but on 24 June, you became aware of the risk of the concerns that Dr Jayaram had about 15 a member of staff harming babies. 16

17 Why then at that point on 24 June did you not put that on the Risk Register? 18

19 Because I didn't have any detail. It was a very vague reference to, there was no detail 20 associated with that whatsoever, I wasn't given any 22 evidence or anything to substantiate it and I felt like 23 I needed to raise that with clinical members of the 24 senior team and -- and find some additional information. 25

If we can just look at what was put on to the 27

agenda presumably to discuss this report. Didn't you 1 2 feel at that point you had to say: well, I now have additional information, I know that there is a real 3 concern. Why was that not a point of discussion at the 4 **Urgent Care Divisional board?**

6 Because as this had come to light and prior to 7 that urgent board taking place, I think on 14 July, this very much became an issue that was addressed between the 8 Executives and the paediatricians and it was not 9 10 inclusive of members of the Division of Urgent Care.

11 If we can go then to paragraph 32 of your statement. You say there if you had been aware of any 12 concerns about staff involvement and increased mortality 13 you would have expected that to be on the Risk Register. 14 You see the last line: 15

16 "... I would have expected that to be on the Risk

17 Register"?

A. 18 Yes.

19 So just understanding. I take it from that 20 that you felt that if there was a risk that a member of staff was harming patients, that that was something that 21 22 was suitable to go on a Risk Register?

23 Α. Yes, absolutely.

24 Did -- external bodies such as the CQC, they would have access to Risk Registers?

1 Risk Register. If we could go to INQ0004657, this is 2 tab 19, my Lady, of your bundle.

3 So we see at the top there, so this is -- we see 4 Urgent Care, we are looking at the first line, 5 neonatology, the handler, what does "handler" mean?

6 The handler is normally the individual that's actually input that particular risk.

7 8 We see -- so this is the date the risk here

was added, 11 July 2016 and we see the wording there:

"Potential damage to reputation of neonatal service 10 and wider Trust due to apparent increased mortality 11

within the neonatal unit." 12

9

Where did you get that wording from?

13 14 That was scripted following meetings with the Executive teams when we were making preparation to go 15 public with regards to the alleged increased into the 16 17 mortality and the change of the unit from a Level 2 to Level 1 status. So that was part of those briefing 18 sessions early July. 19

20 Q. But you were putting this on to your -- within your division your register as a risk? 21

22 Α.

25

23 Q. What did you understand the risk that you were 24 talking about was here?

So at that point yes, I had already had

8

a conversation with Dr Jayaram. However, I still did 1 2 not have any detail or any clinical understanding of 3 what those risks were and that risk was scripted from 4 the Trust perspective as how they wanted to register 5 that risk on the Risk Register.

- Because the wording that -- you have explained where the wording came from but it says "due to apparent increased mortality"?
 - A. Yes.

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

23

24

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

- Q. Why was the word or why did you adopt their word for your Risk Register of "apparent" increased mortality, because there was actual increased mortality, wasn't there?
- A. Because at that point in time the Trust were still undertaking a number of fact-finding avenues and looking at different external parties to support those reviews and that was the decision that was made at the time before we went public, that was part of the information that went out publicly as well. That was how it was asked to be added.
- 21 But your understanding was on 11 July that 22 there was actual increase in mortality?
 - Well, I had received some information to suggest so, but I didn't have any detail. I didn't have any actual detail, I didn't have any clinical detail at

would that have made, what -- how would these Risk Registers in practice working, what would have happened in terms of reviews if a risk of increased mortality had been put on the register?

So at the time, I think there would have been more open conversations. I think there would be a broader understanding of actions that needed to be taken and reviews and discussions to be held.

My experience at that time was it was very much dealt with in Executive level. We were removed from those discussions and that process, there was a lack of transparency as to what the detail was.

So if we can turn now to the meeting you had with Dr Jayaram on 24 June 2016 at 11 o'clock.

You deal with this at paragraph 40 of your witness statement. So Dr Jayaram's recollection is that you met at his request. Does that accord with your recollection or can you not assist?

- I don't recall whose request it was. A.
- 20 But your evidence is I think that this wouldn't have been the first time you met as with 21
- 22 a one-to-one with Dr Jayaram?
- 23 A. Correct.
- 24 Q. It wasn't the first time?
- 25 It wasn't the first time, no. A.

that point in time. It was just a raised concern.

2 Because if there was potential damage to reputation, so this is talking about the reputation of 3 4 the Trust, due to the apparent increased mortality, is there not by definition then beneath that the more 5 6 serious risk which is the risk to any baby going on the unit that their risk of mortality is greater? 7

A.

9 Q. The question is between September when you 10 joined and this period in July, there is nothing on any Risk Registers the risks that you reviewed to record 11 that there was a risk due to increased mortality and we 12 are trying to understand why that was? 13

14 Yes, there were -- you are correct, there wasn't. I didn't put a risk on there until I was part 15 16 of those later discussions, nor did any of the clinical 17 teams or the nursing teams within the neonatal or 18 paediatric unit either, nobody put those risks on.

19 If there had been, if it had been entered on 20 a Risk Register, either the NNU or the Divisional Risk 21 Register, either in June 2015 when the three deaths 22 occurred in short succession, that was before your role, 23 or in December when you became as Divisional Director aware of the increased mortality or on 16 June, when you 24 became aware of the thematic review, what difference

1 Approximately since September, how many times 2 would you have met with Dr Jayaram, do you feel? 3 Α. At least a couple.

9

25

4 You describe the meeting in paragraph 41 and 5 significantly the information that you recall Dr Jayaram 6 sharing with you is that a triplet had died on the 7 neonatal unit, so a death, obviously background to this 8 you already aware of an increase in neonatal mortality?

> Α. Yes.

10 But a triplet had died. Both Dr Jayaram and 11 Dr Brearey had concerns that an individual may be deliberately harming babies on the neonatal unit and you 12 say that you recall that they were very concerned for 13 14 the remaining two triplets.

15 So this must have been incredibly shocking information to receive? 16

Α. It was

17 Q. You say that you can't recall if Dr Jayaram 18 referred to Letby. Dr Jayaram's recollection is that he 19 20 did and that that's the recollection of Karen Rees in her account to Facere Melius. It's likely, isn't it, 21 22 that you -- I appreciate you can't recall that, but that 23 you would have asked: who is this staff member, it is 24 a nurse or a doctor? That seems likely?

I think I made some very rough notes and 32

- I think I put the initials, I just don't recall actually 1 2 hearing it.
- 3 Q. Right. So do you recall Dr Jayaram informing 4 you that he was uncomfortable with Letby remaining on 5 the unit unsupervised?
- 6 So we had a very brief conversation. We 7 talked about other things and then we talked very briefly about the concerns that himself and Dr Brearey 8 9 and potentially others had and was concerned around the 10 individual being on the unit at that time, yes.
- At paragraph 42, you say here that -- and this 11 meeting I think took in a coffee area within the 12 13 hospital?
 - It was in a coffee shop, yes. Α.
- 15 Q. You say Dr Jayaram gesticulated to a drawer of 16 doom?
- 17 A.

3

4

- What was he gesticulating to? 18 Q.
- 19 So he was, he was making reference to
- 20 Dr Brearey having a drawer of doom where apparently he
- had information or evidence and he was kind of doing 21
- 22 this (indicated) kind of to suggest a drawer.
- 23 Do I understand from that that Dr Jayaram was telling you about concerns that Letby was associated 24 with previous unexpected deaths?

- 1 fact this was raised in an informal manner and had not 2 been raised previously.
 - Do you mean by that you were doubting that Dr Jayaram's concern was genuine?
- 5 I wasn't doubting it. It was the first time 6 I have heard it and I just found it was quite an unusual 7 forum in which to give somebody that level of 8 information so -- or that detail. I was just quite 9 shocked when I received it at that point in time.
- So you were taken aback but you weren't 10 doubting that Dr Jayaram was --11
- 12 Α. I wasn't
- 13 Q. -- sincere?
- 14 Α. I wasn't doubting it, I think I was just
- shocked to receive it in that manner. 15
- 16 Other than going to Karen Rees, who was the Head of Nursing, that we have seen while on the 17 structure reported to you, I think you say you worked 18
- alongside --19 20 A. Yes.
- 21 -- but was certainly -- you were the
- 22 Divisional Director of which she was the Head of
- 23 Nursing, other than going to her, what did you do to
- address that immediate risk that Dr Jayaram alerted you
- to and which you understood was harm to babies? And in 25

35

- It was, he had evidence clinical evidence and 1 2 detail is what the reference was.
- 3 That was referring to past deaths --Q.
- 4 Yes. Δ
- Q. 5 -- on the unit?
- 6 Α. Yes, previous -- yes.
- 7 Q. At paragraph 45, you say:
- 8 "I was concerned there was a potential risk to the babies on the NNU." 9
- 10 Precisely what was the risk that you were concerned about at this point? 11
- 12 So in context that was a very ad hoc meeting
- 13 that we were having, it wasn't a formal meeting at all,
- it was in a coffee shop in an open area it was on 14
- a Friday and my immediate -- having heard that for the 15
- 16 first time, my immediate concern was that I needed to go
- 17 and speak to someone to see if there was any actions
- that we needed to undertake because it was a Friday and 18 19 obviously going into a weekend.
- 20 Just coming back to the question. What was 21
- the risk that you were concerned about? 22 The risk was that there was going to be
- 23 further harm to babies on the neonatal unit.
- 24 You say that and you have repeated I think
- just there orally what you say in paragraph 46 about the
- fact Dr Jayaram was talking about concern for the two
- remaining triplets, he was talking very specifically 2
- 3 about harm to babies imminent -- imminent harm, babies
- 4 who were on the unit then.

5

- Other than speaking to Karen Rees what did you do
- 6 to address that harm, that risk?
- 7 So what I did at the time was, as I said
- 8 before, I was very shocked, I didn't have any clinical
- information. It was just I think Ravi had said at the 9
- time that they felt but there was no actual factual 10
- information or evidence given to me. 11
- 12 So I went to speak to Karen Rees, I am not clinical
- 13 by background, so I went to seek her support and advice
- 14 and kind of ask her what should we do in terms of those
- immediate next steps. And I think from that point on 15
- Karen then went to speak or try and speak to the 16
- 17 individuals to try and gain some additional information.
- 18 Because just to recap where we were at that
- point. So this was on the morning of the 24th so one 19
- 20 triplet had died. You are being told there is concern
- for two triplets on the -- the two remaining triplets 21
- 22 who are on the unit then.
- 23 You have got Dr Jayaram saying that he and
- 24 Dr Brearey had very -- were very concerned so the two
- most senior clinicians were concerned. They are telling 25

3

9

Register."

you there is -- you haven't seen it but there was -they have got documents that substantiate that.

You don't doubt that those are genuine. Why at that point were you not going immediately to the Executive level saying: we need to take -- immediate action needs to be taken at the most senior level, rather than referring it to your Head of Nursing to make further enquiries because that wasn't going to address the risk that there were babies at imminent risk of 10 harm?

- 11 No, I understand that. But I think that was A. kind of my naivety in my role but also if Dr Jayaram and 12 Dr Brearey also had those real strong concerns, and 13 obviously they had far more detail than I -- why was 14 that then transposed to me in a coffee shop meeting and 15 16 why wasn't that actually escalated direct to the 17 Executives?
- 18 Q. You say naivety in role, but you had been in 19 post for a year by this time, hadn't you?
- 20 I hadn't been in post a year but my true background is Urgent Care. Paediatrics and neonatal 21 22 were not within my portfolio in terms of my longer 23 career. However, I did go and speak immediately to 24 Karen Rees to seek some support in that discussion.
 - I say a year, it wasn't quite a year was it,

1 either.

25

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

Well, in terms of evidence, you have got the two most senior clinicians saying that they have concerns about imminent risk to babies. A baby has already died.

Is that not sufficient to take the neutral role of removing Letby from the ward so that an investigation can then take place because that would have changed the course of events, clearly?

10 So when I received that, there was -- like I say there was no detail, it was just how they felt 11 about something and I felt that needed further detail 12 and needed to be delved into a bit further. Hence my 13 14 ask to go and speak to Karen Rees about it. But I do feel if there was that urgency and not denying how 15 Dr Jayaram and Dr Brearey felt, but they also could have 16 17 acted on that, and like I say, they could have gone direct to the Executives themselves. 18

At paragraph 54 of your statement, you say it had taken quite some time before Dr Jayaram disclosed his concerns to me, which we have talked about.

"No concern had previously been escalated or cited through the divisional process but this having now been raised appears to have raised the expectation of immediate action in dealing with it."

because you started in September and this was June? 1

> A. No.

> > Q. Paragraph 48 then, you say:

4 "On the day I received this information it was something I did not expect to hear ... I had no detail, 5 6 evidence or context regarding the comments made to me. 7 I therefore did not have sufficient information for these comments to be placed immediately on the Risk

Because it seems from that that there was an 10 over-reliance or over-concern about putting it on the 11 Risk Register and not sufficient recognition that 12 actually what was needed here was now immediate action 13 to remove Letby from the ward so that there could be an 14 15 investigation.

16 Is that -- is that fair that there was an 17 over-reliance on Risk Registers and not -- clouding the actual action that was needed to the present risk? 18 19 No. I don't think there was an over-reliance 20 on the Risk Register at all. I think following the discussion with Ravi I think yes clearly some action 21 22 needed to be taken but actually there needed to be some 23 evidence, there needed to be some detail of what that

concern was. I didn't receive it on the Friday, I am 24 not clear that Karen Rees received it on the Friday

1 Did you accept that immediate action was needed?

Δ What --

2

5

3 Q. It seems to be suggesting it wasn't but did 4 you accept that immediate action was needed?

What number are you looking at, I'm sorry?

6 Sorry, paragraph 54, actually it is over the 7 page, so if you are the same pagination as me it is the 8 second half of that.

So you say that Dr Jayaram hadn't previously raised 9 it but once he did raise it, he appears to have raised 10 the expectation of immediate action and my question is: 11

did you think that immediate action was needed? 12

13 So yes. So I think that -- I think that 14 relates to an email exchange the following week.

Obviously the paediatricians had had -- I wasn't aware, 15

but had had some earlier conversations with the 16

17 Executives with regards to their concerns and following

the discussion I had with Dr Jayaram on the Friday and 18

the subsequent discussion with Karen Rees, that then 19

20 became an escalated issue. More people were involved in

those discussions and I think with Dr Brearey was now 21

22 wanting some immediate action to take place now we had

23 gone that one step further and more people were involved

24 in the discussion.

25

Q. When were you informed of the death of

4

13

5

6

7

8

Child P?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

11

12

18

19

20

21 22

23

24

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

15

21

A. The Monday of the following week.

Q. You say at paragraph 59 when you became aware of the second triplet had died over the weekend, if they have concerns about deliberate harm being caused by a member of nursing staff, you say "if" there, but there were concerns there, weren't there, Dr Jayaram had expressed those concerns:

"... I wondered why they had not been escalated 10 previously ..."

Then you go on to say:

"... I could not understand why Dr Brearey and Dr Jayaram felt it was safe to go home that weekend."

13 14 Ms Townsend, did you feel it was safe to go home that weekend when you knew that or you were -- had not 15 16 been told that Letby had been removed from the ward.

17 Was that not a worry that you --

> So my understanding was Karen Rees did go and seek both Dr Jayaram and Dr Brearey that same afternoon and neither Dr Jayaram or Dr Brearey gave any detail or evidence with regards to their concerns. I believe Karen did go and speak to two of our Executives and left it with the Executives at that point in time. But neither -- neither of the clinicians would offer any further detail at that time.

> > 41

1 speak to the Executive team to make them aware.

Yes. If we could go to INQ0005749 and page 2 of that document and this is at tab 16. So while we are waiting for the document, this is an email that you sent to Dr Brearey and it's summing up some decisions or actions from a meeting that was on 27 June.

Can you recall whether you were at that meeting or are you just reporting it? You may not be able to 9 recall.

10 I don't recall. I am -- I am aware and familiar with the email and the content but I don't 11 recall if I was in the meeting itself. 12

Well, just looking at the content of the 13 14 meeting.

A.

16 Q. So this is the following week, so Child O and Child P have died and you are aware -- we have gone 17 through the discussion you had with Dr Jayaram -- what 18 19 he had shared with you?

20 A. (Nods)

You say there at six bullet points down:

22 "LL to remain on days for support, on annual leave 23 next week."

24 So it seems to be saying that that week she would remain working. Did you have concerns that she should 25

So from their perspective, there was very little 1 2 else that they could have achieved on that day.

But were you concerned about the baby's safety on the unit before you went home?

5 So I -- I was concerned. I was concerned but 6 also when I heard that the clinicians had not offered any more information I was also -- I was a bit confused 7 as to why that would be. Surely if they had those 8 concerns and that detail why was that not raised and 9 10 made available on the Friday for any action to be taken?

11 Did you take any action to ensure that Letby was not working over the weekend? 12

Not on that day, no. Α.

14 So when you left on Friday, what actions did you understand had been taken to address Dr Jayaram's 15 16 concerns?

17 I understood that Karen Rees had gone to speak 18 to Dr Jayaram and had also gone to speak to Dr Brearey 19 or waited for Dr Brearey, I think he was in a clinic. 20 I don't think he received any information, I don't think 21 he -- any further information was offered and I also understand Karen Rees went and spoke to the neonatal 23 unit manager or nurse in charge on the day and asked if

they had any concerns to which the answer was no at the 24

time. And then subsequently Karen Rees then went to

be allowed to remain on the unit given the concern that 2 Dr Jayaram had in a sense escalated now because what he had been very concerned about had in fact eventuated in 4 terms of the death of Baby P?

I think there were concerns all around. But my understanding was that at that time, there was still no actual evidence or detail and the Executive decision at that time was to remain for Letby to remain on the unit until she went on annual leave. So the concern was still there but, yes that action wasn't taken. 10

11 You are referring to more evidence. One baby had died, now another. The two Consultants have got 12 real concerns about harm to babies. What more would 13 14 have been needed before removing Letby from the ward to 15 undertake an investigation?

16 A. I am not clinical, I don't know any of the 17 details associated with any of the babies that have died unfortunately, and I am not clear what the connections 18 were or I'm not sure we knew at the time what the 19 20 connections were with Letby as well.

21 I mean, if we just turn to paragraph 62 of 22 your statement where you are discussing a meeting that 23 was a discussion on 30 June about Letby returning to the NNU and you have got some notes that you have referred

to, we don't need to go to them because you have cited

44

the bit from your notes, "SB remove nurse or go to 1 2 police"?

3 A.

4

6

7

8

9

15

23

6

7

8

9

10

11

13

16

17

25

Just to be completely clear, "SB" would be O

5 Stephen Brearey, would it?

> A. Would be, yes.

Q. Can you recall the discussion about going to

the police on 30 June?

I don't -- I remember -- I recall the meeting,

10 I don't remember the absolute context to that. They

were talking about the clinical incident -- they were 11

talking about the incidents but I can't recall -- they 12

are my notes, I did make them in the meeting, but 13

I don't recall the detail of it, I'm afraid. 14

What was your view then because as you say you

16 are not clinically trained, but nevertheless you are the 17 Divisional Director, you have had it reported that

someone is concerned about a member of staff harming 18

19 babies. That's obviously a crime, if that's the case?

20

A.

21 Q. Did you consider that the police should be

22 contacted, is that something you raised or considered?

I didn't raise it. I felt -- I felt -- to be

honest, I probably felt out of my depth because I didn't 24

25 have the clinical insight, I didn't have the clinical

1 If I could just turn you to one final document 2 which is INQ0077575. So these are some emails I just 3 wonder if you can assist us with the context of this. 4 If you could just go on to page 2 first, because it 5 works backwards, so to speak.

There is an email there: can you confirm that the protection for Lucy Letby is still to be continued? She has been receiving these payments since August 16.

This is an email that was sent on 14 February 2018 and if we go back to page 1, there are there is an email first of all from Karen Rees and then you respond on

14 February --12

> Α. Yes.

14 Q. -- at 12.23: please can these continue for the

foreseeable future? 15

> Can you just assist with what those emails are talking about, what payments those are referring to?

18 So what I can understand from this is that at the time Lucy Letby, although she wasn't working on the 19 20 neonatal unit, had been removed and was working in another part of the organisation, I believe it was Risk 21 22 and Governance, and as she had not been formally

23 excluded she was still in receipt of her salary, so that

24 was just confirming that that was the case.

> That was an operational matter that came to 47

knowledge and this was a very, very serious situation 1

2 and I felt I was being very much led by how the

Executive team wanted to manage it at the time because 3

4 of how awful a scenario it was

You go on to talk about meetings in the early 5

6 July on the 8 July, briefings about the downgrade of the

7 NNU --

8

9

A.

Q. -- from a Level 2 to a Level 1 and the fact

10 that you liaised directly with Arrowe Park.

11 Why did you understand the unit was being

downgraded, what was the actual reason for the 12

13 downgrade?

14 The actual reason for downgrading was to 15 reduce -- was to manage the risk around the alleged

16 mortality for neonatal, so moving from a Level 2 down to

17 a Level 1 would mean the babies of a much younger age

18 would be managed in another organisation whilst the

19 Trust tried to work to understand what the situation

20 actually was and what action they needed to take.

21 Did you have any role in speaking to the

parents of the babies who had died or collapsed about

23 that downgrade in terms of communications was that any

24 part of your role?

25

2

3

5

Α. That was not part of my role, no.

you because she was still formally within your division?

Α. I'm sorry?

Q. That was a matter that formally came to you as

4 Divisional Director because she was still --

Yes, because even though she had been moved to

6 work in another area, she still would have been on the

7 payroll within Urgent Care, yes.

8 MS BROWN: Yes, those are all my questions. There 9 are going to be a few more questions.

10 LADY JUSTICE THIRLWALL: Mr Baker.

Questions by MR BAKER 11

12 MR BAKER: My Lady.

13 Mrs Townsend my name is Richard Baker. I ask

14 questions on behalf of some of the Families and in

particular in this case the Family of the Triplets O, 15

16 P&R.

17

24

25

Α. (Nods)

Q. Your role at the time was Executive in charge 18

of Dr Jayaram and Dr Brearey's division, wasn't it? 19

20 Not Executive. I was Divisional Director,

21 I am not Executive -- I am not an Executive Director.

22 Q. But you were the most senior person within

23 that division before we reach the Executives?

Α.

Q. It was a Band 8D role, which is a senior role?

5

9

15

- 1 A. Mm-hm, yes.
- Q. Which carries a lot of responsibility --
- 3 A. Yes
- 4 Q. -- within the NHS.
- 5 Now, I just wanted to pick up one point that you
- 6 made about the doctors, you criticise them for not
- 7 taking the issue to the Executives. But in fact they
- 8 should have taken the issue to you rather than bypassing
- 9 you and going directly to the Executives, shouldn't
- 10 they, you are the most senior person within the
- 11 division?
- 12 A. Yes, they could come to me.
- 13 Q. Not they could come to you, but you would
- 14 expect following the hierarchy that having been to
- 15 people like Karen Rees or Eirian Powell, you would be
- 16 the next most senior person to go to?
- 17 **A.** Yes.
- 18 Q. Do you recall what the purpose of the meeting
- 19 with Dr Jayaram was on 24 June?
- 20 A. It was just a general catch-up, really. It
- 21 wasn't a formal meeting, hence the setting in the coffee
- 22 shop.
- 23 Q. But you didn't have regular scheduled meetings
- 24 with Dr Jayaram, that was your evidence, was that they
- 25 would happen every couple of months but they weren't
 - 49
- 1 Q. Yes, but if you had meetings ad hoc,
- 2 presumably there would always be some impetus for
- 3 a meeting, Dr Jayaram would get in touch with you and
- 4 say: can we just have a meeting to catch up, for me to
- 5 raise something with you?
- 6 A. Yes, and I would do likewise, it was -- but
- 7 like I say, it was very informal, it was just to touch
- 8 base. We talked about a number of different issues.
- 9 Q. So if you arranged the meeting, I am assuming
- 10 you agreed where the venue would be or said where the
- 11 venue would be yourself?
- 12 A. I think mutually agreed/
- 13 Q. Well, if you sent out the invite to the
- 14 meeting presumably you also said: well, let's meet in
- 15 the coffee shop?
- 16 A. Like I say it was a very -- it wasn't a formal
- 17 meeting at all.
- 18 Q. When it comes to that, though, was that your
- 19 perception of it when you arranged it? It doesn't
- 20 necessarily mean it wasn't what Dr Jayaram was
- 21 expecting?
- 22 A. Correct
- 23 Q. Now, your evidence -- again just to be very
- 24 clear, the meeting was arranged before the death of
- 25 Child O because Child O, he died on 23 June and it was 51

- 1 organised or regular; they were ad hoc?
- 2 A. No, we used to have regular -- well, not so
- 3 regular but we used to have catch-ups, yes, they were
 - ad hoc unless I saw Dr Jayaram in a more formal setting.
 - Q. You see, Dr Jayaram's evidence is that he
- 6 contacted you because he wanted to discuss concerns that
- 7 he and his colleagues had regarding unexpected
- 8 unexplained deaths in the neonatal unit.
 - A. That is not my recollection at all, no.
- 10 **Q.** And he recalls that you sent him an electronic
- 11 calendar invite on 21 June 2016, three days earlier
- 12 before the meeting took place. So first of all do you
- 13 agree that you would have sent out an electronic
- 14 calendar invite on or about 21 June?
 - A. I don't recollect that but yes, I probably
- 16 would have done, yes.
- 17 Q. Yes, and what do you recall the impetus for
- 18 this meeting being?
- 19 A. It was a general catch-up. Like I say it was
- 20 in a very informal setting, so it was a coffee shop in
- 21 the Women and Children's building. We sat down about
- 22 11ish, we had some general chat. We talked about
- 23 a couple of the services within the paediatrics and
- 24 neonatal and then Dr Jayaram went on to raise his
- 25 concerns.

50

- 1 arranged according to Dr Jayaram on 21 June?
 - A. Okay, yes.
 - Q. But it took place after the death of
- 4 Child O --

2

3

- 5 **A.** (Nods)
- 6 Q. -- and before the death of Child P?
- A. Okay.
- 8 Q. Now, are you saying, as I think you were, that
- 9 prior to 24 June 2016, you had no knowledge at all that
- 10 the Consultant body were concerned about unexpected or
- 11 unexplained deaths occurring over the preceding year?
- 12 A. Correct.
- 13 Q. And that you were completely unaware that the
- 14 Consultant body or anybody was concerned about Letby's
- 15 association with those deaths, not necessarily that she
- 16 was causing them deliberately, but that she was
- 17 associated with those deaths by her presence at the very
- 18 least?
- 19 A. Correct.
- 20 Q. So you were unaware of all of that?
- 21 **A**. Yes
- 22 **Q.** Were you unaware that she had been moved to
- 23 day shifts?
- 24 A. I wasn't aware of that.
- 25 Q. Before 24 June 2016 had you ever heard

- 1 Lucy Letby's name before?
- 2 **A.** No.
- 3 Q. Now, you made a note of the meeting and it
- 4 appears at INQ0102357. If we go on, please, to page 2
- 5 it is an exhibit to your witness statement. So the note
- 6 in question appears at the bottom of the page,
- 7 24 June 2016?
- 8 A. Yes
- 9 Q. When did you write this note?
- 10 A. On the day.
- 11 Q. Were you writing it at the same time as the
- 12 conversation was taking place?
- 13 **A.** Yes.
- 14 Q. Do you normally take notes during informal
- 15 meetings?
- 16 A. I take lots of -- well, you can see from the
- 17 prior note, I take a lot of notes. I record, I have
- $\,$ 18 $\,$ a to-do list all the time and I also record notes from
- 19 any meetings.
- 20 Q. Yes, but if you are having an informal chat
- 21 with someone in a coffee shop, you take your notebook
- 22 out and start writing what they are saying?
- 23 A. Well, we were talking about relevant points,
- 24 we were talking about aspects of the business, the
- 25 service.

1 Child O?

8

- A. Yes.
- 3 Q. And then "? Second"?
- 4 A. Yes, because Dr Jayaram said that he was
- 5 concerned and I can't -- I can't recall if he said that
- 6 the triplet was unwell, I can't recall that.
- 7 Q. Well, I will come on to that then. It's not
 - concern about the other triplets or other babies; it's
- 9 specific concern about the second, which is Child P.
- 10 So it would follow, and I can take you through
- 11 something of a chronology in a moment, but it would
- 12 follow that Child P's condition was being discussed at
- 13 that meeting?
- 14 A. Not in any detail, no. Not in any detail.
- 15 It was a reference of. There was no detailed
- 16 clinical discussion.
- 17 Q. But it doesn't say "? Other two".
- 18 It says "? Second."
- 19 Now, we know from the medical records that Child P
- 20 suffered an unexpected collapse after Letby started her
- 21 day shift on 24 June and had required resuscitation, and
- 22 Child P's notes describe chest compressions occurring at
- 23 9.15 in the morning, so prior to your meeting taking
- 24 place at 11, and discussions had taken place at
- 25 Arrowe Park at 10.30 am to transfer Child P, okay.

- 1 Q. So how informal are we talking, then? Not
- very if you are taking notes, it is obviously
- 3 a discussion about important things?
 - A. Well, they are very brief notes but yes,
- 5 I made notes.

4

7

9

12

- 6 Q. And the first two lines, they are nothing to
 - do with concerns about harm on the wards, are they,
- 8 I mean, I can't criticise --
 - **A.** No.
- 10 Q. -- handwriting, if you have seen mine, you
- 11 would understand why.
 - A. No, no, no. The first one makes reference to
- 13 paediatric hospital at home and some risks around the
- 14 service and some of the options we were discussing and
- 15 the other one is workforce issues, which was a regular
- 16 theme within the paediatric and neonatal service and the
- 17 resources and then that is when we went on and
- 18 Dr Jayaram noted the concerns that they had.
- 19 Q. So he's written NNU -- you have written,
- 20 sorry~--

21

- A. Yes.
- 22 Q. -- "NNU triplets"?
- 23 A. I wrote that when as we started that aspect of
- 24 the conversation
- 25 Q. So "one deceased", which is a reference to

5

- 1 So there was obviously concerns at or about the
- 2 time of your meeting at 11 am about the condition of
- 3 Child P because there was -- a phone conversation with
- 4 Arrowe Park had already taken place and Child P had
- 5 already had some resuscitation in the morning.
- 6 So in light of that, do you think it likely given
- 7 that you have written "? Second" that Dr Jayaram was
- 8 also talking to you about the condition of Child P in
- 9 this meeting as well?
- 10 **A.** I am not aware of any of the clinical detail
- 11 associated with that and Dr Jayaram didn't go into any
- 12 explicit detail. I think it was -- and I have no
- 13 clinical background so wouldn't retain any of that
- 14 information specifically.
- 15 However, I think he did make reference to his
- 16 concerns about the second triplet, but there was no
- 17 clinical detail. Like I say, I wasn't aware of anything
- 18 that you have just articulated.
- 19 **Q.** So he may not have put it in terms of:
- 20 There's been a phone call to Arrowe Park, chest
- 21 compressions have been given. But he must have said,
- 22 mustn't he: I am worried about the other one as well
- 23 because he has also taken a turn for the worse, or words
- 24 to that effect?

25

A. I think he said he had concerns about the

second triplet. There was -- you know, it was a very brief discussion.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

13

14

15

16

17

18

Q. Yes. But a reference to concerns about the second triplet, rather than the second and third, must reference the fact that the second had taken a turn for the worse, mustn't it?

A. It may do, but, I can't -- there was no -- we didn't have a detailed discussion.

Q. Now, the records also show that Child P had deteriorated again at 11.30 in the morning, so 30 minutes after your meeting began, and that there are noted interactions between Letby and Child P at 10.46 and 11 am prior to that collapse.

So we also can see from the notes that Letby continues to interact with Child P during the course of the afternoon and that there are further collapses and Child P receives CPR on further occasions.

So to put this into context, your conversation with Dr Jayaram about concerns and risk is occurring at the same time as Child P is collapsing due to harm caused to him by Lucy Letby, but he is still alive.

Now, in light of that, and on reflection, do you think it required urgent action from you to escalate this and remove Letby from that ward?

A. So all of what you have articulated I did not 57

on reflection yes, maybe that might have been the case, but my immediate was to go to my clinical colleague to raise those concerns with her and seek her input as to next steps.

Q. In terms of the amount of time that all of this takes, Child P's family believe that there was a failure to act to stop Lucy Letby attacking and murdering their child on that day.

Now, Child P died in the evening. So there was time arguably to stop this. Do you think, on reflection, urgent action was actually required following this information from Dr Jayaram?

A. I believe at the time, when I was given the information, I did what I believed was the right thing at the time to go and seek that support, to gain some further information and to -- and what those next steps would be. I believe Dr Brearey and Dr Jayaram did not offer any further information.

19 I think Karen Rees was left then to go and speak to 20 the Executive team. And I'm sorry for the loss of those 21 children.

59

22 **Q.** But the point is in a dynamic situation like 23 this, there sometimes isn't time to set out a full, 24 fully articulated evidenced case against somebody.

25 There is simply a reference to risk and a need for

1 know. I had no sight to nor did I hear anything.

The conversation I had with Dr Jayaram was very
brief, it was very -- he, Dr Brearey and some of his
colleagues, had some concerns. We didn't go into any
detail and there was certainly no -- no specifics that
you have just articulated.

Q. How much detail do you need in this situation?
 A senior doctor says to you: I am concerned that
 a nurse is attacking and harming babies.

How much of an investigation do you need beforesomebody acts and stops that harm continuing?

12 So the terms "attacking" and "harming" weren't 13 used at all. They were raised to me and at the time, based on what I had heard, which was brief, I did what 14 I believed at that point in time the right thing which 15 16 was to come away and contact the senior nurse in Urgent 17 Care and then, as I have already explained, subsequently we then tried -- well, Karen Rees then tried to speak to 18 19 both Dr Jayaram and Dr Brearey to try and get some

21 **Q.** Isn't that an escalation down the chain to 22 Karen Rees because she is -- you are her superior in 23 terms of seniority and rank? Isn't that going down the 24 chain?

A. But I think immediately in the moment -- and
 58

1 urgent action, isn't there?

20

25

2

I accept that.

further and additional detail.

3 **MR BAKER:** Thank you, my Lady, I have no more 4 questions.

Questions by LADY JUSTICE THIRLWALL
 LADY JUSTICE THIRLWALL: Thank you very much,

7 Mr Baker. I wonder, just before we conclude,

8 Mrs Townsend, it is nearly finished, I just have two9 short questions.

You were taken to the Risk Register where you made an input --

12 **A.** Yes

LADY JUSTICE THIRLWALL: -- of a high risk and we
 have been through that in some detail and you mentioned

15 several times that it had been scripted for you and

16 I also inferred from what you said that it was the

17 Executive team who said that had to go on to the Risk

18 Register. Is my assumption correct?

19 **A.** Yes.

25

20 LADY JUSTICE THIRLWALL: Right, thank you.

21 So who scripted it for you?

22 **A.** A member of the communications team.

23 LADY JUSTICE THIRLWALL: Thank you. And that was

24 after discussions with the Executive team?

Yes, as part of the build up to the briefings

around going -- putting communication out, public 1 2 communications and advising all other external parties

3 of this situation.

5

8

9

18

4 LADY JUSTICE THIRLWALL: Yes, thank you. Which, if you are aware can you let me know, which members of the 6 Executive team were involved in this decision about the

7 Risk Register?

> A. I think in the meetings there would have been Executive Director of nursing.

10 LADY JUSTICE THIRLWALL: So that is?

Alison Kelly, Executive HR director, which was 11 Sue Hodkinson and potentially -- I can't commit to the 12

Medical Director being there at the time, actually. 13

LADY JUSTICE THIRLWALL: He may have been. We can 14 15 check that. Thank you.

Well, she asked me what do -- what was the

16 Then finally, when you went to Karen Rees, having

17 spoken to Dr Jayaram, what did she say?

19 conversation, what detail did I have, what I had been told and she immediately said: I will go and speak to 20 them directly to see what information I can find from 21

22 them.

23 And subsequently went off to speak with them.

LADY JUSTICE THIRLWALL: Did she say anything else 24 25 about the doctor's motivation or anything like that?

1 A. My name is Ruth Esther Millward.

2 Mrs Millward, is it right that you provided

the Inquiry with a witness statement dated 7 June of 3

4 this year?

5

6

A. That's correct.

> And a statement dated 24 June of this year? Q.

7 A. That's correct.

Subject to I think a correction that you are 8

going to make in relation to -- this is highly 9

specific -- an SBAR written for Child A, so subject to 10

that which we will get to, is the content of those 11

statements true to the best of your knowledge and 12

belief? 13

14

18

21

Α.

15 My first topic is just to introduce you and Q.

your experience. Is it right that you qualified as 16

17 a nurse in 1997?

> A. It is.

The Inquiry is well sighted on the case of 19

20 Beverley Allitt from 1991?

> (Nods) Α.

22 Q. Did the murders committed by Beverley Allitt

23 form part of your training?

24 I don't recall. My training was adult nursing

rather than children's nursing but I do recall the case 25 63

1 Α. No.

2 LADY JUSTICE THIRLWALL: Right. Thank you.

3 Subject to anyone wanting to ask any questions

4 arising out of that, that concludes your evidence.

Thank you very much indeed, Mrs Townsend, you are 5

6 free to go.

7 Thank you.

8 LADY JUSTICE THIRLWALL: So we will start again at

9 20 to 12

10 (11.22 am)

11 (A short break)

12 (11.40 am)

13 LADY JUSTICE THIRLWALL: Yes, Mr De La Poer.

14 MR DE LA POER: My Lady, the person in the witness box is Mrs Ruth Millward who, as my Lady knows, has been 15

16 granted a number of special measures, hence the

17 arrangement of the room.

LADY JUSTICE THIRLWALL: Yes, thank you. 18

19 MR DE LA POER: I wonder if she might be sworn,

20

21

23

5

8

19

MS RUTH MILLWARD (affirmed)

22 LADY JUSTICE THIRLWALL: Thank you.

Questions by MR DE LA POER

24 MR DE LA POER: Please could you state your full

25 name?

62

1 from the press coverage.

2 Q. So by the time we get to 2015 would it be fair

to say that you were aware of Beverley Allitt's actions

killing whilst on duty as a nurse? 4

> Α. That's correct.

6 O. Were you also aware of the murders carried out

7 at Stepping Hill Hospital in 2011?

> Α. I am.

Q. Were you in 2015? 9

10 Α. Yes, I was in 2015.

11 O. Yes. Were you aware that shortly before the

death of Child A, that nurse was sentenced for those 12

13 crimes?

14 Α. I was

So going into the period that we are going to 15 Q.

look at closely, was that something that was in your 16

17 recent memory?

I -- I would say I was aware of those facts. 18

It's difficult to say. In my recent memory, I would say

20 I was aware of those facts at the time.

21 Q. Well, we will come back to those when we get

22 to our timeline.

23 Staying with your background, having qualified as

a nurse, did you in the same year join the Countess of

25 Chester Hospital?

- I did. A. 1
- 2 Where you worked as a nurse escalating to the Q.
- 3 position of Matron; is that right?
 - A. That's correct.
- 5 Now, on the way to becoming a Matron, did you
- 6 act as the Quality Improvement Facilitator between 2004
- 7 and 2011?

- 8 A. I did.
- 9 Q. Was that in the Risk and Patient Safety
- 10 Department or was that still a clinical role?
- No, that was in the Risk and Patient Safety 11
- Department, the Quality Improvement Facilitator role is 12
- essentially the same as the Risk and Patient Safety 13
- Leads that you heard from previously. It's just 14
- a change in job title rather than a change in the 15
- 16 position.
- 17 In December of 2013, were you approached by
- the deputy Director of Nursing Sian Williams to see if 18
- 19 you were interested to go back to the Risk and Patient
- 20 Safety Department?
- 21 A. I was.
- 22 Q. So if we just picture this in our minds, 2011
- 23 you left the Risk and Patient Safety Department, became
- 24 a Matron?
- 25 A. Yes.

65

- 1 Risk and Patient Safety Leads; it was more that, as you
- 2 say, patient experience, the audit and improvement work,
- 3 health and safety.
- 4 In terms of who sat immediately beneath you in 5 the department, who were they?
- 6 I didn't have a deputy. So my team was almost
- 7 sub teams, if you like, so I had the Risk and Patient
- 8 Safety Leads, so there were five or so of those, I had
- 9 a patient experience or complaints manager, who then
- managed a small group of co-ordinators, I also had an 10
- 11 Audit Improvement Manager who again had a small group of
- staff underneath and then some of the staff around 12
- 13 practice development nurses, the blood transfusion
- 14 practitioners, health and safety and of course my
- administrative support as well. 15
 - So it was a very varied team.
- 17 In terms of the focus of this Inquiry, we are
- focused upon the Urgent Care Division and in particular 18
- the neonatal unit within that? 19
- 20 A. (Nods)

16

21 So who was the person in your department as at

67

- 22 2015 who was responsible for that?
- 23 For the Urgent Care Division, for Urgent Care
- 24 it would have been a lady called Nicola -- I am trying
- 25 to think of her surname now, apologies.

- And then a couple of years later invited to 1
- apply to go back in but this time as the head of that
- department? 3

4

8

9

13

- Α. That's correct
- Did you commence in that role in March of 2014 5
- 6 on a secondment or temporary basis which was
- 7 subsequently made substantive during 2015?
 - That's correct. A.
 - Q. Now, as Head of Risk and Patient Safety, who
- did you report to? 10
- 11 To Ms Sian Williams, the Deputy Director of Α.
- 12 Nursing.
 - Q. How many people were in your department?
- Initially it was a small team, around maybe 10 14
- or 12 and then over the period of time I was in post 15
- 16 that increased to around 30 staff.
- 17 And what sort of dates should we have in mind
- for when it reached 30 staff? 18
- 19 Α. I would say around June 2016, when a number of
- 20 the departments came under my management.
- 21 Did that result in any more Risk and Patient
- 22 Safety roles or were they on the patient experience
- 23 quality side?
- 24 Absolutely, it was, it became more integrated
- governance team, so the -- it wasn't more additional

- 1 Q. Perhaps it doesn't matter too much.
 - Α. But --
- Q. 3 Was there a separate person dealing with women
- 4 and children?

2

5

- There was indeed and that would have been
- 6 Ms Debbie Peacock who you met previously.
- 7 How did you find working with Ms Peacock?
- 8 Absolutely fine, she worked really well, she
- had a varied background which I thought was really 9
- helpful in the post and I never had any concerns 10
- 11 regarding her performance.
- Did you have regular meetings with her to 12
- understand what work she was engaged in? 13
- 14 Absolutely. We had a monthly one-to-one
- 15 meeting with all my Risk and Patient Safety Leads we had
- a weekly meeting which is Mrs Sian Williams also 16
- 17 supported and we would have daily team meetings again to
- look at priorities of the day or the week. So there 18
- 19 were many sub meetings.
- 20 So would you, as Head of Risk and Patient
- Safety, be expected to be aware of important work that 21
- 22 Debbie Peacock was undertaking?
- 23 Α. That's correct.
 - Did your role involve you attending QSPEC?
- 25 Α. It did.

24

- Q. So that is the Quality Safety and Patient 1 2 **Experience Committee?**
- 3 That's right. A.
- 4 The Inquiry has heard that that is a committee chaired by a Non-Executive Director that sits just below 5 6 board level?
- 7 A. That's correct.
- 8 Q. Was that an effective committee for ensuring 9 safety?
- 10 There was a cycle of business that the Α.
- corporate governance team or the director of legal 11
- services who oversaw corporate governance coordinated. 12
- There was feedback from the divisions, it wasn't written 13
- feedback, it was verbal feedback and I think that's 14
- potentially the gap that we had. 15
- 16 My participation was providing oversight of our 17
 - Serious Incident investigations and there were a number
- of other reports that I would also support. 18
- 19 So you will forgive me, you have described
- 20 what it did. My question was: was it effective?
- 21 A. My -- my impression at the time was that it
- 22 was effective.

6

7

- 23 Q. So was it your impression at the time that if
- it was informed of a significant patient safety concern, 24
- 25 action would be taken?

69

- 1 So when I am talking around assurance and 2 reporting arrangements, that is corporate governance and 3 the responsibility for that sat with the Director of 4 Legal Services.
 - So I was a contributor to the QSPEC meeting, I was not -- I was not responsible for overseeing how the service how QSPEC was managed.
- 8 What I am sharing with you, sir, is, is my 9 experience over time and that's my observations being 10 years later. 10
- 11 O. But as the Head of Risk and Patient Safety didn't you have a responsibility to make sure that that 12 committee which was just below board level was as 13 14 effective as it possibly could be?
- 15 No, that is not my responsibility. As I say, what you are referring to is corporate governance, 16
- 17 assurance and reporting arrangements and the
- responsibilities for how that runs, the cycles of 18
- business, Terms of Reference that sits with the director 19
- 20 of legal services and for quality and safety
- 21 specifically, that sat with Mrs Kelly.
- 22 But do you not have a say in this?
- 23 I can contribute. If I felt that I had
- 24 a report that needed to be submitted, I could escalate

71

25 that and ask for something to go on the agenda but it

- That's correct. That would be my impression 1 Α. 2 at the time.
- 3 Q. Well, knowing what -- you have caveated what 4 you said twice with "at the time", do you now have other
- knowledge that suggests that it wasn't as effective as 5
- 6 you thought it was at the time?
- 7 I think my reflections over the time since
- the -- the events that occurred, there's been an 8
- 9 evolution of governance and how we report and how we
- 10 provide assurances.
- 11 At the time, as I say, a lot of the assurances
- given from the divisions were verbal. In today's world 12
- you would see that as a written report with levels of 13
- assurance linking it to risk management and key areas of
- concern, so the escalation of -- of issues would be 15
- 16 clearer and obviously it's around ensuring that
- 17 everyone's voice is heard.
- 18 I think when you are providing verbal feedback it's
- 19 easy to become distracted by the conversation that
- 20 happens in the room and maybe some of the other key
- points may not be verbalised but by providing an 21
- 22 assurance report you can be sure that your key messages
- 23 are heard at the right level.
- 24 Was that improvement something that you should
- have ensured sooner than it did?

- 1 wouldn't be my responsibility to request changes to the 2 way assurances were provided.
- 3 Even if you perceived that they were not being

As I say at that time, I felt the committee

- 4 provided in as effective a way as possible?
- 6 was effective, the way that communications we were
- 7 having, we speak about the learning and the evolution of
- 8 governance over this time period.
- Just returning to your role and its progress 9
- over time. Was that role the subject of a consultation 10
- in early 2017 resulting in it being placed in an "at 11
- risk" position? 12

5

13

16

- A. That's correct.
- 14 Did you, (redacted), leave the Trust on
- 15 31 March of 2017?
 - Α. I did.
- 17 Q. But just so that we understand your dates, and
- we don't need to go into the detail, was there a reason 18
- for you to be absent from work from the 3 March? 19
- 20 Α. I did. (Redacted).
- 21 So in fact your last effective day would have
- 22 been around 2 March?
- 23 Α. Yes.
- 24 Now, in terms of improvements or changes that
- have been made since you left, firstly can you just tell 25

us something about deteriorating patient groups?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15 16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

So at the time one of the focus that the Trust did have was around sepsis and recognising the deteriorating patients. It was very adult focused and it was a scheme of work that the team was looking at.

I think over time what organisations have looked at reporting incidents and different schemes of work to improve patient safety but looking at it more widely than just -- just adults, so in the Trust at the time that deteriorating or that sepsis group was purely focused in the adult environment, it didn't capture the children's, you know, service at the time.

So it was when you have a group like that, it drives the type of incidents you may see because it is used as one of the ways of monitoring the effectiveness of the improvements that you are putting in place and I think that may be one of the reasons why we didn't see some of the incidents being reported for the children's areas in the way that perhaps we did see them being reported for our adult areas.

- Whose responsibility would it have been to push for children's services to be included in the deteriorating patient group?
- 24 Well, it was -- as I say it was a sepsis group 25 specifically which is a smaller subset, if you like, of

learning and again how she appears to have managed the feedback from the Serious Incident Panel so I would, you know, welcome those and they were good ideas.

So we are going to turn now to look in a little bit more detail at how your department functioned when you were the head of it. Firstly, were you a sufficiently well resourced department to do what you needed to do?

I think it's very difficult to say as A. a service adequately resourced because it is not like a ward where you would have a, say, staffing level. Each -- each Trust will have a slightly different model for governance or patient safety and that will depend upon the size of the organisation and the type of, you know, organisation it is.

Certainly I -- the only time I became concerned around my resources was in February 2016 and that was when Mrs Peacock was already leaving. I had another Risk and Patient Safety Lead who came to me saying that she would be leaving for a promotion in another Trust then I was instructed to release another of my Risk and Patient Safety Leads to go to a clinical role within the Planned Care Division.

24 So at that point, between February 2016 and I would 25 say May 2016, the service -- there was a fragility there

75

the deteriorating patients. Well, there was a Trust 1 2 Deteriorating Patient Lead who I believe was one of the 3 Consultant anaesthetists at the time so it would be appropriate to scope that more widely, but essentially 4 it would be -- the responsibility to ensure your area 5 6 was involved would be would sit with the clinical lead, 7 so in this case it would be Dr Brearey.

8 Ms Fogarty has listed in her statement, and 9 you have been asked to consider, a very large number of 10 changes that have been made since you left. Obviously it's important that we are focused here on those which 11 are relevant to the Inquiry's Terms of Reference and 12 I certainly don't ask you to rehearse all of them, but 13 were there any of those changes that you would pick out that you consider represent improvements that may have 15 16 supported a better response to the situation the 17 Countess of Chester found itself in? 18 Well, I have looked at the list, obviously it

19 is not in front of me. but I have looked at that list 20 that she has provided. A significant number of those 21 listed were things that were already in place and they 22 would just again be the natural evolution of the 23 service.

24 I think one of the positives that I did see was around a newsletter, some more communication around 74

and I did escalate that, Mrs Kelly was aware -- was essentially ultimately responsible for quality and safety and I added that as a risk on the Risk Register at the same time just so that again I could monitor and

5 track all the different pieces of work that I was doing. 6 I think one of the -- one of the reasons why I was 7 keen to bring in the Patient Experience Team and the

Audit and Improvement Team was to have a more integrated 8 9 governance approach but that in itself would mean that

there was closer collaboration and more support for 10

11 essentially fulfilling the duties of the team. In the period that you have described as there 12

13 being a fragility --14

Α. Mm-hm.

2

3

4

15 -- do you think that that fragility impacted in any practical or real way on how your department 16 17 responded to the situation during those months?

18 I think it was -- I think there was further contribution by -- it coincided with our CQC routine 19 20 inspection which was a full Trust inspection. So certainly that would have been my priority at the time 21 22 and the priority of a number of my admin team as well. 23 Without doubt having that gap in team members, you

24 know, we tried to mitigate by putting interim staff members in place. However, that is not the same as 25

3

4

having that continued line of sight, you know, by a member of staff who is permanent. So I have no doubt it will have had an impact, we did try to mitigate as much as possible.

- Can you just help us to understand a little bit more your role as Head of Risk and Patient Safety, a very important role I am sure you would agree; is that
 - A. Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

11

20

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

10 Q. But you have just said that at a time when your department was being depleted, your focus was on the CQC inspection, can you just help us to understand 12 how you see that inspection as important but it might be 13 thought that patient safety and risk is more important because that affects patients' lives, so can you just 15 16 help us with why you chose to give your attention to

17 that CQC inspection over patient safety? 18

Well, it wouldn't be a case of that was all 19 I was focused upon. But it would definitely have been my priority. The CQC inspections are routine 21 inspections that happen infrequently, when they do it is 22 a significant amount of work to prepare for.

23 A significant number of documents are required ahead of the inspection and all of those need validating and 24

25 managing.

77

1 told us that that was a period of the start of some 2 fragility within your department, and you have told us your priority was the CQC, do you think in fact you 3 4 misprioritised and gave insufficient support to your 5 staff at that time?

> A. No, I don't believe that's true.

Q. If you would please just expand on why you say that?

Because -- because my team, there was mitigations put in place, there were additional staff that were put in place to help manage the gaps in staffing, those staff were supported, I would meet with them regularly, I would go through things that they were raising if they had any concerns. All of that remained

What the gap, the fragility, if you like, was that people rather than being able to do or commit a full-time number of hours so 37 and a half hours being a full-time contract, rather than being able to do that it would perhaps be, you know, a half-time contract. So they had to focus themselves on what they could achieve in that time period. So again at that point in time the incidents would always be the top priority of those staff members and therefore if there was anything that they were concerned about, it would be that they would

Certainly also there's work-around helping the staff prepare for such an inspection, encouraging them to, you know, be open and honest about what's going on in their areas and ensure that they have the information that they may need to hand as well.

6 During the inspection as well, which is only a few 7 days, there are again multiple calls for different datasets and similarly afterwards. You know, there was -- as head of risk, that was one of my key 9 10 responsibilities was to ensure that CQC was responded to and any questions that they had that we provided that 11 information to them. 12

13 Obviously whilst I am saying it was my priority, 14 I had a team I worked alongside with, they had -- you know, we continued with all those meetings, I explained 15 16 so there was opportunity for us to ensure that again 17 there was line of sight, there was escalation of things 18 that was happening and, you know, I was supporting my 19 staff at the same time.

20 We know now that February of 2016 in terms of the thematic review that was conducted that month is 21 22 a very important month and a very important opportunity 23 for matters to be escalated.

24 A. (Nods)

25

7

25

Looking back on it, bearing in mind you have

1 raise them with myself.

2 Okay, we will come back when we look at our timeline to that. Let's just deal again with 4 an overview. So the job title has changed over time, at the time we are concerned with it is Risk and Patient 5 6 Safety Lead.

Α. Mm-hm.

8 Q. Just help us to understand how that became Risk Midwife. 9

Yes. So the Risk and Patient Safety Lead role 10 Α. is multi-faceted, it looks at incidents, it is there 11 also to support with some national guidance a variety of 12 13 other schemes of work that they do support but the 14 incidents in the main.

15 In or around 2015/16 there was a lot of national information around maternity safety in particular and it 16 17 was felt that -- certainly I felt it was an opportunity with Mrs Peacock leaving to almost reframe the role so 18 that that could be part of the focus. There was 19 20 a number of guidelines coming in and I thought that changing the role slightly would help with that and 21 22 support the maternity services. The maternity services

23 were always the larger part of the role anyway as

24 Patient Safety Lead.

> It may be only a matter of semantics but this 80

- 1 is a phrase or a title that you were supportive of
- 2 promoting, but it may be thought that moving from Risk
- 3 and Patient Safety Lead which covers all of the
- 4 children's services to Risk Midwife is focusing on
- 5 midwifery and obstetrics potentially at the expense of
- 6 the neonatal unit and paediatrics. Can you just help us
- 7 with how that didn't slip through the gaps, if it
- 8 didn't?
- 9 A. Absolutely. Well, obstetrics and neonatal
- 10 team services, they are essentially a continuation of
- 11 care. You know, what happens for mum and baby during
- 12 labour, that has an impact upon the baby going into the
- 13 neonatal unit. So there is natural flow. The role was
- 14 still to focus upon those areas. It was more, as I say,
- 15 the fact that there was some national guidance coming
- 16 out and I felt it important that the team get involved
- 17 in that and therefore by reframing the role slightly to
- 18 that as a Risk Midwife it wasn't forgetting about the
- 19 neonatal or the paediatric service at all; it was just
- 20 a case of trying to ensure that those national guidance
- 21 and those improvements could be supported by a member of
- 22 my team.

3

4

- 23 Q. Why did the title need to change if it made no
- 24 difference to the role?
- 25 A. Because it felt that -- I felt at the time
 - 81
- 1 that period. From your perspective, is that a fair
 - characterisation of what was expected of her?
 - A. No. So again the expectation was to keep
 - retaining oversight of the incident activity and support
- 5 the all of the areas with that and then escalate any
- 6 matters of concern to myself. It was not and never had
- 7 been an expectation that Ms McMahon would essentially be
- 8 fulfilling two jobs, it is not a possibility.
- 9 **Q.** So let's just understand this. Ms Peacock had
- 10 been a full-time role; is that right?
- 11 **A.** (Nods)
- 12 Q. When Ms Peacock left she was no longer able to
- 13 do it, that full-time role?
- 14 **A.** Mm-hm.
- 15 Q. Was it expected that Ms McMahon would do that
- 16 full-time role?
- 17 A. No, Mrs McMahon was also doing what was her
- 18 normal day-to-day activity. As I said, the focus for
- 19 Mrs McMahon in covering the gap in staffing was that she
- 20 would solely focus upon the incident activity. The role
- 21 of the Risk and Patient Safety Lead is broader than just
- 22 the incident activity and that was what I had asked her
- 23 to do to retain oversight during that period.
- 24 Q. You will just have to help us, please, you
- 25 have used that phrase a number of times, "incident

- 1 given the national focus that that was the important
- 2 thing to do. I felt that it would help give a --
- 3 give -- I felt that it would help us demonstrate that we
- 4 were listening and responding to the national guidance
- 5 at the time and show that we were taking that seriously.
- Q. So that is how others in the outside worldwould perceive you but in terms of the day-to-day,
- 8 internally, do you think there might have been a risk
- 9 that the focus of the person doing that role was
- 10 weighted too heavily as a result on midwifery?
- 11 A. No, because as I have said, the midwifery
- 12 services was always the larger part of the activity and
- 13 it was never -- it was never anticipated that the
- 14 neonatal or the paediatric services would be cast aside
- 15 as such. The expectation was always that that support
- 16 would continue.
- 17 Q. So as we have covered already, Ms Peacock left
- 18 in February of 2016?
 - A. She did.
- 20 Q. Her role, as we have heard from Ms McMahon,
- 21 was covered by Ms McMahon for the period February 2016
- 22 to May 2016.

19

23

9

- A. (Nods)
- Q. What Ms McMahon told us was that she was in
- 25 effect doing two full-time jobs at the same time during
 - 8
- 1 activity", please can you just tell us what you mean by
- 2 "incident activity"?
- 3 A. So the number of incidents that have been
- 4 reported by the local areas, so in that case obstetrics,
- 5 gynaecology, the neonatal unit and paediatrics.
- 6 Q. What about, as we will come to in much more
- 7 detail shortly, the thematic review that we know
- 8 Dr Brearey authored?
 - A. (Nods)
- 10 Q. Does that fall under incident activity or is
- 11 that something different?
- 12 A. So the thematic review was a review of
- 13 incidents and other deaths so yes, that would have
- 14 fallen under so for her to retain oversight of where
- 15 that was up to.
- 16 Q. As I say we will come to the detail of that in
- 17 due course.
- 18 Finally, to complete the picture of the evolution
- 19 of Risk and Patient Safety Lead to Risk Midwife,
- 20 Annemarie Lawrence took over as we understand it in May
- 21 of 2016?

25

- 22 **A.** She did.
- 23 Q. And just tell us what your experience was of
- 24 working with Ms Lawrence?
 - A. I thought Ms Lawrence was a really good

employee, she worked very well, I had no concerns about 1 2 her performance. We met regularly. I was aware she was 3 really struggling connecting with Dr Brearey in 4 particular. We met during our one-to-ones and spoke frequently around dealing with or how to manage 5 6 difficult and challenging relationships, how to try and 7 ensure we are more visible and responsive as needed but

I had no concerns about her performance at all.

So we are going to deal with the relationship with Dr Brearey now and if we could please bring up INQ0006769 on our screen. It's an email dated 15 July, it's a snapshot in time.

So if we scroll to the bottom so that you can see the context, you have and we are here in a situation following the deaths of Child O and Child P?

> A. (Nods)

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15 16

21

22

1

8

9

11 12

15

16

18

17 And the hospital's internal work, this was part of it. You requested for information to be sent to 18 19 Dean Bennett by a particular date, we can see that at 20 the very bottom of your thread there?

> A. Mm-hm.

Q. If we go up, please, in the thread and we will 23 need to go one more page but we will look at the bottom. 24 We don't need to go over every line, I am sure you

25 have had had an opportunity to consider this email both

came out which demonstrated that there did -- there 2 should be a greater focus on maternity. I spoke with 3 Ms Julie Fogarty, who was Head of Midwifery at that 4 time, to explore her thoughts on that. She felt that 5 that was an appropriate change and would support her 6 area; as I am sure you would appreciate, she would say 7 that.

I raised that with my line manager and also with Mrs Kelly and Mrs Kelly fully supported that. At the 10 point where I was going to go over to see Dr Brearey and in fact Dr Jayaram, to talk through how this might work, I understood that Ms Fogarty had actually already shared 13 that information without my knowledge and I therefore 14 attended a meeting with the paediatricians to talk through how this could work and I had made it very, very clear that this was not a case that the paediatric team 17 or the neonatal unit that we were not forgetting them, that that support would continue.

19 My reflections of Dr Brearey's response is that he 20 didn't truly understand what the role was expected, if I may. So Mrs Peacock had been in post for a number of 21 22 years. It's a smaller environment, you can get closer 23 and more involved with different pieces of work and 24 I think that Mrs Peacock did actually have those relationships already there and therefore was -- was 25

87

1 at the time and subsequently.

2 But if I can summarise it in this way: Dr Brearey 3 expresses a number of concerns about Ms Lawrence?

> Mm-hm. Δ

We can see at the bottom of the page he raises 5 6 concern about the creation of the role of Risk Midwife 7 which he says occurred without any discussion with paediatricians or consideration that she would have to 8 cover neonatology which he described as quite 9 10 concerning. Let's deal with that.

11 What is your response to what Dr Brearey says 12 there?

13 With regards to the development of the role of 14 the Risk Midwife?

15 Q. Well, firstly is it right that the role of 16 Risk Midwife was created without any discussion with the 17 paediatricians or consideration that she would have to 18 cover neonatology?

19 Α. I'm sorry, I didn't get the last bit.

20 I am just reading from here, was the role of 21 Risk Midwife created without any discussion with 22 paediatricians or consideration that she would have to 23 cover neonatology?

24 So I will talk you through a bit more detail so as I say there was a number of national guidance that

1 being more involved than perhaps the role envisaged.

2 When Mrs Lawrence took over, we were very clear 3 through her induction as to what was expected and 4 Mrs Lawrence was following those instructions and one of 5 the reasons I say that is because in some of the 6 communications from Mr Brearey he keeps referring to

7 quality improvement, you know, I expect the team to do 8 quality improvement. Despite the previous job title

9 being Quality Improvement Facilitator, the team were

never involved in true quality improvement. The Trust 10

11 had its own Quality Improvement Team.

12 So I think this is more Dr Brearey's understanding 13 of what the role was, the role never -- well, didn't 14 change with regards to the support that was being offered to paediatrics and neonatology at all, I think 15

it was his interpretation of what he thought he should 16

be getting and then obviously the Risk Midwife title 17

which as you say yes, was -- was approved by the 18

Executives before they were aware of but the opportunity 19

20 that I had to go and speak to them Ms Fogarty had

21 already shared that information.

22 Q. I think that it comes to this, the decision 23 had already been made --

Α.

24

25

Q. -- before paediatrics and neonatology were

consulted?

1

2

3

9

20

- A. Yes. But at no point was this going to be a withdrawal of support for paediatrics, neonatology.
- 4 We can see next in the following sentence he 5 says:

6 "I have concerns about Annemarie's competence.

7 Both Eirian and myself sat down with her at the

8 beginning of her job to explain her role and our

expectations, the most significant of [over the page,

10 please] which was to arrange and minute monthly neonatal

incident review meetings. This was seemingly forgotten 11

and we are now at a point where I will be meeting to go 12

13 through three months' worth of incidents."

14 Again, can I please invite your response to that 15 criticism?

16 A. Absolutely. I would say that it's not the 17 responsibility of the Risk and Patient Safety Leads to set up local incident review meetings. Our role is to 18 19 facilitate the conversation and by providing the

21 And I think as I was alluding to before, I think

22 Mrs Peacock perhaps went over and above because she had

23 already those that experience and had those

relationships but it would not be my expectation of 24

25 Mrs Lawrence as Risk Midwife to set up those meetings.

1 behind this?

information.

- 2 I -- I think, I absolutely think that that is
- 3 the issue. I think, you know, looking at this, you
- 4 know, I think perhaps if I could have explained a little
- 5 bit more around my expectations of Ms Lawrence and
- 6 explained that to Dr Brearey then perhaps we would have
- 7 been on the same page. It is apparent from this email
- 8 that we were not.

9

10

- How much do you think the fact that it was presented not just to Dr Brearey but to the paediatric
- 11 and neonatologists as a fait accompli, how much do you
- think that had to do with this breakdown? 12
- I honestly don't know. I know that when 13
- 14 I attended that meeting it was very unpleasant. I've
- referred in my statement to Dr Jayaram's approach 15
- towards me, his attitude towards me at that meeting. 16
- 17 I think obviously it wasn't helpful and it would have
- been better if we would have had the opportunity to 18
- speak to them before Ms Fogarty had shared that 19
- 20 information, to give the assurances that from my view
- 21 definitely there was no withdrawal of support for those
- 22 areas
- 23 My final question upon this situation is this: Q.
- 24 it is just to help us to understand the process whereby
- midwifery were consulted before it went to the 25

- To attend and provide the information and contribute to 1
- 2 the discussion, yes, but to set them up, no.
- So if we just pause there. The job title has 3 4 changed?
 - Α.
- 6 Q. And the level of support to neonatology that 7

was previously being offered has been reduced?

Obviously I would say no because my view is

that -- my view is that it would not be the 9

10 responsibility of my team to set up an incident review

meeting. That responsibility sits with the clinical 11

12 lead.

5

8

13 You know, I -- I don't know whether Mrs Peacock did 14 set up those meetings for them, because I wouldn't have attended them but it would not be my expectation that 15

16 Mrs Lawrence would do so.

17 It is probably my question. Whatever the job description, the title has changed and the actual level 18 19 of support that was being offered by the person doing

20 the role had been reduced. Is that -- I mean, in

reality is that what Dr Brearey's experience of it will 21

22 have been?

23 Α. I would say that is Dr Brearey's perception of

24 it, yes.

25

Q. So is there a communication problem that lies

- Executives, but paediatrics were not.
- 2 Because by speaking to Ms Fogarty and
- exploring would it be of benefit to -- to the service as
- 4 in having somebody with my team supporting them more
- 5 with these national guidance than perhaps we had been
- 6 involved previously, that was -- that was the primary
- 7 change to the role so I wanted to understand would that
- 8 be helpful. You know, I fully accept it would have been
- helpful, beneficial to have spoken to Dr Brearey, 9
- Dr Jayaram beforehand. 10

11 But at that point, it felt reasonable to go

- directly in and seek the Executive approval. 12
- 13 We are going to look at an email from
- 14 David Semple now which postdates your time in the Trust,
- 15 INQ0103134. So your last effective day was 2 March of
- 16 2017?
- 17 Α. (Nods)
- 18 Q. We can see that once you left Ms Fogarty is --
- her title -- Interim Associate Director of Risk and 19
- 20 Safety and what Mr Semple lists is a number of what he
- describes as issues, previous poor leadership within the 21
- 22 Risk and Complaints Team, members of the Risk Team on
- 23 very short secondments, no time to settle into post
- before they move back, general lack of communication
- from the Risk Team leadership to teams and the front

lines staff, no feedback on Datix reports, no feedback on incidents.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

14

15 16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

21

22

23

I am not going to read them all out, but each one of those is of potential relevance to the matters the Inquiry are investigating. I would like to give you an opportunity to comment on whether those are fair and accurate criticisms of the department under your leadership?

A. It was very difficult to read this email. It 10 was very disappointing but I have to say not unexpected. My relationship with Mr Semple was not easy and all 11 communications with him were perhaps much harder than 12 13 they needed to be.

When I read this email I see somebody who is taking over a service, who has no understanding at all of the continuous -- the journey of continuous improvement that was put in place year-on-year but somebody who has picked up a service that obviously at that point has not only lost myself but had lost the Deputy Director of Nursing as well so had lost two of the -- of the main leadership roles. I don't agree with everything in this at all.

A number of those things were in place. You know, we refer repeatedly around feedback around incidents and incident reports. You know, you only have to look at

influence is -- is just not plausible and just as I say just demonstrates to me that he didn't really understand the team and of course at that point I will have left, as will have Mrs Williams, so I don't think he would have had a handover to understand actually what the team was, was responsible for delivering.

I mean, were there a plethora of committees and boards within the Trust with no clear reporting or escalation structure?

10 There were -- there were obviously a series of committees and boards. The structure was clear, it is 11 12 in the risk management strategy. I think the Women and 13 Children's Services by adding in an additional 14 governance board confused their process but the -- the route of escalation for them, for the neonatal unit in 15 that case would be from the neonatal unit meetings 16 17 through to the division of Urgent Care and up into QSPEC or the Corporate Directors Group. So there were 18 a series of meetings but there was a line of reporting. 19 20

Might that email suggest that people didn't actually understand because it was so complicated?

Well, possibly, yes. I don't -- I don't know the back story to him completing this, this email.

24 Well, you have mentioned the risk management policy and we can take that down, thank you very much. 25

the CQC reports from the time and that will tell you 1

2 that every single area spoke that they did get feedback

3 from incident reports. I think you have already heard

4 evidence that spoke around feedback was provided through

local boards of -- you know, communication boards. So 5

6 there were different ways and different routes of 7 providing that feedback.

8 Certain things around -- he says no training in place. That's untrue. There was training in place and 9 10 that had been -- we actually set up a bespoke training programme called Clinical Human Factors in Patient 11 Safety and that was ran by our Head of Education. 12

13 And again issues around report writing. Our 14 reports were commended by the CCG so I find that hard to 15 believe.

16 I think the one that concerns me and demonstrates 17 to me that Mr Semple didn't actually understand the remit of the role or indeed of myself is the last bullet 18 19 point when he talks about this plethora of committees 20 with no clear reporting and escalation. You know, that 21 again is the corporate governance assurance reporting 22 structure and that again sat as the responsibility with 23 Mr Cross as the Director of Legal Services.

24 To -- to infer that somebody in my position, so 25 I was a Band 8A, that I would have that level of

1 We are going to turn now to consider the risk 2 management policy. We will come back to some of the 3 relationships --

Α. Okay.

4

5

-- as we go. Q.

6 But we will now look at that. Before we bring it 7 up on screen, I just want to remind you of something you 8 said in your witness statement.

You said this: at the time the risk maturity of the 9 hospital meant that the Risk Register tended to focus 10 11 upon current issues rather than emerging risks and I would just like you to help us to understand why you 12 13 say that?

14 (Nods) Absolutely. So at the time the -- the 15 Risk Register and completing risk assessments was still a relatively new process in the organisation, so it was 16 17 very much linked with problems that were already happening, so current issues. 18

19 But with risk management you also want to look 20 ahead, so if you have a strategic objective, whether it is local within the speciality or within the division, 21 22 so they would have had objectives that they would have 23 set themselves, what they want to deliver across the 24 next 12 months, for example.

25 If you were able to look and use a risk management

process you would be looking to see what risks may exist
 to delivering those objectives and that's what I am
 referring to as emerging risks, not necessarily things
 that happening today but may well do if perhaps
 a particular trajectory may continue.

So particularly around performance management, things like that.

- 8 **Q.** If we look at it in practical -- and I hope 9 you will forgive me -- blunt terms, more babies dying 10 than are expected is a current risk?
 - A. Absolutely.
- 12 **Q.** That has got nothing to do with the maturity 13 level of the hospital; would you agree?
- 14 **A.** Yes
- Q. So would you expect that whatever the position
 in terms of strategic planning, the hospital should have
 been well equipped to deal with that sort of current
- 18 risk?

6

7

11

- 19 A. As in identifying the risk?
- 20 **Q**. Yes
- 21 A. Yes.
- 22 **Q.** Well, let's have a look at the risk management
- 23 policy. We are going to have a look at the version
- 24 dated December 2015 which is part of the way through,
- but the changes I am sure you will be able to confirm
- the mortality rate, would you agree that that can
 properly be characterised as a high risk area relative
 to the other risks that the hospital would be facing?
- 4 **A.** I'm sorry, I didn't -- I didn't get what you 5 have just said.
- Q. No, I am happy to repeat it. Consider the
 issue facing the neonatal unit, an increase
 a significant increase in the mortality rate?
- 9 **A.** (Nods)
- 10 **Q.** Can that properly be described as a high risk 11 area for the hospital to address?
- 12 **A.** As in the neonatal unit or the deaths?
- 13 **Q**. The deaths?
- 14 **A.** Yes.
- 15 Q. So there is a significant increase in the
- 16 number of deaths?
- 17 **A.** Yes

18

- Q. Would you regard that as a high risk area?
- 19 **A.** I would -- I would -- it's the language there,
- 20 it's the language.
- 21 Q. Well, you use the words you want to --
- 22 **A.** Okay.
- 23 **Q.** -- answering my question as best you can.
- 24 **A.** Okay, thank you.
- 25 Certainly any area that would see an increase in, 99

1 are not significant in terms of what we are focused on.

2 So INQ0014962. So that is a document I hope you 3 recognise and we can go to page 3, please. Again we

4 will just, through you, Mrs Millward, introduce some of

5 the concepts which lie behind risk management according

6 to this document and we can see the heading "Principles

7 of Risk Management" and there is a list including risks

8 will be actively managed and positive assurance sought.

9 So presumably the prior step is to identify the 10 risk so that it can be actively managed?

11 **A.** (Nods)

12

20

23

- Q. The Risk Registers will be live, actively
- 13 managed and review documents. The risk management is
- 14 the responsibility of all staff within their own sphere
- 15 of work, high risk areas and activities will attract
- 16 greatest focus and attention. Then there will be
- 17 learning from analysis of incidents, complaints and
- 18 claims and explicit rollout of identified problems.
- 19 So that is the list there?
 - A. (Nods)
- 21 Q. Perhaps it goes without saying that hospitals
- 22 experience death every day?
 - A. (Nods)
- 24 Q. But if we look at the problem that was facing
- 25 the neonatal unit in terms of a significant increase in

9

in mortality would be an area of concern and it would be an area that we would want to know about, it would be an

area that we would want to explore to understand.
 So from a risk perspective it would be something
 that we would absolutely want to understand and then if,

6 if the mitigations perhaps were not robust enough or we

7 needed to do some further work before we felt that that

8 risk was adequately managed, then that would be where

9 the Risk Register would come in. So you would

10 articulate those concerns on to the Risk Register.

- 11 Q. So we will go over the page to page 4, please.
- 12 It is under the heading of the "Risk management
- 13 structure" and we can see that there is a committee, if
- 14 that's the right word, called the Corporate Directors
- 15 Group who have delegated responsibility for reviewing
- 16 the Executive Risk Register and board assurance
- 17 framework?
- 18 A. That's correct.
- 19 Q. Now, the Inquiry has received information from
- 20 the Countess of Chester that the Corporate Directors
- 21 Group was not used for this purpose from around autumn
- 22 of 2016 and in fact it was what was described as Part 2
- 23 of the Executive Directors group that was used. Is that
- 24 change something that you were aware of taking place
- 25 while you were there?

A. I don't recall. I don't recall when, when the 1 Corporate Directors Group stopped. Obviously you have 2 3 provided some, some information in my bundle to assist 4 me. But I -- I don't recall and that certainly was not something that would have been, you know, my, my 5 6 decision.

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

22

I can't account for the reasons why it would have stopped. That would be Mr Cross who essentially oversaw the CDG.

- As head of Risk and Patient Safety, would you expect to be consulted about any structural change in relation to the management of the Executive Risk Register?
- At the level that I was performing at, my 14 Α. responsibility was to provide that information to the 15 16 Executive team for the decision-making and oversight. 17 It wouldn't have been my, my role to try to
- 18 influence the committee structure. That, that just 19 would not have been my, my remit at all.
- 20 Would it have been your role to be asked 21 whether you had a view on it?
- Probably not at that point. 23 Q. Just to unpick that for a moment. The title
- 24 of Head of Risk and Patient Safety --25 I think -- I think --

101

- 1 Risk and Patient Safety unless the Executive Directors 2 needed to be involved. Is that not an accurate 3 characterisation of your role?
- 4 No. So I wouldn't have ultimate 5 responsibility for, for risk and incident matters.
- 6 My, my role, as I say, was very much an 7 intermediary to ensure that my team was following our 8 incident and risk management policies, that we had 9 a route of escalation and to ensure, as much as we could, that the Executive team was sighted on those 10
- 11 things. But certainly the -- as I say, my level of influence isn't, isn't what you have -- what you 12
- 13 believe.
- 14 In terms of this document, would you have been 15 consulted or had an input into the content?
- 16 So this is an updated document which I believe I added the -- there was a change with the meeting 17 structure further on I think in the appendices and 18 Mr Cross sent that over. So I updated it in line with, 19
- 20 with that. But the actual content I think has remained
- the same for a period of time. 21
- 22 If we go over the page to page 5 we can see 23 the definition of risk is given and in particular, and
- 24 it's just a small point, but given that you had some
- input into the content in terms of the revision: 25 103

Q. If I may.

2 A. Yes.

3 Q. -- tends to suggest that that is exactly the 4 sort of role that you would have. Can you just help us to understand that apparent disconnect? 5

6 Yes. I think, I think the role is perhaps 7 misleading to how you may be perceiving it.

8 So my role, my responsibilities was to essentially 9 design and develop the systems and processes in place in 10 which we would have risk management or we would deliver risk management and incident management, primary -- they 11 were the primary functions. But it was very much around 12 supporting the local teams in delivering their clinical 13 14 governance, so their incident management and risk management. 15

16 My level of influence isn't what you -- what you or 17 what the Inquiry perhaps perceive. I think the job title is a little bit misleading as to the level of 18 19 influence there.

20 It was very much a head of department role and 21 acting very much as an intermediary between the local 22 teams and the divisions and the Executive teams with 23 regards to decision-making.

24 One interpretation of that title is that you had principal responsibility for all matters relating to

1 "Clinical risks are risks which have the ability to 2 affect patient care and may cause harm to the patient. 3 This covers anything related to diagnosis, treatment and 4 outcome of each patient. Psychological harm or distress 5 is also included. The following are some examples of

7 And then there is no list.

(Nods)

clinical risks."

6

8

Q. There is instead a change of topic. I mean --9

10 I can't -- I can't explain that, I'm sorry. Α.

11 It's obviously an error.

Is that something that should have been picked 12 13 up if this is a document that is designed to help 14 employees at the Trust to understand what the position 15

16 Absolutely. Well, all documents go through a 17 ratification process. This document as I say with the updated committee structure would have been received at 18 QSPEC and approved by QSPEC members. 19

20 So obviously I haven't seen that, that line, and I would also expect that the committee members would 21 22 have reviewed this document if they were approving it, 23 which they did.

24 Over the page is how risk is to be managed and we heard something about this this morning from 25

1 Ms Townsend, so we don't need to go over it in detail.

2 But can I summarise it in this way: that it's

3 expected that it starts at the local level?

- A. (Nods)
- 5 Q. That it's expected that it will be escalated
- 6 through various boards?
- 7 A. (Nods)
- 8 Q. That there is a risk scoring system?
- 9 **A.** (Nods)
- 10 Q. And that when a particular risk achieves
- 11 a particular score, it will be escalated to the next
- 12 level?

4

- 13 A. That's correct.
- 14 Q. Well, we will look at the scoring in a moment
- 15 as we work our way through.
- 16 Page 7, the Executive Risk Register. We can see
- 17 here that that's a risk score of 16 or above or if the
- 18 risk carries significant concern but with a lower risk
- 19 score, and that is considered at the very top, is that
- 20 right?

22

- 21 A. That's correct.
 - Q. And just taking a step back for a moment.
- 23 Would you expect an unexpected increase in
- 24 mortality rate on the neonatal unit to make it up to the
- 25 Executive Risk Register?

105

- 1 that right?
- 2 A. Indeed.
- 3 Q. And so if we just circle back to your
 - characterisation of your role previously and its level
- 5 of responsibility and its opportunity to influence.
- 6 According to this document you are the designated
- 7 adviser on these topics, including up to the Executive
- 8 level.

4

- 9 A. I would -- I would -- if the -- if an issue
- 10 was raised to me I would -- I would obviously look at
- 11 that with the, whether it's a local team, a divisional
- 12 team or the Executive team, I would contribute to the
- 13 conversation as to whether a matter needed to go on to
- 14 the Risk Register, whether the controls put in place
- 15 felt adequate or not, how that might link with our board
- 16 issuance framework for example.
- 17 But obviously a lot of this is very much dependent
- 18 upon things being identified at local level and -- and
- 19 that being shared.
- 20 Q. But you frame that in terms of if people ask
- 21 you. But don't you have a positive obligation to try
- 22 and improve all matters of patient safety and risk by
- 23 offering advice as and when necessary?
- 24 **A.** Yes
- 25 **Q.** Finally by way of this document we will just 107

A. I think it's very difficult because, as you

- 2 say, clinical care is, is not linear; it is, it is
- 3 complex. So, you know, an increase in, in, in mortality
- 4 rates it's about understanding what is driving that and
- 5 either if we hadn't got that information or that -- that
- 6 we had got that information, that information showed
- 7 that we weren't mitigating those risks or controlling
- 8 those risks, then absolutely I would expect it to go on
- 9 the risk register.
- 10 Q. Well, we'll come to what happened and the
- 11 timing of it in due course.
 - A. Thank you.
- 13 Q. If we go to page 9 we'll see your role is set
- 14 out:

12

24

25

9

20

- 15 "The Head of Risk and Patient Safety [this is
- 16 two-thirds of the way down] has the delegated
- 17 responsibility for maintaining the Executive Risk
- 18 Register. The Head of Risk and Patient Safety also
- 19 advises the organisation on patient safety and risk
- 20 issues enabling the organisation to achieve key
- 21 governance and risk objectives."
- 22 So that latter part, you had an advisory role to
- 23 the entirety of the hospital, is that right?
 - A. It is.
 - Q. On all matters of patient safety and risk, is 106
- 1 look at page 14, which is to see the risk scoring
- 2 matrix, and this will become relevant in due course.
- 3 Just to understand, we know that two of the risks
- 4 that we are going to have a look at were given one
- 5 a score of 20, one a score of 15. The way this table
- 6 works means that there are two ways you can score 20,
- 7 either it's an almost certain, so there's a 1 in 10
- 8 likelihood of a major severity event?
 - A. (Nods)
- 10 Q. Or that you have a likely, which is
- 11 characterised in with 1 in 100, catastrophic event?
- 12 **A.** (Nods
- 13 Q. So either way, something very serious is at
- 14 risk of occurring which will require immediate action?
- 15 **A.** Ye
- 16 Q. And if we have a look at moderate, 15, which
- 17 another risk we are going to have a look at also scores
- 18 that, that's either a almost certain moderate severity
- 19 or a possible catastrophic?
 - A. Yes.
- 21 Q. Is the risk of an avoidable death of a child
- 22 occurring catastrophic?
- 23 A. Yes. So the top line, the 1 to 5 --
- 24 insignificant, minor, moderate, major, catastrophic --
- 25 that's the -- that's obviously the severity.

1 **Q.** Yes.

2 A. So yes, so if we are referring to the death of

3 a child, then, yes, it would be catastrophic.

Q. The avoidable death of a child?

A. Would be catastrophic.

Q. Would be catastrophic.

A. So it's considering the worst outcome from

8 this risk.

4

5

6

7

9 Q. Thank you. We can take that down and we will

10 have a look at the risks that I have been referring to

11 in a moment. But before we do, there's one other

12 document I would like please for us to have a look at

13 which is the Incident Decision Tree.

14 This forms part of the Trust guidelines for the

15 conduct of formal investigations. It is INQ0003324 if

16 we can go to page 15 just to get the context of the

17 chart. So it talks about an Incident Decision Tree,

18 which we are going to have a lock at in a moment,

19 appendix 1, which is -- we have some frequently asked

20 questions. It's designed for use by any manager dealing

21 with staff involved in a patient incident.

So that we understand what that means, is a patient

23 incident anything that would involve a Datix being

24 produced?

25

5

8

16

18

A. A -- yes, with regarding to clinical care,

Q. And obviously in the context of the phrase
 "deliberate harm test", has a member of staff
 deliberately harmed a patient?

4 **A.** Yes.

Q. That's the first question.

6 A. Yes.

7 Q. So just help us to understand, because none of

the doctors or nurses that we have heard from so far

9 have drawn attention to this or said that it was in

10 their mind, and that includes a number of nurse managers

11 and doctors with managerial responsibility. Whose

12 responsibility was it to circulate this and ensure that

13 everyone in the Trust understood it?

14 A. So it's part of the Trust guidelines. It's --

15 I'm sorry, the page has moved on so I can't tell you

what specific guidance it is but it's a HR -- the HR

17 processes.

Q. Yes.

19 A. So the responsibility obviously sits with the

20 HR team.

21 This was circulated. I can remember having various

111

22 conversations with managers using the Incident Decision

23 Tree. So it was -- it was in use throughout the

24 organisation. So I can't account whether the staff

25 you've spoken to was aware of it because it was -- it

1 yes. Obviously the incident reporting system included

2 non-clinical incidents as well.

3 Q. Yes, but in terms of what the Inquiry is

4 focused on --

5

A. Yes

6 Q. -- so a manager who is filling in a Datix

7 would be expected, would they, to have regard to this

8 Incident Tree?

9 A. All this was circulated to all managers, yes,

10 as part the guidelines.

11 Q. And ideally it should be used as soon as

12 possible after the patient safety incident?

13 **A.** (Nods)

14 Q. Whilst facts are still fresh in people's

15 minds?

16 A. That's correct.

17 Q. We can see that it's described as a flowchart

18 but rather than looking at the words used to describe it

19 let's actually have a look at it over the page and

20 really we don't need to get past the first step.

21 Start here in the top left-hand corner. The first

22 question that any person applying this is expected to

23 answer is under the heading "Deliberate harm test" were

24 the actions as intended -- were the actions as intended?

A Voc

110

1 was in use.

25

2

Q. You said it was circulated. When was it

3 circulated?

4 **A.** Well, it will have been circulated when it was

5 ratified originally and then obviously the updates.

6 The system that we had for the document management

7 system would highlight to staff when new documents had

8 been updated or if a current document had also been

9 updated. So all of that information would have been

10 there.

11 Q. And when applying this Incident Decision Tree,

12 what level of confidence or certainty would you have to

13 have in the answer before progressing? And let me

14 illustrate what I mean by that.

15 Were the actions as intended? Do you need to be

16 sure of that? Does it need to exist as a possibility?

17 Does it need to be more likely than not?

18 What should be -- when somebody is asking

19 themselves that question before they progress down that

20 column, which ends up with, among other things,

21 contacting the police, what level of confidence does

22 a person need to have to move downwards?

23 A. I think first and foremost the person needs to

24 be clear as to what the incident is, you know. So it's

5 understanding in this case with the deaths is was -- was

1 an incident -- was it a medication error for example? 2 So was it a drug, too much drug was given or too little 3 drug was given?

4

5 6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21 22

23

24

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

23

24

25

So understanding and through discussion with the staff member, you know, did they intend to give that level of, of medication? But did they -- did they know by doing so that they were going to cause that level of harm as a result of it?

So it's -- it's done through conjunction with the individual through a reflective discussion with the individual and it would be around the ward manager -largely this is used for nursing staff if I'm honest -having that understanding of the individual and having thought whether or not there was something more sinister, if you like, in their behaviour.

I don't think we ever sat and said: oh, there's a defined level of evidence, if you like, that needed to be provided and I don't think the national guidance did that either. But it would be, you know, through that conversation with the staff member and understanding their view and obviously that local investigation that that manager would be taking as well.

So, in practice, if somebody had come to you during 2015 and said, this person being a doctor: I am concerned that a member of staff is associated with

113

medication? But did we actually intend to cause the level of harm? It's -- it's not as straightforward as saying: We have these deaths and I have got a staff member that I am concerned about.

It would be about understanding: Well, what do you mean by that? What was that staff member's involvement and what, what do we understand has contributed to the death?

9 But from a risk management point of view, you only need a reasonable concern to provoke action, don't 10 11 you?

Yes, but it would be about exploring and trying to understand what has happened to -- for those people to have concerns about a staff member and to understand what has happened for that child.

It's very difficult for some incidents, for -- as I say, clinical care is not linear, it's sometimes difficult for people to understand whether the outcome has happened as a result of an incidence of something unexpected or intended that's happened to the patient or whether that is unfortunately the natural trajectory of 22 the patient's condition, or indeed is something in response that we have tried to do to help improve the patient's condition.

> It doesn't necessarily mean a -- a very poor 115

an increase in mortality --1

> A. (Nods)

3 -- I can't say that I have seen them do 4 anything, but I think there is a risk and that risk

implicitly includes that they have done this

6 deliberately, is that enough to progress down to the

7 bottom of that chart?

A. I think that would be difficult to say so 8 9 because, as I've said, it's about understanding what has

10 contributed to the harm. If we are referring to -- to the deaths of the --

11 of the children, you know, a -- a child, it sounds awful 12 and I apologise to the families if it sounds callous and 13 it's not meant in any way, but a child -- a child may die, as I say, an incident may happen, a medication --15 16 medication errors are particularly easy to talk through.

17 So a medication error may happen. It would -- I'm 18 not communicating very well, I apologise.

19 So an incident may come in. It would be -- it 20 could be a medication error. It could be significant 21 enough that it has contributed to -- to the harm to 22 a death of a child, but it could also be reported as 23 a no harm event as well.

24 So it's -- it's around were the actions as intended, do we intend to give that amount of 114

1 outcome does not necessarily mean that there has been 2 a harm as such.

3 Q. I'm not seeking to dispute any of that with 4 you. But this column here is specifically catering for 5 a circumstance in which the police may need to be 6 involved, so it is contemplating somebody like 7 Beverley Allitt deliberately harming patients.

8 That person is unlikely to admit to it when you have your discussion with them? 9

10 Α. (Nods)

11 And it may be that the only evidence before the police become involved is a clinical judgement that 12 too many incidents that shouldn't have happened have 13 14 happened?

15 Α.

Is that not enough for the purpose of this?

17 I don't think you would use the Incident

Decision Tree in that way. This is around an individual 18

incident that is then applied to an individual staff 19

20 member.

16

25

21 What we are referring to is multiple deaths and looking essentially at understanding those deaths. 22

23 I don't feel that the Incident Decision Tree would be

24 used in that way.

> Q. Would you expect Ms Peacock, in her role when

- speaking to people within the neonatal unit about 1 2 deaths, to have this decision tree in mind?
 - Yes. Yes. A.
 - O. Would you expect her to go so far as to talk
- 5 through it with the person she's discussing it with?
 - Again, we would be talking through it based upon a specific incident, not -- not necessarily -- and
- 7 8
 - that is the difficulty some of the incident reports
 - refer to a death but they don't give a narrative as to,
- 10 you know, what was thought to have contributed to the
- 11 death.

4

6

9

- 12 We can perhaps park that but if I can just put
- 13 a bookmark for you?
- 14 Of course. Α.
- 15 Q. When we come and have a look at Child I it may
- 16 be that my questions will take on a slightly different
- 17 perspective.
- 18 But can we just look please at how this risk was
- 19 managed and again we have seen a little bit of this from
- 20 Ms Townsend but I think we are going to take it to the
- 21 next level now.
- 22 INQ0004657. So this is the Urgent Care Risk
- 23 Register high risks. So that is the risks rated 20, is
- 24 that right, because it is red?
- 25 I believe so. It's been many years since
- 1 (1.55 pm)

5

- 2 LADY JUSTICE THIRLWALL: Yes.
- 3 MR DE LA POER: Mrs Millward, we are just tracking
- 4 the risks. We started at the divisional level but we
 - are going to take a step backwards now, INQ0003213,
- 6 please. This is eight days after that Urgent Care
- 7 Divisional Risk Register. We are looking at the Women
- 8 and Children's Care Governance Board meeting.
- 9 You weren't present at this meeting, but we can see
- that Mrs McMahon, Temporary Risk and Patient Safety 10
- Lead, is identified near the bottom, do you see that? 11
- 12 Α.
- 13 Q. And if we go to page 4, please, we can see new
- 14 risks for escalation this month and we can see at the
- Women and Children's Care Governance Board level 15
- 16 identified first:
- 17 "Potential damage to reputation of neonatal service
- and wider Trust due to apparent increased mortality 18
- 19 within the neonatal unit."
- 20 Given a score of 20, we are familiar with that one.
- 21 But we can also see as the next one down "apparent
- 22 increased mortality within the neonatal unit" which is
- 23 given a score of 15?
 - A.

24

25 Q. So just bear with me, one more document to 119

- I have used that particular scoring matrix. 1
- 2 We can see the top one "Potential damage to
- 3 reputation of neonatal service and wider Trust due to
- 4 apparent increased mortality within the neonatal unit"
- and it is dated 11 July, so that is where we are going 5
- 6 to start. I will ask you some questions globally, we
- 7 just need to work our way through the documents?
 - A.

8

9

- Q. If we then come to the next document, please,
- 10 INQ0003213, this document is dated 19 July 2016.
- 11 Forgive me, if we could take that down. That isn't
- in fact the correct reference. So would you just bear 12
- with me one moment, please. 13
- 14 (Pause) Forgive me, I will give a different
- 15 reference because that one clearly doesn't work.
- 16 INQ0049845.
- 17 LADY JUSTICE THIRLWALL: I wonder if that might be
- 18 a convenient moment?
- 19 MR DE LA POER: I think it will, my Lady. I don't
- 20 know why that reference isn't working, but it will be my
- 21 fault and I apologise.
- LADY JUSTICE THIRLWALL: Thank you very much. So 22
- 23 we will adjourn now and start again at 5 to 2.
- 24 (12.57 pm)

25

6

(The luncheon adjournment)

118

- look at where we will see those again. Before we go
- there we just need to note that on page 5, just so that 2
- you have this in your mind when I come to my questions,
- 4 that according to the minutes of this meeting there was
- 5 nothing to report to QSPEC, that is the bottom entry?
 - Α. Yes.
- 7 So we then come, please, thank you very much,
- 8 to INQ0049845. This is the Executive Risk Register for
- July of 2016. If we go to page 10, first, we will look
- at the detail of it but just so that you can recognise 10
- this. This is prepared by you, according to the bottom 11
- right-hand corner there, for the Corporate Directors 12
- Group on 27 July of 2016? 13
- 14 Yes, the date there refers to the date that
- 15 the report was pulled rather than the date of the
- 16 meeting.
- 17 So let's go back up to the top to page 1. It
- is not a document we have looked at previously so we 18
- will just take a moment to orientate ourselves. We can 19
- 20 see that initially we have got presented in tabular form
- a number of different ways of just gauging how many
- 22 there are and if we go over the page, please, we can see
- 23 as at 27 July there were seven risks entered into the
- ERR. 24
- 25 A. Yes.

3

4

15

1 **Q.** The first is recorded as "potential damage to 2 reputation of neonatal service and wider Trust", that

one that we have seen from the Divisional Register of

4 11 July now appearing on the Executive Risk Register and

the second one that we saw not on that previous registerthat we looked at but at the Women and Children's Care

7 Governance Board, "apparent increased mortality within

8 the neonatal unit" which is given a moderate

9 corresponding with its score of 15?

A. (Nods)

3

10

11 Q. Those are identified as 1508 and 1507

12 respectively and that is important because we can see

13 there is a note to this that you have added chairman's

14 actions were taken on 11 July 2016 to add two risks to

15 the ERR from Urgent Care and there we see the reference

16 numbers, there are no risks identified by the divisions

17 for escalation to the ERR for July 2016.

So this is a document that you have prepared; is

19 that correct?

20 A. That's correct.

21 Q. You have delegated responsibility for the ERR;

22 is that right?

23

2

4

5

7

8

14

15

16

22

25

A. That's correct, yes.

24 Q. So let's just break down what we can see on

25 the page here. Firstly, chairman's actions were taken

121

1 would have been told?

A. That -- that would have been something I would

3 have been in attendance for. I don't.

LADY JUSTICE THIRLWALL: So you would have been at that meeting?

6 A. Yes. I'm not sure whether I am trying to

understand when the actual Corporate Director Group

meetings were held. I don't have a list of the reports

9 so I'm not sure if that was chairman's actions from the

10 Corporate Directors Group on 11 July, if you understand

11 what I am saying.

12 MR DE LA POER: Well, we know that 11 July was also

13 the date that it appeared on the Urgent Care --

A. Yes.

Q. -- Divisional Register.

Well, perhaps we can run that down separately.

17 I think you have given us enough information there for

18 our understanding.

19 I just want to ask you, as Ms Townsend was asked,

20 about the wording. Potential damage to reputation given

21 the highest level of rating?

A. (Nods)

23 Q. Why was this risk framed in terms of damage to

24 reputation?

A. So that will have been a conversation that 123

1 on 11 July to add those two risks?

A. (Nods)

Q. What is that a reference to, please?

A. So that would be in reference to another

5 meeting that has been held with the Executive team.

6 I can't tell you which meeting because the detail isn't

7 there and the decision being made to add these two

8 particular risks. And obviously that will have included

9 a discussion around the wording and the scoring, the

10 controls and actions.

11 Q. Now, the board chairman is Sir Duncan Nichol?

12 A. (Nods)

13 Q. Is that a reference to Sir Duncan Nichol --

14 **A.** Yes

Q. -- when it says "chairman's"?

16 A. So chairman's actions will be in relation to

17 the chair of the meeting that the -- that this is going

18 to. Sir Duncan Nichol didn't -- I don't recall

19 Sir Duncan Nichol actually ever attending Corporate

20 Directors Group so it would be chairman's actions in

21 relation to either Mr Cross or Mrs Kelly or indeed

22 Mr Tony Chambers, I am not too sure who because they did

23 alternate the chair for Corporate Directors Group.

24 Q. Whoever was chairing on that occasion

25 according to your note and is this something that you

12

1 took place at the meeting with the Executive team. At

2 that time, the unit was being downgraded. Obviously

3 that had been put into the public arena and there will

4 have been concern whether, you know, how that would have

5 been received and potentially reported back on, you

6 know, through the press and of course any concerns being

7 raised directly to the Trust in relation to that.

8 Q. I will come back to that answer in a minute.

A. Of course

10 Q. I just want to ask you about the second risk

11 which is apparently of a less high level of concern.

12 Apparent increased mortality within the neonatal unit,

13 so that one similar to the earlier risk but without

14 reference to reputation?

15 **A**. Yes

16

Q. Simply focused upon the risk of death?

17 A. So that will have been focused upon absolutely

18 the clinical aspects of the care and, you know, as you

19 say, the risk of death.

20 I can't recall the exact conversation but my view

21 or my interpretation of that would be that the unit had

22 already been downgraded at that point and therefore that

23 would reduce, you know, the -- the challenges around

24 those, though more high acuity patients coming into the

25 unit, that was the -- that was the view at the time and

- so I think that's why that particular risk is scored
 slightly lower than the one around reputational damage.
 - Q. If you just take a step back.
 - A. Yes.

4

23

24

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

25

- Q. This does rather look like the Trust is more
 concerned with the comms messaging, reputation
 management, than actually babies dying. Can you help us
 with that, please?
- 9 **A.** Absolutely. So within the risk management 10 scoring we are looking at both the severity, so the 11 level of harm, if you like, the outcome but we are 12 looking at how much that likelihood -- you know, how 13 likely it is to have that catastrophic outcome you have 14 referred to

15 What we are also looking at within that is the 16 controls and the measures that are already in place to 17 try and manage that. So the view would have been, I believe, that because of the actions already taken to 18 19 downgrade the neonatal unit and therefore to take, you 20 know, a different cohort of patients that there was additional mitigations in place within the Trust 21 22 control.

Of course reputational damage and media coverage and so on would be outside the Trust's control so they wouldn't have the same level of assurances around the

factors would be controls and considered increasedconfidence with regards to further cases of mortality.

This risk is in relation to media reputation -well, reputation of the organisation and so it's a different lens being applied.

- **Q.** Well, why is the Trust so concerned with its reputation?
- **A.** Well, why wouldn't -- with respect, why wouldn't the Trust be concerned with its reputation?

At that point in time, we had a -- you know, we had a number of children who had sadly died. There was a Royal College review being initiated by the Executive team, there was a change in the way that the unit was being managed that was going to be or that was shared publicly.

You know, there is a level of how you manage those communications to try and assure that the public using our services would have confidence to come in and get the care that they do need. You know, I can't give you more than that, that is an executive decision.

- **Q.** You have a role to provide advice, don't you?
- 22 **A.** I -- I do and I will have been part of the 23 conversation that agreed to put these two risks on the 24 Risk Register.
 - Q. So did you support the approach that was being 127

- effectiveness of the controls and that would have meant
- 2 that would be reflected in the risk always being
- 3 slightly different.

6

- Q. We are going to have a look at the controlmeasures in a moment.
 - A. Of course.
- 7 **Q.** What thought when these entries were being 8 devised was given to the relative acuity of the children 9 who had in fact died?
- A. So this would have been a step, a moment in time, on 11 July as to, you know, this is the situation we are in today. These are the actions that have already been taken to prevent further deaths. And that would be why the score is the way the score is.
- 15 **Q.** Let's have a look, please, at page 4 where we 16 can see some more detail. Here we are looking at the 17 first risk and the list of controls which speak for 18 themselves in a number of respects.
- Just again focusing here on two of the controls
 which is the closure of the intensive care cots and the
 use of regional hospitals for babies of particular
 gestation. I mean, surely would that not increase
 confidence in the unit?
- 24 **A.** But the risk is around reputation to the 25 Trust, so there are two different risks here. Those 126
- 1 taken?

2

7

- A. I think at the time that felt very reasonable.
- Q. Let's have a look at the control measures for
 1507 which can be found on page 8 so this is -- instead
 of focusing on reputation, this is actually focusing
 upon the risk of death to babies.
 - A. Yes.
- 8 Q. So we can see in a little more detail here,
 9 reference to the thematic review. I just want to have
 10 a look and understand why the Care Quality Commission
 11 inspection in February 2016 did not highlight any
 12 concerns is regarded as a control measure?
- A. So that would -- it would be regarded as
 a control measure because it is a level of assurance, it
 is an external level of assurance. Therefore it's
 considered a higher level of assurance than simply our
 own reviews of the cases.
- 18 **Q.** Do you know that when you agreed to that going 19 in that the Care Quality Commission had in fact 20 investigated the increase in neonatal mortality?
- A. I was not aware at that time that the CQC had investigated. Obviously this is -- that line is referring to the inspection and the report come back
- 24 from the CQC inspection.
 - Q. Well, wouldn't it very largely depend on what 128

the CQC was told as to whether or not that provides any real assurance?

1

2

3

4

5

7

8

9

12

13

5

6

7

14

- And of course all the different documentation A. that is submitted and therefore validated by the CQC.
- Did you submit the thematic review of neonatal 6 mortality?
 - Α. Not at that time because that was February 2016 and obviously it was still being developed at that point.
- 10 Q. So as far as you are concerned, or as far as you are aware, the CQC never saw the thematic review? 11
 - As far as I am aware, they did not see that, A. no.
- 14 So again bearing in mind all of this is apparently emerging from the entry above, if you knew 15 16 that the CQC hadn't actually seen that report, how much 17 assurance does that actually provide?
- And that that's a valid question? 18
- 19 Q. Well, can you answer it?
- 20 I think it was -- I think it was a best effort
- 21 to try to understand the different levels of assurance
- 22 that the organisation had at that time. I think I agree
- 23 with what you are saying, you know, if we haven't
- submitted the thematic review to the CQC, or if we had 24
- 25 it they may have given a different view.

- 1 Group, that, you know, there are actions put in place and those actions are progressed in a timely manner 2 3 I didn't own the risk. It will have been Mrs Townsend 4 who would have owned the risk.
 - But be that as it may, the person who had been moved was by this stage working in your department. Did it occur to you to suggest that that was included as
- 8 a control measure here? 9 I think the fact that the person involved who A. had been moved had been moved as a HR process and a HR 10 process is a confidential process, and therefore, we 11 wouldn't normally transfer something like that into the 12 13 Risk Register because of the level of access and the
- number of people that would see that. 15 So was there a conversation around whether it should or shouldn't be put in? 16
- 17 Α. I honestly can't tell you that, I honestly don't remember. 18
- 19 If we just look at this risk and how it's 20 framed or how it begins, in terms of the control measure, as it is listed, "clinical lead" is highlighted 21 22 and "apparent increased mortality". If it is right that 23 that is a reference to the thematic review, which we
- 24 know was finalised on 2 March, does it follow that at
- the very latest, by mid-March, this risk should have 25
 - 131

- But at the time that was the level of -- of 1
- 2 assurance that we had. It is very comprehensive, the
- 3 review process. It goes on for many, many months there
- is many, many conversations around it and obviously it 4
- was something that, you know, in the conversation around 5
- 6 adding these risks it was something that was felt
- 7 important to add in.
 - One thing that isn't listed as a control
- measure is the removal of a member of staff to 9
- 10 a non-patient-facing role?
- 11 (Nods) Α.
 - Why is that not included here? Q.
- 13 I can't answer that. I don't know.
- 14 Well, as at 27 July did you know that a member 15 of staff had been moved to your department as a control
- 16 measure?

8

12

- 17 As at 27 July, yes, I did know she had been
- moved. Well, it was an agreement at that time. 18 19 So why, bearing in mind you had delegated
- 20 responsibility, you are providing advice, is that not
- 21 listed here?
- 22 So to be clear around the delegated
- 23 responsibility, that does not mean I own the risk, it
- 24 means that I ensure that the risks are reviewed, that
- the report comes through up to the Corporate Directors

1 been put on the Executive Risk Register?

- 2 I think absolutely it should have been added 3 on to the Risk Register at an earlier stage, yes.
- 4 Well, my question is two parts, firstly by at 5 the very latest mid-March and, secondly, as far as the
- 6 Executive Risk Register, which is where we see it now,
- 7 do you agree with both of those?
 - Α. Yes, yes, I would agree with that.
- 9 Now, just trying to understand why -- we can
- take this down, thank you very much indeed -- you say in 10
- 11 your witness statement the governance arrangements, ie
- 12 ward to board reporting, were not sufficiently robust to
- 13 ensure that the voice of the neonatal unit, a small
- 14 specialty within a much bigger adult care-providing
- 15 division, was heard both at Divisional and Executive
- level meetings? 16

8

- 17 Α. That's correct.
- 18 Now, we know that before we get to the
- Divisional level and the Executive level, the normal 19
- 20 governance arrangements are that it would go to the
- Women and Children's Care Governance Board? 21
- 22 Α. Mm-hm
- 23 Q. We also know that it didn't go to the Women
- 24 and Children's Care Governance Board until June of 2016,
- so very shortly before we see these entries. So is that

in fact anything to do with the size of the neonatal 1 2 unit and is it more to do with the fact that nobody at 3 that local level was escalating it?

I think -- I think it's both. I think it's both. I think the -- I think, you know, the neonatal

unit paediatrics is a much smaller specialty, the

7 division of Urgent Care, which is the former route,

8 obviously is largely adult-focused and I have reflected

9 on the escalations that went, particularly up to QSPEC,

10 and they were largely focused, they were verbal for one,

they were not documented levels of assurance and points 11

for escalation, but they were largely focused upon 12

13 patient flow because it's we -- the Trust had

an emergency department and obviously patient flow into

the hospital and obviously exit out of the hospital was 15

16 a primary area of concern.

4

5

6

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

25

17 So having them attend and raise their voice but do 18 so verbally without a document that they can send up, 19 an assurance report, not the thematic review I am 20 referring to, makes it harder for them to speak up at that group and then that message, you know, continue up 21 22 the points of escalation.

23 But clearly as you say, the paper wasn't tabled, 24 there was a delay in tabling the paper at the Women and Children's Care Governance Board as well.

133

reporting what is classed as a Serious Incident, the potential for adverse media is included within that.

what this case is and it would have absolutely have been appropriate to escalate that as a Serious Incident. It's not in relation to the reputation of the Trust, that's in relation to a police investigation around a patient who was deceased.

I think looking at this one I actually remember

Q. So when it says adverse media?

10 Because that's the category that you would A. select on STEIS. 11

So that is not referring to the adverse media 12 Q.

13 about the Trust? 14 Α.

It's in relation to the death of a particular patient. I -- I believe I know what case that is and it 15 would not be appropriate to share any further 16 information about that other than to say it was a death 17 of a patient that -- that was reported to the police, 18

was progressed through a police investigation externally 19

20 to the organisation. But the patient died at the Trust,

and therefore that does generate media interest and does 21

22 generate, you know, contact and under the Serious

23 Incident Framework that would be something that you

24 would report on STEIS.

> Thank you, we can take that down. 135

Well, we will come back to that and where 1

responsibility might lie. Before we just leave this

general topic of the Risk Register, I would like, 3

please, for you to look at INQ0042162. This is a list 4

of ongoing patient safety incidents reported on STEIS 5

6 dated February 2016 and in fact the entry I am going to

7 ask you to look at isn't anything as far as we are aware

to do with the neonatal unit.

Α. Okay.

9

12

15

21

10 Q. So if we go to page 2, please, and it's just

to consider this issue of the Trust and its reputation? 11

(Nods)

13 Do you see the penultimate entry which is Q.

14 right in the centre of the page?

A.

16 Q. "Potential for adverse media incident, police

17 investigation into the circumstances of the death of

18 a patient."

19 This is apparently reported on to STEIS as an NPSA

20 level 2?

> A. Yes

22 Q. Looking back on it, what, was there too great

23 a focus upon the Trust's reputation, do you think?

24 If you consider the Serious Incident Framework 25 and the three areas that they ask with regards to

134

1 I am going to turn now to consider the policy for 2 reporting incidents and we can do so relatively briefly.

3 We heard from Ms Lawrence about this about a week and

4 a half ago. INQ0006466. We can go to page 3, please

5 and we can have what should be reported as an incident

6 and here when it says reported initially that means

7 filling out a Datix; is that right?

8 Yes, of incident reporting in Datix, Datix is 9 the incident reporting system.

Now, what Ms Lawrence told us was that her 10

interpretation of what should be reported was

effectively harm caused by something that shouldn't have 12

13 happened?

11

14

Α. That's correct.

15 In that way you can have death as a no harm Q. incident because if death is a natural process, then one 16

17 doesn't need to identify it as an incident?

18 Yes, yes. Well, yes, you wouldn't report a naturally occurring death on the incident reporting 19 20 system. You would only report -- you would report an

21 incident in relation to the death.

22 If we see this, Ms Lawrence's interpretation

23 was that unnecessary harm to patients, ie meaning

24 something that shouldn't have happened and that could

have been avoided?

2

12

Yes. The language has changed over time. 1 2 Today you would use the words "unexpected" or

3 "unintended".

4

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

16

17

18

19

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

O. And who was responsible for the language here, is that taken from NHS England or is that internal to the Trust?

7 A. That would have been taken from some national 8 guidance.

Q. Now, just bearing in mind that definition. If a baby suddenly and unexpectedly deteriorates but does not die, so is successfully resuscitated and there is no immediate indication that anybody has done anything wrong or done anything that they shouldn't have done,

would that be under this guidance a reportable incident? 14 So again as I said earlier it's, you know, 15

clinical care is complex. In this situation -- well, normally we would be seeing if an incident has occurred

as you say an incident has occurred we would expect to see it reported where there are unexplained situations

20 such as the collapses you refer to, if they have

21 generated a number of discussions because we can't

22 understand what has contributed to the death, then in my

23 view, yes, that should be reported as an incident.

Can you just help me with which part of this policy you say triggers that as a reportable incident?

this -- what you are saying is you wouldn't criticise someone for not reporting such an event because the policy doesn't require it?

The policy doesn't stipulate exactly every situation that an incident would be reported. As I say my view is if -- if an incident occurs in such a way as you say a collapse where there is a level of concern because we have not understood what has happened then I would expect that that is reported as an incident so that that can then be further explored and supported through, through using our root cause analysis process.

Does what you have just said, did that make it into writing or training or any policy that existed back in 2015/16?

14 A. I think that will have happened through 15 conversations and discussions. Certainly there was 16 mandatory training that happened every two years. The 17 junior doctors also had their own bespoke mandatory 18 training, induction processes, there was an induction 19 20 checklist that spoke around the use of the incident reporting system and when you should report and 21 22 essentially none of the team would ever discourage 23 somebody from reporting an incident even if, you know,

24 the outcome of the investigation showed that no actual 25 event actually happened.

So the clinical section where it says

"clinical, ie affecting a patient, investigation,

diagnosis, treatment" and then you have "medical 3

equipment" so on and so forward. 4

Yes. That's a list of I think what "clinical" 5

6 means, I think it is subject to what appears above about

7 "resulted or did result in unnecessary damage, loss or

harm to a patient"? 8

9 So as I say, the language as we would use

10 today has progressed, we would be talking as

11 "unexpected" or "unintended".

But if something is unexplained and we don't

13 understand what has happened what has taken place and it

has triggered further discussion, then it would be 14

absolutely reasonable to report that as a clinical 15

16 incident.

17 As the Inquiry understands it, anybody can report anything, they don't have to be within the 18 19 policy. What I am really trying to understand is

20 whether it's mandatory to report --

21 A. Right.

22 Q. -- such an event?

23 Α. No, the incident reporting system is broader

24 than that

25 Q. I think -- you tell me if I am right about

1 Well, we are going to look at it from the 2 other end of the telescope which is whether or not it is

3 appropriate to criticise someone for not reporting it

4 and the starting point, I think it is fair to say,

5 Mrs Millward, is that over the course of your time as

6 Head of Risk and Patient Safety you were critical of the

7 neonatal unit's approach to reporting; is that fair?

A. I -- I don't know if I would say I was

9 critical. I would say that, you know, there were

occasions when I needed to speak to Dr Brearey about 10

incidents and investigations and Dr Brearey wasn't 11

12 responsive.

8

17

19

20

24

Q. 13 So if we have a look at a number of the 14 contemporaneous documents at INQ0001888, please.

15 So if we go to page 8. So we can see that this is

a paper that you drafted in July; is that right? 16

Α. That's correct.

Q. We can see that one of the things that you 18

looked into was incident reporting?

Α. That's correct.

Did that enable you to form a view at that 21

22 time about the quality of the incident reporting on the

23 neonatal unit?

Α.

25 Q. And in summary how did you find it?

9

15

19

23

6

25

A. I felt that the incidents being submitted were 1 2 limited, narrow in focus. There were a number of them, 3 if I can recall, around closure of the unit, some 4 staffing and also the quality of the information you 5 know, included in the incident reports was quite

limited. So there was often insufficient information to say what is the event that you are concerned about and obviously then somebody like Mrs Peacock would go in and

- 10 have those conversations. 11 So this is as at July 2016?
 - A. (Nods)

6

7

8

9

12

- 13 Was that something that you had had experience
- of before this time or was it something you only
- discovered when you did this piece of work in July 2016? 15
- 16 I think a bit of both. We had had
- 17 conversations around the use of the pick lists, the
- neonatal unit pick lists, because again they were 18
- 19 developed to -- well, they were developed by the
- 20 neonatal unit to help support them in driving incident
- 21 reporting.
- 22 There was a view, you know, through NHS England and
- 23 the Serious Incident Framework that perhaps these lists
- were perhaps not quite as helpful and perhaps looking at 24
- 25 incidents we need to look more broadly, I think
 - 141
- 1 was a problem?
- 2 No, as I say because I think of her
- 3 relationship with the unit she was able to -- to work
- 4 with them to -- to help understand what -- what the
- 5 incidents were.
- 6 O. Now, if we look at INQ0006769, this is
- 7 Dr Brearey's email that we looked at earlier of 15 July.
- 8 If we go over the page, please, in his penultimate
- 9 paragraph, he says he has heard criticism of the risk in
- Datix reporting culture there. What you tell us in your 10
- statement is that your belief is that he has heard what 11
- you have had to say about his department; is that right? 12
- Yes. I believe that that will have happened 13
- 14 following the meeting that I had with the Executive team
- around the position paper and the feedback and I believe 15
- that that therefore has perhaps come from Mr Harvey when 16
- 17 he then met with the paediatricians but I can't be
- 18 certain, but that is what I believe that alludes to.
- 19 And so your concern is if we are clear about
- 20 this, not that Datix aren't completed or is that part of
- 21 it? It is the quality of the content or is it both?
- 22 I think it's both. As I say, the use of the
- 23 pick lists meant that there was quite a narrow focus at
- the time so as I say you would tend to see the same
- sorts of incident types being reported and obviously

- Mrs Lawrence may have alluded to that in her evidence. 1
- And as I say, with the cases that I did pick up in the
- interim, I could see some of the content wasn't 3
- 4 particularly detailed and you wouldn't be able -- if you
- received the incident you wouldn't necessarily be able
- 6 to see exactly what the concern was and therefore, as
 - I say, Mrs Peacock or -- would need to go in and speak
- with the staff to understand the concern. 8
 - Had Mrs Peacock complained to you that she was
- 10 having that difficulty with the neonatal unit?
- 11 I think Mrs Peacock, because of her
- relationship with them, she was able to get the 12
- information out, you know, from the conversations, 13
- I don't think she found it a concern. 14
 - Because the way we understand it works is that
- 16 the Datix is filled in by the person who reports it, it

That's correct.

- 17 comes to a handler within your department who allocates
- it to the right person? 18 Α.
- 20 Q. Codes it correctly and then in the case of the
- neonatal unit up until February 2016 Mrs Peacock would 21
- 22 receive it, consider it?
 - Α. That's correct.
- 24 You are telling us that she hadn't as at the
- 25 time that she left fed back to you that she thought it

142

- looking at some of them there was not a lot of
- 2 information contained with them.
- Certainly for all of the children named on 3
- 4 indictment, every single one of them was the subject of
- 5 a Datix indicating that their death was unexpected.
 - Α. (Nods)
- 7 So that wouldn't be part of the problem that
- 8 you are describing; is that right?
- So again, the -- the use of the pick lists 9
- means that the staff were selecting expected or 10
- 11 unexpected death but that's not necessarily an incident.
- 12 The incident is what has contributed to that death
- 13 because an unexpected or expected death is a clinical
- 14 outcome.
- 15 So what I would be looking for is to broaden the
- pick list more and broaden the way they were reporting 16
- 17 incidents so that -- again I will give reference to the
- medication incident that I've referred to earlier, so 18
- that I would see it as a medication incident but then 19
- 20 with a level of harm that says death and then that way
- we can more clearly trend and theme our learning rather 21
- 22 than having something saying it's a death but a no harm
- 23 because obviously that can be confusing and I think
- 24 that's caused some confusion here.
 - Well, what it resulted in was a Datix form for

every single one of the deaths which might not have been 1 2 filled in had somebody been taking the approach of well, 3 is this an incident where something about the NHS has 4 caused harm? Answer: not that I can see, therefore no 5

So can you see that there was a potential advantage to the approach that was being taken on these facts?

- Well, my understanding is that there wasn't an incident report for every one of the deaths, some of the deaths were not reported. I am not saying that they shouldn't be reporting the death, what I am saying is that within the incident reporting system the use of the pick list and the category or subcategory as an unexpected death was not particularly helpful because it is not telling me what has contributed to the incident, what element of the patient's care or treatment you want us to look into, what element of the treatment you are concerned about. That's what I am referring to.
- 19 Now, in terms of the indictment children, all 20 of those who died, died when Mrs Peacock was responsible for the department, other than Child O and Child P. 21
- 22 (Nods)

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15 16

17

18

13

14

15

16

17

22

23

24

25

error. Outcome: death".

- 23 Q. So we just need to be clear about each one of 24 those deaths. So far as you were concerned did Mrs Peacock express any concern to you about the way in 145
- 1 time was there had been changes in the STEIS 2 categorisations and I believe it was also through 3 conversation through the Quality and Safety Regional 4 Forum.

5 So again I have used the medication error. So it's 6 not saying we will not report a child death if it has 7 been directly attributable to the incident, it's saying 8 that I wouldn't report it under a category saying "child 9 death", I would report it under a category saying "medication error" because that's the incident type that 10 has occurred. The outcome is death, so in STEIS I would 11 12 be reporting under the categories "category: medication

And it would be it's the same incident, it's just how it's categorised and this is important because it's how the themes are drawn at national level as well as local level.

18 If we go back up, we can see the recipients of this email are those who attended the Serious Incident 19 20 Panel. They are all operating away from a clinical 21 role.

Was the information that you have put there communicated to the coalface, to the individual wards, so that they understood what was required as well?

> Well, STEIS reporting is only something that 147

- which they were reported? 1
 - Α. I don't believe she did, no.
- 3 Well, we will look at the detail in just 4 a moment but one last matter of ground rules to deal with which is your email of 26 June of 2015. 5
- 6 INQ0008157.

7 Your email starts at the bottom, we can see it is 8 dated 26 June of 2015. If we go to the next page,

9 please, we can see you have added a note to it. We have

10 had three neonatal deaths under review via specialty

M&M. The plan is to arrange a specialty specific SI 11

panel for next Friday the 3rd -- in fact the meeting 12

took place on the 2nd -- to go through all three cases. 13

14 Then you say this:

15 "Child death is no longer included as a Serious 16 Incident by definition in the SI framework or on STEIS, 17 however it may be reported as a Serious Incident under 18 another category eg, medication error"?

- 19 Α. Yes.
- 20 Q. So just help us to understand, please, why you 21 added that information to the bottom of your email and 22 what you were seeking to communicate?
- 23 Because as I have tried to explain that we are trying to understand the incident type that has 24 contributed to the death so my understanding at that 146
- 1 myself and the Executive teams would do, it wouldn't
- 2 impact the -- the grass roots staff.
- 3 Q. But your reporting is based upon what you are 4 told?
- 5 Α.
- 6 Q. So presumably it's important for people
- 7 further downstream or rather upstream I think it will
- be? 8

9

- A. (Nods)
- 10 Q. To know what you find useful so again was this

information communicated to the ward level? 11

12 So again the neonatal pick list you have heard 13 Mrs Lawrence explain that she was looking to try and

14 broaden the pick list because of this -- this more

narrowed focus and to ensure that the information that 15

16

we were teasing out from it was more helpful, so that

17 was work that was under way.

18 Obviously this is 2015, so that it would have been, you know, literally just happening at that point in 19

20 time, these changes. There was a lot of changes in --

in, you know, very quickly things were being, you know, 21

22 new frameworks coming in the way that the regional

23 centre wanted or the regional quality and safety forums

wanted things reporting, so things were shifting and

that would have been at the beginning of some of that.

2

5

7

8

15

- 1 **Q.** So this is June of 2015?
- A. That's correct, yes.
- 3 Q. Mrs Lawrence doesn't come into post until
- 4 May 2016?

7

- A. Yes.
- 6 **Q**. So --
 - A. That is why I am saying this would be at the start of that process in understanding how we need to
- start of that process in understanding how we need tostart shifting the way we are incident reporting. Very
- 10 much an evolving picture over this time.
- 11 Q. We are going to have a look at the Serious
- 12 Incident Report process in action. We will start with
- 13 a handwritten note INQ0003530, please. Do you know
- 14 whose handwriting this is?
- 15 A. That is Mrs Kelly's.
- 16 Q. I think I will be right in saying that it's
- 17 only really the top quarter or third of the page which
- 18 is concerned with this what she's described as SUI
- 19 review, but I think it is called the Serious Incident
- 20 Panel?
- 21 **A.** It is.
- 22 Q. We can see your initials there and Child A
- 23 Child C and Child D were all considered.
- 24 We know that only Child D was reported -- Child D's
- 25 death, I should say, was reported as a Serious Incident?
 - 149
- 1 that it was likely that their clinical condition was
 - more attributable to the death, if you like, so the
- 3 death there was a clinical -- it was progression of the
- 4 clinical illness that the children had rather than an
- 5 incident.

2

7

- 6 There were as part of the review aspects of
 - sub optimal care and I do recall Dr Brearey being very
- 8 open, actually he was very balanced in his presentation
- 9 in talking through some of the gaps that he had
- 10 identified in the care for both -- well, for all the
- 11 children.
- 12 But they weren't found to be significant enough to
- 13 have contributed to that level of harm and as such did
- 14 not meet that particular criteria of the Serious
- 15 Incident Framework and therefore were not reported as
- 16 Serious Incidents.
- 17 Q. You have mentioned the third broader
- 18 category --
- 19 **A.** Yes.
- 20 Q. -- could either of those children's deaths
- 21 have met the broader category?
- 22 A. So within the broader category it talks
- 23 about -- and forgive me, I haven't got the wording to
- 24 hand, but it talks largely around where there is
- 25 sufficient concern around the potential delivery of the

151

- A. (Nods)
- Q. Certainly these notes don't appear to explain
- 3 why that decision was made. Is that fair, using your
- 4 understanding of the shorthand?
 - A. Yes, that is Mrs Kelly's notes.
- 6 Q. Can you tell us why Child A and Child C's
 - deaths were not escalated as Serious Incidents?
 - A. Yes. So the Serious Incident Framework has
- 9 three -- essentially three criteria essentially for
- 10 reporting or identifying incidents as Serious Incidents.
- 11 So the first is around any act or omission in care that
- 12 has led to serious harm or death. That is the one that
- 13 you would hear most regularly at the time, that was
- 14 largely the focus that was applied at the time.
 - The second is around all never events in respect of
- 16 harm were reported, such as the position. Then the
- 17 third criteria is a broader discussion point around
- 18 a number of different things.
- So at the time we were applying that first
- 20 criterion. So we were looking at acts or omission in
- 21 care that have contributed to or have led to serious
- 22 harm or death.
- 23 The information that was shared both by Ms Fogarty
- 24 and by Dr Brearey was that for both Child A and Child C
- 25 there was sufficient -- certainly they -- they found
 - 15
- 1 service or failures to the delivery of the service. The
- 2 wording is along those lines.
- 3 Had we, you know, the members of the Serious
- 4 Incident Panel, applied that third -- that third
- 5 criteria in that particular aspect as a collective, the
- 6 three deaths could have been considered as a Serious
- 7 Incident but that would have been a collective review of
- 8 the three deaths and more of a systems process review of
- 9 the neonatal unit rather than an individual Serious
- 10 Incident Review of Child A and Child C.
- 11 Q. So we will come to the collective nature, but
- 12 within that broader category, could any -- either of the
- 13 deaths on their own for Child A or Child C have met that
- 14 criteria?

15

16

25

- A. I don't believe so, no.
- Q. Let's see what was recorded for Child A.
- 17 INQ0000016, please. So this is the Datix. We move
- 18 through it, please, to the SBAR, as it's referred to,
- 19 which if we move through to the third page, I think it
- 20 is, in fact one more, please. And one more.
- There we are. Thank you.
- 22 So this I think you have realised from an email is
- 23 an SBAR, Situation Background Assessment Recommendation,
- 24 that you completed?
 - A. Yes. I -- it would be unusual for me to

complete an SBAR, that obviously is the primary role of the Risk and Patient Safety Leads but I have seen an email and I understand from Mrs Peacock's evidence that she was on leave and therefore I do believe that I pulled that together, yes.

Q. So what we can see is that under the assessment, at present there is no explanation for sudden cardiorespiratory arrest.

Then in the final paragraph:

"The initial PM findings did not give any answers, however we are awaiting results from pathology slide examination. However if it was due to a cardiac arrythmia then this would not show on this examination."

So on the face of the information that you filled in an entirely unexplained death even after an initial postmortem?

A. (Nods)

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

17

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

20

21

22

25

18 **Q.** Would that not be sufficient to make this 19 a reportable Serious Incident?

A. So the decision was that we would take this
through the Mortality Morbidity Review which is a more
detailed review than the SBAR and obviously trying to
join that piece of work up so it's not just an
obstetric review, it covers mum and baby and it was at
that point that Dr Brearey gave the information that he

Q. So let's turn over the page. We can see that one of the items of discussion at the meeting in relation to Child A, right in the middle, that Child B, as we are referring to them, Twin 2 had similar difficulties.

So in fact it would appear that there was a discussion at this meeting not only about Child A, Child C and Child D but also about Child B. Do you agree that that follows?

10 **A.** I don't recall. But I certainly don't recall
11 there being a conversation that spoke around unexpected
12 collapses. It's difficult from that text to say whether
13 or not the feedback was thought that you know that again
14 that was that collapse sudden and unexplained or was
15 that something that was thought to be part of the
16 complexity of the child's condition?

17 Q. We can see in the four lines which appear18 shortly below:

19 "Aware A/W PM findings finalised."

Can you help us with what that A/W?

A. So that would be "awaiting".

Q. So does it follow then that as far as the

23 meeting was concerned, there was still no final

24 postmortem?

A. At that point, yes, and then to proceed with

1 did and at that point the decision was made not to take

2 that forward as a Serious Incident.

I think -- I think with the three deaths in short
succession things moved quite quickly. You know,
obviously this -- this -- you can see the date of the
SBAR being completed, you can see that the plan was to

7 take it to the SI Panel, which it did, and you can see

8 that the case was for further review.

9 Unfortunately, as you know, we then went on to have

10 the further deaths and then the decision --

11 I recommended that we pull the three cases together so

12 we had a more comprehensive understanding of each of the

13 three deaths and obviously make the decision regarding,

14 you know, Serious Incident at that meeting.

Q. So we can see the record for Child A for that
meeting that we have seen the handwritten note for
starts at the bottom, Ms Kelly present, Mr Harvey not
marked as present?

A. I have to advise you, sir, that that listing
is incorrect. A number of those people were not in post
at that time so there has obviously been a coding error
within the back of Datix, so that is incorrect.

Q. Well, we have got Ms Kelly's note of who sherecords as being present?

A. Indeed.

25

2

3

154

1 mortality a furthermore Mortality Morbidity Review.

Q. Is that the level of investigation M&M?

A. Yes, that's correct.

Q. Just going back to where I started this
questioning. You have told us that it was decided to be
dealt with in that way. Could this have been reported
as a Serious Incident, this death, on its own?

8 A. I can only talk you through what I recall from
9 the time and from what I recall from the time is that
10 between Dr Brearey and Ms Fogarty they gave explanations
11 as to the clinical conditions that could have left or

12 most likely had led to the death. When we talk about

13 incident reporting we talk about actual harm as we know

14 it today and therefore based upon that definition, no,

15 that again would have been why we would not have

16 reported Child A as a serious incident at that time.

17 Q. In terms of what you say about Dr Brearey's
 18 explanation, according to the SBAR you completed, there
 19 was no explanation for the collapse that led to death.

A. However this -- the Serious Incident Panel had further information available because the Serious

22 Incident panel was held once the child had gone through

23 obstetric secondary review and had also gone through

24 a first review by the neonatal team so there was

additional information available at the Serious Incident

1 Panel than I had available at the SBAR.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

15

16

17

18

The SBARS tend to be completed within 72 hours, they are a very quick review of what we know at that point in time.

- **Q.** Can you just help us with what information is recorded as having been discussed at the meeting that provided the necessary assurance, is there any particular entry that you have in mind?
- 9 A. Could you go up, please, so I could ...
 10 So I think under the assessment section, the first
 11 paragraph there, where we talk about the clinical
 12 condition of mum and the complexity of the case, again
 13 referring to a clear management plan, monitoring in

referring to a clear management plan, monitoring in
 place and involvement of the specialties.

So again it's trying to understand what has been the gap in care that has contributed to the death and that essentially is the some of the assurance at least.

Q. We can take that down, thank you.
You told us that under the category 3 of the

19 You told us that under the category 3 of the STEIS20 categorisation --

- 21 A. Serious Incident Framework.
- 22 Q. Thank you, Serious Incident Framework, that
- 23 all three deaths could have been reported?
- 24 A. That's correct.
- 25 **Q.** Now, we have seen from the email of 23 June 157
- A. I think everybody at that meeting, the
 expectation certainly -- I say everybody but Mrs Kelly,
 you know, the Executives present should have a working
- 4 knowledge of the Serious Incident Framework because that
- 5 is what we are applying and then they are the ultimate
- 6 decision makers. Also in attendance would be my line
- 7 manager and again she would have a working knowledge and
- 8 obviously I would be the person who was meant to
- 9 operationalise it. All I can say is at the time the way
- 10 that the framework was applied by the organisation was
- 11 that the focus was always on an act or omission in care
- 12 that has led to serious harm or death and that was, that
- 13 was essentially the majority of incidents that we
- 14 applied.
- 15 I think the other side of it is, you know, Serious
 16 Incidents were against individual cases, so if you were
 17 to look at the list of Serious Incidents that we will
 18 have reported over that 12-month period they will be
 19 against individual events, individual patients. To
 20 consider more a systems process was not something that
- was really in place at that time.
 Over time that has evolved and in fact today with
 our new patient Safety Incident Response Framework, that
 is very much process-driven but at the time it really
 wasn't part of the way that that we were thinking or

159

- 1 that you are effectively advising people on how the
- 2 Serious Incident Framework operates?
- 3 **A.** Mm-hm.
 - Q. And what they need to be thinking about.
- 5 A. (Nods

4

15

2

10

- Q. Whose responsibility was it at that meeting toconsider that third category?
- 8 **A.** The decision-making always ends up with the 9 Executive team, so at that particular meeting I think it 10 was Mrs Kelly who was the Executive who was present.
- So we would have a conversation and everybody in the room would contribute to the conversation and the discussion point but the final decision whether or not a case would go for a Serious Incident investigation
- 16 **Q.** Was it your role to advise on the options?

would sit with the Executive.

- 17 **A.** Yes. And I -- I accept that. At the time, as
 18 I say, the way the Serious Incident Framework has been
 19 applied within the organisation was narrow, the largest
 20 focus was of course upon the acts or omission criteria.
- The third section, as I say, which talks around systems failures, that wasn't really something that was considered at that time.
- Q. Well, were you the person at that meeting
 expected to be most knowledgeable about that framework?
- 1 applying the framework.
 - Q. Should you have been thinking in that way?
- 3 A. I think myself and everybody should have been 4 thinking that but in practice, at the time, that wasn't
- the way -- that wasn't the approach that was being --being used.
- Q. Child E's death, you tell us in yourstatement, was another opportunity to report the overall
- 9 increase in neonatal deaths as a Serious Incident.
 - A. Yes
- 11 **Q.** As a matter of common sense, regardless of 12 process, wasn't that quite a serious situation that the 13 neonatal unit was facing as at August of 2015?
- A. I think again when Child E died the feedback that was received from the unit was again that there was clinical reasons that would have contributed to the death. I think as well, we didn't have the further information available to us around the events of Child B and also Child F and I understand from previous
- witnesses there was also an event prior to death ofChild A.
- These were not reported within the incident reporting system so the fuller scope and understanding
- 24 of what was happening in the unit wasn't there because
- 25 they weren't in the Datix incident reporting system,

there was no one version of the truth, if you like, and
therefore what -- what the Serious Incident Panel were
looking at was deaths in which the clinical teams were
giving us assurances that the clinical conditions of
either mum or baby had more than likely contributed to.

And I think I do need to reinforce that it wasn't like the specialties were coming and saying: all the care was excellent and this -- this is just ... they were very transparent about gaps in care that had happened, they were very clear where there was elements of sub optimal care and what they planned to do to

12 remedy that in future, and so it did feel -- certainly

13 my interpretation of it, it did feel it was a very --

they had undertaken a thorough multi-disciplinary reviewof the cases.

Q. But wasn't it your role at those meetings to
take a step back, look at the big picture and say:
I think we have a problem here that we need to notify
NHS England about?

A. I didn't consider it in that way because --

Q. Was it your role?

6

7

8

9

10

11

20

21

24

25

8

9

10

11

15

22 A. I would say it was my role and also everybody

23 who was in attendance at the Serious Incident Panel.

Q. Had it been escalated as a Serious Incident, would that have prompted a more detailed investigation? 161

position to say that at the point of Child I, we are in the same situation, which is again that process could have been considered reporting as a Serious Incident, considering a systems failures approach, but as I say at that point, at that point in time, that wasn't the approach that was being taken in the organisation for the Serious Incident Framework.

Q. What we do know about Child I's death is on the very day of Child I's death, Eirian Powell sent an email in which she indicated that she had thought about going to speak straight to Alison Kelly?

12 **A.** Mm-hm.

13 **Q.** You are aware of the email that I am speaking 14 about?

A. I believe I have seen it in my bundle, so yes.

16 **Q.** And she mentions a member of your team,

17 Debbie Peacock, in that email and then that is on the

18 Friday?

19 **A.** (Nods)

20 **Q.** Then on the Monday, the 27th, Eirian Powell talks about having spoken at length to Debbie Peacock.

22 One more piece of information for you to be aware of is

23 attached to the first email, 23 October, was a chart

24 with eight deaths, the seven that I have just listed to

25 you plus one that occurred in April, with Letby's name 163 A. It would have -- it would have looked at

having a review of the neonatal unit's practices so that

3 position paper that was undertaken in July 2016,

4 something along those lines would have likely been done

5 earlier and it would have likely have been done by

6 somebody external for the organisation rather than being

7 pulled together in the rushed manner that it was. So we

8 would have been given more time to have been done more

9 comprehensively.

10

12

15

23

2

5

Q. Child I died on 23 October?

11 **A.** (Nods)

Q. In the intervening period there were two

13 non-indictment baby deaths in September?

14 **A.** Mm-hm.

Q. So by the time we reached Child I, we have the

16 initial cluster of three plus Child E and two more in

17 September?

18 **A.** (Nods)

19 Q. And a seventh who was Child I. Was that

20 another opportunity to take a step back and report what

21 is now eight deaths in the space of four or so months,

22 five months?

A. So the -- the two deaths that occurred in

24 between I don't believe I was aware of those, they

25 weren't reported as incidents. I think it's a valid

16

1 marked in red against the latter seven?

A. (Nods)

3 Q. So that's information that was coming from the

4 neonatal unit to Ms Peacock.

A. Mmm mm.

6 Q. Did Ms Peacock speak to you about the fact

7 that she had received that email, had that in-depth

8 conversation and seen a chart with Letby's name in red

9 on?

10 A. I don't recall such a conversation, no.

11 Q. Just so that we are clear about what that

12 answer means, sometimes people say that because they

13 think it might have happened but they just can't exclude

14 the possibility one way or the other. It is also a way

15 of saying that definitely didn't happen because I would

16 remember it. Which are you saying?

17 A. I think I would have remembered having -- if

18 I had seen a chart that had said that volume of deaths.

19 **Q.** Was it something that you would have expected 20 Debbie Peacock to have told you about?

21 A. I would have expected Debbie to bring it to my

22 attention. Obviously there was an increased mortality

23 rate, she would have been aware of that and obviously

24 there was some -- some concerns there. So yes, I would

25 have expected her to bring that to my attention.

- O That there was identified a common member of staff with seven out of the eight deaths?
- 3 A. (Nods)

2

8

1

2

3

- 4 O. And it was being treated so seriously that there was talk of going over Ms Peacock's boss, you, and 5 6 jumping several tiers of management to go straight to 7 the Director of Nursing?
 - A. Yes.
- 9 Q. If you had been told that, would that have --10 would you expect that you would have reacted to that information? 11
- 12 At that time, I would have absolutely 13 questioned, you know, to understand again the usual pattern of deaths that we do see in hospital in the 14 neonatal unit. I would have understood at that point 15 16 this was a considerably higher number of deaths and 17 understood the level of concern against that.
- 18 So yes, if I had seen that, I am confident I would 19 have took action and that would have been re-direct that 20 through the Serious Incident Panel. The Serious 21 Incident Panel was an opportunity to have direct 22 communications with our Executive team and because it
- 23 happened weekly it was generally scheduled on Monday at 3 o'clock, you know, you were able to raise concerns 24
- quite freely there and I would have -- I am confident
 - the time that she was attending a meeting about all of the deaths that had occurred recently on the neonatal unit?
- 4 When I was preparing my statement 5 I couldn't -- I could not recall but I can see from some 6 of the correspondence that she did inform me that this 7 was -- it was taking place and I am satisfied with that 8 to know that she would have shared that with me. But 9 I don't recall, I cannot recall it. As you say, my priority at that time was very much focused on the CQC 10 inspection. 11
- 12 The Inquiry knows that the purpose of that meeting was to look at all of the deaths that had 13 14 occurred and try and identify if there were any common 15 features?
- 16 A. Mmm mm.
- 17 Q. By then there had been a very significant number, more than the position in October, even. Did 18 you have an understanding at the time of that meeting 19 20 that there was really something very wrong on the 21 neonatal unit?
- 22 A.
- 23 Q. Well, is that something that Ms Peacock should 24 have told you?
- 25 I think yes, but also the specialty should A. 167

- I would have done that. 1
- 2 Should Ms Peacock have raised what she had 3 been told with the Women and Children's Care Governance 4 Board?
- Absolutely, I think that should have been 5 6 raised. You know, it's difficult to say is it Debbie's
- 7 responsibility? Certainly she would have been aware, it
- would have been appropriate for her to speak about it.
- From a responsibility perspective, if it was Ms Powell 9
- 10 who had identified it I would have expected her to
- 11 formally raise it there.
- 12 If one looks at it this way, do you agree that 13 it was information that should have been given at that 14 stage to the Women and Children's Care Governance Board?
- 15
 - Yes, yes.
- 16 Q. And if in Ms Peacock's position, you saw that
- 17 neither Ms Powell nor Dr Brearey nor anybody else was
- raising it, wouldn't there then be an obligation, given 18
- 19 her role, to raise it herself?
 - Α. Absolutely.
- 21 Q. We will move forward to the thematic review.
- 22 We know that meeting took place on 8 February of 2016.
- 23 This was at a time very shortly before the CQC visit
- 24 when you have told us your priority was that.
- 25 Ms Peacock attended that meeting. Did you know at 166
- 1 have raised that and again through the governance
- 2 meetings it should have been escalated and discussed and
- 3 obviously I would have become aware of that route as
- 4 well.

20

- 5 Well, I appreciate you may be limited in
- 6 answering this question as you don't have a recollection
- 7 beyond the emails that you have seen. But might you not
- 8 have expected yourself if you were told by
- Debbie Peacock she was going to a meeting that was going
- to try and get to the bottom of what the common factors 10
- might be in all of the deaths that had taken place on 11
- the neonatal unit that you would say well, hang on
- a minute, I haven't seen anything about that at QSPEC, 13
- 14 I haven't seen anything about that from any of the
- 15 governance structures in place.
- 16 Wouldn't that be a reaction you would expect from 17 yourself?
- 18 I believe that my recollection at that time
- the deaths that I was aware of were the deaths that were 19
- 20 reported within the Datix incident reporting system.
- They were the deaths that I was aware of. I don't --21
- 22 I can't recall being aware of any other deaths.
- 23 So the deaths that I was aware of because they had
- 24 been reported as incidents had all come through the
- Serious Incident Panel. My understanding at the time 25

therefore was that this was a further review of those
 deaths but with an additional lens by an external
 Consultant to essentially see if there was any
 additional learning available.

Q. So was it your understanding based on what you had been told that such a review wouldn't need to be on the radar of any of the governance committees?

A. No it should -- it should absolutely be received in and should have been notified definitely through the Women and Children's board that would have been the most appropriate because obviously this affects mum and baby.

Q. So we will come back to what the report actually said, but we had the CQC visit on 16 February and following and you have told us already in some detail that you were overseeing the preparation for that.

One of the aspects was a slide deck, which I think you say in your statement was a presentation given to the Executives?

A. That's correct.

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

6

7

8

9

10

14

15

16 17

18

19

20

Q. And if we can just bring up INQ0007947, and in particular page 6 which you tell us in your statement is a self assessment by different parts of the hospital.

0007947. Well, let's try page 6. I think that's

has come from the specialty themselves, this will have come from Ms Powell and, as much as I understand it,

3 Dr Brearey as well. Then I have essentially lifted that
4 feedback into this slide deck.
5 Q. At the time that you gave this presentation

Q. At the time that you gave this presentation to the Executives, did you have any reason to think that anything said there was wrong?

A. Well, it's their self assessment, it is their view, their perception of the services that they deliver and that was the ask of the Execs.

11 **Q.** But if you had good and strong reason to think 12 it was wrong, you would have an opportunity to say 13 something about it?

A. Yes, and we did have conversation around the -- the discussions in the comment sections against each of the seven key areas.

Q. So if we just look at that. At the time that you made this presentation to the Executives, was there any part of what the self assessment said about the neonatal unit that you thought was wrong?

A. That's difficult for me to answer in the sense that again it is their self assessment. My view, you know, "good" is a variety, there is a wealth of information that sits below each of -- each of these descriptions.

the slideshow at the end there. Could we crop in pleaseso we can see it more clearly. Thank you.

Third from the bottom, Service for Children and Young People. We'll just look at what this says and then we will try and understand where it came from.

Self rated "good" for safe, "good" for effective,

7 "outstanding" for caring, "outstanding" for responsive,8 "good" for well led and then this:

9 "National staffing standard issues for neonatal 10 unit nursing staff are reflected locally. Trust is fundraising for a new neonatal unit. Strong clinical 11 engagement with safety and quality. The Facing the 12 Future standards are a challenge to staffing which the 13 Trust is working to address. Robust processes for 14 incident review and learning." 15 16 So that is what's recorded there. Who is the

author of that self assessment or which category of
 person would have had input into that self assessment?
 A. Yes, so the head of -- the head of CQC visit
 each of the core services, which is the areas listed

20 each of the core services, which is the areas listed
21 down the side and underwent a number of different
22 schemes at work.

There was a self assessment done where we had a colleague who was working with me supporting the specialties in completing this self assessment, so this

1 Certainly within the "Comments" section, we were 2 aware of the staffing issues, we saw those coming 3 through the incidents and we were aware of the 4 fundraising efforts going on around the neonatal unit.

5

with safety and quality and I do think that is true in
 the sense that they were engaged because they would have
 the conversations. The difficulty was it didn't
 necessarily translate through to the incident reports

Their view was that there was strong engagement

10 but they were having the conversations and they were --

my view of the teams, they were driven to give goodcare.

I can't comment upon the Facing the Future
 standards, I don't know the detail for that. And I have
 already alluded to the process around incident review.

The learning, and you will have seen through the CQC feedback, the learning around incident reporting, staff reported that they did get feedback on incident reporting and the different ways of receiving that, they knew how to report incidents and they knew there was a process for that.

22 So it's not incorrect for them to -- to list these 23 things. It's -- you know, obviously, you know, with 24 hindsight you would look and you would say something 25 differently.

Q. Well, at the time, wasn't that exactly consistent with what Debbie Peacock was feeding back to you because she wasn't telling you there was a problem in any of these areas?

And nothing was coming through, so as I say we had an additional colleague who was supporting each of the services with their preparation for CQC and there was nothing coming back via that route either.

So does it come to this then: at the time when you are presenting this to the Executives this accorded with your own view of that unit?

I think that's reasonable to say.

Q. So when we come later to July, when we see the criticisms coming through, in fact that was a change of view on your part that as at January/February time, you thought those things were true?

17 Yes. However, I had raised prior to -- to January/February time concerns with Dr Brearey's 18 19 responsiveness in supporting incident investigation 20 reports through the Serious Incident Panel and I -- as 21 I say we had had conversations around the way that 22 incidents were being reported and the use of the pick 23 list.

Thank you, we can take that down. Just one very short topic before perhaps I could invite my Lady 173

So my view would be that if Dr Jayaram had identified an area that gave him that level of cause of concern then he should have completed that incident form himself.

MR DE LA POER: Thank you. My Lady, I am going to move to another topic, I wonder if that would be a convenient moment?

LADY JUSTICE THIRLWALL: Yes, certainly. We will 8 9 take a break until 3.30 pm.

(3.16 pm) 10

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

13

14

15

16

17

21

11 (A short break)

12 (3.29 pm)

LADY JUSTICE THIRLWALL: Just wait a minute. I had understood there was one or two missing, I didn't realise quite how many. Anyway, let's get started.

Mr De La Poer.

MR DE LA POER: My Lady, thank you.

Mrs Millward, on 2 March 2016 you were emailed 18 along with other people a report by Dr Brearey entitled 19 20 "The Thematic Review of Neonatal Mortality".

A.

22 Q. In summary, that document set out an analysis 23 of each of the deaths but reached a conclusion that no 24 common cause was identified but that there was a theme of sudden and unexpected deteriorations leading to death

to take a break and that is the collapse of Child K. 1

2 You say in your witness statement that it would have been appropriate for that to have been reported as 3 4 an incident for further review. It is just to understand it, are you there suggesting that Dr Jayaram 5 6 should have spoken directly to you about it or that he 7 should have filled in a Datix? What are you meaning by it would have been appropriate for this to have been 9 reported as an incident?

10 So the Datix reporting system, it should be completed by any staff member who has a concern around 11 the care that has happened and certainly if something 12 unexpected or unintended has -- has taken place. 13

14 Doctors are the same as any other member of staff, there is an expectation that if they see something, they 15 16 have identified a problem, then they should be reporting

17 that as an incident. Obviously, at that point in time,

18 we were very much aligned with the Serious Incident --

19 well, the view was we were very much aligned with the

20 Serious Incident Framework, so that if it was a moderate

21 harm or above incident, the process was that the person

who identified the event should pick up the phone and

23 give us a call, so that we could support them but also

we could see if, you know, the patient and/or family 24

would need support as well.

174

and that the majority of deaths had occurred at night?

Δ Yes

2

16

25

3 Q. It also appended appendix 1, which showed the 4 nursing staff either allocated to or on duty at the time 5 of the deaths, that is the document we are talking 6 about? 7

Α.

8 Q. From your point of view as Head of Risk and 9 Patient Safety, that was a concerning document, was it? 10

Α.

11 O. A document requiring action?

Yes, I don't recall when I first saw the 12 document but I do -- I do recall seeing it. What I took 13 14 from that report was that that it identified a number of areas of sub optimal care which some of which had 15

17 to be addressed.

18 But the report did not conclude, did it, that those areas of sub optimal care provided an explanation 19 20 for why so many babies were dying?

already been addressed and others that were continuing

21 It -- from -- my interpretation of the report 22 was that it I pulled out I think four maybe five themes 23 overall which were areas of care. I can't recall more 24 than that.

> Q. Well, let's have a look INQ0010037. So this 176

is the start of the report? 1

> A. (Nods)

2

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

22

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

14

3 Q. And we will need to move down, please, to 4 page 7 to see the start of this was there was no common theme identified in all the cases; so that is a red flag 5 6 do you agree from your point of view?

I -- I perceived that as there being that there were a number of different factors that affected each of the children and that the action plan that was put in place was to tackle those different facets. I didn't perceive no common theme identified in all the cases as being an area of concern.

The -- the cases identified different aspects of sub optimal care and they as far as I could see had been responded to by the actions.

16 Number 1, sudden deterioration. Some of the 17 babies suddenly and unexpectedly deteriorated and there was no clear cause for the deterioration/death 18 19 identified at the postmortem.

So that is the first theme?

20 21

A. Yes

> Q. That is identified.

23 Was that not a cause of very considerable concern 24 to you?

> A. I have tried to explain in my statement 177

can you all read through, can you advise if you want to make any changes?

So there wasn't an instruction to me and certainly at that time when I am still, you know, focused upon the CQC, I can't -- I cannot give you any recollection that says when I saw this report at the particular time. I do remember seeing it, I remember focusing upon the actions and seeing that the actions many had already been put in place and many and some were still being, being taken forward but I can't give you any further recollections around this. I don't have them.

12 My question wasn't about your recollections so 13 just focus on my question.

Did you have an obligation to read it carefully?

15 When you are copied into an email with no specific instruction that says "Mrs Millward, can you 16 please ..." then I think it would be unfair to say that 17 I am obliged to open that email and open an attachment 18 19 and read it.

20 Q. Did you have an obligation to make sure that

21 Mrs McMahon read it carefully? 22 And in the same sense I believe that 23

Mrs McMahon was also copied into that email. The 24 communications were within the paediatric team

themselves for them to action it. 25

179

I don't recall seeing this report in any significant 1 2

3 I do remember reading it and I remember going 4 specifically to the actions and -- and looking at the actions. I can see reading this that yes, of course it 5 6 would the sudden deterioration of course that would be 7 an area of concern.

You see, Mrs Peacock, who had attended the 8 Q. 9 meeting, was no longer available as at 2 March?

Α. That's correct.

11 Q. You had Mrs McMahon who was effectively splitting her time between responsibility that 12

Mrs Peacock had and other work that she had? 13

14 Α.

Q. 15 You were placed on copy for this report?

16 Α.

17 Q. Did you not have an obligation to read it

18 carefully?

10

19 I must get over a hundred emails a day at that

20 time. Many will be copied into. I think there is

a very unhealthy culture in the NHS to copy people into 21

emails so you can say "I have told so and so" when in 22

23 actual fact you haven't and from what I can see of the

email thread if this is not a final report, this is 24

a report that says I have pulled together our learning,

1 So my question was: did you have an obligation 2 to make sure that Mrs McMahon read it carefully?

3 No, I don't believe I did have an obligation 4 to expect Mrs McMahon to open it to read it, as we were 5 both copied into an email that was for circulation to 6 the paediatricians which wasn't a final document because 7 it hadn't received all of their agreement.

8 Well, let's have a look at the email that sent it INQ0003114. So just can you help me with which part 9 of the email that you are referring to? 10

Well, the whole of the email from Dr Brearey 11 refers to as you see: I have brought together the 12 13 summaries of the care, thanks to ... it includes 14 basically I have been asked to be signed off at 15 governance board. That is where the report is

16 finalised.

17 So from my perspective, this isn't a finalised report anyway until it's gone through the governance 18 board and has been approved. But both Mrs McMahon and 19 myself and Dr Davies has all been copied into, there is

20 no instruction there to myself or to Mrs McMahon. 21

22 Q. You are the manager of a department?

23 Α. Yes.

24 Do you need to be instructed to do something 25 before you do it?

A. Well, with respect with over 100 emails in your inbox every day, a number of those being copied into, just so that somebody can say that they have told you is not -- is not an effective way of communicating.

If Dr Brearey felt that he needed me to do something, he should have stipulated that very clearly in the email. From what I can see he's already had a conversation with Mr Harvey and that the report once it's been finalised by the group is to go to governance board.

- Q. Did you have an obligation to ensure that thiswent to the Women and Children's Care Governance Board?
- A. My team were not responsible for the cycles of
 business or agendas at the Women and Children's Care
 Governance Board. That sat with the chair who I believe
 was Mr McCormack.
- Q. Would you -- Mr McCormack isn't on copy here.
 Would your department have any obligation to make sure
 that a report like this was seen at the appropriate
 level in a timely way?
- A. The appropriate that once that the report has been received that it comes back to the Serious Incident Panel, which I believe it did do, but as for the governance board, as I say it is not our responsibility to co-ordinate that meeting or the agenda. Obviously
- 1 page up.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

2 We can see that Eirian Powell, not copying you in, 3 on 17 March, says that she's seeking to arrange 4 a meeting. She draws attention to the high mortality 5 and the commonality of a particular nurse and a doctor 6 was identified as a common theme however not as many as 7 the nurse and you come into it, as we can see that 8 four days later, 21 March, Alison Kelly copies you in to 9 her reply.

10 Do you see that?

- 11 **A.** Yes, I do.
- Q. So does it follow that on 21 March, you were
 aware of both the high mortality and the fact that
 a particular nurse had been identified as a commonality?
- A. I can't recall this email at all and I refer to what I said before I have been copied into an email

17 I would have had over a hundred in a day.
 18 LADY JUSTICE THIRLWALL: Don't worry about giving
 19 the same explanation, it is understood.

20 A. Thank you, Ma'am.

22

21 LADY JUSTICE THIRLWALL: So you don't remember it?

No, I don't recall this.

23 MR DE LA POER: So we will move forward to

24 Annemarie Lawrence when she joined which was around May,

25 so a couple of months after that. She has told the 183

1 once reported back from Serious Incident Panel, then

2 there would be a prompt to get this through governance

3 board at that point.

Q. Well, what we know is that once Ms Lawrence
saw this report, she immediately tabled it at the Women
and Children's Care Governance Board. That was her
reaction to reading it?

A. Yes

8

9 Q. It doesn't appear that that was your reaction10 or Ms McMahon's reaction. Should you have done that?

A. So as I say my understanding is that this
report came through to the Serious Incident Panel was
received back through the Serious Incident Panel and was
discussed there. You know that -- it is -- I'm sorry,
but it's not my responsibility or that of Mrs McMahon to
stipulate what is on the agenda at these governance
board meetings.

That meeting was run by the specialty and by the specialties and obviously when we have line of sight of something I would agree we would sit and say has it come through but my understanding was that this was not -- it was not a finalised paper.

Q. If we move forward in time to 17 March,
 INQ0003089, you are copied in part way through an email
 thread. So if we go to the bottom. Forgive me, next

- 1 Inquiry that she read that report and that she looked
- 2 through the appendix carefully and she got out
- 3 a highlighter and she highlighted Letby's name.
- 4 **A.** Mmm mm.
 - Q. Was that an appropriate and conscientious
- 6 thing for her to do?

5

7

- A. Absolutely.
- Q. Was that her effectively doing her job wellwithin the Risk and Patient Safety Department?
- 10 **A.** Yes
- 11 **Q.** So having done her job well, she came to see 12 you to tell you what she had found. She has described

13 you as being dismissive of her concerns?

14 **A.** (Nods)

Q. Just tell us, please, in your own words howyou say that conversation happened and I will just break

17 it down for you so we can be very focused. Did she have

18 the report with her?

19 A. I don't recall seeing Mrs Lawrence bring20 a report to me, no.

21 **Q.** Did she tell you that she had been through the 22 thematic review report?

A. This -- the questions you are asking, sir, it is difficult because Mrs Lawrence has a different

25 recollection or I have a different recollection from

3

15

Mrs Lawrence and I think Mrs Lawrence refers to two separate occasions whereas I can only recall the one.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15 16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

That occasion, Mrs Lawrence had been over to the neonatal unit, there had been some discussion that she had, you know, observed and then she came back to speak to me. She shared with me that there were staff on the unit talking about a particular nurse and how this nurse had been present at all or some of these deaths, I can't remember the exact wording.

interpretation of the conversation we had was that this was a suggestion of a clinical competence or clinical practice issue and I am sure you will ask: did I caution her? I cautioned her with regards to repeating that. A clinical competence or clinical practice issue is a HR matter, it is confidential. It would not be for me or any of my team to go around repeating that.

We went on to have a conversation. My

What I also understood from Mrs Lawrence's conversation was that the concerns had already been escalated up through and there had been some conversations with Mrs Kelly already about this. That's my recollection.

Q. So you understood that a member of staff was being discussed as being a common feature of deaths which had occurred?

185

no further action that I was aware that I have taken
I can only assume that that the conversation I had ended
with it being, you know, it's being looked at.

The chair of the Serious Incident Panel tended to be Mrs Kelly and it would have been an appropriate conversation to have with Mrs Kelly; if it is an HR matter for a nurse she would be the professional lead to have that conversation with.

Q. But that isn't something that you have anyrecollection of having done?

11 **A.** No. I can only take that from my

12 Facere Melius transcript.

Q. In terms of Ms Lawrence's recollection, she
told the Inquiry about going through the report,
highlighting it, coming to you to speak to the -- to you
about the product of her analysis. So not gossip but
analysis?

17 analysis?18 **A**.

21

A. (Nods)

19 **Q.** Do you have any recollection of having had 20 such a conversation with Mrs Lawrence?

A. No. I have shared with you my recollection.

187

22 **Q.** If a member of staff had done that, would that 23 be a prompt for you to take immediate action, if they

24 say they had been through a document identified

a commonality and thought that action was required?

A. That's correct.

Q. Was that not a matter that you should have made it your business to find out more about?

A. It came across as being a gossipy conversation
 and as I say, I understood that it had already been
 escalated to the Executive team.

I have reflected on what actions did I take
 following that and I have had to refer back to my
 Facere Melius statement or transcript because I can't

10 actually recall, but in that Facere Melius transcript

11 I speak about taking this back through the Serious

12 Incident Panel and having the conversation with -- with

13 obviously the Executives who would have been there and

14 that I believe I would have done.

Q. You think that you did do that?

16 A. I think I did. Looking at my Facere Melius

17 statement, that would have been the action that I would

18 have took.

Q. What does that mean in practice in terms ofwho you spoke to?

A. That would have been whichever the Executives was at that the next Serious Incident Panel and it would have been an informal conversation along the lines of my team are hearing this, is there anything that is needed from my team or is this an HR matter? And as there was

186

1 A. Yes, and again the action -- my action would 2 be the same, to take it through to the Serious Incident 3 Panel as an informal conversation again to say my staff 4 have identified this. Is there -- is there anything

5 here that you want myself and my team to act upon?

Q. So if we move forward to the Serious Incident
Review for Child O and Child P, just one small matter to
ask you about.

You report in your statement there was a discussion about the duty of candour and that you said you were not prepared to undertake initial duty of candour disclosure

12 to the parents of Child O and Child P?

A. Child O and Child P?

14 **Q.** Yes.

13

16

15 A. Yes, that's correct.

Q. Why did you say that?

17 **A.** Well, first and foremost the parents of

18 Child O and P had lost not just one baby but two babies,

19 obviously their third child was in another hospital,

20 a telephone call of that nature would be out of the blue

21 and given as well the timing of it that we had or the

22 Executive team had made the decision to downgrade the --

23 the unit I felt that it was not a conversation that

24 should come from me, it should come from somebody more

5 senior in the organisation and I remember feeding that

back through at the next Serious Incident Panel and saying that I wasn't prepared to do that.

My recollection is that Mr Cross and Mrs Williams were there and it was to them that I shared this.

We are going to deal next with three meetings that you had with the Executives on 29 June, 6 July and 13 July. This is what you say in your witness

"The Executive team did show concern around the increased mortality rate on the neonatal unit. However. my interpretation of the discussions was that there was a belief that the increase in mortality on the neonatal unit was due to persistently higher acuity, nurse staffing challenges and clinical leadership rather than any deliberate act to cause harm."

A. Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

3

4

5

6

7

8

11

12

14

15

18

17 Q. So that we are clear about it, in those meetings, did the Executives say anything about what the 18 19 doctors suspected might be happening?

20 A. I don't recall for the first two. The only 21 thing I can remember about the doctors would be in the 22 third meeting, that I think is the 13 June and that was, 23 it was more in relation to the doctors stating that they would not have Lucy back on the unit, rather than 24 an explicit concern being, being said.

1 driven -- were very much driven by the data analyst or 2 data analysts.

Q. Alongside that piece of work, and as I say we will come to it, we know that Dr Gibbs and Nurse Anne Martyn were commissioned to do a piece of work which they say resulted in them being concerned about six cases that they looked at?

> A. (Nods)

9 They were effectively looking at transfers out Q. as a proxy for non-fatal collapses? 10

> Α. Yes.

We also know that Ms Williams conducted a staffing analysis and we will hear from Ms Williams 13 tomorrow but we know what she said in her witness statement what she saw according to her witness statement made her so concerned she thought the police 16 17 needed to be contacted. Were either Dr Gibbs or Ms Williams' conclusions discussed at any of the 19 meetings that you attended?

20 I don't recall receiving anything back from Dr Gibbs and I think I have alluded in my statement to 21 22 say that I understand from his statement he did submit 23 them to somebody. But they didn't make their way to me 24 and I think I have referenced in the position paper that there would be a need to do a further case review 25 191

O. In terms of where the Executives appeared to 1 be getting their belief from, due to persistent higher acuity, nurse staffing challenges and clinical 3 4 leadership, what was the source of that belief so far as you could discern? 5

6 Yes. So as you will know from the position 7 paper there was a number of graphs and charts that were produced by the senior data analyst. They had been developed so Mrs Kelly and I believe Mr Harvey also had 9 10 conversations with the senior data analysts around what graphs they wanted to see what data they wanted to see 11 and I believe that was, that was shared and obviously 12 had some conversations that I wasn't party to and 13 I think that was -- that was the result, that they 14 interpreted it in that way. 15

16 Well, we have already looked at it and we are 17 going to look at it again in just a moment you put those graphs, I think this is right to say, in that position 18 19 paper and commented upon them?

20 A. I would say the comments are what came from the data analyst. I am not a data analyst, my job was 21 22 to pull together the different data sources and put them 23 into a paper that could be tabled for the Executives to 24 use to make a decision about next steps. 25

So the analysis or the comments are very much

1 because there was a suggestion that there may be other 2 cases that needed to be looked at but I didn't have that 3

4 With regards to the staffing review Ms Williams and 5 Ms Fogarty did as I understood it, that was largely 6 discussed on 13 July in the final meeting. There was 7 a spreadsheet that we had pulled that we, myself and 8 Mr Bennett who is one of my administrators, we had pulled together which brought together a number of 9

different datasets and data sources and that also 10 11

included the staffing.

So that would have been on the screen in front of 12 the Executives for that final discussion. 13

14 Q. And was Ms Williams present at that meeting?

15 Mrs Williams was present. Α.

16 Q. Mrs Williams?

17 Α. Yes

Q. Did Mrs Williams voice what her conclusions 18

were based on her analysis at that meeting? 19

20 The only reference to the police that I can remember at that meeting was Mr Cross -- at the end of 21 22 the meeting Mr Cross stating that he would have an 23 informal conversation with -- he had worked with the police previously and he had said he would have an informal conversation around the decision-making that

had been made. 1

2

3

4

5

6

7

I don't recall any other conversation that spoke about discussing this with the police at that meeting.

- Now, in terms of the spreadsheet that you talked being put up on screen, we are not going to put it up on screen --
- Α. Of course
- 8 -- because in its current form it has not been Q. 9 ciphered but so that everybody knows what we are talking 10 about, I know you do, it is INQ0002836, so people can
- make a note of that so that they understand, but you 11
- I know Mrs Millward do know which one we are talking 12
- 13 about?

14

- Α. I do
- 15 Q. I think your initial recollection that of the
- 16 13 deaths, seven of them had an association with Letby.
- 17 That was going into the Inquiry process.
- That's correct. 18 A.
- 19 But you have now had a chance to refresh your
- 20 memory from the chart that you know was shown at that
- 21 meeting. Is this right: in relation to those 13 deaths,
- 22 Letby was shown as being on duty at the time of 10 of
- 23 them and that --
- 24 Α. Yes
- 25 Q. There were two more, so 12 of the 13, those 193
- 1 narrative to enable an assessment of the patient safety
- 2 concerns identified by the neonatal clinicians relating
- 3 to an apparent increase in the number of neonatal
- 4 deaths."
- 5 So is that your text?
- 6 A. Yes.
- 7 So the patient safety concerns, as the Inquiry
- 8 understand it, are that Letby may be deliberately
- 9 harming babies, that's what our understanding of the
- neonatal clinician's concerns were. 10
- 11 Did you have a different understanding when you
- wrote that? 12

13

25

- A. I think my understanding when we wrote that
- 14 was that there was a much higher number of patient
- deaths than would normally be seen and that there was --15
- the Consultants were stating that there was 16
- 17 a commonality with this nurse.
- 18 At no -- my interpretation of that as I have said
- before was that this was potentially a clinical 19
- 20 competency issue. At no point did any of the
- Consultants say to me: I am concerned that Lucy Letby is 21
- 22 deliberately harming these babies. That was never
- 23 voiced to me and I don't recall being in a room where
- 24 that was ever voiced.
 - And at any time did you approach any of them 195

- latter two being Letby on duty at an adjoining shift? 1
- 2 I believe -- gosh, I am trying to think now.
- I believe that it was -- I think it was nine and 3
- an additional one at an adjoining shift, I am afraid 4
- I can't be more --5
 - Q. The data will speak for itself.
- 7 Α. Thank you.
 - The point is your initial recollection of Q.
- seven you realised was wrong? 9
 - Α. Yes.
- 11 Q. But actually it was closer than that to 13?
 - Α. Yes. But to reiterate, that information was
- on the board and that is just my recollection since 13
- 14 events

6

8

10

12

21

- 15 Q. So let's have a look at the position paper
- 16 that you have spoken about, INQ0001888. Now, what you
- 17 say in your witness statement is that your understanding
- at this time was that the increase in the mortality rate 18
- 19 was being attributed to persistently higher acuity,
- 20 nurse staffing challenges and clinical leadership?
 - Α. Yes
- 22 We have heard that phrase before.
- 23 In fact, let's have a look at page 1:
- 24 "The purpose of this paper is to provide the
- 25 Executive team with key mortality data and supplementary 194
- to better understand their concerns?
- 2 Well, I attended these Silver Command
- meetings, I did spend some time in the room with
- 4 Dr Gibbs and Anne Martyn. My specific role, as you know
- 5 from my statement, was to pull together all these
- 6 different datasets to understand.
- 7 It was to -- this was to not a comprehensive review
- 8 in the way it would have been if we had reported as
- a Serious Incident; it was time limited, we only had 9
- a couple of weeks -- it was to be completed whilst Lucy 10
- was on leave -- to understand if there was a link in 11
- 12 some way. And, you know, from my perspective, I was
- 13 looking at pulling all these different data sources
- 14 together.
- 15 I didn't speak more widely with Dr Brearey other
- than asking for some of the mortality and morbidity case 16
- 17 reviews and of course making sure I had the correct
- paper and the same with Eirian Powell. She supplied 18
- some information around the staffing issues and the 19
- 20 challenges around the BAPM standards.
- 21 So let's have a look, please, at page 4.
- Forgive me, if we go over the page, please. We can see 23
- the graphs here. Did you conduct any analysis of those
- 24 graphs?

22

25

Α. No. As I say, the graphs, the datasets that's 196

- 1 included in the graphs were taken by the senior data
- 2 analyst having had further conversations with Mrs Kelly
- 3 and I believe Mr Harvey and therefore the text to
- 4 describe those graphs is taken from the data analyst.
- 5 I have literally pulled those views, pulled those
- 6 comments and graphs into this report so it's in one
- 7 place with all the different datasets that Mrs Kelly
- 8 asked for

- **Q.** So page 11, we will see what the findings are.
- 10 We don't need to trouble with the common cause
- 11 variation because that is discounted and activity is
- 12 said not to be alone, able to account for the increase
- 13 but maybe a contributory factor. The first of the
- 14 factors that you identify as you thought the Executives
- 15 thought was responsible is acuity and if we just scroll
- 16 down, please, forgive me, my mistake, it's -- look down.
- 17 We can see that the conclusion, we don't need to look at
- 18 the analysis:
- "An increased and sustained acuity level may bea contributory factor."
- 21 So at its highest, it may have contributed?
- 22 A. (Nods)
- 23 Q. So it may not have done anything at all, but
- 24 it may be responsible for part?
- 25 A. Yes.

197

- 1 would be unreasonable for me to make a conclusion from
- 2 that. Instead what I have done is I have pulled
- 3 together the findings and they were based upon the
- 4 conversations that had taken place.
 - Q. The third factor was clinical leadership.
- 6 **A.** (Nods)

5

- 7 Q. What was the basis of that because that
- 8 doesn't appear to be in this report?
- 9 A. No, so the clinical -- so I think really
- 10 around the way that they had engaged with the incident
- 11 reporting and that there was limited incident reporting,
- 12 we have alluded to the neonatal pick lists earlier,
- 13 I won't repeat.
- 14 So that was the -- that was the sense that
- 15 certainly I had from the conversation.
- 16 Q. The neonatal pick list is unlikely, isn't it,
- 17 in fact impossible for that to be the cause of
- 18 an increase in --
- 19 **A.** No. Yes.
- 20 **Q.** In mortality?
- 21 A. But reporting rates and reporting a breadth of
- 22 incidents seen past the actual harm events and trying to
- 23 identify incidents that caused no harm but are important
- 24 for learning because they identify for you where the
- 25 care has potential for causing harm and they give you

- Q. As far as nurse staffing levels is
- a contributory factor, we saw the report the self
- 3 assessment given to the CQC and there is other evidence
- 4 to suggest that the staffing level had consistently been
- 5 below the BAPM level and was in fact was not out of step
- 6 with the rest of the neonatal network?
 - A. (Nods)

7

8

- Q. Here no conclusion is in fact reached about
- 9 whether it did or didn't contribute. It simply points
- 10 out that it doesn't meet the standard.
- 11 A. Yes, because it's -- as you see, it says
- 12 "findings". It is not a conclusion. It was prepared so
- 13 that the Executives could review the data that was made
- 14 available and for them to reach a conclusion and
- 15 decision-making around next steps.
- 16 Q. So nothing in the report that you pulled
- 17 together that would found such a conclusion firmly; is
- 18 that right?
- 19 A. It was not for me -- I didn't feel it was for
- 20 me to make a conclusion. The request was to gather
- 21 together all these different datasets and provide them
- 22 to the Executives so that together with the spreadsheet
- 23 we have spoken around that they could then make
- 24 a decision around next steps for the neonatal unit.
- 25 As I said, I am not a data analyst, so I -- it
 - 198
 - the opportunity to learn before a patient has been
- 2 harmed; that's really important.
- 3 So it's the scope of the incident reporting and the
- 4 responsiveness. I have already alluded to Dr Brearey
- 5 having somewhat not been very responsive with other
- 6 cases so that was my perception from the conversation
- 7 that took place.

1

- 8 Q. But I am not seeking to dispute what you say
- 9 about it being important, it undoubtedly is, that in
- 10 itself is not going to be an explanation for the
- 11 increase in mortality, is it?
- 12 **A.** No, but it is an example around clinical
- 13 leadership and how -- how the clinical leadership team
- 14 value quality and safety and are working together as
- 15 a multi-disciplinary team.
- 16 Q. Turn briefly to look at the decision for Letby
- 17 to join your department?
- 18 **A.** Yes.

- Q. We can probably cut through this.
- 20 You have said in retrospect it would have been more
- 21 appropriate to deploy Letby to another service?
- 22 **A.** Yes
- 23 Q. In fact, does one need retrospect, was it not
- 24 obviously a bad decision even at the time?
- 25 **A.** In the moment, in that room where there are 200

18

19

1

multiple Executives, clearly not -- having conversation
 and stating -- I am absolutely confident that
 Mrs Hodkinson said something along the lines of "there's
 not enough", meaning not enough evidence "there is not
 enough to exclude her, suspend her".

6 At that moment in that room, where I can see the 7 Executive team wanting an answer to -- and wanting --8 for me wanting to support them, bearing in mind that 9 Mr Harvey had already voiced that he had invited the 10 Royal College in, this was going to be a very quick turnaround for an Invited Review, you know, as such she 11 had -- Lucy was brought into the Complaints Team, not 12 13 into the Risk and Patient Safety Leads and I think, you know, the team was far bigger than just the Risk and 14 Patient Safety Team. She was in a different room, she 15 16 was in a smaller room with my administrative staff and 17 it was always, always expected to be a temporary thing 18 for around eight weeks.

That was my understanding from the conversation.

You know, I believe I asked because I felt I wanted to
help, if I hadn't been in the room I probably wouldn't
have offered, but I felt I wanted to help, I also felt
that, you know, given the fact that somebody was being
moved with -- with no real explanation I felt that she
did warrant to have some support in place and I thought

Q. In an interview -- or a discussion,
 "interview" is the wrong word. In a discussion with
 Cheshire Police in 2018, I believe it was?

A. 2019.

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19 20

21

22

23

24

25

Q. 19, thank you, there is a record made by a police officer that you said that you felt it was unjust that Letby was being investigated as a person of possible interest given the evidence presented by the Consultants.

Was that something that you said to the police?

A. I don't believe so, no. I have asked for my statement, my statement is not available. Stating that something is unjust is not words that I would use.

LADY JUSTICE THIRLWALL: Can I just ask: what word might you use?

A. To be honest Ma'am, I don't know, my Lady.

The conversation that I had with the police was -- was very, very short. It was a matter of minutes. I was essentially told I had nothing more to offer them.

I don't even remember having a conversation around my view of -- of Lucy at all.

MR DE LA POER: So I am going to turn now to you

MR DE LA POER: So I am going to turn now to your section of your statement headed "Reflections" and what you say is -- and you can turn it up if you want, it is paragraph 263.

that would be helpful to have her in an area where she
could be observed and -- and, you know, supported in
that sense.

You know, events took over, the Royal College
review as you know took much longer and then we moved
into this grievance, which at that point it was -- well,
to be honest, at that point I really should have said
"I think we need to move her now" because there was no
end in sight at that point.

Q. Now, Ms Lawrence has told us that she
overheard conversations in the Risk Department to the
effect that Letby was being treated as a scapegoat and
she's identified you as one of the people who were
associated with these conversations.

Firstly, did you participate in such conversations?

16 A. I don't recall. I have obviously read

17 Mrs Lawrence's transcript, I don't recall that at all.

Q. Did you think that Letby was a scapegoat?A. Did I think -- no, there wasn't sufficient

20 information for me to make that comment. I generally

thought that the unit was being run poorly, that was myview.

I didn't think she was necessarily being made
 a scapegoat. I was awaiting for the Invited Review to
 say what else is happening here.

202

A. Thank you.

Q. "By working around the governance arrangements3 in place and not utilising them for the escalation of

and the damping them for the description of

4 their concerns, the bundle of documents provided to me

5 by the Inquiry suggests that the Consultant

6 paediatricians' opinion of Letby was not thought to be7 valid."

8 So you associate, if I have understood this 9 correctly, the fact that the Consultants didn't use the 10 governance process as being a reason why they were 11 effectively not taken sufficiently seriously?

effectively not taken sufficiently seriously?
 A. Absolutely. So if -- so the governance
 assurance and reporting arrangements I have spoken about
 previously, however I would have expected if you were
 that concerned about a -- a staff member, you -- yes,

16 escalate it to the Executive if you feel that that's

17 significant enough. However, it also needs to go

18 through what we call line of sight reporting.

There should be something that comes from the specialty up through the division and the division therefore report on it as QSPEC or Corporate Directors Group. If you are that concerned that something

23 untoward is going on, on the unit, then utilise the

24 incident reporting system. So again there were these

25 sudden collapses that occurred. They were not reported.

6

7

8

9

So again that sits outside the governance arrangements that they were given, you know, that are in place for you to use to raise your concerns and to allow to be reported.

The same with the Risk Register.

So just help us to understand. What exactly were you expecting the Consultants to do?

I would expect that they attend the Division of Urgent Care Governance Group and formally feed into there their concerns around the increased mortality rate so then when Mrs Townsend or one of the divisional management team went up to QSPEC she could raise those issues on their behalf so that there was line of sight

13 reporting from the specialty through division up to the

14

Executive level and their voice -- as I have referred to 15

16 earlier that their voice was therefore heard. They

17 didn't do that. Because they bypassed all of that

system and went directly to have informal conversations 18

19 with the Executive team through email, there's no --

20 there's no traceability, there is no transparency, there

is no critical challenge that you get from having those 21

22 conversations in a wider group meeting and that is the

23 purpose of having the governance boards at divisional

level and then up to the QSPEC and Corporate Directors 24

25 Group.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

205

1 MR DE LA POER: My Lady, those are all the 2 questions that I have, Ms Millward. There is permission 3 and I see Mr Baker coming to his feet.

LADY JUSTICE THIRLWALL: Thank you, Mr De La Poer.

5 Mr Baker

4

7

8

9

16

6 Questions by MR BAKER

MR BAKER: Mrs Millward.

Good afternoon.

I ask questions on behalf of a number of the Q.

Families including specifically here the Families of the 10

triplets O, P and R. 11

12 I just want to pick you up on something that you said and I just want to be sure that I understood it 13 14 properly and it's what you meant?

15 Of course. A.

> Q. You were asked a question about knowledge.

17 What you understood about the Consultants' complaints.

What you said was: 18

19 "... my interpretation of that as I have said

20 before ... this was potentially a clinical competency

issue. At no point did any of the Consultants say to 21

22 me: I am concerned that Lucy Letby is deliberately

23 harming these babies. That was never voiced to me and

24 I don't recall being in a room where that was ever

25 voiced."

207

Q. What level of responsibility, if any, your 1 department had to ensure that good governance was observed bearing in mind what you know -- what you knew 3 at the time, what Ms Peacock knew? 4

Absolutely. So some of that is around ensuring that our voice, the incidents are accurately being reported, you are right about bringing the report through in a timely manner; that is absolutely right.

I think that the difficulty with saying should the

10 thematic review have gone to QSPEC? Absolutely. I think something along the lines, maybe an executive 11 summary of, of the thematic review could have gone to 12

QSPEC because obviously it had patient information and

13

you wouldn't send that to a committee in that way. 14

15 And -- and I fully accept you know, yes, I should

16 have, I should have done something around that.

17 I didn't and I can't explain why I didn't other than

I suspect it's because things happened very quickly, 18

19 I appreciate, for the Families, they won't feel that way

20 but from when the thematic review was received at the

21 Serious Incident Panel by the time it then went through

22 to the governance board we are I believe in the middle 23 of May by then and then things have moved quite quickly

with the sad deaths of the -- the children and then the 24

position paper and so on.

206

Α. Yes.

1

2 Are you saying that at no point were you aware 3 that the Consultants were suggesting that Lucy Letby

4 deliberately harmed the children?

5 I would say that what was being -- what was 6 being said to me was that a staff member was present at 7 so many of these deaths and there was concerns about 8

Nobody at any point said to me that that was more 9 than a concern around clinical practice. 10

Q. 11 Competency?

12 Α.

13 Q. So what you said there in response to

14 a question from Mr De La Poer was accurate then; is that

15 right?

16 A. Yes.

17 Q. So at no point at all was it communicated to

you that there were concerns by anybody that this might

19 be a criminal act?

20 The only conversation that I can recall if we are talking -- you know, is I have alluded to where we 21 22 were in -- I was in a meeting with the Executives on the

23 13 July, when Mr Cross spoke around talking to the

police and with the sense of: is there anything further

we need to do here? Should we be considering anything

1 further?

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

That is the only conversation I can recall that would have suggested anything different.

Q. Didn't that statement by Mr Cross strike you as somewhat incongruous if nobody was talking about a criminal act?

A. I don't really remember, sir. I -- I remember being in a room full of Executives. I -- despite my job title in all reality I was actually quite a junior member of staff as a head of department, you know, to be

11 in a room with seven or eight Executives, you know,

12 it's -- it can be quite daunting.

13 You know, I am not -- I don't -- I don't know is

14 ...

15

23

25

3

4

5

6

Q. Okay, memories memory can often --

16 A. Yes.

17 Q. -- play tricks on us --

18 **A.** Yes.

19 Q. -- but you made a definite statement. I want

20 to be clear: is it possible that people were talking

21 about criminal acts and that is why Stephen Cross was

22 talking about calling the police and you don't remember?

A. It is possible I don't remember anybody

24 speaking to me in that way.

Q. Yes, but if Mr Cross was talking about 209

appropriate level in the organisation to have thatconversation.

Q. For issues of competency, it would be perfectly normal for you to have a duty of candour exercise yourself, wouldn't it?

A. I'm not sure what you mean by that.

7 Q. Well, if I put it this way, you passed it on
8 to the managers -- the Executives, not the managers, the
9 Executives?

10 A. Yes.

11 **Q.** Because of the severity of the allegations 12 that were being made, that is why you asked more senior

people to deal with it?
A. I asked because there were two babies that had
passed, I understood that the father of the children had

16 become particularly distressed. I also understood that

17 the unit was being downgraded there may be some

18 questions about that I did not feel I was in

19 a position to answer. Therefore I passed it back for

20 those reasons.

23

Q. You see, you had responsibility for ensuringcompliance with the duty of candour?

A. Yes.

24 Q. Organisational compliance. That doesn't

211

25 require you to have a conversation yourself with --

1 speaking with a friend of his in the police, then it

sounds likely, doesn't it, that criminality was being

3 discussed?

2

7

8

9

19

20

1

4 A. Well, it sounds like should we be doing
5 a different investigation to having the Royal College

6 come in and do a clinical investigation, yes.

Q. Yes, but you don't call the police for competency issues, do you?

A. No, absolutely.

10 Q. Okay. I want to ask you some questions about

11 candour and you gave some evidence before about that.

12 Whose responsibility was it to ensure compliance with

13 the duty of candour?

14 A. So duty of candour is -- is difficult. There

15 are two types of duty of candour, so there is

16 professional duty of candour, which is the

17 responsibility of the clinical teams and -- and that's

18 a long-standing well-established process.

Q. But the organisation had a duty?

A. That's a statutory duty of candour. So

21 statutory duty of candour on a day-to-day basis, I would

22 oversee those conversations.

23 In this particular case, as I have spoken about,

24 I passed that responsibility back to the Executive

25 teams. I didn't feel it was appropriate, that I was an

210

A. No.

Q. -- a family member but it does ensure but it

3 does require you to ensure that that duty is fulfilled,

4 doesn't it?

5 A. I would agree with that.

6 Q. What steps did you take to ensure that the

7 duty was fulfilled?

8 A. I honestly cannot remember. I have really

9 tried to reflect and to think about what has happened.

10 There would be or there should be some documentation

11 within the Datix incident report regarding duty of

12 candour conversations.

13 **Q**. Yes.

14 A. But outside of that, I'm afraid I can't

15 recall.

24

25

16 **Q.** Well, let me assist you then. If we could go

17 to INQ0001347, please. I am going to remain

18 specifically on the Datix for Child O.

19 Now, we can see reported date 29 June 2016, so it's

20 six days or so after Child O died.

21 We have location coding, risk grading, reference to

22 risk grading is actual harm/death caused by the

23 incident, can you see that?

A. Yes.

Q. If we go on, please, to page 2. So wave

- 1 reference here to employees involved. Lucy Letby.
- 2 **A.** Yes.
- 3 Q. Now, obviously there were lots of individuals
- 4 involved in the care provided to Child O. But only
- 5 Lucy Letby is being named here, isn't she?
 - A. Yes.
- 7 Q. And we also have a reference further down
- 8 under "Linked records to Child P"?
 - A. (Nods)
- 10 Q. And a reference there to death caused by the
- 11 incident.

9

- 12 Now, looking at that, it's clear, isn't it, that
- 13 this Datix is referring to an incident causing child or
- 14 potentially causing Child O's death, potentially causing
- 15 Child P's death and linking Lucy Letby to that as the
- 16 only employee referred to?
- 17 **A.** Yes by -- and that's the incident reporter who
- 18 has submitted that information.
- 19 Q. Yes. But that's -- if I put it this way,
- 20 isn't that reflecting concerns that Lucy Letby
- 21 deliberately or unintentionally was the cause of death
- 22 in this case?
- 23 A. You would have to ask Mrs Powell why she only
- 24 gave Lucy's detail at that point. As you quite rightly
- 25 say, there will have been other staff involved.

213

- 1 Q. You see, one of the criticisms made by
- 2 Mr Semple in his email that you were taken to earlier
- 3 was about no feedback on Datix reports?
- A. Yes.
- 5 Q. Evidently nobody fed back in any of these
- 6 cases that duty of candour was being omitted entirely
- 7 from the Datix forms, nobody was filling them in. Can
- 8 you explain why that was --
- 9 A. The duty of candour section would be completed
- 10 by my team, not by the local team and the duty of
- 11 candour section is not feedback on incident reports,
- 12 feedback on incident reports is around learning from
- 13 events that have happened from themes, from -- in
- 14 a specific individual instance.
- 15 Q. But in this case, duty of candour isn't about
- 16 learning, it's about notifying or informing family
- 17 members if there is a suspicion --
 - A. Yes.

18

19

- Q. -- that something serious may have happened?
- 20 A. Yes, that is what -- that is what I am saying,
- 21 you are referring to Mr Semple's email around lack of
- 22 feedback around incidents. But the duty of candour
- 23 section is that there's not feedback around incidents.
- 24 Q. Well, let me offer an additional criticism
- 25 then to Mr Semple. In the case of Child O at the very

215

- 1 Q. If we go then, please, to page 7. This is
 - a duty of candour assessment. Now, these forms are
- 3 created for almost all of the children I represent and
- 4 they are all the same, the duty of candour assessment is
- 5 blank?

7

- 6 A. Okay.
 - Q. Why is it blank?
- 8 A. I don't know. I don't know why it's blank.
- 9 Q. So if the Trust were complying with its duty
- 10 of candour responsibilities, that should be filled in,
- 11 shouldn't it?
- 12 **A.** Yes
- 13 Q. If you could go please to the final page of
- 14 that document. Again to confirm, your name appears
- 15 under "Notifications" --
- 16 **A.** Yes.
- 17 Q. -- as it does in many of these forms. Is it
- 18 part of your responsibility to ensure the duty of
- 19 candour is complied with?
- 20 A. Well, yes, in my role, yes. The notifications
- 21 list there is -- is the notifications when a incident of
- 22 a given level of harm goes to just a core number of
- 23 people so that they have -- they are aware it's been
- 24 received. That's what that particular section refers
- 25 to.

214

- 1 least, by July 2016, there are very serious
- 2 conversations going on about potential harm being caused
- 3 to Child O --
- 4 **A.** (Nods)
- 5 Q. -- by an individual.
- 6 **A.** (Nods)
- 7 Q. The families should have been informed at the
- 8 outset about that, shouldn't they?
 - A. Yes, they should.
- 10 Q. Can I suggest a reason? Reputation. Because
- 11 if word got out to family members that there was concern
- 12 amongst hospital staff that a member of staff was
- 13 harming patients, that would have been released to the
- 14 world, wouldn't it, if families had been told about
- 15 that?

- 16 **A.** Absolutely, but to reassure you at no point
- 17 did I ever hear a conversation that spoke around
- 18 prioritising reputation over the families or the
- 19 learning or completing a Serious Incident investigation.
- 20 That was never said in -- I was never present at any
- 21 such conversations.
- 22 Q. You see, I suggest that reputation and
- 23 reputational harm was seen as being the primary risk to
- 24 the Trust out of this incident; that's correct, isn't
- 25 it?

I can't comment on that. That would be a decision for the Executives.

3 MR BAKER: Thank you, my Lady, I have no more 4 questions.

5 LADY JUSTICE THIRLWALL: Thank you. Just one or 6 two -- I am so sorry, are you about to ask some

7 questions?

1

2

8

12

20

2

5

7

9

11

12

13

MS WOODS: Yes, my Lady, would you like me to wait?

9 LADY JUSTICE THIRLWALL: No, not at all, I will go

10 at the end.

11 Questions by MS WOODS

LADY JUSTICE THIRLWALL: There is no rush.

13 MS WOODS: Thank you. Ms Millward, my name is

Leanne Woods. I am asking questions on behalf of 14

another group of Families which includes Child A. 15

16 My questions are going to focus on Child A who, 17 just to help you, was murdered by Letby on 8 June 2015

and his Inquest was 16 months later on 10 October 2016. 18

19 A. Okav.

> Q. Can I ask first about your role in providing

21 information for Inquest. So I can probably

22 short-circuit it Sarah Harper-Lea, who was the Head of

23 Legal Services at the Trust, says that the Head of Risk

and Patient Safety was responsible for providing copies 24

of any incident reports and Trust reviews for onward

217

1 A. Yes.

Okay. Do you recall that from the end of

3 January 2016 right through to Child A's Inquest in

October 2016, the Coroner was repeatedly suggesting to 4

the hospital that an SI should be done in relation to

Child A? 6

I didn't recall until I saw some email

8 correspondence in the bundle that was provided to me

this morning. So that is -- was not something I could

automatically recall prior to that. 10

> O. But you are aware of that now?

I have seen those emails, yes.

I am just going to bring up a couple of

14 documents on that, please. Could we have INQ0102364 and it is page 53, please. Thank you. 15

16 So you will see at the bottom of this page there is

an email from Sarah Harper-Lea who the Head of Legal 17

Services, to Debbie Peacock and you as well. Do you see 18

19 that?

20

21

23

A. Yes -- sorry, yes, I was reading.

Q. 8 February 2016. I think you have seen this

22 email before?

> A. Yes, I saw this this morning, yes.

24 Okay. The bit I want to ask you about is

Sarah Harper-Lea is saying that the Coroner has asked 25 219

disclosure to the Coroner; do you agree with that? 1

A.

Q. 3 Did you have any other role in the preparation

4 for Inquests?

2

5

8

q

15

23

3

8

Α.

6 Q. You attended the SI Panel meetings which

7 I believe were held on a weekly basis; is that right?

That's correct.

Q. Other Executives attended too?

10 Α. Yes.

11 Q. While they were called SI Panel meetings, is

it right that it wasn't just SIs and potential SIs that 12

were discussed, it was also cases that were involved in 13

legal processes? 14

> That's correct. So we triangulated the Α.

16 information so that included both the incidents that

17 were for decision-making for serious investigation or

not around new complaints or complaints that were 18

19 complex and maybe needed some support and as you say,

20 any new legal claims or closure of legal claims as well

21 as any new Inquest notifications or feedback from

22 Inquests as well.

So if there was an ongoing Coronial process,

issues relevant to that may be discussed at the SI Panel 24

meeting?

218

the Trust to consider an SI due to complications in the

2 long line and catheter insertion?

A.

Q. 4 Okay and the reply from Debbie Peacock is

5 above which says:

6 "Dear Sarah,

7 "Unfortunately I did not see your email prior to

the thematic review yesterday. I have discussed this

with Ruth this morning and she has advised that 9

a decision regarding the next steps will be taken once 10

we receive the thematic review report from Dr Brearey." 11

12 So you were aware the Coroner was suggesting an SI

13 agree?

14 Α. Yes, from this, yes.

15 You were also aware that the thematic review

was being done and indeed a meeting had taken place? 16

17 Α. That's correct.

18 Q. What's being said and with discussion with you

was that you were going to wait for that thematic review 19

20 report and then decide what should be done about an SI

for Child A; is that right? 21

22 Yes, to take the thematic review back to the

23 SI Panel for a decision to be made there.

24 Would you agree that in order to make that

decision or be part of that decision-making, you would 25

- 1 need to read the thematic review?
- 2 A. Yes. It was presented to the SI Panel.
- 3 Q. You would need to read the detail of it?
 - A. Yes, at that point, yes.
- 5 Q. So just to be fair to you, so you gave oral
 - evidence today about reading the thematic review and
- 7 what you were saying was that you didn't recall reading
- 8 it in detail you were talking about the somewhat
- 9 injudicious use of copying people into emails but you
- 10 agree that to make a decision about Child A and what to
- 11 do next, you were under an obligation to read the
- 12 thematic review?

6

- 13 A. Yes, and there is another document, an email
- 14 from Mr Harper-Lea which tells you that it went to the
- 15 Serious Incident Panel which is where the decision would
- 16 have been discussed with the Executives around whether
- 17 or not the case was to go to Serious Incident or not.
- 18 Q. Okay. Put that to the side one second?
- 19 **A.** Okay.
- 20 Q. I am asking you about you reading the thematic
- 21 review?
- 22 A. Yes, yes.
- 23 Q. And did you read it?
- 24 A. When it came to the Serious Incident Panel
- 25 I am confident that I would have participated in the 221
- "Agreement today that line related complication
 very unlikely to have caused arrest."
- 3 Do you see that?
- A. I do.
 - Q. Then it says:
- 6 "No PM evidence of line or UVC related to
- 7 complication."

5

13

- 8 Do you see that?
- 9 **A.** I do.
- 10 Q. Let me approach it in a different way. Were
- 11 you aware that the final postmortem report was received
- 12 by the Trust just before Christmas 2015?
 - A. I -- I can't recall. I am not -- I am not
- 14 that close to the -- you know, the pathology reports as
- 15 the legal team would be.
- 16 Q. Okay. Were you aware that it -- well, it was
- 17 taken to the SI Panel meeting that the conclusion of
- 18 that postmortem was that Child A's death was
- 19 unascertained. Do you recall that?
- 20 A. I don't recall that. I believe I have seen
- 21 some emails and correspondence this morning, but I don't
- 22 actually recall that itself.
- 23 **Q.** Okay.
- 24 In any event, if I go then, please, to April 2026,
- 25 please, so could we bring up the document INQ0102364 and 223

- 1 conversation there and therefore I would have had to
- 2 have read it at the time, yes.
- 3 Q. Just focusing on what it said about Child A.
- 4 I can bring it up if we need to but what it said about
- 5 Child A was that it was considered unlikely that the
- 6 long line insertion had contributed to his death, do you
- 7 recall that?

8

- A. I -- you would have to bring it up, I'm sorry.
- 9 Q. But do you recall that well, ultimately
- 10 Child A's death remained unexpected and unexplained?
- 11 A. My -- I can only take you back through what
- 12 I can remember and what I remember is the information
- 13 from the specialty that was clearly saying that there
- 14 was clinical conditions, that the child had clinical
- 15 conditions that they believed had largely contributed to
- 16 the death.
- 17 I don't remember the detail of the thematic review,
- 18 I'm sorry.
- 19 LADY JUSTICE THIRLWALL: Do you want to bring it
- 20 up?
- 21 MS WOODS: Yes, I believe it's -- bear with me --
- 22 INQ0010037, I think. I hope. Yes, and I think Child A
- 23 is on page 3, I believe.
- Okay. So if we start at the bottom of that page or
- 25 that box in relation to Child A it says:

222

- 1 it is page 89, please. Thank you.
- 2 So again another email from Sarah Harper-Lea, this
- 3 time to you, Ms Millward, you know Debbie Peacock had
- 4 left at this stage?

5

18

- A. (Nods)
- 6 Q. It's saying -- it relates to Child A,
- 7 submission deadline to HM Coroner:
- 8 "We have today submitted the majority of the
- 9 witness reports required by the Coroner for this Inquest
- 10 and we now need to disclose the relevant investigation
- 11 reports and action plan."
- 12 Then the next paragraph:
- 13 "Can you have a review of this matter as soon as
- 14 possible? Last time we talked this one through you were
- 15 going to have a look at the OSR report ..."
- 16 Just pausing there, that is the obstetrics
- 17 secondary review, is it?
 - A. Yes.
- 19 Q. "... and NNU review and chase up the action
- 20 plan and consider duty of candour."
- 21 Is the NNU review the thematic review?
- 22 A. I can -- I would suggest it is but I don't
- recall these events, so it's very difficult for me tosay but I would think that is the thematic review.
- 25 **Q.** What else could it be?

A. Well, it could be the Mortality Morbidity Review for the individual patients.

Q. Given that the email we previously looked at
 in February 2016 talks about next steps being dependent
 on the thematic review --

A. Yes.

Q. -- it's likely that it is the thematic review,

8 isn't it?

1

2

6

7

23

24

25

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

25

A. It is, it is just the fact that it's referring
 to the OSR report which is an obstetric -- essentially

11 an obstetric mortality review essentially in this case.

12 So that's what's confusing here, but it's very

13 difficult, I don't have any recollection.

Q. Okay. We are two and a half months on from
that email in February 2016. What had you done in
relation to reports and reviews for Child A?

A. My understanding here is that the case, the thematic review had already gone back, gone to Serious Incident Panel. There is an -- I saw in my bundle, I was sent this morning an additional email that showed that Mrs Harper-Lea had tabled it for discussion at the Serious Incident Panel.

Bearing in mind that no Serious Incident Report was submitted on STEIS I can only conclude that the conversation that took place at the Serious Incident

1 that information available to me.

Q. Should I take from that that you don't think you had done anything?

A. You can take from that that no Serious Incident investigation was -- was agreed at the panel. It was not reported on STEIS, therefore statutory duty of candour which is, you are correct, is my obligation would not have been required and it would sit under professional duty of candour which would require Sarah to go back and liaise with the clinical teams.

Q. So when it says here an unconsidered duty of candour, what do you understand by that?

A. So that will have been a conversation around the child's care with -- with the clinical teams.I would only be involved if it was a statutory duty of

16 candour and at that time duty of candour was quite

17 a mechanical process, it is not as fluid as we would see

18 today so the statutory duty of candour only came in for

19 incidents that were reported as a Serious Incident, so

20 my assumption from that is there must have been some

21 concerns raised by the family of Child A and Sarah's

22 feeling was that there should be some conversation with

23 the family ahead of the Inquest. But I am surmising,

24 I do not know.

Q. So just so I am clear, are you saying that the 227

1 Panel was that there was not going to be a further

2 Serious Incident investigation into Child I.

Q. Okay. Just look at this email if we could.
So what Ms Harper-Lea is saying and she's the one who
prepares the legal reports for the SI panels, isn't she?

A. She does.

Q. We now need to disclose the relevant
investigation reports and action plan so that's -that's your job to try to assist with?

A. If it is a Serious Incident, yes. But thiscase did not go to a Serious Incident investigation.

Q. It's saying can you have a review of this
matter? Last time we talked this one through you were
going to have a look at the OSR report, the NNU review
and chase up the action plan.

16 So what had you done?

A. So as I have just said my understanding from the papers I have seen this morning is that the thematic review went to the Serious Incident Panel, that at that point because there was no Serious Incident report then completed on STEIS the decision there would have been made not to progress as a Serious Incident.

Therefore it wouldn't be for me to progress any further investigation. So I can't answer in regards to the points that Sarah's written because I don't have

1 statutory duty of candour only applied if there was an

2 SI --

3

4

A. So.

Q. -- incident?

5 A. Yes, so if you look at -- so statutory duty of

6 candour applies when it is a notifiable safety incident,

7 so that applies whether it is moderate harm or above and

8 so they would be cases where we would review them as

9 a Serious Incident. Lower level harms would be classed

10 as a professional duty of candour, a normal in

11 a situation where something has happened and a clinician

12 would speak with the patient or the family about their

13 care.

So at that time, unless a case was being taken through a patient's safety incident review, so predominantly as an SI, the statutory duty of candour the bit that I am responsible for or was responsible for I would not be involved in that duty of candour conversation.

Q. Would you agree that the way this email is
written from Ms Harper-Lea suggests that a decision had
not been taken at this point that an SI would not be
done, that it was still a decision that was pending?

24 **A.** I -- I don't actually know what her email is 25 saying because if a decision had been made that we were

6

21

2

10

13

going ahead with an SI it would have been reported on 1 2 STEIS and it would have been done after the Serious 3 Incident Panel

This -- and there would have been a decision made at that point. This -- I don't know. I don't know.

- 6 Okay. So if a decision for an SI is taken, 7 a positive decision --
- 8 A. Yes.

4

5

- 9 Q. -- that can lead to a certain steps?
- 10 A.
- If a decision that an SI is not going to be 11
- done, well, that's also a positive decision, isn't it? 12
- 13 A. Yes.
- But there's that grey area in the middle where 14 Q. it is still undecided as to whether an SI is going to be 15
- 16 done. Is that what's going on here?
- 17 That would be very unlikely because the sort of responsibility of the Serious Incident Panel is to 18 19 make that decision, so we wouldn't have cases just on
- 20 a list waiting for a decision to be made.
- 21 So you know the outcome of the Serious Incident
- 22 Panel is to make a decision one way or the other.
- 23 You have read the witness statement of 24 Sarah Harper-Lea, have you?
- 25 Yes, I have a few pages that I have seen.
- 1 that that?

7

8

- 2 A. Yes.
- 3 Q. Okay. If we could look -- I think it's on the
- 4 next page, please, yes. So again about halfway down the
- 5 page it's got "Child A review Inquest prep" and it's
- 6 allocated to you and is SC Mr Cross?
 - A. That would be Mr Cross.
 - Okay. Then it says:
- 9 "Case for the Coroner, date to be determined soon
- SB will be a witness. SE chasing report from SB to 10
- 11 support this case."
- Do you recall why in the context of this action 12
- 13 planning meeting, why you were being asked to review the
- 14 Inquest prep for Child A?
- I have no idea. I mean, again it's referring 15
- to chasing a report. But as to what report that is, it 16
- 17 doesn't state. I don't know.
- 18 Do you recall what you did to review the
- 19 Inquest preparation?
- 20 There was a meeting, wasn't there, put in,
- Sarah had put a meeting in. I don't know if that 21
- coincides with timewise. 22
- 23 Was the Inquest preparation for Child A's
- 24 Inquest being discussed in the context of this meeting
- because it was a recognised it was recognised that there 25

231

- So in her statement she says that after this 1 2 email she had to escalate the delay in decision-making and action from you to Mr Cross, who arranged a meeting 3 with you to discuss it. Do you recall that meeting? 4
 - I don't recall that. I have seen the emails.
 - No recollection whatsoever?
- 7 I just don't -- I have -- I am really sorry
- for the Family of Child A because I -- I just don't have 8
- any recollection of this at all which says to me that 9
- 10 the decision wasn't being made or wasn't made around
- a Serious Incident because had that decision been made 11
- one way or another, I would have known because as you 12
- say it's a positive outcome either which way. 13
- 14 Q. Okay. Let's jump on, please, to events at the 15
- end of June 2016 and the beginning of July. Now, you 16
- have already been asked lots of questions about the
- 17 chronology but can I ask you about an NNU action
- planning meeting on 30 June. It is at INQ0014125. 18 19 Okay. So you see at the top the nature of the
- 20
- meeting. You will see that you attended --
 - Α. Yes.
- 22 Q. -- Ms Millward. Okay.
- 23 You will see that there is a series of quite
- 24 generalised actions to be taken including, about halfway
- down the page, "Confirm off-duty for LL"; do you see 230
- 1 was a concern about Child A's death?
 - I honestly don't know. I can't, I can't
- 3 remember. I barely remember this meeting. I remember
- 4 attending a meeting in which there was conversations
- 5 around -- particularly around communication line being
- 6 set up but I don't remember much more than that.
- 7 Okay. You have told us about the decision not
- 8 to do an SI into Child A, the decision made in
- July 2015? 9
 - A. Yes.
- 11 O. Things had significantly moved on by
- June/July 2016, hadn't they? 12
 - Α. Yes.
- 14 Q. So there was postmortem examination that you
- have no explanation for Child A's death. The Coroner 15
- had been asking for an SI report. There had been 16
- 17 a thematic review which didn't identify a clear
- explanation for Child A's death. 18
- Mother A had raised concerns which I think you are 19
- aware of. There were further deaths including Child O 20
- which we know did lead to an SI investigation? 21
- 22 A. (Nods)
- 23 Q. And there were very serious concerns about the
- 24 involvement of a nurse whether that is a question of
- competency or something else, ie police getting 25

involved 1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12 13

17

18

19

25

2

3

21

25

At this point in time, an SI investigation should have been opened into Child A's death, do you agree?

I don't have -- you are providing a lot of information there that I don't have in front of me to apply the Serious Incident Framework to -- to say that.

Certainly at that time, you know, the concern of the organisation was to report a Serious Incident around the overall increase in -- in neonatal deaths with the understanding as I had at that time that each of the children's cases would be reviewed individually. As we know, the Royal College review didn't actually do that and there was a subsequent case review done.

14 So it's difficult for me, without this extra information you are providing in front of me, to apply 15 16 the framework.

What extra information am I providing --

Well, you are providing me this information, I don't have it to hand to be able to review it myself

20 and to determine how much of that applies to the

framework and in any which case it's not my decision. 21

22 The decision is made at the SI Panel with the Executive

23 Team with the conversation and discussion that takes

24 place.

> Q. Did you ever suggest to the SI Panel: actually

1 to be the thematic review?

There's no -- there's no dates attached next to it but it would suggest that, yes.

4 Okay. Do you know who thought this, that the 5 thematic review was equivalent of an SI?

6 I don't because we wouldn't be able to release 7 it to the Coroner because -- or to the family because 8 obviously it was involving a core number of children and it was a thematic review so I don't know and as I say 9 because there is no dates next to it, I can't tell you 10

further. 11

12 So I think from your answers that you agree that the thematic review would not be the equivalent of 13 14 an SI investigation?

No, you could use the information from the 15 thematic review to firstly give you a steer whether or 16 not a Serious Incident could be -- should be undertaken 17 if it fits the criteria, or indeed use some of that 18 information from it and provide a slightly different 19 20 format of a report, but it would obviously have to be

22 Because when you have an SI, one of the key 23 things about an SI is that the family or the patient is

24 brought into the process, is that right?

individualised for the individual case.

Yes.

we need to look again at Child A's death, we need to 1

2 have an SI investigation?

No. Because as I said, we had invited, there 3

4 was a Serious Incident Report submitted in relation to

the Royal College review. At that time, it was my 5

6 understanding certainly that each of the children

7 affected, their case would have an individual review by

the Royal College. As I said, we subsequently found out 8

that that wasn't the case and I understood that there 9

10 was another Consultant who was going to take forward and

11 review each of those cases.

Could we please bring up INQ0008587. Okay, so

just the first page so you see what this document is. 13

So it is described as an SUI panel so that is Serious 14

Untowards Incident, but it is the same as the SI --15

> Α. SI Panel yes.

17 4 August 2016. If we could turn, please, to

page 7. Okay. So there is quite a lot of detail here 18

19 in relation to Child I but can we look in the middle

20 column which has -- sorry, Child A, which has

a description and towards the bottom of the page it 21

22 says:

12

16

23 "Format of NNU investigation thought to be

equivalent of SUI." 24

Do you agree again the NNU investigation is likely 25

1 Families can put questions, they can meet with

investigators to describe their concerns --2

3 A. Yes.

Q. 4 -- that's right, isn't it?

Α. Yes.

5

6 Q. And then typically a draft SI report is

7 provided for comment --

8 Α. Not at that time, no.

Q. 9 Okay.

10 Α. That's subsequent with the new piece of

legislation --11

I see. But ultimately a family for a patient 12 Q.

13 will receive a copy of the final --

14 Α. Yes

15 -- SI report?

And as you say that, that wouldn't be the case with 16

17 the thematic review?

18 Α. No.

And as this Inquiry knows, Mother A did not 19

20 receive a copy of the thematic review?

21 (Nods) Α.

22 There are other differences though, aren't

23 there, as well between an SI report and the thematic

24 review? So the SI report would go up internal reporting

processes, do you agree?

5

6

18

23

3

7

- 1 A. Yes.
- 2 Q. And also would be -- would have to be reported
- externally? 3

4

- A. That's correct, yes.
- 5 So to the CCG? Q.
- 6 A. To the CCG there was a Serious Incident group 7 that they received on a monthly basis and they would
- 8 receive all Serious Incident investigation reports.
- 9 Do I take it from your earlier answer then
- 10 that you -- well, can you recall expressing the view
- that the thematic review is not the same as an SI and it 11
- shouldn't be treated in the same way? 12
- 13 Yes, it's not. You could, however, tease out
- the specific learning and ensure that that was formatted 14
- in some way. But it wouldn't give certainly the format 15
- 16 of the -- of the thematic review that I have seen
- 17 wouldn't give the level of detail that we would normally
- provide in a Serious Incident investigation report. 18
- 19 Q. And we know that wasn't done.
- 20 A.
- 21 Q. There wasn't an -- (overspeaking) --
- 22 Α.
- 23 Q. There wasn't --
- 24 Α. No, it wasn't done. No.
- 25 Q. The third or the final column on this page.
 - 237
- 1 investigation, a thorough SI investigation.
- 2 So if we can pull up a document, please,
- 3 INQ0008943. So this is a file note of a call in from
- 4 the Coroner's office to somebody in the Legal Services
- 5 department:
- 6 "Spoke to Mag at Coroner's office. Urgently
- 7 requiring the SUI report for Child A. Was expecting it
- 8 by Friday, 23 September."
- 9 Can you help us with why the Coroner, at this late
- stage, still thought there was going to be an SI 10
- investigation? 11
- So I would have no liaison directly with the 12
- Coroner in my post at all. So I don't know the 13
- 14 conversation. The only thing of relevance I think I can
- say is that although the Coroner may recommend a Serious 15
- Incident investigation, it wouldn't have been the 16
- 17 Coroner's decision to do that; that decision process
- sits with the hospital and with the Executive team. It 18
- would have been the legal team's responsibility to feed 19
- 20 that information back to the Coroner.
- 21 Other than that, I can't give you any further
- 22 information on that file note.
- 23 Well, the Inquiry will hear from the Coroner
- 24 in due course and there's correspondence we don't need

239

to go to which demonstrates clearly that the Coroner

- So you can see red font, capital letters, underlined --1
 - A. Yes.
- 3 Q. -- "Urgent and outstanding: duty of candour to
- 4 be considered following neonatal review."
 - Can you help us with that?
 - Well, unfortunately it's Sarah's report, so
- 7 I -- I don't -- I don't know because it's, as I say,
- it's not my report. Clearly there was -- you know,
- 9 Mrs Harper-Lea had a concern around duty of candour,
- 10 it's a theme in her email correspondence.
- 11 This, this obviously goes through to the Serious
- Incident Panel for discussion and she's clearly flagging 12
- she's got a concern there. 13
- And I think you said earlier that you would be 14
- the person who would be responsible for duty of candour 15
- 16 discussions with families?
- 17 Statutory duty of candour, yes.
 - Okay. Do you recall raising this and saying:
- 19 Well, why is this still on -- in this paper?
- 20 A. Well, to be honest I don't, but this is the
- 21 Inquest monitoring process. I -- I don't recall. I'm
- 22 really sorry, I just don't recall at all around this.
 - Can you help us with this then. By
- 24 late September 2016 both the Coroner and Child A's
- family still thought there was going to be an SI
- 1 understood he could not tell the hospital to undertake
- 2 an SI?
 - A. (Nods)
- 4 But what it looks like is that for whatever
- 5 reason the Coroner believed that there was going to be
- 6 an SI. Are you saying you just can't help us with that?
 - I'm afraid I -- I wouldn't be speaking with
- 8 the Coroner, so I don't know the communications that
- would have took place with, with them at all. 9
- Could we please have INQ0002042 and it is 10
- 11 page 155, please. Okay. So this is a letter from 12
- solicitors acting for the family of Child A and it's
- dated 28 September 2016, and you will see it says: 13
- 14 "I write further to disclosure of the one-page
- 15 summary [we will come back to that] regarding Child A's
- death which was provided today by the Countess of 16 17 Chester Hospital. We were of the understanding that
- a full investigation is taking place at the Trust 18
- regarding Child A's death which would result in an 19 20 a report detailing the chronology, the issues involved,
- whether any errors were made, whether such errors could 21
- 22 have caused or contributed to Child A's death and the
- 23 lessons learnt."
- 24 So that's, in effect, an SI report, isn't it?
- 25 Α. Yes.

5

10

Q. "We were told in August 2016 that this 1 2 investigation was ongoing and we would be provided with 3 the Serious Untoward Incident Report."

So again, just to give you the opportunity as you have told us at the outset that you were the person who would be responsible for disclosing those kinds of reports to the Coroner, can you help with --

So to be clear, I wouldn't give them directly to the Coroner. They would go to Sarah and to the legal team who would then act as the connection between the Trust and that.

12 But obviously that's only going to be for cases 13 that have a serious incident investigation and in fact we would liaise with the -- or the legal team would 14 liaise with the Coroner regularly around timeframes, so 15 16 we could make sure that we did have Serious Incident 17 investigations ready ahead of Inquest dates.

18 With regards to this, I -- I don't have any 19 recollection of this and what I can offer is that the 20 expectation would be that this would be fed through the Serious Incident panel through the legal services 22 updates and then a further conversation happened at the 23 Serious Incident panel.

24 But, I can't give you anything further. I can't 25 add anything further to that.

241

1 page 777. Okay.

4

5 6

7

8

9

10

11

21

2

3

4

5

6

7

So this -- I will just give you an opportunity to look at that. This is the document that Child A's family were provided with in the Inquest process?

> A. All right.

It's dated 1 July 2015, do you see that? Q.

A.

8 Q. Okay. Do you agree that providing that 9 document to the family 15 months later was entirely 10 unacceptable?

11 Δ Well, yes, but it's provided for -- to the Coroner. That's obviously the summary of the cases that 12 Dr Brearey did at the time with regards to the three 13 14 cases that were reviewed and clearly it's a clinical review in that sense. 15

16 Q. But the document, it's out of date for 17 a start. isn't it?

Well, it's the review that was completed at 18 the -- at the time, isn't it, from the -- I -- I --19 20 LADY JUSTICE THIRLWALL: I wonder, Ms Woods, if there might be other witnesses who could perhaps deal 21

22 with this more helpfully? 23 MS WOODS: My Lady, the problem we have is that 24 neither Mr Cross nor Ms Harper-Lea are giving evidence 25 to assist us with this.

So based on either your memory or your review 1 2 of the documents, when do you say a decision, a positive decision was taken not to do an SI investigation --3

> Α. So from --

-- on Child A's death? Q.

6 So from the bundle of documents I saw this 7 morning, there was an email that Mrs Harper-Lea sent through to the Serious Incident Panel and then there was 8 9 a feedback chain.

I think it was either late March or April 2016.

11 So do you -- it's clear from this letter, well and the previous file note, that both the Coroner and 12 more importantly Child A's family thought that there was 13 going to be an SI report right up to the end 14 15 of September 2016.

16 Do you agree that it's -- that that's entirely 17 unacceptable that they were led, that they were led to 18 believe that when you say a decision had been taken 19 months before that there would be no such investigation?

20 Yes, absolutely, and as I say, the liaison 21 between or the liaison to the Coroner and then from the 22 Coroner to the family that comes from the legal team and 23 the discussions at Serious Incident Panel.

24 Just one final document. If I could bring up please -- in fact it's in the same document but it's 242

LADY JUSTICE THIRLWALL: And are they the only 1 2 other two who might have been able to help?

MS WOODS: Based on I think our review. 3

LADY JUSTICE THIRLWALL: All right. Well, then 4

5 this is the witness you have got.

6 MS WOODS: My Lady, I appreciate the witness may 7 not be able to help, but I think it's important for the 8 Family to try to ask.

LADY JUSTICE THIRLWALL: No, I quite understand. 9 10 MS WOODS: I'm grateful.

11 It certainly is not in the style or format of report that we would share with the family for an 12 incident investigation and I can -- I can say that, but 13

14 I am not in a -- I don't provide the information to

the -- to the Coroner other than with regards to the 15 Serious Incident investigations that those are the 16

17 reports that I provide.

18 This isn't a Serious Incident investigation so I wouldn't have had -- I probably would have had 19 20 knowledge that this was within a bundle, but not with 21 the recognition that this was all the family would have 22 received.

23 And just going back to the earlier documents 24 we have seen, where it's suggested that the thematic review is the equivalent of an SI investigation.

- 1 **A.** Yes.
- 2 Q. This is obviously not the thematic review --
- 3 **A.** No
- 4 Q. -- or any element of the thematic review, is
- 5 it?
- 6 A. No, this is -- this will have been from
- 7 Dr Brearey's initial review that was conducted as it
- 8 says in July 2015. The thematic review, as we know,
- 9 was February/March 2016.
- 10 Q. By -- well, by the Inquest, by October 2016,
- 11 so quite far on in the chronology, you -- well, do you
- 12 agree that you were aware that there were concerns that
- 13 Letby had harmed babies including Child A?
- 14 A. I don't know -- not necessarily that Lucy had
- 15 harmed babies. That there was a concern that she was
- 16 present; yes. But not, no -- she...
- 17 My understanding, as I have said before, is that
- 18 yes, I understood she was present at a number of cases,
- 19 but where you are talking about deliberately harming
- 20 babies and that, as I say, was not what was being said.
- 21 Q. Okay. I think what your evidence was earlier
- 22 was that at the very least you were aware there were
- 23 concerns about whether her competencies were --
- 24 A. Yes.
- 25 **Q.** -- linked with babies coming to harm, if I put 245
- 1 of these concerns.
- 2 **Q.** And is the reason for that because you thought
- 3 others were informing the Coroner of that?
- 4 A. To be honest, I don't know. I don't know
- 5 whether it's because it didn't come to mind or because
- 6 at that point my view was still that was, was that this
- 7 was a -- potentially a clinical competence issue for her
- 8 rather -- and a service issue for the unit rather than
- 9 anything more deliberate.
- 10 Q. And is that still not relevant to the Coroner?
- 11 **A.** Yes.
- 12 MS WOODS: Thank you, Ma'am.
 - Questions by LADY JUSTICE THIRLWALL
- 14 LADY JUSTICE THIRLWALL: Thank you, Ms Woods.
- 15 Just a few short questions from me.
- 16 A. Thank you.
- 17 LADY JUSTICE THIRLWALL: Rather than bring you back
- 18 another day. I think you would prefer to finish off
- 19 today.

- 20 **A.** Thank you.
- 21 LADY JUSTICE THIRLWALL: You mentioned, very early
- 22 on in your evidence, when there was the shift from the
- 23 name of the role that was taken on by
- 24 Annemarie Lawrence, the shift between that and what had
- 25 occurred before with Debbie Peacock.
 - 247

- 1 it like that.
- 2 A. Yes, but that's -- that's not the same as
- 3 deliberate harm. That's a clinical competence issue.
- 4 Q. Okay. And that would mean that something she
- 5 was doing, some kind of substandard care, was leading to
- 6 babies coming to harm, do you agree?
 - A. Well, that was the suggestion with the
- 8 staffing grid that was produced, yes.
- 9 Q. Did you give any consideration to whether that
- 10 information should be provided to the Coroner?
- 11 A. From the review that we did internally, you
- 12 mean?

7

- 13 Q. It doesn't really matter whether it's a review
- 14 or not. You were aware that there were concerns about
- 15 this link between Letby and babies coming to harm,
- 16 including Child A. Did you give any consideration to
- 17 making the Coroner aware of that?
- 18 A. I think I believe I understood that the
- 19 Coroner was being informed of the Royal College review
- 20 and that that was where we were -- where the Trust was
- 21 looking and obviously the cases were being further
- 22 reviewed.
- 23 I -- I don't believe I raised it or discussed it to
- 24 suggest that we should, you know, disclose that we have
- 25 an individual who's been moved out of the unit because
 - 246
 - A. Yes.

1

- 2 LADY JUSTICE THIRLWALL: And as I understand it,
- 3 there was a lot of guidance and a lot of focus on
- 4 maternity services as there have been, has been on
- 5 a number of occasions --
- A. Yes.
- 7 LADY JUSTICE THIRLWALL: -- over the years.
- 8 And you were keen, as it were, to signal externally
- 9 that this was being taken seriously by the Countess and
- 10 so you re-badged that job as Risk Midwife?
- 11 A. Yes, that's correct.
- 12 LADY JUSTICE THIRLWALL: But it didn't change the
- 13 role?
- 14 A. There was no significant change other than
- 15 Mrs Lawrence would be -- was going to be asked to be
- 16 more involved with the response to those national
- 17 guidance.
- 18 LADY JUSTICE THIRLWALL: Yes, and that's on
- 19 maternity?
- 20 **A.** Yes
- 21 LADY JUSTICE THIRLWALL: Understood, thank you.
- Secondly, you were taken to the decision tree,
- 23 which again Mrs Peacock had given evidence about last
- 24 week, and said she kept it on her desk so that she
- 25 always had it to hand. But when I asked her about it

- 1 she said it had never been relevant in this case and at
- 2 that point I, for myself, hadn't seen the decision tree.
- 3 I have now seen it with you.

4 But it seems to me that that decision tree isn't

- really adequate to deal with this situation, is it?
- 6 A. That's right. The Incident Decision Tree is
- 7 about a specific patient safety incident and a specific
- 8 staff member and --
- 9 **LADY JUSTICE THIRLWALL:** If I can just pause
- 10 there --

5

- 11 **A.** Sorry.
- 12 LADY JUSTICE THIRLWALL: -- because you very
- 13 helpfully told us that earlier. But what you really
- 14 need is not just a specific person; you need to know who
- 15 the person is and you need to know what they have done
- 16 before you can activate the decision tree?
- 17 **A.** Yes.
- 18 LADY JUSTICE THIRLWALL: So it doesn't help you,
- 19 does it, at all, if there were a number of concerns
- 20 about in this case babies dying and a lot of worry about
- 21 it, but you never get as far as the decision tree.
- 22 **A.** No
- 23 LADY JUSTICE THIRLWALL: In fact, none of these
- 24 processes that you have been taken through help with
- 25 that, do they?

249

- 1 **A.** With regards to Mrs Lawrence, what I was 2 trying to say --
- 3 LADY JUSTICE THIRLWALL: No, I am going to come
- 4 back to Mrs Lawrence. I just want to set the scene
- 5 before we get there. Sorry to cut across you.
- 6 So you were copied in on an email which was a reply
- 7 to someone else.
- A. Yes.
- 9 LADY JUSTICE THIRLWALL: And obviously that came
- 10 into you. Presumably you would have clicked on it
- 11 because you didn't know that it was a copy only?
- 12 **A.** Yes
- 13 LADY JUSTICE THIRLWALL: And what was your usual
- 14 system? If you saw something like that, did you just
- 15 ignore it or did you read it quickly and think: I will
- 16 come back to that.
- 17 **A.** I would have read it quickly to see if there
- 18 was anything in there that I needed to action urgently.
- 19 LADY JUSTICE THIRLWALL: Right. But you didn't --
- 20 you wouldn't have clicked on the attachment, would you?
- 21 **A.** With regards to the thematic review?
- 22 LADY JUSTICE THIRLWALL: Yes.
- 23 A. I -- I am sure that I did.
- 24 LADY JUSTICE THIRLWALL: Yes.
- 25 **A.** Because I remember, I remember reading and 251

- 1 A. The Incident Decision Tree didn't help in this
- 2 situation.

5

q

- 3 LADY JUSTICE THIRLWALL: No, and none of the other
- 4 processes did either, did they?
 - A. No, no.
- 6 LADY JUSTICE THIRLWALL: No. All right, thank you.
- 7 And then moving to Mrs Lawrence. You said that you
- 8 had no memory of her showing you the list --
 - A. Yes.
- 10 LADY JUSTICE THIRLWALL: -- which she had
- 11 highlighted, and I just want to go back because
- 12 obviously that was the list that had been sent to you --
- 13 **A.** Yes.
- 14 LADY JUSTICE THIRLWALL: -- in the email.
- 15 I quite understand that you were getting a hundred
- 16 a day, but for a busy professional that's not
- 17 particularly unusual, is it? So when you saw an email
- 18 coming in, I have forgotten, was it from Alison Kelly or
- 19 from Dr Brearey?
- 20 A. So the email originally came from Dr Brearey.
- 21 So forgive me, my Lady --
- 22 LADY JUSTICE THIRLWALL: But that didn't come to
- 23 you, did it, the one from Dr Brearey?
- 24 A. I was copied into that.
- 25 **LADY JUSTICE THIRLWALL:** I beg your pardon.

250

- 1 I remember there was green writing on it and that's
- 2 the -- and I remember looking at the actions.
- 3 So I do believe I looked at it.
- 4 LADY JUSTICE THIRLWALL: At the time?
 - A. And I understood from the -- from the email
- 6 that there was -- they were going to have some further
- 7 conversation and then take it through to the governance
- 8 board.

5

- 9 LADY JUSTICE THIRLWALL: And you knew then there
- 10 was the table on the back of it with the people who had
- 11 been on?
- 12 A. I don't recall scrolling as far down. I don't
- 13 recall seeing that, the actual table with the staffing,
- 14 and as I say -- but I accept that it was there in that
- 15 attachment
- 16 LADY JUSTICE THIRLWALL: Yes. So you may have seen
- 17 it, but you didn't look at it carefully as you recall
- 18 it?
- 19 **A**. Yes
- 20 LADY JUSTICE THIRLWALL: Is that a fair way of
- 21 putting it?
- 22 **A.** Yes, that's a fair comment, yes.
- 23 LADY JUSTICE THIRLWALL: Right. Thank you. So
- 24 then a little while later Mrs Lawrence comes to you and
- 25 she says something to you which you regarded as gossip,

1	that she was passing on gossip. What did she actually	1	say to think about the issue of risk?
2	say?	2	A. Well, I think I think I would have because
3	A. She said something along the lines, she said	3	I would have took it through to the Serious Incident
4	she had been to the unit, she had been speaking with the	4	Panel, so the issue of risk would have I don't
5	staff and she reported back to me that the staff were	5	believe I outwardly dismissed it but by taking through
6	talking about this nurse and that this nurse had been at	6	to the Serious Incident Panel to ask, you know, is there
7	all of these, some or all of these deaths, words along	7	something that needs to be considered from a Patient
8	those lines.	8	Safety Incident Investigation because the HR
9	We had a there was a further conversation.	9	investigation and Patient Safety Investigation are very,
10	I don't remember the detail, but certainly it came	10	very different.
11	across that that the unit was gossiping. There was no	11	LADY JUSTICE THIRLWALL: Just so that you are aware
12	sense of alarm or concern. There was certainly no	12	of this, she described it as having been very
13	suggestion of the thoughts of what was being said, said	13	embarrassing
14	subsequently.	14	A. Yes.
15	LADY JUSTICE THIRLWALL: Well, why do you think	15	LADY JUSTICE THIRLWALL: that you told her not
16	Mrs Lawrence was telling you about it?	16	to, you know, make those sort of allegations lightly,
		17	
17	·		they were very serious. That is my recollection of how
18	see me to say: what do I do with this information?	18	she put it.
19	LADY JUSTICE THIRLWALL: And your response was to	19	A. Yes, yes.
20	say it's an HR matter.	20	LADY JUSTICE THIRLWALL: Was that fair that she
21	A. My response to her with regards to repeating	21	thought that?
22	the matter was that it was an HR issue and as I had	22	A. Well, I wouldn't want any of my staff to feel
23	said, I believe from my Facere Melius notes that I did	23	embarrassed coming to me and raising a concern, they are
24	raise it through the Serious Incident Panel.	24	absolutely right to raise a concern and talk it through.
25	LADY JUSTICE THIRLWALL: And did it occur to you to 253	25	Certainly the view I had from our conversation was, 254
1	as I say, this is an HR matter, HR matters are	1	INDEX
2	confidential, I wouldn't want for our team to be seen as	2	
3	gossiping or adding to the gossip. You know, obviously	3	MS KAREN TOWNSEND (affirmed) 1
			Questions by MC PROWN
4	I'm sorry that Mrs Lawrence felt that way, but it wasn't	4	Questions by MS BROWN 1
4 5	I'm sorry that Mrs Lawrence felt that way, but it wasn't said in a way to make her feel that. It was to say, you	4 5	Questions by MR BAKER
	·		
5	said in a way to make her feel that. It was to say, you	5	Questions by MR BAKER
5 6	said in a way to make her feel that. It was to say, you know, if it is a HR matter we need to leave it to HR but	5 6	Questions by MR BAKER
5 6 7	said in a way to make her feel that. It was to say, you know, if it is a HR matter we need to leave it to HR but clearly I do feel that I did raise it with the SI Panel.	5 6 7	Questions by MR BAKER
5 6 7 8	said in a way to make her feel that. It was to say, you know, if it is a HR matter we need to leave it to HR but clearly I do feel that I did raise it with the SI Panel. LADY JUSTICE THIRLWALL: I think that is all of my	5 6 7 8	Questions by MR BAKER
5 6 7 8 9	said in a way to make her feel that. It was to say, you know, if it is a HR matter we need to leave it to HR but clearly I do feel that I did raise it with the SI Panel. LADY JUSTICE THIRLWALL: I think that is all of my questions. Anybody want to ask anything else? No.	5 6 7 8 9	Questions by MR BAKER
5 6 7 8 9 10	said in a way to make her feel that. It was to say, you know, if it is a HR matter we need to leave it to HR but clearly I do feel that I did raise it with the SI Panel. LADY JUSTICE THIRLWALL: I think that is all of my questions. Anybody want to ask anything else? No. Thank you very much, Mr De La Poer. Thank you very	5 6 7 8 9 10	Questions by MR BAKER 48 Questions by LADY JUSTICE THIRLWALL 60 MS RUTH MILLWARD (affirmed) 62 Questions by MR DE LA POER 62 Questions by MR BAKER 207 Questions by MS WOODS 217
5 6 7 8 9 10 11	said in a way to make her feel that. It was to say, you know, if it is a HR matter we need to leave it to HR but clearly I do feel that I did raise it with the SI Panel. LADY JUSTICE THIRLWALL: I think that is all of my questions. Anybody want to ask anything else? No. Thank you very much, Mr De La Poer. Thank you very much indeed, Ms Millward, you are free to go.	5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Questions by MR BAKER 48 Questions by LADY JUSTICE THIRLWALL 60 MS RUTH MILLWARD (affirmed) 62 Questions by MR DE LA POER 62 Questions by MR BAKER 207 Questions by MS WOODS 217
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	said in a way to make her feel that. It was to say, you know, if it is a HR matter we need to leave it to HR but clearly I do feel that I did raise it with the SI Panel. LADY JUSTICE THIRLWALL: I think that is all of my questions. Anybody want to ask anything else? No. Thank you very much, Mr De La Poer. Thank you very much indeed, Ms Millward, you are free to go. A. Thank you very much.	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Questions by MR BAKER 48 Questions by LADY JUSTICE THIRLWALL 60 MS RUTH MILLWARD (affirmed) 62 Questions by MR DE LA POER 62 Questions by MR BAKER 207 Questions by MS WOODS 217
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	said in a way to make her feel that. It was to say, you know, if it is a HR matter we need to leave it to HR but clearly I do feel that I did raise it with the SI Panel. LADY JUSTICE THIRLWALL: I think that is all of my questions. Anybody want to ask anything else? No. Thank you very much, Mr De La Poer. Thank you very much indeed, Ms Millward, you are free to go. A. Thank you very much. LADY JUSTICE THIRLWALL: We will rise now until	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Questions by MR BAKER 48 Questions by LADY JUSTICE THIRLWALL 60 MS RUTH MILLWARD (affirmed) 62 Questions by MR DE LA POER 62 Questions by MR BAKER 207 Questions by MS WOODS 217
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	said in a way to make her feel that. It was to say, you know, if it is a HR matter we need to leave it to HR but clearly I do feel that I did raise it with the SI Panel. LADY JUSTICE THIRLWALL: I think that is all of my questions. Anybody want to ask anything else? No. Thank you very much, Mr De La Poer. Thank you very much indeed, Ms Millward, you are free to go. A. Thank you very much. LADY JUSTICE THIRLWALL: We will rise now until 10 o'clock tomorrow morning.	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Questions by MR BAKER 48 Questions by LADY JUSTICE THIRLWALL 60 MS RUTH MILLWARD (affirmed) 62 Questions by MR DE LA POER 62 Questions by MR BAKER 207 Questions by MS WOODS 217
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	said in a way to make her feel that. It was to say, you know, if it is a HR matter we need to leave it to HR but clearly I do feel that I did raise it with the SI Panel. LADY JUSTICE THIRLWALL: I think that is all of my questions. Anybody want to ask anything else? No. Thank you very much, Mr De La Poer. Thank you very much indeed, Ms Millward, you are free to go. A. Thank you very much. LADY JUSTICE THIRLWALL: We will rise now until 10 o'clock tomorrow morning. (5.18 pm) (The Inquiry was adjourned until 10 o'clock	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Questions by MR BAKER 48 Questions by LADY JUSTICE THIRLWALL 60 MS RUTH MILLWARD (affirmed) 62 Questions by MR DE LA POER 62 Questions by MR BAKER 207 Questions by MS WOODS 217
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	said in a way to make her feel that. It was to say, you know, if it is a HR matter we need to leave it to HR but clearly I do feel that I did raise it with the SI Panel. LADY JUSTICE THIRLWALL: I think that is all of my questions. Anybody want to ask anything else? No. Thank you very much, Mr De La Poer. Thank you very much indeed, Ms Millward, you are free to go. A. Thank you very much. LADY JUSTICE THIRLWALL: We will rise now until 10 o'clock tomorrow morning. (5.18 pm)	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Questions by MR BAKER 48 Questions by LADY JUSTICE THIRLWALL 60 MS RUTH MILLWARD (affirmed) 62 Questions by MR DE LA POER 62 Questions by MR BAKER 207 Questions by MS WOODS 217
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	said in a way to make her feel that. It was to say, you know, if it is a HR matter we need to leave it to HR but clearly I do feel that I did raise it with the SI Panel. LADY JUSTICE THIRLWALL: I think that is all of my questions. Anybody want to ask anything else? No. Thank you very much, Mr De La Poer. Thank you very much indeed, Ms Millward, you are free to go. A. Thank you very much. LADY JUSTICE THIRLWALL: We will rise now until 10 o'clock tomorrow morning. (5.18 pm) (The Inquiry was adjourned until 10 o'clock	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Questions by MR BAKER 48 Questions by LADY JUSTICE THIRLWALL 60 MS RUTH MILLWARD (affirmed) 62 Questions by MR DE LA POER 62 Questions by MR BAKER 207 Questions by MS WOODS 217
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	said in a way to make her feel that. It was to say, you know, if it is a HR matter we need to leave it to HR but clearly I do feel that I did raise it with the SI Panel. LADY JUSTICE THIRLWALL: I think that is all of my questions. Anybody want to ask anything else? No. Thank you very much, Mr De La Poer. Thank you very much indeed, Ms Millward, you are free to go. A. Thank you very much. LADY JUSTICE THIRLWALL: We will rise now until 10 o'clock tomorrow morning. (5.18 pm) (The Inquiry was adjourned until 10 o'clock	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Questions by MR BAKER 48 Questions by LADY JUSTICE THIRLWALL 60 MS RUTH MILLWARD (affirmed) 62 Questions by MR DE LA POER 62 Questions by MR BAKER 207 Questions by MS WOODS 217
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	said in a way to make her feel that. It was to say, you know, if it is a HR matter we need to leave it to HR but clearly I do feel that I did raise it with the SI Panel. LADY JUSTICE THIRLWALL: I think that is all of my questions. Anybody want to ask anything else? No. Thank you very much, Mr De La Poer. Thank you very much indeed, Ms Millward, you are free to go. A. Thank you very much. LADY JUSTICE THIRLWALL: We will rise now until 10 o'clock tomorrow morning. (5.18 pm) (The Inquiry was adjourned until 10 o'clock	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Questions by MR BAKER 48 Questions by LADY JUSTICE THIRLWALL 60 MS RUTH MILLWARD (affirmed) 62 Questions by MR DE LA POER 62 Questions by MR BAKER 207 Questions by MS WOODS 217
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	said in a way to make her feel that. It was to say, you know, if it is a HR matter we need to leave it to HR but clearly I do feel that I did raise it with the SI Panel. LADY JUSTICE THIRLWALL: I think that is all of my questions. Anybody want to ask anything else? No. Thank you very much, Mr De La Poer. Thank you very much indeed, Ms Millward, you are free to go. A. Thank you very much. LADY JUSTICE THIRLWALL: We will rise now until 10 o'clock tomorrow morning. (5.18 pm) (The Inquiry was adjourned until 10 o'clock	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Questions by MR BAKER 48 Questions by LADY JUSTICE THIRLWALL 60 MS RUTH MILLWARD (affirmed) 62 Questions by MR DE LA POER 62 Questions by MR BAKER 207 Questions by MS WOODS 217
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	said in a way to make her feel that. It was to say, you know, if it is a HR matter we need to leave it to HR but clearly I do feel that I did raise it with the SI Panel. LADY JUSTICE THIRLWALL: I think that is all of my questions. Anybody want to ask anything else? No. Thank you very much, Mr De La Poer. Thank you very much indeed, Ms Millward, you are free to go. A. Thank you very much. LADY JUSTICE THIRLWALL: We will rise now until 10 o'clock tomorrow morning. (5.18 pm) (The Inquiry was adjourned until 10 o'clock	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Questions by MR BAKER 48 Questions by LADY JUSTICE THIRLWALL 60 MS RUTH MILLWARD (affirmed) 62 Questions by MR DE LA POER 62 Questions by MR BAKER 207 Questions by MS WOODS 217
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	said in a way to make her feel that. It was to say, you know, if it is a HR matter we need to leave it to HR but clearly I do feel that I did raise it with the SI Panel. LADY JUSTICE THIRLWALL: I think that is all of my questions. Anybody want to ask anything else? No. Thank you very much, Mr De La Poer. Thank you very much indeed, Ms Millward, you are free to go. A. Thank you very much. LADY JUSTICE THIRLWALL: We will rise now until 10 o'clock tomorrow morning. (5.18 pm) (The Inquiry was adjourned until 10 o'clock	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Questions by MR BAKER 48 Questions by LADY JUSTICE THIRLWALL 60 MS RUTH MILLWARD (affirmed) 62 Questions by MR DE LA POER 62 Questions by MR BAKER 207 Questions by MS WOODS 217
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	said in a way to make her feel that. It was to say, you know, if it is a HR matter we need to leave it to HR but clearly I do feel that I did raise it with the SI Panel. LADY JUSTICE THIRLWALL: I think that is all of my questions. Anybody want to ask anything else? No. Thank you very much, Mr De La Poer. Thank you very much indeed, Ms Millward, you are free to go. A. Thank you very much. LADY JUSTICE THIRLWALL: We will rise now until 10 o'clock tomorrow morning. (5.18 pm) (The Inquiry was adjourned until 10 o'clock	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Questions by MR BAKER 48 Questions by LADY JUSTICE THIRLWALL 60 MS RUTH MILLWARD (affirmed) 62 Questions by MR DE LA POER 62 Questions by MR BAKER 207 Questions by MS WOODS 217

11 [2] 55/24 197/9 2 23 October [2] **62 [1]** 44/21 **11 am [2]** 56/2 57/13 162/10 163/23 2 March [4] 72/22 **LADY JUSTICE 11 July [7]** 29/21 23 September [1] THIRLWALL: [82] 92/15 131/24 178/9 118/5 121/4 122/1 239/8 **7 June [1]** 63/3 1/3 1/7 1/10 8/8 8/12 2 March 2016 [1] 123/10 123/12 126/11 24 June [6] 27/14 **72 hours [1]** 157/2 8/17 8/24 9/6 9/13 175/18 **11 July 2016 [2]** 28/9 27/17 49/19 52/9 **777 [1]** 243/1 15/19 17/8 17/12 **20 [6]** 20/10 62/9 55/21 63/6 121/14 17/14 48/10 60/6 108/5 108/6 117/23 **11 o'clock [1]** 31/14 24 June 2016 [3] 60/13 60/20 60/23 119/20 8 February [1] **11.22 am [1]** 62/10 31/14 52/25 53/7 61/4 61/10 61/14 **2001 [2]** 2/4 2/5 166/22 **11.30 [1]** 57/10 **24th [1]** 36/19 61/24 62/2 62/8 62/13 **2004 [1]** 65/6 8 February 2016 [1] 11.40 [1] 62/12 26 June [2] 146/5 62/18 62/22 118/17 **2011 [3]** 64/7 65/7 219/21 11ish [1] 50/22 146/8 118/22 119/2 123/4 65/22 8 July [1] 46/6 **12 [5]** 10/20 10/22 **263 [1]** 203/25 175/8 175/13 183/18 **2013 [1]** 65/17 8 June 2015 [1] 62/9 66/15 193/25 **27 July [4]** 120/13 183/21 203/14 207/4 **2014 [1]** 66/5 217/17 **12 months [1]** 96/24 120/23 130/14 130/17 217/5 217/9 217/12 **2015 [26]** 2/10 2/13 **89 [1]** 224/1 **12-month [1]** 159/18 **27 June [1]** 43/6 222/19 243/20 244/1 13/1 13/2 13/10 13/20 **8A [1]** 94/25 **12.23 [1]** 47/14 **27th [1]** 163/20 244/4 244/9 247/14 19/17 22/13 30/21 **8D [1]** 48/25 **28 [1]** 18/9 12.57 pm [1] 118/24 64/2 64/9 64/10 66/7 247/17 247/21 248/2 **13 [6]** 11/21 12/14 28 September 2016 248/7 248/12 248/18 67/22 97/24 113/24 193/16 193/21 193/25 **[1]** 240/13 248/21 249/9 249/12 146/5 146/8 148/18 **9.15 [1]** 55/23 194/11 **29 [1]** 19/11 249/18 249/23 250/3 149/1 160/13 217/17 13 July [3] 189/7 29 June [1] 189/6 250/6 250/10 250/14 223/12 232/9 243/6 192/6 208/23 29 June 2016 [1] 250/22 250/25 251/3 245/8 A's [16] 219/3 222/10 **13 June [1]** 189/22 212/19 251/9 251/13 251/19 **2015/16 [2]** 80/15 223/18 231/23 232/1 **14 [1]** 108/1 **2nd [1]** 146/13 251/22 251/24 252/4 139/14 232/15 232/18 233/3 14 February [1] 252/9 252/16 252/20 **2015/2016 [1]** 11/17 234/1 238/24 240/15 47/12 252/23 253/15 253/19 **2015/early [1]** 5/17 240/19 240/22 242/5 **3 March [1]** 72/19 14 February 2018 [1] 253/25 254/11 254/15 **2016 [56]** 2/11 5/17 242/13 243/3 **3 o'clock [1]** 165/24 47/9 254/20 255/8 255/13 11/17 20/17 22/22 **a**, [1] 75/11 **3.16 pm [1]** 175/10 **14 July [3]** 23/7 23/7 24/8 28/9 31/14 50/11 MR BAKER: [4] a, say [1] 75/11 **3.29 pm [1]** 175/12 26/7 52/9 52/25 53/7 66/19 48/12 60/3 207/7 aback [1] 35/10 **3.30 pm [1]** 175/9 **15 [10]** 10/13 10/17 75/17 75/24 75/25 217/3 ability [1] 104/1 30 [2] 66/16 66/18 10/22 10/25 108/5 78/20 82/18 82/21 MR DE LA POER: able [20] 5/19 6/1 8/1 **30 June [3]** 44/23 108/16 109/16 119/23 82/22 84/21 100/22 **[11]** 62/14 62/19 9/24 43/8 79/17 79/19 45/8 230/18 121/9 243/9 62/24 118/19 119/3 118/10 120/9 120/13 83/12 96/25 97/25 30 minutes [1] 57/11 **15 July [2]** 85/11 121/14 121/17 128/11 123/12 175/5 175/17 142/4 142/5 142/12 **31 March [1]** 72/15 143/7 183/23 203/22 207/1 129/8 132/24 134/6 143/3 165/24 197/12 **32 [1]** 26/11 **1507 [2]** 121/11 **MS BROWN: [6]** 1/5 141/11 141/15 142/21 233/19 235/6 244/2 **37 [1]** 79/18 128/4 1/13 9/15 15/22 17/15 149/4 162/3 166/22 244/7 3rd [1] 146/12 **1508 [1]** 121/11 48/8 175/18 212/19 216/1 about [203] 4/2 7/14 **155 [1]** 240/11 MS WOODS: [8] 217/18 219/3 219/4 7/20 7/22 13/11 16/21 **16 [5]** 43/3 47/8 217/8 217/13 222/21 219/21 225/4 225/15 18/4 20/2 22/15 23/4 4 August 2016 [1] 80/15 105/17 139/14 243/23 244/3 244/6 230/15 232/12 234/17 24/2 26/13 27/15 234/17 16 February [1] 244/10 247/12 238/24 240/13 241/1 28/24 30/3 33/7 33/8 4 November 2024 [1] 169/14 242/10 242/15 245/9 33/24 34/11 34/21 1/1 **16 June [2]** 20/13 245/10 34/25 36/1 36/3 38/11 **40 [1]** 31/15 30/24 0003212 [1] 24/7 **2017 [3]** 72/11 72/15 39/4 39/12 39/14 **41 [1]** 32/4 16 June 2016 [1] 0007947 [1] 169/25 92/16 39/21 41/5 42/3 44/3 **42 [1]** 33/11 24/8 **2018 [2]** 47/9 203/3 44/13 44/23 45/7 **45** [1] 34/7 16 months [1] **2019 [1]** 203/4 45/11 45/12 45/18 **46 [1]** 34/25 217/18 1 July 2015 [1] 243/6 **2024 [3]** 1/1 1/16 46/5 46/6 46/22 47/17 **48** [1] 38/3 **17 March [2]** 182/23 **1.55 pm [1]** 119/1 255/17 49/6 50/14 50/21 183/3 **10 [4]** 66/14 108/7 **2026 [1]** 223/24 50/22 51/8 52/10 **18 [1]** 13/16 120/9 193/22 **21 [2]** 23/6 50/11 52/14 53/23 53/24 5 November 2024 [1] 18 December [1] **10 o'clock [2]** 255/14 **21 June [2]** 50/14 54/3 54/7 55/8 55/9 255/17 14/22 255/16 52/1 56/1 56/2 56/8 56/16 **5 to [1]** 118/23 18 December 2015 10 October 2016 [1] 21 June 2024 [1] 56/22 56/25 57/3 **5.18 pm [1]** 255/15 **[1]** 13/20 217/18 1/16 57/19 61/6 61/25 72/7 **53 [1]** 219/15 **18th [1]** 13/19 **10 years [1]** 71/10 **21 March [2]** 183/8 73/1 78/3 79/25 81/18 **54 [2]** 39/19 40/6 **19 [2]** 28/2 203/5 **10.00 [1]** 1/2 183/12 84/6 85/1 85/8 86/3 **59 [1]** 41/3 19 July 2016 [1] **10.30 am [1]** 55/25 **23 [2]** 23/15 25/10 86/6 89/6 94/19 100/2 118/10 **10.46 [1]** 57/12 23 June [2] 51/25 101/11 104/25 106/4 **1991 [1]** 63/20 100 [2] 108/11 181/1

157/25

1997 [1] 63/17

109/17 114/9 115/4

6 July [1] 189/6

about... [120] 115/5 115/12 115/14 117/1 123/20 124/10 135/13 135/17 136/3 136/3 138/6 138/25 140/10 140/22 141/8 143/12 143/19 145/3 145/18 145/23 145/25 151/23 155/7 155/8 156/12 156/13 156/17 157/11 158/4 158/25 161/9 161/19 163/8 163/11 163/14 163/21 164/6 164/11 164/20 166/8 167/1 168/13 168/14 171/13 171/19 174/6 176/6 179/12 183/18 185/7 185/21 186/3 186/11 187/14 187/16 188/8 188/10 189/17 189/18 189/21 190/24 191/7 193/3 193/10 193/13 194/16 198/8 200/9 204/13 204/15 206/7 207/16 207/17 208/7 209/5 209/21 209/22 209/25 210/10 210/11 210/23 211/18 212/9 215/3 215/15 215/16 216/2 216/8 216/14 217/6 217/20 219/24 220/20 221/6 221/8 221/10 221/20 222/3 222/4 225/4 228/12 230/16 230/17 230/24 231/4 232/1 232/7 232/23 235/23 245/19 245/23 246/14 248/23 248/25 249/7 249/20 249/20 253/6 253/16 254/1 above [10] 10/13 10/17 10/25 89/22 105/17 129/15 138/6 174/21 220/5 228/7 absent [1] 72/19 absolute [1] 45/10 absolutely [31] 26/23 66/24 68/8 68/14 81/9 89/16 91/2 96/14 97/11 100/5 104/16 106/8 124/17 125/9 132/2 135/4 138/15 165/12 166/5 166/20 169/8 184/7 201/2 204/12 206/5 206/8 206/10 210/9 216/16 242/20 254/24 accept [7] 40/1 40/4 60/2 92/8 158/17 206/15 252/14 access [3] 18/21

26/25 131/13 accompli [1] 91/11 accord [1] 31/17 accorded [1] 173/10 according [8] 52/1 98/5 107/6 120/4 120/11 122/25 156/18 191/15 account [4] 32/21 101/7 111/24 197/12 accurate [3] 93/7 103/2 208/14 accurately [1] 206/6 achieve [2] 79/21 106/20 achieved [1] 42/2 achieves [1] 105/10 acknowledge [1] 19/9 across [6] 11/24 23/19 96/23 186/4 251/5 253/11 act [9] 59/7 65/6 150/11 159/11 188/5 189/15 208/19 209/6 241/10 acted [1] 39/17 acting [2] 102/21 240/12 action [42] 14/23 24/18 24/20 37/6 38/13 38/18 38/21 39/25 40/1 40/4 40/11 40/12 40/22 42/10 42/11 44/10 46/20 57/23 59/11 60/1 69/25 108/14 115/10 149/12 165/19 176/11 177/9 179/25 186/17 187/1 187/23 187/25 188/1 188/1 224/11 224/19 226/8 226/15 230/3 230/17 231/12 251/18 actions [27] 31/7 34/17 42/14 43/6 64/3 address [7] 10/21 110/24 110/24 112/15 114/24 121/14 121/25 122/10 122/16 122/20 123/9 125/18 126/12 131/1 131/2 177/15 178/4 178/5 179/8 179/8 186/7 230/24 252/2 activate [1] 249/16 actively [3] 98/8 98/10 98/12 activities [1] 98/15 activity [9] 82/12 83/4 83/18 83/20 83/22 84/1 84/2 84/10 admin [1] 76/22 197/11 acts [4] 58/11 150/20

158/20 209/21

actual [17] 29/12 29/22 29/25 36/10 38/18 44/7 46/12 46/14 90/18 103/20 123/7 139/24 156/13 178/23 199/22 212/22 133/8 252/13 actually [41] 4/3 4/21 7/3 7/5 20/9 20/16 24/4 28/7 33/1 37/16 38/13 38/22 40/6 46/20 59/11 61/13 87/12 87/24 94/10 94/17 95/5 95/21 110/19 115/1 122/19 125/7 128/5 129/16 129/17 135/3 139/25 151/8 169/14 186/10 194/11 209/9 223/22 228/24 233/12 233/25 253/1 acuity [7] 124/24 126/8 189/13 190/3 194/19 197/15 197/19 affected [2] 177/8 Acute [2] 2/9 4/22 ad [4] 34/12 50/1 50/4 51/1 ad hoc [4] 34/12 50/1 50/4 51/1 add [6] 9/21 121/14 122/1 122/7 130/7 241/25 added [8] 28/9 29/20 76/3 103/17 121/13 132/2 146/9 146/21 adding [3] 95/13 130/6 255/3 addition [2] 5/24 12/14 additional [16] 12/12 26/3 27/24 36/17 58/20 66/25 79/10 95/13 125/21 156/25 169/2 169/4 173/6 194/4 215/24 225/20 35/24 36/6 37/8 42/15 99/11 170/14 addressed [3] 26/8 176/16 176/17 adequate [2] 107/15 249/5 adequately [2] 75/10 100/8 adjoining [2] 194/1 194/4 adjourn [1] 118/23 adjourned [1] 255/16 adjournment [1] 118/25 administrative [2] 67/15 201/16 administrators [1]

192/8 admit [1] 116/8 adopt [1] 29/10 adult [6] 63/24 73/4 73/11 73/20 132/14 adult-focused [1] 133/8 adults [1] 73/9 advantage [1] 145/6 adverse [4] 134/16 135/2 135/9 135/12 advice [4] 36/13 107/23 127/21 130/20 advise [3] 154/19 158/16 179/1 advised [1] 220/9 adviser [1] 107/7 advises [1] 106/19 advising [2] 61/2 158/1 advisory [1] 106/22 affect [1] 104/2 234/7 affecting [1] 138/2 **affects [2]** 77/15 169/11 **affirmed [4]** 1/9 62/21 256/3 256/7 afraid [4] 45/14 194/4 174/19 212/14 240/7 after [18] 5/9 5/15 14/2 16/12 22/21 22/22 25/18 52/3 55/20 57/11 60/24 110/12 119/6 153/15 183/25 212/20 229/2 230/1 after June 2016 [1] 22/22 afternoon [3] 41/19 57/16 207/8 afterwards [2] 14/3 78/8 again [56] 20/11 51/23 57/10 62/8 67/11 68/17 74/22 75/1 76/4 78/7 78/16 79/22 80/3 83/3 89/14 94/13 94/21 94/22 98/3 117/6 117/19 118/23 120/1 126/19 129/14 137/15 141/18 144/9 144/17 147/5 148/10 148/12 155/13 156/15 157/12 157/15 159/7 160/14 160/15 163/2 165/13 168/1 171/22 188/1 188/3 190/17 204/24 205/1 214/14 224/2 231/4 231/15 234/1 234/25 241/4 248/23

159/16 159/19 164/1 165/17 171/15 age [1] 46/17 agenda [4] 26/1 71/25 181/25 182/16 agendas [1] 181/14 ago [1] 136/4 agree [26] 50/13 77/7 93/21 97/13 99/1 129/22 132/7 132/8 155/9 166/12 177/6 182/20 212/5 218/1 220/13 220/24 221/10 228/20 233/3 234/25 235/12 236/25 242/16 243/8 245/12 246/6 agreed [5] 51/10 51/12 127/23 128/18 227/5 agreement [3] 130/18 180/7 223/1 ahead [5] 77/23 96/20 227/23 229/1 241/17 alarm [1] 253/12 alert [2] 17/19 17/21 alerted [2] 14/24 35/24 aligned [2] 174/18 Alison [4] 61/11 163/11 183/8 250/18 Alison Kelly [4] 61/11 163/11 183/8 250/18 alive [1] 57/21 all [108] 3/10 3/16 5/21 5/22 5/23 8/4 8/5 9/1 9/20 11/22 11/24 12/10 13/13 18/8 22/22 23/19 24/17 24/21 34/13 38/20 44/5 47/11 48/8 50/9 50/12 51/17 52/9 52/20 53/18 57/25 58/13 59/5 61/2 68/15 74/13 76/5 77/18 77/24 78/15 79/14 81/3 81/19 83/5 85/8 88/15 93/3 93/11 93/15 93/22 98/14 101/19 102/25 104/16 106/25 107/22 110/9 110/9 112/9 129/3 129/14 144/3 145/19 146/13 147/20 149/23 150/15 151/10 157/23 159/9 161/7 167/1 167/13 168/11 168/24 177/5 177/11 179/1 180/7 180/20 183/15 185/8 196/5 196/13 197/7 197/23 198/21

against [6] 59/24

160/20 161/22 164/14 247/24 167/25 174/23 176/3 all... [22] 202/17 179/23 185/18 190/9 203/21 205/17 207/1 191/12 192/10 201/22 208/17 209/9 214/3 204/17 211/16 213/7 214/4 217/9 230/9 218/13 220/15 229/12 237/8 238/22 239/13 237/2 240/9 243/5 244/4 alternate [1] 122/23 244/21 249/19 250/6 although [2] 47/19 253/7 253/7 255/8 239/15 allegations [2] always [11] 51/2 211/11 254/16 79/23 80/23 82/12 alleged [2] 28/16 82/15 126/2 158/8 46/15 159/11 201/17 201/17 Allitt [3] 63/20 63/22 248/25 116/7 am [87] 1/2 3/19 4/4 **Allitt's [1]** 64/3 8/13 8/14 20/2 25/17 allocated [2] 176/4 36/12 38/24 43/10 231/6 43/10 44/16 44/18 allocates [1] 142/17 48/21 48/21 51/9 allow [1] 205/3 55/25 56/2 56/10 allowed [1] 44/1 56/22 57/13 58/8 alluded [6] 142/1 62/10 62/12 64/8 172/15 191/21 199/12 67/24 71/1 71/8 77/7 200/4 208/21 78/13 85/24 86/20 alludes [1] 143/18 87/6 93/3 97/2 97/25 alluding [1] 89/21 99/6 113/24 115/4 almost [5] 67/6 80/18 122/22 123/6 123/11 108/7 108/18 214/3 129/12 133/19 134/6 alone [1] 197/12 136/1 138/19 138/25 along [10] 4/18 4/20 145/10 145/11 145/18 152/2 162/4 175/19 149/7 163/13 165/18 186/23 201/3 206/11 165/25 167/7 175/5 253/3 253/7 179/4 179/18 185/13 alongside [5] 8/3 8/9 190/21 194/2 194/4 35/19 78/14 191/3 195/21 198/25 200/8 already [34] 3/11 201/2 203/22 207/22 28/25 32/8 39/5 56/4 209/13 212/17 215/20 56/5 58/17 74/21 217/6 217/14 219/13 75/18 82/17 87/12 221/20 221/25 223/13 87/25 88/21 88/23 223/13 227/23 227/25 89/23 94/3 96/17 228/17 230/7 233/17 124/22 125/16 125/18 244/14 251/3 251/23 126/13 169/15 172/15 among [1] 112/20 176/16 179/8 181/7 amongst [1] 216/12 185/19 185/21 186/5 amount [3] 59/5 190/16 200/4 201/9 77/22 114/25 225/18 230/16 anaesthetists [1] also [69] 4/17 4/22 74/3 6/21 7/1 8/15 8/22 analysis [10] 98/17 12/3 12/16 14/16 139/11 175/22 187/16 23/13 37/12 37/13 187/17 190/25 191/13 39/16 42/6 42/7 42/18 192/19 196/23 197/18 42/21 51/14 53/18 analyst [7] 190/8 56/8 56/23 57/9 57/14 190/21 190/21 191/1 60/16 64/6 67/10 197/2 197/4 198/25 68/16 69/18 78/1 analysts [2] 190/10 80/12 83/17 87/8 191/2 96/19 104/5 104/21 **Anne [2]** 191/5 196/4 106/18 108/17 112/8 Anne Martyn [1] 114/22 119/21 123/12 196/4 125/15 132/23 139/18 **Annemarie [3]** 84/20 141/4 147/2 155/8 183/24 247/24 156/23 159/6 160/19 Annemarie Lawrence

[3] 84/20 183/24

Annemarie's [1] 89/6 annual [2] 43/22 44/9 anybody [8] 11/4 another [21] 44/12 46/18 47/21 48/6 75/18 75/20 75/21 108/17 122/4 146/18 160/8 162/20 175/6 188/19 200/21 217/15 221/13 224/2 230/12 234/10 247/18 answer [13] 42/24 110/23 112/13 124/8 129/19 130/13 145/4 164/12 171/21 201/7 211/19 226/24 237/9 answering [2] 99/23 168/6 answers [2] 153/10 235/12 anticipated [1] 82/13 any [136] 3/14 3/15 6/23 9/21 9/24 11/5 12/7 12/16 13/11 16/10 16/12 18/11 19/12 19/13 19/23 21/14 21/15 21/15 21/16 21/17 21/17 23/20 24/3 24/12 24/18 24/19 24/23 25/12 26/12 27/5 27/8 119/18 119/21 121/7 27/19 27/21 29/2 29/2 124/12 131/22 195/3 29/24 29/25 29/25 30/6 30/10 30/16 34/17 36/8 41/20 41/24 42/7 42/10 42/11 42/20 42/21 42/24 44/16 44/17 46/21 46/23 53/19 55/14 55/14 56/10 56/11 56/13 58/4 59/18 62/3 66/21 68/10 74/14 76/16 78/11 79/14 83/5 86/7 86/16 86/21 99/25 101/11 109/20 110/22 114/14 116/3 124/6 128/11 129/1 135/16 139/13 145/25 150/11 152/12 153/10 157/7 167/14 168/14 168/22 109/19 176/3 169/3 169/7 171/6 171/19 173/4 174/11 174/14 178/1 179/2 179/5 179/10 181/18 185/17 187/9 187/19 189/15 191/18 193/2 195/20 195/25 195/25 apply [3] 66/2 233/6 196/23 206/1 207/21 208/9 215/5 216/20 217/25 218/3 218/20 218/21 223/24 225/13 226/23 230/9 233/21

245/4 246/9 246/16 32/22 87/6 168/5 254/22 52/14 137/12 138/17 166/17 208/18 209/23 255/9 anyone [7] 3/6 11/7 11/10 11/13 11/15 17/6 62/3 **anything [37]** 10/12 10/19 10/19 10/22 10/24 22/15 27/22 56/17 58/1 61/24 61/25 79/24 104/3 109/23 114/4 133/1 134/7 137/12 137/13 138/18 168/13 168/14 171/7 186/24 188/4 189/18 191/20 197/23 approved [3] 88/18 208/24 208/25 209/3 227/3 241/24 241/25 247/9 251/18 255/9 anyway [3] 80/23 175/15 180/18 **apologies [1]** 67/25 **apologise [3]** 114/13 114/18 118/21 apparent [15] 23/20 24/2 28/11 29/7 29/11 30/4 91/7 102/5 118/4 **apparently [4]** 33/20 124/11 129/15 134/19 appear [5] 150/2 155/6 155/17 182/9 199/8 **appeared [2]** 123/13 190/1 **appearing [2]** 19/5 121/4 appears [8] 4/21 39/24 40/10 53/4 53/6 75/1 138/6 214/14 appended [1] 176/3 appendices [1] 103/18 appendix [3] 109/19 176/3 184/2 appendix 1 [2] applied [8] 116/19 127/5 150/14 152/4 158/19 159/10 159/14 228/1 applies [3] 228/6 228/7 233/20 233/15 applying [5] 110/22 112/11 150/19 159/5 **appointed [1]** 5/12 239/21 240/21 241/18 appreciate [6] 8/11

206/19 244/6 approach [11] 76/9 91/15 127/25 140/7 145/2 145/7 160/5 163/4 163/6 195/25 223/10 approached [1] 65/17 appropriate [21] 11/5 12/5 12/13 18/20 18/23 74/4 87/5 135/5 135/16 140/3 166/8 169/11 174/3 174/8 181/19 181/21 184/5 187/5 200/21 210/25 211/1 **approval [1]** 92/12 104/19 180/19 approving [1] 104/22 approximate [1] 5/14 approximately [3] 4/2 7/10 32/1 April [3] 163/25 223/24 242/10 are [212] 3/17 3/21 8/1 9/22 9/25 10/2 11/8 12/18 13/19 15/23 15/25 16/5 17/22 19/2 20/3 21/7 21/21 21/21 23/17 24/9 25/2 27/13 28/4 30/13 30/14 36/20 36/22 36/25 37/3 40/5 40/7 43/3 43/8 43/17 44/11 44/22 45/13 45/16 45/16 47/2 47/10 47/16 47/17 48/8 48/9 49/10 52/8 53/20 53/22 54/1 54/2 54/4 54/6 54/7 57/11 57/16 58/22 61/5 62/5 63/8 64/15 67/17 70/18 70/23 71/16 73/16 74/11 74/12 75/4 77/20 77/23 78/7 80/5 81/10 85/7 85/9 85/14 89/12 92/13 93/5 93/6 96/1 97/10 97/23 98/1 98/1 104/1 104/5 107/6 108/4 108/6 108/17 109/2 109/18 110/14 114/11 114/16 116/21 117/20 118/5 119/3 119/5 119/7 119/20 120/22 121/11 121/16 125/10 125/11 125/15 125/16 126/4 126/12 126/12 126/16 126/25 129/10 129/11 129/23 130/20 130/24 131/1 131/2 132/20 134/7 137/19 (67) all ... - are

131/15 135/7 139/20 141/3 141/17 143/15 are... [86] 139/1 150/11 150/15 150/17 140/1 141/8 142/24 151/24 151/25 155/11 143/19 144/8 145/17 158/21 160/18 171/14 asking [9] 16/19 146/23 147/16 147/19 172/4 172/15 172/17 147/20 148/3 149/9 173/21 174/11 179/11 149/11 152/21 153/11 183/24 185/17 189/9 155/4 157/3 158/1 190/10 192/25 196/19 aspect [4] 11/5 15/11 159/5 159/5 163/1 196/20 198/15 198/23 163/13 164/11 164/16 198/24 199/10 200/12 aspects [8] 8/4 9/1 170/10 170/13 173/10 201/18 203/20 204/2 174/5 174/7 174/14 205/10 206/5 206/16 176/5 179/15 180/10 208/10 208/23 215/12 assessing [1] 24/17 180/22 182/24 184/23 215/21 215/22 215/23 assessment [15] 186/24 189/5 189/17 216/17 218/18 221/16 152/23 153/7 157/10 190/16 190/20 190/25 227/13 230/10 232/5 193/5 193/9 193/12 232/5 233/8 238/9 195/8 197/9 199/23 238/22 241/15 200/14 200/25 204/22 around June 2016 [1] 205/2 206/6 206/7 66/19 206/22 207/1 208/2 around May [1] 208/21 210/15 214/2 183/24 214/4 214/23 215/21 arrange [3] 89/10 216/1 217/6 217/16 146/11 183/3 219/11 225/14 227/7 arranged [5] 51/9 227/25 232/19 233/4 51/19 51/24 52/1 233/15 233/18 236/22 230/3 240/6 243/24 244/1 arrangement [1] 244/16 245/19 254/9 62/17 254/11 254/23 255/1 arrangements [7] 255/11 71/2 71/17 132/11 area [19] 33/12 34/14 132/20 204/2 204/13 48/6 74/5 87/6 94/2 205/2 99/2 99/11 99/18 arrest [2] 153/8 99/25 100/1 100/2 223/2 100/3 133/16 175/2 **Arrowe [4]** 46/10 177/12 178/7 202/1 55/25 56/4 56/20 229/14 Arrowe Park [4] areas [20] 9/20 24/18 46/10 55/25 56/4 24/24 25/1 70/14 56/20 73/19 73/20 78/4 **arrythmia** [1] 153/13 81/14 83/5 84/4 91/22 articulate [1] 100/10 98/15 134/25 170/20 articulated [4] 56/18 171/16 173/4 176/15 57/25 58/6 59/24 176/19 176/23 as [398] aren't [2] 143/20 ascertain [1] 12/6 236/22 aside [2] 19/15 82/14 arena [1] 124/3 ask [33] 8/8 12/12 **arguably [1]** 59/10 15/2 17/8 17/12 20/9 arising [1] 62/4 22/19 36/14 39/14 around [96] 33/9 48/13 62/3 71/25 44/5 46/15 54/13 61/1 74/13 107/20 118/6 66/14 66/16 66/19 123/19 124/10 134/7 67/12 70/16 71/1 134/25 171/10 185/13 141/11 72/22 73/3 74/25 188/8 203/14 207/9 74/25 75/17 78/1 210/10 213/23 217/6 80/15 80/16 85/5 91/5 217/20 219/24 230/17 attachment [3] 93/24 93/24 94/4 94/8 244/8 254/6 255/9 94/13 97/6 100/21 asked [25] 17/9 17/9 102/12 113/11 114/24 18/4 20/4 29/20 32/23 116/18 122/9 124/23 42/23 61/18 74/9 125/2 125/25 126/24 83/22 101/20 109/19 130/4 130/5 130/22 123/19 180/14 197/8

201/20 203/11 207/16 159/6 161/23 211/12 211/14 219/25 attended [11] 87/14 230/16 231/13 248/15 90/15 91/14 147/19 248/25 17/11 19/3 112/18 230/20 184/23 196/16 217/14 attending [5] 6/23 221/20 232/16 232/4 54/23 152/5 12/10 53/24 124/18 151/6 169/18 177/13 183/4 169/24 170/17 170/18 151/2 170/23 170/25 171/8 171/19 171/22 195/1 198/3 214/2 214/4 76/8 assessments [1] 96/15 assist [7] 31/18 47/3 47/16 101/3 212/16 241/1 226/9 243/25 Assistant [1] 2/7 associate [2] 92/19 204/8 219/10 associated [15] 13/6 15/9 18/11 18/18 18/19 19/13 21/15 21/18 27/21 33/24 44/17 52/17 56/11 113/25 202/14 association [2] 52/15 avenues [1] 29/15 193/16 assume [1] 187/2 109/4 assuming [1] 51/9 assumption [2] 60/18 227/20 assurance [19] 70/14 aware [87] 6/15 70/22 71/1 71/17 94/21 98/8 100/16 128/14 128/15 128/16 16/13 16/16 17/4 129/2 129/17 129/21 130/2 133/11 133/19 157/7 157/17 204/13 **assurances** [6] 70/10 70/11 72/2 91/20 125/25 161/4 assure [1] 127/17 at [458] at August [1] 160/13 at July 2016 [1] attached [2] 163/23 235/2 179/18 251/20 252/15 attacking [3] 58/9 58/12 59/7 attend [3] 90/1 133/17 205/8 **attendance** [3] 123/3

166/25 178/8 191/19 196/2 218/6 218/9 away [2] 58/16 147/20 awful [2] 46/4 114/12 68/24 122/19 167/1 babies [40] 18/12 attention [8] 3/6 19/18 19/19 19/23 13/10 77/16 98/16 20/3 23/11 27/16 111/9 164/22 164/25 32/12 34/9 34/23 35/25 36/3 36/3 37/9 attitude [1] 91/16 39/4 44/13 44/17 **attract [1]** 98/15 45/19 46/17 46/22 attributable [2] 147/7 55/8 58/9 97/9 125/7 126/21 128/6 176/20 attributed [1] 194/19 177/17 188/18 195/9 audit [3] 67/2 67/11 August [5] 3/9 47/8 245/25 246/6 246/15 160/13 234/17 241/1 249/20 August 2016 [1] baby [12] 30/6 39/4 author [1] 170/17 44/4 44/11 81/11 81/12 137/10 153/24 **authored [1]** 84/8 161/5 162/13 169/12 automatically [1] 188/18 Baby P [1] 44/4 autumn [1] 100/21 baby's [1] 42/3 **available [13]** 3/3 back [60] 12/11 14/5 23/3 42/10 21/16 25/6 25/9 25/19 156/21 156/25 157/1 34/20 47/10 64/21 160/18 169/4 178/9 65/19 66/2 78/25 80/2 198/14 203/12 227/1 92/24 95/23 96/2 105/22 107/3 120/17 avoidable [2] 108/21 124/5 124/8 125/3 128/23 134/1 134/22 avoided [1] 136/25 awaiting [3] 153/11 154/22 156/4 161/17 155/21 202/24 162/20 169/13 173/2 173/8 181/22 182/1 13/14 13/17 14/7 15/3 15/23 15/25 16/10 182/13 185/5 186/8 186/11 189/1 189/24 17/17 17/24 18/5 18/15 19/1 19/2 19/12 215/5 220/22 222/11 225/18 227/10 239/20 21/5 21/7 21/13 21/19 240/15 244/23 247/17 21/21 21/22 23/8 250/11 251/4 251/16 23/13 24/12 25/20 252/10 253/5 253/17 26/12 27/8 27/10 background [8] 1/23 27/14 30/24 30/25 32/7 36/13 37/21 32/8 40/15 41/3 43/1 43/10 43/17 52/24 56/13 64/23 68/9 152/23 56/10 56/17 61/5 64/3 **backwards** [2] 47/5 64/6 64/11 64/18 64/20 68/21 76/1 85/2 119/5 88/19 100/24 111/25 bad [1] 200/24 128/21 129/11 129/12 badged [1] 248/10 Baker [9] 48/10 134/7 155/19 162/24 163/13 163/22 164/23 48/11 48/13 60/7 207/3 207/5 207/6 166/7 168/3 168/19 256/5 256/9 168/21 168/22 168/23 172/2 172/3 183/13 balanced [1] 151/8 Band [2] 48/25 94/25 187/1 208/2 214/23

219/11 220/12 220/15 223/11 223/16 232/20 245/12 245/22 246/14 246/17 254/11

195/22 207/23 211/14 245/13 245/15 245/20 139/13 142/25 147/18 191/20 210/24 211/19

234/3 235/6 235/7 186/13 186/17 186/21 173/22 177/7 177/12 В Between September 235/7 235/10 235/22 186/23 187/5 187/24 179/9 179/10 181/2 **2015 [1]** 13/1 **BAPM [2]** 196/20 184/13 185/24 185/24 Beverley [4] 63/20 238/7 246/25 247/2 190/8 192/12 193/1 198/5 247/5 247/5 249/12 193/8 196/8 198/4 186/4 187/3 187/3 63/22 64/3 116/7 barely [1] 232/3 250/11 251/11 251/25 200/1 200/5 200/20 189/25 189/25 191/6 **Beverley Allitt [3]** base [1] 51/8 253/17 254/2 254/8 201/21 213/25 214/23 193/5 193/22 194/1 63/20 63/22 116/7 based [9] 58/14 216/7 216/13 216/14 194/19 195/23 200/9 become [6] 18/15 **Beverley Allitt's [1]** 117/6 148/3 156/14 70/19 108/2 116/12 221/16 226/21 227/8 201/23 202/12 202/21 64/3 169/5 192/19 199/3 168/3 211/16 227/13 227/20 228/22 202/23 203/7 204/10 beyond [1] 168/7 242/1 244/3 206/7 207/24 208/5 228/25 229/1 229/2 becoming [1] 65/5 big [3] 5/21 5/25 basically [1] 180/14 been [222] 3/23 5/4 229/4 230/11 230/16 208/6 209/8 210/2 161/17 basis [7] 3/4 7/20 7/13 7/21 11/19 15/25 232/16 232/16 233/3 211/12 211/17 213/5 **bigger [2]** 132/14 66/6 199/7 210/21 16/17 18/13 18/24 239/16 239/19 242/18 215/6 216/2 216/23 201/14 218/7 237/7 19/1 21/22 23/18 25/5 244/2 245/6 246/25 220/16 220/18 225/4 bit [13] 39/13 42/7 be [346] 26/12 30/19 30/19 248/4 248/4 249/1 228/14 230/10 231/13 45/1 75/5 77/6 86/19 be able [1] 244/7 31/4 31/5 31/21 32/15 249/24 250/12 252/11 231/24 232/5 245/20 86/24 91/5 102/18 be sent [1] 13/24 35/2 37/18 37/20 253/4 253/4 253/6 246/19 246/21 248/9 117/19 141/16 219/24 bear [3] 118/12 39/22 39/23 41/9 254/12 253/13 228/17 119/25 222/21 41/16 41/16 42/15 **before [50]** 5/15 **belief [6]** 1/17 63/13 blank [3] 214/5 214/7 bearing [7] 78/25 44/3 44/14 47/8 47/20 21/24 29/18 30/22 143/11 189/12 190/2 214/8 129/14 130/19 137/9 47/22 48/5 48/6 49/14 36/8 39/20 42/4 44/14 190/4 blood [1] 67/13 201/8 206/3 225/23 52/22 56/20 56/21 48/23 50/12 51/24 believe [50] 2/4 blue [1] 188/20 became [20] 2/7 2/9 59/1 60/14 60/15 61/8 52/6 52/25 53/1 58/10 11/18 15/9 41/21 **blunt [1]** 97/9 2/10 2/23 6/8 13/14 61/14 61/19 62/15 60/7 64/11 88/19 47/21 59/6 59/13 board [43] 13/18 13/17 15/3 17/16 18/5 67/24 68/5 70/8 72/22 88/25 89/21 91/19 59/17 74/2 79/6 94/15 13/21 14/14 14/22 26/8 27/14 30/23 103/13 103/16 117/25 72/25 73/21 74/9 91/25 92/24 96/6 18/25 20/13 20/22 30/25 40/20 41/3 74/10 76/21 77/19 100/7 109/11 112/13 125/18 135/15 143/13 23/6 23/18 24/4 25/22 65/23 66/24 75/16 82/8 83/7 83/10 84/3 112/19 116/11 120/1 143/15 143/18 146/2 25/24 25/25 26/5 26/7 80/8 87/21 88/23 90/7 132/18 132/25 134/2 147/2 152/15 153/4 69/6 71/13 95/14 because [131] 3/2 90/20 90/22 91/7 141/14 166/23 173/25 162/24 163/15 168/18 100/16 107/15 119/8 7/21 8/13 14/13 19/8 180/25 183/16 194/22 91/18 92/5 92/8 94/10 179/22 180/3 181/15 119/15 121/7 122/11 22/20 26/6 27/7 27/19 97/17 101/5 101/17 195/19 200/1 207/20 181/23 186/14 190/9 132/12 132/21 132/24 29/6 29/12 29/14 30/2 101/19 101/20 103/14 210/11 219/22 223/12 190/12 194/2 194/3 133/25 166/4 166/14 34/18 36/18 37/8 38/1 104/12 104/18 109/10 242/19 245/17 247/25 197/3 201/20 203/3 169/10 180/15 180/19 38/10 39/8 44/2 44/25 112/4 112/8 112/8 249/16 251/5 203/11 206/22 218/7 181/10 181/12 181/15 45/15 45/24 46/3 47/4 112/9 116/1 117/25 beforehand [1] 92/10 222/21 222/23 223/20 181/24 182/3 182/6 48/1 48/4 48/5 50/6 122/5 123/1 123/2 182/17 194/13 206/22 beg [1] 250/25 242/18 246/18 246/23 51/25 55/4 56/3 56/23 123/3 123/4 123/25 252/3 253/23 254/5 252/8 began [1] 57/11 58/22 73/14 75/10 124/3 124/4 124/5 **beginning [3]** 89/8 believed [4] 58/15 boards [7] 17/21 77/15 79/9 79/9 81/25 124/17 124/22 125/17 148/25 230/15 59/14 222/15 240/5 94/5 94/5 95/8 95/11 82/11 88/5 89/22 90/8 126/10 126/13 127/22 begins [1] 131/20 **below [6]** 4/15 69/5 105/6 205/23 90/14 92/2 95/21 130/15 130/17 131/3 behalf [4] 48/14 71/13 155/18 171/24 bodies [1] 26/24 106/1 111/7 111/25 131/5 131/10 131/10 205/13 207/9 217/14 198/5 body [2] 52/10 52/14 114/9 117/24 118/15 132/1 132/2 135/4 behaviour [1] 113/15 beneath [3] 6/20 30/5 bookmark [1] 117/13 121/12 122/6 122/22 136/25 137/7 145/1 behind [2] 91/1 98/5 67/4 **boss [1]** 165/5 125/18 128/14 129/7 both [26] 4/25 5/7 145/2 147/1 147/7 being [113] 2/13 4/25 beneficial [1] 92/9 131/13 133/13 135/10 148/18 148/25 152/6 17/1 17/2 17/4 19/17 5/18 7/1 32/10 41/19 benefit [1] 92/3 136/16 137/21 139/2 152/7 154/21 156/6 20/3 22/6 24/2 25/7 **Bennett [3]** 6/9 85/19 58/19 85/25 89/7 139/8 141/18 142/11 156/15 157/6 157/15 33/10 36/20 41/5 46/2 192/8 125/10 132/7 132/15 142/15 143/2 144/13 157/23 158/18 160/2 46/11 50/18 55/12 bespoke [2] 94/10 133/4 133/5 141/16 144/23 145/14 146/23 160/3 161/24 162/4 61/13 71/9 72/3 72/11 139/18 143/21 143/22 150/23 147/10 147/15 148/14 162/5 162/8 162/8 73/18 73/19 76/13 best [4] 1/16 63/12 150/24 151/10 180/5 156/21 159/4 160/24 163/3 164/23 165/9 77/11 79/17 79/18 180/19 183/13 218/16 99/23 129/20 161/20 164/12 164/15 79/19 88/1 88/9 88/14 better [3] 74/16 165/19 166/3 166/5 238/24 242/12 165/22 168/23 169/11 166/7 166/8 166/13 90/7 90/19 107/18 91/18 196/1 bottom [18] 53/6 172/7 173/3 180/6 107/19 109/23 113/24 between [18] 3/9 167/17 168/2 168/24 85/13 85/20 85/23 184/24 186/9 192/1 169/6 169/9 169/11 122/7 124/2 124/6 86/5 114/7 119/11 9/16 13/1 13/8 26/8 193/8 197/11 198/11 174/3 174/3 174/8 126/2 126/7 127/5 30/9 57/12 65/6 75/24 120/5 120/11 146/7 199/7 199/24 201/20 174/8 176/16 177/14 127/12 127/14 127/25 102/21 156/10 162/24 146/21 154/17 168/10 202/8 205/17 206/13 179/9 180/14 180/19 129/8 141/1 143/25 178/12 236/23 241/10 170/3 182/25 219/16 206/18 211/11 211/14 180/20 181/9 181/22 145/7 148/21 151/7 222/24 234/21 242/21 246/15 247/24 216/10 226/20 226/25 183/14 183/16 184/21 154/6 154/24 155/11 between February box [2] 62/15 222/25 228/25 229/17 230/8 185/3 185/4 185/8 160/5 160/6 162/6 **2016** [1] 75/24 breadth [1] 199/21 230/11 230/12 231/25 185/19 185/20 186/5 163/6 165/4 168/22 between June [1] 3/9 break [6] 62/11

business [7] 2/8 9/2 155/1 155/17 155/20 23/6 23/17 24/18 В catch-up [2] 49/20 53/24 69/10 71/19 156/8 157/5 157/18 24/24 25/2 25/5 25/21 50/19 break... [5] 121/24 25/23 26/5 26/10 28/4 catch-ups [1] 50/3 181/14 186/3 159/9 167/5 169/22 174/1 175/9 175/11 37/21 48/7 58/17 busy [2] 5/21 250/16 170/2 173/24 178/5 categories [1] 184/16 but [293] 178/22 178/23 179/1 67/18 67/23 67/23 147/12 breakdown [1] 91/12 bypassed [1] 205/17 179/1 179/16 180/9 75/23 81/11 95/17 categorisation [1] **Brearey [55]** 7/19 181/3 181/7 183/2 104/2 106/2 109/25 157/20 **bypassing** [1] 49/8 12/21 13/3 13/4 16/20 183/7 184/17 185/2 115/17 117/22 119/6 categorisations [1] 16/23 20/1 20/8 21/3 187/2 187/11 189/21 119/8 119/15 121/6 147/2 32/11 33/8 33/20 C's [1] 150/6 121/15 123/13 124/18 categorised [1] 192/20 193/10 196/22 36/24 37/13 39/16 calendar [2] 50/11 197/17 200/19 201/6 126/20 127/19 128/10 147/15 40/21 41/12 41/19 50/14 128/19 132/14 132/21 categorising [1] 203/14 203/24 208/20 41/20 42/18 42/19 call [7] 1/5 56/20 209/2 209/12 209/15 132/24 133/7 133/25 12/23 43/5 45/5 58/3 58/19 174/23 188/20 204/18 212/19 212/23 215/7 137/16 145/16 150/11 category [13] 135/10 59/17 74/7 84/8 85/3 210/7 239/3 216/10 217/20 217/21 150/21 151/7 151/10 145/13 146/18 147/8 85/10 86/2 86/11 called [5] 67/24 222/4 222/11 222/12 157/16 159/11 161/8 147/9 147/12 151/18 87/10 88/6 91/6 91/10 94/11 100/14 149/19 224/13 224/22 225/24 161/9 161/11 166/3 151/21 151/22 152/12 92/9 140/10 140/11 218/11 226/12 227/4 229/9 166/14 172/12 174/12 157/19 158/7 170/17 150/24 151/7 153/25 calling [1] 209/22 176/15 176/19 176/23 category 3 [1] 157/19 230/17 234/19 236/1 156/10 166/17 171/3 **callous [1]** 114/13 236/1 237/10 238/1 177/14 180/13 181/12 catering [1] 116/4 175/19 180/11 181/5 calls [1] 78/7 238/5 238/23 239/2 181/14 182/6 199/25 catheter [1] 220/2 196/15 200/4 220/11 came [21] 13/9 20/16 239/9 239/14 241/7 205/9 213/4 227/14 cause [12] 104/2 243/13 250/19 250/20 22/20 29/7 47/25 48/3 241/19 244/13 244/13 228/13 246/5 113/7 115/1 139/11 250/23 66/20 75/19 87/1 249/9 249/16 care-providing [1] 175/2 175/24 177/18 Brearey's [9] 48/19 170/5 182/12 184/11 can't [48] 2/16 4/2 132/14 177/23 189/15 197/10 87/19 88/12 90/21 185/5 186/4 190/20 6/13 24/22 32/18 199/17 213/21 career [2] 17/3 37/23 90/23 143/7 156/17 221/24 227/18 250/20 32/22 45/12 54/8 55/5 carefully [6] 178/18 caused [11] 41/5 173/18 245/7 251/9 253/10 253/17 57/20 136/12 144/24 55/5 55/6 57/7 61/12 179/14 179/21 180/2 brief [6] 5/2 33/6 54/4 can [187] 2/14 3/25 101/7 104/10 104/10 184/2 252/17 145/4 199/23 212/22 57/2 58/3 58/14 4/4 4/9 5/10 5/14 6/18 111/15 111/24 114/3 213/10 216/2 223/2 caring [1] 170/7 briefing [1] 28/18 7/25 9/16 9/19 9/22 122/6 124/20 127/19 carried [1] 64/6 240/22 **briefings** [2] 46/6 10/21 11/4 11/15 14/3 130/13 131/17 137/21 carries [2] 49/2 causing [5] 52/16 60/25 17/8 20/14 26/11 27/5 143/17 164/13 168/22 105/18 199/25 213/13 213/14 **briefly [3]** 33/8 136/2 27/25 31/13 31/18 172/13 176/23 179/5 case [41] 45/19 213/14 200/16 39/8 43/7 45/7 47/3 179/10 183/15 185/8 47/24 48/15 59/1 caution [1] 185/13 Brigham [1] 15/2 47/6 47/14 47/16 186/9 194/5 206/17 59/24 63/19 63/25 cautioned [1] 185/14 bring [16] 8/24 76/7 47/18 51/4 53/16 212/14 217/1 223/13 74/7 77/18 81/20 84/4 caveated [1] 70/3 85/10 96/6 164/21 55/10 57/14 61/5 226/24 232/2 232/2 87/16 95/16 112/25 **CCG [3]** 94/14 237/5 164/25 169/22 184/19 61/14 61/21 70/22 235/10 239/21 240/6 135/4 135/15 142/20 237/6 219/13 222/4 222/8 71/23 72/25 77/5 241/24 241/24 154/8 157/12 158/14 **CDG [1]** 101/9 222/19 223/25 234/12 77/12 77/15 81/6 84/1 191/25 196/16 210/23 centre [2] 134/14 candour [37] 188/10 242/24 247/17 85/13 85/19 86/2 86/5 188/11 210/11 210/13 213/22 215/15 215/25 148/23 bringing [1] 206/7 87/22 89/4 89/14 210/14 210/15 210/16 221/17 225/11 225/17 certain [5] 94/8 108/7 broad [1] 25/22 92/18 95/25 98/3 98/6 210/20 210/21 211/4 226/11 228/14 231/9 108/18 143/18 229/9 broaden [3] 144/15 98/10 99/1 99/10 211/22 212/12 214/2 231/11 233/13 233/21 certainly [30] 35/21 144/16 148/14 99/23 100/13 102/4 58/5 74/13 75/16 214/4 214/10 214/19 234/7 234/9 235/21 broader [8] 31/7 103/22 105/2 105/16 215/6 215/9 215/11 236/16 249/1 249/20 76/21 78/1 80/17 83/21 138/23 150/17 108/6 109/9 109/16 215/15 215/22 224/20 cases [26] 23/19 99/25 101/4 103/11 151/17 151/21 151/22 110/17 111/21 117/12 227/7 227/9 227/12 24/21 127/2 128/17 139/16 144/3 150/2 152/12 117/12 117/18 118/2 150/25 155/10 159/2 227/16 227/16 227/18 142/2 146/13 154/11 broadly [1] 141/25 119/9 119/13 119/14 228/1 228/6 228/10 159/16 161/15 177/5 161/12 166/7 172/1 brought [6] 21/8 119/21 120/10 120/19 228/16 228/18 238/3 177/12 177/13 191/7 174/12 175/8 179/3 24/15 180/12 192/9 120/22 121/12 121/24 238/9 238/15 238/17 192/2 200/6 215/6 199/15 233/7 234/6 201/12 235/24 123/16 125/7 126/16 237/15 244/11 253/10 cannot [3] 167/9 218/13 228/8 229/19 Brown [5] 1/4 1/12 128/4 128/8 129/19 179/5 212/8 233/11 234/11 241/12 253/12 254/25 9/14 11/19 256/4 132/9 133/18 135/25 capital [1] 238/1 243/12 243/14 245/18 certainty [1] 112/12 build [1] 60/25 136/2 136/4 136/5 capture [1] 73/11 246/21 chain [3] 58/21 58/24 **building [1]** 50/21 136/15 137/24 138/17 cardiac [1] 153/12 242/9 cast [1] 82/14 bullet [2] 43/21 94/18 139/10 140/15 140/18 cardiorespiratory [1] chair [5] 1/8 122/17 catastrophic [8] bundle [8] 28/2 101/3 141/3 144/21 144/23 108/11 108/19 108/22 122/23 181/15 187/4 153/8 163/15 204/4 219/8 145/4 145/6 146/7 care [82] 2/12 2/14 108/24 109/3 109/5 chaired [1] 69/5 225/19 242/6 244/20 146/9 147/18 149/22 3/18 4/11 5/20 6/15 109/6 125/13 chairing [1] 122/24 Burnett [4] 2/22 2/25 150/6 153/6 154/5 11/16 11/24 13/18 catch [4] 49/20 50/3 **chairman [1]** 122/11 6/10 6/11 154/6 154/7 154/15 14/13 14/22 20/12 50/19 51/4 chairman's [6]

51/24 87/16 88/2 C 150/6 150/6 150/24 162/10 coding [2] 154/21 150/24 152/10 152/10 Child I it [1] 117/15 94/20 95/8 95/11 212/21 chairman's... [6] 152/13 152/13 152/16 Child I's [2] 163/8 112/24 130/22 143/19 coffee [8] 33/12 121/13 121/25 122/15 154/15 155/3 155/3 163/9 145/23 157/13 161/10 33/14 34/14 37/15 122/16 122/20 123/9 155/7 155/8 155/8 Child K [1] 174/1 164/11 177/18 189/17 49/21 50/20 51/15 **challenge [2]** 170/13 155/8 156/16 156/22 Child O [17] 43/16 209/20 213/12 227/25 53/21 205/21 160/7 160/14 160/18 51/25 51/25 52/4 55/1 232/17 241/8 242/11 cohesively [1] 8/16 challenges [5] 160/19 160/21 162/10 85/15 145/21 188/7 **clearer [1]** 70/16 cohort [1] 125/20 124/23 189/14 190/3 162/15 162/16 162/19 188/12 188/13 188/18 clearly [15] 19/5 coincided [1] 76/19 194/20 196/20 163/1 163/8 163/9 212/18 212/20 213/4 38/21 39/9 118/15 **coincides [1]** 231/22 challenging [1] 85/6 collaboration [1] 174/1 188/7 188/7 215/25 216/3 232/20 133/23 144/21 170/2 Chambers [1] 122/22 188/12 188/12 188/13 Child O's [1] 213/14 181/6 201/1 222/13 76/10 **chance [1]** 193/19 188/13 188/18 188/19 Child P [21] 41/1 238/8 238/12 239/25 collapse [6] 55/20 change [15] 28/17 212/18 212/20 213/4 43/17 52/6 55/9 55/19 243/14 255/7 57/13 139/7 155/14 65/15 65/15 81/23 213/8 213/13 213/14 55/25 56/3 56/4 56/8 **clicked [2]** 251/10 156/19 174/1 87/5 88/14 92/7 213/15 215/25 216/3 57/9 57/12 57/15 251/20 collapsed [1] 46/22 100/24 101/11 103/17 217/15 217/16 219/3 57/17 57/20 59/9 clinic [1] 42/19 **collapses** [5] 57/16 104/9 127/13 173/14 219/6 220/21 221/10 85/15 145/21 188/7 clinical [74] 4/16 137/20 155/12 191/10 248/12 248/14 4/17 7/17 18/20 27/23 222/3 222/5 222/10 188/12 188/13 213/8 204/25 changed [5] 39/8 222/14 222/22 222/25 29/2 29/25 30/16 34/1 Child P's [4] 55/12 **collapsing [1]** 57/20 80/4 90/4 90/18 137/1 223/18 224/6 225/16 55/22 59/6 213/15 36/8 36/12 44/16 colleague [3] 59/2 changes [9] 72/1 226/2 227/21 230/8 child's [2] 155/16 45/11 45/25 45/25 170/24 173/6 72/24 74/10 74/14 231/5 231/14 231/23 227/14 55/16 56/10 56/13 colleagues [2] 50/7 97/25 147/1 148/20 232/1 232/8 232/15 children [18] 3/21 56/17 59/2 65/10 74/6 58/4 148/20 179/2 232/18 232/20 233/3 59/21 68/4 114/12 75/22 90/11 94/11 collective [3] 152/5 changing [1] 80/21 234/1 234/19 234/20 126/8 127/11 144/3 102/13 104/1 104/6 152/7 152/11 characterisation [3] 238/24 239/7 240/12 145/19 151/4 151/11 106/2 109/25 110/2 College [8] 127/12 83/2 103/3 107/4 170/3 177/9 206/24 115/17 116/12 124/18 201/10 202/4 210/5 240/15 240/19 240/22 characterised [2] 242/5 242/13 243/3 208/4 211/15 214/3 131/21 137/16 138/1 233/12 234/5 234/8 99/2 108/11 245/13 246/16 234/6 235/8 138/2 138/5 138/15 246/19 **charge [2]** 42/23 Child A [34] 63/10 children's [27] 13/18 144/13 147/20 151/1 column [4] 112/20 48/18 151/3 151/4 156/11 64/12 149/22 150/6 14/13 14/21 15/12 116/4 234/20 237/25 chart [8] 4/8 8/9 20/12 23/17 50/21 150/24 152/10 152/13 157/11 160/16 161/3 come [50] 1/8 9/11 109/17 114/7 163/23 10/4 10/4 10/5 13/9 152/16 154/15 155/3 63/25 73/12 73/18 161/4 170/11 185/12 164/8 164/18 193/20 155/7 156/16 160/21 73/22 81/4 95/13 185/12 185/15 185/15 20/8 26/6 27/13 49/12 **charts [1]** 190/7 217/15 217/16 219/6 119/8 119/15 121/6 189/14 190/3 194/20 49/13 55/7 58/16 chase [2] 224/19 220/21 221/10 222/3 132/21 132/24 133/25 195/19 199/5 199/9 64/21 80/2 84/6 84/16 226/15 222/5 222/22 222/25 151/20 166/3 166/14 200/12 200/13 207/20 96/2 100/9 106/10 **chasing [2]** 231/10 169/10 181/12 181/14 224/6 225/16 227/21 208/10 210/6 210/17 113/23 114/19 117/15 231/16 222/14 222/14 227/10 230/8 231/5 231/14 182/6 233/11 118/9 120/3 120/7 **chat [2]** 50/22 53/20 232/8 234/20 239/7 **chose [1]** 77/16 227/14 243/14 246/3 124/8 127/18 128/23 **check [1]** 61/15 240/12 245/13 246/16 Christmas [2] 5/15 247/7 134/1 143/16 149/3 checklist [1] 139/20 Child A's [15] 219/3 152/11 168/24 169/13 223/12 **clinically [2]** 8/14 **Cheshire [1]** 203/3 222/10 223/18 231/23 chronology [4] 55/11 45/16 171/1 171/2 173/9 **Cheshire Police [1]** 232/1 232/18 233/3 230/17 240/20 245/11 clinician [2] 11/12 173/13 182/20 183/7 203/3 188/24 188/24 191/4 234/1 238/24 240/15 **ciphered [1]** 193/9 228/11 chest [2] 55/22 56/20 240/19 240/22 242/5 **clinician's [1]** 195/10 210/6 240/15 247/5 circle [1] 107/3 **Chester [4]** 64/25 242/13 243/3 circuit [1] 217/22 **clinicians [5]** 36/25 250/22 251/3 251/16 74/17 100/20 240/17 Child B [3] 155/3 circulate [1] 111/12 39/3 41/24 42/6 195/2 comes [9] 25/25 child [136] 41/1 155/8 160/18 **circulated [5]** 110/9 close [1] 223/14 51/18 88/22 130/25 43/16 43/17 51/25 closely [1] 64/16 Child C [5] 149/23 111/21 112/2 112/3 142/17 181/22 204/19 51/25 52/4 52/6 55/1 150/24 152/10 152/13 112/4 242/22 252/24 **closer [3]** 76/10 55/9 55/12 55/19 87/22 194/11 circulation [1] 180/5 coming [19] 19/8 155/8 55/22 55/25 56/3 56/4 Child C's [1] 150/6 circumstance [1] **closure [3]** 126/20 34/20 80/20 81/15 56/8 57/9 57/12 57/15 Child D [3] 149/23 116/5 141/3 218/20 124/24 148/22 161/7 57/17 57/20 59/6 59/8 149/24 155/8 clouding [1] 38/17 164/3 172/2 173/5 circumstances [1] 59/9 63/10 64/12 173/8 173/14 187/15 **Child E [2]** 160/14 134/17 **cluster [1]** 162/16 85/15 85/15 108/21 162/16 cited [2] 39/22 44/25 **co [2]** 67/10 181/25 207/3 245/25 246/6 109/3 109/4 114/12 246/15 250/18 254/23 Child E's [1] 160/7 claims [3] 98/18 co-ordinate [1] 114/14 114/14 114/22 **Child F [1]** 160/19 Command [1] 196/2 218/20 218/20 181/25 115/15 117/15 145/21 commence [1] 66/5 Child I [4] 162/15 classed [2] 135/1 co-ordinators [1] 145/21 146/15 147/6 162/19 163/1 226/2 228/9 67/10 commended [1] 147/8 149/22 149/23 Child I but [1] 234/19 clear [26] 15/16 18/1 **coalface [1]** 147/23 94/14 149/23 149/24 149/24 Child I died [1] 38/25 44/18 45/4 Codes [1] 142/20 comment [7] 93/6

C 24/18 143/20 152/24 33/24 37/13 39/4 25/22 **continues** [1] 57/15 154/6 156/18 157/2 39/21 40/17 41/5 41/7 consider [16] 27/2 **continuing [2]** 58/11 comment... [6] 174/11 175/3 196/10 41/8 41/21 42/9 42/16 45/21 74/9 74/15 176/16 171/15 172/13 202/20 215/9 226/21 243/18 42/24 43/25 44/5 85/25 96/1 99/6 **continuous** [2] 93/16 217/1 236/7 252/22 completely [2] 45/4 44/13 50/6 50/25 54/7 134/11 134/24 136/1 93/16 commented [1] 52/13 54/18 56/1 56/16 142/22 158/7 159/20 contract [2] 79/19 190/19 completing [4] 95/23 56/25 57/3 57/19 58/4 161/20 220/1 224/20 79/20 **comments** [6] 38/6 59/3 68/10 79/14 85/1 contribute [5] 71/23 96/15 170/25 216/19 considerable [1] 38/8 172/1 190/20 complex [4] 5/25 85/8 86/3 89/6 94/16 177/23 90/1 107/12 158/12 190/25 197/6 106/3 137/16 218/19 100/10 115/14 124/6 198/9 considerably [1] Commission [2] complexity [2] 128/12 164/24 165/24 165/16 contributed [17] 128/10 128/19 173/18 184/13 185/19 consideration [6] 155/16 157/12 114/10 114/21 115/7 commissioned [1] compliance [6] 8/5 195/2 195/7 195/10 23/16 86/8 86/17 117/10 137/22 144/12 191/5 9/2 9/3 210/12 211/22 196/1 204/4 205/3 86/22 246/9 246/16 145/15 146/25 150/21 commit [2] 61/12 205/10 208/7 208/18 211/24 considered [11] 151/13 157/16 160/16 79/17 213/20 227/21 232/19 45/22 105/19 127/1 161/5 197/21 222/6 complicated [1] committed [1] 63/22 232/23 236/2 245/12 128/16 149/23 152/6 222/15 240/22 95/21 committee [13] 6/25 245/23 246/14 247/1 158/23 163/3 222/5 contribution [1] complication [2] 6/25 10/15 69/2 69/4 223/1 223/7 249/19 238/4 254/7 76/19 69/8 71/13 72/5 conclude [3] 60/7 complications [1] considering [3] contributor [1] 71/5 100/13 101/18 104/18 176/18 225/24 109/7 163/4 208/25 contributory [3] 220/1 104/21 206/14 complied [1] 214/19 concludes [1] 62/4 **consistent** [1] 173/2 197/13 197/20 198/2 committees [4] **complying [1]** 214/9 conclusion [9] consistently [1] control [10] 125/22 94/19 95/7 95/11 comprehensive [3] 175/23 197/17 198/8 198/4 125/24 126/4 128/3 130/2 154/12 196/7 198/12 198/14 198/17 128/12 128/14 130/8 constraints [1] 13/7 common [14] 23/18 198/20 199/1 223/17 130/15 131/8 131/20 **Consultant [7]** 21/8 comprehensively [1] 24/19 24/20 24/22 162/9 conclusions [2] 52/10 52/14 74/3 controlling [1] 106/7 160/11 165/1 167/14 compressions [2] 191/18 192/18 169/3 204/5 234/10 controls [8] 12/8 168/10 175/24 177/4 55/22 56/21 condition [8] 55/12 Consultants [8] 107/14 122/10 125/16 177/11 183/6 185/24 56/2 56/8 115/22 44/12 195/16 195/21 126/1 126/17 126/19 concepts [1] 98/5 197/10 115/24 151/1 155/16 203/9 204/9 205/7 concern [51] 3/7 127/1 commonality [4] convenient [2] 13/11 18/11 26/4 30/1 157/12 207/21 208/3 183/5 183/14 187/25 34/16 35/4 36/1 36/20 conditions [4] 118/18 175/7 Consultants' [1] 195/17 38/11 38/24 39/22 156/11 161/4 222/14 207/17 conversation [64] comms [1] 125/6 25/18 29/1 33/6 53/12 44/1 44/9 55/8 55/9 222/15 consultation [1] communicate [1] 69/24 70/15 83/6 86/6 **conduct [2]** 109/15 72/10 54/24 56/3 57/18 58/2 146/22 100/1 105/18 115/10 61/19 70/19 89/19 196/23 consulted [4] 89/1 communicated [3] 124/4 124/11 133/16 **conducted** [3] 78/21 91/25 101/11 103/15 107/13 113/20 123/25 147/23 148/11 208/17 139/7 142/6 142/8 124/20 127/23 130/5 191/12 245/7 contact [2] 58/16 communicating [2] 142/14 143/19 145/25 confidence [5] 135/22 131/15 147/3 155/11 114/18 181/4 112/12 112/21 126/23 contacted [3] 45/22 151/25 165/17 174/11 158/11 158/12 164/8 communication [6] 175/3 177/12 177/23 127/2 127/18 50/6 191/17 164/10 171/14 181/8 61/1 74/25 90/25 178/7 189/9 189/25 confident [4] 165/18 contacting [1] 184/16 185/10 185/11 92/24 94/5 232/5 208/10 216/11 232/1 165/25 201/2 221/25 185/19 186/4 186/12 112/21 communications [10] 233/7 238/9 238/13 contained [1] 144/2 186/23 187/2 187/6 confidential [3] 46/23 60/22 61/2 245/15 253/12 254/23 187/8 187/20 188/3 131/11 185/16 255/2 contemplating [1] 72/6 88/6 93/12 254/24 confirm [4] 47/6 188/23 192/23 192/25 116/6 127/17 165/22 179/24 193/2 199/15 200/6 concerned [36] 97/25 214/14 230/25 contemporaneous 240/8 **[1]** 140/14 32/13 33/9 34/8 34/10 **confirming** [1] 47/24 201/1 201/19 203/17 competence [5] 89/6 34/21 36/24 36/25 203/20 208/20 209/2 confused [2] 42/7 content [8] 43/11 185/12 185/15 246/3 42/3 42/5 42/5 44/3 95/14 43/13 63/11 103/15 211/2 211/25 216/17 247/7 45/18 52/10 52/14 confusing [2] 144/23 103/20 103/25 142/3 222/1 225/25 227/13 competencies [1] 55/5 58/8 75/16 79/25 143/21 227/22 228/19 233/23 225/12 245/23 239/14 241/22 252/7 80/5 113/25 115/4 confusion [1] 144/24 context [10] 34/12 competency [6] 38/6 45/10 47/3 57/18 253/9 254/25 125/6 127/6 127/9 conjunction [3] 12/3 195/20 207/20 208/11 129/10 141/8 145/18 25/8 113/9 85/14 109/16 111/1 conversations [26] 210/8 211/3 232/25 145/24 149/18 155/23 connecting [1] 85/3 231/12 231/24 31/6 40/16 111/22 **complained** [1] 142/9 continuation [1] 191/6 191/16 195/21 connection [1] 130/4 139/16 141/10 **complaints** [7] 67/9 204/15 204/22 207/22 241/10 141/17 142/13 172/8 81/10 92/22 98/17 201/12 **concerning [2]** 86/10 continue [5] 47/14 172/10 173/21 185/21 connections [2] 207/17 218/18 218/18 190/10 190/13 197/2 176/9 44/18 44/20 82/16 87/18 97/5 complete [2] 84/18 concerns [69] 6/16 conscientious [1] 199/4 202/11 202/14 153/1 7/20 16/21 23/8 26/13 202/15 205/18 205/22 184/5 continued [3] 47/7 completed [12] 27/15 32/11 33/8 consequently [1] 77/1 78/15 210/22 212/12 216/2

169/14 170/19 172/17 146/8 240/13 243/6 213/10 213/14 213/15 C correspondence [5] 167/6 219/8 223/21 173/7 179/5 198/3 dates [5] 66/17 72/17 213/21 222/6 222/10 conversations... [2] created [3] 86/16 238/10 239/24 235/2 235/10 241/17 222/16 223/18 232/1 216/21 232/4 86/21 214/3 corresponding [1] Datix [23] 93/1 232/15 232/18 233/3 coordinated [1] 121/9 creation [1] 86/6 109/23 110/6 136/7 234/1 240/16 240/19 69/12 cots [1] 126/20 crime [1] 45/19 136/8 136/8 142/16 240/22 242/5 copied [10] 178/20 crimes [1] 64/13 143/10 143/20 144/5 could [81] 1/5 1/13 deaths [66] 30/21 179/15 179/23 180/5 144/25 145/5 152/17 4/6 10/23 10/23 10/23 criminal [3] 208/19 33/25 34/3 50/8 52/11 180/20 181/2 182/24 11/11 11/12 11/12 209/6 209/21 154/22 160/25 168/20 52/15 52/17 84/13 183/16 250/24 251/6 18/9 24/7 28/1 38/14 174/7 174/10 212/11 85/15 99/12 99/13 criminality [1] 210/2 copies [2] 183/8 39/16 39/17 41/12 criteria [7] 150/9 212/18 213/13 215/3 99/16 112/25 114/11 217/24 42/2 43/2 47/1 47/4 150/17 151/14 152/5 215/7 115/3 116/21 116/22 **copy [6]** 178/15 152/14 158/20 235/18 daunting [1] 209/12 49/12 49/13 62/24 117/2 126/13 145/1 178/21 181/17 236/13 71/14 71/24 76/4 145/9 145/10 145/24 criterion [1] 150/20 **David [1]** 92/14 236/20 251/11 79/21 80/19 81/21 critical [3] 140/6 David Semple [1] 146/10 150/7 151/20 copying [2] 183/2 85/10 87/15 91/4 140/9 205/21 92/14 152/6 152/8 152/13 221/9 103/10 114/20 114/20 criticise [4] 49/6 54/8 154/3 154/10 154/13 **Davies [1]** 180/20 core [3] 170/20 day [26] 9/5 9/5 38/4 114/22 118/11 136/24 139/1 140/3 157/23 160/9 161/3 214/22 235/8 142/3 151/20 152/6 criticism [3] 89/15 42/2 42/13 42/23 162/13 162/21 162/23 corner [2] 110/21 152/12 156/6 156/11 143/9 215/24 52/23 53/10 55/21 163/24 164/18 165/2 120/12 157/9 157/9 157/23 59/8 68/18 72/21 82/7 165/14 165/16 167/2 criticisms [3] 93/7 Coroner [31] 218/1 163/2 167/5 170/1 173/14 215/1 82/7 83/18 83/18 167/13 168/11 168/19 219/4 219/25 220/12 173/25 174/23 174/24 crop [1] 170/1 92/15 98/22 163/9 168/19 168/21 168/22 224/7 224/9 231/9 177/14 190/5 190/23 Cross [15] 94/23 178/19 181/2 183/17 168/23 169/2 175/23 232/15 235/7 238/24 198/13 198/23 202/2 101/8 103/19 122/21 210/21 210/21 247/18 176/1 176/5 185/8 239/9 239/13 239/15 205/12 206/12 212/16 189/3 192/21 192/22 250/16 185/24 193/16 193/21 239/20 239/23 239/25 208/23 209/4 209/21 days [6] 43/22 50/11 195/4 195/15 206/24 214/13 219/9 219/14 240/5 240/8 241/7 209/25 230/3 231/6 208/7 232/20 233/9 223/25 224/25 225/1 78/7 119/6 183/8 241/9 241/15 242/12 226/3 231/3 234/12 231/7 243/24 212/20 253/7 242/21 242/22 243/12 **De [7]** 62/13 62/23 **Debbie** [12] 68/6 234/17 235/15 235/17 **culture [2]** 143/10 244/15 246/10 246/17 175/16 207/4 208/14 237/13 240/1 240/10 178/21 68/22 163/17 163/21 246/19 247/3 247/10 240/21 241/16 242/24 curiosity [1] 19/25 255/10 256/8 164/20 164/21 168/9 Coroner's [3] 239/4 243/21 173/2 219/18 220/4 current [6] 96/11 deadline [1] 224/7 239/6 239/17 couldn't [1] 167/5 96/18 97/10 97/17 deal [11] 20/20 31/15 224/3 247/25 **Coronial [1]** 218/23 Countess [5] 64/24 112/8 193/8 80/3 85/9 86/10 97/17 Debbie Peacock [10] corporate [17] 69/11 74/17 100/20 240/16 cut [2] 200/19 251/5 146/4 189/5 211/13 68/22 163/17 163/21 69/12 71/2 71/16 248/9 cycle [1] 69/10 243/21 249/5 164/20 168/9 173/2 94/21 95/18 100/14 couple [8] 7/23 32/3 219/18 220/4 224/3 cycles [2] 71/18 dealing [5] 14/14 100/20 101/2 120/12 49/25 50/23 66/1 181/13 39/25 68/3 85/5 247/25 122/19 122/23 123/7 183/25 196/10 219/13 109/20 **Debbie's [1]** 166/6 123/10 130/25 204/21 course [22] 13/24 dealt [4] 14/16 25/7 deceased [2] 54/25 205/24 **D's [1]** 149/24 39/9 57/15 67/14 31/10 156/6 135/8 correct [58] 1/19 84/17 95/3 106/11 daily [1] 68/17 **December [14]** 13/2 **Dean [1]** 85/19 1/22 2/1 2/17 3/17 108/2 117/14 124/6 damage [10] 28/10 Dear [1] 220/6 13/8 13/10 13/20 4/14 4/19 5/5 5/6 30/2 118/2 119/17 124/9 125/23 126/6 death [77] 14/23 32/7 14/22 15/22 17/4 14/18 18/7 21/23 121/1 123/20 123/23 129/3 140/5 158/20 40/25 44/4 51/24 52/3 18/15 19/2 19/17 30/14 31/23 51/22 125/2 125/23 138/7 178/5 178/6 193/7 52/6 64/12 98/22 21/19 30/23 65/17 52/12 52/19 60/18 data [16] 190/8 196/17 207/15 239/24 108/21 109/2 109/4 97/24 63/5 63/7 64/5 65/4 190/10 190/11 190/21 cover [3] 86/9 86/18 114/22 115/8 117/9 **December 2015 [2]** 66/4 66/8 68/23 69/7 190/21 190/22 191/1 86/23 117/11 124/16 124/19 13/2 97/24 70/1 72/13 100/18 191/2 192/10 194/6 128/6 134/17 135/14 decide [1] 220/20 coverage [2] 64/1 105/13 105/21 110/16 194/25 196/13 197/1 135/17 136/15 136/16 decided [1] 156/5 125/23 118/12 121/19 121/20 197/4 198/13 198/25 136/19 136/21 137/22 decision [70] 29/17 covered [2] 82/17 121/23 132/17 136/14 datasets [6] 78/8 44/7 61/6 88/22 101/6 144/5 144/11 144/12 82/21 140/17 140/20 142/19 192/10 196/6 196/25 covering [1] 83/19 144/13 144/20 144/22 101/16 102/23 109/13 142/23 149/2 156/3 197/7 198/21 covers [3] 81/3 104/3 145/11 145/14 146/15 109/17 111/22 112/11 157/24 169/21 178/10 date [15] 4/3 4/5 5/14 146/25 147/6 147/9 116/18 116/23 117/2 153/24 186/1 188/15 193/18 5/14 17/3 28/8 85/19 **CPR [1]** 57/17 147/11 147/13 149/25 122/7 127/20 150/3 196/17 216/24 218/8 120/14 120/14 120/15 | 150/12 150/22 151/2 CQC [23] 26/24 153/20 154/1 154/10 218/15 220/17 227/7 123/13 154/5 212/19 76/19 77/12 77/17 151/3 153/15 156/7 154/13 158/8 158/13 237/4 248/11 231/9 243/16 77/20 78/10 79/3 94/1 156/12 156/19 157/16 159/6 188/22 190/24 correction [1] 63/8 dated [12] 1/16 13/19 128/21 128/24 129/1 159/12 160/7 160/17 192/25 198/15 198/24 correctly [2] 142/20 63/3 63/6 85/11 97/24 200/16 200/24 217/2 129/4 129/11 129/16 160/20 163/8 163/9 204/9 118/5 118/10 134/6 129/24 166/23 167/10 175/25 177/18 212/22 218/17 220/10 220/23

142/17 143/12 145/21 185/13 186/7 186/15 D deteriorating [6] 73/1 196/6 196/13 197/7 180/22 181/18 184/9 73/4 73/10 73/23 74/1 186/16 188/16 189/9 198/21 201/15 209/3 decision... [35] 200/17 202/11 206/2 74/2 189/18 191/22 192/5 210/5 223/10 235/19 220/25 220/25 221/10 209/10 239/5 deterioration [3] 192/18 195/11 195/20 254/10 221/15 226/21 228/21 departments [3] 7/8 177/16 177/18 178/6 195/25 196/3 196/23 differently [2] 22/13 228/23 228/25 229/4 9/20 66/20 deterioration/death 198/9 201/25 202/15 172/25 229/6 229/7 229/11 202/18 202/19 207/21 difficult [16] 64/19 depend [2] 75/13 **[1]** 177/18 229/12 229/19 229/20 211/18 212/6 216/17 128/25 deteriorations [1] 75/9 85/6 93/9 106/1 229/22 230/2 230/10 dependent [2] 175/25 218/3 220/7 221/23 114/8 115/16 115/18 230/11 232/7 232/8 107/17 225/4 determine [1] 233/20 226/11 231/18 232/21 155/12 166/6 171/21 233/21 233/22 239/17 depending [1] 10/8 determined [1] 231/9 233/25 236/19 241/16 184/24 210/14 224/23 239/17 242/2 242/3 243/13 246/9 246/11 225/13 233/14 depends [1] 14/4 **develop [1]** 102/9 242/18 248/22 249/2 depleted [1] 77/11 **developed [4]** 129/8 246/16 250/4 250/4 difficulties [1] 155/5 249/4 249/6 249/16 deploy [1] 200/21 141/19 141/19 190/9 250/23 251/14 251/15 difficulty [4] 117/8 249/21 250/1 development [2] depth [2] 45/24 164/7 251/23 253/1 253/23 142/10 172/8 206/9 decision-making [8] deputy [4] 65/18 67/13 86/13 253/25 255/7 direct [4] 37/16 39/18 101/16 102/23 158/8 didn't [79] 15/5 15/16 165/19 165/21 66/11 67/6 93/19 devised [1] 126/8 192/25 198/15 218/17 **describe** [5] 32/4 diagnosis [2] 104/3 16/23 17/5 18/18 19/9 directly [11] 8/19 220/25 230/2 55/22 110/18 197/4 138/3 19/21 19/22 20/7 20/8 46/10 49/9 61/21 **decisions** [1] 43/5 236/2 20/9 21/14 21/14 92/12 124/7 147/7 did [192] 1/19 2/19 deck [2] 169/18 described [10] 69/19 2/20 2/25 3/6 4/25 5/2 174/6 205/18 239/12 21/16 21/16 22/14 171/4 76/12 86/9 99/10 6/1 6/5 6/6 6/15 10/4 22/20 26/1 27/5 27/19 241/8 deem [1] 11/4 100/22 110/17 149/18 10/11 11/25 13/2 29/24 29/24 29/25 director [46] 2/10 defined [1] 113/17 184/12 234/14 254/12 13/10 15/2 15/6 15/14 30/15 36/8 38/24 2/12 2/14 2/21 2/23 definite [1] 209/19 describes [1] 92/21 17/12 18/15 18/16 45/23 45/24 45/25 2/24 3/18 4/11 4/13 definitely [4] 77/19 18/21 20/1 22/5 22/17 5/13 6/2 6/7 6/12 6/14 **describing [1]** 144/8 49/23 56/11 57/8 58/4 91/21 164/15 169/9 22/19 22/23 22/24 6/20 7/25 8/20 8/21 description [2] 90/18 67/6 71/12 73/11 **definition [5]** 30/5 24/24 25/13 26/24 73/17 81/7 81/8 86/19 10/7 12/4 13/25 17/16 234/21 103/23 137/9 146/16 descriptions [1] 27/2 27/17 28/13 87/20 88/13 94/17 17/20 19/25 21/12 156/14 28/23 29/1 29/10 95/2 95/20 99/4 99/4 22/11 30/23 35/22 171/25 delay [2] 133/24 45/17 48/4 48/20 design [1] 102/9 30/16 32/20 35/23 122/18 131/3 132/23 230/2 designated [1] 107/6 36/5 36/7 37/23 38/5 160/17 161/20 164/15 48/21 61/9 61/11 delayed [1] 14/5 172/8 175/14 177/11 38/7 40/1 40/3 40/10 61/13 65/18 66/11 designed [2] 104/13 delegated [5] 100/15 109/20 40/12 41/14 41/18 191/23 192/2 196/15 69/5 69/11 71/3 71/19 106/16 121/21 130/19 desire [1] 27/4 41/22 42/11 42/14 198/9 198/19 202/23 92/19 93/19 94/23 130/22 43/25 45/13 45/21 204/9 205/17 206/17 123/7 165/7 desk [1] 248/24 deliberate [6] 41/5 46/11 46/21 53/9 206/17 209/4 210/25 despite [3] 17/4 88/8 Directorate [2] 2/9 110/23 111/2 189/15 209/8 56/15 57/25 58/1 219/7 221/7 232/17 4/22 246/3 247/9 58/14 59/14 59/17 233/12 247/5 248/12 detail [51] 18/18 **Directors [13]** 95/18 deliberately [11] 27/19 27/20 29/2 61/17 61/19 61/24 250/1 250/22 251/11 100/14 100/20 100/23 32/12 52/16 111/3 29/24 29/25 29/25 63/22 64/24 65/1 65/5 251/19 252/17 101/2 103/1 120/12 114/6 116/7 195/8 31/12 34/2 35/8 37/14 65/8 66/5 66/10 66/21 die [2] 114/15 137/11 122/20 122/23 123/10 195/22 207/22 208/4 38/5 38/23 39/11 68/7 68/12 68/24 died [19] 32/6 32/10 130/25 204/21 205/24 213/21 245/19 68/25 69/20 70/25 36/20 39/5 41/4 43/17 disappointing [1] 39/12 41/20 41/25 deliver [3] 96/23 42/9 44/7 45/14 55/14 72/14 72/16 72/20 44/12 44/17 46/22 93/10 102/10 171/9 73/3 73/19 74/24 76/1 55/14 56/10 56/12 51/25 59/9 126/9 discern [1] 190/5 delivering [3] 95/6 127/11 135/20 145/20 disciplinary [2] 56/17 58/5 58/7 58/20 77/3 81/23 82/19 97/2 102/13 60/14 61/19 72/18 84/22 87/1 87/24 145/20 160/14 162/10 161/14 200/15 delivery [4] 9/3 9/4 disclose [3] 224/10 75/5 84/7 84/16 86/24 90/13 94/2 104/23 212/20 151/25 152/1 226/7 246/24 105/1 120/10 122/6 113/5 113/6 113/6 difference [4] 3/15 delved [1] 39/13 126/16 128/8 146/3 113/18 115/1 122/22 9/16 30/25 81/24 disclosed [1] 39/20 demonstrate [1] 82/3 169/16 172/14 178/2 127/25 128/11 129/5 differences [1] disclosing [1] 241/6 demonstrated [1] 213/24 221/3 221/8 129/12 130/14 130/17 236/22 disclosure [3] 87/1 222/17 234/18 237/17 131/6 138/7 139/12 different [43] 9/16 188/11 218/1 240/14 demonstrates [3] 253/10 140/21 140/25 141/15 29/16 51/8 73/7 75/12 disconnect [1] 102/5 94/16 95/2 239/25 detailed [5] 55/15 142/2 145/24 146/2 76/5 78/7 84/11 87/23 discounted [1] denying [1] 39/15 57/8 142/4 153/22 151/13 153/10 154/1 94/6 94/6 117/16 197/11 department [32] 2/7 161/25 154/7 161/12 161/13 118/14 120/21 125/20 discourage [1] 5/23 10/21 65/10 detailing [1] 240/20 164/6 166/25 167/6 126/3 126/25 127/5 139/22 65/12 65/20 65/23 details [1] 44/17 167/18 171/6 171/14 129/3 129/21 129/25 discovered [2] 22/17 66/3 66/13 67/5 67/21 deteriorated [2] 172/18 176/18 176/18 150/18 169/24 170/21 141/15 75/5 75/7 76/16 77/11 discuss [7] 9/12 57/10 177/17 178/17 179/14 179/20 172/19 177/8 177/10 79/2 93/7 102/20 180/1 180/3 181/11 177/13 184/24 184/25 12/16 13/2 24/19 26/1 deteriorates [1] 130/15 131/6 133/14 137/10 181/23 184/17 184/21 190/22 192/10 195/11 50/6 230/4

(74) decision... - discuss

48/20 107/11 119/4 43/4 47/1 98/2 98/6 184/19 189/20 191/20 7/18 7/19 7/21 12/21 D 119/7 121/3 123/15 103/14 103/16 104/13 193/2 195/23 197/10 13/3 13/4 15/2 16/20 discussed [19] 10/14 132/15 132/19 205/11 104/17 104/22 107/6 197/17 202/16 202/17 16/20 16/23 16/24 20/21 22/14 24/9 205/23 107/25 109/12 112/6 203/11 203/16 203/20 16/24 17/6 18/3 20/1 24/10 55/12 157/6 divisions [4] 69/13 112/8 118/9 118/10 207/24 209/7 209/13 20/1 20/8 20/8 21/3 168/2 182/14 185/24 70/12 102/22 121/16 119/25 120/18 121/18 209/13 209/22 209/23 21/25 22/15 23/7 191/18 192/6 210/3 do [157] 1/10 8/18 133/18 175/22 176/5 210/7 214/8 214/8 23/22 25/19 27/14 218/13 218/24 220/8 222/17 223/20 223/21 8/19 8/24 12/16 13/4 176/9 176/11 176/13 27/15 29/1 31/14 221/16 231/24 246/23 18/23 19/9 20/4 21/12 180/6 187/24 214/14 224/22 225/13 226/25 31/16 31/22 32/2 32/5 discussing [5] 25/21 23/1 32/2 33/3 33/23 221/13 223/25 234/13 227/2 228/24 229/5 32/10 32/11 32/18 44/22 54/14 117/5 35/3 35/23 36/5 36/14 239/2 242/24 242/25 229/5 230/5 230/7 32/19 33/3 33/8 33/15 193/3 39/14 49/18 50/12 243/3 243/9 243/16 230/8 231/17 231/21 33/20 33/23 35/4 discussion [42] 50/17 51/6 53/14 documentation [2] 232/2 232/6 233/4 35/11 35/24 36/1 12/16 12/18 19/12 53/18 54/7 56/6 57/7 129/3 212/10 233/5 233/19 235/6 36/23 36/24 37/12 20/7 22/9 23/5 25/23 37/13 39/16 39/16 57/22 58/7 58/10 documented [3] 235/9 238/7 238/7 26/4 37/24 38/21 59/10 61/18 63/25 11/23 11/24 133/11 238/20 238/21 238/22 39/20 40/9 40/18 40/18 40/19 40/24 70/4 71/22 75/7 75/8 239/13 239/24 240/8 40/21 41/7 41/12 documents [12] 37/2 43/18 44/23 45/7 54/3 41/13 41/19 41/19 76/15 77/21 79/3 77/23 98/13 104/16 241/18 244/14 245/14 55/16 57/2 57/8 86/7 79/17 79/19 80/13 112/7 118/7 140/14 246/23 247/4 247/4 41/20 41/20 42/15 86/16 86/21 90/2 204/4 219/14 242/2 82/2 82/8 83/13 83/15 252/12 252/12 253/10 42/18 42/18 42/19 113/4 113/10 116/9 83/23 88/7 90/16 91/9 242/6 244/23 254/4 43/5 43/18 44/2 48/19 122/9 138/14 150/17 91/11 91/12 97/4 does [26] 8/21 28/5 done [37] 21/1 21/22 48/19 49/19 49/24 155/2 155/7 158/13 97/12 100/7 109/11 31/17 84/10 112/16 22/6 22/13 50/16 50/4 50/5 50/24 51/3 185/4 188/9 192/13 51/20 52/1 54/18 55/4 112/15 114/3 114/25 112/17 112/21 116/1 113/9 114/5 137/12 203/1 203/2 220/18 115/5 115/7 115/23 125/5 129/17 130/23 137/13 137/13 162/4 56/7 56/11 57/19 58/2 225/21 233/23 238/12 119/11 119/12 127/19 162/5 162/8 166/1 58/3 58/19 58/19 131/24 135/21 135/21 discussions [16] 127/22 128/18 132/7 137/10 139/12 155/22 170/23 182/10 184/11 59/12 59/17 59/17 6/23 13/5 16/10 16/12 133/1 133/2 133/17 173/9 183/12 186/19 186/14 187/10 187/22 61/17 74/7 84/8 85/3 30/16 31/8 31/11 134/8 134/13 134/15 200/23 212/2 212/3 197/23 199/2 206/16 85/10 86/2 86/11 40/21 55/24 60/24 134/23 136/2 148/1 214/17 226/6 249/19 219/5 220/16 220/20 87/10 87/11 87/19 137/21 139/16 171/15 88/12 90/21 90/23 149/13 151/7 153/4 225/15 226/16 227/3 doesn't [18] 51/19 189/11 238/16 242/23 155/8 161/6 161/11 55/17 68/1 115/25 228/23 229/2 229/12 91/6 91/10 91/15 92/9 dismissed [1] 254/5 118/15 136/17 139/3 163/8 165/14 166/12 229/16 233/13 237/19 92/10 140/10 140/11 dismissive [1] 172/6 176/13 176/13 139/4 149/3 182/9 237/24 249/15 143/7 150/24 151/7 184/13 177/6 178/3 179/7 198/10 199/8 210/2 doom [2] 33/16 33/20 153/25 156/10 156/17 dispute [2] 116/3 180/24 180/24 180/25 211/24 212/4 231/17 door [1] 5/23 166/17 171/3 173/18 200/8 181/5 181/23 183/10 246/13 249/18 174/5 175/1 175/19 doubt [3] 37/3 76/23 distracted [1] 70/19 183/11 184/6 186/15 doing [10] 33/21 76/5 77/2 180/11 180/20 181/5 distress [1] 104/4 187/19 189/2 191/5 82/9 82/25 83/17 191/4 191/17 191/21 doubting [4] 35/3 distressed [1] 191/25 193/10 193/12 90/19 113/7 184/8 196/4 196/15 200/4 35/5 35/11 35/14 211/16 193/14 205/7 205/17 210/4 246/5 down [31] 1/10 7/5 220/11 243/13 245/7 division [35] 2/18 208/25 210/6 210/8 don't [130] 8/17 17/7 24/13 43/21 46/16 250/19 250/20 250/23 3/22 3/24 4/1 5/20 7/4 218/1 219/2 219/18 17/11 31/19 33/1 37/3 50/21 58/21 58/23 **Dr Brearey [52]** 7/19 8/5 9/4 9/25 10/1 10/4 221/11 222/6 222/9 38/19 42/20 42/20 89/7 95/25 106/16 12/21 13/3 13/4 16/20 10/6 14/11 14/15 222/19 223/3 223/4 43/10 43/11 44/16 109/9 112/19 114/6 16/23 20/1 20/8 21/3 14/17 16/8 19/20 223/8 223/9 223/19 44/25 45/9 45/10 118/11 119/21 121/24 32/11 33/8 33/20 22/25 26/10 28/21 123/16 132/10 135/25 36/24 37/13 39/16 227/12 227/24 230/4 45/14 50/15 63/24 48/1 48/19 48/23 230/25 231/12 231/18 157/18 170/21 173/24 72/18 74/13 79/6 40/21 41/12 41/19 49/11 67/18 67/23 232/8 233/3 233/12 85/24 90/13 91/13 177/3 184/17 197/16 41/20 42/18 42/19 75/23 95/17 96/21 234/25 235/4 236/25 93/21 95/4 95/22 197/16 213/7 230/25 43/5 58/3 58/19 59/17 132/15 133/7 204/20 237/9 238/18 239/17 95/22 101/1 101/1 231/4 252/12 74/7 84/8 85/3 85/10 204/20 205/8 205/14 242/2 242/3 242/11 101/4 105/1 107/21 downgrade [5] 46/6 86/2 86/11 87/10 91/6 divisional [50] 2/10 242/16 243/6 243/7 110/20 113/16 113/18 46/13 46/23 125/19 91/10 92/9 140/10 2/11 2/14 2/20 2/23 243/8 245/11 246/6 115/10 116/17 116/23 188/22 140/11 150/24 151/7 3/18 4/10 4/12 5/13 249/25 252/3 253/15 117/9 118/19 122/18 downgraded [4] 153/25 156/10 166/17 6/2 6/20 6/24 6/25 253/18 253/18 255/7 123/3 123/8 127/21 46/12 124/2 124/22 171/3 175/19 180/11 7/17 7/25 8/20 9/18 doctor [4] 32/24 58/8 130/13 131/18 138/12 211/17 181/5 196/15 200/4 10/6 11/2 11/14 12/4 downgrading [1] 113/24 183/5 138/18 140/8 142/14 220/11 243/13 250/19 13/25 17/16 17/20 146/2 150/2 152/15 250/20 doctor's [1] 61/25 46/14 19/25 20/16 21/12 doctors [8] 49/6 155/10 155/10 162/24 downstream [1] Dr Brearey's [9] 22/11 23/6 24/9 24/10 111/8 111/11 139/18 164/10 167/9 168/6 148/7 48/19 87/19 88/12 25/21 25/24 26/5 168/21 172/14 176/12 downwards [1] 174/14 189/19 189/21 90/21 90/23 143/7 30/20 30/23 35/22 156/17 173/18 245/7 178/1 179/11 180/3 189/23 112/22 39/23 45/17 48/4 document [38] 43/3 183/18 183/21 183/22 **Dr [140]** 4/12 4/16 **Dr Brigham [1]** 15/2

20/1 20/7 20/9 22/16 211/22 212/3 212/7 D ends [2] 112/20 95/9 95/15 103/9 212/11 214/2 214/4 30/18 30/20 30/21 158/8 119/14 121/17 133/12 **Dr Davies [1]** 180/20 engaged [3] 68/13 214/9 214/18 215/6 39/1 106/5 108/7 133/22 204/3 **Dr Gibbs [4]** 191/4 215/9 215/10 215/15 108/13 108/18 113/19 172/7 199/10 escalations [1] 133/9 191/17 191/21 196/4 122/21 151/20 152/12 engagement [2] 215/22 224/20 227/6 essence [1] 9/23 **Dr Jayaram [65]** 4/16 227/9 227/11 227/15 161/5 173/8 176/4 170/12 172/5 essentially [19] 7/18 7/21 16/20 16/24 227/16 227/18 228/1 191/17 230/13 242/1 65/13 74/4 76/2 76/11 **England [3]** 137/5 16/24 17/6 18/3 20/1 228/5 228/10 228/16 242/10 250/4 81/10 83/7 101/8 141/22 161/19 20/8 21/25 22/15 23/7 228/18 230/25 238/3 electronic [2] 50/10 enough [10] 100/6 102/8 116/22 139/22 23/22 25/19 27/14 150/9 150/9 157/17 238/9 238/15 238/17 50/13 114/6 114/21 116/16 27/15 29/1 31/14 dying [8] 19/18 19/19 element [3] 145/16 123/17 151/12 201/4 159/13 169/3 171/3 31/22 32/2 32/5 32/10 19/23 20/3 97/9 125/7 145/17 245/4 201/4 201/5 204/17 203/19 225/10 225/11 32/18 33/3 33/15 176/20 249/20 **elements [1]** 161/10 **enquiries** [1] 37/8 established [1] 33/23 35/11 35/24 dynamic [1] 59/22 210/18 else [11] 3/6 5/11 **ensure [22]** 6/15 36/1 36/23 37/12 24/14 42/2 61/24 42/11 74/5 78/4 78/10 estate [2] 10/24 11/5 39/16 39/20 40/9 166/17 202/25 224/25 78/16 81/20 85/7 **estate-wise** [1] 10/24 40/18 41/7 41/13 **E's [1]** 160/7 232/25 251/7 255/9 103/7 103/9 111/12 Esther [1] 63/1 41/19 41/20 42/18 each [16] 75/12 email [62] 40/14 43/4 130/24 132/13 148/15 even [7] 48/5 72/3 43/18 44/2 48/19 75/12 93/3 104/4 43/11 47/6 47/9 47/10 181/11 206/2 210/12 139/23 153/15 167/18 49/19 49/24 50/4 145/23 154/12 170/20 85/11 85/25 91/7 212/2 212/3 212/6 200/24 203/20 50/24 51/3 51/20 52/1 171/16 171/24 171/24 92/13 93/9 93/14 214/18 237/14 evening [1] 59/9 54/18 55/4 56/7 56/11 173/6 175/23 177/9 event [13] 19/23 95/20 95/23 143/7 ensured [1] 70/25 57/19 58/2 58/19 233/10 234/6 234/11 21/17 24/13 108/8 146/5 146/7 146/21 ensuring [4] 69/8 59/12 59/17 61/17 earlier [17] 20/23 147/19 152/22 153/3 70/16 206/6 211/21 108/11 114/23 138/22 87/11 92/10 174/5 40/16 50/11 124/13 157/25 163/10 163/13 enter [2] 11/4 11/15 139/2 139/25 141/8 175/1 132/3 137/15 143/7 163/17 163/23 164/7 160/20 174/22 223/24 entered [2] 30/19 Dr Jayaram's [6] 144/18 162/5 199/12 178/24 179/15 179/18 120/23 events [15] 22/21 31/16 32/19 35/4 205/16 215/2 237/9 179/23 180/5 180/8 entirely [5] 18/20 22/22 24/4 25/6 39/9 42/15 50/5 91/15 238/14 244/23 245/21 180/10 180/11 181/7 153/15 215/6 242/16 70/8 150/15 159/19 **Dr Martin [1]** 4/12 249/13 182/24 183/15 183/16 243/9 160/18 194/14 199/22 draft [1] 236/6 202/4 215/13 224/23 early [6] 5/17 14/23 205/19 215/2 215/21 **entirety [1]** 106/23 drafted [1] 140/16 28/19 46/5 72/11 219/7 219/17 219/22 entitled [1] 175/19 230/14 draw [1] 3/6 247/21 220/7 221/13 224/2 entries [2] 126/7 eventually [1] 6/8 drawer [3] 33/15 early July [1] 28/19 225/3 225/15 225/20 132/25 eventuated [1] 44/3 33/20 33/22 easy [3] 70/19 93/11 226/3 228/20 228/24 **entry [5]** 120/5 ever [8] 52/25 113/16 drawn [2] 111/9 114/16 230/2 238/10 242/7 129/15 134/6 134/13 122/19 139/22 195/24 147/16 **Education [1]** 94/12 250/14 250/17 250/20 157/8 207/24 216/17 233/25 draws [1] 183/4 effect [4] 56/24 82/25 251/6 252/5 every [15] 7/12 7/14 environment [2] driven [4] 159/24 202/12 240/24 73/11 87/22 7/22 18/2 21/25 49/25 emailed [1] 175/18 172/11 191/1 191/1 effective [11] 69/8 emails [10] 47/2 **envisaged** [1] 88/1 85/24 94/2 98/22 drives [1] 73/14 69/20 69/22 70/5 47/16 168/7 178/19 **equipment** [1] 138/4 139/4 139/17 144/4 driving [2] 106/4 71/14 72/4 72/6 72/21 178/22 181/1 219/12 equipped [1] 97/17 145/1 145/9 181/2 141/20 92/15 170/6 181/4 221/9 223/21 230/5 equivalent [4] 234/24 everybody [7] 1/3 **drug [3]** 113/2 113/2 effectively [6] 136/12 embarrassed [1] 235/5 235/13 244/25 158/11 159/1 159/2 113/3 158/1 178/11 184/8 ERR [4] 120/24 160/3 161/22 193/9 254/23 due [15] 28/11 29/7 191/9 204/11 121/15 121/17 121/21 everyone [1] 111/13 embarrassing [1] 30/4 30/12 57/20 effectiveness [2] error [9] 104/11 everyone's [1] 70/17 254/13 84/17 106/11 108/2 73/15 126/1 emergency [1] 113/1 114/17 114/20 everything [1] 93/21 118/3 119/18 153/12 effort [1] 129/20 133/14 146/18 147/5 147/10 evidence [37] 12/7 189/13 190/2 220/1 efforts [1] 172/4 147/13 154/21 emerging [3] 96/11 12/11 18/5 23/20 24/2 239/24 eg [1] 146/18 97/3 129/15 errors [3] 114/16 25/11 27/22 31/20 **Duncan [4]** 122/11 eight [6] 119/6 240/21 240/21 33/21 34/1 34/1 36/11 **employee [2]** 85/1 122/13 122/18 122/19 162/21 163/24 165/2 38/6 38/23 39/2 41/21 213/16 **escalate** [7] 57/23 during [11] 18/7 201/18 209/11 71/24 76/1 83/5 135/5 44/7 44/11 49/24 50/5 employees [2] 53/14 57/15 66/7 Eirian [14] 12/24 104/14 213/1 204/16 230/2 51/23 62/4 94/4 76/17 78/6 81/11 12/25 13/3 13/5 13/10 employment [1] 2/2 escalated [13] 37/16 113/17 116/11 142/1 82/25 83/23 85/4 18/2 22/3 22/15 49/15 39/22 40/20 41/9 44/2 153/3 198/3 201/4 enable [2] 140/21 113/24 89/7 163/9 163/20 195/1 78/23 105/5 105/11 203/8 210/11 221/6 duties [1] 76/11 183/2 196/18 150/7 161/24 168/2 223/6 243/24 245/21 enabling [1] 106/20 duty [44] 64/4 176/4 Eirian Powell [11] encouraging [1] 78/2 185/20 186/6 247/22 248/23 188/10 188/11 193/22 12/24 13/3 13/̄5 13/10|end [8] 140/2 170/1 **escalating [2]** 65/2 evidenced [1] 59/24 194/1 210/13 210/14 18/2 22/3 49/15 163/9 192/21 202/9 217/10 **Evidently [1]** 215/5 133/3 210/15 210/16 210/19 163/20 183/2 196/18 219/2 230/15 242/14 escalation [12] 58/21 **evolution [4]** 70/9 210/20 210/21 211/4 either [26] 13/3 18/6 ended [1] 187/2 70/15 78/17 94/20 72/7 74/22 84/18

101/11 104/21 105/23 **F** 21/25 48/9 78/6 Ε 227/23 228/12 230/8 106/8 116/25 117/4 235/7 235/23 236/12 229/25 247/15 evolved [1] 159/22 face [2] 17/15 153/14 137/18 139/9 165/10 238/25 240/12 242/13 file [3] 239/3 239/22 evolving [1] 149/10 Facere [7] 1/21 32/21 168/16 180/4 205/8 242/22 243/4 243/9 242/12 exact [2] 124/20 186/9 186/10 186/16 expectation [10] 244/8 244/12 244/21 filled [5] 142/16 185/9 187/12 253/23 39/24 40/11 82/15 far [18] 9/6 37/14 145/2 153/14 174/7 **exactly [5]** 102/3 Facere Melius [7] 83/3 83/7 89/24 90/15 111/8 117/4 129/10 214/10 139/4 142/6 173/1 1/21 32/21 186/9 159/2 174/15 241/20 129/10 129/12 132/5 filling [3] 110/6 136/7 205/6 186/10 186/16 187/12 expectations [2] 134/7 145/24 155/22 215/7 examination [3] 253/23 final [14] 47/1 91/23 177/14 190/4 198/1 89/9 91/5 153/12 153/13 232/14 faceted [1] 80/11 expected [24] 19/18 201/14 245/11 249/21 153/9 155/23 158/13 **example** [7] 5/15 facets [1] 177/10 24/12 26/14 26/16 252/12 178/24 180/6 192/6 16/20 25/1 96/24 facilitate [1] 89/19 68/21 83/2 83/15 **fatal [1]** 191/10 192/13 214/13 223/11 107/16 113/1 200/12 Facilitator [3] 65/6 87/20 88/3 97/10 236/13 237/25 242/24 father [1] 211/15 **examples** [1] 104/5 65/12 88/9 105/3 105/5 110/7 fault [1] 118/21 finalised [6] 131/24 facing [7] 98/24 99/3 **excellent** [1] 161/8 110/22 144/10 144/13 feature [1] 185/24 155/19 180/16 180/17 exchange [1] 40/14 99/7 130/10 160/13 158/25 164/19 164/21 features [1] 167/15 181/9 182/22 **exclude [2]** 164/13 170/12 172/13 164/25 166/10 168/8 February [22] 21/1 finally [3] 61/16 201/5 fact [48] 3/7 3/11 21/22 22/25 47/9 201/17 204/14 84/18 107/25 **excluded** [1] 47/23 15/1 18/24 19/4 19/22 47/12 75/17 75/24 **expecting [3]** 51/21 finance [2] 8/5 9/2 22/23 27/2 27/3 29/15 Execs [1] 171/10 78/20 82/18 82/21 205/7 239/7 financial [1] 10/23 35/1 36/1 44/3 46/9 executive [64] 8/22 expense [1] 81/5 128/11 129/8 134/6 find [8] 7/20 27/24 49/7 57/5 72/21 79/3 9/18 10/10 10/14 experience [14] 142/21 166/22 169/14 61/21 68/7 94/14 10/16 10/25 28/15 81/15 87/11 91/9 10/15 31/9 63/16 173/15 173/18 219/21 140/25 148/10 186/3 31/10 37/5 43/1 44/7 100/22 118/12 126/9 66/22 67/2 67/9 69/2 225/4 225/15 245/9 finding [1] 29/15 46/3 48/18 48/20 128/19 131/9 133/1 71/9 76/7 84/23 89/23 February 2016 [2] **findings [5]** 153/10 48/21 48/21 59/20 133/2 134/6 146/12 90/21 98/22 141/13 129/8 134/6 155/19 197/9 198/12 60/17 60/24 61/6 61/9 152/20 155/6 159/22 **explain [11]** 9/16 fed [3] 142/25 215/5 199/3 61/11 69/5 92/12 164/6 173/14 178/23 10/18 19/22 89/8 241/20 fine [1] 68/8 100/16 100/23 101/12 183/13 194/23 198/5 feed [2] 205/9 239/19 finish [1] 247/18 104/10 146/23 148/13 101/16 102/22 103/1 198/8 199/17 200/23 150/2 177/25 206/17 feedback [24] 69/13 finished [1] 60/8 103/10 105/16 105/25 201/23 204/9 225/9 215/8 69/14 69/14 70/18 firmly [1] 198/17 106/17 107/7 107/12 241/13 242/25 249/23 **explained** [6] 21/24 75/2 93/1 93/1 93/24 first [38] 2/3 6/12 120/8 121/4 122/5 fact-finding [1] 29/15 94/2 94/4 94/7 143/15 29/6 58/17 78/15 91/4 13/16 17/16 21/20 124/1 127/12 127/20 factor [4] 197/13 91/6 155/13 160/14 171/4 21/21 28/4 31/21 132/1 132/6 132/15 197/20 198/2 199/5 172/17 172/18 215/3 31/24 31/25 34/16 explanation [11] 25/2 132/19 143/14 148/1 factors [5] 94/11 25/3 153/7 156/18 215/11 215/12 215/22 35/5 47/4 47/11 50/12 158/9 158/10 158/15 127/1 168/10 177/8 156/19 176/19 183/19 215/23 218/21 242/9 54/6 54/12 63/15 165/22 186/6 188/22 197/14 200/10 201/24 232/15 feeding [2] 173/2 110/20 110/21 111/5 189/9 194/25 201/7 facts [5] 19/16 64/18 232/18 188/25 112/23 119/16 120/9 204/16 205/15 205/19 64/20 110/14 145/7 explanations [1] feel [19] 6/1 18/22 121/1 126/17 150/11 206/11 210/24 233/22 factual [1] 36/10 156/10 24/25 26/2 27/9 32/2 150/19 156/24 157/10 239/18 failing [1] 20/6 39/15 41/14 116/23 163/23 176/12 177/20 **explicit** [3] 56/12 failure [1] 59/7 Executives [37] 188/17 189/20 197/13 98/18 189/25 161/12 161/13 198/19 10/13 25/7 26/9 37/17 failures [3] 152/1 204/16 206/19 210/25 217/20 234/13 **explore [2]** 87/4 39/18 40/17 41/22 158/22 163/4 firstly [7] 72/25 75/6 211/18 254/22 255/5 100/3 41/23 48/23 49/7 49/9 fair [13] 16/25 38/16 86/15 121/25 132/4 explored [1] 139/10 255/7 88/19 92/1 159/3 64/2 77/8 83/1 93/6 **exploring [2]** 92/3 feeling [1] 227/22 202/15 235/16 169/20 171/6 171/18 140/4 140/7 150/3 115/12 feet [1] 207/3 fits [1] 235/18 221/5 252/20 252/22 173/10 186/13 186/21 express [1] 145/25 fell [4] 2/17 6/17 five [3] 67/8 162/22 189/6 189/18 190/1 254/20 **expressed** [1] 41/8 14/10 15/10 176/22 190/23 192/13 197/14 fait [1] 91/11 expresses [1] 86/3 felt [37] 12/11 18/19 five months [1] 198/13 198/22 201/1 fall [1] 84/10 26/20 27/22 36/10 162/22 expressing [1] 208/22 209/8 209/11 fallen [2] 22/10 84/14 237/10 39/11 39/12 39/16 five or [1] 67/8 211/8 211/9 217/2 familiar [2] 43/11 **expressly [1]** 23/22 41/13 45/23 45/23 flag [1] 177/5 218/9 221/16 119/20 external [7] 24/13 45/24 46/2 71/23 72/5 flagging [1] 238/12 families [11] 48/14 **exercise** [1] 211/5 26/24 29/16 61/2 80/17 80/17 81/16 flow [3] 81/13 133/13 **exhibit** [1] 53/5 114/13 206/19 207/10 128/15 162/6 169/2 81/25 81/25 82/2 82/3 133/14 exist [2] 97/1 112/16 207/10 216/7 216/14 87/4 92/11 100/7 flowchart [1] 110/17 externally [4] 7/2 216/18 217/15 236/1 **existed [1]** 139/13 135/19 237/3 248/8 107/15 128/2 130/6 fluid [1] 227/17 238/16 exit [1] 133/15 extra [2] 233/14 141/1 181/5 188/23 focus [23] 67/17 73/2 family [22] 48/15 expand [1] 79/7 233/17 201/20 201/22 201/22 77/11 79/21 80/19 expect [16] 38/5 59/6 174/24 212/2 201/24 203/6 255/4 81/14 82/1 82/9 83/18 49/14 88/7 97/15 215/16 216/11 227/21 few [7] 5/4 18/3 83/20 87/2 96/10

183/23 188/6 234/10 135/16 138/14 139/10 237/15 237/17 239/21 127/14 128/18 134/6 found [9] 35/6 74/17 148/7 154/8 154/10 241/4 241/8 241/24 136/1 140/1 149/11 focus... [11] 98/16 128/4 142/14 150/25 156/21 160/17 169/1 243/2 246/9 246/16 156/4 163/11 165/5 134/23 141/2 143/23 151/12 184/12 198/17 174/4 179/10 187/1 given [34] 15/6 25/20 168/9 168/9 172/4 148/15 150/14 158/20 234/8 191/25 197/2 208/24 27/21 36/11 44/1 56/6 175/5 178/3 187/14 159/11 179/13 217/16 four [5] 7/14 155/17 209/1 213/7 226/1 56/21 59/13 70/12 189/5 190/17 193/5 248/3 226/24 232/20 235/11 82/1 103/23 103/24 193/17 200/10 201/10 162/21 176/22 183/8 focused [15] 67/18 239/21 240/14 241/22 108/4 113/2 113/3 203/22 204/23 212/17 four days [1] 183/8 73/4 73/11 74/11 fragility [5] 75/25 241/24 241/25 246/21 119/20 119/23 121/8 216/2 217/16 219/13 77/19 98/1 110/4 76/13 76/15 79/2 252/6 253/9 123/17 123/20 126/8 220/19 224/15 226/1 124/16 124/17 133/8 furthermore [1] 79/16 129/25 162/8 166/13 226/14 229/1 229/11 133/10 133/12 167/10 frame [1] 107/20 166/18 169/19 188/21 229/15 229/16 234/10 156/1 179/4 184/17 framed [2] 123/23 future [4] 47/15 198/3 201/23 203/8 238/25 239/10 240/5 focusing [6] 81/4 131/20 161/12 170/13 172/13 205/2 214/22 225/3 241/12 242/14 244/23 126/19 128/5 128/5 framework [22] 248/23 248/15 251/3 252/6 179/7 222/3 G 100/17 107/16 134/24 gone [14] 22/18 giving [3] 161/4 Fogarty [10] 74/8 135/23 141/23 146/16 gain [2] 36/17 59/15 22/25 39/17 40/23 183/18 243/24 87/3 87/12 88/20 gap [6] 20/5 69/15 150/8 151/15 157/21 **globally [1]** 118/6 42/17 42/18 43/17 91/19 92/2 92/18 76/23 79/16 83/19 157/22 158/2 158/18 go [103] 4/20 7/5 7/6 156/22 156/23 180/18 150/23 156/10 192/5 158/25 159/4 159/10 157/16 7/7 9/25 10/13 10/25 206/10 206/12 225/18 **follow [5]** 55/10 gaps [4] 79/11 81/7 159/23 160/1 163/7 12/11 20/1 20/9 20/20 225/18 55/12 131/24 155/22 151/9 161/9 174/20 233/6 233/16 24/7 26/11 26/22 27/9 good [11] 1/3 75/3 183/12 gather [1] 198/20 233/21 28/1 28/15 34/16 84/25 170/6 170/6 following [17] 28/14 frameworks [1] gauging [1] 120/21 37/23 39/14 41/11 170/8 171/11 171/23 38/20 40/14 40/17 gave [9] 41/20 79/4 148/22 41/13 41/14 41/18 172/11 206/2 207/8 41/2 43/16 49/14 free [2] 62/6 255/11 153/25 156/10 171/5 41/22 43/2 44/25 45/1 gosh [1] 194/2 59/12 85/15 88/4 89/4 175/2 210/11 213/24 46/5 47/4 47/10 49/16 gossip [4] 187/16 freely [1] 165/25 103/7 104/5 143/14 221/5 53/4 56/11 58/4 59/2 frequently [2] 85/5 252/25 253/1 255/3 169/15 186/8 238/4 general [5] 49/20 109/19 59/15 59/19 60/17 gossiping [2] 253/11 follows [1] 155/9 50/19 50/22 92/24 61/20 62/6 65/19 66/2 fresh [1] 110/14 255/3 font [1] 238/1 134/3 Friday [10] 34/15 71/25 72/18 75/22 gossipy [1] 186/4 foremost [2] 112/23 34/18 38/24 38/25 generalised [1] 79/13 85/22 85/23 got [17] 36/23 37/2 188/17 85/24 87/10 88/20 230/24 40/18 42/10 42/14 39/2 44/12 44/24 foreseeable [1] generally [3] 14/2 97/12 106/5 106/6 146/12 163/18 239/8 89/12 92/11 96/5 98/3 47/15 165/23 202/20 friend [1] 210/1 100/11 103/22 104/16 115/3 120/20 151/23 **forgetting [2]** 81/18 generate [2] 135/21 front [6] 5/23 74/19 105/1 106/8 106/13 154/23 184/2 216/11 87/17 135/22 107/13 109/16 117/4 92/25 192/12 233/5 231/5 238/13 244/5 forgive [9] 69/19 97/9 generated [1] 137/21 233/15 119/13 120/1 120/9 governance [57] 118/11 118/14 151/23 genuine [2] 35/4 37/3 120/17 120/22 132/20 6/24 6/25 11/17 12/3 fulfil [1] 5/3 182/25 196/22 197/16 gestation [1] 126/22 132/23 134/10 136/4 fulfilled [2] 212/3 13/18 14/14 14/22 250/21 gesticulated [1] 212/7 140/15 141/9 142/7 17/21 20/13 20/17 forgotten [2] 89/11 fulfilling [2] 76/11 33/15 143/8 146/8 146/13 23/17 25/5 47/22 250/18 gesticulating [1] 147/18 157/9 158/14 66/25 69/11 69/12 83/8 form [6] 63/23 33/18 70/9 71/2 71/16 72/8 full [12] 1/13 59/23 165/6 181/9 182/25 120/20 140/21 144/25 get [24] 28/13 51/3 62/24 76/20 79/18 185/17 196/22 204/17 75/13 76/9 94/21 175/3 193/8 79/19 82/25 83/10 58/19 63/11 64/2 212/16 212/25 214/1 95/14 102/14 106/21 formal [6] 3/4 34/13 64/21 81/16 86/19 83/13 83/16 209/8 214/13 217/9 221/17 119/8 119/15 121/7 49/21 50/4 51/16 87/22 94/2 99/4 132/11 132/20 132/21 240/18 223/24 226/11 227/10 109/15 109/16 110/20 127/18 full-time [3] 82/25 236/24 239/25 241/9 132/24 133/25 166/3 formally [5] 47/22 83/13 83/16 132/18 142/12 168/10 250/11 255/11 166/14 168/1 168/15 48/1 48/3 166/11 172/18 175/15 178/19 fuller [1] 160/23 goes [4] 98/21 130/3 169/7 180/15 180/18 205/9 182/2 205/21 249/21 fully [4] 59/24 87/9 214/22 238/11 181/9 181/12 181/15 format [4] 234/23 251/5 181/24 182/2 182/6 92/8 206/15 going [87] 7/16 11/7 235/20 237/15 244/11 getting [4] 88/17 14/7 17/5 17/22 24/13 182/16 204/2 204/10 functioned [1] 75/6 formatted [1] 237/14 190/2 232/25 250/15 functions [1] 102/12 25/9 27/13 30/6 34/19 204/12 205/1 205/9 former [1] 133/7 **Gibbs [4]** 191/4 34/22 35/16 35/23 205/23 206/2 206/22 fundraising [2] forms [4] 109/14 191/17 191/21 196/4 37/4 37/8 45/7 48/9 170/11 172/4 252/7 214/2 214/17 215/7 give [30] 5/14 19/22 further [48] 16/12 49/9 58/23 61/1 63/9 graded [1] 10/12 forum [4] 6/24 10/14 64/15 64/15 75/4 78/3 grading [2] 212/21 35/7 77/16 82/2 82/3 24/20 34/23 37/8 35/7 147/4 91/20 93/5 113/5 39/12 39/13 40/23 81/12 85/9 87/10 89/2 212/22 forums [1] 148/23 114/25 117/9 118/14 41/25 42/21 57/16 92/13 93/3 96/1 97/23 granted [1] 62/16 forward [10] 20/8 127/19 144/17 153/10 57/17 58/20 59/16 108/4 108/17 109/18 graphs [9] 190/7 20/10 138/4 154/2 172/11 174/23 179/5 59/18 76/18 100/7 113/7 117/20 118/5 190/11 190/18 196/23 166/21 179/10 182/23 179/10 199/25 235/16 103/18 126/13 127/2 119/5 122/17 126/4 196/24 196/25 197/1

116/14 136/13 136/24 116/1 122/5 137/1 217/23 219/17 192/19 201/5 201/5 G 138/13 139/8 139/15 137/12 137/17 137/18 headed [1] 203/23 202/1 202/8 228/24 graphs... [2] 197/4 137/22 138/10 138/13 heading [3] 98/6 139/17 139/25 143/13 230/1 238/10 245/23 197/6 161/10 164/13 165/23 138/13 138/14 139/8 100/12 110/23 247/7 248/24 248/25 grass [1] 148/2 174/12 184/16 206/18 143/9 143/11 143/16 health [3] 2/5 67/3 250/8 253/21 254/15 grateful [1] 244/10 212/9 215/13 215/19 144/12 145/3 145/15 67/14 255/5 great [1] 134/22 228/11 241/22 146/24 147/6 147/11 healthcare [1] 1/24 here [38] 19/17 20/2 greater [2] 30/7 87/2 150/8 150/12 154/21 happening [7] 78/18 hear [6] 38/5 58/1 28/8 28/24 33/11 greatest [1] 98/16 157/15 157/16 158/18 150/13 191/13 216/17 96/18 97/4 148/19 38/13 74/11 85/14 green [1] 252/1 160/24 189/19 202/25 159/12 159/22 171/1 86/20 105/17 110/21 239/23 grey [1] 229/14 happens [2] 70/20 174/11 174/12 174/13 heard [20] 34/15 35/6 116/4 121/25 126/16 grid [1] 246/8 174/13 180/19 180/20 42/6 52/25 58/14 126/19 126/25 128/8 81/11 grievance [1] 202/6 happy [1] 99/6 181/21 182/20 183/25 65/14 69/4 70/17 130/12 130/21 131/8 ground [2] 6/16 184/12 184/24 193/8 70/23 82/20 94/3 136/6 137/4 144/24 hard [1] 94/14 146/4 161/18 181/17 188/5 harder [2] 93/12 199/25 200/1 202/10 104/25 111/8 132/15 group [25] 67/10 133/20 212/9 213/18 219/25 136/3 143/9 143/11 196/23 198/8 202/25 67/11 73/10 73/13 220/9 228/11 234/20 207/10 208/25 213/1 harm [49] 34/23 148/12 194/22 205/16 73/23 73/24 95/18 hearing [2] 33/2 35/25 36/3 36/3 36/6 234/20 248/4 213/5 225/12 225/17 100/15 100/21 100/23 37/10 41/5 44/13 54/7 227/11 229/16 234/18 have [587] 186/24 101/2 120/13 122/20 haven't [8] 16/2 37/1 57/20 58/11 104/2 heavily [2] 8/14 herself [1] 166/19 122/23 123/7 123/10 104/4 110/23 111/2 104/20 129/23 151/23 82/10 hierarchy [1] 49/14 131/1 133/21 181/9 113/8 114/10 114/21 168/13 168/14 178/23 held [9] 9/22 10/16 high [10] 60/13 98/15 204/22 205/9 205/22 114/23 115/2 116/2 having [36] 12/18 10/20 10/25 31/8 99/2 99/10 99/18 205/25 217/15 237/6 125/11 136/12 136/15 33/20 34/13 34/15 122/5 123/8 156/22 117/23 124/11 124/24 groups [1] 73/1 136/23 138/8 144/20 39/23 49/14 53/20 183/4 183/13 218/7 guidance [12] 80/12 144/22 145/4 150/12 61/16 64/23 72/7 help [38] 77/5 77/12 higher [7] 24/11 81/15 81/20 82/4 150/16 150/22 151/13 76/23 77/1 92/4 77/16 79/11 80/8 128/16 165/16 189/13 86/25 92/5 111/16 156/13 159/12 174/21 111/21 113/13 113/13 80/21 81/6 82/2 82/3 190/2 194/19 195/14 113/18 137/8 137/14 189/15 199/22 199/23 133/17 142/10 144/22 83/24 91/24 96/12 highest [2] 123/21 248/3 248/17 199/25 212/22 214/22 157/6 162/2 163/21 102/4 104/13 111/7 197/21 **guidelines [4]** 80/20 164/17 172/10 184/11 115/23 125/7 137/24 highlight [2] 112/7 216/2 216/23 228/7 109/14 110/10 111/14 245/25 246/3 246/6 186/12 187/10 187/19 141/20 143/4 146/20 128/11 gynaecology [2] 155/20 157/5 180/9 246/15 197/2 200/5 201/1 highlighted [4] 9/10 15/11 84/5 harm/death [1] 203/20 205/21 205/23 201/21 201/22 205/6 131/21 184/3 250/11 Н 217/17 238/5 238/23 212/22 210/5 254/12 highlighter [1] 184/3 he [66] 7/21 32/19 239/9 240/6 241/7 harmed [5] 111/3 highlighting [1] had [328] 244/2 244/7 249/18 200/2 208/4 245/13 33/4 33/18 33/19 187/15 hadn't [12] 17/10 245/15 33/19 33/20 33/21 249/24 250/1 highly [1] 63/9 19/20 37/19 37/20 34/1 36/2 36/23 40/10 helpful [8] 68/10 harming [13] 23/10 Hill [1] 64/7 40/9 106/5 129/16 him [6] 4/15 50/10 26/21 27/16 32/12 40/10 42/19 42/20 91/17 92/8 92/9 142/24 180/7 201/21 45/18 58/9 58/12 42/21 43/19 44/2 50/5 141/24 145/14 148/16 57/21 93/12 95/23 232/12 249/2 116/7 195/9 195/22 50/6 50/7 50/10 51/25 202/1 175/2 half [5] 40/8 79/18 207/23 216/13 245/19 55/4 55/5 56/15 56/19 helpfully [2] 243/22 himself [2] 33/8 79/20 136/4 225/14 harms [1] 228/9 56/21 56/22 56/23 249/13 175/4 halfway [2] 230/24 Harper [12] 217/22 56/25 56/25 57/21 helping [1] 78/1 hindsight [1] 172/24 231/4 58/3 61/14 86/5 86/7 219/17 219/25 221/14 his [18] 23/8 31/17 **hence [3]** 39/13 hand [7] 4/20 78/5 224/2 225/21 226/4 86/9 87/19 88/6 88/16 49/21 62/16 39/21 50/7 50/24 110/21 120/12 151/24 228/21 229/24 238/9 88/16 89/4 92/20 94/8 her [70] 32/21 35/23 56/15 58/3 88/16 233/19 248/25 242/7 243/24 94/19 95/2 95/4 143/9 36/13 36/14 47/23 91/16 143/8 143/12 handler [4] 28/5 28/5 143/9 143/11 143/17 Harper-Lea [4] 52/17 55/20 58/22 151/8 191/22 207/3 28/6 142/17 217/22 219/17 219/25 151/8 151/9 153/25 59/3 59/3 67/25 68/11 210/1 215/2 217/18 handover [4] 2/25 224/2 174/6 175/3 181/5 68/12 74/8 82/20 83/2 222/6 3/4 21/15 95/5 181/6 191/22 192/22 83/17 83/22 84/14 hm [13] 23/12 24/16 Harvey [6] 143/16 handwriting [2] 154/17 181/8 190/9 192/23 192/24 192/24 85/2 85/8 87/4 87/5 49/1 76/14 80/7 83/14 54/10 149/14 197/3 201/9 201/9 240/1 88/3 89/7 89/8 89/8 85/21 86/4 132/22 handwritten [2] he's [2] 54/19 181/7 has [82] 7/7 15/25 92/19 116/25 117/4 158/3 162/14 163/12 149/13 154/16 39/4 47/8 56/23 62/15 head [28] 4/18 8/22 136/10 142/1 142/11 224/7 **hang [1]** 168/12 10/7 35/17 35/22 37/7 69/4 74/8 74/20 80/4 143/2 164/25 166/8 hoc [4] 34/12 50/1 happen [5] 49/25 81/12 90/3 90/7 90/18 66/2 66/9 68/20 71/11 166/10 166/19 178/12 50/4 51/1 77/21 114/15 114/17 93/15 93/17 93/18 75/6 77/6 78/9 87/3 182/6 183/9 184/6 **Hodkinson [2]** 61/12 164/15 97/12 100/19 103/20 94/12 101/10 101/24 184/8 184/8 184/11 201/3 happened [27] 31/2 184/13 184/18 185/14 hold [1] 4/25 106/16 111/2 111/15 102/20 106/15 106/18 106/10 115/13 115/15 185/14 187/16 191/14 holding [3] 5/7 5/18 114/9 114/21 115/7 140/6 170/19 170/19 115/19 115/20 116/13

176/8 209/10 217/22

115/13 115/15 115/19

191/15 191/16 192/18 6/2

Н	I acknowledge [1]	55/10 61/21 71/23	223/4 223/9 227/24	I have [72] 7/13
home [4] 41/13 41/14	19/9	86/2 111/21 117/12	243/7 252/3 253/18	12/17 13/4 22/12
42/4 54/13	I actually [1] 135/3	141/3 145/4 156/8	255/7	25/16 35/6 53/17
honest [7] 45/24 78/3	I added [2] 76/3 103/17	159/9 167/5 178/5 178/23 181/7 185/2	I don't [97] 17/7 17/11 31/19 42/20	56/12 58/17 60/3 61/19 74/18 74/19
113/12 202/7 203/16	l agree [1] 129/22	187/2 187/11 189/21	42/20 43/10 43/11	77/2 82/11 89/6 93/10
238/20 247/4	I also [7] 42/21 53/18	192/20 201/6 208/20	44/16 45/9 45/10	109/10 114/3 115/3
honestly [5] 91/13	60/16 67/10 185/18	209/2 217/21 222/4	45/14 50/15 63/24	118/1 133/8 146/23
131/17 131/17 212/8 232/2	201/22 211/16	222/11 222/12 224/22	79/6 90/13 93/21 95/4	
hope [3] 97/8 98/2	I am [78] 3/19 4/4	225/24 239/14 241/19	95/22 95/22 101/1	163/15 163/24 171/3
222/22	8/13 8/14 20/2 25/17	244/13 244/13 249/9	101/1 101/4 113/16	172/14 177/25 178/22
hospital [22] 2/3	36/12 38/24 43/10	I can't [35] 6/13	113/18 116/17 116/23	178/25 180/12 180/14
24/15 33/13 54/13	43/10 44/16 44/18	45/12 54/8 55/5 55/5	118/19 122/18 123/3	183/16 184/25 186/7
64/7 64/25 96/10	48/21 51/9 56/10	55/6 61/12 101/7	123/8 130/13 140/8	186/8 187/1 187/21
97/13 97/16 99/3	56/22 58/8 64/8 67/24 71/1 71/8 77/7 78/13	104/10 111/15 111/24 114/3 122/6 124/20	142/14 146/2 152/15 155/10 162/24 164/10	191/21 191/24 195/18 197/5 199/2 199/2
99/11 106/23 133/15	85/24 86/20 87/6 93/3		167/9 168/21 172/14	200/4 202/16 203/11
133/15 165/14 169/24	97/2 97/25 99/6	168/22 172/13 176/23	176/12 178/1 179/11	204/8 204/13 207/2
188/19 216/12 219/5	113/24 115/4 122/22	179/5 183/15 185/8	180/3 183/22 184/19	207/19 208/21 210/23
239/18 240/1 240/17	123/6 123/11 129/12	186/9 194/5 206/17	189/20 191/20 193/2	212/8 217/3 219/12
hospital's [1] 85/17 hospitals [2] 98/21	133/19 134/6 136/1	212/14 217/1 223/13	195/23 202/16 202/17	220/8 223/20 226/17
126/21	138/19 138/25 145/10		203/11 203/16 203/20	
hours [3] 79/18	145/11 145/18 149/7	235/10 239/21 241/24	207/24 209/7 209/13	230/5 230/7 231/15
79/18 157/2	163/13 165/18 165/25		209/13 209/23 214/8	237/16 245/17 249/3
how [65] 6/15 7/3	167/7 175/5 179/4	179/5	214/8 222/17 223/20	250/18
7/10 7/19 7/24 9/19	179/18 185/13 190/21		223/21 224/22 225/13 226/25 228/24 229/5	naven t
10/11 14/2 16/5 29/4	194/2 194/4 195/21 198/25 200/8 201/2	I cautioned [1] 185/14	229/5 230/5 231/17	I hear [1] 58/1
29/20 31/1 32/1 39/11	203/22 207/22 209/13		231/21 232/6 233/4	I heard [1] 42/6
39/15 46/2 46/4 54/1	212/17 215/20 217/6	155/10	233/5 233/19 235/6	I honestly [5] 91/13
58/7 58/10 66/13 68/7	217/14 219/13 221/20		235/9 238/7 238/7	131/17 131/17 212/8
70/9 70/9 71/6 71/7 71/18 75/1 75/5 76/16	221/25 223/13 223/13		238/20 238/21 239/13	232/2
77/13 80/8 81/7 82/6	227/23 227/25 228/17		240/8 241/18 244/14	I hope [3] 97/8 98/2
85/5 85/6 87/11 87/15	230/7 244/14 251/23	142/3 157/9 167/5	245/14 246/23 247/4	222/22
91/9 91/11 102/7	l apologise [3]	173/25 177/14 219/9	247/4 252/12 252/12	I joined [1] 2/5
104/24 107/15 117/18	114/13 114/18 118/21		253/10 254/4	I just [17] 17/8 33/1
120/21 124/4 125/12	l appreciate [5] 8/11 32/22 168/5 206/19		l ensure [1] 130/24 l ever [1] 216/17	35/6 47/2 49/5 60/8 96/7 123/19 124/10
125/12 127/16 129/16	244/6		l expect [1] 88/7	128/9 203/14 207/12
131/19 131/20 140/25	l ask [4] 48/13 207/9		l explained [1] 78/15	207/13 230/7 238/22
147/15 147/16 149/8	217/20 230/17		I felt [16] 27/22 39/12	
158/1 172/20 175/15 184/15 185/7 200/13	I asked [3] 201/20	74/24 76/1 130/17		I know [5] 26/3 91/13
200/13 233/20 254/17	211/14 248/25	142/2 180/3 186/16	71/23 72/5 80/17	135/15 193/10 193/12
however [14] 29/1	I attended [2] 91/14	196/3 211/18 220/7	81/25 82/2 82/3 141/1	
37/23 56/15 76/25	196/2	251/23 253/23 255/7		I made [2] 32/25 54/5
146/17 153/11 153/12	I barely [1] 232/3 I became [1] 75/16	I didn't [37] 15/5 16/23 18/18 20/7	201/24 I find [1] 94/14	I may [3] 22/13 87/21 102/1
156/20 173/17 183/6	Lbox [41 250/25	21/14 21/14 21/16	I first [1] 176/12	102/1 I mean [8] 44/21 54/8
189/10 204/14 204/17	I believe [35] 2/4	21/16 22/14 22/20	I fully [2] 92/8 206/15	
237/13	11/18 15/9 41/21	27/5 27/19 29/24	I generally [1] 202/20	
HR [16] 61/11 111/16 111/16 111/16 111/20 131/10	47/21 59/13 59/17		I go [1] 223/24	I might [1] 8/8
131/10 185/15 186/25	74/2 103/16 117/25	36/8 38/24 45/23		I must [1] 178/19
187/6 253/20 253/22	125/18 135/15 143/13			I need [2] 24/25 25/1
254/8 255/1 255/1	143/15 143/18 147/2	86/19 99/4 99/4 131/3	40/18 58/1 58/2 58/14	
255/6 255/6	163/15 168/18 179/22 181/15 181/23 186/14		61/19 67/7 67/8 71/23 75/18 78/14 83/22	34/16 140/10 251/18 I never [1] 68/10
Human [1] 94/11	190/9 190/12 194/2	202/23 206/17 206/17	85/8 87/15 88/20	l outwardly [1] 254/5
hundred [3] 178/19	194/3 197/3 201/20	210/25 219/7	143/14 157/1 164/18	l own [1] 130/23
183/17 250/15	203/3 206/22 218/7	I do [24] 12/16 13/4	165/18 173/17 175/13	
	222/23 223/20 246/18		187/2 196/17 199/15	211/19
l absolutely [1] 91/2	253/23	127/22 134/15 151/7		I perceived [1] 177/7
l accept [2] 60/2	I believed [2] 58/15	153/4 161/6 172/6	254/25	I please [1] 89/14
252/14	59/14	176/13 176/13 178/3	I hadn't [2] 37/20	I probably [3] 45/24
	I can [34] 47/18	179/7 183/11 193/14	201/21	201/21 244/19
				(80) home - I probably

53/17 186/7 227/2 23/16 23/19 42/17 165/18 165/25 166/1 69/23 71/23 72/3 237/9 87/12 186/5 192/5 166/10 168/3 182/20 73/25 79/7 79/14 I provide [1] 244/17 I then [1] 2/6 207/13 211/15 234/9 183/17 186/14 186/17 79/16 79/24 81/7 I pulled [2] 153/5 I therefore [2] 38/7 246/18 252/5 190/20 203/13 204/14 81/23 85/10 85/13 176/22 87/13 I updated [1] 103/19 205/8 208/5 210/21 85/22 86/2 87/20 90/3 I put [3] 33/1 211/7 I think [159] 1/19 I want [3] 209/19 212/5 221/25 222/1 91/4 91/18 96/20 213/19 2/11 3/17 4/22 5/20 224/22 224/24 227/15 96/25 97/4 97/8 98/24 210/10 219/24 I quite [1] 250/15 13/5 18/2 20/5 20/5 228/18 230/12 239/12 100/5 100/6 100/13 I wanted [3] 92/7 I raised [2] 87/8 20/17 22/2 23/25 26/7 201/20 201/22 251/17 254/2 254/3 102/1 103/22 104/13 246/23 31/5 31/6 31/20 32/25 I was [58] 16/10 104/22 105/17 106/5 I wouldn't [6] 90/14 I read [1] 93/14 33/1 33/12 34/24 17/24 19/12 21/6 103/4 147/8 241/8 106/13 107/3 107/9 I really [1] 202/7 35/14 35/18 36/9 23/25 25/15 30/15 107/9 107/20 108/16 244/19 254/22 I recall [3] 45/9 156/8 36/15 37/11 38/20 34/8 35/8 35/14 36/8 I write [1] 240/14 109/2 109/15 112/8 156/9 38/21 40/13 40/13 42/5 42/5 42/7 42/7 113/12 113/15 113/17 I wrote [1] 54/23 I received [5] 25/4 40/21 42/19 44/5 43/12 46/2 48/20 **I'm [24]** 2/16 40/5 113/23 114/11 114/13 25/17 35/9 38/4 39/10 51/12 52/8 56/12 59/13 64/10 64/14 44/19 45/14 48/2 117/12 118/9 118/11 I recommended [1] 56/15 56/25 58/25 64/18 64/20 65/21 59/20 86/19 99/4 118/17 119/13 120/9 154/11 120/22 123/9 123/10 59/19 61/8 63/8 69/14 66/15 71/5 71/5 71/6 104/10 111/15 113/12 I record [1] 53/17 70/7 70/18 73/6 73/17 75/21 76/5 76/6 77/19 114/17 116/3 123/6 125/3 125/11 129/15 I refer [1] 183/15 74/24 75/9 76/6 76/18 78/18 85/2 87/10 123/9 182/14 211/6 129/23 129/24 131/19 I relinquished [1] 76/18 87/24 88/12 89/21 94/25 101/14 212/14 222/8 222/18 131/22 134/10 134/24 5/11 88/15 88/22 89/21 128/21 140/8 162/24 238/21 240/7 244/10 136/16 136/22 137/9 I remember [10] 45/9 89/21 91/2 91/3 91/4 167/4 168/19 168/21 255/4 137/17 137/20 138/12 178/3 179/7 188/25 91/17 94/3 94/16 168/23 187/1 196/12 I'm afraid [3] 45/14 138/25 139/6 139/6 222/12 232/3 251/25 95/12 101/25 101/25 202/24 203/18 208/22 212/14 240/7 139/23 140/8 140/13 251/25 252/1 252/2 102/6 102/17 103/18 209/9 210/25 211/18 140/15 141/3 142/4 **I's [2]** 163/8 163/9 I replaced [1] 2/22 216/20 219/20 225/20 I've [3] 91/14 114/9 103/20 106/1 112/23 143/6 143/8 143/19 I reported [1] 6/7 114/4 114/8 117/20 146/8 147/6 147/18 250/24 251/1 144/18 I represent [1] 214/3 idea [1] 231/15 118/19 123/17 125/1 I wasn't [13] 16/9 151/2 152/19 153/12 I said [7] 36/7 83/18 128/2 129/20 129/20 16/11 22/21 23/2 ideally [1] 110/11 159/16 161/1 164/17 137/15 183/16 198/25 129/22 131/9 132/2 27/21 35/5 35/12 ideas [1] 75/3 165/9 165/18 166/9 234/3 234/8 133/4 133/4 133/4 35/14 40/15 52/24 identified [27] 23/18 166/12 166/16 167/14 I saw [5] 50/4 179/6 133/5 133/5 135/3 56/17 189/2 190/13 24/21 98/18 107/18 168/8 169/3 169/22 219/7 225/19 242/6 138/5 138/6 138/25 I went [2] 36/12 119/11 119/16 121/11 171/11 171/17 174/12 Isay [44] 39/11 39/17 139/15 140/4 141/16 36/13 121/16 151/10 165/1 174/15 174/20 174/24 50/19 51/7 51/16 I will [15] 55/7 61/20 141/25 142/11 143/2 166/10 174/16 174/22 175/1 175/6 178/24 56/17 70/11 71/15 143/22 144/23 148/7 86/24 89/12 95/3 175/2 175/24 176/14 179/1 181/5 182/23 72/5 73/24 81/14 149/16 149/19 152/19 118/6 118/14 124/8 177/5 177/11 177/13 182/25 187/6 187/22 84/16 86/25 88/5 95/1 152/22 154/3 154/3 127/22 144/17 149/16 177/19 177/22 183/6 187/23 188/6 196/8 103/6 103/11 104/17 183/14 187/24 188/4 196/11 196/22 197/15 157/10 158/9 159/1 184/16 217/9 243/2 114/15 115/17 138/9 159/15 160/3 160/14 251/15 195/2 202/13 201/21 203/24 204/8 139/5 142/2 142/7 160/17 161/6 161/18 I won't [1] 199/13 **identifies** [1] 11/13 204/12 204/14 204/16 143/2 143/22 143/24 162/25 164/17 166/5 I wonder [6] 8/8 60/7 identify [7] 98/9 204/22 206/1 208/20 158/18 158/21 159/2 167/25 169/18 169/25 62/19 118/17 175/6 136/17 167/14 197/14 209/5 209/25 211/7 163/4 173/5 173/21 173/12 176/22 178/20 243/20 199/23 199/24 232/17 212/16 212/25 213/19 181/24 182/11 186/5 179/17 185/1 186/16 I wondered [1] 41/9 identifying [2] 97/19 214/1 214/9 214/13 191/3 196/25 235/9 189/22 190/14 190/18 I worked [2] 2/5 215/17 216/11 216/14 150/10 238/7 242/20 245/20 218/23 222/4 222/24 191/21 191/24 193/15 78/14 ie [4] 132/11 136/23 252/14 255/1 194/3 195/13 199/9 I would [75] 4/3 6/21 138/2 232/25 223/24 226/3 226/10 I see [3] 93/14 207/3 201/13 202/8 202/19 7/6 7/7 12/2 16/25 if [221] 1/5 4/6 4/20 227/15 228/1 228/5 236/12 206/9 206/11 219/21 18/19 26/16 51/6 8/8 9/9 9/22 11/21 228/25 229/6 229/11 I shared [1] 189/4 222/22 222/22 231/3 64/19 66/19 69/18 12/10 18/9 23/4 24/7 231/3 231/21 234/17 I should [3] 149/25 232/19 235/12 238/14 75/2 75/24 79/12 24/19 25/2 26/11 235/18 239/2 242/24 206/15 206/16 239/14 242/10 244/3 79/13 89/16 90/8 243/20 245/25 249/9 26/12 26/20 27/25 I speak [1] 186/11 28/1 30/2 30/19 30/19 244/7 245/21 246/18 90/23 93/5 94/25 249/19 251/14 251/17 I spoke [1] 87/2 247/18 254/2 255/8 96/12 99/19 99/19 31/3 31/13 32/18 255/6 I started [1] 156/4 104/21 106/8 107/9 34/17 37/12 39/15 I thought [4] 68/9 ignore [1] 251/15 I still [1] 29/1 80/20 84/25 201/25 107/9 107/10 107/10 40/7 41/4 41/6 42/8 illness [1] 151/4 I suggest [2] 216/10 107/12 109/12 123/2 42/23 43/2 43/12 I took [1] 176/13 illustrate [1] 112/14 216/22 134/3 139/9 140/8 44/21 45/19 47/1 47/3 immediate [16] 34/15 I understand [8] I summarise [1] 15/20 33/23 37/11 140/9 144/15 144/19 47/4 47/10 51/1 51/9 34/16 35/24 36/15 105/2 153/3 160/19 171/2 147/9 147/11 159/8 51/13 53/4 53/20 54/2 37/5 38/13 39/25 40/1 I suspect [1] 206/18 161/22 164/15 164/17 54/10 55/5 61/4 62/19 40/4 40/11 40/12 191/22 248/2 I take [6] 26/19 53/16 I understood [11] 164/21 165/12 165/15 65/18 65/22 67/7 40/22 59/2 108/14

141/5 141/20 141/23 227/19 233/11 10/22 28/7 59/3 60/11 142/5 143/25 144/11 included [12] 73/22 individuals [3] 6/22 103/15 103/25 170/18 immediate... [2] 144/12 144/18 144/19 104/5 110/1 122/8 36/17 213/3 INQ0000016 [1] 137/12 187/23 145/3 145/9 145/12 130/12 131/7 135/2 **induction [3]** 88/3 152/17 immediately [7] 37/4 145/15 146/16 146/17 141/5 146/15 192/11 139/19 139/19 INQ0001347 [1] 37/23 38/8 58/25 146/24 147/7 147/10 197/1 218/16 infer [1] 94/24 212/17 61/20 67/4 182/5 147/14 147/19 149/9 inferred [1] 60/16 INQ0001888 [2] includes [4] 111/10 **imminent [4]** 36/3 149/12 149/19 149/25 114/5 180/13 217/15 influence [6] 95/1 140/14 194/16 36/3 37/9 39/4 150/8 151/5 151/15 including [7] 98/7 101/18 102/16 102/19 INQ0002042 [1] impact [3] 77/3 81/12 152/4 152/7 152/10 107/7 207/10 230/24 103/12 107/5 240/10 148/2 INQ0002836,so [1] 153/19 154/2 154/14 232/20 245/13 246/16 influenced [1] 27/2 impacted [1] 76/15 193/10 156/7 156/13 156/16 inclusive [1] 26/10 **inform [1]** 167/6 impetus [2] 50/17 156/20 156/22 156/25 incongruous [1] informal [11] 35/1 INQ0003089 [1] 51/2 157/21 157/22 158/2 209/5 50/20 51/7 53/14 182/24 **implicitly [1]** 114/5 158/14 158/18 159/4 incorrect [3] 154/20 53/20 54/1 186/23 INQ0003114 [1] importance [1] 15/7 159/23 160/9 160/22 154/22 172/22 188/3 192/23 192/25 180/9 **important [20]** 15/6 160/25 161/2 161/23 205/18 increase [29] 14/25 INQ0003213 [2] 22/24 54/3 68/21 161/24 163/3 163/7 15/3 15/7 15/23 16/13 information [83] 6/22 118/10 119/5 74/11 77/7 77/13 16/21 17/17 17/20 165/20 165/21 168/20 12/13 26/3 27/24 INQ0003324 [1] 77/14 78/22 78/22 168/25 170/15 172/9 18/12 29/22 32/8 29/19 29/23 32/5 109/15 81/16 82/1 121/12 172/15 172/17 172/18 98/25 99/7 99/8 99/15 32/16 33/21 35/8 36/9 INQ0003530 [1] 130/7 147/15 148/6 173/19 173/20 174/4 99/25 105/23 106/3 36/11 36/17 38/4 38/7 149/13 199/23 200/2 200/9 174/9 174/17 174/18 114/1 126/22 128/20 42/7 42/20 42/21 INQ0004657 [2] 28/1 244/7 174/20 174/21 175/3 160/9 189/12 194/18 56/14 59/12 59/14 117/22 importantly [1] 181/22 182/1 182/12 195/3 197/12 199/18 59/16 59/18 61/21 **INQ0005749 [1]** 43/2 242/13 182/13 186/12 186/22 200/11 233/9 78/4 78/12 80/16 INQ0006466 [1] impossible [1] 187/4 188/2 188/6 increased [39] 3/7 85/18 87/13 88/21 136/4 199/17 189/1 196/9 199/10 13/12 13/15 13/17 89/20 90/1 91/20 INQ0006769 [2] impression [3] 69/21 199/11 200/3 204/24 16/6 18/4 18/16 19/1 100/19 101/3 101/15 85/11 143/6 69/23 70/1 206/21 212/11 212/23 19/3 19/6 19/13 19/19 106/5 106/6 106/6 INQ0007947 [1] **improve [3]** 73/8 213/11 213/13 213/17 21/11 21/20 22/6 22/7 112/9 123/17 135/17 169/22 107/22 115/23 214/21 215/11 215/12 23/14 26/13 28/11 141/4 141/7 142/13 INQ0008157 [1] improvement [15] 216/19 216/24 217/25 28/16 29/8 29/11 144/2 146/21 147/22 146/6 24/19 24/24 25/2 65/6 221/15 221/17 221/24 148/11 148/15 150/23 INQ0008587 [1] 29/12 30/4 30/12 65/12 67/2 67/11 225/19 225/22 225/23 30/24 31/3 66/16 153/14 153/25 156/21 234/12 70/24 76/8 88/7 88/8 225/25 226/2 226/10 118/4 119/18 119/22 156/25 157/5 160/18 INQ0008943 [1] 88/9 88/10 88/11 226/11 226/19 226/20 121/7 124/12 127/1 163/22 164/3 165/11 239/3 93/16 226/22 227/5 227/19 131/22 164/22 189/10 166/13 171/24 192/3 INQ0010037 [2] improvements [4] 194/12 196/19 202/20 176/25 222/22 228/4 228/6 228/9 197/19 205/10 72/24 73/16 74/15 206/13 213/18 217/21 INQ0014125 [1] 228/15 229/3 229/18 incredibly [1] 32/15 81/21 indeed [11] 62/5 68/5 218/16 222/12 227/1 229/21 230/11 233/6 230/18 inbox [1] 181/2 233/8 234/4 234/15 94/18 107/2 115/22 233/5 233/15 233/17 INQ0014962 [1] 98/2 incidence [1] 115/19 235/17 237/6 237/8 122/21 132/10 154/25 233/18 235/15 235/19 INQ0042162 [1] incident [211] 45/11 220/16 235/18 255/11 239/20 239/22 244/14 134/4 237/18 238/12 239/16 69/17 75/2 83/4 83/20 INQ0049845 [2] 241/3 241/13 241/16 246/10 253/18 independent [1] 83/22 83/25 84/2 241/21 241/23 242/8 informed [5] 19/17 118/16 120/8 17/21 84/10 89/11 89/18 242/23 244/13 244/16 indicated [2] 33/22 INQ0077575 [1] 47/2 40/25 69/24 216/7 90/10 93/25 94/3 244/18 249/6 249/7 163/10 246/19 **INQ0102357 [1]** 53/4 102/11 102/14 103/5 250/1 253/24 254/3 indicates [1] 8/19 **informing [3]** 33/3 INQ0102364 [2] 103/8 109/13 109/17 254/6 254/8 indicating [1] 144/5 215/16 247/3 219/14 223/25 109/21 109/23 110/1 INQ0103134 [1] incidents [42] 45/12 indication [2] 21/17 infrequently [1] 110/8 110/12 111/22 73/7 73/14 73/18 92/15 137/12 77/21 112/11 112/24 113/1 79/23 80/11 80/14 indictment [3] 144/4 initial [7] 153/10 INQ0103833 [1] 4/6 114/15 114/19 116/17 84/3 84/13 89/13 93/2 153/15 162/16 188/11 Inquest [15] 217/18 145/19 162/13 116/19 116/23 117/7 93/24 98/17 110/2 individual [19] 28/6 193/15 194/8 245/7 217/21 218/21 219/3 117/8 134/16 134/24 115/16 116/13 134/5 32/11 33/10 113/10 224/9 227/23 231/5 initially [4] 6/11 135/1 135/5 135/23 136/2 140/11 141/1 113/11 113/13 116/18 66/14 120/20 136/6 231/14 231/19 231/23 136/5 136/8 136/9 141/25 143/5 144/17 116/19 147/23 152/9 initials [2] 33/1 231/24 238/21 241/17 136/16 136/17 136/19 150/7 150/10 150/10 159/16 159/19 159/19 243/4 245/10 149/22 136/21 137/14 137/17 151/16 159/13 159/16 215/14 216/5 225/2 initiated [1] 127/12 Inquests [2] 218/4 137/18 137/23 137/25 159/17 162/25 168/24 234/7 235/21 246/25 injudicious [1] 221/9 218/22 138/16 138/23 139/5 Inquiry [19] 1/15 63/3 172/3 172/20 173/22 individualised [1] inpatient [2] 5/22 139/6 139/9 139/20 199/22 199/23 206/6 63/19 67/17 69/4 93/5 235/21 5/22 139/23 140/19 140/22 215/22 215/23 218/16 individually [1] input [9] 10/1 10/8 100/19 102/17 110/3

200/3 206/18 207/14 joined [3] 2/5 30/10 interview [2] 203/1 involvement [5] 21/3 203/2 26/13 115/6 157/14 209/12 212/19 213/12 183/24 Inquiry... [10] 138/17 interviewed [1] 1/21 232/24 214/8 214/23 215/16 journey [1] 93/16 167/12 184/1 187/14 into [51] 3/24 10/1 involving [1] 235/8 222/21 224/6 224/23 judgement [1] 193/17 195/7 204/5 22/7 28/16 34/19 225/7 225/9 225/12 116/12 is [637] 236/19 239/23 255/16 39/13 56/11 57/18 is June [1] 149/1 226/12 230/13 231/3 Julie [1] 87/3 Inquiry's [1] 74/12 58/4 64/15 72/18 231/5 231/5 231/15 July [42] 20/17 23/7 isn't [33] 16/6 25/13 insertion [2] 220/2 81/12 92/23 95/17 32/21 58/21 58/23 233/14 233/21 237/13 23/7 25/4 26/7 28/9 222/6 103/15 103/25 120/23 59/23 60/1 102/16 238/6 238/7 238/8 28/19 29/21 30/10 insight [1] 45/25 124/3 124/24 131/12 103/12 103/12 118/11 238/10 240/12 242/11 46/6 46/6 85/11 118/5 insignificant [1] 133/14 134/17 139/13 118/20 122/6 130/8 242/16 242/25 242/25 118/10 120/9 120/13 108/24 140/19 145/17 149/3 134/7 180/17 181/17 243/6 243/11 243/14 120/23 121/4 121/14 inspection [12] 76/20 170/18 171/4 178/20 187/9 199/16 213/5 243/16 243/18 244/7 121/17 122/1 123/10 76/20 77/12 77/13 178/21 179/15 179/23 213/12 213/20 215/15 244/24 246/13 247/5 123/12 126/11 130/14 77/17 77/24 78/2 78/6 180/5 180/20 181/3 216/24 225/8 226/5 253/20 130/17 140/16 141/11 128/11 128/23 128/24 183/7 183/16 190/23 229/12 236/4 240/24 items [1] 155/2 141/15 143/7 162/3 167/11 243/17 243/19 244/18 its [12] 72/9 88/11 193/17 197/6 201/12 173/13 189/6 189/7 inspections [2] 77/20 201/13 202/6 205/9 249/4 107/4 107/5 121/9 192/6 208/23 216/1 77/21 221/9 226/2 232/8 issuance [1] 107/16 127/6 127/9 134/11 230/15 232/9 232/12 instance [1] 215/14 156/7 193/8 197/21 233/3 235/24 250/24 issue [24] 16/6 19/2 243/6 245/8 instead [3] 104/9 251/10 19/6 21/10 21/16 214/9 July **2015** [1] 232/9 128/4 199/2 introduce [2] 63/15 23/14 26/8 40/20 49/7 itself [6] 43/12 74/17 jump [1] 230/14 **instructed** [2] 75/21 98/4 49/8 91/3 99/7 107/9 76/9 194/6 200/10 jumping [1] 165/6 180/24 134/11 185/13 185/15 223/22 June [42] 1/16 3/9 investigated [3] instruction [3] 179/3 128/20 128/22 203/7 195/20 207/21 246/3 20/13 21/4 21/20 179/16 180/21 J 247/7 247/8 253/22 22/22 24/8 25/6 25/19 investigating [1] instructions [1] 88/4 **January [3]** 173/15 254/1 254/4 27/14 27/17 30/21 93/5 insufficient [2] 79/4 173/18 219/3 30/24 31/14 38/1 43/6 investigation [47] issues [22] 6/16 9/7 141/7 9/12 11/6 21/15 24/23 January 2016 [1] 38/15 39/7 44/15 44/23 45/8 49/19 integrated [2] 66/24 58/10 113/21 134/17 51/8 54/15 70/15 219/3 50/11 50/14 51/25 76/8 January/February [2] 135/7 135/19 138/2 92/21 94/13 96/11 52/1 52/9 52/25 53/7 intend [3] 113/5 173/15 173/18 139/24 156/2 158/14 96/18 106/20 170/9 55/21 63/3 63/6 66/19 114/25 115/1 161/25 173/19 210/5 172/2 196/19 205/13 **Jayaram [65]** 4/16 132/24 146/5 146/8 intended [5] 110/24 210/8 211/3 218/24 7/18 7/21 16/20 16/24 210/6 216/19 218/17 149/1 157/25 189/6 110/24 112/15 114/25 224/10 226/2 226/8 16/24 17/6 18/3 20/1 240/20 189/22 212/19 217/17 115/20 20/8 21/25 22/15 23/7 226/11 226/24 227/5 230/15 230/18 232/12 it [774] intensive [1] 126/20 23/22 25/19 27/14 232/21 233/2 234/2 it's [128] 1/19 2/17 June 2016 [1] 50/11 **interact [1]** 57/15 27/15 29/1 31/14 234/23 234/25 235/14 5/25 8/2 8/13 9/23 June/July 2016 [1] interacted [1] 9/19 31/22 32/2 32/5 32/10 232/12 32/21 43/5 55/7 55/8 237/8 237/18 239/1 interactions [1] 32/18 33/3 33/15 239/1 239/11 239/16 64/19 65/14 70/16 junior [2] 139/18 57/12 33/23 35/11 35/24 240/18 241/2 241/13 70/18 74/11 75/9 209/9 interest [2] 135/21 36/1 36/23 37/12 242/3 242/19 244/13 85/11 85/12 87/22 iust [183] 4/9 7/25 203/8 39/16 39/20 40/9 244/18 244/25 254/8 89/16 99/19 99/20 9/4 9/15 9/19 10/3 interested [1] 65/19 103/24 104/11 105/2 40/18 41/7 41/13 254/9 254/9 10/17 17/8 17/17 18/1 interim [10] 2/9 2/14 41/19 41/20 42/18 105/5 106/1 106/4 18/10 18/24 19/15 investigations [5] 3/12 3/13 4/10 4/25 107/11 108/7 109/7 43/18 44/2 48/19 69/17 109/15 140/11 19/16 19/24 23/4 24/5 6/2 76/24 92/19 142/3 49/19 49/24 50/4 241/17 244/16 109/20 110/17 111/14 25/9 25/9 26/19 27/25 intermediary [2] 50/24 51/3 51/20 52/1 investigators [1] 111/14 111/16 112/24 30/1 33/1 34/20 34/25 102/21 103/7 54/18 55/4 56/7 56/11 236/2 113/9 113/9 114/9 35/6 35/8 35/14 36/9 internal [3] 85/17 57/19 58/2 58/19 36/18 39/11 43/8 invite [5] 50/11 50/14 114/14 114/24 114/24 137/5 236/24 59/12 59/17 61/17 51/13 89/14 173/25 115/2 115/2 115/16 43/13 44/21 45/4 47/1 internally [3] 7/1 82/8 87/11 92/10 174/5 invited [5] 66/1 201/9 115/17 117/25 127/4 47/2 47/4 47/16 47/24 246/11 128/15 131/19 133/4 175/1 49/5 49/20 51/4 51/7 201/11 202/24 234/3 interpretation [11] Jayaram's [6] 31/16 133/4 133/13 134/10 51/23 56/18 58/6 60/7 involve [3] 11/25 88/16 102/24 124/21 32/19 35/4 42/15 50/5 68/24 109/23 135/6 135/14 137/15 60/8 63/15 65/14 136/11 136/22 161/13 91/15 involved [26] 16/9 138/20 143/22 144/22 65/22 69/5 71/13 72/9 176/21 185/11 189/11 job [13] 65/15 80/4 16/11 22/21 40/20 147/5 147/7 147/14 72/17 72/25 73/9 73/9 195/18 207/19 147/14 147/15 147/15 88/8 89/8 90/3 90/17 40/23 61/6 74/6 81/16 74/22 76/4 77/5 77/10 interpreted [1] 102/17 184/8 184/11 87/23 88/1 88/10 92/6 148/6 149/16 152/18 77/12 77/15 79/7 80/3 190/15 190/21 209/8 226/9 103/2 109/21 116/6 153/23 155/12 157/15 80/8 81/6 81/19 83/9 interrogating [1] 248/10 116/12 131/9 213/1 162/25 166/6 171/8 83/21 83/24 84/1 16/5 172/22 172/23 180/18 jobs [2] 82/25 83/8 213/4 213/25 218/13 84/23 86/20 90/3 intervening [1] join [3] 64/24 153/23 227/15 228/18 233/1 181/9 182/15 187/3 91/10 91/24 95/1 95/1 162/12 200/17 240/20 248/16 197/6 197/16 198/11 95/2 96/7 96/12 98/4

223/14 224/3 227/24 132/5 left [15] 4/12 41/22 159/2 163/11 183/8 185/21 187/5 187/6 228/24 229/5 229/5 latter [4] 5/16 106/22 42/14 59/19 65/23 just... [98] 99/5 190/9 197/2 197/7 229/21 231/17 231/21 164/1 194/1 72/25 74/10 82/17 101/18 101/23 102/4 250/18 232/2 232/21 233/7 **Lawrence [31]** 84/20 83/12 92/18 95/3 103/24 105/22 107/3 **Kelly's [3]** 149/15 233/12 235/4 235/9 84/24 84/25 86/3 88/2 110/21 142/25 156/11 107/25 108/3 109/16 150/5 154/23 237/19 238/7 238/8 88/4 89/25 90/16 91/5 224/4 111/7 117/12 117/18 239/13 240/8 245/8 kept [1] 248/24 136/3 136/10 142/1 left-hand [1] 110/21 118/7 118/12 119/3 key [9] 7/8 70/14 245/14 246/24 247/4 148/13 149/3 182/4 legal [17] 69/11 71/4 119/25 120/2 120/2 70/20 70/22 78/9 247/4 249/14 249/15 183/24 184/19 184/24 71/20 94/23 217/23 120/10 120/19 120/21 106/20 171/16 194/25 251/11 254/6 254/16 185/1 185/1 185/3 218/14 218/20 218/20 121/24 123/19 124/10 235/22 255/3 255/6 187/20 202/10 247/24 219/17 223/15 226/5 125/3 126/19 128/9 239/4 239/19 241/9 killing [1] 64/4 knowing [2] 22/5 248/15 250/7 251/1 131/19 132/9 134/2 kind [5] 33/21 33/22 70/3 251/4 252/24 253/16 241/14 241/21 242/22 134/10 137/9 137/24 36/14 37/12 246/5 255/4 knowledge [10] 1/17 legislation [1] 236/11 139/12 145/23 146/3 kinds [1] 241/6 46/1 52/9 63/12 70/5 Lawrence's [4] length [1] 163/21 146/20 147/14 148/19 knew [9] 23/22 41/15 87/13 159/4 159/7 136/22 185/18 187/13 lens [2] 127/5 169/2 153/23 156/4 157/5 44/19 129/15 172/20 less [2] 10/19 124/11 207/16 244/20 202/17 161/8 163/24 164/11 172/20 206/3 206/4 Lea [12] 217/22 lessons [1] 240/23 knowledgeable [1] 164/13 169/22 170/4 252/9 219/17 219/25 221/14 let [5] 61/5 112/13 158/25 171/17 173/24 174/4 know [170] 6/8 15/1 212/16 215/24 223/10 224/2 225/21 226/4 known [2] 22/12 175/13 179/13 180/9 228/21 229/24 238/9 22/14 26/3 44/16 230/12 let's [21] 24/5 51/14 181/3 184/15 184/16 55/19 57/1 58/1 61/5 knows [4] 62/15 242/7 243/24 80/3 83/9 86/10 97/22 188/7 188/18 190/17 73/12 75/3 75/15 167/12 193/9 236/19 lead [22] 4/17 7/22 110/19 120/17 121/24 194/13 197/15 201/14 76/24 77/1 78/3 78/8 8/15 8/15 11/17 12/20 126/15 128/3 152/16 203/14 205/6 207/12 78/15 78/18 78/20 12/24 74/2 74/6 75/19 155/1 169/25 175/15 207/13 214/22 217/5 La [7] 62/13 62/23 79/20 81/11 84/7 88/7 80/6 80/10 80/24 81/3 176/25 180/8 194/15 217/17 218/12 219/13 175/16 207/4 208/14 90/13 90/13 91/3 91/4 83/21 84/19 90/12 194/23 196/21 230/14 221/5 222/3 223/12 255/10 256/8 91/13 91/13 92/8 119/11 131/21 187/7 **Letby [39]** 32/19 33/4 224/16 225/9 226/3 labour [1] 81/12 93/23 93/25 94/5 229/9 232/21 33/24 38/14 39/7 226/17 227/25 229/19 lack [3] 31/11 92/24 94/20 95/22 100/2 41/16 42/11 44/8 leadership [10] 230/7 230/8 234/13 44/14 44/20 44/23 215/21 101/5 106/3 108/3 92/21 92/25 93/8 238/22 240/6 241/4 lady [22] 4/7 28/2 112/24 113/5 113/6 93/21 189/14 190/4 47/7 47/19 55/20 242/24 243/2 244/23 194/20 199/5 200/13 48/12 60/3 60/5 62/14 113/19 114/12 117/10 57/12 57/14 57/21 247/15 249/9 249/14 62/15 67/24 118/19 118/20 123/12 124/4 200/13 57/24 59/7 193/16 250/11 251/4 251/14 173/25 175/5 175/17 124/6 124/18 124/23 leading [2] 175/25 193/22 194/1 195/8 254/11 203/16 207/1 217/3 125/12 125/20 126/11 195/21 200/16 200/21 246/5 JUSTICE [4] 60/5 217/8 243/23 244/6 leads [18] 4/16 6/20 127/10 127/16 127/19 202/12 202/18 203/7 247/13 256/6 256/11 247/13 250/21 256/6 128/18 129/23 130/5 6/21 6/21 7/18 8/3 8/3 204/6 207/22 208/3 256/11 K 130/13 130/14 130/17 12/3 12/17 12/19 213/1 213/5 213/15 language [5] 99/19 131/1 131/24 132/18 65/14 67/1 67/8 68/15 213/20 217/17 245/13 **KAREN [24]** 1/9 1/14 99/20 137/1 137/4 132/23 133/5 133/21 75/22 89/17 153/2 246/15 4/18 32/20 35/16 36/5 135/15 135/22 137/15 138/9 201/13 **Letby's [5]** 52/14 36/12 36/16 37/24 139/23 140/8 140/9 large [1] 74/9 53/1 163/25 164/8 Leanne [1] 217/14 38/25 39/14 40/19 141/5 141/22 142/13 largely [9] 113/12 Leanne Woods [1] 184/3 41/18 41/22 42/17 128/25 133/8 133/10 letter [2] 240/11 148/10 148/19 148/21 217/14 42/22 42/25 47/11 133/12 150/14 151/24 learn [1] 200/1 148/21 149/13 149/24 242/11 49/15 58/18 58/22 192/5 222/15 152/3 154/4 154/9 letters [1] 238/1 learning [14] 72/7 59/19 61/16 256/3 154/14 155/13 156/13 larger [2] 80/23 75/1 98/17 144/21 level [74] 11/1 11/14 Karen Rees [19] 4/18 82/12 157/3 159/3 159/15 169/4 170/15 172/16 24/9 28/17 28/18 32/20 35/16 36/5 163/8 165/13 165/24 largest [1] 158/19 31/10 35/7 37/5 37/6 172/17 178/25 199/24 36/12 37/24 38/25 last [9] 26/15 72/21 166/6 166/22 166/25 215/12 215/16 216/19 46/9 46/9 46/16 46/17 39/14 40/19 41/18 86/19 92/15 94/18 167/8 171/23 172/14 237/14 69/6 70/23 71/13 42/17 42/22 42/25 172/23 172/23 174/24 146/4 224/14 226/13 75/11 90/6 90/18 learnt [1] 240/23 47/11 49/15 58/18 248/23 179/4 182/4 182/14 94/25 97/13 101/14 least [5] 32/3 52/18 58/22 59/19 61/16 late [3] 238/24 239/9 185/5 187/3 190/6 157/17 216/1 245/22 102/16 102/18 103/11 Karen Townsend [1] 242/10 leave [7] 43/22 44/9 191/4 191/12 191/14 105/3 105/12 107/4 1/14 193/10 193/12 193/12 late March [1] 242/10 72/14 134/2 153/4 107/8 107/18 112/12 keen [2] 76/7 248/8 late September 2016 193/20 196/4 196/12 196/11 255/6 112/21 113/6 113/7 keep [3] 27/4 27/8 [1] 238/24 201/11 201/14 201/20 113/17 115/2 117/21 leaving [3] 75/18 83/3 later [9] 20/12 30/16 201/23 202/2 202/4 119/4 119/15 123/21 75/20 80/18 keeps [1] 88/6 66/1 71/10 173/13 124/11 125/11 125/25 202/5 203/16 205/2 led [9] 46/2 150/12 Kelly [18] 61/11 183/8 217/18 243/9 206/3 206/15 208/21 150/21 156/12 156/19 127/16 128/14 128/15 71/21 76/1 87/9 87/9 252/24 209/10 209/11 209/13 159/12 170/8 242/17 128/16 130/1 131/13 122/21 154/17 158/10 latest [2] 131/25 209/13 214/8 214/8 242/17 132/16 132/19 132/19

196/21 197/16 197/17 154/1 171/18 186/3 213/8 245/25 managing [1] 77/25 linking [2] 70/14 200/16 224/15 226/3 188/22 191/16 193/1 mandatory [3] level... [22] 133/3 213/15 226/14 228/5 231/3 198/13 202/23 203/5 138/20 139/17 139/18 134/20 139/7 144/20 list [22] 53/18 74/18 234/1 234/19 243/3 209/19 211/12 215/1 manner [5] 35/1 147/16 147/17 148/11 74/19 98/7 98/19 252/17 220/23 226/22 228/25 35/15 131/2 162/7 151/13 156/2 165/17 104/7 123/8 126/17 looked [16] 22/11 229/4 229/20 230/10 206/8 175/2 181/20 197/19 134/4 138/5 144/16 230/10 230/11 232/8 many [18] 32/1 66/13 73/6 74/18 74/19 198/4 198/5 205/15 145/13 148/12 148/14 233/22 240/21 120/18 121/6 140/19 68/19 116/13 117/25 205/24 206/1 211/1 159/17 172/22 173/23 143/7 162/1 184/1 Mag [1] 239/6 120/21 130/3 130/3 214/22 228/9 237/17 main [2] 80/14 93/20 199/16 214/21 229/20 187/3 190/16 191/7 130/4 130/4 175/15 level 2 [1] 134/20 maintaining [1] 250/8 250/12 192/2 225/3 252/3 176/20 178/20 179/8 **levels [4]** 70/13 106/17 179/9 183/6 208/7 listed [7] 74/8 74/21 looking [34] 12/1 129/21 133/11 198/1 130/8 130/21 131/21 13/14 19/16 28/4 major [2] 108/8 214/17 liaise [3] 227/10 29/16 40/5 43/13 73/5 108/24 163/24 170/20 March [16] 66/5 241/14 241/15 listening [1] 82/4 73/8 78/25 91/3 97/1 majority [3] 159/13 72/15 72/19 72/22 liaised [1] 46/10 listing [1] 154/19 110/18 116/22 119/7 176/1 224/8 92/15 131/24 131/25 liaison [3] 239/12 lists [7] 92/20 141/17 125/10 125/12 125/15 make [29] 17/24 37/7 132/5 175/18 178/9 242/20 242/21 141/18 141/23 143/23 126/16 134/22 135/3 43/1 45/13 56/15 63/9 182/23 183/3 183/8 lie [2] 98/5 134/2 144/9 199/12 141/24 144/1 144/15 71/12 105/24 139/12 183/12 242/10 245/9 lies [1] 90/25 marked [2] 154/18 148/13 150/20 161/3 153/18 154/13 179/2 literally [2] 148/19 lifted [1] 171/3 179/20 180/2 181/18 197/5 178/4 186/16 191/9 164/1 light [3] 26/6 56/6 190/24 191/23 193/11 Martin [1] 4/12 little [9] 42/1 75/5 196/13 213/12 246/21 57/22 77/5 91/4 102/18 252/2 198/20 198/23 199/1 **Martyn [2]** 191/5 lightly [1] 254/16 113/2 117/19 128/8 looks [4] 8/10 80/11 202/20 220/24 221/10 196/4 like [36] 27/22 39/10 166/12 240/4 229/19 229/22 241/16 maternity [6] 80/16 252/24 39/17 49/15 50/19 live [1] 98/12 254/16 255/5 Lorraine [3] 2/22 6/8 80/22 80/22 87/2 51/7 51/16 56/17 6/10 makers [1] 159/6 248/4 248/19 **lives [1]** 77/15 59/22 61/25 67/7 loss [2] 59/20 138/7 **LL [2]** 43/22 230/25 makes [2] 54/12 matrix [2] 108/2 73/13 73/25 75/10 local [15] 10/20 lost [4] 93/19 93/19 133/20 118/1 79/16 93/5 96/12 97/7 11/14 84/4 89/18 94/5 93/20 188/18 making [14] 4/3 Matron [3] 65/3 65/5 109/12 113/15 113/17 96/21 102/13 102/21 **lot [12]** 49/2 53/17 23/25 28/15 33/19 65/24 116/6 125/5 125/11 105/3 107/11 107/18 70/11 80/15 107/17 101/16 102/23 158/8 matter [21] 13/24 131/12 134/3 141/9 113/21 133/3 147/17 144/1 148/20 233/4 192/25 196/17 198/15 47/25 48/3 68/1 80/25 151/2 161/1 161/7 215/10 234/18 248/3 248/3 218/17 220/25 230/2 107/13 146/4 160/11 181/19 210/4 217/8 locally [3] 9/20 9/23 249/20 246/17 185/16 186/2 186/25 240/4 246/1 251/14 170/10 187/7 188/7 203/18 lots [3] 53/16 213/3 manage [9] 9/24 likelihood [2] 108/8 location [1] 212/21 230/16 10/21 12/8 46/3 46/15 224/13 226/13 246/13 125/12 lower [4] 9/22 105/18 79/11 85/5 125/17 253/20 253/22 255/1 lock [1] 109/18 likely [14] 32/21 255/6 125/2 228/9 127/16 logged [1] 12/12 32/24 56/6 108/10 long [4] 14/2 210/18 **Lucy [17]** 47/7 47/19 managed [11] 46/18 matters [9] 13/9 112/17 125/13 151/1 220/2 222/6 53/1 57/21 59/7 67/10 71/7 75/1 98/8 78/23 83/6 93/4 156/12 161/5 162/4 longer [5] 37/22 189/24 195/21 196/10 98/10 98/13 100/8 102/25 103/5 106/25 162/5 210/2 225/7 83/12 146/15 178/9 201/12 203/21 207/22 104/24 117/19 127/14 107/22 255/1 234/25 202/5 208/3 213/1 213/5 management [28] maturity [2] 96/9 likewise [1] 51/6 look [74] 11/22 11/23 213/15 213/20 245/14 1/24 66/20 70/14 97/12 limited [5] 141/2 18/10 22/7 23/4 24/5 Lucy Letby [11] 47/7 95/12 95/24 96/2 may [53] 2/11 3/13 141/6 168/5 196/9 25/9 27/25 64/16 47/19 57/21 59/7 96/19 96/25 97/6 11/19 22/13 32/11 199/11 68/18 75/4 80/2 85/23 195/21 207/22 208/3 97/22 98/5 98/7 98/13 43/8 56/19 57/7 61/14 line [21] 3/3 26/15 92/13 93/25 96/6 213/1 213/5 213/15 100/12 101/12 102/10 70/21 73/14 73/17 28/4 77/1 78/17 85/24 96/19 96/25 97/8 102/11 102/11 102/14 213/20 74/15 75/25 78/5 87/8 95/19 103/19 97/22 97/23 98/24 Lucy Letby's [1] 53/1 102/15 103/8 112/6 80/25 81/2 82/22 104/20 108/23 128/22 105/14 107/10 108/1 **Lucy's [1]** 213/24 115/9 125/7 125/9 84/20 87/21 97/1 97/4 159/6 182/19 204/18 108/4 108/16 108/17 157/13 165/6 205/12 97/5 102/1 102/7 luncheon [1] 118/25 205/13 220/2 222/6 109/10 109/12 110/19 104/2 114/14 114/15 manager [18] 2/7 2/8 223/1 223/6 232/5 117/15 117/18 120/1 2/9 3/3 4/22 11/11 114/17 114/19 116/5 linear [2] 106/2 Ma'am [3] 183/20 120/9 125/5 126/4 11/12 11/13 42/23 116/11 117/15 129/25 115/17 126/15 128/3 128/10 203/16 247/12 131/5 142/1 146/17 67/9 67/11 87/8 lines [10] 54/6 93/1 made [45] 3/24 14/4 131/19 134/4 134/7 109/20 110/6 113/11 149/4 168/5 183/24 152/2 155/17 162/4 16/10 19/12 23/2 140/1 140/13 141/25 113/22 159/7 180/22 192/1 195/8 197/19 186/23 201/3 206/11 29/17 31/1 32/25 38/6 143/6 145/17 146/3 197/21 197/23 197/24 managerial [1] 253/3 253/8 42/10 49/6 53/3 54/5 149/11 159/17 161/17 206/23 211/17 215/19 111/11 link [3] 107/15 60/10 66/7 72/25 167/13 170/4 171/17 managers [5] 110/9 218/24 239/15 244/6 196/11 246/15 74/10 81/23 87/15 172/24 176/25 180/8 111/10 111/22 211/8 252/16 linked [3] 96/17 88/23 122/7 150/3 190/17 194/15 194/23 211/8 May 2016 [3] 75/25

131/8 131/21 М measures [4] 62/16 May 2016... [2] 82/22 125/16 126/5 128/3 149/4 mechanical [1] maybe [8] 4/2 59/1 227/17 66/14 70/20 176/22 media [7] 125/23 197/13 206/11 218/19 127/3 134/16 135/2 McCormack [2] 135/9 135/12 135/21 181/16 181/17 medical [18] 1/25 McMahon [16] 82/20 4/13 5/22 6/20 8/3 82/21 82/24 83/7 8/15 8/20 8/20 9/7 83/15 83/17 83/19 9/10 10/6 12/4 12/17 119/10 178/11 179/21 12/19 12/20 55/19 179/23 180/2 180/4 61/13 138/3 180/19 180/21 182/15 medication [13] McMahon's [1] 113/1 113/6 114/15 182/10 114/16 114/17 114/20 me [87] 5/12 16/25 115/1 144/18 144/19 17/24 20/7 22/14 146/18 147/5 147/10 22/16 23/3 36/11 147/12 37/15 38/6 39/21 40/7 meet [7] 7/19 16/23 49/12 51/4 58/13 61/5 51/14 79/12 151/14 61/18 69/19 74/19 198/10 236/1 75/19 91/16 91/16 meeting [102] 7/17 94/16 94/17 95/2 97/9 101/4 107/10 112/13 118/11 118/13 118/14 27/13 31/13 32/4 119/25 137/24 138/25 33/12 34/12 34/13 145/15 151/23 152/25 37/15 43/6 43/7 43/12 167/6 167/8 170/24 43/14 44/22 45/9 171/21 179/3 180/9 45/13 49/18 49/21 181/5 182/25 184/20 50/12 50/18 51/3 51/4 185/6 185/6 185/16 51/9 51/14 51/17 188/24 191/23 195/21 51/24 53/3 55/13 195/23 196/22 197/16 55/23 56/2 56/9 57/11 198/19 198/20 199/1 68/15 68/16 71/5 201/8 202/20 204/4 87/14 89/12 90/11 207/22 207/23 208/6 91/14 91/16 103/17 208/9 209/24 212/16 119/8 119/9 120/4 215/24 217/8 219/8 120/16 122/5 122/6 222/21 223/10 224/23 122/17 123/5 124/1 226/23 227/1 230/9 143/14 146/12 154/14 233/5 233/14 233/15 154/16 155/2 155/7 233/18 247/15 249/4 155/23 157/6 158/6 250/21 253/5 253/18 158/9 158/24 159/1 254/23 166/22 166/25 167/1 mean [22] 28/5 35/3 167/13 167/19 168/9 44/21 46/17 51/20 178/9 181/25 182/18 54/8 76/9 84/1 90/20 183/4 189/22 192/6 95/7 104/9 112/14 192/14 192/19 192/21 115/6 115/25 116/1 192/22 193/3 193/21 126/22 130/23 186/19 205/22 208/22 218/25 211/6 231/15 246/4 220/16 223/17 230/3 246/12 230/4 230/18 230/20 meaning [3] 136/23 231/13 231/20 231/21 174/7 201/4 231/24 232/3 232/4 means [7] 108/6 meetings [31] 6/23 109/22 130/24 136/6 138/6 144/10 164/12 49/23 51/1 53/15 meant [7] 10/4 96/10 53/19 61/8 68/12 114/14 126/1 143/23 68/17 68/19 78/15 159/8 207/14 89/11 89/18 89/25 measure [6] 128/12 90/14 95/16 95/19 128/14 130/9 130/16 123/8 132/16 161/16

168/2 182/17 189/5 189/18 191/19 196/3 218/6 218/11 Melius [7] 1/21 32/21 186/9 186/10 186/16 187/12 253/23 member [32] 18/20 23/10 23/24 26/20 27/16 32/23 41/6 45/18 60/22 77/2 81/21 111/2 113/5 113/20 113/25 115/4 115/14 116/20 130/9 130/14 163/16 165/1 174/11 174/14 185/23 187/22 204/15 208/6 209/10 212/2 216/12 249/8 member's [1] 115/6 members [12] 26/10 27/23 61/5 76/23 76/25 79/24 92/22 104/19 104/21 152/3 215/17 216/11 7/18 14/2 17/18 20/13 memories [1] 209/15 21/25 22/2 22/15 24/8 memory [6] 64/17 64/19 193/20 209/15 242/1 250/8 mentioned [4] 60/14 95/24 151/17 247/21 mentions [1] 163/16 message [1] 133/21 messages [1] 70/22 messaging [1] 125/6 met [11] 18/2 18/3 31/16 31/21 32/2 68/6 85/2 85/4 143/17 151/21 152/13 mid [2] 131/25 132/5 mid-March [2] 131/25 132/5 middle [4] 155/3 206/22 229/14 234/19 Midwife [11] 80/9 81/4 81/18 84/19 86/6 **Mmm [4]** 21/2 164/5 86/14 86/16 86/21 88/17 89/25 248/10 midwifery [5] 81/5 82/10 82/11 87/3 91/25 might [19] 8/8 59/1 62/19 77/13 82/8 87/11 95/20 107/15 118/17 134/2 145/1 164/13 168/7 168/11 243/21 244/2 16/24 18/2 28/14 46/5 Millward [17] 62/15 62/21 63/1 63/2 98/4 119/3 140/5 175/18 179/16 193/12 207/2 207/7 217/13 224/3 230/22 255/11 256/7 mind [13] 66/17

78/25 111/10 117/2 month [5] 7/11 78/21 120/3 129/14 130/19 137/9 157/8 201/8 206/3 225/23 247/5 minds [2] 65/22 110/15 mine [1] 54/10 minor [1] 108/24 minute [4] 89/10 124/8 168/13 175/13 minutes [35] 13/19 13/19 13/22 14/4 14/7 14/21 16/11 17/18 19/8 20/11 20/12 20/15 20/16 20/23 20/25 21/4 21/4 21/21 22/17 23/5 23/16 23/18 24/1 24/1 24/3 24/5 24/8 24/9 25/16 25/17 25/18 25/23 57/11 120/4 203/18 misleading [2] 102/7 102/18 misprioritised [1] 79/4 missing [1] 175/14 mistake [1] 197/16 mitigate [2] 76/24 77/3 **mitigating** [1] 106/7 mitigation [1] 12/7 mitigations [3] 79/10 100/6 125/21 mm [16] 21/2 23/12 24/16 49/1 76/14 80/7 83/14 85/21 86/4 132/22 158/3 162/14 163/12 164/5 167/16 184/4 **Mm-hm [12]** 23/12 24/16 49/1 76/14 80/7 83/14 85/21 86/4 132/22 158/3 162/14 163/12 167/16 184/4 Mmm mm [4] 21/2 164/5 167/16 184/4 model [1] 75/12 moderate [6] 108/16 108/18 108/24 121/8 174/20 228/7 moment [19] 19/15 27/14 55/11 58/25 101/23 105/14 105/22 189/19 203/15 208/18 109/11 109/18 118/13 118/18 120/19 126/5 126/10 146/4 175/7 190/17 200/25 201/6 Monday [4] 1/1 41/2 163/20 165/23 monitor [1] 76/4 **monitoring [3]** 73/15 157/13 238/21

78/22 119/14 159/18 monthly [3] 68/14 89/10 237/7 months [18] 3/8 3/13 5/5 7/12 7/23 18/3 21/25 49/25 76/17 96/24 130/3 162/21 162/22 183/25 217/18 225/14 242/19 243/9 months' [1] 89/13 morbidity [4] 153/21 156/1 196/16 225/1 more [87] 3/5 10/24 19/18 19/19 19/23 20/3 22/2 30/5 31/6 37/14 40/20 40/23 42/7 44/11 44/13 48/9 50/4 60/3 66/21 66/24 66/25 67/1 73/8 74/4 74/25 75/5 76/8 76/10 77/6 77/14 81/14 84/6 85/7 85/23 86/24 87/23 88/1 88/12 91/5 92/4 97/9 112/17 113/14 119/25 124/24 125/5 126/16 127/20 128/8 133/2 141/25 144/16 144/21 148/14 148/16 151/2 152/8 152/20 152/20 153/21 154/12 159/20 161/5 161/25 162/8 162/8 162/16 163/22 167/18 170/2 176/23 186/3 188/24 189/23 193/25 194/5 196/15 200/20 203/19 208/9 211/12 217/3 232/6 242/13 243/22 247/9 248/16 morning [14] 1/3 36/19 55/23 56/5 57/10 104/25 219/9 219/23 220/9 223/21 225/20 226/18 242/7 255/14 mortality [78] 3/8 13/12 13/15 13/17 14/25 15/4 15/7 15/23 16/6 16/14 16/21 17/5 17/17 17/20 17/22 18/4 18/12 18/16 19/1 19/3 19/6 19/14 19/19 21/11 21/18 21/20 22/6 22/7 23/1 23/14 24/12 25/3 26/13 28/11 28/17 29/8 29/12 29/12 29/22 30/4 30/7 30/12 30/24 31/3 32/8 46/16 99/1 99/8 100/1 105/24 106/3 114/1 118/4 119/18 119/22 121/7

124/12 127/2 128/20

83/17 83/19 119/10 226/4 228/21 243/24 80/11 М Mr Harper-Lea [1] 178/11 179/21 179/23 Ms Julie Fogarty [1] 221/14 multiple [3] 78/7 mortality... [19] Mr Harvey [6] 143/16 180/2 180/4 180/19 87/3 116/21 201/1 129/6 131/22 153/21 154/17 181/8 190/9 180/21 182/15 **MS KAREN** mum [5] 81/11 156/1 156/1 164/22 197/3 201/9 Mrs Millward [8] 63/2 TOWNSEND [2] 1/9 153/24 157/12 161/5 175/20 183/4 183/13 Mr McCormack [2] 98/4 119/3 140/5 256/3 169/12 189/10 189/12 194/18 175/18 179/16 193/12 **Ms Kelly [1]** 154/17 181/16 181/17 murdered [1] 217/17 194/25 196/16 199/20 207/7 Mr Semple [5] 92/20 Ms Kelly's [1] 154/23 **murdering** [1] 59/8 200/11 205/10 225/1 93/11 94/17 215/2 Mrs Peacock [16] Ms Lawrence [8] murders [2] 63/22 225/11 75/18 80/18 87/21 84/24 84/25 86/3 91/5 64/6 215/25 most [11] 36/25 37/6 Mr Semple's [1] 87/24 89/22 90/13 136/3 136/10 182/4 must [5] 32/15 56/21 39/3 48/22 49/10 141/9 142/7 142/9 202/10 57/4 178/19 227/20 215/21 49/16 89/9 150/13 142/11 142/21 145/20 Ms Lawrence's [2] Mr Tony Chambers mustn't [2] 56/22 156/12 158/25 169/11 145/25 178/8 178/13 136/22 187/13 57/6 **[1]** 122/22 Mother [2] 232/19 Mrs [90] 48/13 60/8 248/23 Ms McMahon [4] mutually [1] 51/12 236/19 62/5 62/15 63/2 68/16 Mrs Peacock's [1] 82/20 82/21 83/7 my [198] 1/14 3/3 4/7 Mother A [2] 232/19 71/21 75/18 76/1 5/3 7/9 8/2 11/7 11/18 153/3 83/15 236/19 Mrs Powell [1] 80/18 83/17 83/19 Ms McMahon's [1] 17/1 17/3 17/3 18/11 motivation [1] 61/25 87/9 87/9 87/21 87/24 213/23 182/10 20/6 20/20 28/2 31/9 move [12] 27/8 92/24 88/2 88/4 89/22 89/25 Mrs Ruth Millward 34/15 34/16 37/12 Ms Millward [5] 112/22 152/17 152/19 90/13 90/16 95/4 98/4 37/12 37/20 37/22 **[1]** 62/15 207/2 217/13 224/3 166/21 175/6 177/3 119/3 119/10 122/21 Mrs Sian Williams [1] 230/22 255/11 37/22 39/13 40/11 182/23 183/23 188/6 131/3 140/5 141/9 68/16 Ms Peacock [11] 41/18 44/6 45/13 202/8 142/1 142/7 142/9 Mrs Townsend [5] 68/7 82/17 83/9 83/12 45/24 46/25 48/8 moved [17] 2/6 2/24 142/11 142/21 145/20 48/13 60/8 62/5 131/3 116/25 164/4 164/6 48/12 48/13 50/9 59/2 22/24 48/5 52/22 145/25 148/13 149/3 166/2 166/25 167/23 59/2 60/3 60/18 62/14 205/11 111/15 130/15 130/18 149/15 150/5 153/3 62/15 63/1 63/15 Mrs Williams [5] 206/4 131/6 131/10 131/10 158/10 159/2 175/18 63/24 64/19 66/20 95/4 189/3 192/15 Ms Peacock's [2] 154/4 201/24 202/5 178/8 178/11 178/13 192/16 192/18 165/5 166/16 67/6 67/14 68/15 206/23 232/11 246/25 179/16 179/21 179/23 Ms [78] 1/4 1/5 1/7 69/16 69/20 69/21 Ms Powell [3] 166/9 moving [4] 20/10 1/9 1/12 2/25 9/14 180/2 180/4 180/19 166/17 171/2 69/21 70/1 70/7 71/8 46/16 81/2 250/7 180/21 182/15 184/19 41/14 62/21 66/11 Ms Sian Williams [1] 71/9 71/15 72/1 75/17 Mr [47] 48/10 48/11 184/24 185/1 185/1 68/6 68/7 74/8 82/17 75/21 76/21 76/22 66/11 60/7 62/13 62/23 88/6 Ms Townsend [6] 1/5 185/3 185/18 185/21 82/20 82/21 82/24 77/20 78/9 78/13 92/20 93/11 94/17 187/5 187/6 187/20 83/7 83/9 83/12 83/15 1/7 41/14 105/1 78/18 79/9 81/22 87/8 94/23 101/8 103/19 189/3 190/9 192/15 84/24 84/25 86/3 87/3 117/20 123/19 87/13 87/19 89/24 122/21 122/22 143/16 192/16 192/18 193/12 87/12 88/20 91/5 Ms Williams [4] 90/8 90/9 90/10 90/15 154/17 175/16 181/8 197/2 197/7 201/3 91/19 92/2 92/18 191/12 191/13 192/4 90/17 91/5 91/15 181/16 181/17 189/3 202/17 205/11 207/7 105/1 116/25 117/20 192/14 91/20 91/23 92/4 190/9 192/8 192/21 213/23 225/21 238/9 123/19 136/3 136/10 Ms Williams' [1] 93/11 94/24 99/23 192/22 197/3 201/9 242/7 248/15 248/23 136/22 150/23 154/17 191/18 101/3 101/5 101/5 207/3 207/4 207/5 250/7 251/1 251/4 154/23 156/10 164/4 Ms Woods [1] 101/14 101/17 101/17 207/6 208/14 208/23 252/24 253/16 255/4 164/6 165/5 166/2 243/20 101/19 101/19 102/8 209/4 209/25 215/2 166/9 166/16 166/17 102/8 102/16 103/6 Mrs Harper-Lea [3] much [48] 8/13 14/4 215/21 215/25 221/14 225/21 238/9 242/7 166/25 167/23 171/2 25/7 26/8 31/9 46/2 103/6 103/7 103/11 230/3 231/6 231/7 182/4 182/10 187/13 46/17 58/7 58/10 60/6 117/16 118/19 118/20 Mrs Hodkinson [1] 243/24 255/10 256/5 191/12 191/13 191/18 62/5 68/1 77/4 84/6 120/3 124/20 124/21 201/3 256/8 256/9 Mrs Kelly [13] 71/21 192/4 192/5 192/14 91/9 91/11 93/12 132/4 137/22 139/6 Mr Baker [8] 48/10 76/1 87/9 87/9 122/21 202/10 206/4 207/2 95/25 96/17 102/12 145/8 146/25 159/6 48/11 60/7 207/3 158/10 159/2 185/21 217/11 217/13 224/3 102/20 102/21 103/6 161/13 161/22 163/15 207/5 207/6 256/5 187/5 187/6 190/9 226/4 228/21 230/22 103/9 107/17 113/2 164/21 164/25 167/4 256/9 197/2 197/7 243/20 243/24 247/14 118/22 120/7 125/12 167/9 168/18 168/25 Mr Bennett [1] 192/8 255/11 256/3 256/4 129/16 132/10 132/14 171/22 172/11 173/25 Mrs Kelly's [2] **Mr Brearey [1]** 88/6 256/7 256/10 133/6 149/10 159/24 175/1 175/5 175/17 149/15 150/5 Mr Cross [14] 94/23 Mrs Lawrence [19] Ms Brown [4] 1/4 167/10 171/2 174/18 176/21 177/25 179/12 101/8 103/19 122/21 88/2 88/4 89/25 90/16 1/12 9/14 256/4 174/19 190/25 191/1 179/13 180/1 180/17 189/3 192/21 192/22 142/1 148/13 149/3 195/14 202/5 232/6 181/13 182/11 182/15 Ms Burnett [1] 2/25 208/23 209/4 209/25 184/19 184/24 185/1 Ms Debbie Peacock 233/20 255/10 255/11 182/21 185/10 185/17 230/3 231/6 231/7 185/1 185/3 187/20 185/22 186/8 186/16 **[1]** 68/6 255/12 243/24 248/15 250/7 251/1 Ms Fogarty [9] 74/8 multi [3] 80/11 186/23 186/25 187/11 Mr De La Poer [7] 252/24 253/16 255/4 187/21 188/1 188/3 87/12 88/20 91/19 161/14 200/15 62/13 62/23 175/16 Mrs Lawrence's [2] multi-disciplinary [1] 92/2 92/18 150/23 188/5 189/3 189/11 207/4 208/14 255/10 190/21 191/21 192/8 185/18 202/17 156/10 192/5 161/14 256/8 Mrs McMahon [11] Ms Harper-Lea [3] multi-faceted [1] 194/13 195/13 195/18

37/5 44/25 58/7 58/10 199/16 233/9 238/4 Μ 59/25 72/18 77/24 **my... [41]** 196/4 78/5 81/23 85/23 196/5 196/12 197/16 85/24 105/1 110/20 200/6 201/16 201/19 112/15 112/16 112/17 202/21 203/11 203/12 112/22 115/10 116/5 203/16 203/20 207/1 118/7 120/2 127/19 207/19 209/8 214/20 136/17 141/25 142/7 215/10 217/3 217/8 145/23 149/8 158/4 217/13 217/16 222/11 161/6 161/18 169/6 225/17 225/19 226/17 174/25 177/3 180/24 227/7 227/20 233/21 191/25 197/10 197/17 234/5 238/8 239/13 200/23 202/8 208/25 243/23 244/6 245/17 221/1 221/3 222/4 247/6 250/21 253/21 224/10 226/7 234/1 253/23 254/17 254/22 234/1 239/24 249/14 255/8 249/14 249/15 255/6 my Lady [16] 4/7 needed [32] 24/24 28/2 48/12 60/3 62/14 27/23 31/7 34/16 118/19 173/25 175/5 34/18 38/13 38/18 175/17 203/16 207/1 38/22 38/22 38/23 217/3 217/8 243/23 39/12 39/13 40/1 40/4 244/6 250/21 40/12 44/14 46/20 myself [14] 10/6 80/1 71/24 75/8 85/7 93/13 83/6 89/7 93/19 94/18 100/7 103/2 107/13 148/1 160/3 180/20 113/17 140/10 181/5 180/21 188/5 192/7 186/24 191/17 192/2 233/19 249/2 218/19 251/18 needs [4] 37/6 Ν 112/23 204/17 254/7 naivety [3] 17/1 neither [7] 20/6 37/12 37/18 22/16 41/20 41/24 name [14] 1/13 1/14 41/24 166/17 243/24 4/10 4/21 48/13 53/1 neonatal [101] 2/17 62/25 63/1 163/25 3/8 3/20 7/11 7/13 164/8 184/3 214/14 7/16 9/17 12/20 12/24 217/13 247/23 named [2] 144/3 16/7 16/16 16/22 17/2 213/5 narrative [2] 117/9 28/10 28/12 30/17 195/1 narrow [3] 141/2 37/21 42/22 46/16 143/23 158/19 47/20 50/8 50/24 narrowed [1] 148/15 54/16 67/19 81/6 81/9 national [13] 80/12 81/13 81/19 82/14 80/15 81/15 81/20 84/5 87/17 89/10 82/1 82/4 86/25 92/5 95/15 95/16 98/25 113/18 137/7 147/16 99/7 99/12 105/24 170/9 248/16 117/1 118/3 118/4 natural [4] 74/22 119/17 119/19 119/22 81/13 115/21 136/16 121/2 121/8 124/12 naturally [1] 136/19 125/19 128/20 129/5 nature [3] 152/11 132/13 133/1 133/5 188/20 230/19 134/8 140/7 140/23 near [1] 119/11 141/18 141/20 142/10 nearly [1] 60/8 142/21 146/10 148/12 necessarily [11] 152/9 156/24 160/9 51/20 52/15 97/3 160/13 162/2 164/4 115/25 116/1 117/7 165/15 167/2 167/21 142/5 144/11 172/9 168/12 170/9 170/11 202/23 245/14 171/20 172/4 175/20 necessary [2] 107/23 185/4 189/10 189/12

195/2 195/3 195/10

198/6 198/24 199/12

157/7

need [54] 24/25 25/1

neonates [1] 15/10 neonatologists [1] 91/11 neonatology [8] 28/5 86/9 86/18 86/23 88/15 88/25 89/3 90/6 58/5 58/5 60/3 62/1 network [1] 198/6 neutral [1] 39/6 never [17] 16/24 18/4 18/6 68/10 82/13 82/13 83/6 88/10 88/13 129/11 150/15 195/22 207/23 216/20 216/20 249/1 249/21 nevertheless [1] 45/16 new [13] 17/1 24/18 96/16 112/7 119/13 148/22 159/23 170/11 218/18 218/20 218/21 236/10 253/17 newsletter [1] 74/25 next [30] 4/17 11/8 20/22 36/15 43/23 49/16 59/4 59/16 89/4 96/24 105/11 117/21 118/9 119/21 146/8 146/12 182/25 186/22 189/1 189/5 190/24 198/15 198/24 220/10 221/11 224/12 225/4 231/4 235/2 235/10 **NHS [6]** 49/4 137/5 141/22 145/3 161/19 178/21 13/3 13/7 14/16 14/23 NHS England [3] 137/5 141/22 161/19 21/1 21/18 22/7 23/14 Nichol [4] 122/11 122/13 122/18 122/19 32/7 32/8 32/12 34/23 Nicola [2] 11/19 67/24 night [1] 176/1 nine [1] 194/3 **NN [1]** 24/11 NNU [19] 13/15 18/12 nobody [6] 30/18 19/14 23/21 23/23 25/12 25/14 30/20 34/9 44/24 46/7 54/19 **Nods [65]** 15/18 54/22 224/19 224/21 226/14 230/17 234/23 234/25 no [188] 1/24 2/16 3/4 3/10 3/16 4/2 7/8 7/13 9/9 13/13 15/5 15/20 15/20 17/7 17/13 17/14 17/19 17/23 18/8 19/7 19/9 20/9 20/24 21/6 22/5 22/9 22/9 23/18 23/19 24/2 24/20 24/22 25/3 25/4 25/11 25/22 27/5 27/12 27/20 31/25 36/10 37/11 38/2 38/5

38/19 39/11 39/22 42/13 42/24 44/7 46/25 50/2 50/9 52/9 53/2 54/9 54/12 54/12 54/12 55/14 55/15 56/12 56/16 57/7 58/1 81/23 82/11 83/3 83/12 83/17 85/1 85/8 non-fatal [1] 191/10 89/2 90/2 90/8 91/21 92/23 93/1 93/1 93/15 162/13 94/8 94/20 95/8 99/6 103/4 104/7 114/23 121/16 129/13 136/15 nor [5] 30/16 58/1 143/2 144/22 145/4 146/2 146/15 152/15 153/7 155/23 156/14 156/19 161/1 164/10 167/22 169/8 175/23 177/4 177/11 177/18 178/9 179/15 180/3 187/1 187/11 187/21 195/18 195/20 196/25 198/8 199/9 199/19 199/23 200/12 201/24 202/8 202/19 203/11 205/21 207/21 208/2 208/17 210/9 212/1 215/3 216/16 217/3 217/9 217/12 218/5 223/6 225/23 226/20 227/4 230/6 231/15 232/15 234/3 235/2 235/2 235/10 235/15 236/8 236/18 237/24 237/24 239/12 242/19 244/9 245/3 245/6 245/16 248/14 249/22 notifications [4] 250/3 250/5 250/5 250/6 250/8 251/3 253/11 253/12 255/9 133/2 208/9 209/5 215/5 215/7 15/24 16/1 16/4 16/18 **now [69]** 3/20 3/21 22/1 43/20 45/20 48/17 52/5 63/21 67/20 78/24 82/23 83/11 84/9 85/16 92/17 96/14 98/11 98/20 98/23 99/9 104/8 105/4 105/7 105/9 108/9 108/12 110/13 114/2 116/10 116/15 121/10 122/2 122/12 123/22 130/11 134/12 141/12 144/6 145/22 148/9 150/1 153/17 158/5 162/11 136/10 137/9 143/6

162/18 163/19 164/2 165/3 177/2 184/14 187/18 191/8 197/22 198/7 199/6 213/9 216/4 216/6 224/5 232/22 236/21 240/3 non [5] 69/5 110/2 65/11 71/15 77/2 79/6 130/10 162/13 191/10 non-clinical [1] 110/2 non-indictment [1] none [4] 111/7 139/22 249/23 250/3 137/11 138/23 139/24 166/17 166/17 243/24 **normal [4]** 83/18 132/19 211/4 228/10 normally [6] 28/6 53/14 131/12 137/17 195/15 237/17 not [276] note [16] 24/25 53/3 180/21 183/22 184/20 53/5 53/9 53/17 120/2 121/13 122/25 146/9 149/13 154/16 154/23 193/11 239/3 239/22 242/12 notebook [1] 53/21 205/19 205/20 205/20 noted [2] 54/18 57/12 notes [16] 25/15 32/25 44/24 45/1 45/13 53/14 53/17 53/18 54/2 54/4 54/5 55/22 57/14 150/2 150/5 253/23 nothing [9] 17/23 30/10 54/6 97/12 120/5 173/5 173/8 198/16 203/19 notifiable [1] 228/6 214/15 214/20 214/21 218/21 notified [1] 169/9 **notify [1]** 161/18 **notifying [1]** 215/16 November [3] 1/1 5/4 255/17 13/14 15/20 19/2 20/25 21/7 23/7 26/2 31/13 38/13 39/23 40/21 40/22 44/2 44/12 49/5 51/23 52/8 53/3 55/19 57/9 57/22 59/9 65/5 66/9 67/25 70/4 72/24 75/4 78/20 85/10 89/12 92/14 96/1 96/6 100/19 117/21 118/23 119/5 121/4 122/11 132/6 132/9 132/18 136/1

134/5 218/23 241/2 68/18 69/11 72/24 Ν obliged [1] 179/18 offered [6] 42/6 observations [1] 42/21 88/15 90/7 only [35] 11/20 75/16 73/10 75/13 76/16 now... [20] 145/19 71/9 90/19 201/22 78/6 80/25 93/19 79/17 80/15 81/1 157/25 162/21 193/4 observed [3] 185/5 offering [1] 107/23 93/25 115/10 116/11 81/19 82/14 84/10 193/19 194/2 194/16 office [2] 239/4 239/6 136/20 141/14 147/25 202/2 206/3 85/5 86/8 86/17 86/22 202/8 202/10 203/22 **obstetric** [5] 15/14 officer [1] 203/6 149/17 149/24 155/7 87/17 94/18 95/8 212/19 213/3 213/12 153/24 156/23 225/10 often [7] 7/3 7/10 156/8 185/2 187/2 95/18 96/21 99/12 214/2 219/11 224/10 225/11 7/19 10/20 14/5 141/7 187/11 189/20 192/20 100/6 102/10 102/16 226/7 230/15 249/3 obstetric review [1] 209/15 196/9 208/20 209/2 103/15 104/4 105/17 255/13 213/4 213/16 213/23 105/17 106/5 106/7 153/24 **oh [1]** 113/16 **NPSA [1]** 134/19 obstetrics [6] 14/14 okay [39] 52/2 52/7 222/11 225/24 227/15 107/12 107/15 108/10 number [47] 29/15 15/11 81/5 81/9 84/4 55/25 80/2 96/4 99/22 227/18 228/1 239/14 108/19 111/8 111/9 40/5 51/8 62/16 66/19 224/16 99/24 134/9 209/15 241/12 244/1 251/11 112/8 112/12 113/2 69/17 74/9 74/20 210/10 214/6 217/19 onward [1] 217/25 113/14 115/20 115/20 obviously [75] 7/18 76/22 77/23 79/18 8/6 16/7 21/10 25/17 219/2 219/24 220/4 open [8] 27/7 31/6 115/22 122/21 122/21 80/20 83/25 84/3 86/3 32/7 34/19 37/14 221/18 221/19 222/24 34/14 78/3 151/8 124/21 127/14 129/1 86/25 87/21 92/20 40/15 45/19 54/2 56/1 223/16 223/23 225/14 179/18 179/18 180/4 129/10 129/24 131/16 93/23 99/16 111/10 70/16 74/10 74/18 226/3 229/6 230/14 opened [1] 233/3 131/20 137/2 137/5 120/21 126/18 127/11 78/13 88/17 90/8 230/19 230/22 231/3 operate [1] 10/11 137/13 138/7 138/7 131/14 137/21 140/13 91/17 93/18 95/10 231/8 232/7 234/12 operates [1] 158/2 138/11 139/13 139/13 141/2 150/18 154/20 101/2 104/11 104/20 234/18 235/4 236/9 operating [1] 147/20 140/2 141/14 142/7 165/16 167/18 170/21 107/10 107/17 108/25 238/18 240/11 243/1 operational [11] 1/24 143/20 143/21 144/10 176/14 177/8 177/16 110/1 111/1 111/19 243/8 245/21 246/4 6/21 8/4 9/1 9/1 9/11 144/13 145/13 145/16 181/2 190/7 192/9 112/5 113/21 122/8 omission [4] 150/11 10/23 11/6 11/12 146/16 148/7 148/23 195/3 195/14 207/9 124/2 128/22 129/8 150/20 158/20 159/11 12/17 47/25 149/17 150/10 150/11 214/22 235/8 245/18 130/4 133/8 133/14 150/12 150/20 150/21 omitted [1] 215/6 operationalise [1] 248/5 249/19 133/15 141/9 143/25 150/22 152/1 152/13 on [317] 159/9 Number 1 [1] 177/16 155/13 155/14 156/11 144/23 148/18 153/1 once [15] 6/14 7/11 Operations [3] 2/24 numbers [1] 121/16 153/22 154/5 154/13 7/12 7/14 7/22 18/15 6/7 6/12 158/13 158/20 159/11 nurse [29] 8/22 8/23 154/21 159/8 164/22 22/17 40/10 92/18 159/12 159/25 161/5 opinion [2] 18/11 12/4 32/24 42/23 45/1 162/21 164/14 170/17 164/23 168/3 169/11 156/22 181/8 181/21 204/6 58/9 58/16 63/17 64/4 172/23 174/17 181/25 182/1 182/4 220/10 opportunity [16] 9/21 174/6 174/13 174/21 64/12 64/24 65/2 182/19 186/13 188/19 one [89] 3/4 3/4 4/16 174/24 175/14 176/4 78/16 78/22 80/17 111/10 183/5 183/7 180/21 181/14 181/25 190/12 200/24 202/16 7/20 7/20 31/22 31/22 85/25 88/19 91/18 183/14 185/7 185/7 206/13 213/3 235/8 36/19 40/23 44/11 93/6 107/5 160/8 182/10 182/15 184/25 187/7 189/13 190/3 235/20 238/11 241/12 47/1 49/5 54/12 54/15 162/20 165/21 171/12 185/8 185/12 185/15 191/5 194/20 195/17 54/25 56/22 68/14 185/16 186/9 186/25 243/12 245/2 246/21 200/1 241/4 243/2 198/1 232/24 253/6 250/12 251/9 255/3 68/14 73/2 73/15 188/21 190/25 191/1 optimal [5] 151/7 253/6 73/17 74/2 74/24 76/6 161/11 176/15 176/19 191/17 198/9 203/1 occasion [2] 122/24 **Nurse Anne Martyn** 76/6 78/9 85/4 85/23 204/21 205/11 209/11 185/3 177/14 **[1]** 191/5 occasions [4] 57/17 88/4 93/3 94/16 options [2] 54/14 212/10 212/20 213/13 nurses [2] 67/13 140/10 185/2 248/5 102/24 108/4 108/5 158/16 213/21 215/16 216/18 111/8 occur [2] 131/7 109/11 118/2 118/13 or [239] 3/6 5/1 5/15 216/19 217/5 218/17 nursing [26] 4/18 118/15 119/20 119/21 5/18 7/4 7/11 8/18 218/18 218/20 218/21 253/25 6/21 8/3 8/15 8/22 9/7 occurred [15] 3/25 119/25 121/3 121/5 8/22 9/23 10/21 10/21 220/25 221/17 221/17 9/10 10/7 12/17 12/19 30/22 70/8 86/7 124/13 125/2 130/8 11/6 11/14 12/6 12/8 222/24 223/6 228/7 12/23 30/17 35/17 137/17 137/18 147/11 12/8 12/17 13/3 16/6 133/10 135/3 136/16 228/12 228/17 229/22 35/23 37/7 41/6 61/9 230/10 230/12 232/25 162/23 163/25 167/2 140/18 144/4 145/1 16/11 16/20 17/6 63/24 63/25 65/18 167/14 176/1 185/25 145/9 145/23 146/4 17/24 18/12 19/4 20/1 235/7 235/16 235/18 66/12 93/20 113/12 150/12 152/20 152/20 235/23 237/25 240/22 204/25 247/25 20/8 21/25 22/15 165/7 170/10 176/4 occurring [6] 52/11 155/2 161/1 163/22 27/22 29/2 29/10 241/14 242/1 242/10 55/22 57/19 108/14 163/25 164/14 166/12 30/17 30/17 30/20 242/21 244/11 245/4 0 169/18 173/24 175/14 30/23 30/24 31/18 246/14 246/23 247/5 108/22 136/19 o'clock [4] 31/14 185/2 188/7 188/18 32/24 33/21 35/8 250/18 251/15 253/7 occurs [1] 139/6 165/24 255/14 255/16 October [6] 162/10 192/8 193/12 194/4 36/11 36/16 38/6 253/12 255/3 **O's [1]** 213/14 163/23 167/18 217/18 197/6 200/23 202/13 38/11 39/22 41/15 or April 2016 [1] **objective [1]** 96/20 219/4 245/10 205/11 215/1 217/5 41/20 41/20 42/19 242/10 objectives [3] 96/22 October 2016 [1] 221/18 224/14 226/4 42/23 43/5 43/7 44/7 oral [1] 221/5 97/2 106/21 226/13 229/22 230/12 44/19 45/1 45/22 219/4 orally [1] 34/25 obligation [11] off [5] 27/4 61/23 235/22 240/14 242/24 46/22 49/15 50/1 order [1] 220/24 107/21 166/18 178/17 180/14 230/25 247/18 250/23 50/14 51/10 52/10 ordinate [1] 181/25 179/14 179/20 180/1 52/14 55/8 56/1 56/23 ordinators [1] 67/10 off-duty [1] 230/25 one-page [1] 240/14 180/3 181/11 181/18 ones [1] 85/4 61/25 65/10 66/6 offer [5] 41/24 59/18 organisation [19] 221/11 227/7 203/19 215/24 241/19 ongoing [4] 22/22 66/15 66/22 67/8 67/9 46/18 47/21 75/14

86/17 86/22 87/14 182/1 182/12 182/13 41/3 0 outcome [12] 104/4 109/7 115/18 116/1 143/17 180/6 186/12 186/22 187/4 paragraph 62 [1] organisation... [16] 125/11 125/13 139/24 paediatricians' [1] 188/3 189/1 206/21 44/21 75/15 96/16 106/19 144/14 147/11 147/13 204/6 218/6 218/11 218/24 pardon [1] 250/25 106/20 111/24 127/4 229/21 230/13 paediatrics [15] 3/20 220/23 221/2 221/15 parents [3] 46/22 129/22 135/20 158/19 5/24 7/22 14/16 15/12 221/24 223/17 225/19 188/12 188/17 outpatients [2] 2/6 159/10 162/6 163/6 17/2 37/21 50/23 81/6 225/22 226/1 226/19 2/7 park [5] 46/10 55/25 188/25 210/19 211/1 84/5 88/15 88/25 89/3 227/5 229/3 229/18 56/4 56/20 117/12 outset [2] 216/8 233/8 241/5 92/1 133/6 229/22 233/22 233/25 part [39] 5/16 5/21 organisational [3] outside [8] 11/2 21/8 page [67] 4/21 24/7 234/14 234/16 238/12 7/9 12/16 17/1 17/3 4/7 6/18 211/24 24/15 27/7 82/6 40/7 43/2 47/4 47/10 241/21 241/23 242/8 25/4 28/18 29/18 organisations [1] 125/24 205/1 212/14 53/4 53/6 85/23 86/5 242/23 253/24 254/4 30/15 46/24 46/25 73/6 89/9 91/7 98/3 100/11 outstanding [3] 254/6 255/7 47/21 60/25 63/23 organised [1] 50/1 100/11 103/22 103/22 panels [1] 226/5 170/7 170/7 238/3 80/19 80/23 82/12 orientate [1] 120/19 outwardly [1] 254/5 104/24 105/16 106/13 paper [15] 133/23 85/18 97/24 100/22 originally [2] 112/5 over [49] 3/1 4/11 108/1 109/16 110/19 133/24 140/16 143/15 106/22 109/14 110/10 250/20 18/25 38/11 38/11 111/15 119/13 120/2 162/3 182/22 190/7 111/14 127/22 137/24 OSR [3] 224/15 38/17 38/19 40/6 41/4 120/9 120/17 120/22 190/19 190/23 191/24 143/20 144/7 151/6 225/10 226/14 42/12 52/11 66/15 121/25 126/15 128/4 194/15 194/24 196/18 155/15 159/25 171/19 other [48] 6/2 7/1 70/7 71/9 72/8 72/10 134/10 134/14 136/4 206/25 238/19 173/15 180/9 182/24 13/9 16/10 33/7 35/16 73/6 77/17 80/4 84/20 140/15 143/8 146/8 papers [2] 21/16 197/24 214/18 220/25 35/23 36/5 54/15 55/8 149/17 152/19 155/1 85/24 87/10 88/2 89/9 226/18 Part 2 [1] 100/22 55/8 55/17 56/22 61/2 89/22 93/15 100/11 169/23 169/25 177/4 paragraph [25] 11/21 participate [1] 69/18 70/4 70/20 103/19 103/22 104/24 183/1 194/23 196/21 12/14 13/16 18/9 202/15 80/13 84/13 99/3 105/1 110/19 120/22 196/22 197/9 212/25 19/11 20/10 23/6 participated [1] 109/11 112/20 135/17 137/1 140/5 143/8 214/1 214/13 219/15 23/15 25/10 26/11 221/25 140/2 145/21 159/15 149/10 155/1 159/18 219/16 222/23 222/24 31/15 32/4 33/11 34/7 participating [1] 6/22 164/14 168/22 174/14 159/22 165/5 178/19 224/1 230/25 231/4 34/25 38/3 39/19 40/6 participation [1] 175/19 178/13 192/1 181/1 183/17 185/3 231/5 234/13 234/18 41/3 44/21 143/9 69/16 193/2 196/15 198/3 196/22 202/4 216/18 234/21 237/25 240/11 153/9 157/11 203/25 particular [26] 28/7 200/5 206/17 213/25 248/7 240/14 243/1 224/12 48/15 67/18 80/16 218/3 218/9 229/22 over-concern [1] page 1 [3] 47/10 paragraph 13 [2] 85/4 85/19 97/5 236/22 239/21 243/21 38/11 120/17 194/23 11/21 12/14 103/23 105/10 105/11 244/2 244/15 248/14 over-reliance [3] page 10 [1] 120/9 paragraph 18 [1] 118/1 122/8 125/1 250/3 38/11 38/17 38/19 page 11 [1] 197/9 13/16 126/21 135/14 151/14 others [4] 33/9 82/6 overall [4] 9/4 160/8 152/5 157/8 158/9 page 14 [1] 108/1 paragraph 20 [1] 176/16 247/3 176/23 233/9 page 15 [1] 109/16 20/10 169/23 179/6 183/5 otherwise [1] 11/14 overheard [1] 202/11 183/14 185/7 210/23 page 155 [1] 240/11 paragraph 21 [1] our [39] 6/24 6/24 page 2 [4] 43/2 53/4 214/24 oversaw [2] 69/12 23/6 6/25 8/5 8/5 8/6 9/2 101/8 134/10 212/25 paragraph 23 [2] particularly [9] 17/2 9/3 41/22 64/22 65/22 oversee [1] 210/22 page 3 [3] 98/3 136/4 23/15 25/10 97/6 114/16 133/9 69/16 73/20 76/19 overseeing [2] 71/6 222/23 142/4 145/14 211/16 paragraph 263 [1] 80/2 85/4 85/11 89/8 page 4 [4] 100/11 232/5 250/17 169/16 203/25 89/18 94/12 94/13 oversight [7] 8/4 119/13 126/15 196/21 parties [2] 29/16 61/2 paragraph 28 [1] 103/7 105/15 107/15 10/1 69/16 83/4 83/23 page 5 [3] 24/7 18/9 partners [1] 7/1 118/7 123/18 127/18 84/14 101/16 103/22 120/2 parts [2] 132/4 paragraph 29 [1] 128/16 139/11 144/21 page 53 [1] 219/15 overspeaking [1] 19/11 169/24 159/23 165/22 178/25 237/21 page 6 [2] 169/23 paragraph 32 [1] party [2] 16/12 181/24 195/9 206/6 overview [1] 80/4 169/25 26/11 190/13 244/3 254/25 255/2 own [11] 3/24 88/11 page 7 [4] 105/16 paragraph 40 [1] passed [4] 210/24 ourselves [1] 120/19 98/14 128/17 130/23 177/4 214/1 234/18 31/15 211/7 211/15 211/19 out [38] 7/20 9/19 131/3 139/18 152/13 page 777 [1] 243/1 passing [1] 253/1 paragraph 41 [1] 19/24 19/25 22/21 156/7 173/11 184/15 32/4 past [3] 34/3 110/20 page 8 [2] 128/4 29/19 45/24 50/13 owned [1] 131/4 140/15 paragraph 42 [1] 199/22 51/13 53/22 59/23 page 89 [1] 224/1 33/11 **path** [1] 17/3 61/1 62/4 64/6 74/14 page 9 [1] 106/13 paragraph 45 [1] pathology [2] 153/11 81/16 87/1 93/3 P's [4] 55/12 55/22 pages [1] 229/25 34/7 223/14 106/14 133/15 136/7 59/6 213/15 patient [86] 10/15 pagination [1] 40/7 paragraph 46 [1] 142/13 148/16 165/2 paediatric [8] 30/18 11/6 65/9 65/11 65/13 panel [54] 75/2 34/25 175/22 176/22 184/2 54/13 54/16 81/19 146/12 147/20 149/20 Paragraph 48 [1] 65/19 65/23 66/9 186/3 188/20 191/9 82/14 87/16 91/10 152/4 154/7 156/20 38/3 66/21 66/22 67/1 67/2 198/5 198/10 216/11 179/24 156/22 157/1 161/2 67/7 67/9 68/15 68/20 paragraph 54 [2] 216/24 234/8 237/13 161/23 165/20 165/21 39/19 40/6 paediatricians [9] 69/1 69/24 71/11 73/1 243/16 246/25 168/25 173/20 181/23 paragraph 59 [1] 25/8 26/9 40/15 86/8 73/8 73/23 74/2 75/13

211/13 214/23 221/9 255/15 72/4 77/4 108/19 P 84/18 149/10 161/17 252/10 piece [6] 141/15 Poer [7] 62/13 62/23 110/12 203/8 209/20 patient... [62] 75/19 people's [1] 110/14 153/23 163/22 191/3 175/16 207/4 208/14 209/23 224/14 75/22 76/7 77/6 77/14 perceive [3] 82/7 191/5 236/10 255/10 256/8 possibly [2] 71/14 77/17 80/5 80/10 102/17 177/11 pieces [2] 76/5 87/23 point [74] 2/19 2/23 95/22 80/24 81/3 83/21 place [52] 12/8 17/19 9/21 13/11 16/9 18/16 **post [15]** 3/12 3/14 **perceived** [2] 72/3 84/19 89/17 94/11 21/5 24/4 26/7 39/8 20/6 20/6 22/5 25/25 4/23 6/14 13/8 37/19 177/7 101/10 101/24 103/1 40/22 50/12 52/3 26/2 26/4 27/17 28/25 37/20 66/15 68/10 perceiving [1] 102/7 104/2 104/2 104/4 **perception [4]** 51/19 53/12 55/24 55/24 29/14 30/1 34/11 35/9 87/21 92/23 149/3 106/15 106/18 106/19 56/4 73/16 74/21 36/15 36/19 37/4 154/20 239/13 253/17 90/23 171/9 200/6 106/25 107/22 109/21 perfectly [1] 211/4 76/25 79/10 79/11 41/23 49/5 58/15 postdates [1] 92/14 109/22 110/12 111/3 79/15 93/17 93/23 59/22 75/24 79/22 perform [1] 6/1 postmortem [6] 115/20 119/10 130/10 performance [5] 2/8 94/9 94/9 100/24 87/10 89/2 89/12 153/16 155/24 177/19 133/13 133/14 134/5 68/11 85/2 85/8 97/6 102/9 107/14 124/1 92/11 93/18 94/19 223/11 223/18 232/14 134/18 135/8 135/15 performing [1] 125/16 125/21 131/1 95/3 101/22 103/24 posts [2] 5/1 5/7 135/18 135/20 138/2 101/14 138/13 146/13 157/14 115/9 124/22 127/10 potential [15] 28/10 138/8 140/6 153/2 30/2 34/8 93/4 118/2 159/21 166/22 167/7 129/9 140/4 148/19 perhaps [22] 68/1 159/23 174/24 176/9 73/19 79/20 88/1 168/11 168/15 174/13 150/17 153/25 154/1 119/17 121/1 123/20 184/9 195/1 195/7 134/16 135/2 145/6 89/22 91/4 91/6 92/5 177/10 179/9 197/7 155/25 157/4 158/13 195/14 200/1 201/13 93/12 97/4 98/21 199/4 200/7 201/25 163/1 163/5 163/5 151/25 199/25 216/2 201/15 206/13 217/24 100/6 102/6 102/17 165/15 174/17 176/8 204/3 205/3 220/16 218/12 228/12 235/23 236/12 117/12 123/16 141/23 225/25 233/24 240/9 177/6 182/3 194/8 potentially [11] 23/2 249/7 254/7 254/9 141/24 141/24 143/16 240/18 195/20 202/6 202/7 33/9 61/12 69/15 81/5 patient's [4] 115/22 173/25 243/21 placed [3] 38/8 72/11 202/9 207/21 208/2 124/5 195/19 207/20 115/24 145/16 228/15 period [17] 5/2 7/14 208/9 208/17 213/24 213/14 213/14 247/7 178/15 patients [11] 8/7 plan [9] 14/24 146/11 13/11 18/7 30/10 216/16 221/4 226/20 Powell [15] 12/24 26/21 73/4 74/1 116/7 228/22 229/5 233/2 64/15 66/15 72/8 154/6 157/13 177/9 13/3 13/5 13/10 18/2 124/24 125/20 136/23 76/12 79/1 79/22 224/11 224/20 226/8 247/6 249/2 22/3 49/15 163/9 159/19 216/13 225/2 82/21 83/1 83/23 226/15 points [8] 24/18 163/20 166/9 166/17 patients' [1] 77/15 103/21 159/18 162/12 planned [2] 75/23 171/2 183/2 196/18 43/21 53/23 70/21 pattern [1] 165/14 period February 2016 161/11 133/11 133/22 198/9 213/23 pause [3] 90/3 **[1]** 82/21 **planning [3]** 97/16 226/25 **practical** [2] 76/16 118/14 249/9 permanent [1] 77/2 230/18 231/13 police [24] 1/20 45/2 97/8 pausing [1] 224/16 45/8 45/21 112/21 permission [1] 207/2 **plausible [1]** 95/1 practice [9] 7/3 31/2 payments [2] 47/8 **persistent** [1] 190/2 play [1] 209/17 116/5 116/12 134/16 67/13 113/23 160/4 47/17 persistently [2] please [65] 1/6 1/8 135/7 135/18 135/19 185/13 185/15 186/19 payroll [1] 48/7 189/13 194/19 1/13 4/6 10/18 47/14 191/16 192/20 192/24 208/10 Peacock [38] 68/6 person [27] 48/22 53/4 62/20 62/24 79/7 193/3 203/3 203/6 **practices** [1] 162/2 68/7 68/22 75/18 83/24 84/1 85/10 49/10 49/16 62/14 203/10 203/17 208/24 practitioners [1] 80/18 82/17 83/9 67/21 68/3 82/9 90/19 85/22 89/10 89/14 209/22 210/1 210/7 67/14 83/12 87/21 87/24 110/22 112/22 112/23 98/3 100/11 109/12 232/25 preceding [1] 52/11 89/22 90/13 116/25 113/24 116/8 117/5 117/18 118/9 118/13 policies [1] 103/8 **Precisely [1]** 34/10 141/9 142/7 142/9 131/5 131/9 142/16 119/6 119/13 120/7 policy [9] 95/25 96/2 predominantly [1] 142/11 142/21 145/20 142/18 158/24 159/8 120/22 122/3 125/8 97/23 136/1 137/25 228/16 145/25 163/17 163/21 170/18 174/21 203/7 126/15 134/4 134/10 138/19 139/3 139/4 prefer [1] 247/18 164/4 164/6 164/20 136/4 140/14 143/8 238/15 241/5 249/14 139/13 prep [2] 231/5 231/14 166/2 166/25 167/23 249/15 146/9 146/20 149/13 preparation [6] 28/15 poor [2] 92/21 168/9 173/2 178/8 perspective [8] 29/4 152/17 152/18 152/20 115/25 169/16 173/7 218/3 178/13 206/4 219/18 42/1 83/1 100/4 157/9 170/1 177/3 poorly [1] 202/21 231/19 231/23 220/4 224/3 247/25 117/17 166/9 180/17 179/17 184/15 196/21 portfolio [1] 37/22 prepare [2] 77/22 248/23 196/12 196/22 197/16 212/17 position [19] 15/22 78/2 Peacock's [3] 153/3 phone [3] 56/3 56/20 212/25 214/1 214/13 65/3 65/16 72/12 prepared [5] 120/11 165/5 166/16 219/14 219/15 223/24 94/24 97/15 104/14 174/22 121/18 188/11 189/2 pending [1] 228/23 phrase [4] 81/1 83/25 223/25 224/1 230/14 143/15 150/16 162/3 198/12 penultimate [2] 111/1 194/22 231/4 234/12 234/17 163/1 166/16 167/18 prepares [1] 226/5 134/13 143/8 pick [16] 49/5 74/14 preparing [1] 167/4 239/2 240/10 240/11 190/6 190/18 191/24 people [26] 8/25 194/15 206/25 211/19 presence [1] 52/17 141/17 141/18 142/2 242/25 40/20 40/23 49/15 plethora [2] 94/19 143/23 144/9 144/16 positive [6] 98/8 present [15] 38/18 66/13 79/17 95/20 119/9 153/7 154/17 145/13 148/12 148/14 107/21 229/7 229/12 95/7 107/20 115/14 115/18 173/22 174/22 199/12 plus [2] 162/16 230/13 242/2 154/18 154/24 158/10 117/1 131/14 148/6 199/16 207/12 163/25 positives [1] 74/24 159/3 185/8 192/14 154/20 158/1 164/12 picked [2] 93/18 pm [9] 118/24 119/1 possibility [3] 83/8 192/15 208/6 216/20 170/4 175/19 178/21 153/10 155/19 175/9 104/12 112/16 164/14 245/16 245/18 193/10 202/13 209/20 picture [4] 65/22 175/10 175/12 223/6 possible [9] 24/19 presentation [4]

presentation... [4] 151/8 169/19 171/5 171/18 presented [4] 91/10 120/20 203/8 221/2 presenting [1] 173/10 press [2] 64/1 124/6 presumably [7] 14/6 26/1 51/2 51/14 98/9 148/6 251/10 prevent [1] 126/13 previous [8] 4/23 33/25 34/6 88/8 92/21 121/5 160/19 242/12 previously [14] 1/20 35/2 39/22 40/9 41/10 65/14 68/6 90/7 92/6 107/4 120/18 192/24 204/14 225/3 primary [6] 92/6 102/11 102/12 133/16 153/1 216/23 principal [1] 102/25 Principles [1] 98/6 prior [15] 3/8 4/25 6/13 21/3 21/17 26/6 52/9 53/17 55/23 57/13 98/9 160/20 173/17 219/10 220/7 **priorities** [1] 68/18 prioritising [1] 216/18 priority [8] 76/21 76/22 77/20 78/13 79/3 79/23 166/24 167/10 probably [11] 5/16 7/13 7/22 45/24 50/15 90/17 101/22 200/19 201/21 217/21 244/19 problem [9] 20/2 90/25 98/24 143/1 144/7 161/18 173/3 174/16 243/23 problematic [1] 5/18 **problems [2]** 96/17 98/18 proceed [1] 155/25 process [34] 3/2 17/24 31/11 39/23 91/24 95/14 96/16 97/1 104/17 130/3 131/10 131/11 131/11 136/16 139/11 149/8 149/12 152/8 159/20 159/24 160/12 163/2 172/15 172/21 174/21 193/17 204/10 210/18 218/23 227/17 235/24 238/21 239/17 243/4 190/22 196/5 239/2 process-driven [1] pulled [11] 120/15

159/24 processes [8] 102/9 111/17 139/19 170/14 218/14 236/25 249/24 250/4 produced [3] 109/24 190/8 246/8 product [1] 187/16 professional [5] 187/7 210/16 227/9 228/10 250/16 professionalism [2] 8/21 8/23 programme [1] 94/11 progress [5] 72/9 112/19 114/6 226/22 226/23 progressed [4] 2/8 131/2 135/19 138/10 progressing [1] 112/13 progression [1] 151/3 **promoted** [2] 2/13 3/12 **promoting** [1] 81/2 **promotion [1]** 75/20 **prompt [2]** 182/2 187/23 prompted [1] 161/25 properly [3] 99/2 99/10 207/14 protection [1] 47/7 provide [15] 1/20 4/4 8/4 10/8 70/10 90/1 101/15 127/21 129/17 194/24 198/21 235/19 qualified [2] 63/16 237/18 244/14 244/17 provided [20] 1/15 63/2 72/2 72/4 74/20 78/11 94/4 101/3 113/18 157/7 176/19 204/4 213/4 219/8 236/7 240/16 241/2 243/4 243/11 246/10 provides [1] 129/1 **providing [14]** 69/16 70/18 70/21 89/19 94/7 130/20 132/14 217/20 217/24 233/4 233/15 233/17 233/18 243/8 **provoke [1]** 115/10 **proxy [1]** 191/10 Psychological [1] 104/4 public [5] 28/16 29/18 61/1 124/3 127/17 publicly [2] 29/19 127/15 pull [4] 154/11

153/5 162/7 176/22 178/25 192/7 192/9 197/5 197/5 198/16 199/2 **pulling [1]** 196/13 purely [1] 73/10 purpose [7] 14/6 49/18 100/21 116/16 167/12 194/24 205/23 push [1] 73/22 put [39] 11/9 11/9 18/17 18/22 27/3 27/11 27/17 27/25 30/15 30/18 31/4 33/1 56/19 57/18 79/10 79/11 93/17 107/14 117/12 124/3 127/23 131/1 131/16 132/1 147/22 177/10 179/9 190/17 190/22 193/5 193/5 211/7 213/19 221/18 231/20 231/21 236/1 245/25 254/18 putting [6] 28/20 38/11 61/1 73/16 76/24 252/21 Q **QSPEC [14]** 68/24 71/5 71/7 95/17 104/19 104/19 120/5 133/9 168/13 204/21 205/12 205/24 206/10 18/6 20/6 22/16 30/1 206/13 qualifications [1] 1/25

64/23 quality [22] 10/15 65/6 65/12 66/23 69/1 71/20 76/2 88/7 88/8 88/9 88/10 88/11 128/10 128/19 140/22 254/23 141/4 143/21 147/3 148/23 170/12 172/6 200/14 quarter [1] 149/17 question [23] 11/8 20/4 20/20 30/9 34/20 40/11 53/6 69/20 90/17 91/23 99/23 110/22 111/5 112/19 129/18 132/4 168/6 179/12 179/13 180/1

questioned [1]

questioning [1]

questions [40] 1/12

16/19 19/4 48/8 48/9

109/20 117/16 118/6

165/13

156/5

166/11 166/19 205/3 205/12 253/24 254/24 255/7 raised [24] 16/25 40/9 40/10 42/9 45/22 58/13 87/8 107/10 124/7 166/2 166/6 168/1 173/17 227/21 232/19 246/23 raises [1] 86/5 raising [6] 17/7 19/4 79/14 166/18 238/18 ran [1] 94/12 rank [1] 58/23 rate [9] 19/19 24/12 99/1 99/8 105/24 164/23 189/10 194/18 205/10 rated [2] 117/23 170/6 rates [9] 13/17 17/5 17/20 17/22 18/4 18/16 23/1 106/4 207/16 208/14 232/24 199/21 rather [22] 15/12 37/7 49/8 57/4 63/25 65/15 79/17 79/19 96/11 110/18 120/15 125/5 144/21 148/7 151/4 152/9 162/6 48/11 48/14 60/4 60/5 189/14 189/24 247/8 60/9 62/3 62/23 78/11 247/8 247/17 ratification [1]

207/6 207/9 210/10 ratified [1] 112/5 rating [1] 123/21 211/18 217/4 217/7 217/11 217/14 217/16 Ravi [2] 36/9 38/21 re [2] 165/19 248/10 230/16 236/1 247/13 247/15 255/9 256/4 re-badged [1] 248/10 256/5 256/6 256/8 re-direct [1] 165/19 256/9 256/10 256/11 reach [2] 48/23 198/14 reached [4] 66/18 quickly [6] 148/21 162/15 175/23 198/8 154/4 206/18 206/23 reacted [1] 165/10 reaction [4] 168/16 quite [22] 35/6 35/8 182/7 182/9 182/10 37/25 39/20 86/9 read [21] 16/11 93/3 141/5 141/24 143/23 93/9 93/14 178/17 154/4 160/12 165/25 179/1 179/14 179/19 175/15 206/23 209/9 179/21 180/2 180/4 209/12 213/24 227/16 184/1 202/16 221/1 230/23 234/18 244/9 221/3 221/11 221/23 222/2 229/23 251/15 251/17 reading [9] 86/20

104/17

120/3 184/23 207/2

quick [2] 157/3

251/15 251/17

245/11 250/15

radar [1] 169/7

raise [19] 13/11 17/5

80/1 133/17 165/24

22/6 27/23 40/10

R

201/10

178/3 178/5 182/7 219/20 221/6 221/7 221/20 251/25 45/23 50/24 51/5 59/3 ready [1] 241/17 real [6] 26/3 37/13 44/13 76/16 129/2 201/24 realise [1] 175/15

realised [2] 152/22 194/9 35/1 35/2 39/24 39/24 reality [2] 90/21 209/9

really [25] 8/16 9/7 49/20 68/8 68/9 84/25 85/3 95/2 110/20 138/19 149/17 158/22 159/21 159/24 167/20 199/9 200/2 202/7 209/7 212/8 230/7 238/22 246/13 249/5 249/13

reason [11] 19/21 19/22 46/12 46/14 72/18 171/6 171/11 204/10 216/10 240/5 247/2

reasonable [5] 92/11 115/10 128/2 138/15 173/12

reasons [6] 73/17 76/6 88/5 101/7 160/16 211/20 reassure [1] 216/16 recall [84] 2/15 6/13 13/4 17/7 17/11 20/14 31/19 32/5 32/13 32/18 32/22 33/1 33/3 43/7 43/9 43/10 43/12 45/7 45/9 45/12 45/14 49/18 50/17 55/5 55/6 63/24 63/25 101/1

(92) presentation... - recall

241/19 254/17 R reflected [4] 126/2 related [3] 104/3 removed [3] 31/10 recollections [2] 133/8 170/10 186/7 223/1 223/6 41/16 47/20 recall... [56] 101/1 179/11 179/12 reflecting [1] 213/20 relates [2] 40/14 removing [2] 39/7 101/4 122/18 124/20 recommend [1] reflection [3] 57/22 224/6 44/14 141/3 151/7 155/10 239/15 59/1 59/11 relating [2] 102/25 repeat [3] 27/5 99/6 155/10 156/8 156/9 Recommendation [1] reflections [3] 70/7 195/2 199/13 164/10 167/5 167/9 relation [20] 11/22 repeated [1] 34/24 152/23 87/19 203/23 167/9 168/22 176/12 recommended [1] **reflective [1]** 113/10 16/6 63/9 101/12 repeatedly [2] 93/24 176/13 176/23 178/1 154/11 reformed [1] 4/1 122/16 122/21 124/7 219/4 183/15 183/22 184/19 record [6] 2/6 30/11 127/3 135/6 135/7 reframe [1] 80/18 repeating [3] 185/14 185/2 186/10 189/20 53/17 53/18 154/15 reframing [1] 81/17 135/14 136/21 155/3 185/17 253/21 191/20 193/2 195/23 203/5 refresh [1] 193/19 189/23 193/21 219/5 replace [1] 2/20 202/16 202/17 207/24 recorded [6] 11/3 regard [2] 99/18 222/25 225/16 234/4 replaced [1] 2/22 208/20 209/2 212/15 11/23 121/1 152/16 110/7 234/19 reply [3] 183/9 220/4 219/2 219/7 219/10 157/6 170/16 regarded [3] 128/12 relationship [4] 85/9 251/6 221/7 222/7 222/9 records [4] 55/19 128/13 252/25 93/11 142/12 143/3 report [109] 6/5 6/6 223/13 223/19 223/20 57/9 154/24 213/8 relationships [4] 8/10 8/17 15/1 15/3 regarding [10] 3/7 223/22 224/23 230/4 recruitment [1] 5/3 38/6 50/7 68/11 85/6 87/25 89/24 96/3 15/5 15/8 15/13 15/14 230/5 231/12 231/18 109/25 154/13 212/11 relative [2] 99/2 red [5] 117/24 164/1 15/25 16/3 16/17 17/9 237/10 238/18 238/21 164/8 177/5 238/1 220/10 240/15 240/19 126/8 17/18 18/21 19/21 238/22 252/12 252/13 redacted [2] 72/14 regardless [3] 19/24 relatively [2] 96/16 19/22 22/19 22/20 252/17 72/20 22/23 160/11 136/2 24/25 26/1 66/10 70/9 recalls [1] 50/10 reduce [2] 46/15 regards [18] 21/15 release [2] 75/21 70/13 70/22 71/24 recap [1] 36/18 28/16 40/17 41/21 94/13 120/5 120/15 124/23 235/6 receipt [1] 47/23 86/13 88/14 102/23 128/23 129/16 130/25 reduced [2] 90/7 released [1] 216/13 receive [13] 2/25 127/2 134/25 185/14 133/19 135/24 136/18 90/20 **relevance [2]** 93/4 6/22 21/14 21/14 192/4 226/24 241/18 Rees [19] 4/18 32/20 136/20 136/20 138/15 239/14 21/16 32/16 35/15 138/18 138/20 139/21 35/16 36/5 36/12 243/13 244/15 251/1 relevant [8] 53/23 38/24 142/22 220/11 37/24 38/25 39/14 251/21 253/21 74/12 108/2 218/24 145/9 147/6 147/8 236/13 236/20 237/8 40/19 41/18 42/17 224/10 226/7 247/10 147/9 149/12 160/8 regional [4] 126/21 received [29] 9/25 42/22 42/25 47/11 147/3 148/22 148/23 249/1 162/20 169/13 172/20 13/18 13/22 20/14 49/15 58/18 58/22 register [54] 10/11 reliance [3] 38/11 175/19 176/14 176/18 20/22 23/5 25/4 25/17 59/19 61/16 11/3 11/10 11/15 38/17 38/19 176/21 177/1 178/1 29/23 35/9 38/4 38/25 refer [8] 11/16 20/11 18/14 18/17 18/22 reliant [1] 8/14 178/15 178/24 178/25 39/10 42/20 100/19 20/25 93/24 117/9 18/25 19/5 26/14 relinquished [2] 5/10 179/6 180/15 180/18 104/18 124/5 142/5 137/20 183/15 186/8 26/17 26/22 27/4 5/11 181/8 181/19 181/21 160/15 164/7 169/9 27/18 28/1 28/21 29/4 remain [6] 43/22 reference [31] 15/1 182/5 182/12 184/1 180/7 181/22 182/13 17/17 23/25 27/20 29/5 29/11 30/20 43/25 44/1 44/8 44/8 184/18 184/20 184/22 206/20 214/24 223/11 30/21 31/4 38/9 38/12 212/17 187/14 188/9 197/6 33/19 34/2 54/12 237/7 244/22 54/25 55/15 56/15 38/20 60/10 60/18 198/2 198/16 199/8 remained [3] 79/14 receives [1] 57/17 61/7 76/3 96/10 96/15 103/20 222/10 57/3 57/5 59/25 71/19 204/21 206/7 212/11 receiving [4] 14/6 74/12 118/12 118/15 100/9 100/10 100/16 remaining [4] 32/14 220/11 220/20 223/11 47/8 172/19 191/20 118/20 121/15 122/3 101/13 105/16 105/25 33/4 36/2 36/21 224/15 225/10 225/23 recent [2] 64/17 106/9 106/18 107/14 122/4 122/13 124/14 remedy [1] 161/12 226/14 226/20 231/10 64/19 128/9 131/23 144/17 117/23 119/7 120/8 remember [34] 5/10 231/16 231/16 232/16 recently [1] 167/2 192/20 212/21 213/1 233/8 234/4 235/20 121/3 121/4 121/5 45/9 45/10 111/21 recipients [1] 147/18 213/7 213/10 123/15 127/24 131/13 131/18 135/3 164/16 236/6 236/15 236/23 recognise [2] 98/3 referenced [2] 14/22 132/1 132/3 132/6 178/3 178/3 179/7 236/24 237/18 238/6 120/10 191/24 134/3 205/5 179/7 183/21 185/9 238/8 239/7 240/20 recognised [2] 240/24 241/3 242/14 referred [9] 3/11 registered [1] 18/13 188/25 189/21 192/21 231/25 231/25 32/19 44/24 91/15 **Registers [13]** 9/15 203/20 209/7 209/7 244/12 recognising [1] 73/3 125/14 144/18 152/18 9/17 9/17 9/18 9/18 209/22 209/23 212/8 reportable [3] 137/14 recognition [2] 38/12 205/15 213/16 222/12 222/12 222/17 19/16 19/24 26/25 137/25 153/19 244/21 27/3 30/11 31/2 38/17 232/3 232/3 232/3 referring [24] 25/15 reported [52] 5/12 recollect [1] 50/15 25/17 34/3 37/7 44/11 98/12 232/6 251/25 251/25 6/6 6/7 25/16 35/18 recollection [26] 47/17 71/16 88/6 97/3 regular [8] 7/7 7/9 252/1 252/2 253/10 45/17 73/18 73/20 11/19 11/20 31/16 49/23 50/1 50/2 50/3 84/4 114/22 124/5 109/2 109/10 114/11 remembered [1] 31/17 32/19 32/20 116/21 128/23 133/20 54/15 68/12 164/17 134/5 134/19 135/18 50/9 168/6 168/18 135/12 145/18 155/4 136/5 136/6 136/11 regularly [5] 22/2 remind [1] 96/7 179/5 184/25 184/25 157/13 180/10 213/13 79/13 85/2 150/13 137/19 137/23 139/5 remit [2] 94/18 185/22 187/10 187/13 215/21 225/9 231/15 241/15 101/19 139/9 143/25 145/10 187/19 187/21 189/3 refers [4] 120/14 rehearse [1] 74/13 removal [1] 130/9 146/1 146/17 149/24 193/15 194/8 194/13 149/25 150/16 151/15 180/12 185/1 214/24 reinforce [1] 161/6 remove [3] 38/14 225/13 230/6 230/9 reflect [1] 212/9 reiterate [1] 194/12 45/1 57/24 156/6 156/16 157/23

(93) recall... - reported

106/23 107/1 117/24 100/16 101/10 101/12 R resolve [1] 10/21 returning [2] 44/23 resolved [1] 12/9 72/9 120/12 121/22 131/22 reported... [20] resourced [2] 75/7 review [129] 10/7 134/14 136/7 138/21 159/18 160/22 162/25 75/10 11/23 11/25 12/2 12/5 138/25 140/16 142/18 168/20 168/24 172/18 resources [2] 54/17 12/10 14/23 20/25 143/12 144/8 149/16 173/22 174/3 174/9 75/17 21/5 21/7 21/8 21/22 155/3 190/18 193/21 182/1 196/8 204/25 respect [3] 127/8 22/13 22/18 22/19 198/18 206/7 206/8 205/4 206/7 212/19 22/25 24/11 30/25 208/15 218/7 218/12 150/15 181/1 227/6 227/19 229/1 respectively [1] 78/21 84/7 84/12 219/3 220/21 235/24 237/2 253/5 84/12 89/11 89/18 236/4 242/14 243/5 121/12 reporter [1] 213/17 respects [1] 126/18 90/10 98/13 127/12 244/4 249/6 250/6 reporting [57] 4/11 128/9 129/5 129/11 251/19 252/23 254/24 respond [1] 47/11 4/15 4/17 6/12 43/8 responded [3] 76/17 129/24 130/3 131/23 right-hand [2] 4/20 71/2 71/17 73/7 94/20 78/10 177/15 133/19 146/10 149/19 120/12 94/21 95/8 95/19 responding [1] 82/4 151/6 152/7 152/8 rightly [1] 213/24 110/1 132/12 135/1 response [10] 74/16 152/10 153/21 153/22 rise [1] 255/13 136/2 136/8 136/9 86/11 87/19 89/14 153/24 154/8 156/1 risk [241] 9/15 9/17 136/19 138/23 139/2 115/23 159/23 208/13 156/23 156/24 157/3 9/17 9/18 9/18 9/21 139/21 139/23 140/3 248/16 253/19 253/21 161/14 162/2 166/21 9/24 10/9 10/10 10/20 140/7 140/19 140/22 169/1 169/6 170/15 11/3 11/4 11/9 11/13 responsibilities [4] 141/21 143/10 144/16 172/15 174/4 175/20 11/15 11/15 11/17 71/18 78/10 102/8 145/11 145/12 147/12 184/22 188/7 191/25 214/10 12/3 12/5 12/6 12/8 147/25 148/3 148/24 responsibility [44] 192/4 196/7 198/13 12/12 13/12 18/12 149/9 150/10 156/13 7/25 8/2 9/8 9/8 11/2 201/11 202/5 202/24 18/13 18/13 18/17 160/23 160/25 163/3 49/2 71/3 71/12 71/15 206/10 206/12 206/20 18/22 18/25 19/5 168/20 172/17 172/19 72/1 73/21 74/5 89/17 220/8 220/11 220/15 19/13 19/16 19/16 174/10 174/16 199/11 90/10 90/11 94/22 220/19 220/22 221/1 19/24 23/20 23/23 199/11 199/21 199/21 221/6 221/12 221/21 98/14 100/15 101/15 23/23 24/3 25/12 200/3 204/13 204/18 102/25 103/5 106/17 222/17 224/13 224/17 25/14 26/14 26/16 204/24 205/14 236/24 107/5 111/11 111/12 224/19 224/21 224/21 26/20 26/22 26/25 reports [27] 8/20 27/3 27/4 27/4 27/15 111/19 121/21 130/20 224/24 225/2 225/5 8/22 69/18 93/1 93/25 130/23 134/2 158/6 225/7 225/11 225/18 27/18 28/1 28/7 28/8 94/1 94/3 94/14 117/8 166/7 166/9 178/12 226/12 226/14 226/19 28/21 28/23 29/3 29/5 123/8 141/5 142/16 181/24 182/15 206/1 228/8 228/15 231/5 29/5 29/11 30/6 30/6 172/9 173/20 215/3 231/13 231/18 232/17 210/12 210/17 210/24 30/7 30/11 30/12 215/11 215/12 217/25 211/21 214/18 229/18 233/12 233/13 233/19 30/15 30/20 30/20 223/14 224/9 224/11 31/1 31/3 34/8 34/10 239/19 234/5 234/7 234/11 225/16 226/5 226/8 responsible [14] 235/1 235/5 235/9 34/21 34/22 35/24 237/8 241/7 244/17 67/22 71/6 76/2 95/6 235/13 235/16 236/17 36/6 37/9 37/9 38/8 represent [2] 74/15 137/4 145/20 181/13 236/20 236/24 237/11 38/12 38/17 38/18 214/3 197/15 197/24 217/24 237/16 238/4 242/1 38/20 39/4 46/15 reputation [22] 28/10 228/17 228/17 238/15 243/15 243/18 244/3 47/21 57/19 59/25 30/3 30/3 118/3 241/6 244/25 245/2 245/4 60/10 60/13 60/17 119/17 121/2 123/20 61/7 65/9 65/11 65/13 role [87] 2/3 2/11 responsive [4] 85/7 245/7 245/8 246/11 123/24 124/14 125/6 140/12 170/7 200/5 246/13 246/19 251/21 65/19 65/23 66/9 126/24 127/3 127/4 66/21 67/1 67/7 68/15 reviewed [9] 9/25 responsiveness [2] 127/7 127/9 128/5 18/25 20/23 30/11 68/20 70/14 71/11 173/19 200/4 134/11 134/23 135/6 rest [1] 198/6 104/22 130/24 233/11 72/12 75/19 75/21 216/10 216/18 216/22 result [7] 66/21 82/10 243/14 246/22 76/3 76/3 77/6 77/14 reputational [3] 113/8 115/19 138/7 78/9 80/5 80/9 80/10 reviewer [1] 24/13 125/2 125/23 216/23 190/14 240/19 reviewing [3] 11/25 81/2 81/4 81/18 82/8 request [4] 31/17 resulted [3] 138/7 12/15 100/15 83/21 84/19 84/19 31/19 72/1 198/20 144/25 191/6 86/6 86/14 86/16 reviews [7] 29/17 requested [1] 85/18 31/3 31/8 128/17 86/21 88/17 89/17 resulting [1] 72/11 require [6] 11/1 results [1] 153/11 196/17 217/25 225/16 89/25 92/19 92/22 108/14 139/3 211/25 revision [1] 103/25 92/22 92/25 95/12 resuscitated [1] 212/3 227/9 Richard [1] 48/13 95/24 96/1 96/9 96/10 137/11 required [9] 24/20 Richard Baker [1] 96/15 96/15 96/19 resuscitation [2] 55/21 57/23 59/11 96/25 97/10 97/18 55/21 56/5 48/13 77/23 147/24 187/25 retain [3] 56/13 83/23 right [52] 4/20 8/12 97/19 97/22 98/5 98/7 224/9 227/8 98/10 98/12 98/13 84/14 33/3 58/15 59/14 requires [1] 10/22 retaining [1] 83/4 60/20 62/2 63/2 63/16 98/15 99/2 99/10 requiring [2] 176/11 retrospect [2] 200/20 65/3 69/3 70/23 83/10 99/18 100/4 100/8 239/7 200/23 86/15 100/14 105/20 100/9 100/10 100/12

101/24 102/10 102/11 102/14 103/1 103/5 103/8 103/23 104/24 105/8 105/10 105/16 105/17 105/18 105/18 105/25 106/9 106/15 106/17 106/18 106/19 106/21 106/25 107/14 107/22 108/1 108/14 108/17 108/21 109/8 114/4 114/4 115/9 117/18 117/22 119/7 119/10 120/8 121/4 123/23 124/10 124/13 124/16 124/19 125/1 125/9 126/2 126/17 126/24 127/3 127/24 128/6 130/23 131/3 131/4 131/13 131/19 131/25 132/1 132/3 132/6 134/3 140/6 143/9 153/2 176/8 184/9 201/13 201/14 202/11 205/5 212/21 212/22 216/23 217/23 248/10 254/1 254/4 risks [45] 9/22 9/22 9/25 10/2 10/8 11/22 11/23 11/24 12/2 12/15 12/16 13/2 13/5 27/9 27/9 27/10 29/3 30/11 30/18 54/13 96/11 97/1 97/3 98/7 99/3 104/1 104/1 104/6 106/7 106/8 108/3 109/10 117/23 117/23 119/4 119/14 120/23 121/14 121/16 122/1 122/8 126/25 127/23 130/6 130/24 robust [3] 100/6 132/12 170/14 2/20 3/15 5/3 5/10 5/11 5/12 6/1 6/3 7/24 13/2 17/2 17/3 19/25 30/22 37/12 37/18 39/6 46/21 46/24 46/25 48/18 48/25 48/25 65/10 65/12 66/5 68/24 72/9 72/10 75/22 77/6 77/7 80/10 80/18 80/21 80/23 81/13 81/17 81/24 82/9 82/20 83/10 83/13 83/16 83/20 86/6 86/13 86/15 86/20 87/20 88/1 88/13 88/13 89/8 89/18 90/20 92/7 94/18 101/17 101/20 102/4 102/6 102/8 102/20 103/3 103/6

106/19 106/25 107/22 11/21 11/22 12/14 215/20 219/25 221/7 248/22 R 110/12 119/10 134/5 13/15 14/24 18/10 222/13 224/6 226/4 secondment [1] 66/6 role... [19] 106/13 226/12 227/25 228/25 secondments [1] 140/6 147/3 148/23 19/11 20/2 23/4 23/15 106/22 107/4 116/25 153/2 159/23 170/12 25/10 25/22 26/2 238/18 240/6 92/23 127/21 130/10 147/21 172/6 176/9 184/9 26/12 27/9 32/13 says [34] 24/10 section [9] 138/1 153/1 158/16 161/16 24/17 24/22 29/7 195/1 195/7 200/14 32/18 33/11 33/15 157/10 158/21 172/1 161/21 161/22 166/19 201/13 201/15 217/24 34/7 34/24 34/25 55/18 58/8 86/7 86/11 203/23 214/24 215/9 196/4 214/20 217/20 228/6 228/15 249/7 35/18 37/18 37/25 89/5 94/8 122/15 215/11 215/23 218/3 247/23 248/13 254/8 254/9 38/3 39/11 39/17 135/9 136/6 138/1 sections [1] 171/15 roles [3] 5/18 66/22 said [71] 7/7 8/9 17/9 39/19 40/9 41/3 41/6 143/9 144/20 170/4 **Sedgwick [1]** 4/12 93/21 18/1 36/7 36/9 51/10 41/11 43/21 45/15 178/25 179/6 179/16 see [138] 4/9 4/18 rollout [1] 98/18 51/14 55/4 55/5 56/21 50/19 51/4 51/7 51/16 183/3 198/11 217/23 4/21 6/18 15/2 15/5 room [13] 62/17 56/25 60/16 60/17 55/17 56/17 61/17 220/5 222/25 223/5 15/7 15/16 17/9 17/11 70/20 158/12 195/23 61/20 70/4 77/10 61/24 64/3 64/18 227/11 230/1 230/9 20/11 22/17 22/19 196/3 200/25 201/6 82/11 83/18 96/8 96/9 231/8 234/22 240/13 64/19 64/19 66/19 22/20 22/24 24/25 201/15 201/16 201/21 99/5 111/9 112/2 67/2 70/11 71/15 245/8 252/25 26/15 28/3 28/3 28/8 207/24 209/8 209/11 113/16 113/24 114/9 71/22 72/5 73/24 75/9 **SB [4]** 45/1 45/4 28/9 34/17 50/5 53/16 root [1] 139/11 57/14 61/21 65/18 137/15 139/12 164/18 75/11 75/25 79/7 231/10 231/10 root cause [1] 169/14 171/7 171/19 81/14 84/16 86/25 **SBAR [8]** 63/10 70/13 73/14 73/17 139/11 183/16 188/10 189/25 87/6 88/5 88/18 89/16 152/18 152/23 153/1 73/19 74/24 77/13 roots [1] 148/2 191/14 192/24 195/18 153/22 154/6 156/18 90/8 90/23 93/10 95/1 85/13 85/19 86/5 rough [1] 32/25 197/12 198/25 200/20 96/13 103/6 103/11 157/1 87/10 89/4 92/18 route [5] 95/15 103/9 201/3 202/7 203/6 104/17 106/2 114/3 **SBARS [1]** 157/2 93/14 97/1 98/6 99/25 133/7 168/3 173/8 203/10 207/13 207/18 114/8 114/15 115/17 **SC [1]** 231/6 100/13 103/22 105/16 routes [2] 11/8 94/6 207/19 208/6 208/9 124/19 132/10 133/23 scale [1] 10/18 106/13 108/1 110/17 routine [2] 76/19 135/17 137/18 137/25 scapegoat [3] 202/12 208/13 216/20 220/18 118/2 119/9 119/11 77/20 138/9 139/5 139/7 222/3 222/4 226/17 202/18 202/24 119/13 119/14 119/21 **Royal [8]** 127/12 140/4 140/8 140/9 120/1 120/20 120/22 234/3 234/8 238/14 scenario [1] 46/4 201/10 202/4 210/5 245/17 245/20 248/24 141/7 142/2 142/7 scene [1] 251/4 121/12 121/15 121/24 233/12 234/5 234/8 249/1 250/7 253/3 143/2 143/12 143/22 126/16 128/8 129/12 scheduled [2] 49/23 246/19 253/3 253/13 253/13 143/24 146/14 149/25 165/23 131/14 132/6 132/25 Royal College [1] 155/12 156/17 158/18 scheme [1] 73/5 253/23 255/5 134/13 136/22 137/19 246/19 salary [1] 47/23 158/21 159/2 159/9 **schemes [3]** 73/7 140/15 140/18 142/3 rules [1] 146/4 161/17 161/22 163/1 same [28] 40/7 41/19 80/13 170/22 142/6 143/24 144/19 run [3] 123/16 182/18 53/11 57/20 64/24 163/4 164/12 166/6 scope [3] 74/4 145/4 145/6 146/7 202/21 65/13 76/4 76/25 167/9 168/12 169/19 160/23 200/3 146/9 147/18 149/22 running [1] 9/5 152/16 153/6 154/5 78/19 82/25 91/7 171/12 172/24 173/5 score [11] 105/11 **runs [1]** 71/18 103/21 125/25 143/24 173/12 173/21 174/2 105/17 105/19 108/5 154/6 154/7 154/15 rush [1] 217/12 147/14 163/2 174/14 178/22 179/17 181/3 108/5 108/6 119/20 155/1 155/17 165/14 rushed [1] 162/7 181/24 182/11 182/20 119/23 121/9 126/14 167/5 169/3 170/2 179/22 183/19 188/2 Ruth [5] 62/15 62/21 173/13 174/15 174/24 196/18 205/5 214/4 184/16 186/5 187/24 126/14 63/1 220/9 256/7 234/15 237/11 237/12 188/3 188/16 189/7 scored [1] 125/1 177/4 177/14 178/5 **RUTH MILLWARD [2]** 242/25 246/2 189/18 190/18 190/20 scores [1] 108/17 178/8 178/23 180/12 62/21 256/7 191/3 191/6 191/22 181/7 183/2 183/7 Sarah [9] 217/22 **scoring [6]** 105/8 219/17 219/25 220/6 194/17 195/21 196/25 105/14 108/1 118/1 183/10 184/11 190/11 200/8 202/25 203/24 190/11 196/22 197/9 224/2 227/9 229/24 122/9 125/10 sad [1] 206/24 197/17 198/11 201/6 231/21 241/9 207/21 208/5 213/25 screen [6] 4/6 85/11 **sadly [1]** 127/11 Sarah Harper-Lea [1] 218/19 224/24 230/13 96/7 192/12 193/5 207/3 211/21 212/19 safe [3] 41/13 41/14 229/24 233/6 235/9 236/16 193/6 212/23 215/1 216/22 170/6 Sarah's [3] 226/25 238/7 239/15 242/2 scripted [4] 28/14 219/16 219/18 220/7 safety [70] 10/15 227/21 238/6 242/18 242/20 244/13 29/3 60/15 60/21 223/3 223/8 227/17 11/6 42/3 65/9 65/11 sat [8] 50/21 67/4 245/20 251/2 252/14 **scroll [2]** 85/13 230/19 230/20 230/23 65/13 65/20 65/23 71/3 71/21 89/7 94/22 253/2 253/18 253/20 230/25 234/13 236/12 197/15 66/9 66/22 67/1 67/3 254/1 255/1 255/5 238/1 240/13 243/6 113/16 181/15 scrolling [1] 252/12 67/8 67/14 68/15 satisfied [1] 167/7 saving [40] 23/23 scrutiny [1] 27/8 251/17 253/18 68/21 69/1 69/9 69/24 saw [18] 7/24 24/10 36/23 37/5 39/3 43/24 **SE [1]** 231/10 seeing [9] 21/4 24/25 71/11 71/20 73/8 50/4 121/5 129/11 52/8 53/22 75/19 137/17 176/13 178/1 second [15] 40/8 75/13 75/19 75/22 166/16 172/2 176/12 78/13 98/21 115/3 41/4 55/3 55/9 55/18 179/7 179/8 184/19 76/3 77/6 77/14 77/17 179/6 182/5 191/15 123/11 129/23 139/1 56/7 56/16 57/1 57/4 252/13 80/6 80/10 80/16 198/2 219/7 219/23 144/22 145/10 145/11 57/4 57/5 121/5 seek [6] 36/13 37/24 80/24 81/3 83/21 147/6 147/7 147/8 124/10 150/15 221/18 41/19 59/3 59/15 225/19 242/6 250/17 84/19 89/17 92/20 secondary [2] 156/23 251/14 147/9 149/7 149/16 92/12 94/12 101/10 101/24 161/7 164/15 164/16 say [173] 3/25 10/3 224/17 seeking [4] 116/3 103/1 106/15 106/18 10/17 11/7 11/10 189/2 206/9 208/2 **secondly [2]** 132/5 146/22 183/3 200/8

95/19 230/23 90/10 90/14 94/10 253/5 253/17 254/12 220/12 220/20 220/23 S serious [118] 16/8 96/23 106/13 175/22 254/18 254/20 221/2 223/17 226/5 **seemingly [1]** 89/11 21/10 30/6 46/1 69/17 232/6 251/4 **she's [7]** 117/5 228/2 228/16 228/22 seems [6] 17/15 75/2 108/13 134/24 setting [4] 19/15 149/18 183/3 202/13 229/1 229/6 229/11 32/24 38/10 40/3 135/1 135/5 135/22 49/21 50/4 50/20 226/4 238/12 238/13 229/15 232/8 232/16 43/24 249/4 141/23 146/15 146/17 settle [1] 92/23 **shift [5]** 55/21 194/1 232/21 233/2 233/22 seen [39] 6/5 16/2 147/19 149/11 149/19 seven [8] 120/23 194/4 247/22 247/24 233/25 234/2 234/15 17/10 19/21 35/17 149/25 150/7 150/8 234/16 235/5 235/14 163/24 164/1 165/2 **shifting [2]** 148/24 37/1 54/10 104/20 150/10 150/12 150/21 171/16 193/16 194/9 149/9 235/22 235/23 236/6 114/3 117/19 121/3 151/14 151/16 152/3 236/15 236/23 236/24 209/11 **shifts** [1] 52/23 129/16 153/2 154/16 152/6 152/9 153/19 seventh [1] 162/19 **shocked [3]** 35/9 237/11 238/25 239/1 157/25 163/15 164/8 154/2 154/14 156/7 35/15 36/8 239/10 240/2 240/6 **several [3]** 3/13 164/18 165/18 168/7 156/16 156/20 156/21 60/15 165/6 **shocking [1]** 32/15 240/24 242/3 242/14 168/13 168/14 172/16 156/25 157/21 157/22 severity [5] 108/8 **shop [7]** 33/14 34/14 244/25 255/7 181/19 195/15 199/22 158/2 158/14 158/18 108/18 108/25 125/10 37/15 49/22 50/20 SI Panel [9] 218/6 216/23 219/12 219/21 159/4 159/12 159/15 211/11 51/15 53/21 218/11 218/24 220/23 223/20 226/18 229/25 **short [11]** 7/14 30/22 159/17 160/9 160/12 221/2 223/17 233/22 **shall [1]** 24/5 230/5 237/16 244/24 161/2 161/23 161/24 60/9 62/11 92/23 234/16 255/7 **share [2]** 135/16 249/2 249/3 252/16 163/3 163/7 165/20 244/12 154/3 173/25 175/11 Sian [3] 65/18 66/11 255/2 165/20 168/25 173/20 shared [12] 43/19 203/18 217/22 247/15 68/16 select [1] 135/11 174/18 174/20 181/22 87/12 88/21 91/19 short-circuit [1] Sian Williams [1] selecting [1] 144/10 182/1 182/12 182/13 107/19 127/14 150/23 217/22 65/18 self [10] 169/24 186/11 186/22 187/4 167/8 185/6 187/21 **shorthand [1]** 150/4 side [6] 4/20 14/15 170/6 170/17 170/18 188/2 188/6 189/1 189/4 190/12 **shortly [6]** 5/9 64/11 66/23 159/15 170/21 170/23 170/25 171/8 196/9 206/21 215/19 **sharing [2]** 32/6 71/8 84/7 132/25 155/18 221/18 171/19 171/22 198/2 she [126] 3/2 3/6 sight [7] 58/1 77/1 216/1 216/19 218/17 166/23 semantics [1] 80/25 221/15 221/17 221/24 35/22 43/24 43/25 **should [67]** 11/3 78/17 182/19 202/9 Semple [6] 92/14 225/18 225/22 225/23 44/9 47/7 47/19 47/22 18/13 18/22 22/11 204/18 205/13 92/20 93/11 94/17 225/25 226/2 226/10 47/23 48/1 48/4 48/5 27/9 36/14 43/25 sighted [3] 17/24 215/2 215/25 226/11 226/19 226/20 48/6 52/15 52/16 45/21 49/8 66/17 63/19 103/10 Semple's [1] 215/21 226/22 227/4 227/19 52/22 58/22 61/17 70/24 87/2 88/16 signal [1] 248/8 send [2] 133/18 228/9 229/2 229/18 61/18 61/20 61/24 97/16 104/12 110/11 signed [1] 180/14 206/14 62/19 68/8 68/8 68/13 112/18 131/16 131/25 significance [2] 3/14 229/21 230/11 232/23 senior [19] 8/21 9/10 233/6 233/8 234/4 74/20 75/1 75/20 132/2 136/5 136/11 24/14 11/13 12/4 27/24 234/14 235/17 237/6 82/19 82/24 83/12 137/23 139/21 149/25 significant [20] 6/19 36/25 37/6 39/3 48/22 237/8 237/18 238/11 83/19 84/22 85/1 85/2 159/3 160/2 160/3 9/24 10/24 13/6 69/24 48/25 49/10 49/16 86/8 86/17 86/22 87/4 239/15 241/3 241/13 166/2 166/5 166/13 74/20 77/22 77/23 58/8 58/16 188/25 241/16 241/21 241/23 87/6 89/22 130/17 167/23 167/25 168/2 89/9 98/1 98/25 99/8 190/8 190/10 197/1 142/9 142/12 142/14 99/15 105/18 114/20 242/8 242/23 244/16 169/8 169/8 169/9 211/12 244/18 253/24 254/3 142/24 142/25 142/25 174/6 174/7 174/10 151/12 167/17 178/1 seniority [1] 58/23 254/6 254/17 143/3 146/2 148/13 174/16 174/22 175/3 204/17 248/14 sense [10] 44/2 seriously [4] 82/5 153/4 154/23 159/7 181/6 182/10 186/2 significantly [2] 32/5 160/11 171/21 172/7 165/4 204/11 248/9 163/10 163/10 163/16 188/24 188/24 202/7 232/11 179/22 199/14 202/3 164/7 164/23 166/2 204/19 206/9 206/15 service [25] 5/25 Silver [1] 196/2 208/24 243/15 253/12 5/25 8/6 9/3 28/10 166/7 167/1 167/6 206/16 208/25 210/4 **similar [2]** 124/13 sent [13] 13/24 43/4 53/25 54/14 54/16 167/8 168/9 173/3 212/10 214/10 216/7 155/4 47/9 50/10 50/13 **similarly [1]** 78/8 71/7 73/12 74/23 178/13 182/5 183/4 216/9 219/5 220/20 51/13 85/18 103/19 75/10 75/25 81/19 183/24 183/25 184/1 227/2 227/22 233/2 simply [5] 17/21 163/9 180/8 225/20 92/3 93/15 93/18 184/1 184/2 184/3 235/17 246/10 246/24 59/25 124/16 128/16 242/7 250/12 184/11 184/12 184/12 shouldn't [11] 27/11 118/3 119/17 121/2 198/9 sentence [1] 89/4 152/1 152/1 170/3 184/17 184/21 184/21 49/9 116/13 131/16 since [7] 32/1 47/8 **sentenced** [1] 64/12 200/21 247/8 185/4 185/5 185/6 136/12 136/24 137/13 70/7 72/25 74/10 separate [3] 3/21 187/7 187/13 191/14 145/11 214/11 216/8 services [24] 4/17 117/25 194/13 68/3 185/2 5/23 50/23 69/12 71/4 191/15 191/16 196/18 237/12 since August 16 [1] **separated** [1] 3/23 71/20 73/22 80/22 201/11 201/15 201/15 show [4] 57/9 82/5 47/8 **separately [1]** 123/16 80/22 81/4 81/10 201/24 202/1 202/10 153/13 189/9 since September [1] **sepsis [3]** 73/3 73/10 82/12 82/14 94/23 202/23 205/12 213/5 **showed [4]** 106/6 32/1 73/24 139/24 176/3 225/20 95/13 127/18 170/20 213/23 220/9 226/5 **sincere** [1] 35/13 **September [12]** 2/13 171/9 173/7 217/23 226/6 230/1 230/2 **showing [1]** 250/8 single [3] 94/2 144/4 2/15 13/1 30/9 32/1 219/18 239/4 241/21 245/15 245/16 245/18|shown [2] 193/20 145/1 38/1 162/13 162/17 246/4 248/24 248/24 248/4 193/22 sinister [1] 113/15 238/24 239/8 240/13 sessions [1] 28/19 249/1 250/10 252/25 **SI [49]** 146/11 146/16| sir [8] 71/8 122/11 242/15 set [13] 9/19 59/23 154/7 218/6 218/11 253/1 253/1 253/3 122/13 122/18 122/19 series [3] 95/10 89/18 89/25 90/2 253/3 253/4 253/4 218/24 219/5 220/1 154/19 184/23 209/7

S Sir Duncan [3] 122/11 122/13 122/19 Sir Duncan Nichol [1] 122/18 SIs [2] 218/12 218/12 sit [6] 1/8 1/10 74/6 158/15 182/20 227/8 sits [8] 6/19 69/5 71/19 90/11 111/19 171/24 205/1 239/18 Sitting [1] 25/25 situation [20] 21/17 25/5 46/1 46/19 58/7 59/22 61/3 74/16 76/17 85/14 91/23 126/11 137/16 139/5 152/23 160/12 163/2 228/11 249/5 250/2 situations [1] 137/19 six [5] 7/12 7/14 43/21 191/7 212/20 six days [1] 212/20 six months [1] 7/12 six weeks [1] 7/14 size [2] 75/14 133/1 slide [3] 153/11 169/18 171/4 slideshow [1] 170/1 **slightly [7]** 75/12 80/21 81/17 117/16 125/2 126/3 235/19 slip [1] 81/7 small [6] 66/14 67/10 67/11 103/24 132/13 188/7 smaller [4] 73/25 87/22 133/6 201/16 snapshot [1] 85/12 so [556] solely [1] 83/20 **solicitors** [1] 240/12 some [91] 7/8 10/1 14/3 16/24 18/1 27/24 29/23 32/25 36/17 37/24 38/21 38/22 38/23 39/20 40/16 40/22 43/5 44/24 47/2 48/14 50/22 51/2 54/13 54/14 56/5 58/3 58/4 58/19 59/15 60/14 67/12 70/20 73/18 74/25 79/1 80/12 81/15 88/5 96/2 98/4 100/7 101/3 101/3 103/24 104/5 109/19 115/16 117/8 118/6 126/16 137/7 141/3 142/3 144/1 144/24 145/9 148/25 151/9 157/17 164/24 164/24 167/5 169/15 176/15 177/16 179/9

185/4 185/8 185/20 190/13 196/3 196/12 196/16 196/19 201/25 sounds [4] 114/12 206/5 210/10 210/11 211/17 212/10 217/6 218/19 219/7 223/21 227/20 227/22 235/18 237/15 246/5 252/6 253/7 somebody [20] 5/11 35/7 58/11 59/24 92/4 93/14 93/17 94/24 112/18 113/23 116/6 139/23 141/9 145/2 162/6 181/3 188/24 191/23 201/23 239/4 someone [9] 4/4 11/8 24/14 34/17 45/18 53/21 139/2 140/3 251/7 something [74] 5/19 9/9 10/20 11/1 11/9 22/10 22/13 26/21 38/5 39/12 45/22 51/5 55/11 64/16 70/24 71/25 73/1 84/11 96/7 100/4 100/24 101/5 104/12 104/25 108/13 specialties [4] 113/14 115/19 115/22 122/25 123/2 130/5 130/6 131/12 135/23 136/12 136/24 138/12 141/13 141/14 144/22 145/3 147/25 155/15 158/22 159/20 162/4 164/19 167/20 167/23 171/13 172/24 174/12 174/15 180/24 181/6 182/20 187/9 201/3 203/10 203/13 204/19 specifically [8] 36/2 204/22 206/11 206/16 56/14 71/21 73/25 207/12 215/19 219/9 228/11 232/25 246/4 251/14 252/25 253/3 254/7 sometimes [6] 10/22 14/3 14/5 59/23 115/17 164/12 somewhat [3] 200/5 209/5 221/8 soon [3] 110/11

224/13 231/9 sooner [1] 70/25 sorry [24] 2/16 6/11 25/16 27/6 40/5 40/6 48/2 54/20 59/20 86/19 99/4 104/10 111/15 182/14 217/6 219/20 222/8 222/18 230/7 234/20 238/22 249/11 251/5 255/4 sort [7] 27/4 27/8 66/17 97/17 102/4 229/17 254/16

sorts [1] 143/25 sought [1] 98/8 114/13 210/2 210/4 source [1] 190/4 sources [3] 190/22 192/10 196/13 space [1] 162/21 speak [34] 6/17 7/8 20/22 34/17 36/12 36/16 36/16 37/23 39/14 41/22 42/17 42/18 43/1 47/5 58/18 59/19 61/20 61/23 72/7 88/20 91/19 126/17 133/20 140/10 253/5 254/22 142/7 163/11 164/6 166/8 185/5 186/11 187/15 194/6 196/15 228/12 speaking [9] 36/5 46/21 92/2 117/1 163/13 209/24 210/1 240/7 253/4 special [1] 62/16 speciality [2] 6/23 96/21 157/14 161/7 170/25 182/19 specialty [10] 132/14 standing [1] 210/18 133/6 146/10 146/11 167/25 171/1 182/18 204/20 205/14 222/13 specific [12] 55/9 63/10 111/16 117/7 146/11 179/16 196/4 215/14 237/14 249/7 249/7 249/14 116/4 178/4 207/10 212/18 **specifics** [1] 58/5 spend [1] 196/3 **spent [1]** 3/13 sphere [2] 11/2 98/14 **splitting [1]** 178/12 spoke [12] 42/22 85/4 87/2 94/2 94/4 139/20 155/11 186/20 193/2 208/23 216/17 239/6 spoken [10] 23/7 61/17 92/9 111/25 163/21 174/6 194/16 198/23 204/13 210/23 spreadsheet [3] 192/7 193/4 198/22 staff [62] 6/16 7/8 23/10 23/24 26/13

26/21 27/16 32/23

66/18 67/12 67/12

41/6 45/18 66/16

79/5 79/10 79/12 79/24 93/1 98/14 109/21 111/2 111/24 112/7 113/5 113/12 113/20 113/25 115/3 115/6 115/14 116/19 130/9 130/15 142/8 144/10 148/2 165/2 170/10 172/18 174/11 174/14 176/4 185/6 185/23 187/22 188/3 201/16 204/15 208/6 209/10 213/25 216/12 216/12 249/8 253/5 **staffing [18]** 75/11 79/12 83/19 141/4 170/9 170/13 172/2 189/14 190/3 191/13 192/4 192/11 194/20 196/19 198/1 198/4 246/8 252/13 stage [7] 15/12 20/23 131/6 132/3 166/14 224/4 239/10 **standard [2]** 170/9 198/10 **standards [3]** 170/13 172/14 196/20 start [13] 53/22 62/8 79/1 110/21 118/6 118/23 149/8 149/9 149/12 177/1 177/4 222/24 243/17 started [7] 2/3 38/1 54/23 55/20 119/4 156/4 175/15 starting [2] 3/9 140/4 stipulated [1] 181/6 starts [3] 105/3 146/7 stop [2] 59/7 59/10 154/17 state [3] 1/13 62/24 231/17 **statement [44]** 1/15 1/16 1/20 11/16 13/16 story [1] 95/23 18/9 19/11 20/11 25/10 26/12 31/16 39/19 44/22 53/5 63/3 straightforward [1] 63/6 74/8 91/15 96/8 132/11 143/11 160/8 167/4 169/19 169/23 174/2 177/25 186/9 186/17 188/9 189/8 191/15 191/16 191/21 strong [4] 37/13 191/22 194/17 196/5 209/4 209/19 229/23 230/1 **statements** [1] 63/12 **stating [5]** 189/23 192/22 195/16 201/2 203/12 status [1] 28/18

76/24 77/2 78/2 78/19 statutory [9] 210/20 210/21 227/6 227/15 227/18 228/1 228/5 228/16 238/17 Staying [1] 64/23 steer [1] 235/16 **STEIS [13]** 134/5 134/19 135/11 135/24 146/16 147/1 147/11 147/25 157/19 225/24 226/21 227/6 229/2 step [10] 40/23 98/9 105/22 110/20 119/5 125/3 126/10 161/17 162/20 198/5 Stephen [2] 45/5 209/21 Stephen Brearey [1] 45/5 Stephen Cross [1] 209/21 Stepping [1] 64/7 steps [10] 36/15 59/4 59/16 190/24 198/15 198/24 212/6 220/10 225/4 229/9 still [29] 3/3 3/3 3/17 5/7 29/1 29/15 44/6 44/10 47/7 47/23 48/1 48/4 48/6 57/21 65/10 81/14 96/15 110/14 129/8 155/23 179/4 179/9 228/23 229/15 238/19 238/25 239/10 247/6 247/10 stillbirth [1] 14/23 **stillbirths** [1] 15/10 **stipulate [2]** 139/4 182/16 **stopped [2]** 101/2 101/8 **stopping [1]** 10/3 **stops** [1] 58/11 straight [2] 163/11 165/6 115/2 **strategic [2]** 96/20 97/16 strategy [1] 95/12 strike [1] 209/4 170/11 171/11 172/5 203/12 203/12 203/23 structural [1] 101/11 structure [10] 4/9 6/19 35/18 94/22 95/9 95/11 100/13 101/18 103/18 104/18 structures [1] 168/15 struggling [1] 85/3 style [1] 244/11

suspected [1] 189/19 186/11 240/18 254/5 33/22 95/20 102/3 111/15 122/6 131/17 S 131/7 198/4 216/10 suspend [1] 201/5 talk [12] 46/5 86/24 138/25 143/10 150/6 sub [7] 67/7 68/19 216/22 224/22 233/25 suspicion [1] 215/17 87/11 87/14 114/16 160/7 169/23 184/12 151/7 161/11 176/15 235/3 246/24 sustained [1] 197/19 117/4 156/8 156/12 184/15 184/21 235/10 176/19 177/14 **suggested [2]** 209/3 sworn [1] 62/19 156/13 157/11 165/5 240/1 sub meetings [1] 244/24 system [17] 17/19 254/24 telling [6] 33/24 68/19 105/8 110/1 112/6 talked [8] 33/7 33/7 36/25 142/24 145/15 suggesting [5] 40/3 sub optimal [5] 174/5 208/3 219/4 112/7 136/9 136/20 173/3 253/16 39/21 50/22 51/8 151/7 161/11 176/15 138/23 139/21 145/12 220/12 193/5 224/14 226/13 tells [1] 221/14 176/19 177/14 160/23 160/25 168/20 talking [28] 28/24 suggestion [5] 24/3 temporary [3] 66/6 sub teams [1] 67/7 30/3 36/1 36/2 45/11 185/12 192/1 246/7 174/10 204/24 205/18 119/10 201/17 subcategory [1] 253/13 251/14 45/12 47/17 53/23 tend [2] 143/24 157/2 145/13 **suggests [3]** 70/5 systems [5] 102/9 53/24 54/1 56/8 71/1 tended [2] 96/10 subject [6] 62/3 63/8 204/5 228/21 152/8 158/22 159/20 117/6 138/10 151/9 187/4 63/10 72/10 138/6 **SUI [4]** 149/18 163/4 176/5 185/7 193/9 tends [1] 102/3 144/4 193/12 208/21 208/23 terminology [1] 234/14 234/24 239/7 **submission** [1] 224/7 209/5 209/20 209/22 suitable [1] 26/22 19/15 **submit [2]** 129/5 terms [43] 1/23 2/2 sum [1] 8/1 tab [3] 4/7 28/2 43/3 209/25 221/8 245/19 191/22 tab 16 [1] 43/3 253/6 7/17 7/24 8/21 9/2 9/3 summaries [1] submitted [8] 71/24 talks [8] 24/2 94/19 tab 19 [1] 28/2 9/4 11/5 17/3 31/3 180/13 129/4 129/24 141/1 tab 3 [1] 4/7 109/17 151/22 151/24 36/14 37/22 39/2 44/4 summarise [2] 86/2 213/18 224/8 225/24 table [4] 1/8 108/5 158/21 163/21 225/4 105/2 46/23 56/19 58/12 234/4 252/10 252/13 summary [5] 140/25 target [1] 9/3 58/23 59/5 67/4 67/17 subsequent [3] 175/22 206/12 240/15 tabled [4] 133/23 team [87] 6/19 8/16 71/19 72/24 74/12 40/19 233/13 236/10 243/12 182/5 190/23 225/21 9/10 9/11 9/23 10/16 78/20 82/7 97/9 97/16 subsequently [7] tabling [1] 133/24 98/1 98/25 103/14 11/24 18/21 27/24 **summing [1]** 43/5 42/25 58/17 61/23 tabular [1] 120/20 43/1 46/3 59/20 60/17 superior [1] 58/22 103/25 107/20 110/3 66/7 86/1 234/8 tackle [1] 177/10 superseded [1] 25/6 60/22 60/24 61/6 123/23 131/20 145/19 253/14 take [44] 26/19 37/5 Supervisor [1] 2/6 66/14 66/25 67/6 156/17 186/19 187/13 subset [1] 73/25 39/6 39/8 40/22 42/11 67/16 68/17 69/11 190/1 193/4 supplementary [1] substandard [1] 46/20 53/14 53/16 73/5 76/7 76/8 76/11 194/25 test [2] 110/23 111/2 246/5 53/17 53/21 55/10 supplied [1] 196/18 76/22 76/23 78/14 text [3] 155/12 195/5 substantiate [2] support [32] 8/6 12/7 95/25 109/9 117/16 79/9 81/10 81/16 197/3 27/22 37/2 117/20 118/11 119/5 12/8 29/16 36/13 81/22 87/16 88/7 88/9 than [58] 10/19 10/24 substantive [4] 2/10 120/19 125/3 125/19 37/24 43/22 59/15 88/11 90/10 92/4 15/12 16/10 19/18 3/12 3/14 66/7 132/10 135/25 153/20 67/15 69/18 76/10 92/22 92/22 92/25 24/11 35/16 35/23 successfully [1] 154/1 154/7 157/18 79/4 80/12 80/13 95/3 95/5 101/16 36/5 37/7 37/14 49/8 137/11 161/17 162/20 173/24 80/22 82/15 83/4 87/5 103/7 103/10 107/11 57/4 63/25 65/15 succession [2] 30/22 174/1 175/9 186/7 87/18 88/14 89/3 90/6 107/12 107/12 111/20 70/25 73/9 79/17 154/4 187/11 187/23 188/2 90/19 91/21 127/25 122/5 124/1 127/13 79/19 83/21 88/1 92/5 such [19] 26/24 78/2 212/6 220/22 222/11 141/20 174/23 174/25 139/22 143/14 156/24 93/12 96/11 97/10 82/15 116/2 137/20 227/2 227/4 234/10 201/8 201/25 218/19 158/9 163/16 165/22 110/18 112/17 120/15 138/22 139/2 139/6 237/9 252/7 231/11 179/24 181/13 185/17 125/2 125/7 127/20 150/16 151/13 164/10 **supported [7]** 68/17 taken [49] 21/5 24/4 186/6 186/24 186/25 128/16 135/17 138/24 169/6 187/20 198/17 31/8 35/10 37/6 38/22 74/16 79/12 81/21 188/5 188/22 189/9 144/22 145/21 151/4 201/11 202/15 216/21 39/20 42/10 42/15 194/25 200/13 200/15 152/9 153/22 157/1 87/9 139/10 202/2 240/21 242/19 44/10 49/8 55/24 56/4 201/7 201/12 201/14 161/5 162/6 167/18 **supporting [6]** 78/18 sudden [6] 153/8 56/23 57/5 60/10 92/4 102/13 170/24 201/15 205/12 205/19 176/24 189/14 189/24 155/14 175/25 177/16 69/25 121/14 121/25 173/6 173/19 215/10 215/10 223/15 194/11 195/15 196/16 178/6 204/25 125/18 126/13 128/1 233/23 239/18 241/10 201/14 206/17 208/10 supportive [1] 81/1 suddenly [2] 137/10 137/5 137/7 138/13 sure [21] 4/4 44/19 241/14 242/22 255/2 232/6 239/21 244/15 177/17 145/7 163/6 168/11 70/22 71/12 77/7 team's [1] 239/19 247/8 247/17 248/14 Sue [1] 61/12 174/13 179/10 187/1 85/24 87/6 97/25 thank [51] 1/11 9/6 teams [15] 28/15 Sue Hodkinson [1] 197/1 197/4 199/4 112/16 122/22 123/6 9/13 9/13 17/14 60/3 30/17 30/17 67/7 61/12 204/11 215/2 220/10 123/9 179/20 180/2 92/25 102/13 102/22 60/6 60/20 60/23 61/4 suffered [1] 55/20 220/16 223/17 228/14 102/22 148/1 161/3 181/18 185/13 196/17 61/15 62/2 62/5 62/7 **sufficient [8]** 12/11 228/22 229/6 230/24 207/13 211/6 241/16 172/11 210/17 210/25 62/18 62/22 95/25 38/7 38/12 39/6 242/3 242/18 247/23 251/23 227/10 227/14 99/24 106/12 109/9 150/25 151/25 153/18 248/9 248/22 249/24 tease [1] 237/13 118/22 120/7 132/10 surely [2] 42/8 202/19 takes [2] 59/6 233/23 teasing [1] 148/16 135/25 152/21 157/18 126/22 sufficiently [3] 75/7 taking [15] 26/7 49/7 157/22 170/2 173/24 surmising [1] 227/23 **telephone [1]** 188/20 132/12 204/11 53/12 54/2 55/23 82/5 telescope [1] 140/2 175/5 175/17 183/20 surname [1] 67/25 suggest [15] 16/25 93/14 100/24 105/22 tell [18] 24/23 72/25 **surprising [1]** 17/15 194/7 203/5 204/1 23/20 25/11 29/24 suspect [1] 206/18 113/22 145/2 167/7 84/1 84/23 94/1 207/4 217/3 217/5

87/17 88/20 90/2 138/3 138/14 139/8 41/4 41/9 42/2 42/8 think [199] 1/19 2/11 90/14 90/15 91/19 139/10 141/9 142/20 42/24 45/10 45/11 3/17 4/22 5/20 13/5 thank... [13] 217/13 92/4 93/3 95/15 114/3 143/17 144/19 144/20 45/12 46/20 49/7 15/6 18/2 20/4 20/5 219/15 224/1 247/12 116/9 133/17 133/20 146/14 150/16 153/9 49/10 49/12 49/13 20/5 20/17 21/12 22/2 247/14 247/16 247/20 22/24 23/25 26/7 31/5 141/2 141/20 142/12 153/13 154/9 154/10 49/24 49/25 50/1 50/3 248/21 250/6 252/23 143/4 144/1 144/2 155/22 155/25 159/5 53/22 54/4 54/6 54/7 31/6 31/20 32/25 33/1 255/10 255/10 255/12 144/4 155/4 172/22 163/17 163/20 166/18 54/18 58/13 66/22 33/12 34/24 35/14 thanks [1] 180/13 174/23 179/11 179/25 167/17 170/5 170/8 67/5 72/3 74/21 75/3 35/18 36/9 36/15 that [1978] 189/4 190/19 190/22 171/3 173/9 174/16 77/21 78/4 78/5 78/11 37/11 38/19 38/20 that February [1] 191/6 191/23 193/16 175/3 179/17 182/1 78/14 79/13 79/14 38/21 40/12 40/13 78/20 40/13 40/21 42/19 193/23 195/25 198/14 185/5 198/23 202/5 79/21 79/21 79/25 that's [97] 14/18 198/21 201/8 203/19 204/23 205/11 205/24 79/25 80/13 81/10 42/20 42/20 44/5 25/13 25/16 28/6 206/21 206/23 206/23 204/3 215/7 228/8 88/19 92/24 93/13 51/12 52/8 56/6 56/12 32/20 45/19 45/19 240/9 241/8 206/24 208/14 210/1 94/2 96/22 96/22 56/15 56/25 57/23 63/5 63/7 64/5 65/4 thematic [52] 20/25 96/23 102/11 104/22 212/16 214/1 215/25 58/25 59/10 59/19 66/4 66/8 68/23 69/3 22/12 22/18 24/11 220/20 223/5 223/24 104/23 110/7 112/19 61/8 63/8 67/25 69/14 69/7 69/14 70/1 71/9 30/25 78/21 84/7 224/12 226/20 231/8 113/5 113/6 113/6 70/7 70/18 73/6 73/17 72/13 79/6 94/9 97/2 84/12 128/9 129/5 113/7 114/5 117/9 74/24 75/9 76/6 76/15 236/6 237/9 238/23 100/14 100/18 105/13 129/11 129/24 131/23 241/10 241/22 242/8 122/22 125/24 127/19 76/18 76/18 79/3 82/8 105/17 105/21 108/18 133/19 166/21 175/20 242/21 244/4 250/7 129/12 129/25 133/10 87/24 88/12 88/15 108/25 108/25 110/16 133/10 133/11 133/12 184/22 206/10 206/12 252/7 252/9 252/24 88/22 89/21 89/21 111/5 115/20 121/20 133/18 134/25 137/13 206/20 220/8 220/11 there [396] 91/2 91/2 91/3 91/4 121/23 125/1 129/18 220/15 220/19 220/22 there's [14] 56/20 137/20 138/18 141/18 91/9 91/12 91/17 94/3 132/17 135/7 135/10 221/1 221/6 221/12 70/8 78/1 108/7 141/19 144/16 145/10 94/16 95/4 95/12 136/14 138/5 140/17 221/20 222/17 224/21 109/11 113/16 201/3 146/1 147/20 147/24 101/25 101/25 102/6 140/20 142/19 142/23 224/24 225/5 225/7 150/25 150/25 151/12 102/6 102/17 103/18 205/19 205/20 215/23 144/11 144/24 145/18 225/18 226/18 232/17 229/14 235/2 235/2 156/10 157/3 158/4 103/20 106/1 112/23 147/10 149/2 156/3 235/1 235/5 235/9 239/24 159/5 159/18 160/25 113/16 113/18 114/4 157/24 164/3 169/21 235/13 235/16 236/17 therefore [27] 25/6 161/8 161/10 161/11 114/8 116/17 117/20 169/25 171/21 173/12 236/20 236/23 237/11 38/7 79/24 81/17 161/14 162/24 164/12 118/19 123/17 125/1 178/10 185/21 186/1 237/16 244/24 245/2 164/13 168/21 168/23 128/2 129/20 129/20 87/13 87/25 124/22 188/15 193/18 195/9 245/4 245/8 251/21 125/19 128/15 129/4 171/9 172/7 172/7 129/22 131/9 132/2 196/25 200/2 204/16 theme [11] 23/18 131/11 135/21 142/6 172/10 172/10 172/11 133/4 133/4 133/4 210/17 210/20 213/17 24/20 24/22 54/16 172/18 172/19 172/20 133/5 133/5 134/23 143/16 145/4 151/15 213/19 214/24 216/24 174/15 174/15 174/16 144/21 175/24 177/5 153/4 156/14 161/2 135/3 138/5 138/6 218/8 218/15 220/17 177/11 177/20 183/6 169/1 197/3 204/21 177/14 181/3 187/23 138/25 139/15 140/4 225/12 226/8 226/9 205/16 211/19 222/1 187/24 189/23 190/8 141/16 141/25 142/11 238/10 229/12 236/4 236/10 themes [4] 24/19 226/23 227/6 190/11 190/11 190/14 142/14 143/2 143/22 237/4 240/24 241/12 147/16 176/22 215/13 these [48] 14/6 21/4 191/6 191/7 191/9 144/23 148/7 149/16 242/16 243/12 246/2 themselves [8] 10/21 21/21 23/17 24/9 191/23 193/11 198/23 149/19 152/19 152/22 246/2 246/3 248/11 39/18 79/21 96/23 25/23 27/8 31/1 38/8 199/3 199/10 199/24 154/3 154/3 157/10 248/18 249/6 250/16 112/19 126/18 171/1 47/2 47/8 47/14 92/5 199/25 204/10 204/25 158/9 159/1 159/15 252/1 252/22 179/25 107/7 115/3 122/7 205/2 205/8 205/16 160/3 160/14 160/17 their [41] 3/24 7/20 then [132] 2/6 2/8 2/9 126/7 126/12 127/23 205/17 206/19 214/4 161/6 161/18 162/25 13/22 29/10 30/7 3/13 4/20 5/12 6/5 130/6 132/25 141/23 214/23 214/23 216/8 164/13 164/17 166/5 40/17 41/21 42/1 59/8 9/15 10/10 10/24 145/7 148/20 150/2 216/9 218/11 222/15 167/25 169/18 169/25 78/4 95/14 98/14 15/22 16/5 20/10 160/22 171/24 172/22 228/8 232/12 236/1 171/6 171/11 172/6 102/13 102/14 111/10 20/11 21/20 22/19 173/4 182/16 185/8 237/7 237/7 241/9 173/12 176/22 178/20 113/15 113/21 133/17 22/20 23/4 23/8 24/8 195/22 196/2 196/5 242/17 242/17 244/1 179/17 185/1 186/15 139/18 144/5 151/1 24/13 25/14 26/11 196/13 198/21 202/14 249/15 249/25 250/4 186/16 189/22 190/14 152/13 171/8 171/8 190/18 191/21 191/24 27/17 30/5 33/7 36/4 204/24 207/23 208/7 252/6 254/17 254/23 171/9 171/22 172/5 36/16 36/22 37/15 214/2 214/17 215/5 thing [9] 16/8 58/15 193/15 194/2 194/3 173/7 180/7 188/19 38/3 39/8 40/19 41/11 224/23 247/1 249/23 59/14 82/2 130/8 195/13 199/9 201/13 190/2 191/23 196/1 42/25 42/25 45/15 253/7 253/7 184/6 189/21 201/17 202/8 202/18 202/19 204/4 205/10 205/13 47/11 50/24 54/1 202/23 206/9 206/11 these June [1] 21/4 239/14 205/15 205/16 228/12 54/17 55/3 55/7 58/17 they [190] 3/23 3/23 things [26] 14/15 212/9 219/21 222/22 234/7 236/2 58/18 58/18 59/19 8/10 8/17 8/18 8/19 22/23 33/7 54/3 74/21 222/22 224/24 227/2 them [64] 7/19 8/9 61/16 66/1 66/15 67/9 9/19 10/5 10/5 11/4 78/17 79/13 93/23 231/3 232/19 235/12 13/24 18/4 18/5 20/9 67/12 75/21 83/5 14/5 14/22 16/20 18/6 94/8 97/3 97/7 103/11 238/14 239/14 242/10 22/6 22/16 43/1 44/25 88/17 91/6 98/16 18/22 20/8 20/21 23/2 107/18 112/20 140/18 244/3 244/7 245/21 45/13 49/6 52/16 100/5 100/8 104/7 23/5 24/17 26/24 27/7 148/21 148/24 148/24 246/18 247/18 251/15 61/21 61/22 61/23 106/8 109/3 112/5 29/4 32/13 36/10 150/18 154/4 172/23 253/15 254/1 254/2 73/19 74/13 78/2 116/19 118/9 120/7 36/25 37/2 37/14 39/3 173/16 206/18 206/23 254/2 255/8 78/12 79/13 80/1 133/21 136/16 137/22 39/11 39/16 39/17 232/11 235/23 thinking [4] 158/4

44/6 44/8 44/19 46/3 T 234/23 235/4 238/25 156/14 159/22 221/6 transfer [2] 55/25 239/10 242/13 247/2 47/19 48/18 53/11 223/1 224/8 227/18 131/12 thinking... [3] 159/25 254/21 53/18 56/2 57/20 240/16 247/19 transfers [1] 191/9 160/2 160/4 thoughts [2] 87/4 58/13 58/15 59/5 today's [1] 70/12 transfusion [1] 67/13 third [14] 57/4 253/13 59/10 59/13 59/15 together [17] 9/9 transitional [2] 3/2 149/17 150/17 151/17 thread [4] 85/20 59/23 61/13 64/2 9/11 153/5 154/11 3/5 152/4 152/4 152/19 85/22 178/24 182/25 64/20 66/2 66/15 162/7 178/25 180/12 translate [1] 172/9 158/7 158/21 170/3 69/21 69/23 70/2 70/4 190/22 192/9 192/9 transparency [2] three [18] 3/8 30/21 188/19 189/22 199/5 50/11 89/13 134/25 70/6 70/7 70/11 71/9 196/5 196/14 198/17 31/12 205/20 237/25 146/10 146/13 150/9 72/5 72/8 72/10 73/2 198/21 198/22 199/3 transparent [1] 161/9 thirds [1] 106/16 150/9 152/6 152/8 73/6 73/9 73/12 74/3 200/14 transposed [1] 37/15 **THIRLWALL [4]** 60/5 told [33] 20/3 36/20 154/3 154/11 154/13 75/16 76/4 76/21 treated [3] 165/4 247/13 256/6 256/11 157/23 162/16 189/5 77/10 78/19 79/5 41/16 61/20 79/1 79/2 202/12 237/12 this [354] 243/13 79/18 79/19 79/20 82/24 123/1 129/1 treatment [4] 104/3 thorough [2] 161/14 79/22 79/22 80/4 80/5 three days [1] 50/11 136/10 148/4 156/5 138/3 145/16 145/17 239/1 three months [1] 3/8 81/25 82/5 82/25 157/19 164/20 165/9 tree [15] 109/13 those [114] 6/22 8/25 166/3 166/24 167/24 109/17 110/8 111/23 three months' [1] 82/25 83/10 83/13 9/12 9/22 10/2 10/7 89/13 83/16 85/12 86/1 87/4 168/8 169/6 169/15 112/11 116/18 116/23 13/5 20/14 20/16 through [96] 2/6 6/24 92/14 92/23 94/1 96/9 178/22 181/3 183/25 117/2 248/22 249/2 20/23 20/25 23/5 96/14 103/21 124/2 187/14 202/10 203/19 249/4 249/6 249/16 10/5 10/14 18/24 23/16 27/9 27/10 216/14 232/7 241/1 19/12 39/23 43/18 124/25 126/11 127/10 249/21 250/1 28/18 29/3 29/16 55/10 60/14 79/13 128/2 128/21 129/7 241/5 249/13 254/15 trend [1] 144/21 30/16 30/18 31/11 81/7 86/24 87/11 129/22 130/1 130/18 told in [1] 241/1 triangulated [1] 36/14 37/3 37/13 87/15 88/3 89/13 94/4 137/1 140/5 140/22 tomorrow [2] 191/14 218/15 40/21 41/8 42/8 47/16 95/17 97/24 98/4 141/14 142/25 143/24 255/14 tricks [1] 209/17 47/17 48/8 52/15 147/1 148/20 149/10 104/16 105/6 105/15 Tony [1] 122/22 tried [8] 46/19 58/18 52/17 59/3 59/16 150/13 150/14 150/19 **too [8]** 68/1 82/10 113/4 113/9 113/10 58/18 76/24 115/23 59/20 63/11 64/12 154/21 156/9 156/9 113/19 114/16 117/5 113/2 113/2 116/13 146/23 177/25 212/9 64/18 64/20 64/21 triggered [1] 138/14 117/6 118/7 124/6 156/16 157/4 158/17 122/22 134/22 218/9 67/8 74/11 74/14 took [20] 3/1 13/1 triggers [1] 137/25 130/25 135/19 139/11 158/23 159/9 159/21 74/20 75/3 76/17 13/8 33/12 50/12 52/3 triplet [8] 32/6 32/10 139/11 139/15 141/22 159/22 159/24 160/4 77/24 78/15 79/12 146/13 147/2 147/3 162/8 162/15 163/5 84/20 88/2 124/1 36/20 41/4 55/6 56/16 79/23 81/14 81/20 151/9 152/18 152/19 165/12 166/23 167/1 146/13 165/19 166/22 57/1 57/4 81/21 87/24 88/4 153/21 156/8 156/22 167/10 167/19 168/18 176/13 186/18 200/7 triplets [8] 32/14 89/23 89/23 89/25 156/23 165/20 168/1 168/25 171/5 171/17 202/4 202/5 225/25 36/2 36/21 36/21 90/14 91/21 93/4 93/6 168/24 169/10 172/3 173/1 173/9 173/15 240/9 254/3 48/15 54/22 55/8 93/23 97/2 100/10 173/18 174/17 176/4 172/9 172/16 173/5 top [10] 4/10 28/3 207/11 103/10 106/7 106/8 173/14 173/20 179/1 178/12 178/20 179/4 79/23 105/19 108/23 triumvirate [1] 8/13 115/13 116/22 120/1 180/18 182/2 182/12 179/6 182/23 193/22 110/21 118/2 120/17 **trouble [1]** 197/10 121/11 122/1 124/24 182/13 182/21 182/24 194/18 195/25 196/3 149/17 230/19 true [7] 1/16 37/20 126/25 127/16 131/2 184/2 184/21 185/20 196/9 200/24 206/4 topic [5] 63/15 104/9 63/12 79/6 88/10 132/7 141/10 145/20 186/11 187/14 187/24 206/21 222/2 224/3 134/3 173/25 175/6 172/6 173/16 145/24 147/19 151/20 188/2 189/1 200/19 224/14 226/13 227/16 topics [1] 107/7 truly [1] 87/20 152/2 154/20 161/16 204/18 204/20 205/14 228/14 233/2 233/7 touch [2] 51/3 51/7 Trust [46] 5/21 28/11 162/4 162/24 169/1 205/19 206/8 206/21 233/10 234/5 236/8 29/4 29/14 30/4 46/19 towards [3] 91/16 172/2 173/16 176/19 243/13 243/19 252/4 72/14 73/2 73/9 74/1 219/3 222/11 224/14 91/16 234/21 177/10 181/2 189/17 226/13 228/15 238/11 timeframes [1] 75/12 75/20 76/20 **Townsend [14]** 1/5 190/17 193/21 193/25 241/20 241/21 242/8 241/15 1/7 1/9 1/14 41/14 88/10 92/14 95/8 196/23 197/4 197/5 249/24 252/7 253/24 timeline [2] 64/22 48/13 60/8 62/5 105/1 104/14 109/14 111/13 197/5 205/12 205/21 254/3 254/5 254/24 80/3 117/20 123/19 131/3 111/14 118/3 119/18 207/1 210/22 211/20 throughout [1] timely [3] 131/2 205/11 256/3 121/2 124/7 125/5 219/12 234/11 241/6 111/23 181/20 206/8 125/21 126/25 127/6 traceability [1] 244/16 248/16 253/8 127/9 133/13 134/11 tiers [1] 165/6 times [3] 32/1 60/15 205/20 254/16 135/6 135/13 135/20 time [182] 2/19 2/23 83/25 track [1] 76/5 though [5] 8/10 48/5 5/1 5/2 5/24 7/15 9/21 timewise [1] 231/22 tracking [1] 119/3 137/6 170/10 170/14 51/18 124/24 236/22 10/12 11/18 13/7 timing [2] 106/11 trained [2] 8/14 214/9 216/24 217/23 thought [30] 68/9 14/19 15/5 15/19 217/25 220/1 223/12 188/21 45/16 70/6 77/14 80/20 81/2 15/21 17/23 18/7 title [13] 65/15 80/4 training [8] 63/23 240/18 241/11 246/20 84/25 88/16 113/14 81/1 81/23 88/8 88/17 63/24 94/8 94/9 94/10 Trust's [2] 125/24 23/22 29/14 29/18 117/10 126/7 142/25 30/1 31/5 31/9 31/21 90/3 90/18 92/19 139/13 139/17 139/19 134/23 155/13 155/15 163/10 31/24 31/25 33/10 101/23 102/18 102/24 trajectory [2] 97/5 truth [1] 161/1 171/20 173/16 187/25 try [17] 36/16 36/17 34/16 35/5 35/9 36/7 209/9 115/21 191/16 197/14 197/15 36/10 37/19 39/20 today [12] 97/4 transcript [4] 186/9 58/19 77/3 85/6 201/25 202/21 204/6 41/23 41/25 42/25 126/12 137/2 138/10 186/10 187/12 202/17 101/17 107/21 125/17

147/12 148/17 153/6 199/16 222/5 223/2 underwent [1] upstream [1] 148/7 157/10 157/19 213/8 170/21 229/17 urgency [1] 39/15 try... [9] 127/17 undoubtedly [1] 214/15 221/11 227/8 unnecessary [2] urgent [33] 2/12 2/14 129/21 148/13 167/14 136/23 138/7 3/18 4/11 5/20 6/15 underlined [1] 238/1 200/9 168/10 169/25 170/5 underlying [4] 15/2 unexpected [17] unpick [1] 101/23 11/16 11/24 23/6 25/5 226/9 244/8 15/8 16/2 19/21 33/25 50/7 52/10 unpleasant [1] 91/14 25/21 25/23 26/5 26/7 trying [13] 30/13 55/20 93/10 105/23 26/10 28/4 37/21 48/7 underneath [1] 67/12 unreasonable [1] 67/24 81/20 115/13 115/20 137/2 138/11 57/23 58/16 59/11 **understand [72]** 4/9 199/1 123/6 132/9 138/19 15/20 25/1 25/13 144/5 144/11 144/13 60/1 67/18 67/23 unsupervised [1] 146/24 153/22 157/15 28/23 30/13 33/23 145/14 155/11 174/13 33/5 67/23 95/17 117/22 194/2 199/22 251/2 37/11 41/12 42/15 175/25 222/10 until [12] 5/2 12/9 119/6 121/15 123/13 Tuesday [1] 255/17 30/15 44/9 132/24 42/22 46/11 46/19 133/7 205/9 238/3 unexpectedly [2] turn [13] 31/13 44/21 47/18 54/11 68/13 137/10 177/17 142/21 149/3 175/9 urgently [2] 239/6 47/1 56/23 57/5 75/4 72/17 77/5 77/12 80/8 unexplained [7] 50/8 180/18 219/7 255/13 251/18 96/1 136/1 155/1 83/9 84/20 87/20 52/11 137/19 138/12 255/16 us [52] 4/4 47/3 73/1 200/16 203/22 203/24 91/24 92/7 94/17 95/2 153/15 155/14 222/10 until February 2016 77/5 77/12 77/16 234/17 95/5 95/21 96/12 78/16 79/1 79/2 80/8 unfair [1] 179/17 **[1]** 142/21 turnaround [1] unfortunately [5] 100/3 100/5 102/5 until June [1] 132/24 81/6 82/3 82/24 83/24 201/11 104/14 108/3 109/22 44/18 115/21 154/9 untoward [2] 204/23 84/1 84/23 91/24 turning [1] 9/15 111/7 115/7 115/13 220/7 238/6 96/12 102/4 109/12 241/3 twice [2] 4/21 70/4 115/15 115/18 123/7 111/7 123/17 125/7 unhealthy [1] 178/21 **Untowards** [1] Twin [1] 155/4 123/10 128/10 129/21 unintended [3] 137/3 234/15 136/10 142/24 143/10 two [39] 32/14 36/1 132/9 137/22 138/13 138/11 174/13 untrue [1] 94/9 145/17 146/20 150/6 36/21 36/21 36/24 138/19 142/8 142/15 unintentionally [1] **unusual [3]** 35/6 155/20 156/5 157/5 39/3 41/22 44/12 54/6 143/4 146/20 146/24 152/25 250/17 157/19 160/7 160/18 213/21 55/17 60/8 82/25 83/8 153/3 157/15 160/19 161/4 166/24 169/15 unit [98] 2/17 3/20 unwell [1] 55/6 93/20 106/16 108/3 165/13 170/5 171/2 7/11 7/16 9/17 9/24 up [71] 4/3 4/6 8/1 169/23 174/23 184/15 108/6 121/14 122/1 174/5 191/22 193/11 9/23 10/10 10/13 202/10 205/6 209/17 12/20 13/3 13/7 16/7 122/7 126/19 126/25 195/8 196/1 196/6 16/16 16/22 19/20 13/10 17/5 17/22 19/8 232/7 238/5 238/23 127/23 132/4 139/17 196/11 205/6 227/12 21/1 21/18 22/8 23/14 20/22 25/25 43/5 49/5 239/9 240/6 241/5 162/12 162/16 162/23 244/9 248/2 250/15 49/20 50/19 51/4 28/12 28/17 30/7 243/25 249/13 175/14 185/1 188/18 understanding [40] 30/18 32/7 32/12 33/5 60/25 84/15 85/10 use [23] 96/25 99/21 189/20 193/25 194/1 15/17 26/19 29/2 33/10 34/5 34/23 36/4 85/22 89/18 89/25 109/20 111/23 112/1 210/15 211/14 217/6 29/21 31/7 41/18 44/6 116/17 126/21 137/2 36/22 42/4 42/23 44/1 90/2 90/10 90/14 225/14 244/2 44/9 46/11 47/20 50/8 88/12 93/15 106/4 93/18 94/10 95/17 138/9 139/20 141/17 two years [1] 139/17 112/25 113/4 113/13 67/19 81/6 81/13 84/5 96/7 104/13 105/24 143/22 144/9 145/12 two-thirds [1] 106/16 113/20 114/9 115/5 87/17 95/15 95/16 107/7 112/20 120/17 173/22 190/24 203/13 type [4] 73/14 75/14 116/22 123/18 145/8 98/25 99/7 99/12 130/25 133/9 133/18 203/15 204/9 205/3 146/24 147/10 146/25 149/8 150/4 105/24 117/1 118/4 133/20 133/21 142/2 221/9 235/15 235/18 types [2] 143/25 142/21 147/18 153/23 used [14] 50/2 50/3 154/12 160/23 167/19 119/19 119/22 121/8 210/15 168/25 169/5 182/11 124/2 124/12 124/21 157/9 158/8 169/22 58/13 73/15 83/25 typically [1] 236/6 182/21 194/17 195/9 124/25 125/19 126/23 174/22 183/1 185/20 100/21 100/23 110/11 195/11 195/13 201/19 127/13 132/13 133/2 193/5 193/6 203/24 110/18 113/12 116/24 225/17 226/17 233/10 133/6 134/8 140/23 204/20 205/12 205/14 118/1 147/5 160/6 ultimate [2] 103/4 234/6 240/17 245/17 141/3 141/18 141/20 205/24 207/12 219/13 useful [1] 148/10 159/5 222/4 222/8 222/20 142/10 142/21 143/3 users [1] 8/7 understands [1] ultimately [3] 76/2 152/9 160/13 160/15 223/25 224/19 226/15 using [4] 111/22 138/17 222/9 236/12 232/6 234/12 236/24 understood [29] 160/24 164/4 165/15 127/17 139/11 150/3 unacceptable [2] 15/13 23/16 23/19 167/3 167/21 168/12 239/2 242/14 242/24 **usual [2]** 165/13 242/17 243/10 25/11 35/25 42/17 170/10 170/11 171/20 **updated [5]** 103/16 251/13 unascertained [1] 87/12 111/13 139/8 172/4 173/11 185/4 103/19 104/18 112/8 usually [1] 11/11 223/19 147/24 165/15 165/17 185/7 188/23 189/10 112/9 utilise [1] 204/23 unaware [3] 52/13 175/14 183/19 185/18 189/13 189/24 198/24 updates [2] 112/5 utilising [1] 204/3 52/20 52/22 185/23 186/5 192/5 202/21 204/23 211/17 241/22 **UVC [1]** 223/6 uncomfortable [1] 204/8 207/13 207/17 246/25 247/8 253/4 upon [24] 67/18 33/4 211/15 211/16 234/9 253/11 75/14 77/19 81/12 unconsidered [1] unit's [2] 140/7 162/2 240/1 245/18 246/18 81/14 83/20 91/23 vague [2] 11/20 227/11 27/20 248/21 252/5 units [4] 6/17 7/4 96/11 107/18 117/7 undecided [1] 229/15 valid [3] 129/18 undertake [4] 34/18 7/13 14/10 124/16 124/17 128/6 under [22] 24/11 162/25 204/7 44/15 188/11 240/1 133/12 134/23 148/3 unjust [2] 203/7 66/20 84/10 84/14 156/14 158/20 172/13 validated [1] 129/4 undertaken [4] 5/3 203/13 93/7 100/12 110/23 validating [1] 77/24 161/14 162/3 235/17 unless [3] 50/4 103/1 179/4 179/7 188/5 135/22 137/14 146/10 undertaking [2] value [1] 200/14 190/19 199/3 228/14 146/17 147/8 147/9 variation [1] 197/11 29/15 68/22 unlikely [5] 116/8 **ups [1]** 50/3

247/6 254/25 158/22 159/25 160/4 97/4 97/17 97/22 41/15 42/6 42/14 views [1] 197/5 160/5 160/12 160/24 99/21 104/16 105/14 51/18 51/19 53/9 varied [2] 67/16 68/9 visible [1] 85/7 161/6 161/16 163/5 106/10 110/2 112/4 54/17 54/23 59/13 variety [2] 80/12 visit [5] 7/3 7/5 173/1 173/3 179/3 113/22 114/18 114/23 61/16 64/21 66/18 171/23 166/23 169/14 170/19 179/12 180/6 189/2 115/5 123/12 123/16 66/19 70/18 71/1 various [2] 105/6 visited [1] 7/11 190/13 202/19 218/12 127/4 127/6 127/8 73/13 75/6 75/18 111/21 230/10 230/10 231/20 128/25 129/19 130/14 77/10 77/21 80/2 voice [7] 70/17 venue [2] 51/10 132/13 133/17 192/18 234/9 237/19 237/21 130/18 132/4 133/25 83/12 88/2 91/13 51/11 205/15 205/16 206/6 237/23 237/24 255/4 134/1 136/18 137/16 93/14 94/19 101/1 verbal [4] 69/14 140/1 141/19 144/25 101/1 105/10 107/23 voiced [5] 195/23 wave [1] 212/25 70/12 70/18 133/10 195/24 201/9 207/23 way [64] 25/16 65/5 145/2 145/8 146/3 112/2 112/4 112/7 verbalised [1] 70/21 72/2 72/4 72/6 73/19 147/16 147/24 147/25 112/11 112/18 116/8 207/25 verbally [1] 133/18 volume [1] 164/18 76/16 86/2 97/24 151/10 154/23 158/24 116/25 117/15 120/3 version [2] 97/23 105/2 105/15 106/16 160/17 167/23 168/4 122/15 123/7 126/7 161/1 107/25 108/5 108/13 168/5 168/12 169/25 128/18 135/9 136/6 very [122] 5/20 5/21 wait [3] 175/13 217/8 114/14 116/18 116/24 170/8 171/3 171/8 139/21 140/10 141/15 5/25 5/25 8/13 14/4 220/19 118/7 126/14 127/13 173/1 174/19 174/25 143/16 145/20 156/12 16/7 17/1 21/10 25/7 waited [1] 42/19 136/15 139/6 142/15 176/25 180/8 180/11 160/14 166/24 167/4 26/8 27/20 31/9 32/13 waiting [2] 43/4 144/16 144/20 145/25 181/1 182/4 184/8 173/9 173/13 173/13 32/25 33/6 33/7 34/12 184/11 188/17 188/21 229/20 148/17 148/22 149/9 176/12 178/22 179/4 36/2 36/8 36/24 36/24 want [26] 11/21 96/7 190/16 196/2 202/6 179/6 179/15 182/19 156/6 158/18 159/9 42/1 44/3 46/1 46/1 96/19 96/23 99/21 159/25 160/2 160/5 210/4 210/18 211/7 183/24 195/11 195/13 46/2 50/20 51/7 51/16 100/2 100/3 100/5 161/20 164/14 164/14 212/16 214/20 215/24 205/11 206/20 208/23 51/23 52/17 54/2 54/4 123/19 124/10 128/9 166/12 173/21 181/4 218/20 218/22 219/18 214/21 221/24 227/11 57/1 58/2 58/3 60/6 145/16 179/1 188/5 181/20 182/24 190/15 222/9 223/16 225/1 228/6 235/22 242/2 62/5 67/16 73/4 74/9 203/24 207/12 207/13 191/23 196/8 196/12 229/12 233/18 236/23 242/18 247/22 248/25 75/9 77/7 78/22 78/22 209/19 210/10 219/24 199/10 206/14 206/19 237/10 238/6 238/19 250/17 85/1 85/20 87/15 222/19 250/11 251/4 238/20 239/23 242/11 209/24 211/7 213/19 where [48] 11/1 87/15 88/2 91/14 254/22 255/2 255/9 223/10 228/20 229/22 243/11 243/18 244/4 24/17 28/13 29/7 92/23 93/9 93/10 wanted [11] 29/4 230/12 230/13 237/12 245/10 245/11 246/7 33/20 36/18 44/22 95/25 96/17 102/12 46/3 49/5 50/6 92/7 253/15 254/2 254/22 237/15 252/20 255/4 51/10 51/10 60/10 102/20 102/21 103/6 148/23 148/24 190/11 well-established [1] 255/5 65/2 75/11 84/14 105/19 106/1 107/17 190/11 201/20 201/22 ways [5] 73/15 94/6 210/18 87/10 89/12 100/8 108/13 114/18 115/16 wanting [5] 40/22 108/6 120/21 172/19 went [24] 29/18 118/5 120/1 126/15 115/25 118/22 120/7 62/3 201/7 201/7 we [504] 132/6 134/1 137/19 29/19 36/12 36/13 128/2 128/25 130/2 201/8 we'll [3] 106/10 36/16 42/4 42/22 138/1 139/7 145/3 131/25 132/5 132/10 ward [13] 3/8 7/4 42/25 44/9 50/24 106/13 170/4 151/24 156/4 157/11 132/25 148/21 149/9 11/11 19/20 38/14 wealth [1] 171/23 54/17 61/16 61/23 161/10 170/5 170/23 151/7 151/8 157/3 39/7 41/16 44/14 89/22 91/25 133/9 180/15 190/1 195/23 week [9] 7/9 40/14 159/24 161/9 161/10 57/24 75/11 113/11 41/2 43/16 43/23 154/9 181/12 185/10 199/24 200/25 201/6 161/13 163/9 166/23 132/12 148/11 43/24 68/18 136/3 205/12 205/18 206/21 202/1 207/24 208/21 167/10 167/17 167/20 wards [6] 5/22 5/22 248/24 221/14 226/19 221/15 228/8 228/11 173/25 174/18 174/19 7/5 7/6 54/7 147/23 weekend [5] 34/19 229/14 244/24 245/19 were [309] 177/23 178/21 181/6 warrant [1] 201/25 41/4 41/13 41/15 weren't [11] 21/13 246/20 246/20 184/17 190/25 191/1 42/12 23/2 35/10 41/7 49/25 whereas [1] 185/2 was [990] 200/5 201/10 203/18 was February/March weekly [3] 68/16 58/12 106/7 119/9 whereby [1] 91/24 203/18 206/18 215/25 **2016 [1]** 245/9 151/12 160/25 162/25 whether [39] 12/6 165/23 218/7 216/1 223/2 224/23 was June [1] 38/1 what [277] weeks [4] 7/14 14/3 16/5 16/20 17/9 24/23 225/12 229/17 232/23 was May 2016 [1] what's [8] 10/17 20/2 196/10 201/18 24/23 27/3 43/7 90/13 245/22 247/21 249/12 2/11 93/6 96/20 101/21 weighted [1] 82/10 22/6 78/3 170/16 254/9 254/10 254/12 was sent [1] 47/9 welcome [1] 75/3 220/18 225/12 229/16 107/11 107/13 107/14 254/17 255/10 255/10 wasn't [66] 7/9 12/11 111/24 113/14 115/18 well [137] 6/3 12/14 whatever [5] 11/14 255/12 16/9 16/11 19/1 22/14 115/21 123/6 124/4 13/19 14/14 16/13 12/7 90/17 97/15 via [2] 146/10 173/8 22/21 23/2 27/21 24/5 26/2 27/7 29/19 129/1 131/15 138/20 240/4 view [31] 27/10 45/15 29/13 30/15 31/24 29/23 39/2 43/13 whatsoever [2] 27/21 140/2 155/12 158/13 90/8 90/9 91/20 31/25 34/13 35/5 44/20 50/2 51/13 230/6 198/9 221/16 228/7 101/21 113/21 115/9 35/12 35/14 37/8 51/14 53/16 53/23 when [106] 2/3 2/5 229/15 232/24 235/16 124/20 124/25 125/17 37/16 37/25 40/3 54/4 55/7 56/9 56/22 2/15 3/1 3/25 3/25 240/21 240/21 245/23 129/25 137/23 139/6 40/15 44/10 47/19 58/18 61/18 63/19 10/3 10/17 13/1 13/8 246/9 246/13 247/5 140/21 141/22 171/9 48/19 49/21 51/16 64/21 67/15 68/8 70/3 13/9 13/14 13/17 14/4 which [128] 2/3 4/22 171/22 172/5 172/11 51/20 52/24 56/17 15/3 18/5 20/14 20/21 73/24 74/1 74/18 75/7 6/20 10/14 11/8 13/9 173/11 173/15 174/19 66/25 69/13 70/5 76/22 77/18 78/5 78/6 28/15 30/9 30/21 14/15 16/2 16/7 20/20 175/1 176/8 177/6 81/18 91/17 133/23 81/9 85/1 86/15 88/13 30/23 30/24 35/9 24/12 30/6 35/7 35/22 202/22 203/21 237/10 140/11 142/3 145/8 93/20 95/22 95/24 39/10 40/25 41/3 35/25 39/21 42/24

53/22 94/13 139/13 174/11 174/22 178/8 W 184/16 185/13 190/6 wondered [1] 41/9 178/11 181/15 186/13 191/4 191/13 194/6 **WOODS [5]** 217/11 252/1 which... [111] 47/2 186/20 192/8 202/13 197/9 213/25 217/9 217/14 243/20 247/14 written [9] 1/20 54/19 48/25 49/2 54/15 213/17 217/16 217/22 219/16 220/10 227/13 256/10 54/19 56/7 63/10 54/25 55/9 58/14 219/17 226/4 230/3 230/20 230/23 231/10 word [6] 29/10 29/11 69/13 70/13 226/25 58/15 61/4 61/5 61/11 234/10 235/4 238/15 236/13 239/23 240/13 100/14 203/2 203/14 228/21 63/11 66/6 68/9 68/16 241/5 241/10 243/21 240/15 243/2 245/6 216/11 wrong [8] 25/13 71/13 73/25 74/11 244/2 249/14 252/10 251/15 255/13 wording [9] 28/9 137/13 167/20 171/7 76/20 78/6 81/3 86/7 who's [1] 246/25 Williams [12] 65/18 28/13 29/6 29/7 122/9 171/12 171/20 194/9 86/9 87/1 88/18 89/10 66/11 68/16 95/4 123/20 151/23 152/2 whoever [2] 12/12 203/2 92/14 97/24 98/5 wrote [3] 54/23 189/3 191/12 191/13 185/9 122/24 102/10 103/16 104/1 192/4 192/14 192/15 195/12 195/13 whole [1] 180/11 words [7] 56/23 104/23 108/1 108/10 192/16 192/18 whose [6] 31/19 99/21 110/18 137/2 108/14 108/16 109/13 73/21 111/11 149/14 Williams' [1] 191/18 184/15 203/13 253/7 109/18 109/19 112/20 year [10] 37/19 37/20 158/6 210/12 wise [1] 10/24 work [34] 2/3 8/2 8/9 116/5 119/22 121/8 37/25 37/25 52/11 why [60] 16/19 18/16 withdrawal [2] 89/3 8/16 9/9 46/19 48/6 122/6 124/11 126/17 63/4 63/6 64/24 93/17 19/3 19/23 19/24 20/3 67/2 68/13 68/21 91/21 126/20 128/4 131/23 93/17 20/3 21/12 26/4 27/17 within [64] 2/18 3/21 72/19 73/5 73/7 76/5 132/6 133/7 134/13 29/10 29/10 30/13 6/17 6/17 7/4 14/10 77/22 78/1 80/13 year-on-year [1] 137/24 140/2 145/1 37/3 37/14 37/16 41/9 14/10 14/15 14/17 85/17 87/11 87/15 93/17 146/1 146/5 149/17 years [6] 66/1 71/10 41/12 42/8 42/9 46/11 15/10 16/8 22/25 87/23 98/15 100/7 152/19 153/21 154/7 87/22 117/25 139/17 54/11 73/17 76/6 23/20 24/1 24/1 25/12 105/15 118/7 118/15 155/17 158/21 161/3 248/7 77/16 79/7 81/23 25/14 25/23 28/12 141/15 143/3 148/17 163/2 163/10 164/16 96/12 101/7 118/20 28/20 30/17 33/12 153/23 170/22 178/13 yes [314] 169/18 169/23 170/13 123/23 125/1 126/14 37/22 48/1 48/7 48/22 191/3 191/6 yesterday [1] 220/8 170/17 170/20 176/3 work-around [1] 78/1 you [1165] 127/6 127/8 127/8 49/4 49/10 50/23 176/15 176/15 176/23 you've [1] 111/25 128/10 130/12 130/19 54/16 67/19 75/22 worked [8] 2/5 8/15 180/6 180/9 181/23 132/9 146/20 149/7 35/18 65/2 68/8 78/14 **Young [1]** 170/4 79/2 92/21 95/8 96/21 183/24 185/25 191/6 younger [1] 46/17 150/3 150/6 156/15 96/21 98/14 117/1 85/1 192/23 192/9 193/12 202/6 workforce [6] 8/6 9/2 your [162] 1/13 1/17 176/20 188/16 204/10 118/4 119/19 119/22 210/16 217/15 218/6 206/17 209/21 211/12 121/7 124/12 125/9 11/6 13/6 13/7 54/15 1/23 2/2 2/2 2/18 3/6 220/5 221/14 221/15 4/10 4/21 4/23 6/1 7/4 213/23 214/7 214/8 125/15 125/21 132/14 working [17] 7/1 7/9 225/10 227/7 227/9 9/7 9/8 11/16 13/9 215/8 231/12 231/13 135/2 138/18 142/17 31/2 42/12 43/25 230/9 230/13 232/4 13/15 14/10 14/15 145/12 151/22 152/12 238/19 239/9 253/15 47/19 47/20 68/7 232/17 232/19 232/21 14/17 15/16 18/5 18/9 widely [3] 73/8 74/4 154/22 157/2 158/19 84/24 118/20 131/6 233/21 234/20 234/20 19/5 19/8 19/11 19/20 196/15 160/22 168/20 172/1 159/3 159/7 170/14 239/25 240/16 240/19 19/25 20/10 22/25 179/24 184/9 212/11 wider [6] 10/22 28/11 170/24 200/14 204/2 248/23 250/10 251/6 works [3] 47/5 108/6 25/10 26/11 28/2 118/3 119/18 121/2 244/20 252/25 28/20 28/21 28/21 205/22 without [9] 76/23 142/15 whichever [1] 186/21 29/11 29/21 30/22 will [101] 13/9 55/7 86/7 86/16 86/21 world [3] 70/12 82/6 while [5] 35/17 43/3 31/15 31/17 31/20 61/20 62/8 63/11 87/13 98/21 124/13 216/14 100/25 218/11 252/24 37/7 39/19 44/22 45/1 64/21 69/19 75/12 133/18 233/14 worried [1] 56/22 whilst [6] 6/2 46/18 75/13 77/3 80/2 83/24 witness [16] 31/15 45/15 46/24 48/1 worry [3] 41/17 64/4 78/13 110/14 84/6 84/16 85/22 183/18 249/20 48/18 49/24 51/18 53/5 62/14 63/3 96/8 196/10 51/23 53/5 53/21 85/23 86/24 89/12 132/11 174/2 189/7 worse [2] 56/23 57/6 who [87] 2/20 2/22 worst [1] 109/7 55/23 56/2 57/11 90/21 94/1 95/3 95/4 191/14 191/15 194/17 4/12 4/16 4/18 6/5 6/5 57/18 62/4 62/24 96/2 96/6 97/9 97/25 224/9 229/23 231/10 worth [1] 89/13 6/6 6/11 6/12 11/13 63/12 63/16 63/23 98/4 98/8 98/12 98/15 244/5 244/6 would [452] 11/17 12/23 18/21 64/16 64/23 66/13 witnesses [2] 160/20 98/16 100/11 105/5 wouldn't [39] 31/21 32/23 35/16 36/4 105/11 105/14 107/25 56/13 72/1 77/18 67/21 68/24 69/23 243/21 36/22 46/22 60/17 70/22 72/9 72/17 108/2 108/14 109/9 women [21] 13/18 90/14 101/17 103/4 60/21 62/15 66/9 67/4 72/21 74/5 75/5 76/16 112/4 117/16 118/6 14/13 14/21 15/11 125/25 127/8 127/9 67/5 67/9 67/11 67/21 77/6 77/11 77/11 118/14 118/19 118/20 128/25 131/12 136/18 20/12 23/17 50/21 67/22 68/6 69/12 74/2 77/16 79/2 79/3 79/4 118/23 120/1 120/9 68/3 95/12 119/7 139/1 142/4 142/5 75/19 77/2 87/3 93/14 83/1 84/23 85/20 144/7 147/8 148/1 120/19 122/8 122/16 119/15 121/6 132/21 93/15 93/17 100/15 86/11 89/14 92/14 123/25 124/3 124/8 132/23 133/24 166/3 166/18 168/16 169/6 101/8 110/6 122/22 92/15 93/7 96/8 124/17 127/22 131/3 166/14 169/10 181/12 201/21 206/14 211/5 126/9 127/11 131/4 101/20 103/3 106/13 134/1 139/15 143/13 181/14 182/5 216/14 226/23 229/19 131/5 131/9 135/8 107/3 107/4 116/9 144/17 146/3 147/6 235/6 236/16 237/15 Women's [1] 3/21 137/4 142/16 142/17 120/3 122/25 130/15 148/7 149/12 149/16 237/17 239/16 240/7 won't [2] 199/13 145/20 147/19 154/23 131/6 132/11 140/5 152/11 159/17 159/18 241/8 244/19 251/20 206/19 158/10 158/10 159/8 142/17 143/10 143/11 wonder [7] 8/8 47/3 166/21 169/13 170/5 254/22 255/2 161/23 162/19 166/10 143/19 146/5 146/7 171/1 172/16 175/8 60/7 62/19 118/17 write [2] 53/9 240/14 170/16 170/24 173/6 146/21 148/3 149/22 177/3 178/20 183/23 175/6 243/20 writing [5] 53/11

Υ		
your [48] 150/3		
158/16 160/7 161/16		
161/21 163/16 166/24		
169/5 169/19 169/23		
173/11 173/15 174/2		
176/8 177/6 179/12		
181/2 181/18 182/9		
184/15 186/3 188/9		
189/7 193/15 193/19		
194/8 194/17 194/17		
195/5 200/17 203/22		
203/23 205/3 206/1		
214/14 214/18 217/20		
220/7 226/9 235/12		
237/9 242/1 242/1		
245/21 247/22 250/25		
251/13 253/19		
yourself [5] 51/11		
168/8 168/17 211/5		
211/25		
		(104) your yourself
		(404) vous vousoolf