1	Wednesday, 20 November 2024	1	Q. Was it that same year that you were appointed
2	(10.00 am)	2	as a Consultant neonatologist at Liverpool Women's
3	LADY JUSTICE THIRLWALL: Mr De La Poer.	3	Hospital NHS Foundation Trust?
4	MR DE LA POER: My Lady, our first witness is	4	A. Yes.
5	Dr Subhedar and I wonder if he might come forward to the	5	Q. Does your work there involve you working
6	witness box, please.	6	across two sites?
7	LADY JUSTICE THIRLWALL: Do come forward.	7	A. That's right, yes.
8	DR NIMISH SUBHEDAR (affirmed)	8	Q. One of those being Liverpool Women's Hospital,
9	Questions by MR DE LA POER	9	the other Alder Hey Children's Hospital?
10	LADY JUSTICE THIRLWALL: Do sit down.	10	A. Yes, that's right.
11	A. Thank you, yes.	11	Q. For the period 2010 to 2024, were you the
12	MR DE LA POER: Please could you state your full	12	Clinical Lead for Cheshire and Merseyside Neonatal
13	name?	13	Network?
14	A. Nimish Subhedar.	14	A. Yes, I was.
15	Q. Dr Subhedar, is it correct that you provided	15	Q. In terms of the workload of that role.
16	to the Inquiry a witness statement dated 20 June of this	16	approximately how many hours per week or month did you
17	year?	17	devote to it?
18	A. That's correct.	18	A. It was a role that required one session a week
19	Q. Is the content of that witness statement true	19	which is four hours per week on average.
20	to the best of your knowledge and belief?	20	Q. Did you find that that was sufficient time for
21	A. Yes, it is.	21	you to discharge your duties under that role?
22	Q. Did you qualify as a medical doctor in 1988?	22	A. The weekly work was longer than four hours but
23	A. That's right.	23	yes, I was able to do that, that work in my working
24	Q. Did you become a Fellow of the RCPCH in 1998?	24	week.
25	A. That's correct.	25	Q. Dr Subhedar, I wonder if I could just invite
	1		2
1	you to move very slightly closer to the microphone; that	1	Mortality Reviews that were conducted by neonatal unit
2	would be kind, thank you very much.	2	providers and sharing best practice.
3	A. Is that better?	3	So that might mean learning that came from those
4	Q. Yes, thank you.	4	reviews, it might mean setting up audits, creating
5	In practical terms, what did the role of clinical	5	guidelines, those sort of things.
6	lead for the network mean?	6	Q. How frequently would that group meet?
7	A. Yes, I was offering clinical support to	7	A. That was a bi-monthly meeting.
8	members of the network as as a practising	8	Q. So that we are clear, because different people
9	neonatologist who had experience of neonatal care.	9	mean different things, every two months?
10	Specifically my roles within the network were that	10	<ol><li>A. Held every two months.</li></ol>
11	I would chair the Clinical Effectiveness Group meetings,	11	Q. Every two months?
12	I was a member of the Neonatal Steering Group, the	12	A. Yes.
13	Network Steering Group, but I didn't chair those	13	<b>Q.</b> In terms of the structure of the network, is
14	meetings, I was a one of the members of that, that	14	it right to say that it consisted of nine neonatal
15	group.	15	units?
16	Q. I think your colleague Dr Yoxall was the chair	16	A. So the there are differences in terms of
17	of that group; is that correct?	17	what people mean by "networks". There was the
18	A. No, it was someone called Julie Maddocks who	18	overriding, overarching operational delivery network
19	was the network director who chaired the Neonatal	19	which was across the North West but within that network
20	Steering Group.	20	there are three locality networks. Cheshire and
21	<b>Q.</b> So far as the meeting that you chaired, the	21	Merseyside was one of those three locality networks and
22	Clinical Effectiveness Group, what was the function of	22	within Cheshire and Merseyside, there were nine neonatal
23	that group?	23	units nine neonatal provider units.
24	<ul> <li>A. The primary role of that group was sharing and</li> </ul>	24	Q. So is a correct description the local network

25 learning, really. Learning from Incident Reviews and

25 to mean --

7

- A. We sometimes call it the locality network.
- 2 The locality network. By that we mean the
- 3 Cheshire and Merseyside Neonatal Network, the network
- 4 you were the clinical lead for?

1

5

6

15

5

18

19

- That's correct. Α.
- Q. And the network which had the Clinical
- Effectiveness Group meetings that we have talked about? 7
- 8 Yes, that is right.
- 9 Q. Well, please help us to understand where, if
- 10 it is the operational delivery network we are talking
- about, that that is made clear. 11
- 12 Now, within the locality network of nine neonatal
- 13 units, one of them was the Countess of Chester?
- 14 That's correct.
  - Q. Is that correctly described as a Level 2 unit?
- 16 People used to describe it as a Level 2 unit
- 17 or that was a previous classification. More recently
- around this time it would have been termed a local 18
- 19 neonatal unit, which is distinguished from a neonatal
- 20 intensive care unit which is, if you like, the old
- 21 Level 3 unit and beneath that would be a special care
- 22 unit of which there weren't any in Cheshire and
- 23 Merseyside at that time.
- 24 Well, we have seen used around this time talk
- 25 about the classification of Level 2 and Level 1. We
- 1 Is it right that Mortality Reviews that were
- 2 conducted at the hospital level would be brought to
- 3 those meetings for discussion?
- 4 A. That's correct, yes, yes.
  - Was there an expectation that every Mortality
- 6 Review would be brought for discussion or only those
- 7 that the hospital doctors thought ought to be shared
- 8 with the wider group?
- 9 No. All Mortality Reviews would be expected A.
- 10 to be discussed at some level at the Clinical
- Effectiveness Group but we would have spent more time 11
- talking about those where the reviews had identified 12
- 13 deficiencies in care and learning and perhaps new ways
- 14 of working, changes in practice.
- Now, you have had an opportunity to look at 15
- the minutes of the three Clinical Effectiveness Group 16
- 17 meetings in particular?
  - Α. (Nods)
  - I will just give the dates of those
- 20 16 September, 12 November both of 2015 and
- 21 21 January 2016.
- 22 A.
- 23 Q. One of the things you tell us in your witness
- 24 statement that you looked for was whether Mortality
- Reviews from the Countess of Chester were brought to any 25 7

- have seen a press release from 2016 that talks in those 1
- terms, so if we can, we will just refer to those terms
- I think we are all very familiar with them. 3
  - Δ. Okay, that's fine.
  - Was it the case that in the event that
- 6 a Level 2 unit had a particular baby that had needs that
  - they could not address adequately that they would
- transfer such babies to the Level 3 units?
- 9 That's right. That would be called an uplift
- 10 in care and there was set criteria. So, for example,
- a Level 2 unit would only be able to provide short term 11
- intensive care, so if it was anticipated that a baby may 12
- only need intensive care for a day or two then it would 13
- be acceptable for a local neonatal unit, a Level 2 unit,
- to look after that baby but if it was clear that this 15
- 16 baby was going to need ongoing intensive care it would
- 17 be transferred to a neonatal intensive care unit.
- 18 Was there also advice sought from Level 3
- 19 neonatologists by those working in the Level 2 care
- 20 centres?

21

2

- A. Yes, that -- that happened not infrequently.
- 22 Q. Now, if we return to the subject of the
- 23 Clinical Effectiveness Group meetings and just look in
- a little bit more detail at the sort of things that were 24
- discussed every two months at these meetings.
- 1 of those meetings?
  - A. I did, yes.
- 3 As you acknowledge candidly in your statement
- 4 you don't in fact have a recollection of some of the
- 5 detail that you have seen in the minutes but you have
- 6 been able to check them for us?
  - That's right, I relied on the minutes
  - themselves and the information contained therein.
- 8 9 So if we go to the Clinical Effectiveness
- Group meeting for 16 September 2015, what you tell us in 10
- 11 your witness statement is that Dr Brearey informed the
- meeting that there were three deaths under review to be 12
- 13 presented at a subsequent meeting?
- 14 Yes. I'm assuming it was Dr Brearey who
- 15 brought that information it doesn't actually say that in
- the minutes but I would expect that if he was there, he 16
- 17 would have been the one bringing that information to the
- group and the minutes suggest that there were three 18
- deaths that were notified and it was said that they 19
- 20 would be brought back at the next meeting once reviews
- 21 had been completed.
- 22 Now, I don't know whether you will be able to
- 23 help with this, but I will ask you in any event. We
- 24 understand that those deaths related to Child A, Child C
- and Child D, deaths which occurred in June of 2015. Do 25

you know whether that detail is correct or not?

1 2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16 17

18

19

20

24

25

A. Sorry, I can't confirm that, but I can say that we didn't have any patient identifiers brought to us when cases were presented, we were just told that there were deaths and some basic information about those deaths.

- Q. I am sure there will be a different way for us to check that and that's certainly the present understanding. But at all events, three Mortality Reviews acknowledged as being in process, but not presented at that meeting for the stated reason that they weren't yet ready to be presented?
  - A. That's correct.
- Q. What you tell us is that it wasn't unusual to
  expect the review at the local level to be completed
  before it reached the locality network?
- A. That's -- that's correct. Usual process would
  have been that we would have been notified that those
  babies had died or we might have already known that
  because we would have had that data at network level so
  that list of babies who had died would have been
  compiled together with the -- the local neonatal unit.

compiled together with the -- the local neonatal unit.

And then we would have expected them to go away, do the review, wait until perhaps a postmortem was done or if it went to be a Coroner's case, to see what the

- **Q.** Do you think it's a fair criticism to say that it was a shortcoming of the system that was being operated by the locality network at that time that such audit was not so readily straightforward?
- **A.** I think -- I think that's fair but it's fair to understand that was an evolving process around that time so it was -- I agree with what you have said that it wasn't as robust as it could have been.
- **Q.** So by November of 2015, so far as the network is concerned, three cases have been brought to it from the Countess of Chester and they have been discussed with the object that you have described, namely to derive learning, particularly learning that might assist other units?
- A. That's right. I think if I remember correctly two of the cases involved babies who had had congenital malformations, so to a certain extent were expected to die. But there was a third where a postmortem report was still awaited, so that couldn't have been reviewed in full because we didn't have all of the information.

  Q. Now, the Inquiry knows that by November 2015
- Q. Now, the Inquiry knows that by November 2015,
  there had been significantly more than three deaths on
  the neonatal unit and if I just work through them?
  - A. (Nods)
  - Q. There were the three deaths of A, C and D in

Coroner said and then come back with a focus on the
 learning and whether there was any change in practice
 that was put in place which may have had a wider message
 for other local neonatal units, other neonatal units
 full stop, yes.

Q. So that brings us to the meeting of
12 November of 2015. Again you have considered the
minutes for us and you tell us that three Mortality
Reviews were presented and discussed?

A. That's right.

11 Q. We will come to a little bit more about that.
12 But in terms of those three, do you know whether it was
13 the three that were trailed in the previous meeting or
14 whether it was one or two of those or none of those
15 three or again are you not able to say because of the
16 lack of detail?

17 Well, I am unable to say but I would have expected it to have been those three but at that time at 18 19 network level we didn't keep a log of exactly which 20 cases we were expecting to have presented at future 21 meetings. I mean, that does happen now, there's a far 22 clearer way of logging which babies have died, whether 23 they have been notified at CEG and whether then the 24 review has been completed. So that level of detail is available now, but it wasn't then.

10

1 June of 2015. The death of Child E in August of 2015.

2 There were then two non-indictment baby deaths in

3 September of 2015 and then the death of Child I towards

4 the end of October 2015.

16

Now, at this meeting, the Network Clinical
Effectiveness Group were discussing three deaths. Would
you have expected the fact that those three sat as part
of an expected increase in the mortality rate to be
raised in the discussion that was taking place?

9 raised in the discussion that was taking place?
10 A. No, not necessarily. And I say that because
11 the focus of the Clinical Effectiveness Group was that
12 of learning from reviews that had been completed,
13 identifying any changes in practice the local neonatal
14 unit had recommended, and then to disseminate that
15 information to other local neonatal units.

death and numbers of death, more to receive information
 once the reviews had been completed and that could come
 back to CEG -- that sort of information could come back
 to CEG in piecemeal fashion sporadically depending on

So the purpose of CEG wasn't to monitor rates of

when the reviews had been completed, when the postmortem

22 results were available and, if necessary, when the

23 Coroner's Inquest had taken place.

Q. Acknowledging what you say about the bigpicture not being for this particular group, but just

11

4

5

7

15

20

21

- 1 adding a little detail to what I have told you already.
- 2 We also know at the end of October of 2015, Dr Brearey,
- 3 who was the lead for the neonatal unit, had had
- 4 a discussion with Eirian Powell and I think both of
- 5 those people were present at this November meeting; is
- 6 that right?

7

- A. I would have to consult the minutes again but,
- 8 yes, my understanding is there were.
- 9 Q. And as a result of that discussion, we know
- 10 that Eirian Powell produced a spreadsheet, or a table,
- 11 which contained a total of eight neonatal deaths and
- that that table had analysed which of the nursing staff
- 13 were present and you saw a later iteration of that table
- 14 so you know what I am talking about because it was
- 14 so you know what I am talking about because it was
- 15 appendixed to the Thematic Review?
- 16 A. Yes.
- 17 Q. Now, that occurred on the day of Child I's
- 18 death and so it would appear, and the Inquiry has
- 19 already received evidence about this, that there was
- 20 some consideration of the big picture and in particular
- 21 what -- whether a staffing feature may be relevant to
- 22 the mortality of each of those babies?
- 23 A. Okay.
- 24 Q. Bearing in mind that that work was done, just
- 25 two or three weeks before the meeting that you had,
  - 13
- 1 considering at the moment for that child?
- 2 A. No, I would have been surprised if anyone had
- 3 said that at that meeting only because I'm not sure --
- 4 again, focus on learning and sharing, I don't think that
- 5 was relevant to that.
- 6 But, yes, so I -- I wouldn't have expected that
- 7 level of detail and especially how sensitive that would
- 8 have been to come across at the Clinical Effectiveness
- 9 Group.
- 10 Q. Just help us because we have heard that
- 11 phrase, or a variant on it, a number of times, you say
- 12 given how sensitive that is, what do you mean by that
- 13 and how does that sensitivity prevent or inhibit
- 14 discussion?
- 15 A. So the sensitivity because individual staff
- 16 members are being scrutinised, if that was the case.
- 17 Therefore the care that they were being -- the care that
- 18 they were providing for babies who had died would have
- 19 been scrutinised and that is sensitive in the sense
- 20 that, you know, people don't like to -- to talk about
- 21 that because it makes for uncomfortable listening and
- 22 hearing.
- 23 Also in terms of the Clinical Effectiveness Group,
- 24 that doesn't really impact on other units and what they

15

25 should be doing. So that's why I don't think it was

- would you have expected any mention of that to be
- 2 raised, acknowledging that you are looking at individual
- 3 cases but those individual cases had been part of that
  - analysis before your meeting?
    - A. I don't know. I don't think so. I don't
- 6 think it would necessarily have been relevant to the
  - purpose of the Clinical Effectiveness Group in the sense
- 8 that at that point there wasn't -- if there was any
- 9 learning, specific elements of learning that were
- 10 relevant to other local neonatal units, I think I would
- 11 have expected them to have been brought back but the
- 12 fact there was some sort of attempted overview of the
- 13 deaths this had occurred I don't think that was
- 14 necessarily the role of CEG.
  - Q. If I just put it directly, it is my last
- 16 question on the topic. In the case of each of those
- 17 three babies that you were discussing, consideration was
- 18 being given for each of those three deaths as to whether
- 19 or not a staffing factor may be relevant to the death?
  - A. (Nods
  - Q. So setting aside the big picture in the case
- 22 of the case that was being discussed, that was under
- 23 active consideration it would appear at that time.
- 24 Again, might that be something to be mentioned as
  - 5 part of the review; that this is something that we are
    - 1
- 1 necessarily relevant to bring that to the Clinical
- 2 Effectiveness Group meeting.
- 3 Q. Do you think that in general terms that
- 4 sensitivity prevents discussion happening when it should
- 5 happen?
- 6 A. I think it probably does. People do feel
- 7 nervous about attributing poor care to an individual or
- 8 groups of individuals and especially when a baby has
- 9 died, to -- to think that that might have been because
- 10 of the care that was provided by -- by staff members.
- 11 That is uncomfortable and people do feel sensitive about
- 12 talking about that.
  - Q. Do you think that that is a feature of the
- 14 culture that needs to change in the interests of the
- 15 patient?

- 16 **A.** I think we need to be open, especially when
- 17 there are concerns like that. But equally we need to be
- 18 fair to individuals involved as well and there needs to
- 19 be due process. But if it means that care will be
- 20 improved and if we are more open, and talk about
- 21 individuals and groups of individuals, teams, giving
- best quality of neonatal care yes, I think that could beimproved.
- 24 Q. The final meeting to ask you about is the
- 25 21 January and at this meeting, you say that the minutes

record that one death was presented? 1

> A. (Nods)

2

6

7

8

9

10

3 Q. So it would appear that up to the date of 4 21 January 2016, four of the deaths which occurred on the neonatal unit at the Countess of Chester had been 5

brought to the Clinical Effectiveness Group.

Now, you tell us in your statement that this is the first time that you had a conversation with Dr Brearey about the mortality rate; is that correct?

As far as I can recall, yes, that is correct.

11 And was there any particular reason why you -your recollection is that it's that meeting in January 12 13 as opposed to, for example, the November meeting?

14 It's because I have read the email that Steve Brearey had sent to colleagues which was in my 15 16 initial bundle of information, which referred to him 17 having spoken to me after that meeting. So that email 18 was dated on the 22nd.

19 We are certainly going to have a look at that 20 email, but that's how you root yourself in time?

21 Yes, yes.

LADY JUSTICE THIRLWALL: Is there a dispute about 22

23 that?

24 MR DE LA POER: I don't believe that there is. 25 my Lady. At one time there may have been.

- 1 Dr Brearey and Eirian Powell because they are the ones
- 2 who represented Chester at either the Clinical
- 3 Effectiveness Group meetings or the Neonatal Network
- 4 Steering Group meetings and I had always respected
- 5 Dr Brearey in the -- in terms of his dedication and
- 6 diligence in his duties and his engagement with the

7 neonatal network.

16

17

18

8 My view of the neonatal service at Chester was that

9 they operated well, that they had good clinical governance underpinning their activities. They 10

contributed to network activities such as guidelines, 11

incident reviews, they represented their unit regularly 12

attendance at either steering group or Clinical 13

14 Effectiveness Group wasn't mandated and yet they were

there reliably on just about every meeting. 15

So let's look at the email that you have told us about, INQ005643. So the email we are looking at is as you have told us the day after that Clinical

Effectiveness Group meeting, but before we look at the 19

20 detail of this I would just like to look at the

preceding email in the chain which you are not sent 21

22 directly but which is forwarded to you.

23 So we can see that was sent three days earlier and

24 it's from Eirian Powell to Dr Brearey, copying in two

25 nurses, the deputy unit manager and the head of the 19

LADY JUSTICE THIRLWALL: Thank you.

2 MR DE LA POER: Certainly no dispute about the 3 email.

4 So just tell us as far as you can recall what it

was that Dr Brearey told you? 5

6 So I can't, I can't remember exactly what he 7 said at that time, but my recollection in general terms is that, that he -- that there were a number of deaths 8 that had occurred at Chester, that they had reviewed 9 10 those deaths and would I act as someone external, in my role as clinical lead for the network, to come and look 11 at those their review of deaths and they hadn't been 12

able to identify any clear cause of death and could 13

I be, if you like, a second pair of eyes looking at, at 14

all of those deaths again and giving my view. 15

16 And was there any discussion between the two 17 of you on 21 January about a particular staff member being associated with those deaths? 18

No. no. I cannot recollect that at all.

20 So we are going to come now to the Thematic

21 Review, but before we get to the detail of that and the

22 email that you have told us about already, what was your

23 impression of the culture of the Countess of Chester's

24 neonatal unit?

19

25

6

Α. So the people I had most dealings with were

1 children's service and we can see that what Ms Powell is

2 talking about is that she has: 3 "... amended the last list to ensure we have included all babies that have died on the unit within 4 5 this timeframe"?

Α. Yes

7 Now I have told you already that there was 8 a first version of that list created which had eight babies on it; that was in October 2015. This is the updated list which had 10 babies on it and so that's the 10 email which --11

12 Α.

-- Dr Brearey replies to but he has a number 13 14 of other people added to this email. Is this the email 15 that you received?

16 No, I don't think I was on the circulation 17 list, but I have seen that because it appeared in my 18 bundle of information.

19 Right. And we can see that you don't appear 20 to be indicated as one of the recipients but so far as our purpose is concerned, we see that Dr Brearey is 21 22 saying that he discussed increased mortality with you 23 after the network meeting yesterday and that you would

24 be happy to be an external panel member for a Mortality

Review but seemed a little bit reluctant to commit more

than half a day. He thought some of his colleagues would be willing or he could ask outside the network.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12 13

7

He goes on to attribute a number of other things to you. In the second paragraph:

"He suggested [so that's you] maybe just reviewing the cases we are uncertain about the diagnosis for."

And he makes a point about a particular case which is not an indictment baby, saying he doesn't think it will help to review that again.

He comments about having already reviewed Child A, C and D in some detail and being dubious about the benefit of that and goes on to say that the focus would then be on six babies to review.

14 Now, did you know any of the detail -- that detail at the time of your discussion or was it simply as he's 15 16 recorded it here; that you made a suggestion that there 17 needed to be focus in the meeting because it was only going to be half a day? 18

19 I don't recall the details of our 20 conversation, certainly not numbers and individual cases. But I can imagine saying that, that I could 21 22 offer half a day just because of other work pressures 23 and that we needed to be focused about what exactly they were asking and whether we could rationalise the number 24 of cases to -- to discuss, yes, I can -- I can imagine

1 wasn't part of a larger document, it was just that 2 table?

3 A. I think it was that, I think it was the 4 three-page table.

5 And did you consider that document before the Q. 6 meeting?

> A. I am -- I would have looked at it, yes.

8 And when you saw that document and saw that it 9 was only looking at nursing staff and that it was differentiating between those who were allocated to the 10 baby and those who were on duty at the time, did it 11 occur to you to wonder why that was being provided to 12 13 vou?

14 To be honest, I wouldn't have paid much 15 attention to that. I think I would have been focused on the embedded documents of the reviews and I would have 16 17 focused on -- on those in particular. So I don't recollect paying any specific attention to the columns 18 19 with the names on them.

20 Q. You were being invited to a Thematic Review 21 meeting?

22 A.

25

23 Q. Would it ordinarily be the case that a chart 24 with staffing would form part of that Thematic Review?

> No. With the benefit of hindsight it does 23

1 saying that.

2 Q. Now, if we just bring up the document which is 3 attached to this as a convenient way of addressing this 4 issue, so the attachment to this forwarded email and indeed to Ms Powell is INQ0003190. This is a document 5 6 I think you have been shown by the Inquiry; is that 7 right?

8 I have seen a number of versions of this. 9 I did want to emphasise that the version that I had 10 didn't have names highlighted in red, but it was 11 certainly dated 19 January.

12 So the same date as this but as it appears on 13 the screen, no -- no names highlighted in red as we can 14 see here?

15 Α. Correct.

16 Q. Thank you, we can take that down.

17 Now, as I understand it, you were sent a version of that document. Was that ahead of the meeting or on the 18 19 day of it?

20 No, no, it was a few days ahead of the Α. 21 meeting.

22 Q. Did it just come as that table or was it 23 attached to any other document?

24 Α. It was attached to an email. I think.

25 So it was an attachment to an email but it 22

seem a bit strange that there were names of individual 2 staff members included in that table, but again my focus 3 was trying to find out whether the causes of death as 4 attributed and the findings of the each individual 5 review were appropriate or not. So hence my focus away 6 from those columns.

Do you think you should have been more curious about why you were being sent that document to try and understand what the thinking that lay behind it was?

10 Of course with the benefit of hindsight now 11 looking at it, it does seem curious that those names 12 were there. But at the time, I didn't think anything of 13 it. It didn't occur to me as being really unusual that 14 those were there.

So we come to the meeting itself and we have a 15 record of the meeting but I just wish to seek your 16

17 impressions before we go to the detail of it.

Yes. Α.

7

8

9

18

19 Was it a good and effective constructive

20 meeting from your point of view? 21 As far as I remember, yes, I don't recollect 22 the details of exactly what happened but I would have 23 thought that each case was presented in, you know, an overview of the case rather than a blow-by-blow account. We weren't expected to review all of the case with all

7

5

- 1 of the case notes there and -- and repeat the review, 2 but to get some sort of overview of each case and 3 whether we -- whether I -- I was obviously a panel member, I didn't convene the meeting and didn't chair 4
  - the meeting but whether I agreed with their conclusion in each case.

5

6

7

8

9

- Q. Was there any discussion of the version of that document, the staffing table, at the meeting?
- Not that I recollect, I don't remember any 10 discussions about staff members, if that is your question. 11
- 12 Well, firstly staffing in general, whether Q. 13 that was discussed?
- No, no. I can't -- I don't remember it being 14 Α. 15 discussed, no.
- 16 Did anybody at any stage say that they were Q. 17 concerned or had noticed an association between one member of staff and the babies who died? 18
- 19 Not in such stark details, but at some stage 20 I became aware that they were concerned about staff, 21 a staff member or staff members because between the end 22 of that Thematic Review and by the time that 23 Steve Brearey had emailed me with the draft report,
- I obviously wanted to emphasise an aspect that I thought 24
- 25 was important, which was the unexpected and unexplained
- 1 have concerned me, but I think at that time I would have 2 still wanted to press for a detailed review of broader 3 staffing flows, for want of a better word, because 4 clearly there was only -- it was only a summary of the 5 nursing staff members that were on duty at the point at 6 which various babies died, there wasn't any mention of 7 medical staff, there wasn't any mention of who had cared 8 for babies in 12 or 24 hours prior to that, all of those 9 I think were relevant.
- 10 He has told you those two facts that he's worried about: the sudden and intended deteriorations 11 12 and deaths and that there is a member of staff who 13 appears to be associated with all in fact bar one 14 according to the chart.

15 I mean, did you understand at the time that that implied that there was a possibility at least, in 16 17 Dr Brearey's mind, that that member of staff may be responsible for the deaths because otherwise it's 18 19 a meaningless additional fact, isn't it?

20 I think I would have been aware of that. But it's not something that was top of one's list when you 21 22 are considering why babies might have died.

23 It's -- there are other explanations including 24 perhaps individuals giving care that wasn't of the standard rather than wilfully doing any harm to babies. 25 27

- deaths and the fact that I believed that a more detailed 2 review was required, but I don't remember exactly the point at which I became aware that they were concerned 3 4 about a staff member.
- Are you able to say with any certainty whether 6 it was during the meeting itself when everybody was sitting in the room together?
- No, no. I can't remember that. I think that 8 9 would have been unusual, possibly noteworthy, if they 10 had mentioned that. I -- I am aware that either in Dr Brearey's statement or his evidence yesterday that he 11 said that he had mentioned after the meeting to me that 12 there were concerns about a staff member and that it 13 could have been then. I think it's more likely it was 14 15 then.
- 16 That was what I was going to say. Is that the Q. 17 probability that that --
- Α. I think -- I think so. 18 19 When you were told about his concern, as you 20 just told us about the sudden and unexpected deteriorations and -- and deaths, and the association, 21 22 if that's a fair way of neutrally describing what he was
- 23 suggesting to you, was that something that gave you 24 cause for concern? 25 Α. It -- yes, it would have done, yes. It would
- And from time to time, there are cases where a death occurs in a neonatal unit and we have got no good 2 3 explanation for. It doesn't automatically mean that 4 there is someone trying to do harm to that baby.
- 6 doesn't that raise a safeguarding issue? 7 Yes, if -- if an individual is concerned that 8 someone is harming a baby, yes, it does raise 9 a safeguarding issue.

No, but the fact that it's a possibility,

- And -- and did you say to Dr Brearey, "Isn't 10 11 this a safeguarding issue, don't you need to raise it as 12 such?"
- 13 No, I didn't say that to him. If he had been 14 concerned I would have expected him to have raised a safeguarding issue at a local level, at the Trust 15 level and followed safeguarding, their safeguarding 16 policy. But I didn't specifically say that to him, no.
- 17 18 Do you think that's something that you should have said to him? Based -- not with the benefit of 19 20 hindsight but based on the fact that he is telling you that he's worried about these deaths, the fact he has 21 22 drawn to your attention there is an association which 23 implicitly carse the possibility that that individual is deliberately causing harm, isn't that enough to say:
- this is safeguarding, we need to engage those

1 mechanisms?

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

25

8

9

10

A. I suppose it is. I would say that I would have expected him, if he had that level of concern, to have triggered the safeguarding policy that was in operation.

My view was the pressing need for more information in terms of a detailed staffing review.

- Q. But seeking more information, do you agree, doesn't keep babies safe in the meantime?
- A. No, I agree, that doesn't. But I suppose
  I would want more information about why he was concerned
  about an individual and whether that was an appropriate,
- was the appropriately -- it was appropriate to be
  concerned about one individual when a detailed review of
  other individuals who had been providing care at that
  time hadn't been conducted.
- 17 Q. Did you seek to explore it with him any18 further than you have just described?
- A. No, I -- I didn't, except to say that in the
  draft report that he sent me I asked for greater
  emphasis to be put on the fact that there were a series
  of unexplained and unexpected deaths and that would
  provide justification for this internal review that
  I expected was one of the action points.
  - Q. Let's just work through the documents briefly.
    29
- identified, so it probably was discussed. But I don't
   think it just applies to those babies, it applies I would say it applies to all of the babies that -- in
   whom there was an unexpected and unexplained collapse.
   Q. So you were expecting that to go beyond the
- 5 **Q.** So you were expecting that to go beyond the 6 babies listed that had been discussed at the meeting and 7 up?
  - **A.** I think so, yes. Yes, I don't see why it should just apply to those babies that died in that four-hour window.
- 11 LADY JUSTICE THIRLWALL: Sorry, I think you might 12 be at cross-purposes.
- So because it says six babies had arrests, and then there is the reference to reviewing all these cases, are you reading that as meaning only the six rather than all the cases?
- 17 **A.** Yes, I thought that was the question. But 18 I am saying that it should have been extended to all.
- 19 LADY JUSTICE THIRLWALL: All the ones you were20 reviewing.
- 21 **A.** Yes, well, all the ones we were reviewing 22 where there wasn't an explanation for.
- 23 **LADY JUSTICE THIRLWALL:** Yes, thank you.
- 24 MR DE LA POER: Thank you, my Lady. Now, appended

31

25 to this draft report is that table which appears to be

1 We will start with the first draft of the Thematic

Review and we have looked at it many times, we can just

3 go quite surgically to particular parts of it,

4 INQ0003217 and if we go to page 7, please.

We can see at item 4 in this draft is the timing of the arrests. Do you recollect a discussion in the

7 meeting about the timing?

- A. I'm afraid I don't recollect that, no.
- Q. So that didn't stand out for you if it was --

10 if it was discussed?

8

9

A. I don't remember it being discussed, but I can
 see that it's there in as an identified theme so it's

13 quite possible we discussed it, yes.

14 **Q.** In connection with the timing, the action 15 point is:

"To review focusing on nursing observations in thefour hours before arrest, aim to identify if unwell

18 babies could have been identified earlier."

19 And then finally this:

20 "Identify any medical or nursing staff association

21 with these cases."

Do you recall that action point being discussed in

23 the meeting as you were all present?

24 **A.** I can't personally recollect that but, you 25 know, I accept that that was one of the themes that was

1 a table identifying the nursing staff association, do

you agree?A. Well, I haven't got it in front of me but yes,

4 I am familiar with the table, yes.

Q. You are familiar?

A. With those columns.

Q. Was that the sort of thing that you wereexpecting would be produced as a result of this actionpoint?

A. Well, in part. But also medical -- similar
I think all people, all staff members, be they nursing

12 or medical, who had cared for those babies at around the

13 time of death and in the hours preceding that is what

14 I would have suggested.

15 Q. Thank you, we can take that down.

Then we come to the email that you have referred to, INQ0102684, and we go to page 214 for this, please.

So down one more page. So we can see quite late at

19 night on 8 February, Dr Brearey sends out the draft and

20 invites suggestions and you reply on 10 February to say

21 this:

5

6

"One additional comment that you might consideradding somewhere that relates to the theme of some of

24 the cases involving babies that suddenly and

25 unexpectedly deteriorate and in whom there was no clear

cause for the deterioration/death identified at 2 postmortem."

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

You attach a paper. Is that -- is that paper anything to do with your preceding comment or is that something else that was discussed at the meeting?

- Something else that was discussed and I promised to forward on.
- Fine. So in terms -- and you have told us something about this already, in terms of your thinking behind adding that just, just work us through exactly why you thought that that needed to be added?
- 11 12 Well, the purpose of me being there and for us 13 to be having that Thematic Review was to confirm the suspicion in Chester, when they had done their reviews 14 that there were still some cases where there was no 15 16 clear explanation for a baby's collapse and/or -- and/or 17 death. I think what we decided at Thematic Review was that was correct that there were certain cases that were 18 19 -- remained unexplained and vet in the draft that 20 Dr Brearey had created it didn't spell that out so 21 I felt it was important to highlight that.

22 Because one of the actions that came from that was 23 this in-depth review and there needed to be some justification for why there was going to be that 24 25 in-depth review.

33

1 for a baby, yes, that, that does.

- Now, somebody who hadn't participated in the conversations that you had had with Dr Brearey may not immediately understand your thought process behind that; do you see that? That by adding that phrase you are not saying -- associating it with the staffing analysis, do you see what I mean?
- Right. Possibly, it was my intention to link the two, yes, but I can understand that it may not have been clear.
- Q. 11 So we can have a look at INQ0006817 and again 12 we can go to page 7. So we can see that this is the 13 version that it is finally circulated. Your entry now 14 takes number one spot. But there's no action associated with it. The action continues to be as it was in the 15 draft against timing of arrests. 16

17 So do you think that there has been a miscommunication between you and Dr Brearey in terms 18 of what you were intending to be linked and to be 19 20 justified in a particular way and what actually appears 21 in the final version?

22 I appreciate that it looks as if there is no 23 action from point number 1 on that, on that page. But 24 I think the action under point 2 encompasses both points 1 and 2 or at least that would have been my intention

35

When you say the in-depth review, what exactly 1 2 were you expecting would be done and by whom?

Well, it was this medical and nurse staffing 3 review, a more detailed review about which members of 4 not just nursing staff, not just those who were 5 6 allocated, who were actually delivering care at the time 7 and perhaps in the preceding shift, something like that.

What was your expectation that if that review 8 was conducted and that one member of staff who was 9 10 identified right at the start of your understanding about what Dr Brearey was concerned about, remained the 11 only member of staff associated, what would you expect 12 13 to happen at that point?

14 Well, I think that would escalate the level of 15 concern, had I been Dr Brearey, for example, that the 16 level of concern in my own mind about my concern about 17 the care that is being provided, whether intentionally or unintentionally, that that staff member was providing 18 19 for the babies at the time.

20 And -- and on your understanding of what you were told, does it at that stage reach the safeguarding 21 22 threshold?

23 I think it does, yes. At that point, when you 24 have excluded other possibilities, I think that -- and you are concerned about an individual's care for a --

1 and I think there is an action plan that goes along with

2 this document which --

> Q. Yes

3

4

14

Α. -- also summarises that action.

5 So while I appreciate that it doesn't explicitly 6 say what the action from point 1 is, I think it's

7 encompassed under point 2.

8 Because of course this was a document that you 9 understood would be -- would have a wider audience?

Well, yes, I didn't know who this would have 10 11 been circulated to, but yes.

12 But it wasn't just being held within the group 13 of you who had discussed it. This was -- this was --

> Α. No, probably not, no.

15 So we can look at that action, page 10. Is Q. this the action list that you were referring to? 16

17 Α. That's right, yes, it's at the bottom there.

Q. We can see that it's marked as complete as 18 at -- this will be 2 March of 2016. 19

20 Α. Yes, well I can see that it's marked as

21 complete, yes. 22

Q. And so, I mean, in your mind this was 23 an important action that needed to have a proper justification. When that was sent to you, did you have a look to see where Dr Brearey had got to with the issue

that he raised with you he was concerned about and that you had given had him advice about and that had led to the amendment of the draft?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14 15

16

17

18 19

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

So I -- this is the first time I have noticed that that says "complete", it's not something that's occurred to me previously. This is the final draft of the action plan that comes from the Thematic Review so I wouldn't have really expected any of these actions to be properly complete and I don't think that between the review and receiving the final draft that all of the actions would have been completed. So that's probably why I didn't see that and make any -- I didn't look at the word "complete" and think that: oh, yes, all of that's completed now.

Did you ever follow up with Dr Brearey about the advice you had given him and the sudden collapses and the staffing analysis to say: Dr Brearey, did you ever put to bed that worry that you had, or is it still a concern?

20 No, not -- I didn't personally follow that up Α. until many months later when we had meetings with the 21 22 team at Chester because I was under the impression, and 23 I think this is supported by an email that Dr Jayaram had, that I have seen, which said that there was some 24 sort of internal review. So I assumed that there was

don't think it would have been correct to state in those plain terms that there was concern about the care provided by a single member of staff seeing as we hadn't discussed it in any detail in the -- in the meeting itself.

37

Q. But the document itself says that no themes were identified but in fact you knew that a theme, a particular member of staff, had been identified at the time of the meeting?

No, that's not quite correct. Well, not during the meeting but I understand that Steve had expressed -- Dr Brearey had expressed concern after the meeting about a member of staff which he told me informally.

> Q. Well --

A. But I don't think that was discussed at the meeting itself. In fact, I don't remember any discussions about staffing at the meeting.

Again just looking at it from the point of view of you know that this is a document that's going to go into wider circulation. Doesn't the reader need to have that spelt out for them? Instead of as the reader would: "there was no common theme identified in all cases"?

Sorry, I haven't got that page in front of me, A. 39

some sort of internal review that was ongoing. 1

2 So that internal review doesn't start, if it's the same one, until July. Do you think you should have 3 4 followed up -- given that Dr Brearey had raised this with you, do you think you should have followed up 5 6 to say what was the final conclusion of the Thematic 7 Review about the staffing analysis?

8 A. I think again with the benefit of hindsight I wish I had done that but that was really -- it was the 9 10 ownership of this document and the action plan was the team at Chester is how I saw this, that they had 11 a number of actions that they should complete and that 12 13 was one of them.

14 But this one was slightly different, do you agree, to others in the sense that you would actually 15 16 encourage an amendment to the report in order to provide 17 a robust justification for it?

Yes, yes, I would accept that, yes.

19 Do you think the Thematic Review should have 20 been more explicit about the concern which existed at 21 the time that it was undertaken about a particular

22 member of staff. When I say the Thematic Review I mean

23 the report itself.

18

24 Well, as I said before I don't think we 25 discussed a member of staff at the Thematic Review, so I

1 so I can't --

2

Q. It's page 7.

3 Α. I mean, it does say there was no common theme 4 identified in all the cases and I have -- I have said 5 some of the babies suddenly and unexpectedly 6 deteriorated. I think those are consistent, although 7 I hear what you are saying, a very important theme that 8 was identified was the sudden deterioration and a very important action that followed that theme was the review 9 of medical and nursing staffing, so if your question is 10 11 should we have made it clearer, should it have been 12 clear, I think you are probably right, it should have

13 been. 14 The Inquiry has received evidence that this 15 document was taken at its face as saying there was no 16 common theme.

17 Well, if you read the first sentence and the first sentence only, then -- then that would be correct. 18 But in the context of the first theme that was 19 20 identified, it may not have been common to all of the babies but it is the first theme that says sudden 21

22 deterioration and -- and that there was no clear cause 23 for the deterioration or -- or collapse or death.

24 So I think in that respect it's clear. 25

I think in fairness to you, Dr Subhedar,

- 1 I just want to acknowledge you and I have both been
- 2 working on this being the version sent to you but I have
- 3 noticed as we were flicking on the previous page that
- 4 there was a date of April 2016 which postdates the
- 5 version. So can I just give you this undertaking that
- 6 we will double-check the version that you were sent in
- 7 terms of whether that action was marked as complete at
- 8 the time it was sent. I just want to acknowledge that
- 9 to you in fairness to you?
- 10 A. Okay, thank you. I do appreciate there are
- 11 a number of different versions there and I can't
- 12 remember which one I received.
- 13 Q. Well, we will be able to find it.
- 14 So I would like to move on from the Thematic
- 15 Review, so please could we take that down and just
- 16 briefly summarise your understanding of the second half
- 17 of 2016.
- Were you aware of the downgrading of the unit in
- 19 July of 2016?
- 20 A. Yes, I was.
- 21 Q. Were you aware that the Royal College was
- 22 commissioned to undertake a review?
- 23 A. I -- I was aware of that but fairly close to
- 24 the point at which the review was going to happen
- 25 because I didn't have enough time to change my diary and
  - 41
- 1 forensic Casenote Review?
- 2 A. I was, but I -- I don't know when that would
- 3 have been. Some time in the autumn perhaps, so later on
- 4 in 2016.
- 5 Q. Did any of those events give you cause for
- 6 concern or cause you to think that on behalf of the
- 7 network you needed to find out what was going on at the
- 8 Countess of Chester?
- 9 A. Not cause for concern, quite the opposite.
- 10 I would have been pressing for a more detailed review,
- 11 so I welcomed the reviews that were ongoing. We weren't
- 12 party to the Terms of Reference of either review. But
- 13 I was grateful for there being external scrutiny and
- 14 what I hoped was a more detailed review which is
- 15 something we had been asking for from the point of the
- 16 Thematic Review onwards.
- 17 Q. Now, the Inquiry knows that the Consultant
- 18 body, particularly Dr Brearey and Dr Jayaram, said in no
- 19 uncertain terms that they -- to the Executives in June
- 20 of 2016, that they were concerned that Letby may be
- 21 murdering babies. They said as much again in September
- 22 to the Royal College.
- 23 Was that a concern that the network should have
- 24 been notified about?
- 25 A. I don't know. I was -- I have never been in

- 1 I was away at that time so I couldn't participate in
- 2 that

5

7

- Q. There was an idea that you might participatein the Royal College's review; is that right?
  - A. There was -- I was invited to fairly late on.
- 6 I couldn't go but the director of the network,
  - Julie Maddocks, did attend on behalf of the network.
- 8 Q. Bearing in mind -- and this isn't a criticism
- 9 of your unavailability, but bearing in mind that you had
- 10 been involved in the Thematic Review and so and you had
- 11 had those conversations with Dr Brearey about this very
- 12 topic, do you think it would have been better if the
- 13 Royal College had spoken to you?
- 14 A. Possibly. But the network director,
- 15 Julie Maddocks, I had had discussions prior to the
- 16 Thematic Review about Chester wanting me to attend and
- 17 we had agreed that that was reasonable and I would have
- 18 spoken to her in the intervening months about -- about
- 19 what was happening at Chester and the fact that the
- 20 RCPCH review was happening, she would have been aware of
- 21 that.
- 22 So I think she could have deputised but I can see
- 23 some benefit of me having been there, yes.
- 24 Q. Were you aware of Dr Hawdon or perhaps just
  - 5 a neonatologist being instructed to conduct a detailed
    - 4
- 1 that position before. I'm not sure that was necessarily
- 2 the network's role but I know that when the
- 3 paediatricians at Chester asked for us to attend
- 4 meetings with the Chief Exec -- with the Executive Team
- $5\,$   $\,$  at Chester, we were happy to do so but more in terms of
- 6 providing support for the paediatricians rather than
- 7 having an independent role ourselves.
- 8 Q. Now, that brings us to February 2017. The
- 9 Royal College report was published on the Internet. Did
- 10 you have an opportunity to read it?
  - A. I read it because it was sent to me by
- 12 Julie Maddocks and I think she received it from Chester
- 13 at that point. But it hadn't been shared prior to -- to
- 14 then.

11

- 15 Q. Now, you will have noted that there was
- 16 recommendation to the network within that report, wasn't
- 17 there?

23

- 18 A. There was. Sorry, you would have to remind me
- 19 of what the recommendations were specifically?
- 20 Q. I certainly can, although perhaps the detail
- 21 isn't important, but you have asked so INQ0001954,
- 22 pages 21 and 22.
  - A. Thank you.
- 24 Q. So this is in relation to the transport
- 25 service which is operated at a network level?

- A. That's right.
- Q. Now, there is evidence to suggest that thisreport was finalised in this form in November of 2016.

Should you have been told about this as soon as that

5 report was finished?

1

4

6

7

8

9

10

- A. I think we should have been and I don't know whether that's the responsibility of the Executive Team at Chester or the College to have given us access to that report but, yes, if there were recommendations for
- 11 **Q.** Well, the transport service continued to 12 operate in the period November to February. If there 13 were improvements presumably you would want to know 14 about those as soon as possible?
- 15 **A.** Yes, that's -- that's true.

the network, we should have seen it.

16 Q. Now, thank you, we can take that down.

You also received Dr Hawdon's report and we don't need to go to the document, but did you reply to the email from lan Harvey that sent that to you enquiring about Dr Hawdon's Terms of Reference?

- 20 about Dr Hawdon's Terms of Reference?21 A. I did.
- 22 Q. Why did you want to know Dr Hawdon's Terms of
- 23 Reference?
- A. I wanted to -- I wanted to know what she hadbeen asked to do and what information she had been able

45

- 1 A. Yes, I did.
- Q. And we don't need to go into the medicine.
- 3 But in simple terms, why do you think that there were
- 4 three additional cases that were requiring further
- 5 investigation, what was it about them?
- A. Again because they were unexpected andunexplained.
- Q. Was that particular feature something that you
   would ordinarily look for or was it something that you
   had in your mind from the Thematic Review that you had

11 already participated in?

12 A. I think it must have been from the Thematic13 Review but also I wasn't clear whether Dr Hawdon had

14 been able to -- had been given access to all of the

- 15 cases that we had been concerned about following the
- 16 Thematic Review.

21

- 17 Q. You attended a meeting on 28 February. That18 meeting included a number of paediatricians and
- 19 Ian Harvey; is that right?
- 20 A. That's correct.
  - Q. What was the tone of that meeting?
- 22 A. I think one of concern that was expressed by
- 23 the paediatric Consultants at Chester. I think it was
- 24 a perfectly civil meeting, I don't think there was any
- 25 unpleasantness, for want of a better word. But yes,

to access and -- and to whom she spoke to, to be able tocompile her report.

3 Q. One of her Terms of Reference which in fact 4 she said she couldn't undertake but it was there on the 5 face of the Terms of Reference was she was asked to

6 consider which staff had access to the neonatal unit.

7 So not just who's supposed to be caring for the baby but8 who had access.

9 Is that the sort of enquiry that a neonatologist 10 would be expected to be able to answer?

11 A. I'm not sure I understand what that term

means, having access to the neonatal unit. Thatsuggests on the face of it people who can literally get

14 into the neonatal unit, that doesn't sound like a job

15 for a neonatologist and I am confused by why that would

16 be in the Terms of Reference stated as such.

Q. Did you ever receive a response fromlan Harvey in relation to your request to clarify the

19 Terms of Reference from Dr Hawdon?

A. No, I didn't.

21 **Q.** Now, having considered Dr Hawdon's report, did

22 you conclude that there were -- as it was presented to

23 you there were four cases that she said further forensic

24 review should take place in relation to. Did you

25 identify three more?

46

1 that's my recollection of it.

Q. Was there discussion in the meeting about the3 Consultants' concerns about an individual member of

4 staff?

5

15

24

20

A. I can't remember. I -- I can't remember if

6 that was the meeting where there were minutes but if

7 there were minutes I would like to have a look at them

8 to -- to remind myself whether that was discussed.

9 Q. There were emails exchanged about this meeting

10 that you were copied into. The one email I would like

11 to take you to is the one that you sent following the

12 meeting, INQ000 -- I will start that again. INQ0006105.

So Dr Brearey at the start of this had sent you

14 a summary of the meeting which is just over the page.

A. Yes

16 Q. We will see that in a different context.

17 Dr Gibbs has gone through it and amended it and your

18 response above the page is to say that you are not going

19 to co-sign it but you would like an addition and if

20 I can summarise this, I hope I do so completely, your

21 position was the Countess of Chester was not an outlier

22 from an acuity or staffing perspective as compared to

23 the network?

- A. That's correct.
- 25 Q. So insofar as it may be suggested that that

could explain the increase, you were giving a bigger picture to say to your mind it couldn't?

3 That's correct. Just to -- just to be clear, 4 at that time, most neonatal units weren't compliant with the national standards for nursing numbers and ratios, 5 6 but Chester didn't -- wasn't an outlier compared to 7 other local neonatal units within the network.

We see that that text was included in the email sent, INQ0003395. The email summarising the position is over the page and your text was included, I think it's the next page down. There we are, the final substantial paragraph lifted into Dr Brearey's email and we can see that what Dr Brearey is saying is

so four started with Dr Hawdon, you in fact thought it 15 16 was seven, Dr Brearey is now saying eight. 17

The only question I want to ask you about this is what Ian Harvey says in response to the paragraph that vou added in --

if we go back up the page, that there are eight babies,

A.

1

2

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

18

19

20

21

23

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

19

20

21

25

Q. -- which is on the page above. And in the --

22 I think it's the fourth paragraph --

> A. Yes.

24 -- where Ian Harvey comments -- and I'm sorry,

25 I have just lost my place, forgive me.

1 of this meeting.

> A. Yes.

Q. I don't think because there is only one matter in particular that I want to ask you about that's recorded in the minutes that you refer to in your statement, it's recorded that Dr Brearey said the matter needs to be escalated to the police.

Do you have a recollection of a discussion about whether or not the police should be contacted at that meeting?

Δ Not a detailed recollection but I am aware that the -- a referral to the police was discussed at 12 13 that meeting.

14 What was your impression of Ian Harvey's -who I think was also present at that meeting -- reaction 15 to that? 16

17 Sorry, I can't remember exactly what his Α. reaction was, him personally. 18

Do you recall whether the meeting ended with a plan to call the police or was that still an open question or had a decision been made not to do that?

22 No, as far as I remember, there wasn't a firm 23 decision made that they were going to go away and 24 consider it.

> Q. You were invited to meet Simon Medland QC, as 51

LADY JUSTICE THIRLWALL: It is the third paragraph. 1

2 MR DE LA POER: It is the third -- three lines down

3 the paragraph beginning "Contrary"?

> Δ Yes.

4

13

23

3

4

5

He agrees that you did say that, but then goes 5 Q. 6 on to say:

7 "I have seen no evidence to confirm this nor have 8 I seen anything to indicate that there was the same trajectories that we had in the period leading up to 9 10 2015/16."

11 Now, did Ian Harvey ever ask you for data or to

assist him further? 12

> No, he didn't. Α.

14 Was the network data available? Q.

15 Yes. Staffing occupancy levels, all of that Α. 16 sort of data is included in an annual report that the

17 network provided called -- we call it the ACD report,

the Activity Capacity Demand report, which contains 18

19 those that sort of level of information.

20 And had you effectively as clinical lead summarised that information as you understood it into 21 22 that paragraph that you drafted?

Α. Yes, I had.

24 Thank you very much. The final meeting to ask

you about is on 27 March 2017. There is a record made

50

1 he was, the barrister instructed by the Trust, but you

2 decided to decline that invitation; is that right?

A. That -- that's correct, yes.

Q. Why did you think it wasn't appropriate to go?

I discussed it firstly with Julie Maddocks,

6 the network director, and we came to the conclusion that

7 whatever decision they -- the team at Chester came to

8 would be their decision and I didn't want the network --

9 we didn't want the network -- to be supporting

a decision which, whichever way they -- they went 10

11 because if we had been attending the meeting then by

virtue of the fact that we were there it would have 12

13 suggested the network was supportive of whichever

14 direction Chester took following that meeting, whether

to involve the police, which is what we were pushing for 15

or equally not to involve the police at that time. 16

Q. Finally this. You reflect in your statement 17 and I will just read it out to you: 18

"I believe the overall role and performance of the 19 locality network in relation to monitoring and oversight 20 of neonatal mortality in 2015/16 could have been 21 22 improved."

23 Can you tell us why you say that and what 24 improvements you think there may be?

25 Yes. So the way I see the role of the network

1	is in part to to provide the best quality of care for	
2	babies who are being looked after in that region and	
3	also to monitor performance which means not only	
4	activity and staffing levels but also outcomes and	
5	benchmarking outcomes and the starting point for that i	
6	to collect and report data, good quality data,	
7	consistently, and I think we failed in that in that	
3	role because in the Neonatal Network Steering Group	
9	meetings we didn't provide that data.	

10 To be fair, it was an evolving process and I think that we at that time and in the years that followed, the 11 North West operational delivery network as a whole was 12 ahead of other networks, so we weren't the only network 13 who didn't provide good quality data but given that that 14 was part of our Terms of Reference, that's what we 15 16 should have been producing and we didn't.

So I would apologise for that because I think that is a weakness, a failing, of the network's role.

19 MR DE LA POER: Dr Subhedar, there will be some 20 further questions but, my Lady, I wonder if this might 21 be a convenient moment for a break?

22 LADY JUSTICE THIRLWALL: Very well. We will take 23 a break of 15 minutes and we will start again at 25 to 24 12.

25 (11.19 am)

17

18

2

6

7

8

9

16

24

25

53

1 So that is the Terms of Reference for the CEG?

- (Nods)
- 3 Q. Let's now turn to page 10, please, which is 4 part of the Terms of Reference for the steering group. 5 And it's page 10. Thank you.

Section 2.2, it explains the operating principles for the neonatal ODN and says they are adapted and adopted from NHS England values.

So these are core NHS values, do you agree?

- 10
- I just want to take you to two specific 11 principles in that list, the first item, first bullet 12 13 point:

14 "We will put the interests of patients and their 15 families and carers at a centre of our activities."

The third bullet point:

17 "We will be clinically led with an equal voice for babies, their families and carers alongside clinicians 18 and managers." 19

20 So it is clear from these Terms of Reference that the babies and the families who have received care and 21 22 treatment within the network is at the centre of what

- 23 you do?
  - A. I would agree with that, yes.
  - The guiding principle is that they should have Q. 55

1

2 (11.35 am)

4

12

16

LADY JUSTICE THIRLWALL: Ms Rong. 3

Questions by MS RONG

(A short break)

MS RONG: Dr Subhedar, I ask questions on behalf of 5 6 two of the Family groups and just want to explore a few

7 issues that are of particular interest to them.

8 You have already given evidence this morning that 9 your involvement in the chronology of events that 10 concerns this Inquiry was in your role as the clinical

- lead of the neonatal network; that's correct, isn't it? 11
  - That's correct, yes.
- 13 As part of the overall structure or function Q. of the network, there is the Clinical Effectiveness 14
- Group and a steering group? 15
  - Α. That's correct.
- 17 Now, first of all let's look at the Clinical
- Effectiveness Group Terms of Reference. If I could have 18
- 19 INQ0102684, page 4, please.
- 20 Thank you. Section 2.1, second section on this
- 21 page, the vision statement, it explains:
- 22 "To be clinically driven and operate within and
- 23 support a culture of collaboration; to engage and
- interact with other stakeholders, member organisations 24
- 25 and families, to deliver agreed outcomes".

- 1 an equal voice alongside clinicians and managers within
- 2 the Trust, would you agree?
  - A. Yes.

3

- 4 Q. Now, we know that from the evidence you gave
- 5 this morning, Dr Brearey first brought to your attention
- 6 his concerns after the CEG meeting on 21 January 2016.
- 7 we know that because we have seen the email
- 8 correspondence and he asked for your input and I think
- 9 you put it this morning as a second pair of eyes or
- a fresh pair of eyes to essentially sense-check whether 10
- their concerns that there were unexplained, unexpected 11
- deaths on the unit was confirmed, was something that was 12
- 13 accurate?

14

16

18

21

- Α. Yes, that's right.
- 15 You thereafter, we know, attended a Thematic Q.
- Review meeting on 8 February 2016 and you gave evidence
- 17 this morning that shortly afterwards there was I think
- you say a separate conversation you had with Dr Brearey
- when the nursing concern or the association with 19
- 20 a member of staff were discussed?
  - Α. That's right.
- 22 Now, the takeaway from those discussions, by
- 23 which I mean the Thematic Review meeting and the
- 24 subsequent discussion you had with Dr Brearey, was that
- there were internally within the Countess of Chester NNU 25

concerns that they were having increased numbers of deaths, but not just that, that those deaths were unexplained and unexpected?

- I only knew that Steve was -- when you say "internal concerns", I only knew that Dr Brearey was concerned and that he was concerned about some of the deaths that were unexplained and unexpected.
- 8 That's the reason why he came to you in the 9 first place?
- 10 A. Well, yes.
- 11 Q. Yes?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

- 12 A. Yes
- 13 Q. That was your view after the Thematic Review, you agreed with him: yes, what you are concerned about is valid? 15
- 16 A. Yes.
- 17 Q. Based on a clinical review, I appreciate it was only a brief discussion in that meeting, but from 18 19 what you were aware of, from the point of view of the 20 network, you did not think any other factors such as acuity or level of activities on the NNU or any nursing 21 22 competency issue could immediately explain those 23 concerns?
- 24 At the Thematic Review some of those things 25 you have mentioned weren't discussed. It was the

Now, I do not know of course whether he expressed those thoughts to you at the time, but when you gave evidence this morning when asked when the threshold would be reached to escalate the level of concern, and your answer was: when you excluded other possibilities?

- A. Yes, yes.
- So if that was the frame of mind for the paediatricians, Dr Brearey in particular at the end of the Thematic Review, you would expect escalation at that point, would you not? That threshold has been crossed?
- If an individual believes that there is no other explanation then that threshold would have been crossed.
- 14 Q. If we take a step back, your recommendation was we need to look at it further, that's the action 15 plan that was drafted by Dr Brearey afterwards to see 16 whether there was a theme regarding staffing issue, but 17 those reviews take time and when we are in a state of 18 affairs where there was a concern, a valid concern, we 19 20 do not know what it was, it might take time to get to the bottom of it, does patient safety not demand 21 22 immediate action to protect the patients whilst those 23 reviews are ongoing?
- 24 Well, I think it does. But we have to ask -you can't put safeguards if you don't know what the 25 59

- clinical care stuff such as an individual nurse's 1
- 2 competency, broader staffing factors. Those weren't --
- those weren't discussed at the review. 3
  - O. They were or were not?
  - Α. Were not
- 6 Q. Were not. But nothing that was brought to you
- 7 or you had seen around that time, both in the meeting
- itself and during your subsequent discussion with
- Dr Brearey, it wasn't -- okay, we have identified the 9
- 10 reason now, it's acuity, it's nursing competency;
- 11 nothing --

4

5

12

- A. That's correct.
- 13 Q. -- to explain it away?
- 14 That's correct. Α.
- 15 Q. And that was not an acceptable state of
- 16 affairs, by which I mean there was clearly a patient
- 17 safety issue that needed further investigation, further
- review; that was your view, was it not? 18
- 19 Α. It was, yes, that -- that needed further 20 detailed review, yes.
- 21 Now, Dr Brearey's evidence to the Inquiry
- 22 yesterday was that as far as he was concerned, by the 23 end of that Thematic Review, you had or he had looked at
- most of the other things you might wish to consider and 24
- those things have already been excluded.

- problem -- where the problem lies, so you have to go
- through a process and that's what I was asking for that, 2
- a detailed review needed to be performed to get to the
- 4 point at which you conclude there are no other
- 5 explanations here and that it is perhaps a staff member
- 6 and the care that that staff member is delivering,
- 7 either intentionally or unintentionally, to that baby
- 8 that's causing the problem.
- So you can't jump to that conclusion without having 9 gone through that process. 10
- 11 But the unit didn't jump to that conclusion.
- Work had already been done before they came to you. 12
- Now, I am not suggesting for a moment that you 13
- 14 ought to act alone and put in measures, it wasn't your
- job but they had already reviewed, they have raised 15
- a concern, they have confirmed with a fresh pair of eyes 17 that that was a valid concern.

16

- 18 So whilst whatever further work might be undertaken, might be required to confirm or exclude that 19 20 factor, immediate action needed to be taken, did it not?
- 21 Well, again, if that individual was convinced
- 22 that there was no explanation then to protect patient
- 23 safety, yes, action will have needed to be taken. The
- difficulty is knowing what action in those circumstances
  - and I can't answer that question because I wasn't in

that position with the benefit of the knowledge to have made that decision.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16 17

18

19 20

21

22

23

24

25

One of the options would be to involve the police and when you gave evidence earlier, when in the context of explaining why you declined the invitation to take part in the meeting with Simon Medland QC, in April 2017, and your answer towards the end was: you didn't want to give credit to or legitimise any decisions that they may make, one of which might be to involve the police, and you said "which is what we were pushing for".

Now, when you refer to "we" in that sentence, to whom are you referring, is it the paediatricians, the network, who was pushing for involvement of the police?

So I was referring to the meeting that we had, I can't remember the date, I'm afraid, with the Executive Team where Dr Brearey and his colleagues were suggesting that this needed to be escalated to a referral to the police.

> That is the March 17 meeting? Q.

A. Yes. So we were there to support their view and from a network point of view we were still demanding a more in-depth detailed review, however that was conducted and by whomever that was conducted.

So really I suppose what I am saying is we were

notified or to be informed that there might be an issue with the care their children had received?

So at that point where there is concern and the process has been completed, in terms of gathering the information, then yes, I think we as professionals have a duty of candour, a responsibility to let parents know and that's true for -- this -- only when a death has occurred, when significant harm has occurred in whatever setting.

There doesn't have to be confirmed -- the cause doesn't have to be confirmed. All that requires or triggers the duty of candour is there might have been gaps in the provision of care, there might have been shortcomings in the provision of care, would you agree?

Yes, but that would apply -- at the moment I'm aware that the duty of candour responsibility is -needs to be discharged when there is at least moderate harm and clearly all deaths would -- would qualify for that which means that you would have to go through a process like that for every baby that's died prior to any review having been conducted.

But apart from provision of information, the other element or the side of the coin which is equally important is the gathering of information because we are not talking about adult patients where you can take the

supporting the paediatricians in their view that this 1 2 needed to be escalated to a police matter.

3 But the features which were pointing, as far 4 as the paediatricians were concerned, towards calling 5 the police or involving the police at that stage, all of 6 those factors, save for the number of babies who had 7 already been killed or harmed at that stage, were already present by the time they sought your advice in 8 9 January 2016, were they not?

10 Well. I don't know that because I -- I can't speak to that because I wasn't aware of all of the 11 information that they had available. Potentially, yes. 12

13 Now let me deal with very quickly with the parents' involvement and taking a step back in terms of looking at the lessons that can be learned from all of 15 16 this.

17 Going back to the Terms of Reference that we looked 18 at earlier, giving parents a part, giving them an equal 19 voice, now, would you agree that when concerns were 20 identified regarding the care that the babies on the 21 unit had received, the parents ought to have been 22 consulted from the point of view of provision and 23 gathering of information.

24 Let me expand on that.

25 There are two parts to it. The first is to be

history directly from them, the parents whose children

these were, they might and it's reasonable to expect, 2

have valid and useful information to contribute towards

4 the process of investigation; would you agree?

5 Yes, I would agree with that. And you are 6 right that that didn't happen during this process and as 7 far as I know doesn't happen regularly. There is 8 a little bit of involvement with -- with respect to

parents, in PMRTs, which are Formal Mortality Review 9

10

processes now, where parents are asked have they got any

specific questions they would like addressed during 11

12 those meetings.

13 But in terms of gathering information, for example, 14 when there has been an incident that doesn't involve parents at the moment. 15

16 No, but when we are talking about the history of care with these babies being on units, some of them 17 for weeks, that they might have information to give? 18

> A. Yes.

19

20 Q. Some of the evidence given by other witnesses

to this Inquiry I think it is the approach of: we don't 21

22 want to upset parents, we don't want to tell them

23 anything until we knew. But that is not the right

approach because the parents, if I can put to you, one

has a right to know; and two, might have their own input

- in what investigations they want taken, what 1 2 information, for example, records they want to seek, 3 what independent advice they want to seek themselves if 4 they are not happy with the hospital's approach, would
- 6 Yes, yes, I would agree with that. I think we 7 have to take into account the anxiety and distress that 8 some parents might experience.

But equally I don't think we can take 10 a paternalistic view and say we are trying to protect them from that and therefore we should exclude them from 11 those reviews. 12

- 13 Q. The clinicians or the Execs shouldn't say "we 14 know better"?
- 15 Correct. A.

you agree with that?

5

9

- 16 Q. And that, would you agree -- and again I am 17 not suggesting you have spearheaded some sort of communication plan alone, but this -- looking back on 18
- 19 the chronology of events in this case, that
- 20 patient-centered approach was lacking in this case, was
- 21 it not, such that the parents were left on the outside
- 22 of the perimeters of investigation until very, very late
- 23 in the day?

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12 13

18

- 24 Yes, I agree with you that the parents weren't 25 involved in any of the reviews or those discussions,
- 1 Twinned with that role as safety lead within 2 the -- within the Trust, yes, I had a had an interest in 3 patient safety.
  - If in 2015 a child had died unexpectedly without immediate medical explanation in your unit, what investigations would you have initiated?
  - There would have been a review process that would have been undertaken which is the same as for all deaths and then if we had concluded that there was an unexpected and unexplained death in 2015, if it was an isolated incident, I think that happens from time to time, I don't think it would have necessarily taken -gone -- been taken any further.
- 14 At the time, sort of in real-time, I think that a Coroner might be involved. I think I would have 15 expected if there was the clear cause of death we would 16 17 have made a referral to the Coroner.
  - What about the SUDiC process?
- 19 The SUDiC process to my mind at that time 20 wasn't relevant to babies who died in neonatal intensive care. It was the sort of thing that was triggered if 22 a baby died at home as a cot death, I am pretty sure 23 that the national guidance at that stage referred to Sudden Unexpected Postnatal Collapse in individuals at
- term, babies at term who were born in a good condition 25 67

1 yes, I agree.

2

5

MS RONG: Thank you. Thank you, my Lady.

3 LADY JUSTICE THIRLWALL: Thank you, Ms Rong.

4 Mr Skelton.

Questions by MR SKELTON

6 MR SKELTON: Dr Subhedar, I ask questions on behalf 7 of all of the Family groups.

8 Can I ask you first about your background and 9 expertise. In your statement you mention your posts 10 within your hospital but you don't mention I think that you are clinical lead, I think for risk management and 11 clinical governance; is that right? 12

13 A. I was lead for clinical for, for clinical governance and risk management about until about around 14

this time, I think. It was the last few years that 15

16 I haven't been so, probably from about 2017 I think

17 I might have stepped down from that role within out

Trust. 18

19 So at the time that you and Dr Brearey were 20 dealing with the issues you have been talking about 21 today you were clinical lead?

22 I would have to check but yes, I think 23 I probably was at that time.

24 Is it right you also have a special clinical 25 interest in patient safety?

66

1 and then secondarily collapsed.

2 So I don't think -- I am pretty sure we weren't 3 using the SUDiC protocol in neonatal intensive care.

4 Were you aware that Dr Garstang who gave 5 evidence about her experience in a similar setting not 6 too far from your hospital, thought that SUDiC was 7 appropriate for deaths in hospital, for sudden --

> Α. I wasn't aware of that, no.

If you suspected that a member of staff had 9

harmed a patient, what was the process that you would 10

have initiated as the safeguarding lead in your own 11

12 hospital?

8

16

24

25

13 Α. Depends on my level of concern but the way you

14 state it, if I was really concerned that someone had

15 harmed a baby --

> Q. Murdered?

Well, that would have been a very serious 17

event, obviously, I would have involved the safeguarding 18

team and taken advice about involving the police there 19

20 and then.

21 It's right, isn't it, that one of the 22 axiomatic principles of safeguarding is that it's

23 everyone's responsibility?

> Α. Yes, it is.

Q. Not one person; every one that's aware of that

information, that concern, has a responsibility to act?

- A. Yes, I would agree with that.
- 3 Q. As I understood your evidence, you had been

4 provided with the mortality table in early 2016 which

- 5 listed the deaths that were going to be considered by
- 6 the review and also the staff allocation and people who
- 7 were present, the staff members present?
- 8 **A.** Yes

1

2

- 9 Q. Again as I understood your evidence earlier,
- 10 it was unusual to have the staffing as part of the
- 11 information provided into an investigation into
- 12 a child's death?
- 13 A. I think I said that with the benefit of
- 14 hindsight it was unusual. Looking back now, I would
- 15 wonder why those names were there.
- 16 Q. Why didn't you ask?
- 17 A. I didn't really pay much attention to those
- 18 columns with the names in there. I was focused on the
- 19 embedded documents which was the summary of the clinical
- 20 case and the causes of death.
- 21 Q. Dr Brearey's evidence, have you read his
- 22 principal statement to the Inquiry?
- 23 A. I have, yes.
- 24 Q. So his evidence at paragraph 199 is that
- 25 during the Thematic Review meeting that you attended,
  - 69
- 1 **A.** Yes.

2

- Q. -- Dr V, Eirian Powell Anne Murphy, Ms Eagles
- 3 and Ms Peacock?
- A. Yes.
- 5 Q. Then if we go on to the next page, the
- 6 paragraph I read out if you want to just take a moment
- 7 to have a look at it, it's paragraph 199.
- A. Yes.
- 9 Q. So essentially it seems there are almost two
- 10 parts to the meeting, there is the first part where you
- 11 discuss the babies without mentioning the staff. Then
- 12 at the end of that discussion, it appears that he raises
- 13 the staffing issue, which of course was already embedded
- 14 within the table that you had received that you hadn't
- 15 analysed.
- 16 Do you remember that?
- 17 A. I don't remember that but I can see that he's
- 18 stated that and I know that around that time, whether it
- 19 was during the meeting or immediately after the meeting,
- 20 I think either in his statement somewhere or perhaps in
- 21 his evidence yesterday he talked about speaking to me
- 22 after the meeting to let me know about the concerns
- 23 about an individual.
- 24 So I'm not sure exactly when that was but
- 25 I understand that around that time, he must have made me

71

- 1 Lucy Letby was not discussed. He didn't want to
- 2 introduce any bias into the process of discussing the
- 3 children, the individual babies, and then he says that
- 4 after he discussed, or:
- 5 "... we had discussed every case, I then raised the
- 6 issue of the staffing analysis and association with
- 7 a nurse. We also discussed that six of the nine babies
- 8 had collapsed between the times of 0000 to 0400 hours."
- 9 So his recollection in his statement, which he then
- 10 reaffirmed yesterday, was that there was a discussion at
- 11 that meeting of the staff association that had been
- 12 identified in the table.
- 13 Is your recollection that you can't remember that
- 14 or you are sure that he didn't do that, say that?
- 15 **A.** Would you mind bringing that up, so I could
- 16 have a look at that part of his statement.
- 17 Q. The statement, yes, it's -- give me one second
- 18 for the reference --
- A. Because I would like to read the context.
- 20 Q. It's INQ0103104. And if we could go to
- 21 page 33, please, which is the section where he deals
- 22 with this meeting. So at the bottom, you can see there
- 23 is a heading "Thematic Review" and you can see that you
- 24 are listed as one of the attendees along with
- 25 Steve Brearey --

70

- aware or I was made aware that there were concerns about
- 2 a member of staff.

5

7

- 3 Q. Did you say to him: Steve, do you think the
- 4 staff has negligently harmed these children or are you
  - saying it was intentional or are you thinking it was
- 6 intentional as a possibility?
  - A. I didn't say either of those things.
  - Q. Do you recognise that you should have done?
- 9 A. I recognise that what was important was to
- 10 understand why he was concerned about a member of staff
- 11 and why that would have been a particular nursing member
- 12 of staff when I don't see that there was any review of
- 13 other staff members delivering care to -- to those
- 14 babies such as medical staff.
- 15 I think that's why we concluded that an in-depth
- 16 review of all staff was important.
- 17 Q. But in respect of the single staff member that
- 18 he mentioned at the meeting or at that time to you, why
- 19 didn't you ask: what do you think she's done?
- 20 A. No, I -- well, it didn't occur to me that
- 21 anyone would want to wilfully harm babies, that the most
- 22 likely explanation, if anything, was that the care that
- 23 was being provided for that -- for those babies wasn't
- 24 of a high standard, whatever that might mean from
- 25 a nursing perspective.

But it didn't occur to me that I needed to ask in such explicit terms to why he was concerned, at least until a review had been undertaken of all the staffing members

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11 12

13

14 15

18

19

20

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

13

14

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

**Q.** It may be right that it's more likely that a staff member is negligent than criminal. But it was a possibility, wasn't it?

**A.** Yes, it was -- well, especially with the benefit of hindsight yes, of course it was a possibility. But we wasn't thinking that way at that time.

**Q.** Without the benefit of hindsight, if a nurse is associated with a large number of child deaths, it is possible that is because of deliberate conduct?

A. Yes, of course it's possible.

16 **Q.** That is a matter that needs to be ruled out 17 for patient safety reasons urgently?

**A.** Yes, I would agree with that, that it needs to be ruled out after a proper review, but an urgent review, ves.

21 **Q.** You, over this period of time, and indeed 22 before this period of time, and throughout 2016 and 23 2017, are the wise person to whom Steve Brearey is 24 going, the person outside his own hospital, for advice.

25 Can you explain why you didn't ask him about his concern

children's deaths?

A. I knew that there was a review ongoing or I suspected there was a review ongoing. I wasn't party to what the review found. So I can't -- I'm not sure I can answer that question.

**Q.** Well, the answer's no, isn't it? As far as you were aware, there was no common cause identified medically to explain these children's deaths?

9 A. There was no common cause found medically,10 yes, that's correct.

11 **Q.** How had you in your mind excluded the 12 possibility that the nurse had acted criminally?

A. I wasn't able to do that. I wasn't in a position to do that. I was asking for a detailed review to be done of -- of all staff at that time and I didn't know whether that was being done or whether it had been done and that had resulted in concerns about a single member of staff or continued concerns about a single member of staff.

Q. But if this had happened in your hospital, many more children than usual dying, no identifiable common medical cause, exclusion of the usual causes but a commonality of a single member of staff, you would have acted with urgency, wouldn't you?

**A.** If all of those things that you have said were 75

about this nurse or advise him to trigger the usualprocesses that would apply?

3 A. I was asked as someone external to that 4 neonatal unit to provide an overview, Thematic Review,

5 and to look at all of those cases and that's what

6 I believe I did as part of the Thematic Review. I think

7 it was reasonable to suggest a detailed staffing review.

8 I highlighted the concerns that were expressed during

9 that review, during the Thematic Review of the fact that

10 there were some unexplained and unexpected deaths and

11 I think that was the right thing to do.

12 Q. But you didn't really follow that up, did you?
13 I mean, the detailed staffing analysis demonstrated that
14 she was in fact present?

A. Well, that's not what I meant by a detailed
staffing analysis. It was more than just having names
who were looking after that baby at that time.

There was names, it was flows of staff, both medical and nursing, at the time and prior to that time as well which was important. I didn't have that level of detailed information.

22 **Q.** But as far as you were aware, after the --23 after this Thematic Review meeting, did the 24 investigations that you set in motion or assisted to set 25 in motion ever identify a common cause for these

74

1 in place, yes.

25

2 Q. But you never advised Steve Brearey to do 3 that?

4 A. I didn't know all of those things were in 5 place. All of those things that you have mentioned 6 about a single member of staff being identified after 7 a thorough review, if that had happened in my hospital 8 we would have demanded a thorough review, first of all, there would have been an urgent review and if at the end 9 of that review there was only one member of staff that 10 11 was still implicated then, yes, that would have demanded 12 further action.

13 **Q.** When do you say Steve Brearey or anyone else 14 first made it clear to you that the suspicion was in 15 fact of deliberate harm, murder, by that nurse? When in 16 this whole chronology did that occur?

17 **A.** That was, those words were never used by
18 Dr Brearey to me. There was -- I was aware of a concern
19 about an individual, I didn't know specifically the
20 level of concern and so, for example, I didn't know
21 whether that was about clinical care or whether it was
22 actively wanting to harm babies.

So -- but I knew that there was concern. What had prompted such concern I wasn't aware of.

Q. Throughout the entire time into 2017?

- A. Well, by -- by the time we met with the Exec
  Team at Chester and Dr Brearey and the team were
  concerned enough to want to involve the police, I knew
  at that stage that there were -- there were concerns
  about a member of staff having murdered babies. But,
  but not before then.
  - **Q.** When you found that information out, did you think to say to Steve who you knew very well, professionally: why didn't you tell me? Why am I only finding out now in 2017 that you suspected this nurse for two years?
- 12 **A.** I didn't ask him that specific question, no.
- 13 **Q**. Why not?

8

9

10

11

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

13

- A. Because I knew there was a process that was -well, I assumed that there was a process that had been
  followed with external reviews. What I didn't know was
  whether an internal review had been undertaken and what
  that had shown and whether there were other events that
  had prompted their concern, but I was aware of their
- 20 concern.
  21 Q. Do you recognise now that a series of reviews
  22 that drifted into weeks to months to years was not the
  23 appropriate response to a concern of that gravity?
- 24 **A.** Yes, I -- I would accept that. I think it 25 demanded an urgent detailed review with -- by either an
  - us to, so I wonder if you might just do that now and just explain to us what it is that you wish to correct?
  - **A.** So, my Lady, the paragraph 16, there were no formal minutes of that meeting which were shared with me. After looking at the minutes from Mr Stephen Cross' statement, I realised that there are a few things which are incorrect in my statement, so it was not Dr Brearey, it was Dr Susie Holt who was in that meeting.
- 9 **Q.** If I can just pause you there, just so that we all know, those who don't have your statement in front, you are speaking about a meeting on 27 April of 2017; is that right?
  - A. That's correct.
- 14 Q. It's a meeting that you deal with at
- 15 paragraph 16. You have just told us that you have seen
- 16 Stephen Cross' notes of that meeting which were not
- 17 a document that you had access to at the time that you
- 18 made your witness statement?
- 19 **A.** (Nods)
- 20 Q. You have told us that you are correcting your
- 21 recollection that it was not as you say in your
- 22 statement Dr Brearey, but instead it was Dr Holt who was
- 23 present.
- Is there any other matter within your paragraph 16that you wish to correct?

79

- 1 external body or something similar which had some
- 2 independence and that should have been done much more
- 3 quickly.
- 4 MR SKELTON: Thank you, thank you my Lady.
- 5 LADY JUSTICE THIRLWALL: Thank you, Mr Skelton.
- 6 MR DE LA POER: My Lady, I have no questions
- 7 arising.
- 8 **LADY JUSTICE THIRLWALL:** I have no questions either 9 so thank you very much indeed, Dr Subhedar, you are free
- 10 to go.

12

- 11 A. Thank you very much.
  - MR DE LA POER: My Lady, our next witness is
- 13 Dr Mittal. I wonder if he might come forward, please.
- 14 DR RAJIV MITTAL (affirmed)
- 15 Questions by MR DE LA POER
- 16 LADY JUSTICE THIRLWALL: Do sit down.
- 17 MR DE LA POER: Please could you state your full
- 18 name?

23

5

7

8

- 19 A. Rajiv Mittal.
- 20 Q. Dr Mittal, you provided to the Inquiry
- 21 a statement dated 7 June of 2024?
- A. That's correct.
  - Q. I understand that there is a correction that
- 24 you wish to make before you confirm its content to be
- 25 true. I think it's paragraph 16 that you want to take

- 1 A. And also there was a mention of a member of
- 2 the staff linked to deaths in that meeting so that was
- 3 the first time this was mentioned in that meeting. So
- 4 this is -- in correcting my statement.
  - LADY JUSTICE THIRLWALL: So your statement says
- 6 there was no mention, but --
  - A. Sorry, my Lady?
  - LADY JUSTICE THIRLWALL: Is what you are correcting
- 9 the first line on page 3?
- 10 A. And then the second one there was a mention of
- 11 the involvement of a member of staff in that meeting
- 12 definitely
- 13 LADY JUSTICE THIRLWALL: So should we delete the
- 14 word "no"?
- 15 **A.** Yes, yes, my Lady.
- 16 LADY JUSTICE THIRLWALL: Thank you.
- 17 MR DE LA POER: Thank you, Dr Mittal, we will come
- 18 to that meeting in the course of your evidence but
- 19 taking into account the corrections that you have just
- 20 made about paragraph 16, is the statement otherwise
- 21 correct to your knowledge and belief?
- 22 A. Yes, my Lady.
- Q. Now, Dr Mittal, this isn't dealt with in your
- 24 statement but can you just give us some idea of when you
- 25 qualified as a doctor?

5

16

- So I qualified -- I had completed my MBBS in 1 A. 2 1992 and since 1992 I have been working as 3 a paediatrician in various roles.
  - You became a member of the RCPCH in 2009; is O. that correct?
- 6 A. In 2003 I became a member of the Royal College 7 of Paediatrics and Child Health.
- 8 Thank you. Did you join the Countess of 9 Chester Hospital in 2009?
- 10 A. That's correct, I joined Countess of Chester in March 2009. 11
  - Was that as a Consultant paediatrician? Q.
- 13 That's correct. A.

4

5

12

14

5

6

7

8

9

10

16

17

18

19

- Q. Was your area of work the community?
- 15 That's right, so I am a neuro-developmental A. 16 paediatrician and I mainly do ADHD autism assessments 17 and cerebral palsy and children with development delay.
- 18 Can I ask you just to slow down very, very 19 slightly, I think that will just help with the echo.
- 20 A.
- 21 Q. Now, as part of your role, did you also become
- 22 what is known as the designated doctor?
- 23 A. That's correct, my Lady, yes.
- 24 Now, we need to be clear about where you were 25
- the designated doctor of. Were you the designated
- 1 contacted first and I would be contacted if it is in the 2 local authority in different hospitals or GPs or health 3 visitors, they would contact me, if it is outside the 4 hospital.
  - But presumably the Countess of Chester fell within the area that you had responsibility for. So just as other hospitals might have a named doctor for the hospital, your responsibility for the -- at the CCG level included the Countess of Chester?
  - That's correct, my Lady. A.
- 11 O. So does it follow that in terms of a safeguarding issue that was raised with you, because 12 13 that's being raised at a CCG level, you aren't in fact 14 answerable to anybody at the Countess of Chester in terms of how you deal with that; is that right? 15
  - That's correct, my Lady, so for my -- this role, there is a director of quality in ICB, she is called Paula Wedd, she is my immediate manager for this role.
- 20 Now, as far as the SUDiC process was concerned, what was your understanding in 2015/16 as to 22 whether SUDiC applied to unexpected deaths of neonates 23 who were born in hospital and were moved to the NNU?
- 24 So, my Lady, I would like to just spend 25 a couple of minutes on SUDiC process because this has 83

- doctor for Cheshire West and Cheshire Vale Royal? 1
- 2 From 2009 I had been working as a Designated 3 Doctor for Safeguarding and Designated Doctor for Child 4 Deaths.
- 5 For Child deaths, but was that for the 6 Countess of Chester that you were working in that 7 designated doctor role or was it for a wider area?
- My Lady, that was for ICB. It used to be 8 9 called CCG before, Clinical Commissioning Groups, and 10 now they are called ICB, Integrated -- Integrated --
- 11 LADY JUSTICE THIRLWALL: Care Board.
- 12 MR DE LA POER: Care Board.
- 13 Integrated Care Board, so they are the same but yes, that was on behalf of them. 14
  - So were they funding that part of your job?
- 16 Α. Yes. My Lady. So I get four hours of my
- 17 which is one session per week which is paid by ICB for
- my Designated Doctor for Child Death role. 18
- 19 Just to look at it in a practical real world
- 20 context, if there was a safeguarding issue at the
- 21 Countess where you were a Consultant paediatrician,
- 22 would you be the person to be spoken to about that or
- 23 was there another person who should be spoken to?
- 24 So, my Lady, it would be the named doctor for safeguarding which is Dr Howie Isaac. She would be
  - evolved from 2004. Originally it was only for infants
- 2 up to two years of age, it was only for infants who died
- at home unexpectedly, so this process was started in
- 4 2004 because only for those babies.
  - So gradually the remit has increased and it
- 6 increased from up to two years to 18 years of age.
- 7 Previously it was only like for deaths which were
- 8 outside the hospital but gradually it has included any
- death anywhere in the hospital or outside in the 9
- community; if it is unexpected, then a SUDiC process 10
- should be initiated. 11
- So you have described an evolution which 12 13 I think brings us up to the present day; is that right?
- 14 Α. That's right.
- 15 So the resting position today is that SUDiC applies to every death regardless of where it takes
- 17 place and regardless of the circumstances?
- 18 Just I would like to make one comment here
- my Lady, that this is a process. So the way it is 19
- 20 followed is still like Dr Subhedar also mentioned. It
- is still people think that if a death is outside the 21
- 22 hospital in community, then SUDiC process is followed.
- 23 If it is an in-hospital death although for unexpected
- in-hospital deaths SUDiC process should be followed, but
- it is still people's presumption that in-hospital death

- there is a separate process, so SUDiC is not followed. 1
- 2 And in 2015 again like people thought again it's
- 3 a training issue and it is a national issue, so in my
- 4 15 years in the Countess of Chester Hospital I haven't
- come across a single death where we have done a SUDiC 5
- 6 meeting for in-patient hospital deaths. And I was
- 7 reading our expert Dr Garstang's statement and she was
- 8 saying last year, which is 2023, in Birmingham, which
- 9 deals with a much larger population and much larger
- 10 neonatal deaths, she said out of 18 neonatal deaths, she
- was only involved in one death, one neonatal death, 11
- which was an in-hospital neonatal death, where SUDiC 12
- 13 process was initiated.
- So it is unusual still and I -- I agree that it 14
- should have been initiated but it is more like 15
- 16 a training issue and practice issue and it is a national
- 17 thing. This is being discussed in the national meetings
- as well, that people are really not following the SUDiC 18
- 19 for in-hospital deaths.
- 20 Q. Dr Mittal, I just want to get down to, as my
- 21 question began, with what your understanding you have
- 22 described how your colleagues who are not necessarily
- 23 specialists in SUDiC may have misunderstood it and you
- have been very clear about that. But you are the 24
- 25 designated doctor for the CCG, as it was then.
- 1 officer will ring me directly or if it is a health
- 2 professional they are not sure whether the SUDiC should
- 3 be started or not, they would come to me, they will come
- 4 to me, they will contact me if it is a paediatrician or
- 5 A&E Consultant, they will come to me and then they ask
- 6 me whether we should be doing a SUDiC for this death or
- 7 not.
- 8 Q. Dr Mittal, my question just to ask you to
- 9 focus on it, please, was if you had been asked for your
- advice, that would have been --10
- Α. Yes. 11
- 12 -- if this is an unexpected death, we need to
- initiate the SUDiC protocol which would involve using 13
- 14 the language of 2015 a Rapid Response Meeting which
- would be multi-agency; is that correct? 15
- 16 A. That's correct, yes.
- 17 Now, did any of your colleagues at the
- Countess ask you about any of the deaths that they dealt 18
- 19 with whether or not the SUDiC protocol applied?
- 20 A.
- 21 Q. Now, you have told us that you saw the
- 22 Form As, we are not going to look at the detail of any,
- 23 but in terms of your responsibility if any of those
- Form As mentioned that the death was unexpected, did you

have a responsibility to notice that and to go back to

- 1 In 2015, did you think that SUDiC applied to
- 2 unexpected deaths in hospital?
  - Yes, my Lady.
    - You did? O.
- 5 Α. Yes

3

4

- 6 Q. So if any Consultant paediatrician in the
- 7 Countess of Chester had told you that they had an
- unexpected death of a neonate, would you have advised 8
- them to initiate the SUDiC process? 9
- 10 So I would just like to explain a bit more
- about answer to this question. So in my Designated 11
- Doctor for Child Deaths role there are two ways I get 12
- information. First is like the Form A which has been 13
- 14 mentioned which is a notification form which is for all
- 15 deaths, all routine deaths.
- 16 In 2015, it used to come to me, either via phone or
- 17 in a paper copy or it could be an email. So the process
- 18 was not very structured and it could be sometimes a
- 19 couple of days before the information came to me, in
- 20 2015.
- 21 The system has changed now but at that time it was
- 22 very -- it was not very systematic at that time. So
- 23 this is for all deaths.
- 24 But if there is a SUDiC death I get phone call from
- the police officer, if it is in community the police
- 1 the neonatal unit to say: has this death been considered
- for SUDiC? 2
- 3 Α. So, my Lady, there are three different types
- 4 of forms. The Form A which is a notification form, it
- 5 just states that so and so baby has died and these were
- 6 the problems.

16

- 7 It doesn't mention much in detail whether it was
- 8 unexpected or unexplained. That is in the Form B or
- 9 which is a reporting form.
- 10 So the Form A notification form comes straight away
- 11 within 24 to 48 hours of the death, although it was
- coming late at that time because the system was not very 12
- 13 structured but the details about the death they come in
- 14 the Form B, which is like four to six weeks after the
- death that Form B comes. But for SUDiC, if anybody 15 wants us to initiate the SUDiC, coming to me for SUDiC
- means that we are involving the police and it should --17
- they should be coming straight because SUDiC needs to be 18
- initiated within 72 hours to five working days, we 19
- 20 cannot wait later than that so that's the guideline.
- 21 So you, if I may say so, Dr Mittal, appear 22 very clear in your own mind about what should have
- 23 happened in 2015 in the case that a Consultant
- 24 paediatrician identified that the death was unexpected.
- And you have described that it is a training issue if

3

4

somebody didn't realise that they needed to contact you. 1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

23

24

25

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

Whose responsibility was it to ensure that the Consultant paediatricians at the Countess of Chester were properly trained?

So, my Lady, just -- just to clarify. It was -- the way the SUDiC was followed up all over the country, just not -- Countess was not an outlier in that, so it was the whole country.

Q. Dr Mittal, we have had that point very clearly

My question is about who had responsibility to ensure that the Countess of Chester Consultant paediatricians knew which process they should follow?

So there was an overlap at that time because 14 there was no named doctor for child death in the 15 16 Countess at that time. Now, they have appointed a named 17 doctor for child death who's paid by Countess to look 18 into the child death process but at that time I was only 19 person, all the Countess was not paying me for this 20 role, I was the only named person in the hospital 21 because I was employed by Countess and my role was to 22 check that Countess is doing this or not.

So I was delivering training but it was ad hoc, it was not like every three months I was doing, so I was delivering training to paediatricians and other

1 process they should follow?

> I would accept that there is some -- some responsibility on my part and for not being clear at that time that in-hospital deaths should be followed with the same rigorous way, the way we used to follow the out of hospital deaths for initiating the SUDiC process.

But I was only getting one session of four hours for dealing everything doing everything in addition to delivering training.

11 So is your position, just to summarise what you have just told us, that you accept that that was 12 13 a failure on your part, but that you are drawing 14 attention to the amount of time that you had and that that is an explanation or part of the explanation for 15 why you think you failed to ensure they knew what to do; 16 17 is that fair?

18 Also like I was employed by ICB which was CCG. A. So Countess was not paying me for to do this role so 19 20 actually I was supposed to check on Countess to make sure that the paediatricians are doing -- they know 21 22 about the -- this training and everything else. So it 23 should be Countess engaging somebody to do this, to pay somebody to deliver that training. But because I am based in Countess, that is why I also take 25

Consultants in the hospital. 1

So we know that the first death of a child named on the indictment was towards the start of June 2015 and the final death was in June of 2016.

5 Were you delivering training to the Consultants at 6 the Countess of Chester during that year-long period? So it is difficult for me to remember when

7 8 I delivered the training. It was more like an ad hoc 9 thing that in the departmental meeting I used to deliver 10 some training about CDOP in that, in the meeting. And I used to call Mrs Sharon Dodd, who is -- who used to be 11 our named nurse for CDOP. She used to join me for 12 delivering those sessions to paediatricians or to A&E 13 14 but I don't remember the dates when I delivered those 15 trainings.

16 We know now, and it's clearly established from 17 documentation at the time, that the Consultant paediatricians did identify a number of these deaths as 18 19 being unexpected and you have told us none of them 20 contacted you.

21 This is over a period of a year --

22 Α. (Nods)

23 -- and it's across the Consultant body at the

24 Countess. Do you think you have a responsibility for

failing to ensure that those Consultants knew which

1 responsibility that I should have also delivered that.

2 Another way of looking at it is that you had 3 a responsibility to ensure that everybody within your 4 area, including the Countess, understood which way which 5 process to follow in those circumstances?

A. That's correct.

So is that a fair way of looing at it?

That is a fair way, yes.

Now, the RCPCH report, just staying with the 9

topic of SUDiC, made a recommendation, I am sure you 10

will remember it, that the SUDiC process needed to be 11

improved. That report was published in February of 12

2017. 13

6

7

8

20

14 Was that a recommendation that you needed to know 15 about as soon as it was made?

16 So, my Lady, I was called by the RCPCH team to speak to them. They never asked me much, they were more 17 interested in knowing my role what I do. 18

19 Q. Dr Mittal --

> Α. But it was not shared with me formally.

21 No, no and I was not seeking to suggest

22 otherwise. If you just listen to my question.

23 The report contained a recommendation that the 24 SUDiC process at the Countess of Chester needed to be

improved. That report was published in February of

2017. Nobody is suggesting that you were told thatbefore that.

My question was: was that a recommendation by the Royal College that you needed to know as soon as possible?

- 6 **A.** Yes, my Lady, I should have but I don't think 7 that I really followed that or really like did anything 8 for that.
  - Q. Now, the subject of SUDiC was raised at a number of the CDOP meetings, the Child Death Overview Panel meetings?
  - A. (Nods)
- Q. We will just bring, up focusing at this stagejust on SUDiC, INQ00178115, and we will go to page 2.
- 15 What you are going to see, Dr Mittal, is the
- 16 26 September 2016 meeting. It's a document you have
- 17 seen before.

9

10

11 12

- So that is the meeting as we will see on page 2
- 19 that you were asked to chair in the absence of the
- 20 independent chair, Ms Frame, and she's already given us
- 21 some evidence about that. So page 2, please.
- We can see that marked towards the top left-hand
- 23 corner. If we look at the third action, we can see that
- 24 one of the matters discussed at the meeting to be added
- 25 for the November meeting was asking if the panel
  - 93
- 1 which -- which happened in the neonatal unit and that
- 2 was the main reason why I was saying that cluster of
- 3 unexplained deaths and nothing has been happened nothing
- 4 has happened and this has not been raised to us.
- 5 Q. We are going to come to the wider CDOP picture
- 6 in terms of the death. Just finishing this point.
- 7 I mean, it's been pushed down the road, this discussion,
- 8 because it's not being discussed at this meeting, it's
- 9 been pushed off to November. But is this fair,
- 10 Dr Mittal: in fact, it is very simple, you have just
- 11 told us in a sentence SUDiC applies in hospital?
- 12 **A.** Yes
- 13 Q. There's no discussion to be had, is there;
- 14 that is what the protocol was at the time?
- 15 **A.** (Nods)
- 16 Q. You understood that?
- 17 **A.** Yes
- 18 Q. So why wasn't that just -- the item just put
- 19 to bed there and then in September, you are the
- 20 designated doctor, you are the chair of the meeting:
- 21 this is the position, we don't need to discuss it any
- 22 more, everyone needs to get on with it?
- 23 A. So, my Lady, the SUDiC meetings happen within
- 24 72 hours to the five days, within the five working days.
- 5 So this was too late and we didn't have any facts at

consider that unexpected death in hospitals should bereferred for a Rapid Review Meeting.

3 So it would appear that at a meeting that you 4 chaired dealing with the whole area covered by the CDOP, 5 the Pan Cheshire area, that this was something that the 6 panel was discussing; is that right?

7 **A.** So, my Lady, from my recollection there are, 8 there are two parts of this meeting, so one part is 9 a clinical meeting where we discuss cases and the second 10 part of the meeting is a business meeting where we 11 discuss if there are any issues around this.

11 discuss if there are any issues around this. 12 So this was discussed in the second part of the 13 meeting and it was because I was called by the Royal College of Paediatrics team, that's why I raised this 14 here as well; that -- and I have raised this so many 15 16 times in various fora that in-hospital patient deaths 17 should be dealt with the same vigour as out of hospital deaths. So this is what I -- I think I raised it, 18 19 because I had -- fresh from the -- from meeting the 20 Royal College team.

Q. Yes. And so does it follow then that in
 September of 2016, you knew that there was a problem at
 the Countess, because that is where the Royal College
 had been, about the SUDiC process?
 A. It was more about the cluster of deaths

A. It was more about the cluster of deaths

that time. So usually we do not initiate SUDiC meetingsif a year or two years after the death.

Q. Forgive me, Dr Mittal, I wasn't suggesting
 that the SUDiC discussion needed to be focused upon the
 deaths that were mentioned by the Royal College. This

6 appears to be of general application to the whole area

7 and instead of just saying: this is very

8 straightforward, everybody, I am the designated doctor,

9 it applies in hospital, everybody here needs to know

10 that and tell everybody about it ... you seem to just be

11 passing the issue on to the next meeting as if there's

12 some legitimate debate to be had about it?

13 **A.** So I would say a couple of things here like
14 I am not the only designated doctor in this panel, there
15 are two more designated doctors who have neonatal
16 responsibilities, whereas I do not go to the neonatal
17 units in my clinical role.

So they also attend this meeting and we all knew that this is a national problem so the reason why I was raising here was to raise awareness and to raise this issue that in hospital deaths should be dealt in the same rigour as out of hospital deaths to initiate SUDiC meetings, so that was the reason I raised this in the meeting.

**Q.** Well, the way the action is phrased is asking 96

25

- 1 if the panel consider that the unexpected deaths in
- 2 hospital should be referred to. The way it's phrased
- 3 suggests that there is some legitimate debate to be had
- 4 one way or the other rather than you just saying: this
- 5 is how it is. Do you know why it's phrased in that way,
- 6 as if there is a discussion to be had about it?
  - A. So I'm not sure how this has been phrased like
- 8 this. But it was more about raising awareness about
- $9\,$   $\,$  this issue. That was the reason why we discussed it in
- 10 there.

14

- 11 Q. We don't need to go to it, but I am sure you
- 12 can confirm the subject of SUDiC was discussed at the
- 13 November meeting, wasn't it?
  - A. Yes
- 15 Q. We see also that it came up again at the March
- 16 meeting?
- 17 **A.** (Nods
- 18 Q. Thank you very much indeed, we can take that
- 19 down.
- 20 The final question that I have for -- topic of
- 21 questions that I have for you about SUDiC is about
- 22 a form that was filled in about Child C.
- I wonder if we can bring that up, please, and it is
- 24 very important that we don't bring up anything other
- 25 than this page, INQ0000108 and we are going to go to
  - 97
- 1 is once the paediatrician has phoned you said that we
  - have had an unexpected death, we need to within that
- 3 initial two to five hour window, I think you told us; is
- 4 that right?

2

5

- A. That's correct.
- 6 Q. Have a meeting, obviously you need to make
- 7 record of that meeting and this is the template which
- 8 would be followed at that meeting?
- 9 A. And there is usually 10 to 15 people in that
- 10 meeting, so there are only two people in this meeting.
- 11 **Q.** Yes.
- 12 Now, Dr Gibbs has given evidence broadly along the
- 13 lines that you have just told us, which is that this
- 14 absolutely was not a SUDiC meeting as far as he was
- 15 concerned, that he just used this form as a record for
- 16 what happened?
- 17 **A.** (Nods)
- 18 Q. From your point of view as a designated
- 19 doctor, do you regard that as something which shouldn't
- 20 have happened, that we shouldn't have forms for such
- 21 a serious meeting being used to record for a different
- 22 purpose?
- 23 A. So, my Lady, this form is public so it is
- 24 everywhere like online, on the website, on the Countess
- 25 internet website, so it is for people to -- again 99

- 1 page 178, 178, please.
- Now, again, just refamiliarise yourself with this.
- 3 The heading of it is "Sudden Unexpected Death in Infancy
  - and Childhood Initial Strategy Meeting".
- 5 Now, does that heading -- ignore for a moment what
- 6 the content is and who attends this, just that heading.
- 7 Is that the meeting, the initial strategy meeting, that
- 8 would that you would expect would take place with you as
- 9 the designated doctor?
- 10 A. So, my Lady, this is a template for the SUDiC
- 11 meeting but it looks like Dr Gibbs has used this
- 12 template for SUDiC meeting to discuss this case with the
- 13 --

4

- 14 Q. Dr Mittal, I'm sorry to cut across you, you
- 15 are doing what we will come to, which is you are looking
- 16 at the text which I have asked you to ignore. Just
- 17 focus, please, on the heading, the "Sudden Unexpected
- 18 Death in Infancy and Childhood Initial Strategy
- 19 Meeting", would that be the meeting that would take
- 20 place with you, that is what you would expect under the
- 21 SUDiC as designated doctor?
- 22 A. That's correct. That is the template for the
- 23 SUDiC meeting.

6

- 24 Q. Exactly. So the circumstances in which you
  - would expect this form to be used as designated doctor
    - 9
- 1 I cannot say why this form was used, but this form is
- 2 easily available on Internet.
- 3 Q. But what you can say, perhaps Dr Mittal, is as
- 4 the designated doctor, this form should not be used for
- 5 anything other than a meeting with you?
  - A. That's correct.
  - Q. Dr Gibbs spoke to some of the content, in fact
- 8 he candidly drew attention to one part of the form so
- 9 let's have a look at it, over the page, please. We can
- 10 see that as part of the meeting that you would expect to
- 11 have with you looking at the template, that there is
- 12 a discussion about the strategy and Dr Gibbs has
- 13 recorded a strategy meeting was not held.
- 14 We can see that there is a discussion about what
- 15 agencies have been involved. 6 requires consideration
- 16 of safeguarding issues for surviving children and then
- 17 we have a section for the Coroner.
- 18 In terms of helping us to understand how these
- 19 meetings should happen, not the way that Dr Gibbs was
- 20 running it, but would they run through this template
- 21 having a discussion at each and every stage about each
- 22 of the boxes and what needs to be said and populated?
- 23 A. That's correct, my Lady, this is a very
- 24 structured meeting and all these headings we covered in
- 25 the meeting.

**Q.** So we can take that down, thank you very much indeed.

Now, we are going to widen the questioning not just to SUDiC but to the CDOP role and all child deaths and return to an answer you gave us a moment or two ago which is that all of the Form As with limited information, as you have told us, passed through your hands?

9 **A.** Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

- 10 **Q.** Now, we know from contemporaneous 11 documentation that the mortality rate on the neonatal 12 unit was between two and three per year up to June of 13 2015?
- 14 **A.** (Nods)
- Q. We know that there was a very significant increase in that and it depends on how you identify the period whether you do it by annual year or you work from a different number. But we are at a level of somewhere around 17 or 18 deaths which then occur in the following year and so that's a very significant increase in the number of Form As, isn't it?
- 22 A. So can I elaborate this further?
- Q. If it's relevant to answering my question thenof course you must say?
  - A. So just to give a bit of background about 101
  - Q. Please?
  - A. Because the way they come to me is like
    I didn't record them anywhere on my system for these
    deaths. So all these deaths were recorded by
    Sharon Dodd, so I didn't have an admin or secretary who
    should be recording all these deaths. So all these
    forms were going to secretary who was based with the
    Mrs Sharon Dodd in Cheshire Wirral Partnership.

So the system was not very structured. It has changed a lot. But every two months or one month I will get an email or a phone call or like informing me different ways, then it used to go to the admin in Cheshire Wirral Partnership to Mrs Sharon Dodd's office.

14 So there was no structured way -- I admit that
15 I should have looked at the pattern but because they
16 were coming from all over like some deaths were in
17 Liverpool Women's, some were in Arrowe Park Hospital and
18 some were in Manchester, there was one death in
19 Manchester. And -- so there was no structured way for

- 20 me to look at because I didn't have a database in the
- 21 hospital to look at this. So I did not recognise the
- 22 trend until I was called by the Royal College about that
- 23 cluster of deaths.
- Q. So your recollection is the first time you
   became aware of the fact that there had been an increase
   103

- 1 this. So not all deaths -- like we have about 20 deaths
- 2 per year in the Cheshire area. Out of 20 deaths, about
- 3 50% are neonates but most of these deaths happen in
- 4 Liverpool Women's Hospital so they don't happen in
- 5 Countess, so Countess there are only two to three deaths
- 6 per year. but the Countess babies they are transferred
- 7 to Liverpool Women's and then the babies die elsewhere.
- 8 So the number of neonatal deaths are more than two to
- 9 three per year but they don't happen in the hospital,
- 10 so -- because the sick babies they are transferred to
- 11 patient centres and then deaths happen there. But they
- 12 constitute the majority of the deaths in Chester. So
- out of 20 deaths, more than 10 deaths, they are neonataldeaths every year.
- So yes, I agree that in that year, in 2015 and 2016 over the two financial years, the number of deaths in Countess were much more than what we would normally expect in a year.
- 19 **Q.** Was that something that you noticed, bearing 20 in mind that you were looking at each of these Form As?
- 21 **A.** In hindsight I should have but I did not -- 22 not notice at that time.
- 23 **Q.** You didn't at the time but you accept you 24 should have?
- 25 **A.** Yes, and I can give some reasons as well.
- 1 in the number of neonatal deaths was in September 2016?
- 2 A. I was aware that the number of deaths are more
- and I think Mrs Dodd also said in her evidence to the
- 4 Inquiry that we had some discussion what's happening,
- 5 why there are so many babies dying. So it was more like
- 6 ad hoc discussion in one of the meetings but we didn't
- 7 go anything further, it was just saying that the numbers
- 8 are more than what we normally -- would normally deal
- 9 with, that's all we discussed. We didn't go any further
- 10 than that.
- 11 Q. Where were you physically based at this time?
- 12 A. So I was based in the Countess and Mrs Dodd is
- 13 based in a different --
- 14 Q. Were you based on the same corridor as the --
- 15 **A.** Yes
- 16 Q. -- other Consultant paediatricians?
- 17 **A.** That's right.
- 18 Q. So having had this conversation with Ms Dodd
- 19 about there's been an increase, I wonder what's
- 20 happening, did you go and ask any of your colleagues
- 21 what is happening on the neonatal unit, we have noticed
- 22 an increase?

25

- 23 A. I -- I don't think that I went to any of the
- 24 Consultants to ask specifically for this.
  - Q. Given your role as designated doctor and given

- that the Countess fell within that, and given that as 1
- 2 you have told us that you wondered about it and noticed
- 3 it and discussed it with Ms Dodd, do you think you
- 4 should have gone to ask your Consultant colleagues who
- 5 were on the same corridor what's happening?
  - In hindsight, yes, I should have.
- 7 Well, I mean, at the time didn't you have
- 8 enough information to make that judgement?
  - Α. No.

9

- 10 Q. Whv?
- I just knew that the number of deaths are more 11
- because of the notification forms but I didn't have any 12
- -- any other information. 13
- But the people who could have given you that 14
- information were just next door, weren't they? 15
- 16 Yes, but in hindsight I think I should have
- 17 explored these more. But I don't think that at that
- time I went to the Consultants and asked them: okay, 18
- 19 what's happening?
- 20 We are going to have a look at an email.
- 21 INQ0103110. This is an email in September 2015, it is
- 22 an exchange between you and Dr Gibbs about filling in
- 23 forms?

25

- 24 Α. Yes.
  - Q. I am sure you will recognise it when it comes
- 1 for you as designated doctor to be curious about it and
- 2 say: do you think we need to have a chat about what's
- 3 going on on your unit?
- 4 A. In hindsight, yes, yes.
- 5 That statement he makes is not something that
- 6 you respond to in your email but what you do say is that
- 7 effectively to make the form filling easier, that
- 8 information populating a letter can just be copied
- 9 across into the Form B; that is the thrust of it, isn't
- 10 it?
- 11 A. That's correct
- 12 Q. That is you effectively trying to make the
- 13 admin less onerous?
- 14 Α. That's correct.
- 15 On that topic, do you think the administrative
- burden from your point of view as designated doctor is 16
- too great on Consultant paediatricians? 17
- 18 I would say yes because admins, they don't get
- that much admin support, so yes, there is a lot of 19
- 20 burden on Consultant paediatricians.
  - So this was clearly your attempt in 2015 to
- 22 ease that burden, so far as your particular area of work
- 23 is concerned?

21

24

- A. That's correct.
- 25 Q. Are there further improvements that can be 107

1 up.

8

9

11

12

25

- 2 And so the email thread starts at the bottom with
- 3 Dr Gibbs raising a concern about the effectively
- excessive paperwork and if I summarise what he says, so 4
- we don't need to look at it, what he is saying is we are 5
- 6 filling out the same information in multiple places, is
- that the thrust of it? 7
  - A. That's correct, yes.
    - So information that needs to go in the Form B
- 10 is having to be typed out elsewhere as well and --
  - Α.
  - -- that is plainly not very efficient? Q.
- 13 That's correct. Α.
- 14 And so you reply but before we get to that.
- Let's just have a look at the very first line of his 15
- 16 email that we can see. We have had another neonatal
- 17 death, he says.
- 18 So I appreciate you have made your own observation
- 19 from the number of forms. But do you agree this appears
- 20 to be an occasion when Dr Gibbs is drawing your
- attention to the fact -- well, that there appears to be 21
- 22 a developing trend is one inference from him having
- 23 started an email with that fact, do you agree?
- 24 Α. Yes.
  - Q. Do you think that that was a sufficient prompt 106
- 1 made to that?
- 2 So yes, my Lady, now everything has become
- electronic, so they get an email directly from -- so
- there is a central admin for CDOP and then the emails 4
- 5 come directly from there and then they just need to
- 6 complete the online form and it automatically goes back
- 7 to CDOP. So I don't get involved in this, it directly
- 8 goes to CDOP.
- So as things stand now, you wouldn't get the 9 Q.
- Form A? 10
- Α. 11 So the Form A goes to many people.
- 12 Q.
- 13 But it -- there is a central place now in the
- 14 CDOP office where they are the main people who look at.
- I get a copy of the Form A as well so it goes to many
- people like the named nurse for child death and also to 16
- 17 me. But there is a central office now in CDOP where
- electronically all notifications go and then they look 18
- at everything now. 19
- 20 Just to invite you to consider another event.
- 21 We can take that down, thank you very much indeed.
- 22 We know that the neonatal unit was downgraded in
- 23 July of 2016, to use the language of the time, to
- 24 a Level 1 unit from a Level 2? 25
  - Α. That's right.

2

7

10

- Q. 1 Was that something that you were aware of at 2 the time?
- 3 Yes, I knew. A.
- 4 O At the time did you understand that that was
- 5 because of an increase in the mortality rate?
  - A. That's correct.
- 7 So again if we think about when you were aware
- 8 of the mortality rate, we have got your observations, we
- 9 have got Dr Gibbs's email which appears to refer to it
- 10 directly. Obviously at the other end we have got the
- Royal College, when you are meeting them in September, 11
- but in July as well, quite a dramatic step from the 12
- point of view of a hospital to downgrade its unit 13
- because of an increase in mortality, would you agree? 14
  - That's correct. Yes. A.
- 16 Q. And do you think that that was a prompt for
- 17 you to go or should have been a prompt for you to go and
- find out what was going on with your colleagues on that 18
- 19 corridor?

6

15

- 20 A. In hindsight, yes.
- 21 Q. Did you do that?
- 22 A.
- 23 Q. And why do you think that you didn't seek to
- 24 find out more about why the unit had been downgraded and
- 25 what the concerns were?

109

- 1 and I was not involved in any of those discussions.
- 2 Was a consequence of you being outside of the
- 3 management structure of the Countess that insofar as you
- 4 were concerned, it wouldn't matter whether the
- 5 Executives did or didn't want to involve the police; you
- 6 would make your own judgment about that as designated
- 7 doctor and if you thought the police should be called,
- 8 you would call the police?
- 9 Could you please ask me the question again? A.
  - Of course. Was one of the effects of you
- being outside of the management structure, just picking 11
- up on your answer a moment ago about you would call the 12
- police, that it wouldn't matter to you or it wouldn't 13
- 14 determine your decision if the Executives didn't want to
- call the police; you are independent of them for this
- purpose, you are there representing the CCG, if you want 16
- 17 to call the police, you'll call the police?
- 18 Yes, after establishing the facts, and if I am
- concerned that, yes, there is something like this, yes, 19
- 20 I would speak to them.
- So just going back to my question. When do 21
- 22 you think the first time you became aware of the concern

111

- 23 that a member of staff may be responsible for the
- 24 deaths?

10

25 A. So from my recollection the first time

- Δ I don't think I have an answer for that.
- Q. Now, we know that at the end of June 2016 and
- 3 at the beginning of September 2016, firstly to the
- 4 Executives and secondly to the Royal College, concerns
- were raised by your Consultant colleagues that they 5
- 6 thought a member of staff may be murdering babies?
  - Α. (Nods)
- 8 And that that was the explanation, or might be Q.
- 9 the explanation for the increase in mortality.
  - When did you first learn of those concerns?
- So this was kept very confidential from me 11
- because they knew that the moment I get involved, the 12
- police will be involved and because the first --13
- 14 Can I just stop -- can I just stop you there.
- Is that your assumption that as soon as --15
- 16 Α. That's my assumption.
- 17 That's your assumption. No one has ever told
- you that that's the reason you weren't told? 18
- 19 No, no. That's an assumption because the way
- 20 we work for SUDiC or the way we work because I am an
- 21 outsider basically, because I am from CCG or ICB looking
- 22 at. For this role, I am not part of the paediatricians,
- 23 I am an outsider or like my role is to scrutinise the
- 24 work which is done there.
- 25 So everything was kept very confidential from me

- 1 I became aware of this was in that meeting in April
- 2 2017, which was in the CEO's office.
- 3 Q. That was the meeting at which a police officer
- 4 attended?

5

6

- Α. That's right.
- Well, we will get to there shortly.
- 7 But let's just look at what's happening in the CDOP
- 8 and in particular two meetings before we get to that
- point. So the first meeting is the September one. We 9
- have already looked at one aspect of this, this is 10
- INQ00178115. 11
- 12 This is the meeting that you chaired and Child I's
- 13 case we know was considered at this meeting.
- 14 If we go to page 2, we can see above the action
- 15 that we previously looked at, so this is action
- number 2: 16
- 17 "Child's details to be sent to Coroner for review
- of case notes. The child's case to be referred to once 18
- the Royal College report is presented by Dr Mittal." 19
- 20 A reference to you. All of that detail follows the
- 21 assertion "closed case". Do you see that?
- 22 Α. Yes

25

- 23 Q. So was the case of Child I closed so far as
- 24 the CDOP was concerned at this meeting?
  - So, my Lady, the way the system works -- again

I am just explaining it from my recollection -- one 1 2 thing is although I was chairing the meeting, but there 3 are other designated doctors as well who have got more 4 neonatal experience; they were there in the meeting as 5

The other thing is there was nothing in the paperwork, you know, the Form Bs which are completed by the paediatricians about clinical management to be suspicious about. And this baby had medical problems already, like lots of comorbidities were already there in this baby.

So the way which I think must have happened at that 13 time is -- so we have an action log for CDOP like actions to be completed, so we put that as an action in

that action log, and then we might have agreed at that 15

16 time that we should close the case.

I agree, in hindsight, we shouldn't have done that.

Well --18

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

14

17

25

3

4

5

6

7

8

19 A. But that's what I think must have happened at 20 that time.

21 Q. On the face of it, of this record, there was 22 to be a further investigation into Child I's death in 23 the form of the College report?

24 A. (Nods)

> Q. In addition, as we can see from the following

1 But at all events, Child I's case is recorded 2 as being closed, when it shouldn't have been.

I mean, Ms Frame has told us about the very substantial backlog -- I think she used the phrase "huge backlog" -- that she inherited in 2015.

Did you feel under pressure to close cases as quickly as possible so as to be able to set the committee on its right footing?

9 So, my Lady, I was not the only one. Like it is always a unanimous decision in the panel. Like, 10 I was just one of the member. So it was everybody in 11 the panel because there was nothing suspicious in the 12

Form B from the hospital and because it was a neonate 13

14 and then we thought that, like, we are not picking up

anything, any theme from that. That's why the panel 15 agreed.

16 17 Q. Dr Mittal --

18 Let's close the case and keep it as and action A. 19 for later on.

20 Q. You will forgive me, I hope, for interrupting 21 you.

22 My question was whether you felt under any pressure 23 to close cases quickly as part of the effort to clear 24 the backlog; that's the question.

25 Did you or did you not feel under that pressure? 115

action, we know that there was concern about whether 1

2 SUDiC had been followed in relation to the cohort that

the RCPCH were looking at. That's why you have told us 3

you brought it up --4

5

So can I just clarify this point here?

6 I think the way this has been done or taken is not the

7 correct way. So basically this was a general discussion

about in hospital deaths, whether RRM should happen or 8

not, not specifically related to this case. 9

10 But I do not know why this has come up here as like

this. But the discussion was not specifically related 11

to this case because we do not do Rapid Response 12

Meetings like straight away. 13

14 Q. Let --

> Α. So it was a general discussion about in

16 hospital deaths, why we are not doing this, but not

17 specifically related to this case.

But did you know from the Royal College that 18

19 their review had identified that SUDiC hadn't been

20 followed in relation to the deaths that they had looked

21 at?

1

15

22 So I -- the report, at this stage the report

23 was not shared with me at that time.

24 And they hadn't said anything to you about it? Q.

25 Α. No, not to me.

114

It's difficult for me to remember, but I think

2 it was one of the pressure at that time, that we had too 3 many cases pending.

4 Now, the final matter to deal with just before 5 I ask the Chair to consider taking a lunch break is

6 evidence that we received from Dr Isaac.

7 Are you aware of what Dr Isaac told the Inquiry on 8 Monday?

9 A. Not fully.

10 No. Well, let me -- let me help you.

Dr Isaac told the Inquiry that in February of 2017, 11

having become aware at a time earlier than that of the 12

concerns of Dr Brearey, that she drafted a letter as the 13

14 named doctor for safeguarding for the hospital that she

was intending to send to the Executive lead,

Alison Kelly, and she told us that she didn't send that 16

17 letter and part of her reason was that there was

18 a culture of fear?

19 (Nods) A.

20 That's what she told us. Did you know

anything about Dr Isaac intending to intervene in what 21

22 was going on between the Consultant paediatricians and

23 the Executives?

24 I had some, some impressions, so not --

I didn't know exactly the full facts, but I had some 25

- 1 inkling that there is something going on.
- 2 Q. Doing the best you can, was that around early
- 3 2017 --
- A. Yes.
- 5 Q. -- does it seem to you?
- A. Yes.
- Q. So about the time that she's contemplating.
- 8 Did you know that she was thinking about writing
- 9 a letter?
- 10 A. I think she did mention to me that, yes, she
- 11 want to contact.
- 12 Q. And did she ever tell you whether she had sent
- 13 that letter?
- 14 A. Not exactly, but I knew that there is
- 15 a tension going on between the paediatricians and the
- 16 management because people were applying for jobs
- 17 elsewhere at that time.
- 18 Q. Wasn't that a very significant red flag for
- 19 you as the designated doctor for the area? You've got
- 20 the named doctor for safeguarding thinking about,
- 21 talking to you about intervening, you have got an
- 22 apparent breakdown in relationships such that people are
- 23 thinking about moving. Isn't that a very significant
- 24 concern or shouldn't it be a significant concern for
- 25 you?

- 1 about the consequences to herself?
- A. Must -- that must be one of the reasons, yes.
- 3 Q. So you knew that?
- A. Yes.
- 5 Q. And did you say, "It is your responsibility,
- 6 as named doctor for this hospital, that you do something
- 7 if you are worried, nevermind the consequence to you"?
- 8 A. From recollection, I said, "You need to speak
- 9 to Alison Kelly", who is the head of safeguarding,
- 10 because that's our immediate line manager. So "Speak to
- 11 her about your concerns".
- 12 Q. Now, Dr Isaac is telling you that she is
- 13 unsure what to do. Did you follow up with her to make
- 14 sure that she had been brave and raised the safeguarding
- 15 concern that she was thinking about?
- 16 **A.** No, I didn't.
- 17 Q. Bearing in mind that Dr Isaac sat within the
- 18 management structure of the Countess and you did not, so
- 19 far as being designated doctor, wasn't that the moment
- 20 for you to say, "Tell me what you are worried about,
- 21 I will go and raise it. I am the designated doctor.
- 22 They can't do anything to me"?
- 23 A. In hindsight, I should have.
- 24 Q. Did you even speak to the Consultant
- 25 paediatricians at that time that she had been speaking

- A. In hindsight, yes. In hindsight, yes.
- 2 Q. And did Dr Isaac tell you what she was going
- 3 to put in the letter?
- 4 A. Not specifically what she was going to put in
- 5 the letter.

1

- 6 Q. But it would, given that she was named doctor,
- 7 it would be to raise a safeguarding issue, is that
- 8 right?

9

13

- A. That's right, yes.
- 10 Q. Did you think it was important that as the
- 11 named doctor for safeguarding that she should act
- 12 fearlessly and raise any concerns that she had?
  - A. Yes, she should.
- 14 Q. Did she tell you that she didn't send the
- 15 letter?
- 16 A. So NHS, like it's a very hierarchical
- 17 structure. So --
- 18 Q. Can I just ask you to focus on my question.
- 19 Did she tell you that she hadn't sent the letter?
- 20 **A.** No
- 21 Q. Did she tell you about what factors she was
- 22 weighing in the balance in terms of whether she would or
- 23 wouldn't send the letter?
- 24 A. I didn't know exactly what was ...
- 25 Q. Well, did you understand that she was fearful

118

- 1 to to find out what they were worried about?
  - I didn't do it at that time.
- 3 Q. Dr Mittal, just being blunt about it, was that
- 4 a significant failing on your part, not to intervene at
- 5 that stage?

2

- 6 A. In hindsight, like with all this awful tragedy
- 7 which has happened, I should have been more proactive at
- 8 that time.
- 9 Q. Isn't the point of view -- I know you've
- 10 hindsight and we know that their fears were justified --
- 11 but in safeguarding, you never know whether the fears
- 12 are justified, do you, but you act anyway?
  - A. That's right.
- 14 Q. Is that fair?
- 15 **A.** Yes.
- 16 Q. And in a sense from a safeguarder's
- 17 perspective, it doesn't matter whether it's true. What
- 18 matters is that patients are protected from a risk?
- 19 **A.** Yes, that's correct.
- 20 Q. And so again, setting aside hindsight, was
- 21 that a significant failure on your part not to intervene
- 22 at that time?
- 23 A. I would say, yes, I should have intervened at
- 24 that time.
- 25 **MR DE LA POER:** Yes. Well, Dr Mittal, I have 120

7

9

15

a small amount of further questions for you, but, 1 2 my Lady, I wonder given the time whether that would be 3 a convenient moment.

LADY JUSTICE THIRLWALL: Yes, thank you. So we will take a break and we will start again at 5 past 2.

7 (The luncheon adjournment)

8 (2.04 pm)

4

5

6

12

13

25

4

7

8

9

10

17

25

9 LADY JUSTICE THIRLWALL: Mr De La Poer.

10 MR DE LA POER: My Lady, thank you. Dr Mittal, we

have just looked at the meeting of the CDOP --11

> A. Yes

Q. -- in September of 2016 and discussed the

letter that Dr Isaac wrote but didn't send in February 14

15 of 2017.

16 Another event in February 2017, as we have already 17 touched upon, was the publication of the RCPCH report.

Now, the Inquiry knows that there are two versions 18

19 of that, one confidential which mentions the

20 Consultants' concerns about Letby, and the other marked

the dissemination copy and that's the one that was 21

22 published on the Internet.

23 Did you ever see a copy of the confidential version 24 of the RCPCH report?

This was never shared with me.

121

- 1 be a return to Child I's case when you make 2 a presentation about the RCPCH report and this here is your presentation about the RCPCH report; is that right? 3
- I wouldn't say that this is a presentation for 5 RCPCH report. This is more like a general discussion in 6 the business meeting about the same issue again, about SUDiC meetings for in-hospital deaths.
  - We can see that it's focused upon the substance of the RCPCH report which obviously had been published just a month or so before. I suppose another

way of asking the question is: did the panel ever go 11

back to Child I's case as seemed to be indicated in 12

September once the RCPCH report was published? 13

14 Α. From recollection I don't think we looked at 15 that again.

16

Q. And do you know why?

A. I'm not sure about that.

Was it a -- I mean, on the face of the notes 18

from September, you have seen them just before lunch? 19

20 A.

21 It appeared that there was an intention to go 22

back to that case even though it was closed. But

23 an oversight by the panel, a failure to do what it set

24 out to do, can you offer any different explanation?

> I don't have any explanation for that. 123

Now, as the designated doctor and person 1

responsible for the area in which the Countess fell in,

should you have been provided with a copy of that 3

confidential report? 4

So it should be as a panel like we should have 5

6 chased it from the panel as well.

> Q. You should have chased it --

8 A.

> Q. -- from the CDOP panel?

10 Α. From the hospital yes.

So is it your view that not only as the 11

designated doctor but also that the CDOP panel needed to 12

see the confidential version? 13

14 Yes. Α.

> Q. Is that right?

16 Α. That's right, yes.

17 Q. Did you know that there was a second version

18 of the report?

19 Α. I was never aware that there were two versions

20 of the report.

21 Q. This brings us now in the timeline to the CDOP

meeting in March of 2017. If we bring that up 22

23 INQ0001953. We will go to page 3, please.

24 Now, at the September meeting, when Child I's case

25 was closed, there was a note indicating that there would

122

1 Now, we also know that Child A's case was

2 discussed and we will bring up the detail. It's

3 page 10. So the case at the top there is Child A's

4 case. Now, as we can see from the record of the

5 meeting, Child A's case was closed at this meeting.

6 Now, at the time that the panel closed Child A's

7 case, did you know that Dr Hawdon, a neonatologist, had

conducted a review following the RCPCH and had concluded 8

that Child A's case needed further investigation? 9

We didn't have that detailed information at 10 Α.

that time. 11

Did you know because on the face of the RCPCH 12

13 report there was a recommendation that a Casenote Review

14

16

15 Yes, I knew that. A.

So you knew there was a Casenote -- did you

17 ever find out what the Casenote Review concluded?

I didn't know specifically about the case like 18

which cases were reviewed by her. But I only knew that 19

20 following the report a neonatologist has been instructed

to look into some cases in more detail. 21

22 From a CDOP point of view isn't it quite

23 important if there is to be another investigation that

24 you know whether any of Dr Hawdon's cases are those

going before CDOP so that you can find out what she said

1 about them?

2

7

- A. In hindsight we should have done that.
- Q. Because in fact although CDOP closed this, as4 at the date that CDOP closed it, Dr Hawdon's view was

5 that Child A's case needed further investigation and

- 6 that shouldn't happen, should it?
  - A. That's right yes.
- 8 Q. CDOP should be the end of the process of
- 9 investigation, not part way through it?
- 10 A. I agree, yes.
- 11 Q. Now, we can see the cause of death here is
- 12 given as unascertained and if we go to the Form C for
- 13 Child A, INQ0001944, we will get a little bit more
- 14 detail. So we can see the case summary and from the
- 15 narrative we are given, it appears there is no
- 16 expectation that Child A was going to collapse --
- 17 **A.** Yes.
- 18 Q. -- do you agree?
- 19 **A.** Yes.
- 20 Q. And so on the face of it we have a child who
- 21 has unexpectedly collapsed in circumstances where the
- 22 cause of death is unascertained. Now let's have a look
- 23 if we may, please, at page 5 of this. We can see there
- 24 is a category for such children, which is category 10,
- 25 Sudden Unexpected Unexplained Death. Do you agree the
- 1 interpretation of the medicine to help fill in this form
- and is that a fair observation by her?
  A. That's correct, yes.
- 4 Q. So what category A requires is that death
- 5 related to a perinatal event and examples are given.
- 6 Now, if we go back to page 1, just to help us from
- 7 a clinical perspective how this information would be
- 8 analysed, are you able to identify for us what the
- 9 perinatal event was from the description that you are
- to : 0
- 10 given?
- 11 A. So the perinatal event is like at the time of
- 12 death and immediately after birth within a week --
- 13 **Q**. Yes
- 14 A. -- if there is any event---
- 15 Q. An event, yes.
- 16 **A.** -- which is responsible for the death.
- 17 **Q**. Yes
- 18 A. So when this baby was born, there was -- this
- 19 baby was given inflation breaths, the baby was preterm,
- 20 the weight was low and this baby had -- had CPAP and
- 21 lines.
- 22 So this baby had co-morbidity as a preterm and also
- 23 in the records which were in front of us, there was no
- 24 such suspicion from the paediatricians that they were
- 25 thinking that it could be something else because these

- 1 information presented to the panel means that that
- 2 category could be ticked?
- 3 **A.** So, my Lady, we were falsely reassured at that 4 time that because we were not the expert -- because CDOP
- 5 is not an investigative panel.
  - **Q**. Well --

6

7

21

1

- A. It is more about learning the trends and in
- 8 the panel we were reassured that because the Countess is
- 9 looking into this and neonatologists are looking into
- 10 this and nothing has come to us so we wanted reassurance
- 11 from Countess but we were not thinking the unexpected;
- 12 which is Sudden Unexpected Death.
- 13 So that may be the reason which, from my
- 14 recollection, that we didn't pick number 10 because
- 15 there is nothing in the records at that time which were
- 16 in front of us which were pointing towards Sudden
- 17 Unexpected Deaths.
- 18 Q. Well, my question was: do you agree from the
- 19 information you were presented with that box 10 could
- 20 have been ticked?
  - A. In hindsight, yes.
- 22 Q. The box that was ticked was 8, perinatal
- 23 neonatal event. Ms Frame, the independent chair, told
- 24 us when I asked her about this that lay members of the
- 25 panel were quite dependent upon the clinicians for their

126

- are the common scenarios which we have in panel, the
- 2 neonatal deaths are the commonest.
- 3 So I am just assuming because there were three
- 4 designated doctors in the panel and I am the one who
- 5 doesn't deal with neonates, there are two who deal with
- 6 neonates, and everybody agreed that, yes, we can close
- 7 it. So that's my assumption.
- 8 Q. Just to help us, bearing in mind the Coroner
- 9 who has had a postmortem and investigated it at an
- 10 Inquest has concluded the cause of death is
- 11 unascertained, isn't that an indication -- you tell us
- 12 -- that really perinatal event can't be said to be
- 13 related to the death?
- 14 A. So, my Lady, like just from my recollection
- 15 I am not the neonatologist, but there are many deaths
- 16 where we see unascertained from the Coroner and we still
- 17 we don't tick them in Sudden Unexpected Death, we still
- 18 tick them in other categories but it all depends on the
- 19 scenario, on the context.
- 20 So certainly like in hindsight now what we know
- 21 about this case, yes, it should be in number 10. But at
- 22 that time, we didn't think about that this should go in
- 23 number 10.
- 24 Q. Of course your evidence this morning was that
- 25 as at the 24 March, you did not know about the concerns

1 of your Consultant colleagues?

2

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14 15

16

17

18 19

20

21

22

23

24

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19 20

21

22

23

24

- A. That's right, yes.
- Q. The final question about this particular
   meeting is we know that the Inquest hearing for Child A
   took place on 10 October. Do you know why it took until

March for this case to get before the panel?

- A. So the way the panel works is we need everything in front of us, like the case has to be prepared with all information, if there is any inquiry or any investigation going on everything should be complete. Only then CDOP is the final end. So my only guess is that it must have taken that much time to prepare the case by the admin team and that's why it took so long to come to the panel.
- **Q.** We can take that down and I just have one final general question about CDOP. Thank you. We have looked at Child I, we have looked at Child A, we have seen both cases are closed. There is some commentary associated particularly with Child I.

Individual case before a conclusion is reached?
I appreciate it will be case-specific, but is it as
little as a minute sometimes and as much as an hour?
What's the sort of time range that is spent in this

How long in this meeting is the discussion about an

25 meeting going through each of these cases and deciding

129

1 papers. But I do try my best to read all the papers.

**Q.** Now, we have heard from Ms Frame that following this meeting there was contact with lan Harvey and that led to an invitation for her to a meeting and she's told us that she invited Detective Chief Superintendent Wenham to come with her.

Now, you were at the meeting on 27 April 2017 at the Countess; is that right?

A. So I was not formally invited by the -- they didn't think that I should be there but it is our chair, she thought that it would be better that I accompany her to support her. So it was at her request I went with her to the meeting, but I was not invited for that meeting.

**Q.** Now, your initial recollection as recorded in your witness statement, we dealt with this right at the beginning, was that there was no mention at that meeting of the involvement of a member of staff in the increased mortality, that is what you believed when you wrote your statement and you have since seen the records which demonstrate that that issue plainly was raised.

Do you agree it's quite a significant thing to be said in a meeting, "I think a member of staff might be involved in the deaths of these babies"?

25 **A.** (Nods)

131

1 closed or not closed?

A. So, my Lady, it depends upon the case. Like
there are some cases we spend sometimes up to an hour or
like 40 minutes and some cases like we close them within
a minute or within two minutes. So it all depends on
the context and it depends on the information which is
in front of us.

8 So it is difficult to give a definite or general
9 answer that every death we spend that much time. It all
10 depends on the paperwork which is in front of us. But
11 we rely on the professionals completing those forms and
12 sending information to us.

13 Q. Is the material available to the panellists14 ahead of the meeting?

15 **A.** Yes.

Q. And is there an expectation that everypanellist will read all of the information provided to

18 them?

19 A. Yes, yes.

Q. Looking -- you have told us already that you
had limited time, was that something that you were

22 always able to do, to read the entirety of a pack for

23 every meeting before it?

24 **A.** I always tried to do it but, yes, sometimes 25 there are some times like I may not have read the full 130

1 Q. So given that you agree that, why do you think 2 that you had forgotten that that was said in this 3 meeting, are you able to help us with that?

4 A. So from my recollection in that meeting a very 5 small amount of time was spent on that part and more 6 discussions were: what should we do next? So that's all 7 I can remember, I don't know why I missed that in my 8 recollection.

9 But it was only like brief, like not a significant10 part of the meeting was spent on the staff involvement.

11 **Q.** Going out of the meeting, what did you think 12 was going to happen?

A. So in the meeting only like we are going to
 hear from Mr Wenham, so there was a discussion whether
 there should be SUDiC or it should be -- it was asked to
 me whether the SUDiC should be started on this and
 I said that now that the police is involved, it should

18 be -- SUDiC is separate from criminal investigations.

So that's why like they were thinking more about criminal investigations.

Q. So was it your expectation at the end of the meeting that the police were going to have a think about what they wanted to do --

A. Yes.

24

25 **Q.** -- with that information?

- 1 **A.** Yes.
- Q. So that is what the police are doing.
- Now, you are the only safeguarder at this meeting; 4 is that right?
- 5 A. That's right, yes.
- Q. You had been invited effectively in that role
   to the meeting. The Consultants' concerns as they were
   reported to you in that meeting were a safeguarding
- 9 issue, do you agree?
- 10 A. Yes.
- Q. And whilst the police are going to take it away and think about what they are going to do and that will take as long as it takes, do you agree there was still an issue for the hospital from a safeguarding
- 15 perspective?
- A. In hindsight, yes. But at that time because
  the police was involved and such a high level meeting
  was there, so I thought it is now for the higher
  authorities to now address this. This is a very
  sensitive issue and it should be dealt with at high
- 21 level.

7

8

- 22 **Q.** But --
- 23 **A.** But I agree that yes, there is a safeguarding 24 as well in this.
  - Q. Let's just untangle that, Dr Mittal. You
- 1 because in April it was like CEO of the hospital,
- 2 Medical Director of the hospital and the police and then
- 3 the chair of the CDOP there.
- 4 So I thought that this has now gone at a higher 5 level. So I didn't even think at that time that
- 6 I should be dealing with it at safeguarding level --
  - Q. Do you agree you should have been thinking --
  - A. Yes, I agree that, yes, I should have.
- 9 Q. Now, the final topic is the safeguarding
- 10 report of 2017. It's INQ0004715, and we will go
- 11 straight to page 19, where we will see your name.
- 12 So at item 11.5 you are providing a Child Death
- 13 Overview Panel update, do you see that?
- 14 **A.** Yes.
- Q. I am not by any means trying to take a cheappoint here, Dr Mittal, it may just be a matter of
- 17 formatting.
- But under that heading, albeit in bold, we see the
- 19 Countess of Chester neonatal unit investigation added.
- 20 So it isn't marked out as a separate item, it appears to
- 21 fall under the item, but as I say it's not intended as
- 22 a cheap point. The heading is CDOP.
- 23 My question is: were you responsible or taking
- 24 responsibility for the addition Countess of Chester
- 25 neonatal unit investigation?

- 1 operate at a high level, you represent the CCG?
  - A. Yes.
- Q. What the police are not doing in the earlystages as they think about what they are going to do
- 5 from a police investigation, is making sure that babies
- 6 were safe. That wasn't what they were telling you they
- 7 were going to do, they were going to go away and think
- 8 about it?

2

9

13

23

- A. (Nods)
- 10 Q. So I think you have agreed with this: didn't
- 11 there need to be a safeguarding response from you in
- 12 this meeting?
  - A. Can you clarify what exactly from a
- 14 safeguarding perspective?
- 15 Q. Well, a discussion saying: this is
- 16 a safeguarding issue, we need to understand how we are
- 17 going to keep babies safe in the future, we need to
- 18 contact the LADO, to make sure the LADO knows about it,
- 19 and we need to think about any formal action we need to
- 20 take in relation to the member of staff that the concern
- 21 is about to make sure that any risk that that person may
- 22 pose is prevented from occurring?
  - A. So, my Lady, at that stage I thought it is
- 24 being now at much higher level than -- this should --
- 25 I should have thought about this in February but not
  - 134
  - A. I was not taking any responsibility for
- 2 neonatal investigation. This was following this meeting
- 3 and once the police decided to take it further, I was
- 4 asked by the police for Operation Hummingbird, so any
- 5 neonatal death in the hospital I need to ring 101 and
- 6 inform the police and Alison Kelly asked me to fill
- 7 a Datix form for every death after. But this all
- 8 happened after this meeting. Not before that.
- 9 So that is what is here that until end of 2017
  10 I was informing the police and to the Exec of any death
- 11 which I come across.
- i i which i come across
- 12 **Q.** So you didn't type this or have anything to do
- 13 with the wording, is that right, or did you?
- 14 A. It might be me. But I can't really -- I can't
- 15 be sure.
- 16 **Q.** You see, this is a safeguarding report.
- 17 I mean, it mentions the fact that the police are
- 18 involved, but it doesn't actually say anything about
- 19 what the safeguarding response is and I am just
- 20 wondering if you were the author of that, as it seems to
- 21 have been inserted into your section, that this was
- 22 an opportunity to reflect on the need for a safeguarding
- 23 response to make sure that any risk that the member of
- 24 staff may pose was being addressed at a safeguarding
- 25 level?

6

9

19

20

So if I remember, this report is after that A. meeting, after that high-profile meeting.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

19

20

21

22

23

1

2

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

15

16

17

18

It is, yes, yes. And we can see that because it begins in the first sentence with mentioning the fact that there's an investigation started by Cheshire Police which only happened after -- after that meeting?

Yes. So usually our named nurse Karen Milne used to ask me if something needs to go in this or not. So I used to send her information from the CDOP report, but I can't remember specifically what information

I sent at that time. But I used to provide information 11 for the annual report from -- from the CDOP annual 12

13 report to the safeguarding report in Countess.

14 Bearing in mind what was known by you at the time of this report, do you think there in fact should 15 16 have been more information provided in this -- in this 17 report, or do you think it was adequate just to describe it in that way? 18

I was not sure whether it should be me or it should be from somebody else who should be talking more about once the police has taken over this investigation. MR DE LA POER: Thank you, that can come down.

Dr Mittal, thank you for answering my questions.

24 My Lady, those are all the questions I have for 25

Dr Mittal and although permission was granted, it has

A. Yes.

> Q. Do you have it in front of you?

3 A. I do, yes.

You tell us you commenced your career as a police officer in 1989 and retired on 22 April.

During the timeframes that are relevant for the purposes of this Inquiry, between 2015 and 2017 you worked as a Detective Superintendent and Detective Chief Superintendent. You also, in November 2012, were promoted to Detective Chief Inspector and became head of

the Constabulary Strategic Public Protection Unit, you 11

tell us that at paragraph 5. It was in that context 12

13 that you became involved in the Pan Cheshire Child Death

Overview Panel, is that right, in that role? 14

That's correct, yes.

Q. In January 2015 you were temporarily promoted into the role of Detective Superintendent and deputy head of the PPD. Can you tell us something about the

19 PPD, please, Public Protection Directorate?

20 PPD stands for Public Protection Directorate. At that time within Cheshire Constabulary that was an 21

22 area of business with about 400 officers and staff that

23 looked after all areas of vulnerability and risk, for

24 example child protection, child abuse, rape, sexual

25 abuse.

just been communicated to me that there are no questions 1

2 from Core Participants.

3 LADY JUSTICE THIRLWALL: All right. Very good.

I have no questions for you, Dr Mittal. Thank you

very much indeed, you are free to go now. 5

Thank you.

7 LADY JUSTICE THIRLWALL: I was asked if we would

8 take a break --

MR DE LA POER: Yes.

10 LADY JUSTICE THIRLWALL: -- before the next

witness, although I think it was thought we were going 11

to be a bit longer with the last one. So shall we say 12

10 minutes, come back in at 20 to. 13

14 (2.30 pm)

15 (A short break)

16 (2.39 pm)

17 MS LANGDALE: Mr Wenham, please.

18 FORMER DETECTIVE CHIEF SUPERINTENDENT NIGEL WENHAM

(sworn)

Questions by MS LANGDALE

21 LADY JUSTICE THIRLWALL: Do sit down.

22 MS LANGDALE: Mr Wenham, you have provided

23 a statement to the Inquiry dated 20 June 2024. Can you

confirm whether the contents are true and accurate as 24

far as you are concerned?

138

1 Indeed at paragraph 10 you set out what that

2 PPD was responsible for and it's a wide range of multi

3 discipline meetings, for our purposes including Local

4 Safeguarding Children Boards and the Child Death

5 Overview Panel.

6 Can we perhaps have paragraphs 23 and 24 on the 7 screen of your statement, please, INQ0102367, page 6, so 8 that everyone can follow.

While we are retrieving that, Mr Wenham, you say 9

10 that the purpose, at paragraph 23, of the police

representative role at CDOP was to represent the police 11

12 and to fulfil the police roles and responsibilities

13 outlined in the protocol and the police representative

14 would have been there in a position of rank that

15 empowered them to take responsibility to make

appropriate decisions. 16

17 So what are you referring to there? What kind of decisions in all of these various meetings where 18

a police representative is present might be being taken? 19

20 Yes, it's so the individual is empowered to

actually make the decisions on behalf of the 21

22 Constabulary, so that he can answer yes or no to

23 something that a decision needs making and you don't

24 take to take that decision back within the Constabulary

in order to get that issue resolved.

This is rich coming from me, but you might 1 Q. 2 need to go a bit slower if you can?

> A. Okav.

O. So if you look at paragraph 24 --

Α.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16 17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Q. -- you set out how cases were reviewed and the subject of reviews each month in CDOP and that:

"The cases were fairly old in terms of the actual date of death and the date of review. It is not unusual for cases to be reviewed that were sometimes over 12 months or longer. Neither I nor Cheshire Police had any involvement or responsibility identifying the cases that would be reviewed at each CDOP. I do recall the issue of the delays of these cases being brought to CDOP and raised on several occasions in order to try and narrow the time from the death to the review."

Do you consider when you look at that, or did you consider at the time, it was therefore ineffective in identifying patterns of unexpected or unexplained deaths because of the manner in which cases were brought to it and considered?

It was recognised -- through the period I was present and attending CDOPs it was recognised that there was an issue with delays by the chairs and they did try to take steps through the CDOP, through the business 141

number of cases that are listed for review for each meeting would frequently be into double figures. so how much time does that give you with each case?

The CDOP meetings were generally a full day, the first -- it was Part A or a Part B. Part A was generally the policy strategy steering exercise and governance for the meeting and that was normally in the morning and Part B was the case reviews. At any one time there could be anything from 10 to 20 cases to be reviewed on a particular meeting.

I remember frequently the panel members would sit through the lunch and eat their lunch whilst they were reviewing the cases because there was such a large volume to get through.

But each case could be discussed from anything from 15 minutes to maybe an hour on an individual case so if there is 15, 16 cases, it would be four to five hours that afternoon reviewing all the cases.

You also say in this paragraph that each of the cases were mostly reviewed in isolation from each other.

The cases would have been listed without any correlation or relation to each other. My understanding is the cases would be managed through the business support for the CDOP and the cases listed on the basis

support, in order to try and improve the timeliness. 1

2 Some of the issues that caused the delays were outside

of the CDOP's control. For example, they could be 3

4 waiting on Inquests or other factors relating to that

individual case. So many issues were probably related 5

6 to process within the relevant local safeguarding local

7 authority areas and some of the issues may be to do with

the business side of the CDOP but the chair recognised 8

9 that and particularly Hayley tried to reduce the delays

10 and I think she did achieve some success in that.

That can come down thank you. Paragraph 33 of your statement. You say:

13 "I was not made aware during my attendance at the CDOP from 2015/2016 that there had been an increase in 14 the number of deaths at the Countess of Chester neonatal 15 16 unit. Any increase in neonatal deaths would be unlikely 17 to have been identified from the CDOP as a standalone process." 18

19 You sav:

11

12

8

9

11

20 "Again, I don't think so that the structure of the 21 meetings and reviews would have made it easy to identify 22 that there had been an increase ... several reasons for 23 this assertions."

You have just set out some, are there any more 24 25 reasons you would identify? For example you say the

1 of: the ready to be listened and presented to CDOP. So 2 my understanding there was no -- no reason why we would 3 consider there is an immediate relationship between any 4 of those cases that are being discussed unless there was 5 something obvious standing out. For example, if we had 6 done some research and a family and we knew there was 7 a familial link between a case, we would disclose that

on the form and that would be discussed in the meeting.

You tell us at paragraph 35:

10 "I can be very clear and specific when I first became aware of the increase in the number of deaths on the Countess of Chester neonatal unit. It was 12 effectively 24 March 2017 ..." 13

14 You say prior to that meeting you weren't aware of 15 any increase in the number of infant deaths or any other 16 issues.

17 Should we go to that meeting note, please, on the screen, INQ0012008, page 3. So just to orientate 18 yourself, Mr Wenham, when it comes on the screen this is 19 20 an extract of the minutes of that meeting and item 5, 21 Countess of Chester Neonatal Review. So have a look at

22 that top paragraph.

23 "The Countess of Chester has carried out a review 24 of the neonatal department following a cluster of deaths 25 over a 16-month period."

1

Now, we heard evidence from Sharon Dodd yesterday who was a member of CDOP and she had become aware 3 because of the forms that are filled in that there were 13 deaths in the period that we are concerned with. She was aware of 13 neonatal deaths in between June 2015 and 6 June 2016.

Do you remember if a number or figure was raised in this discussion? It is not minuted but there's reference to a cluster of deaths over a 16-month period. Do you remember how big or significant a number it was?

- I can't remember that being specifically mentioned. It possibly was because if you read the report that was presented which I would have read prior to the meeting and at the meeting, I think it makes reference to 13 or 11.
  - Q. Yes, yes.

1

2

4

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15 16

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

15

25

- 17 A. So I would have had knowledge of it but I am surprised it isn't referenced specifically in the 18 19 meeting but whoever has wrote them minutes at the time.
- 20 But you were aware, as you say, someone there 21 had that number and you have seen that's in the errata, 22 so you wouldn't be surprised if that was mentioned. Do 23 you remember if there was any discussion about how that featured, set against previous years -- would you have 24 understood what 13 represented in terms of a change in 145
  - Well, my concerns were raised anyway because of the content of the nature of the report as it was, it was presented. If it had also included the redacted or the -- the unpublished version, then my concerns possibly would have been even more heightened and increased.

In terms of the CDOP process, and making

- 8 contact with the police, at an earlier meeting, I don't 9 think you were present at it, but I am going to ask for it to go on the screen so you can see the issue readily. 10 11 So that can come down, please, and can we have instead 12 INQ0017817, page 2. This is an earlier meeting, 13 20 November, there is an R next to your name. Is that 14 when someone else comes to represent instead of you?
  - A.
- 16 Q. So you weren't there but there is clearly 17 a discussion about SUDiCs generally, you see there 18 number 4:
- 19 "SUDiC within the hospital."
- "Should a Rapid Response Meeting be held each time 20 there is a Sudden Unexpected Death within a hospital. 21 22 The meeting felt that the response should be on 23 a case-by-case basis and the safeguarding doctor should 24 be involved in the discussion with the designated doctor
  - and a Rapid Response should be arranged if deemed 147

- significance from previous years or not?
- 2 From recollection -- I mean, I clearly left 3 that meeting with some concerns. But from recollection, 4 I can't remember if that was discussed specifically other than what the contents of the report was that was 5 6 presented.
- 7 Was the report provided to you, had you seen 8 the RCPCH review, we assume they are referring to there. 9 Did you ever see a Royal College report on paediatric 10 child health?
- 11 I saw the one that was part of the minutes -sorry, part of the agenda, because the papers are 12 13 provided in advance.
- 14 Yes. And that version that you saw, did it 15 contain any reference to a nurse or concerns about 16 a nurse and an HR process being necessary? Did you get 17 the version with that in it or was that redacted and not available to you? 18
- 19 I -- I think the version that came to the CDOP 20 was not -- did not make any reference to the -- to the 21 nurse
- 22 If it had made a reference to a nurse and 23 concerns about a particular nurse, what, if anything, 24 would you have thought about that in the context of everything else you were being told here?
- appropriate. The meeting felt this process should be 2 reflected in our procedures with clarification of best 3 practice.
- 4 "Action: ensure that when the Pan Cheshire 5 procedures are reviewed Sudden Unexpected Deaths in 6 hospital are identified".
- 7 So there seems to be a discussion about the 8 suitability or otherwise of Sudden Unexpected Deaths procedures, when babies die in hospital and we know they 9 weren't used in this case in these neonatal deaths. 10 Were you aware in the other child protection work you 11 were doing across other committees and generally that 12 13 there are close liaisons sometimes between for example 14 local authorities and the police where there is an officer from the force and somebody from the local 15 authority who can readily reach out to each other at an 16
- 17 early stage for guidance or just to discuss things if 18 they are worried about them.
- 19 Do you think that kind of process whether you call 20 it a Rapid Response Meeting but something with the police early on would be useful to have a conversation 21 22 with a liaison officer?
- 23 Can I just clarify exactly, are you talking in 24 specifically around individual cases of a death or in 25 general?

Q. In individual cases of Sudden Unexpected
Deaths in hospital. So a baby dies in hospital, the
SUDiC form and process wasn't used and assume for
a moment it is bureaucratic in part. Is there another
way of communicating directly with the police at the
time that might be useful outside the processes that
clearly were proving challenging for a number of people?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

25

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

20

21 22

23

24

**A.** At the time, I felt as though across Cheshire we had a really strong partnership at a safeguarding and child protection level, both local safeguarding children boards, and a range of other partnership structures where we were able to work together effectively.

There were -- there was always that opportunity to engage with another professional from another agency all the time. It was just a case of the willingness of those individuals involved just to reach out and engage with those other professionals.

18 **Q.** Did that include doctors or people within the
19 hospital? I mean I understand when there's deaths in
20 the community and suspicious deaths in the community but
21 in terms of dealing with hospitals where there were
22 concerns about a member of staff in a hospital would it
23 be the same ease of reference who you should contact or
24 who you might contact?

A. I am a bit unclear in terms of are you -- are 149

1 Chester. Please can you canvas Mr Harvey's views."

Page 2. Mr Harvey agrees they should be invited:

"Would you be able to arrange this with them as I don't have contact details?"

Then over the page, page 1, you are invited and you confirm that you will attend and so there is a meeting on 27 April, which you address at paragraph 50 of your statement. The emails can go down now, thank you.

You say at 51:

"I recall I would have been involved in the planning of the meeting but I cannot recall or don't have access to how precisely this was done or if there were formal minutes from the meeting."

You set out, and again it might be useful to have your bullet points of your notes from your statement, INQ0102367, at page 13, the bullet points you identify from the meeting. If we just have the bullet points at the end there, please and the two -- that is helpful thank you -- or three on the next page.

You have looked at the key points of the discussion and these are the key points. If we could now go to the meeting notes of Mr Cross, and I can just ask you to pick up a couple of matters that you have identified there, but I will give people a chance to read those.

25 So the meeting then, please, is INQ0102292, 151

you suggesting whereby it's -- a doctor's got concernsabout a particular individual?

Q. Or just the circumstances of a death, a Sudden
and Unexpected Death, they are not quite sure what
happened. They don't at that stage know what's happened
but they want to share any information they have about
it.

8 A. Then the answer to that would be pick up the 9 phone and contact the police and a professional police 10 officer will attend and normally from the specialist 11 department. You know, we are there all the time to 12 respond.

13 It obviously leads into the -- the understanding 14 around the Sudden and Unexpected Death in Infants and 15 Child protocol or procedures that were in place around 16 that time.

Q. We know that following that 27 March meeting,
soon after that, in April, if we go to INQ0102758,
page 4, Mr Harvey is asking Hayley Frame for a meeting
as soon as possible and has invited her to attend.

21 If we go to page 3, the page before. He suggests 22 you might like to invite others and we see at the top 23 Hayley Frame says:

24 "I wonder whether we should invite Nigel from
 25 Cheshire Police and Gill Frame, independent chair of
 150

1 starting at page 2, and this meeting of course is

2 a combination -- you have got Stephen Cross, Ian Harvey,

3 this is a meeting that's a combination of doctors, the

4 paediatricians and the Executives.

So if we go to page 2 first. You have Dr Jayaram referring to "one member of staff. Concern

7 Beverley Allitt". Can you see at the beginning?

A. Sorry I missed that.

9 Q. Halfway down, "Association of one member of

10 staff. Concern Beverley Allitt" in that middle section.

11 So on the one hand you have got a doctor there at the

12 beginning telling you clearly that that's what the

13 doctors are worried about and then straight underneath

14 you have got Mr Harvey saying:

"Nurse. Full-time, overtime, allocated sick andpoorly babies."

17 Then you have got at page 3, at the top,

18 Dr Jayaram:

8

"Particular nurse days and nights. [More of]nights and then no incidents on nights."

21 LADY JUSTICE THIRLWALL: "Moved off nights".

22 MS LANGDALE: "Moved off nights", thank you:

23 "... then no incidents on nights."

24 "Since level change no real incidents."

25 We have got Mr Harvey talking about very hot unit,

1

2

12

22

1

2

3

5

1 staff working under pressure.

4

5

6

7

8

9

12

2 If we go over the page to page 4. That reference 3 at the top:

"NW reports: Royal College -- Dr Hawdon not widely shared "

Had you seen the Dr Hawdon report? It appears to be you that's commenting on that, but I don't know if you remember whether you commented on things not being widely shared?

- 10 A. I think at that point I hadn't seen it. The11 only report that we had had sight of was the RCPCH one.
  - Q. The redacted version?
- 13 A. Which went to the CDOP and one of the actions
- 14 that came out of this meeting was for the
- 15 professionals -- sorry, the Executive Team to share
- 16 those reports with the police.
- 17 Q. Did you have a sense, you have got a doctor18 telling you "Beverley Allitt", you have got a Medical
- 19 Director saying "this is a busy unit, this is a hot
- 20 unit", et cetera. What was the impression you got in
- 21 the meeting, did you appreciate the width of opinion
- 22 between them or not?
- 23 A. It's quite difficult trying to reflect back
- 24 because it was obviously some time ago. At the time,
- 25 I just remember those present, I think I put it in my
- disagree that it would have been discussed, I just can'tremember that specific point.
- Q. Did you get an impression or not about whatthe Executives thought about the behaviour of
- 5 Consultants?

6

13

- A. Not from that meeting, no.
- 7 **Q.** If we go over the page to page 8, there's 8 reference to under "Ravi: blue and white tape 9 everywhere".

Do you remember how it was described that the police might become involved and the way they might becoming involved if they were contacted?

- A. Sorry, can you repeat the question, please?
- 14 **Q.** Yes. Were you aware of any description of how 15 if the police became involved, there would be blue and
- 16 white tape everywhere, it would be disruptive for the
- 17 unit, that that was discussed in some way, the concerns
- 18 about how the police would manage an investigation or
- 19 being invited in to look at the situation?
- 20 **A.** I can't remember the detail. I am just trying 21 to reflect my statement what I recorded because it would 22 have been reflected in some of my notes.
- 23 Q. Do you mean your statement of the meeting?
- 24 A. Yes, my statement that I have written for the
- 25 Inquiry which would have been drafted --

statement that those individuals were professional, they

were engaging, and there was -- there were, I think it's

3 reflected in the information that's been shared, there

4 was a difference in terms of interpretations and

5 concerns.

So maybe the Executive Team were a bit more
satisfied things were being managed, whereas the -- some
for the doctors present were clearly concerned and that's
reflected my notes at the time which I have documented.
And that clearly informed my mindset moving out of that
meeting for the next steps.

Q. If we look at page 7 of this meeting, we have:

13 "Ian Harvey: Grievance -- HR process.

14 Recommendation of mediation. Behavioural issues. No

15 previous ..."

16 I don't know what that means afterwards, on:

17 "... nurse skills or ... Trust criticised for what

18 we did ... to be reintroduced."

What do you remember them saying about the grievance HR process and behavioural issues?

20 grievance HR process and behavioural issues?21 A. My notes are fairly detailed and I haven't got

reference to that so I can't remember that specifically

23 being discussed. Clearly it was. But it would have

24 been part -- part of that discussion around when the

nurse was being discussed by those present but I don't

15

**Q**. Okay --

A. -- Using reference to my notes.

Q. Let's go to a different question or

4 a different way of approaching it.

Would it be a realistic expectation at this time,

6 when you are discussing it, that if the police were

7 contacted about allegations that a nurse had harmed

8 babies on a neonatal unit, that they would come in and

9 close the unit, tape it off and be very visible in their

10 investigative work to staff and families trying to use

11 the hospital? How would the police go about it?

12 A. No, in terms of I -- I would have reflected13 what our response would have been, but I would have been

14 very cautious in that meeting about --

15 **Q.** Sorry, that is not you. I am not suggesting 16 you said anything about blue and white tape at that

17 meeting?

18

19

A. Right

Q. I am saying if somebody said -- the Inquiry

20 has heard evidence that Mr Cross, who had worked with

21 the police --

22 **A.** Yes.

Q. -- had said or made reference to blue and

24 white tape and how the hospital might face the reality

of an investigation on a neonatal unit. So you don't

remember that being referred to, whatever this note says about blue and white tape, so forget that and I am just asking you now: how did in fact the hospital go -- how did the police go about the investigation and was there blue and white tape and was the unit shut?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

22

23

24

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

17

18

19

20

21

**A.** When the decision was made to launch the investigation, the key focus was immediately round the needs of the families and communication, engagement and support to the Families.

We also then focused on the needs of the individuals working in the hospital to ensure their welfare was addressed and those individuals were supported. But that would have been all covered by the SIO, the senior investigating officer, as part of the investigation plan, investigation strategy.

But there would have been an assessment around it I think I have made some reference to this in one of my notes that the unit had been risk-assessed and managed and in terms of the individual who was felt to be the risk had been removed, then the operational activity at the Countess could continue. So it wasn't a case of the police going in, sealing things off, crime scenes. It was a case of support, engagement, gathering evidence, gathering files, securing information, because a lot of the information was two to three years old and it's not

but at the time if it was said I would have just dismissed it and again remained very professional and outlined exactly what our processes would have been in reality, not like what you see on the TV.

Q. That can come down, thank you.

If we go back to your statement, Mr Wenham, at paragraph 68, you move on from that meeting we have just been looking at, 27 April, and refer to another meeting on 5 May, which you and other police colleagues had with Tony Chambers, Stephen Cross and Ian Harvey.

11 You say at paragraph 69 you would have briefed your 12 colleague:

"... and we would have agreed the need for this
meeting in order to continue to gather further
information regarding events at the Countess of
Chester."

The meeting notes, if we can go to them, please, are INQ0102298, beginning at page 2. You have again done notes, Mr Wenham, at the time, but I am going to the ones that are longer, if that's okay?

A. (Nods)

Q. So we see attending at the meeting
Tony Chambers, Stephen Cross, Ian Harvey. At that time,
this is solely Executives. Is there a reason for going

25 just to the Executives at this point, is that because

ives at this point, is that because 159 1 just at the Countess, it was in pathology, it was in

2 postmortems, it was in, you know, other, other

3 hospitals.

4

5

7

24

25

Q. And plain clothes officers?

A. Sorry?

6 Q. Plain clothes officers going into the

hospital?

8 **A.** Well, it had been detectives generally who 9 would lead them or carry out the enquiries, yes. But it 10 would have been very much initially a low-key -- not

11 a visible investigation. It would have been sitting in

12 the background of the work going on at a pace. But very

13 much focused around initially engaging with the Families

14 because once that decision -- once we made the decision

15 to go live with the investigation, we didn't go public

16 for 36 hours because the next day, it was a family

17 liaison strategy and engaging and knocking on the doors

18 of all those Families, you know, to bring the news of

19 what was happening.

20 **Q.** So the suggestions around how it might have

21 been done were simply wrong, this blue tape?

A. It could be seen as scaremongering, I suppose
 now, looking back with hindsight. You know, but --

Q. To put people off wanting to go?

A. Potentially looking at it now with fresh eyes
 158

they are the decision-makers or why -- why is it that wesee no doctors or Execs at this meeting?

3 A. I suppose the best way to describe this is

post the CDOP. The Constabulary was on a sort of a
 journey to gather information and the ultimate goal was

6 to lead to a decision and to get to that point of

7 decision-making was meeting with some individuals who

8 had relevant information. And I think from my

9 recollection certainly with the assistant chief

10 constable who chaired and led the meeting, it would have

11 been around the Constabulary to meet with those involved

12 at an Executive level from the Countess and I think it

13 was the right thing to do.

14 **Q.** If we look at page 3, let's see some of the
15 things that you were told there. So the reviews -16 the Families are all aware two reviews have been
17 conducted, the Dr Hawdon review and the Royal College
18 review. Had you seen those yet, do you remember, or
19 not? You have seen the redacted RCPCH one but do you
20 think by this point you have seen the other one or not?

think by this point you have seen the other one or not?
A. I would have to check the timeline but they
would have been shared because they were asked for by
myself to lan Harvey after the meeting on the 27th and
I -- I think, I think, I'm not sure, but in the meeting
prior to this which I would have had with -- in house

3

9

1

effectively within the Constabulary I think we discussed 1 2 some of these documents because we had more knowledge at 3 that point.

So those I am going to say I am reasonably confident that at that point those documents would have been in the Constabulary but not the Letby -- not the one with reference to Letby. I never seen that, never.

You see further down it says:

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

18

19

20

21 22

24

25

"A criminal QC was instructed by the Trust who after consideration of the relevant papers advised that there was no evidence to suggest criminal activity."

What weight would that have, what weight would you have given that at the time if you are being told a criminal QC has looked at the relevant papers and said no evidence to suggest criminal activity?

I think it's relevant information for those to have shared to the Constabulary. In terms of the weight, we would make our own decision and I would suggest not be influenced by that specific piece of information.

Q. But when it says after consideration of the relevant papers, did you think that they had just had the same as you or they might have had more or did you not really give further thought to that at the time?

> I can't remember in terms of these because 161

impression after the meeting with the Executives recorded there?

A. Yes, I mean that's the closing statement well the closing record from the Chair of the meeting as, as documented. But again I would emphasise that I think there was an -- still an element of caution in terms of the Constabulary. It was a case of let's just slowly, slowly gather this information. And when I say "slowly, slowly" let's get the information at the Constabulary so we can make this decision. Because again if you look 10 below that there is a reference to drafting a Terms of 11 Reference for an investigation. Now we wouldn't be 12 drafting a Terms of Reference for an investigation if we 13 14 were thinking there isn't going to be an investigation because my view is we were very much moving in that 15 16 direction and that was the direction we were -- we were 17 going.

You then tell us at paragraph 73 of your statement, and we will put them up, that you received an email from Dr Ravi Jayaram. So that document can come off the screen, please, and instead please can we have INQ0102300, page 3.

23 We see at the bottom:

"Confidential. Hello, Superintendent Wenham.

"I met you a couple of weeks ago at the Countess 163

these are the minutes which are from that meeting and it 1

is reasonably detailed and I just can't remember.

And we see at the bottom:

4 "Nurse. As part of the reviews, staffing was

5 looked at. There was a notable high statistical

6 relationship between a member of the nursing staff and

7 babies deterioratingn in the unit. There is no evidence

other than coincidence." 8

If we go over the page to page 4:

10 "She had been moved from nights to days, redeployed off the unit whilst the review was taking place for her 11

protection. The nurse has a 'Qualification in 12

Specialty' so was therefore more likely to be caring 13

14 after the sickest babies on the unit."

15 And then we see the summary:

16 "If Cheshire Constabulary are involved it would be

17 deemed an 'investigation'. COCH would need to assist

with clinical expertise, guidance. An investigation 18

19 would be to identify, gather facts to evidence

20 and establish cause of death. Also if applicable

identify any criminal activity. There are no 21

22 significant concerns to suggest any unlawful acts, it

23 appears a series of anomalies that needs to be

24 investigated further."

25 So that was your impression or the group's

during our discussions about the unexplained neonatal

deaths and collapses. I am aware the Trust have sent 2

you copies of the RCPCH report and the Independent 3

4 Casenote Review from Jane Hawdon as well as the results

5 of internal reviews ..."

6 Pausing there. When did you meet Ravi Jayaram 7 two weeks before? Was that you and Hayley Frame having 8 a conversation with him?

9 A. No that would have been the meeting at 10 27 April at the Countess.

11 So the 27 April meeting. So he has sent this

to you. If we go overleaf, documents data from the 12

regional neonatal network report looking at intensity 13

14 staffing and mortality in the period January 2015 to

July 2016. And if we see what he attaches please at 15

INQ0102301, page 2, we see this is reasons for concerns. 16

17 They have put together the Consultants, their concerns.

18 If we trace through the document, please. They list various babies, concerns, when we get to page 12, 19

20 survival graph from the Office for National Statistics.

21 Move through the document. They have their unit 22 staffing levels finishing on page 7.

23 Were you expecting that level of information back 24

from Dr Jayaram having --25 LADY JUSTICE THIRLWALL: Sorry, Ms Langdale,

I think we might have gone backwards -- forwards and backwards, you said concluding on page 7 and that sounds like a different document.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

21

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

13

22

MS LANGDALE: I think it may be. So if we could put up instead, please, INQ0102303, so that document can come down, that is the first document and then another document that you have exhibited for us, Mr Wenham, is a separate document then that was also attached I assume. INQ0102303, page 3.

This as well was sent to you, the Inquiry has seen this before from Dr Brearey but it was the data pulling together information. Was that the level of information that you were expecting to get from Dr Jayaram at that time?

I'm not sure how detailed the information was going to be, but it was -- overall collectively the three documents were incredibly powerful and important in terms of how we moved forward.

19 That can come down. Can we please have 20 a different document on the screen, which relates to a meeting on 12 May. So that's INQ0102306, page 2. 22 While that's coming up, Mr Wenham, so you have received 23 that from Dr Jayaram and then you have a meeting on 12 May. You have had an internal meeting before that to 24 25 the police and as you say, you are considering what you

the Royal College of Paediatrics and Child Health and all the inquiries that have gone on."

Did you share the documents I have taken you through on screen, the ones that you exhibited to your statement, had they seen those before this meeting, because it appears that that's what's being commented upon here?

- A. I don't think -- sorry, just let me read.
- 9 Q. Just read it.
- I am sure I would remember some reference to 10 A.
- it that the documents hadn't been shared by -- to 11
- Executive level? 12
  - Q. Yes.
- 14 Α. Yes, I don't think they had been shared at that point. 15
- 16 Q. Well, what's he commenting on then because it looks like you are asking them their views and TC stated 17 there's nothing new in the email review from Dr Jayaram 18
- 19 that's already been shared? 20 Sorry, I might be misleading there. I --I don't think they had been shared prior to the meeting, 21
- they were discussed in the meeting. 23 Q. Right --
- 24 So the contents of the email and the report would have been discussed in the meeting so they would 25 167

1 are going to do and who you are going to interview or 2 speak with, I should say.

And there's a second meeting that takes place on 3 4 12 May with the Executives again. Do you know again why you had only the Executives at this meeting? I know 5 6 what happened subsequently and you arrange a meeting 7 with Dr Jayaram, but you only have Executives at this 8 12 May meeting.

9 Α. I -- I can't recall why that was decision was 10 made just to keep it at Executive level.

11 So if we go to --

But -- sorry, but I think we had, I think we 12 had the premeet and we had already had -- I think there 13 was a plan already to meet with the paediatricians who 14 had written the email and the letter so we had gone into 15 16 that meeting with that plan.

17 You seem to have responded on the emails we 18 looked at earlier to Dr Jayaram to agree to meet but 19 let's have a look at this meeting here, page 4. If we 20 see "Situational review", you have shared presumably the 21 information you have received from the doctors with them 22 at this point, because Tony Chambers is commenting on 23 that under this heading situation review and says:

24 "TC stated there's nothing new in the email review 25 from Dr Jayaram that has not already been shared with 166

have got knowledge of it there but I can't remember if 2 it was shared before.

3 So it might not have been before but would you 4 have taken them to the meeting because the email itself 5 is very short, isn't it? The email simply refers you to 6 the document, and then the documents are as you have 7 attached for us, the reports relating to various babies 8 on the indictment and other babies and also the graph. 9

But it looks as though -- it's said here:

10 "It reads in a fairly unbalanced way and it needs 11 to be looked at in the context of all of the information that COCH can share with Cheshire Constabulary." 12

13 Did you have any memory now what was being referred 14 to, what material was being referred to there by

15 Mr Chambers?

> Α. Is it the same highlighted section?

17 Q. Yes, yes.

> So the question you are asking is? A.

What did you think Mr Chambers had seen before 19 20 he made that observation at the meeting, it reads in

a fairly unbalanced way, what were you discussing with 21

22 him?

16

18

23 Α. I can't remember. I can't remember if the 24 contents of the email that Dr Jayaram had provided to the Constabulary had been shared prior to the meeting or

1 not.

- 2 Q. It looks like --
  - A. It looks like he's got reference to it so
- 4 I would say he must have seen it.
- 5 Q. Further up it looks like it does say, doesn't

6 it:

9

3

- 7 "This document has now been shared with the COCH
- 8 Executive Team."
  - A. Where's that?
- 10 Q. Just further up, if we can go three boxes up
- 11 and you gave an overview, so on 10th of the 5th an email
- 12 was sent:
- 13 "Documents now have been shared with the Executive
- 14 Team. NW gave an overview of the contents."
- 15 Can we see, it is just three paragraphs down?
- 16 A. Yes, it says:
- 17 "There was no personal information sent within the
- 18 email and it was felt appropriate Executive Team were
- 19 made aware of this."
- 20 **Q.** Mm-hm.
- 21 A. So it looks like the timeline is the email's
- 22 come in, a discussion maybe taken place between myself
- 23 and the ACC.

2

7

8

9

18

- 24 And then it says:
- 25 "This led to a further telephone conversation
- 1 College of Paediatrics and Child Health. They would
  - identify the [Terms of Reference] which were structured
- 3 in a way that all concerns would have been in scope and
- 4 any environment/behaviour concerns. RCPCH would
- 5 constitute a review panel consisting of two experienced
- 6 neonatologists, one senior nurse and a barrister."
  - So they are relying, are they, in the meeting or referring to the RCPCH report being conducted by
  - a number of professionals as described there. Do you
- 10 remember that, them saying they had done that review?
- 11 A. Yes, I mean I can only say, I can only go by
- 12 what's written in the minutes here in front of me --
- 13 I can't remember.
- 14 Q. Okay the next page, then, page 6, you may not
- 15 remember this either, the third bullet point at the top:
- 16 "QC -- purpose to involve was to help clinicians
- 17 understand the difference between what they thought was
  - criminal evidence and something that may not constitute
- 19 as criminal evidence."
- 20 So what was the tone -- do you remember anything
- 21 now about the tone of this meeting and what the
- 22 Executives were encouraging or thought should happen?
- 23 A. It's interesting now, sitting here looking
- 24 back at the minutes as you have pointed out and
- 25 identified and highlighted because when I was in the

- 1 between DM [which was the ACC] and SC Stephen Cross on
- 2 11th of the 5th to make him aware of [the] email."
- 3 So it looks like the ACC has communicated with him 4 the day before, so yes.
  - Q. Yes, so they have got it?
  - A. Yes

5

6

- 7 **Q.** We see if we go down to the bottom of the page
- 8 again it's expressed:
- 9 "It is disappointing that it does feel that, as
- 10 a group of clinicians, they have not moved on."
- 11 If we go to page 5 of this note, please. We see in
- 12 the second box, second paragraph:
- 13 "[Mr Chambers] shared the same concerns [as DM]
- 14 regarding putting the families through a process that
- 15 feels unnecessary. [Mr Chambers] would be comfortable
- 16 to pause at this point, but equally would be comfortable
- 17 to see what level of enquiry could be done that would
- 18 not necessitate an open transparent conversation with
- 19 the families."
- 20 And if we look further down the page, the last but
- 21 one paragraph:

25

- 22 "[Assistant constable] questioned if there is any
- 23 scope for an external review".
- 24 And Mr Chambers replies:
  - "In the first instance the body would be the Royal
    - 17
- 1 middle of this, I genuinely -- my mindset was this was
- 2 on a path to an investigation and there was a lot of
- 3 external noise going on round here but I felt this was
- 4 where it was going and that was where we would end up
- 5 but when you read some of the comments now it's like
- 6 indeed doors are trying to be shut, that is the way
- 7 I feel as though it's presented, if that makes sense.
- 8 **Q.** It does. If you look at page 6, the last but 9 one paragraph, this is an officer -- assistant chief
- 10 constable clarifying:
- 11 "... there was nothing new that had come out of the
- 12 email that [Countess of Chester] were not already aware
- 13 of, and nothing contained in email that makes specific
- 14 allegation, which would cause COCH to believe that
- 15 potential criminal offences have been committed.
- 16 [Mr Chambers] and [Mr Harvey] both agreed there was
- 17 nothing to suggest this and nothing new within email."
- 18 If we go to page 7, and look at the last three
- 19 paragraphs, please:
- "[Mr Chambers] stated it would become a wider GMCissue as there becomes a point where a group of
- 22 clinicians who are not prepared to take the
- 23 recommendation of the RCPCH are blocking the ability to
- 24 move forward which creates a more difficult and
- 25 dangerous environment for sick babies."

1 Next, he says:

2

3

4

6

7

11

12

18

19

20

3

4

5

6

8

9

15

16

17

18

20

21

22

25

"[He] added the Consultants have made their points and they have been seen and not judged as sufficient to

warrant a police-led investigation, looking at how close

5 it constitutes as a criminal act. There was a need to

explore to ensure Countess of Chester have not missed

anything but there is also a need to move on. It will

8 become a GMC issue, likewise if the media are involved.

9 This is for the Countess of Chester to manage

10 appropriately.

The Assistant Chief Constable replies:

"If Countess of Chester's position is that they are

13 satisfied where they are and there is nothing of

anything that would cause to believe potentially 14

criminal offences have been committed which may warrant 15

16 a police investigation, then this needs to be placed in

17 writing."

> So that's what the Assistant Chief Constable says, "it needs to be placed in writing".

And over the page he asks that:

"The [Countess of Chester] need to be clear what 21

22 their expectations are of Cheshire Constabulary if

23 a criminal investigation is required, and equally [the

Assistant Chief Constable] needs to document back to the 24

25 Countess of Chester what Cheshire Constabulary's

173

1 that there potentially could be other allegations or was 2 that something that emerged during this meeting?

It will have been something that's just emerged from that meeting.

You agreed with your colleague that Cheshire Constabulary should speak to Dr Jayaram to give the

7 clinicians an independent voice?

> A. Yes.

Q. Mr Chambers clarified whether it's possible to

10 have a conversation with the clinicians without

involving the Families as the clinicians would value the 11

conversation with a police officer. So recognition by 12

Mr Chambers there that the clinicians might like to 13

14 speak or would like to speak with a police officer?

Yes, I mean, I was quite clear that we needed to go and meet with those doctors and make sure that they were able to speak freely without any external influences and we had actually given them a voice so

19 they were listened to.

> You then -- or it is documented, rather, on page 9 of the bullet points under:

"DM reiterated what has been agreed ..."

23 Crucially a meeting will be held on 15 May with 24

That document can go down now. You in fact invite 175

position is. This is to ensure a clear audit trail of

2 what the information was, the decision-making and the

grounds for those decisions, should anything arise in 3

4 the future."

5

You further down in the last five paragraphs say:

6 "NW added an observation that Dr Jayaram has sent

7 the email directly to the police and bypassed the

Countess of Chester Executive Team. Cheshire 8

Constabulary are duty-bound to respond to Dr Jayaram on 9

10 behalf of the clinician team. It might be appropriate

to have a conversation with Dr Jayaram around the 11

content of the letter and gain a feel of anything else 12

that they may wish to disclose, which would add some 13

14 value to the contents of the letter."

15 Again two paragraphs down, the assistant chief

16 constable clarified what you are articulating:

17 "That there could potentially be allegations of

bullying, intimidation on the part of the Countess of 18

19 Chester. It seems reasonable as they have written to 20 have a conversation with Dr Jayaram to clarify there is

nothing else sat behind the letter which has not been 21

22 disclosed."

23 When you were hearing reference to the GMC and the

24 referrals, you are planning to meet Dr Jayaram anyway

but had you already as police discussed that before,

Dr Jayaram to bring a couple of people with him if he

2 would like to and I think it's Dr Holt and Dr Brearey

3 who attend?

4

5

Α. (Nods)

> Q. You tell us at paragraph 90 of your statement,

6 Mr Wenham:

7 "This meeting was in my view the most critical and 8

important event following the CDOP meeting on

24 March 2017." 9

10 You say:

11 "I can still recall how these clinicians presented.

They were completely professional in their presentation 12

13 and they were knowledgeable and passion in that about

14 their work with the neonatal unit. There was some

degree of frustration in where they felt this situation 15

had reached and I felt that they were relieved that they 16

17 could speak to the police about their concerns.

18 "Following this meeting, my own personal assessment

was that this further reinforced my view that this was 19

now going to progress to a criminal investigation. It 20

was my responsibility to communicate this to the chief 21

22 officer level ... to inform future decisions."

23 So if we could go, please, to the notes of that

24 meeting, INQ0102309, page 2, and we see at page 3 at the

top, Dr Jayaram in that second paragraph, specifically 25

mentions forensic review and the fact is these babies 1 2 would not be the ones you would have expected at the 3 point they collapsed and they did not respond 4 physiologically to the treatment as expected. 5

Dr Brearey says:

6

7

8

9

10

11

12 13

19

20

21

3

8

9

10

11

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

"Of those nine we reviewed six out of nine collapsed between midnight and 4 which was highly

Dr Jayaram further down:

"At the point of the collapse the nurse was present at that time in close proximity. This has not happened to other staff."

A bit further down he says:

14 "There is perception that we are on campaign. This is not the case. Other Consultants and junior doctors 15 16 had come to the same view. It got to the point that 17 when the nurse was on duty we feared something would 18 happen."

Over the page, page 4, six paragraphs up:

"Concern we have is could something being done deliberately to harm them.

22 "We don't know. I don't know if this is something 23 we just have to live with. We would rather there was some explanation. Our concern is to have enough 24 25 questions being asked. Can we be satisfied we have done

1 that time. When expressed our concerns we don't think 2 the Executives have understood our concerns."

Further down, Dr Jayaram:

4 "I don't want the obvious fractious relationship 5 between us and the Executive, this is not why we want 6 you here. We are not sure of the process, reports have 7 asked the right questions."

I mean:

"We are not sure whether the process, reports has asked the right questions, We want to exclude is there anyone who is deliberately harming these babies?"

12

"Speaking for myself, we are not comfortable as worried about safety of our patients."

You may not have been aware, Mr Wenham, but at this point, certainly back at the end of March there was discussion about Letby coming back on to the wards to work with babies. You say these doctors impressed you as professional in that meeting.

20 Why was that? How was that that they impressed 21 you?

22 The meeting was -- I can't describe how 23 powerful it was. They were knowledgeable, they spoke from the points of view whereby they were dealing with these things real-time and the -- they have had -- they 25

179

all we can to confirm if there is something more going 1 2 on."

3 He says:

4 "Nobody's talked to junior doctors who have been involved. We appreciate that a lot of time has passed 5 6 since these" events, presumably. "We at the end of the 7 day are responsible for patient safety on the ward, the 8 buck stops with us."

9 Of course when the investigation did take place all 10 of the junior doctors were spoken to, weren't they, and 11 the evidence was collated?

Α. Yes

12

13

25

Q. We see at page 5:

14 "Survival rate for babies over 32 is nearly 100%.

For six of our babies to have died who are over 32 weeks 15 16 to die is not right."

17 Reference to the Thematic Review.

Over the page at page 6, Dr Brearey repeating at 18 19 the top, "most babies were at gestation would not expect 20 these sorts of things happening". Dr Jayaram: "these

21 babies simply did not respond as expected."

22 Then in the closing comments on page 7, the 23 highlight, the death of two Triplets: "there was no 24 explanation, she was the named nurse".

"Duty Exec was happy for nurse to remain on duty at

have had -- they have lived and are breathed these

events for the last several years and I just felt for 2

those professionals there, they had an opportunity now

4 to just speak to someone and be listened to and believed

5 what they were saying. And it felt as though that we

6 weren't just going to push them away like they had been

7 in the past or threatened or intimidated, which is what 8

the perception is they had.

They were just very powerful in what they were 9 saying and committed and, you know, I think we all owe 10 them a great deal for coming forward and speaking out 11 12 the way they did.

That document can come down now.

13 14 At paragraph 94 of your statement, you say on 15 15 May, telephone meeting with the Executive Team. You had made the decision to launch the criminal 16

investigation, a telephone meeting arranged with the Executives from the Countess of Chester and 18

19 Cheshire Police

17

20 We know at paragraph 95, you recall a discussion 21 regarding a strategy to support the Families and issues 22 regarding the impending Inquest.

23 At paragraph 96 you say:

24 "The initial plan was to visit and provide

one-to-one briefings to all of the families and it was 25

finalised during the evening of 15 May and the visits to the families started early the following day, 16 May."

Do you know sitting there now what information the Families were provided with at that time?

A. I can't remember, it was subject to a -- we have a tiered approach to family liaison so you have a co-ordinator and then you have family liaison officers so we would have put a strategy in place but it would

9 have been directed by the senior investigating officer,

10 but it would have been very factual, it would have been

11 concise and it would have been probably fairly narrow at

12 that point because we didn't really know -- so it would

13 have been very specific to those individuals, those

14 Families and to give them some reassurance and a contact

15 within the Constabulary.

16 **Q.** At that stage when you are just beginning an investigation, are you able to say that you have

18 suspicions or concerns about an individual or what?

19 I mean, what's the balance between giving information

20 and protecting the investigation and not prejudicing any

21 trial when you get there in terms of how you share

22 information?

23

24

25

3

4

5

13

15

16

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

**A.** At that point there wouldn't have been any public comment or statements regarding any individual because the investigation from the outset would have

181

1 **Q.** Retired at the rank of detective chief 2 superintendent?

A. That's correct.

Q. So you spent most of your career in CID?

A. CID is a specific area of business, criminal

6 investigation, also the area of safeguarding and public

7 protection which is another specialist area of

8 investigation and also homicide and murder

9 investigations as well.

10 Q. You were a homicide SIO, senior investigating11 officer; is that right?

12 **A.** Yes, between 2009/10 and 13.

Q. Would that have been at the rank of

14 a detective inspector?

A. Detective inspector, yes.

Q. While you were in Cheshire Police, did you

17 come across Stephen Cross?

18 **A.** No, I think he was a little bit possibly

19 before my time or because we are geographically based

20 I think he may have worked at the West and I never

21 really worked in the West as a geographical area

22 personally until that meeting, I don't think I have ever

23 met him.

24 Q. Were you aware that he was a senior or had

25 been a senior officer?

1 been approached with a very open mind. So it would have

2 been a case of going out, gathering information,

3 bringing that information into the system and then

4 making assessments from there. But clearly the

5 investigating team couldn't avoid the fact, you know,

6 the nurse had been mentioned and was a significant

7 individual involved in these events. But you would have

8 had a very open mind in terms of the investigation from

9 the outset.

13

20

1

10 MS LANGDALE: Thank you those are my questions,

11 Mr Wenham. I think both Mr Baker and Mr Skelton have

12 some questions, my Lady.

Questions by MR SKELTON

14 MR SKELTON: Mr Wenham, I ask questions on behalf

15 of one of the Family groups. Can I ask you first of

16 all, just a bit of a recap about your service in

17 Cheshire Police.

18 I think you spent 30 years in the Constabulary, so

19 your entire career?

A. 30 years, yes.

21 Q. You started in uniform and went on to become

22 a DC fairly early on, a detective constable; is that

23 right?

24 A. Yes, I was a detective sort of most of my

25 career, yes.

182

A. I may have been told at some point but it may

2 -- probably after that meeting because he attended that

3 meeting and I didn't know who he was, I didn't know who

4 Ian Harvey was. Obviously I knew the positions they

5 held, but I didn't know who they were.

6 Q. Did you subsequently find out what rank he had

7 been?

8 A. I'm not sure.

9 Q. Dr Brearey yesterday mentioned the fact that

10 he thought that he had been demoted from a fairly senior

11 rank to being a constable again. Do you know anything

12 about that?

13 A. I can say I have got no direct knowledge of

14 that.

15 Q. Ms Langdale asked you about SUDiC. Was it

16 your expectation that all deaths that occurred -- child

17 deaths that occurred in hospital would result in the

18 SUDiC process?

19 **A.** If you take that term in its broadest terms,

20 yes, if you are following the procedure as in -- it's

21 outlined but in the broadest terms of the hospital

22 setting. Generally it, it's interpreted, I think now

23 reflecting back, as in an A&E or someone's being from an

24 A&E into a ward. I think there was possibly -- well,

25 there was a lack of understanding in terms of the

compliance with the policy and in a neonatal unit. 1

- Do you think you appreciated that lack of understanding when you were in post at the time in CDOP?
  - A. Did I appreciate it or understand it?
- That difference between types of death of children? In the community, sudden death would inevitably be SUDiC; coming into A&E I think you are saying then transferred to a ward and dying, that might

But being admitted, say, for several weeks wouldn't necessarily be SUDiC; is that the difference?

- Yes, certainly from my experience and you have outlined my background, I have never had any knowledge of being notified of a death within a neonatal unit from a police perspective.
- So far as the CDOP is concerned, as I understand your evidence from your statement, you on CDOP were completely unaware of the neonatal increase in deaths in 2015 and following until very late on in the process?
- 21 A. From a personal perspective?
- 22 Q.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15 16

17

18

19

20

be SUDiC.

23 A. I wasn't aware until 24 March but I understand the CDOP had references to it before then as a group or 24 25 in minutes.

185

- 1 of the collapses and deaths and our investigations that 2 we have conducted haven't yet revealed a medical cause 3 or any other cause that links the deaths... Presented 4 with that information, what would you have been 5 thinking?
- 6 A. In effect, you've just outlined where we were 7 on 15 May.
- 8 Q. I have.

15

23

- 9 So we know what happened at 15 May. We A. launched a criminal investigation. So -- but clearly 10 I just can't relate that back to a different time and 11 a different space because I don't know and also, in 12 terms of the timeline of the events at what point 13 14 were -- would these concerns have been raised? So --
  - Well, is there any --Q.
- 16 A. Does that make -- do you understand what I'm 17 saying?
- 18 Indeed. But is there any reason to think -the number of deaths would obviously have been fewer the 19 20 further we go back. But is there any reason to think that if the Consultants or a person, a clinician, had 21
- 22 brought you that information in 2015 and 2016 that the
- same kind of response wouldn't have occurred? 24 A. From -- individually or CDOP?
- 25 CDOP. Q.
- 187

- If someone had come to a CDOP meeting in 2015 1 or 2016 and said: we have had what we perceive to be a significant increase in the number of deaths, how 3 would you have thought CDOP should respond? 4
- It depends on the circumstances. I think it's 5 6 a really difficult or impossible question to answer. 7 Because it could well be that I am thinking from just from a police perspective, "is there something criminal going on here", as opposed to a CDOP perspective around 9 10 that multi-agency work and is there something criminal and I would probably pick it up and run with it like 11 I did with this to understand what's going on. 12 But I can't answer that because obviously it's
- 13 14 so -- it's difficult to answer because that scenario 15 just didn't happen.
- 16 Can I put a bit more flesh on the information. 17 So if someone had come to CDOP and said: The number of deaths has increased to an unusual degree, the deaths 18 19 appear to be unexpected in that we weren't expecting the 20 children to die and we can't find a clinical explanation for team that's obvious, the cause of death is uncertain 21 22 for a number of the babies, the babies didn't seem to 23 respond as we would expect when we tried to resuscitate
- them after their collapses. We have identified that one 24 member of staff appears to have been present during each
- 1 If it had been a little bit more specific 2 around the points you've just raised, then I would 3 expect CDOP as a group and the chair to raise some 4 questions and ask some questions around that, that 5 scenario you have presented.
- 6 Now, how that -- how they would go about that as 7 a group would have to be assessed at that time. You 8 know, it might well be that sometimes -- it doesn't, this doesn't sound right -- but the CDOP would maybe set 9 up like a little task and finish group to go and look at 10 11 something.
- 12 So it could well be some -- you know, it could be a case of three or four individuals just go and gather 13 14 more information and then go and make an assessment. 15 But I can't really answer that. It's too broad 16 a question.
- 17 If a clinician, or any member of staff, had said to you in the formal meeting or outside the formal 18 meeting: I'm concerned we have got a number of 19 20 unexpected deaths and I suspect a member of staff may be 21 harming children, what would you have done?
- 22 I would have -- I would've clearly listened to 23 them and took some steps to gather some further 24 information, whether it's just through that individual or by speaking to other individuals within the

organisation, bring in more information into -- and 1 2 knowledge into my -- into my domain so we can make 3 a decision.

4

5 6

7

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

Would you have recognised if the individual O. that was the subject of the suspicion was still working that there was a degree of urgency required?

Α. If that information had been provided, clearly, yes.

Q. Can I ask you about recommendations and whether you have any views on some of the issues that this Inquiry is looking at.

Obviously there is the issue of SUDiC and CDOP and its application, but that's a general national policy issue, which is probably outside of your remit as it were, but just in terms of -- for healthcare staff understanding what to do if they suspect their fellow members of staff, do you think more guidance is needed about what to do from a safeguarding perspective?

From a -- from a safeguarding perspective the -- the guidance is fairly clear. If someone's got any concerns regarding any safeguarding issues, then they should speak out and speak up.

But individuals did that in that case, but weren't listened to and that, that creates a problem in itself because we're in at an Executive level. If that starts 189

issues we would have to address that, whether it's safeguarding in relation to an individual or to do with children or a family we would respond and address that.

So could a doctor have called the police in 5 2015 and not given their name and not given any details 6 but alerted the police to the possibility that somebody was murdering children?

Well, clearly the answer to that would be yes and we would -- someone would have responded to that and made an assessment of that piece of information.

I was asked to comment in my statement whether, you know, the police should have been notified at an earlier stage and clearly with hindsight and looking back the obvious answer to that is yes. You know, we should have been notified and engaged with earlier.

I think looking at the scenario and the events as we know a lot of those doctors involved did raise the concerns repeatedly and continued to raise those concerns and they were shut down, sadly.

20 MR SKELTON: Thank you, Mr Wenham. Thank you, 21 my Lady.

22 LADY JUSTICE THIRLWALL: Thank you, Mr Skelton.

23 Mr Jamieson.

24 Questions by MR JAMIESON 25 MR JAMIESON: My Lady, through you, may I make the 191

to get shut down, that creates a problem. So 1

2 individuals should have confidence and trust within

their organisation that they can speak out and be 3

listened to. So that, that in itself is challenging if 4

the culture within that organisation doesn't allow that.

6 What about the question of reporting 7 externally. Obviously you have rightly said you would expect -- implicitly said you would expect people to 8 raise things internally within their safeguarding team 9 or via the other processes CDOP, SUDiC and so on.

10 11 But what about external communication? Do you think there is a case for there being some guidance on 12 healthcare staff being able to contact the police for 13 example, directly without fear of unleashing awful 14 consequences upon themselves or others? 15

16 I mean, individuals can do that now. They, 17 they can contact an organisation the police, and, and speak in confidence around any issues or concerns they 18 19 have got.

20 The police, I mean I've been out of policing for 21 six years, but as an organisation we would always listen 22 to people, we will treat that information with 23 confidence and respond accordingly as to what we are told. But you'd have to make an assessment of what that 24 information is. If there are any immediate safeguarding

190

1 enquiry of the shorthand writer whether she is content to continue. I have 10 minutes. 2

3 LADY JUSTICE THIRLWALL: Yes. Thank you, 4 Mr Jamieson.

5 MR JAMIESON: Yes, I have 10 minutes but lawyers' 6 time estimates have not always been accurate.

7 Mr Wenham, I ask you questions also on behalf of 8 The Families.

Most of the topics I would have covered have 9 already been and so please excuse me if this is a little 10 staccato. 11

12 A.

13 Q. Can we start with CDOP, please, and may we 14 have a document on the screen that I don't think we have looked at yet. It's the protocol that you provided us 15 with, so it's INQ0102288 and may we start at page 2, 16 17 please.

18 So this was the governing protocol for the Pan Cheshire CDOP. The date of the document that we can 19 20 see that it was in force is at the bottom of the page 2014 and you have provided in your statement that 21

22 this was the one in force in 2017?

It says July -- oh, yes, yes. 23 Α. 24 Yes. So it was supposed to be reviewed

25 in '15, that doesn't always happen, does it, it was the

one that was being used in 2017? 1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

24

25

14

20

21

24

I understand this was the one because obviously I was asked to provide a statement and I have gone back retrospectively to try and confirm which protocol was the relevant one.

Okay. Well, if anything turns on it we will hear about it, but I doubt it frankly.

Can we go forward to page 5, please, which is the introduction to this document and what I just wanted to draw out is if we could crop in on the bottom half of the page, please. So under "Introduction", this is the first guidance that's given to the readers of this document. It's really that underlined text that's put

there in the first paragraph: 14 "As highlighted in the guidance it is vitally 15 16 important that local safeguarding children boards 17 establish mechanisms for appropriately informing and involving parents and other family members in both Child 18 19 Death Overview and the Rapid Response process."

20 And there "Rapid Response process", is that a type 21 of SUDiC, is that what that's talking about?

22 Yes, the Rapid Response process a stage in the 23 SUDiC protocol.

> Q. Yes, so it's the initial stage.

A. It's an initial meeting -- well, the initial 193

1 will be hand-delivered to them by a co-ordinator who 2 will be in a position to answer their questions, but 3 that's it?

4 A. Yes. What -- what that's referring to is the 5 process around the rapid response meeting. So the rapid 6 response meeting would normally be chaired, if it was 7 for example a death of an infant or a child in the 8 community and went to A&E, then a detective inspector

from the police would attend and within the time period 9

of 72 hours a professional meeting would be held. 10

That's the rapid response meeting. And one of the 11

things covered in that rapid response meeting is 12

13 communication and engagement with the family.

> Q. Okay.

15 So that would involve who is -- who's in A. contact with the family, who is the liaison and covering 16 17 the CDOP aspect of that to make them aware of the CDOP 18 process.

19 Q. Okay.

> A. That's my understanding of where that sits.

Okay. So because I suppose what could be said

22 is delivering a letter that might deal with informing,

23 but it's not going to involve --

A.

25 Q. -- a parent. But if a police officer is going 195

meeting would take place within the first few hours. 1

2 But a Rapid Response Meeting would generally take place

within 72 hours --3

4

5

8

10

Q. Yes.

> -- or up to five days maximum from the events. Α.

6 And what this guidance is telling you, and 7 everybody else on the CDOP right at the start, is that it is vitally important that families are both informed

and involved in the process? 9

> Α. Yes.

11 Q. When you sat on the CDOP meetings and considered the cases, did families ever attend? Were 12

they ever invited to attend? 13

I'm -- I'm not aware of that. That was part 14 of the process of the group for third parties to attend, 15 16 families or external.

17 I mean, if we just go, sorry to jump around, but if we go to page 13 of this document, just how that 18 19 is supposed to be achieved I think is identified for us.

20 Can you see there 4.6, "Involvement of parents and

21 family members." So having highlighted at the start

22 that it's important, this is how it's supposed to happen

23 and what it comes to -- please do take a moment to read

the paragraphs if it's helpful -- but essentially they 24

get a letter that tells them about the process and it

1 to go talk to them and start that dialogue --

> Α. Yes.

Q. -- that might be how that occurs?

4 Α. Yes, and delivering a letter there it sounds 5

quite clinical.

2

3

6

It does. 7 Α. -- and very transactional.

8 Q. It does.

Q.

-- whereas it's actually more of a -- it's a 9 A.

process where the family is engaged by either the best 10

11 person who's working with that family. Because bearing

12 in mind a lot of investigations we decide at that

13 meeting: is it criminal, or is it safeguarding or is it

14 none, none of those two things or is it one or the

15 other.

16 Q. Yes.

17 Α. So for example if it wasn't criminal, then it

might well just be who's the lead professional. So 18

who's the best -- who's already working with that family 19

20 and that person may already be the link and do the CDOP

21 process

22 But in that circumstance that you are talking 23 about, where you are having that discussion, is that one

24 of those cases where there has been a rapid review, ie

we are on the SUDiC route rather than the CDOP Form A 25

1 and Form B route?

2 **A.** Well, they both -- they both run tandem with 3 each other. So this is the SUDiC process in which you 4 have a rapid response meeting within 72 hours of the 5 death or the event.

Q. Yes.

**A.** And part of that then the CDOP process runs off that because the professionals have to complete the relevant documentation and notifications to CDOP.

Q. Yes.

6

7

8

9

10

8

9

10

11

21

11 A. Does that make sense?

12 **Q.** It does.

13 **A.** Yes.

14 Q. And I think what I'll say to you is that's how15 it is supposed to work.

A. Yes. And also invariably in the community
setting or in an A&E, that would work. What we know now
is within a neonatal setting it hasn't worked
effectively.

20 **Q.** May I just pick up something with you while 21 I'm here. Can you see just at the very bottom of this 22 page, it says "Bereavement Support Services" and it 23 says:

"The role of the Pan Cheshire CDOP is to question
 whether bereavement services were offered to the parents
 197

A. From my experience when a child has died,
normally as I say you'll end up in an A&E situation or
a home environment. The bereavement issues would always
be picked up by the health professionals within the
hospital setting and I am not aware of any issues really
where it fails because it's a natural process for them
health professionals to follow up on.

But the Rapid Response Meeting is a process to check that that's been done and is it being managed?

**Q.** Yes. But isn't the best way to check as to whether it's happened to ask The Families?

12 A. For -- for those individuals who are working13 with that family, the families at that time, yes.

Q. And if CDOP have a role to assure whether or
not that is happening, shouldn't they be making that
enquiry of the families?

17 **A.** Yes. But I mean I would certainly go back to 18 the point where this is a CDOP sort of a process further 19 down the line, sort of at the end, whereas the questions 20 need to be asked earlier on in the process.

**Q.** Okay, I've asked the question.

22 **A.** Okay

Q. That's your perspective. May we just go backin this guidance, please, to page 5 where the objectives

25 for CDOP are set out. I am so sorry, I think it's the 199 1 at the time of the child's death and if not to establish

2 the reasons for this."

Now, one of the recurring features for The Families in this case is that they did not receive adequate

5 bereavement services. Now, I know not all of them came

6 to the CDOP, but, how was that assured? What was your

7 process for making sure that families had received

8 bereavement support?

9 **A.** Yes, I think you have got to detach the two 10 things here. I think if you put CDOP over to, over this

11 side --

16

20

3

4

12 **Q.** Yes.

A. -- as like a -- it's a stage that kicks in
 here in notifications. But the actual activity sort of

The first in the anical entre. But the detail deal

15 takes place some time down the line.

Q. Right.

17 **A.** Well, the bereavement issues with families

18 need to be dealt with here.

19 Q. Of course they do.

A. Not down there. So --

21 Q. May I be clear. I'm not saying you could make

22 sure that that happened at the time.

23 A. So in terms of the bereavement issues, if

24 they --

25 **Q.** How did you check it's happened -- 198

1 internal page 5, so page 6 in the pdf.

2 No, that is -- can we try one more page, please.

3 So at page 7, there we are. "Objectives". Sorry

4 for the reference.

5 There are a series of objectives under the bullet

6 point. If we just go over the page, please, to pdf

7 page 8, towards the bottom of the list, one of the -- in

8 fact the final bullet, "Objectives of CDOP: "

9 "Where patterns and trends are identified CDOP will 10 ensure that LSCBs respond with appropriate campaigns and 11 activities."

12 So from the context of public health presumably,

13 but where patterns and trends are identified CDOP's

14 objective is to report that back so something can be

15 done about it.

16 One of the things you told us in your witness

17 statement, for your reference, I am not saying we need

18 to put it up but it's in paragraph 33, which is

19 something we have looked at already, you were reflecting

20 on the shortcomings of CDOP, delay you have told us

21 about, geographic boundaries was another problem. But

22 the other sentence that you have put in there is that

23 CDOP tended to look at cases individually rather than

24 comparing a number of similar cases.

25 My question to you is: if CDOP is going to look at 200

(50) Pages 197 - 200

cases individually, isn't it inevitable that patterns are going to be very hard to detect?

1 2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

18

A. When the cases are scheduled and listed then all the professionals involved in the CDOP go away and research their systems and provide the relevant agency information into the system. So, for example, if the Constabulary were provided with, which they will be provided with, the agenda for the next CDOP and it's got 15 cases on it we would research all those cases.

Now, from that research we may identify that that family's already been open to service. Occasionally you might identify that there's been a previous death within the family or at that address or an issue and that would be part of the report that we would prepare to take to the CDOP. Other professionals would do the same.

So if we are talking about patterns in terms of geography you may, you may identify through addresses or locations. If we are talking about patterns in terms of like modifiable factors, then that's part of the group to identify for example issues around smoking being a relevant factor or cots.

Q. Well, may I give you a factor that comes really directly from these facts. We know that we have got -- the witness before you had had all of these deaths reported to him on the relevant forms across the

1 a police investigation.

Just in relation to that March meeting, as you told Ms Langdale King's Counsel effectively all of the information, all of the documents that was presented to you and your colleagues at that meeting was the Royal College review, and the redacted version of that at that, and a short tracker of actions in relation to it. Those were the only documents.

But from your perspective that material was obviously significant and enough that it engaged your police officer's instincts that more was required here, is that right?

- A. It caused me concern, yes.
- Q. Yes. And so anybody who's listening to your
  evidence, anybody who is following this Inquiry should
  understand that that is the sort of information that
  should be reported to the police if it is available?
  - A. Yes.
- Q. Thank you. You have dealt with the chronology
  as it goes along, but there was just one more document
  that I wanted to look at, please.

22 So just to orientate ourselves there is the meeting 23 on 24 March when the Executives come to CDOP, there is 24 then a meeting a month or so later on 27 April, where 25 you go to the Countess of Chester with Hayley Frame and 203 1 12 months that we are concerned with, but at CDOP level
 2 no system, no process that could join those together and
 3 to allow you as the member to be aware that there was
 4 this continuing increase and pattern of fatalities.

Wasn't that a shortcoming? Shouldn't there be a process that doesn't rely on the individual members to highlight commonalities amongst cases?

8 A. I -- I wouldn't disagree with you and
9 certainly when we look at the neonatal deaths and the
10 numbers involved and the fact that CDOP wasn't sighted
11 on the majority of those then there's definitely gaps in
12 the system.

13 I mean it's six years, seven years since I've been14 involved.

- 15 **Q.** I know.
- A. So systems will have changed since then
  anyway. But certainly looking back then yes, there was
  gaps in the system in terms of CDOP's ability to
  identify repeats.
- 20 **Q.** Thank you. That document can come down, thank 21 you very much.
- you very much.
  I wanted to move on just briefly to deal with the
  journey, as you have called it, from the notification,
  your first notification in March of '17 to the decision

of the Constabulary as to whether or not there would be

- talk to the Executives and the doctors and then on that
- 2 day, you deal with it in your statement -- I don't
- 3 suggest that we turn it up -- you go back to the police
- 4 station, you speak to your ACC and you send an email to
- 5 the Executives and effectively what you are asking for
- 6 in that email is an official letter that they send to
- 7 you to invite you to consider the problem; okay?
  - A. (Nods)

- 9 **Q.** And it's just that letter that I would like to 10 look at. It is INQ0102319. So if we could go to the
- 11 second page, please, we will find it.
- 12 So this is a letter we can see that's dated
- 13 2 May 17, it's from Tony Chambers the Chief Executive of
- 14 the Countess of Chester, it's to your
- 15 Chief Constable Byrne and it comes out of the email that
- 16 you have sent in the chronology and it is an invitation
- 17 to the police to commence what's called in the final
- 18 paragraph a forensic investigation into the
- 19 circumstances.
- But can I just invite your attention to that final paragraph and what I am going to ask you a question
- 22 about are the words that come after. What you are
- 22 about are the words that come after. What you ar
- 23 being -- in fact I am going to read it out loud:
- 24 "I am writing formally requesting that
- 25 Cheshire Police conduct a forensic investigation into 204

- 1 the circumstances surrounding the deaths ..."
- 2 And then these words:
- 3 "... with a view to excluding any unnatural 4 causes."
- What I would like your reflection on, please, as a police officer, with all of that experience that you have told us about, when you begin an investigation, do you know where you are going to end up?
- 9 **A.** No, absolutely not. I wouldn't use that 10 language.
- 11 **Q.** No, not at all. Those final words have no place in this letter, do they?
  - A. They do not, no.

13

- Q. You told us that your impression or your
   reflections of the conduct of the Executives in these
   meetings in this chronology was of attempting to shut
   doors of the investigation as it was being considered.
- 18 Is this an example of that?
- A. It looks that way. I would interpret it thatway. It's trying to maybe direct a mindset.
- 21 **Q.** Yes. Finally, officer -- thank you that can 22 come down -- I just wanted to touch upon something you
- 23 have told us already, which was when the decision was
- 24 made to launch this investigation on 15 May 17, one of
- 25 the initial priorities, one of the key priorities was
- 1 the investigation there was an agreement or some
- 2 communication between the Constabulary and the Countess
- 3 at an Executive level as to how they would manage and
- 4 communicate with their staff --
  - Q. Yes.

5

- A. -- and how they should respond to any requestsor media or any other type of activity.
- 8 So there was an expectation that that would be 9 managed if I remember at those early stages. How it 10 progressed in the investigation, I'm not -- I couldn't 11 comment on.
- 11 comment on.

  12 Q. But really the point I am asking you to
  13 comment on is the way that that clinician works that
  14 out, the way that he gets guidance is to speak to the
  15 police because they will be best placed to say what can
  16 safely be said and what can't?
- 17 **A.** Yes. Well, that, that would be the answer.
- Yes, speak to the police and I would imagine that atthat point through the Inquiry team there would have
- 20 been a liaison into the Countess.
- 21 **MR JAMIESON:** Yes, thank you very much. Those are 22 all of my questions, my Lady.
- 23 Questions by LADY JUSTICE THIRLWALL
- 24 LADY JUSTICE THIRLWALL: Thank you, Mr Jamieson.
- 25 Mr Wenham, just before you go, would you mind going 207

- 1 family liaison, talking to the families involved and you
- 2 have told us about that first 36 hours.
- Can I just deal with this. We have heard fromanother witness, a clinician, that he had concerns about
- 5 telling families the true picture or his concerns about
- 6 what was happening in the hospital for fear of
- 7 prejudicing a criminal investigation or a potential
- 8 police investigation.
- 9 Can I suggest to you that if a clinician has those
- 10 sorts of concerns what needs to happen is that they
- 11 telephone the police and the police will be able to
- 12 advise them about what can be said and what can't?
- A. Sorry, can you just clarify and repeat thatagain? Sorry to ask you.
- 15 Q. Well, in this case we end up with a position
- 16 where we have got doctors that are having conversations
- 17 with patients where their patients want to know how
- 18 their children have died and the doctor has a suspicion
- 19 that the answer as to how their children have died may
- 20 be the presence and activities of a nurse, but in his
- 21 mind he feels he cannot say that, he cannot be candid
- 22 because he's concerned about prejudicing a police
- 23 investigation. I hope I have summarised that fairly.
  - A. Okay. I think I understand now.
- 25 I'm not -- at the beginning in the early stages of 206
- 1 back to 27 April. I know you probably feel we've spent
- 2 rather a lot of time on that and the reference is
- 3 INQ102292. It's the note of Stephen Cross.
- Thank you, and could we look at page 7, please.
- 5 It is just really for me to look at something which
- 6 I hadn't picked up before. At the top:
- 7 "IH to protect nurse."
- 8 And then:

- 9 "Hayley, what is nurse doing now?"
- 10 And then a little bit later.
- 11 "IH: grievance, HR process. Recommendation of
- 12 mediation. Behavioural issues."
- 13 Which Ms Langdale took you to.
- 14 "No previous [perhaps concern] nurse skills or
- 15 abilities, then criticised for what we did to be
- 16 reintroduced."
- 17 So that sounded as though -- it looks as though the
- 18 nurse is to be reintroduced and Hayley says:
- 19 "Not wise for her to return."
- 20 Can you remember anything about that?
- 21 A. I -- we mentioned this before. There was some
- 22 discussion around the nurse clearly in that meeting.
- 23 LADY JUSTICE THIRLWALL: Yes.
- 24 A. I can't remember that specific point.
- 25 **LADY JUSTICE THIRLWALL:** It was not attributed to 208

1	you, it is just I noticed it afterwards and I want to	1	INDEX	
2	ask you about it.	2		
3	A. I can't remember the specific point but,	3	DR NIMISH SUBHEDAR (affirmed)	1
4	I mean, if there would have been a timeline on that or	4	Questions by MR DE LA POER	1
5	a date suggested for that nurse to be returned that	5	Questions by MS RONG	54
6	would have caused me some concerns clearly. But I can't	6	Questions by MR SKELTON	66
7	comment any further.	7	DR RAJIV MITTAL (affirmed)	78
8	LADY JUSTICE THIRLWALL: No. All right. Thank,	8	Questions by MR DE LA POER	78
9	you that was my only question.	9	FORMER DETECTIVE CHIEF SUPERINTENDENT	138
10	A. Thank you.	10	NIGEL WENHAM (sworn)	
11	LADY JUSTICE THIRLWALL: Thank you very much indeed	11	Questions by MS LANGDALE	138
12	for coming this afternoon. You are now free to go.	12	Questions by MR SKELTON	182
13	A. Thank you.	13	Questions by MR JAMIESON	191
14	MS LANGDALE: 10 o'clock.	14	Questions by LADY JUSTICE THIRLWALL	207
15	LADY JUSTICE THIRLWALL: 4.15 feels like an early	15		
16	finish, doesn't it? Enjoy your extra 15 minutes. See	16		
17	you in the morning at 10 o'clock.	17		
18	(4.16 pm)	18		
19	(The Inquiry adjourned until 10.00 am,	19		
20	on Thursday, 21 November 2024)	20		
21		21		
22		22		
23		23		
24		24		
25		25		
	209		210	

**2009 [4]** 81/4 81/9 151/7 159/8 164/10 202/18 **11.35 [1]** 54/2 **11.5** [1] 135/12 81/11 82/2 164/11 203/24 208/1 able [23] 2/23 6/11 **LADY JUSTICE 11th [1]** 170/2 **2009/10 [1]** 183/12 27 April 2017 [1] 8/6 8/22 10/15 18/13 THIRLWALL: [36] **12 [3]** 27/8 53/24 **2010 [1]** 2/11 131/7 26/5 41/13 45/25 46/1 1/3 1/7 1/10 17/22 164/19 **2012 [1]** 139/9 27 March [1] 150/17 46/10 47/14 75/13 18/1 31/11 31/19 **12 May [4]** 165/21 **2014 [1]** 192/21 27 March 2017 [1] 115/7 127/8 130/22 31/23 50/1 53/22 54/3 165/24 166/4 166/8 **2015 [34]** 7/20 8/10 132/3 149/12 151/3 50/25 66/3 78/5 78/8 78/16 8/25 10/7 11/9 11/21 175/17 181/17 190/13 12 months [2] **27th [1]** 160/23 80/5 80/8 80/13 80/16 12/1 12/1 12/3 12/4 141/11 202/1 28 February [1] 206/11 82/11 121/4 121/9 13/2 20/9 67/4 67/10 47/17 12 November [2] about [243] 138/3 138/7 138/10 about it [1] 114/24 7/20 10/7 85/2 86/1 86/16 86/20 138/21 152/21 164/25 **13 [7]** 145/4 145/5 87/14 88/23 90/4 **above [3]** 48/18 191/22 192/3 207/24 101/13 102/15 105/21 **30 years [2]** 182/18 145/15 145/25 151/16 49/21 112/14 208/23 208/25 209/8 182/20 183/12 194/18 107/21 115/5 139/7 absence [1] 93/19 209/11 209/15 **32 [2]** 178/14 178/15 139/16 145/5 164/14 **15 [3]** 99/9 143/17 absolutely [2] 99/14 MR DE LA POER: **33 [3]** 70/21 142/11 201/9 185/19 186/1 187/22 205/9 **[16]** 1/4 1/12 17/24 200/18 **15 May [5]** 175/23 191/5 abuse [2] 139/24 18/2 31/24 50/2 53/19 **35 [1]** 144/9 180/15 181/1 187/7 **2015/16 [3]** 50/10 139/25 78/6 78/12 78/17 **36 [1]** 206/2 187/9 52/21 83/21 ACC [4] 169/23 170/1 80/17 82/12 120/25 **15 May 17 [1]** 205/24 2015/2016 [1] 142/14 **36 hours [1]** 158/16 170/3 204/4 121/10 137/22 138/9 2016 [29] 6/1 7/21 **15 minutes [3]** 53/23 accept [6] 30/25 MR JAMIESON: [3] 143/16 209/16 17/4 36/19 41/4 41/17 38/18 77/24 91/2 191/25 192/5 207/21 41/19 43/4 43/20 45/3 **4.15 [1]** 209/15 15 years [1] 85/4 91/12 102/23 MR SKELTON: [4] **4.16 pm [1]** 209/18 **16 [9]** 50/10 52/21 56/6 56/16 62/9 69/4 acceptable [2] 6/14 66/6 78/4 182/14 **4.6 [1]** 194/20 78/25 79/3 79/15 73/22 90/4 93/16 58/15 191/20 40 minutes [1] 130/4 94/22 102/15 104/1 access [8] 45/8 46/1 79/24 80/20 83/21 MS LANGDALE: [6] **400 [1]** 139/22 108/23 110/2 110/3 143/17 46/6 46/8 46/12 47/14 138/17 138/22 152/22 48 hours [1] 88/11 **16 May [1]** 181/2 121/13 142/14 145/6 79/17 151/12 165/4 182/10 209/14 16 September [1] 164/15 186/2 187/22 accompany [1] MS RONG: [2] 54/5 **2017 [24]** 44/8 50/25 7/20 131/11 66/2 **5 May [1]** 159/9 61/7 66/16 73/23 16 September 2015 according [1] 27/14 **5 past 2 [1]** 121/5 **[1]** 8/10 76/25 77/10 79/11 accordingly [1] **50 [2]** 102/3 151/7 **17 [4]** 61/20 101/19 92/13 93/1 112/2 190/23 **'15 [1]** 192/25 **51 [1]** 151/9 204/13 205/24 116/11 117/3 121/15 account [3] 24/24 **'17 [1]** 202/24 **5th [2]** 169/11 170/2 **178 [2]** 98/1 98/1 121/16 122/22 131/7 65/7 80/19 'investigation' [1] **18 [2]** 85/10 101/19 135/10 136/9 139/7 accurate [3] 56/13 162/17 144/13 176/9 192/22 18 years [1] 84/6 138/24 192/6 'Qualification [1] **68 [1]** 159/7 **19 [1]** 135/11 193/1 ACD [1] 50/17 162/12 **69 [1]** 159/11 achieve [1] 142/10 **19 January [1]** 22/11 2023 [1] 85/8 **1988 [1]** 1/22 **2024** [5] 1/1 2/11 achieved [1] 194/19 **1989 [1]** 139/5 78/21 138/23 209/20 acknowledge [3] 8/3 **0000 [1]** 70/8 **7 June [1]** 78/21 **199 [2]** 69/24 71/7 **21 [1]** 44/22 41/1 41/8 **0400 hours [1]** 70/8 **72 hours [5]** 88/19 1992 [2] 81/2 81/2 **21 January [2]** 16/25 acknowledged [1] 95/24 194/3 195/10 **1998 [1]** 1/24 18/17 9/10 197/4 21 January 2016 [3] 1.05 pm [1] 121/6 acknowledging [2] **73 [1]** 163/18 7/21 17/4 56/6 12/24 14/2 **10 [15]** 20/10 36/15 55/3 55/5 99/9 102/13 2 March [1] 36/19 21 November 2024 across [12] 2/6 4/19 **2 May 17 [1]** 204/13 [1] 209/20 124/3 125/24 126/14 15/8 85/5 90/23 98/14 8 February [1] 32/19 126/19 128/21 128/23 2.04 pm [1] 121/8 **214 [1]** 32/17 107/9 136/11 148/12 8 February 2016 [1] **2.1 [1]** 54/20 149/8 183/17 201/25 **22 [1]** 44/22 140/1 143/9 183/12 56/16 act [6] 18/10 60/14 **2.2 [1]** 55/6 **22 April [1]** 139/5 10 February [1] **2.30 [1]** 138/14 **22nd [1]** 17/18 69/1 118/11 120/12 32/20 **2.39 [1]** 138/16 23 [2] 140/6 140/10 173/5 10 minutes [3] 90 [1] 176/5 20 [5] 102/1 102/2 **24 [3]** 88/11 140/6 acted [2] 75/12 75/24 138/13 192/2 192/5 **94 [1]** 180/14 102/13 138/13 143/9 141/4 action [35] 29/24 **10 o'clock [2]** 209/14 **95 [1]** 180/20 **20 June [1]** 1/16 24 hours [1] 27/8 30/14 30/22 32/8 209/17 **96 [1]** 180/23 20 June 2024 [1] 35/14 35/15 35/23 **24 March [3]** 128/25 **10 October [1]** 129/5 Α 138/23 185/23 203/23 35/24 36/1 36/4 36/6 **10.00 [2]** 1/2 209/19 20 November [1] 36/15 36/16 36/23 **100 [1]** 178/14 24 March 2017 [2] A's [6] 124/1 124/3 147/13 144/13 176/9 37/7 38/10 40/9 41/7 124/5 124/6 124/9 **101 [1]** 136/5 20 November 2024 59/15 59/22 60/20 **25 [1]** 53/23 125/5 **10th [1]** 169/11 **[1]** 1/1 26 September [1] 60/23 60/24 76/12 **11 [1]** 145/15 abilities [1] 208/15 **2003 [1]** 81/6 93/23 96/25 112/14 93/16 **ability [2]** 172/23 **11.19 [1]** 53/25 **2004 [2]** 84/1 84/4 27 April [7] 79/11 112/15 113/13 113/14

35/6 37/17 38/7 70/6 99/12 203/20 advance [1] 146/13 133/13 133/23 135/7 advice [8] 6/18 37/2 135/8 166/18 alongside [2] 55/18 74/13 74/16 **action... [5]** 113/15 37/16 62/8 65/3 68/19 agreed [12] 25/5 Anne [1] 71/2 56/1 114/1 115/18 134/19 Anne Murphy [1] 73/24 87/10 42/17 54/25 57/14 already [33] 9/19 148/4 advise [2] 74/1 113/15 115/16 128/6 13/1 13/19 18/22 20/7 71/2 actions [7] 33/22 206/12 134/10 159/13 172/16 21/10 33/9 47/11 54/8 annual [4] 50/16 37/8 37/11 38/12 175/5 175/22 58/25 60/12 60/15 101/17 137/12 137/12 advised [3] 76/2 86/8 113/14 153/13 203/7 62/7 62/8 71/13 93/20 anomalies [1] 162/23 161/10 agreement [1] 207/1 active [1] 14/23 agrees [2] 50/5 151/2 affairs [2] 58/16 112/10 113/10 113/10 another [15] 82/23 actively [1] 76/22 121/16 130/20 166/13 92/2 106/16 108/20 59/19 ahead [4] 22/18 activities [6] 19/10 affirmed [4] 1/8 22/20 53/13 130/14 166/14 166/25 167/19 121/16 123/10 124/23 19/11 55/15 57/21 78/14 210/3 210/7 172/12 174/25 192/10 149/4 149/14 149/14 aim [1] 30/17 200/11 206/20 afraid [2] 30/8 61/16 albeit [1] 135/18 196/19 196/20 200/19 159/8 165/6 183/7 activity [8] 50/18 201/11 205/23 200/21 206/4 **after [38]** 6/15 17/17 Alder [1] 2/9 53/4 157/20 161/11 19/18 20/23 26/12 Alder Hey [1] 2/9 also [39] 6/18 13/2 answer [21] 46/10 161/15 162/21 198/14 39/12 53/2 56/6 57/13 alerted [1] 191/6 15/23 32/10 36/4 59/5 60/25 61/7 75/5 207/7 70/4 71/19 71/22 Alison [3] 116/16 45/17 47/13 51/15 86/11 101/5 110/1 acts [1] 162/22 73/19 74/17 74/22 119/9 136/6 53/3 53/4 66/24 69/6 111/12 130/9 140/22 actual [2] 141/8 74/23 76/6 79/5 88/14 Alison Kelly [3] 70/7 80/1 81/21 84/20 150/8 186/6 186/13 198/14 96/2 111/18 127/12 116/16 119/9 136/6 91/18 91/25 92/1 186/14 188/15 191/8 actually [9] 8/15 34/6 136/7 136/8 137/1 all [100] 6/3 7/9 9/9 191/14 195/2 206/19 96/18 97/15 104/3 35/20 38/15 91/20 137/2 137/6 137/6 11/20 18/15 18/19 108/16 122/12 124/1 207/17 136/18 140/21 175/18 139/23 150/18 160/23 20/4 24/25 24/25 27/8 127/22 139/9 143/19 answer's [1] 75/6 196/9 161/10 161/21 162/14 27/13 30/23 31/3 147/3 157/10 162/20 answerable [1] 83/14 acuity [3] 48/22 163/1 184/2 186/24 31/14 31/15 31/18 165/8 168/8 173/7 answering [2] 101/23 57/21 58/10 204/22 31/19 31/21 32/11 183/6 183/8 187/12 137/23 ad [3] 89/23 90/8 192/7 197/16 32/11 37/10 37/13 anticipated [1] 6/12 afternoon [2] 143/18 104/6 209/12 39/23 40/4 40/20 although [8] 40/6 anxiety [1] 65/7 ad hoc [2] 89/23 90/8 any [109] 5/22 7/25 afterwards [4] 56/17 47/14 50/15 54/17 44/20 84/23 88/11 adapted [1] 55/7 59/16 154/16 209/1 62/5 62/11 62/15 113/2 125/3 137/25 8/23 9/3 10/2 12/13 add [1] 174/13 14/1 14/8 17/11 18/13 again [42] 10/7 10/15 63/11 63/18 66/7 67/8 138/11 added [7] 20/14 72/16 73/3 74/5 75/15 always [9] 19/4 13/7 14/24 15/4 18/15 18/16 21/14 22/23 33/11 49/19 93/24 75/25 76/4 76/5 76/8 21/9 24/2 35/11 38/8 115/10 130/22 130/24 23/18 25/7 25/9 25/16 135/19 173/2 174/6 39/19 43/21 47/6 79/10 86/14 86/15 149/13 190/21 192/6 26/5 27/6 27/7 27/25 adding [4] 13/1 32/23 48/12 53/23 60/21 86/23 89/6 89/19 192/25 199/3 29/17 30/20 37/8 33/10 35/5 65/16 69/9 85/2 85/2 96/18 100/24 101/4 am [58] 1/2 9/7 10/17 37/12 39/4 39/17 43/5 addition [4] 48/19 97/15 98/2 99/25 101/6 102/1 103/4 13/14 23/7 26/10 47/24 57/20 57/21 91/9 113/25 135/24 109/7 111/9 112/25 103/6 103/6 103/16 31/18 32/4 46/15 61/8 63/21 64/10 additional [3] 27/19 120/20 121/5 123/6 104/9 108/18 112/20 51/11 53/25 54/2 65/25 67/13 70/2 32/22 47/4 123/15 142/20 151/14 115/1 120/6 128/18 72/12 79/24 84/8 86/6 60/13 61/25 65/16 address [6] 6/7 159/2 159/18 163/5 129/9 130/5 130/9 67/22 68/2 77/9 81/15 87/17 87/18 87/22 133/19 151/7 191/1 163/10 166/4 166/4 130/17 131/1 132/6 91/24 92/10 96/8 87/23 94/11 95/21 191/3 201/13 170/8 174/15 184/11 136/7 137/24 138/3 96/14 97/11 105/25 95/25 104/9 104/20 addressed [3] 64/11 139/23 140/18 143/18 110/20 110/21 110/22 104/23 105/12 105/13 206/14 136/24 157/12 149/14 150/11 157/13 110/23 111/18 113/1 111/1 115/15 115/22 against [2] 35/16 addresses [1] 201/17 158/18 160/16 167/2 119/21 128/3 128/4 118/12 123/24 123/25 145/24 addressing [1] 22/3 124/24 127/14 129/9 age [2] 84/2 84/6 168/11 171/3 178/1 128/15 135/15 136/19 adequate [2] 137/17 145/17 147/9 149/25 129/10 134/19 134/21 agencies [1] 100/15 178/9 180/10 180/25 198/4 agency [4] 87/15 182/16 184/16 198/5 155/20 156/15 156/19 135/15 136/1 136/4 adequately [1] 6/7 149/14 186/10 201/5 157/2 159/19 161/4 136/10 136/23 141/12 201/4 201/9 201/24 **ADHD [1]** 81/16 agenda [2] 146/12 203/3 203/4 205/6 161/4 164/2 167/10 142/16 142/24 143/8 adjourned [1] 209/19 201/8 205/11 207/22 209/8 186/7 199/5 199/25 143/22 144/3 144/15 adjournment [1] **ago [4]** 101/5 111/12 200/17 204/21 204/23 144/15 145/23 146/15 allegation [1] 172/14 121/7 153/24 163/25 204/24 207/12 209/19 146/20 150/6 155/14 allegations [3] 156/7 admin [6] 103/5 agree [37] 11/7 29/8 174/17 175/1 amended [2] 20/3 162/21 162/22 168/13 103/12 107/13 107/19 29/10 32/2 38/15 55/9 Allitt [3] 152/7 152/10 48/17 170/22 171/4 175/17 108/4 129/13 55/24 56/2 62/19 181/20 181/23 181/24 153/18 **amendment [2]** 37/3 administrative [1] 63/14 64/4 64/5 65/5 allocated [3] 23/10 38/16 185/13 187/3 187/15 107/15 65/6 65/16 65/24 66/1 34/6 152/15 amongst [1] 202/7 187/18 187/20 188/17 admins [1] 107/18 69/2 73/18 85/14 189/10 189/21 189/21 allocation [1] 69/6 amount [3] 91/14 admit [1] 103/14 102/15 106/19 106/23 allow [2] 190/5 202/3 190/18 190/25 191/5 121/1 132/5 admitted [1] 185/10 109/14 113/17 125/10 almost [1] 71/9 199/5 205/3 207/6 analysed [3] 13/12 adopted [1] 55/8 125/18 125/25 126/18 alone [2] 60/14 65/18 71/15 127/8 207/7 209/7 adult [1] 63/25 131/22 132/1 133/9 along [4] 36/1 70/24 analysis [7] 14/4 anybody [5] 25/16

anybody... [4] 83/14 88/15 203/14 203/15 anyone [4] 15/2 72/21 76/13 179/11 anything [27] 24/12 33/4 50/8 64/23 72/22 93/7 97/24 100/5 104/7 114/24 115/15 116/21 119/22 136/12 136/18 143/9 143/15 146/23 156/16 171/20 173/7 173/14 174/3 174/12 184/11 193/6 208/20 anyway [4] 120/12 147/1 174/24 202/17 anywhere [2] 84/9 103/3 apart [1] 63/22 apologise [1] 53/17 apparent [1] 117/22 appear [7] 13/18 14/23 17/3 20/19 88/21 94/3 186/19 appeared [2] 20/17 123/21 appears [15] 22/12 27/13 31/25 35/20 71/12 96/6 106/19 106/21 109/9 125/15 135/20 153/6 162/23 167/6 186/25 appended [1] 31/24 **appendixed** [1] 13/15 applicable [1] 162/20 application [2] 96/6 189/13 applied [3] 83/22 86/1 87/19 applies [6] 31/2 31/2 31/3 84/16 95/11 96/9 **apply [3]** 31/9 63/15 74/2 applying [1] 117/16 appointed [2] 2/1 89/16 appreciate [9] 35/22 36/5 41/10 57/17 106/18 129/22 153/21 178/5 185/4 appreciated [1] 185/2 approach [5] 64/21 64/24 65/4 65/20 181/6 approached [1] 182/1 approaching [1] 156/4 appropriate [11] 24/5 29/12 29/13 52/4 68/7

77/23 140/16 148/1

169/18 174/10 200/10 98/16 105/18 126/24 appropriately [3] 29/13 173/10 193/17 approximately [1] 2/16 April [14] 41/4 61/7 79/11 112/1 131/7 135/1 139/5 150/18 151/7 159/8 164/10 164/11 203/24 208/1 April 2017 [1] 61/7 are [233] area [16] 81/14 82/7 83/6 92/4 94/4 94/5 96/6 102/2 107/22 117/19 122/2 139/22 183/5 183/6 183/7 183/21 areas [2] 139/23 142/7 aren't [1] 83/13 arise [1] 174/3 arising [1] 78/7 around [28] 5/18 5/24 11/6 32/12 58/7 66/14 71/18 71/25 94/11 101/19 117/2 148/24 150/14 150/15 154/24 157/16 158/13 158/20 160/11 174/11 assisted [1] 74/24 186/9 188/2 188/4 190/18 194/17 195/5 201/20 208/22 arrange [2] 151/3 166/6 arranged [2] 147/25 180/17 arrest [1] 30/17 arrests [3] 30/6 31/13 35/16 **Arrowe [1]** 103/17 **Arrowe Park Hospital [1]** 103/17 articulating [1] 174/16 as [268] aside [2] 14/21 120/20 ask [38] 8/23 16/24 21/2 49/17 50/11 50/24 51/4 54/5 59/24 66/6 66/8 69/16 72/19 at [437] 73/1 73/25 77/12 81/18 87/5 87/8 87/18 attached [5] 22/3 104/20 104/24 105/4 111/9 116/5 118/18 137/8 147/9 151/22 182/14 182/15 188/4 189/9 192/7 199/11 204/21 206/14 209/2 asked [28] 29/20 44/3 44/21 45/25 46/5 56/8 59/3 64/10 74/3 87/9 92/17 93/19 attend [12] 42/7

42/16 44/3 96/18 132/15 136/4 136/6 138/7 160/22 177/25 179/7 179/10 184/15 191/11 193/3 199/20 199/21 asking [13] 21/24 43/15 60/2 75/14 93/25 96/25 123/11 150/19 157/3 167/17 168/18 204/5 207/12 asks [1] 173/20 aspect [3] 25/24 112/10 195/17 assertion [1] 112/21 assertions [1] 142/23 assessed [2] 157/18 188/7 assessment [5] 157/16 176/18 188/14 attributing [1] 16/7 190/24 191/10 assessments [2] 81/16 182/4 assist [3] 11/13 50/12 162/17 assistant [7] 160/9 170/22 172/9 173/11 173/18 173/24 174/15 authority [3] 83/2 **associated [6]** 18/18 27/13 34/12 35/14 73/13 129/19 associating [1] 35/6 association [9] 25/17 26/21 28/22 30/20 32/1 56/19 70/6 70/11 152/9 assume [3] 146/8 149/3 165/9 assumed [2] 37/25 77/15 assuming [2] 8/14 128/3 assumption [5] 110/15 110/16 110/17 110/19 128/7 assumption that [1] 110/15 assure [1] 199/14 assured [1] 198/6 attach [1] 33/3 22/23 22/24 165/8 168/7 attaches [1] 164/15 attachment [2] 22/4 22/25 attempt [1] 107/21 attempted [1] 14/12 attempting [1] 205/16

150/10 150/20 151/6 176/3 194/12 194/13 194/15 195/9 **attendance [2]** 19/13 142/13 attended [5] 47/17 56/15 69/25 112/4 184/2 attendees [1] 70/24 attending [3] 52/11 141/23 159/22 attends [1] 98/6 attention [9] 23/15 23/18 28/22 56/5 69/17 91/14 100/8 106/21 204/20 **attribute** [1] 21/3 **attributed** [2] 24/4 208/25 audience [1] 36/9 audit [2] 11/4 174/1 audits [1] 4/4 August [1] 12/1 author [1] 136/20 authorities [2] 133/19 148/14 142/7 148/16 autism [1] 81/16 automatically [2] 28/3 108/6 **autumn [1]** 43/3 available [8] 10/25 12/22 50/14 62/12 100/2 130/13 146/18 203/17 average [1] 2/19 avoid [1] 182/5 awaited [1] 11/19 aware [52] 25/20 26/3 26/10 27/20 41/18 41/21 41/23 42/20 42/24 51/11 57/19 62/11 63/16 68/4 68/8 68/25 72/1 72/1 74/22 75/7 76/18 76/24 77/19 103/25 104/2 109/1 109/7 111/22 112/1 116/7 144/11 144/14 145/2 145/5 145/20 148/11 155/14 160/16 164/2 169/19 170/2 172/12 179/15 183/24 185/23 165/2 194/14 195/17 199/5 202/3 awareness [2] 96/20 97/8 away [11] 9/23 24/5 42/1 51/23 58/13 88/10 114/13 133/12

134/7 180/6 201/4 awful [2] 120/6 190/14 axiomatic [1] 68/22

babies [74] 6/8 9/19 9/21 10/22 11/16 13/22 14/17 15/18 20/4 20/9 20/10 21/13 25/18 27/6 27/8 27/22 27/25 29/9 30/18 31/2 31/3 31/6 31/9 31/13 32/12 32/24 34/19 40/5 40/21 43/21 49/14 53/2 55/18 55/21 62/6 62/20 64/17 67/20 67/25 70/3 70/7 71/11 72/14 72/21 72/23 76/22 77/5 84/4 102/6 102/7 102/10 104/5 110/6 131/24 134/5 134/17 148/9 152/16 156/8 162/7 162/14 164/19 168/7 168/8 172/25 177/1 178/14 178/15 178/19 178/21 179/11 179/18 186/22 186/22 baby [26] 6/6 6/12 6/15 6/16 12/2 16/8 21/8 23/11 28/4 28/8 35/1 46/7 60/7 63/20 67/22 68/15 74/17 88/5 113/9 113/11 127/18 127/19 127/19 127/20 127/22 149/2 baby's [1] 33/16 back [38] 8/20 10/1 12/19 12/19 14/11 49/14 59/14 62/14 62/17 65/18 69/14 87/25 108/6 111/21 123/12 123/22 127/6 138/13 140/24 153/23 158/23 159/6 164/23 171/24 173/24 179/16 179/17 184/23 187/11 187/20 191/13 193/4 199/17 199/23 200/14 202/17 204/3 208/1 116/12 122/19 142/13 background [4] 66/8 101/25 158/12 185/13 backlog [3] 115/4 115/5 115/24 **backwards [2]** 165/1 Baker [1] 182/11 balance [2] 118/22 181/19 bar [1] 27/13 **barrister** [2] 52/1 171/6 based [10] 28/19

90/23 170/25 В **becoming [1]** 155/12 believed [3] 26/1 **broadest [2]** 184/19 bed [2] 37/18 95/19 131/19 180/4 **bold [1]** 135/18 184/21 based... [9] 28/20 been [218] believes [1] 59/11 born [3] 67/25 83/23 broadly [1] 99/12 57/17 91/25 103/7 before [45] 9/16 **below [1]** 163/11 127/18 **brought [15]** 7/2 7/6 104/11 104/12 104/13 13/25 14/4 18/21 benchmarking [1] **both [14]** 7/20 13/4 7/25 8/15 8/20 9/3 104/14 183/19 19/19 23/5 24/17 53/5 35/24 41/1 58/7 74/18 11/10 14/11 17/6 56/5 **basic** [1] 9/5 30/17 38/24 44/1 129/18 149/10 172/16 58/6 114/4 141/14 beneath [1] 5/21 basically [2] 110/21 60/12 73/22 77/6 182/11 193/18 194/8 141/20 187/22 benefit [10] 21/12 114/7 78/24 82/9 86/19 93/2 23/25 24/10 28/19 197/2 197/2 Bs [1] 113/7 basis [2] 143/25 93/17 106/14 112/8 38/8 42/23 61/1 69/13 **bottom [11]** 36/17 buck [1] 178/8 147/23 116/4 123/10 123/19 73/9 73/12 59/21 70/22 106/2 bullet [9] 55/12 55/16 be [308] 124/25 129/6 129/21 bereavement [7] 162/3 163/23 170/7 151/15 151/16 151/17 bearing [8] 13/24 130/23 136/8 138/10 197/22 197/25 198/5 192/20 193/10 197/21 171/15 175/21 200/5 42/8 42/9 102/19 150/21 164/7 165/11 198/8 198/17 198/23 200/7 200/8 119/17 128/8 137/14 165/24 167/5 168/2 199/3 **bound [1]** 174/9 **bullying [1]** 174/18 196/11 **bundle [2]** 17/16 168/3 168/19 170/4 best [12] 1/20 4/2 boundaries [1] became [11] 25/20 174/25 183/19 185/24 16/22 53/1 117/2 200/21 20/18 26/3 81/4 81/6 103/25 201/24 207/25 208/6 131/1 148/2 160/3 box [4] 1/6 126/19 **burden [3]** 107/16 111/22 112/1 139/10 208/21 196/10 196/19 199/10 126/22 170/12 107/20 107/22 139/13 144/11 155/15 207/15 began [1] 85/21 boxes [2] 100/22 bureaucratic [1] because [118] 4/8 better [6] 3/3 27/3 begin [1] 205/7 169/10 149/4 9/20 10/15 11/20 beginning [8] 50/3 42/12 47/25 65/14 brave [1] 119/14 business [7] 94/10 12/10 13/14 15/3 110/3 131/17 152/7 131/11 break [7] 53/21 53/23 123/6 139/22 141/25 15/10 15/15 15/21 152/12 159/18 181/16 between [27] 18/16 54/1 116/5 121/5 142/8 143/24 183/5 16/9 17/14 19/1 20/17 206/25 23/10 25/17 25/21 138/8 138/15 **busy [1]** 153/19 21/17 21/22 25/21 35/18 37/9 70/8 but [325] begins [1] 137/4 breakdown [1] 27/3 27/18 31/13 101/12 105/22 116/22 behalf [9] 42/7 43/6 117/22 bypassed [1] 174/7 33/22 36/8 37/22 117/15 139/7 144/3 54/5 66/6 82/14 **Brearey [53]** 8/11 **Byrne [1]** 204/15 41/25 44/11 47/6 51/3 140/21 174/10 182/14 144/7 145/5 148/13 8/14 13/2 17/8 17/15 52/11 53/8 53/17 56/7 192/7 153/22 162/6 169/22 18/5 19/1 19/5 19/24 60/25 62/10 62/11 170/1 171/17 177/7 call [12] 5/1 50/17 **behaviour** [2] 155/4 20/13 20/21 25/23 63/24 64/24 70/19 51/20 86/24 90/11 171/4 179/5 181/19 183/12 28/10 32/19 33/20 73/14 77/14 83/12 103/11 111/8 111/12 185/5 207/2 34/11 34/15 35/3 behavioural [3] 83/25 84/4 88/12 111/15 111/17 111/17 154/14 154/20 208/12 between June 2015 35/18 36/25 37/15 88/18 89/14 89/21 37/17 38/4 39/12 148/19 behind [4] 24/9 33/10 **[1]** 145/5 91/24 94/13 94/19 called [13] 3/18 6/9 35/4 174/21 42/11 43/18 48/13 Beverley [3] 152/7 94/23 95/8 102/10 49/13 49/16 51/6 56/5 50/17 82/9 82/10 being [71] 2/8 9/10 152/10 153/18 103/2 103/15 103/20 83/18 92/16 94/13 11/2 12/25 14/18 56/18 56/24 57/5 58/9 **Beverley Allitt [3]** 105/12 107/18 109/5 103/22 111/7 191/4 59/8 59/16 61/17 14/22 15/16 15/17 152/7 152/10 153/18 109/14 110/12 110/13 202/23 204/17 18/18 21/11 23/12 66/19 70/25 73/23 beyond [1] 31/5 110/19 110/20 110/21 76/2 76/13 76/18 77/2 calling [1] 62/4 23/20 24/8 24/13 bi [1] 4/7 114/12 115/12 115/13 came [11] 4/3 33/22 25/14 30/11 30/22 bias [1] 70/2 79/7 79/22 116/13 117/16 119/10 124/12 33/12 34/17 36/12 big [4] 12/24 13/20 165/11 176/2 177/5 52/6 52/7 57/8 60/12 125/3 126/4 126/4 41/2 42/25 43/13 53/2 14/21 145/10 86/19 97/15 146/19 178/18 184/9 126/8 126/14 127/25 153/14 198/5 64/17 72/23 75/16 Brearey's [5] 26/11 bigger [1] 49/1 128/3 133/16 135/1 27/17 49/12 58/21 campaign [1] 177/14 76/6 83/13 85/17 Birmingham [1] 85/8 137/3 141/20 143/13 campaigns [1] 90/19 91/3 95/8 99/21 birth [1] 127/12 69/21 145/3 145/12 146/12 200/10 111/2 111/11 115/2 bit [18] 6/24 10/11 breathed [1] 180/1 147/1 153/24 155/21 20/25 24/1 64/8 86/10 breaths [1] 127/19 can [141] 6/2 9/2 119/19 120/3 134/24 157/24 158/14 158/16 136/24 140/19 141/14 17/10 18/4 19/23 20/1 101/25 125/13 138/12 brief [2] 57/18 132/9 159/25 160/22 161/2 20/19 21/21 21/25 144/4 145/11 146/16 141/2 149/25 154/6 briefed [1] 159/11 161/25 163/10 163/15 21/25 22/13 22/16 146/25 153/8 154/7 177/13 182/16 183/18 briefings [1] 180/25 166/22 167/6 167/16 30/2 30/5 30/11 32/15 154/23 154/25 155/19 186/16 188/1 208/10 **briefly [3]** 29/25 168/4 171/25 181/12 32/18 35/9 35/11 157/1 161/13 167/6 blocking [1] 172/23 41/16 202/22 181/25 183/19 184/2 35/12 35/12 36/15 168/13 168/14 171/8 blow [2] 24/24 24/24 bring [10] 16/1 22/2 186/7 186/13 186/14 36/18 36/20 41/5 177/20 177/25 184/11 blue [7] 155/8 155/15 93/13 97/23 97/24 187/12 189/25 193/2 42/22 44/20 45/16 184/23 185/10 185/14 156/16 156/23 157/2 122/22 124/2 158/18 195/21 196/11 197/8 46/13 48/20 49/13 190/12 190/13 193/1 157/5 158/21 176/1 189/1 199/6 206/22 207/15 52/23 62/15 63/25 199/9 201/20 204/23 **blunt [1]** 120/3 bringing [3] 8/17 become [9] 1/24 64/24 65/9 66/8 70/22 205/17 **Board [3]** 82/11 70/15 182/3 81/21 108/2 116/12 70/23 71/17 73/25 belief [2] 1/20 80/21 82/12 82/13 **brings [4]** 10/6 44/8 145/2 155/11 172/20 75/5 79/9 80/24 81/18 boards [3] 140/4 84/13 122/21 believe [5] 17/24 173/8 182/21 93/22 93/23 97/12 52/19 74/6 172/14 149/11 193/16 **broad [1]** 188/15 becomes [1] 172/21 broader [2] 27/2 58/2 97/18 97/23 100/3 173/14 body [4] 43/18 78/1

34/17 34/25 39/2 53/1 categories [1] 102/11 7/25 11/11 17/5 18/9 C 55/21 58/1 60/6 62/20 128/18 **CEO [1]** 135/1 19/2 19/8 33/14 37/22 can... [90] 100/9 **CEO's [1]** 112/2 63/2 63/13 63/14 category [4] 125/24 38/11 42/16 42/19 100/14 101/1 101/22 64/17 67/21 68/3 125/24 126/2 127/4 cerebral [1] 81/17 43/8 44/3 44/5 44/12 102/25 106/16 107/8 72/13 72/22 76/21 cause [22] 18/13 certain [2] 11/17 45/8 47/23 48/21 49/6 107/25 108/21 110/14 82/11 82/12 82/13 26/24 33/1 40/22 43/5 33/18 52/7 52/14 56/25 77/2 110/14 112/14 113/25 cared [2] 27/7 32/12 43/6 43/9 63/11 67/16 certainly [13] 9/8 81/9 81/10 82/6 83/5 114/5 117/2 118/18 83/9 83/14 85/4 86/7 74/25 75/7 75/9 75/22 career [4] 139/4 17/19 18/2 21/20 123/8 123/24 124/4 182/19 182/25 183/4 125/11 125/22 128/10 22/11 44/20 128/20 89/3 89/12 90/6 92/24 124/25 125/11 125/14 162/20 172/14 173/14 160/9 179/16 185/12 102/12 135/19 135/24 carers [2] 55/15 125/23 128/6 129/15 55/18 186/21 187/2 187/3 199/17 202/9 202/17 142/15 144/12 144/21 132/7 134/13 137/3 144/23 151/1 159/16 caring [2] 46/7 caused [3] 142/2 **certainty [1]** 26/5 137/22 138/23 139/18 162/13 203/13 209/6 cetera [1] 153/20 172/12 173/6 173/9 140/6 140/8 140/22 carried [1] 144/23 causes [4] 24/3 **chain [1]** 19/21 173/21 173/25 174/8 141/2 142/11 144/10 carry [1] 158/9 69/20 75/22 205/4 **chair [15]** 3/11 3/13 174/19 180/18 203/25 147/10 147/11 147/11 carse [1] 28/23 causing [2] 28/24 3/16 25/4 93/19 93/20 204/14 148/16 148/23 151/1 95/20 116/5 126/23 case [71] 6/5 9/25 60/8 Chester's [2] 18/23 151/8 151/22 152/7 14/16 14/21 14/22 caution [1] 163/6 131/10 135/3 142/8 173/12 155/13 159/5 159/17 chief [16] 44/4 131/5 15/16 21/7 23/23 cautious [1] 156/14 150/25 163/4 188/3 163/10 163/20 163/21 CCG [8] 82/9 83/8 chaired [6] 3/19 3/21 24/23 24/24 24/25 138/18 139/8 139/10 165/5 165/19 165/19 25/1 25/2 25/6 65/19 83/13 85/25 91/18 94/4 112/12 160/10 160/9 172/9 173/11 168/12 169/10 169/15 65/20 69/20 70/5 110/21 111/16 134/1 195/6 173/18 173/24 174/15 171/11 171/11 175/25 88/23 98/12 112/13 **CDOP [88]** 90/10 **chairing [1]** 113/2 176/21 183/1 204/13 176/11 177/25 178/1 112/18 112/18 112/21 90/12 93/10 94/4 95/5 chairs [1] 141/24 204/15 210/9 180/13 182/15 184/13 112/23 113/16 114/9 101/4 108/4 108/7 challenging [2] 149/7 **Chief Constable** 186/16 189/2 189/9 114/12 114/17 115/1 108/8 108/14 108/17 Byrne [1] 204/15 190/4 190/3 190/16 190/17 112/7 112/24 113/13 115/18 122/24 123/1 Chambers [13] child [58] 8/24 8/24 192/13 192/19 193/8 123/12 123/22 124/1 121/11 122/9 122/12 159/10 159/23 166/22 8/25 12/1 12/3 13/17 194/20 197/21 200/2 124/3 124/4 124/5 122/21 124/22 124/25 168/15 168/19 170/13 15/1 21/10 67/4 73/13 200/14 202/20 204/12 124/7 124/9 124/18 125/3 125/4 125/8 170/15 170/24 172/16 81/7 82/3 82/5 82/18 204/20 205/21 206/3 125/5 125/14 128/21 126/4 129/11 129/16 172/20 175/9 175/13 86/12 89/15 89/17 206/9 206/12 206/13 129/6 129/8 129/13 135/3 135/22 137/9 204/13 89/18 90/2 93/10 207/15 208/20 129/21 129/22 130/2 137/12 140/11 141/7 97/22 101/4 108/16 **chance [1]** 151/24 can't [46] 9/2 18/6 142/5 143/3 143/8 141/13 141/14 141/25 change [5] 10/2 112/12 112/23 113/22 18/6 25/14 26/8 30/24 143/15 143/16 144/7 142/8 142/14 142/17 16/14 41/25 145/25 115/1 122/24 123/1 40/1 41/11 48/5 48/5 147/23 147/23 148/10 143/4 143/25 144/1 123/12 124/1 124/3 152/24 51/17 59/25 60/9 149/15 157/21 157/23 145/2 146/19 147/7 changed [3] 86/21 124/5 124/6 124/9 60/25 61/16 62/10 163/7 177/15 182/2 153/13 160/4 176/8 103/10 202/16 125/5 125/13 125/16 70/13 75/4 119/22 188/13 189/23 190/12 185/3 185/16 185/18 125/20 129/4 129/17 changes [2] 7/14 128/12 136/14 136/14 129/17 129/19 135/12 198/4 206/15 185/24 186/1 186/4 12/13 137/10 145/11 146/4 case-specific [1] 186/9 186/17 187/24 **chart [2]** 23/23 27/14 139/13 139/24 139/24 154/22 155/1 155/20 129/22 187/25 188/3 188/9 chased [2] 122/6 140/4 146/10 148/11 161/25 162/2 166/9 189/12 190/10 192/13 122/7 149/10 150/15 167/1 Casenote [5] 43/1 168/1 168/23 168/23 192/19 194/7 194/11 171/1 184/16 193/18 124/13 124/16 124/17 **chat [1]** 107/2 171/13 179/22 181/5 195/17 195/17 196/20 cheap [2] 135/15 164/4 195/7 199/1 186/13 186/20 187/11 cases [60] 9/4 10/20 196/25 197/7 197/9 135/22 Child A [6] 8/24 188/15 206/12 207/16 11/10 11/16 14/3 14/3 197/24 198/6 198/10 check [11] 8/6 9/8 21/10 125/13 125/16 208/24 209/3 209/6 21/6 21/21 21/25 28/1 199/14 199/18 199/25 41/6 56/10 66/22 129/4 129/17 candid [1] 206/21 30/21 31/14 31/16 200/8 200/9 200/20 89/22 91/20 160/21 Child A's [6] 124/1 candidly [2] 8/3 124/3 124/5 124/6 32/24 33/15 33/18 200/23 200/25 201/4 198/25 199/9 199/10 100/8 39/24 40/4 46/23 47/4 201/8 201/15 202/1 Cheshire [30] 2/12 124/9 125/5 candour [3] 63/6 47/15 74/5 94/9 115/6 202/10 203/23 4/20 4/22 5/3 5/22 Child C [2] 8/24 63/12 63/16 115/23 116/3 124/19 82/1 82/1 94/5 102/2 **CDOP's [3]** 142/3 97/22 cannot [6] 18/19 124/21 124/24 129/18 200/13 202/18 103/8 103/13 137/5 Child D [1] 8/25 88/20 100/1 151/11 129/25 130/3 130/4 **CDOPs [1]** 141/23 139/13 139/21 141/11 Child E [1] 12/1 206/21 206/21 Child I [2] 129/17 141/6 141/8 141/10 CEG [7] 10/23 12/16 148/4 149/8 150/25 **canvas [1]** 151/1 141/12 141/14 141/20 12/19 12/20 14/14 162/16 168/12 173/22 129/19 Capacity [1] 50/18 143/1 143/9 143/13 55/1 56/6 173/25 174/8 175/5 Child I closed [1] care [39] 3/9 5/20 143/17 143/18 143/20 centered [1] 65/20 180/19 182/17 183/16 112/23 5/21 6/10 6/12 6/13 143/22 143/24 143/25 192/19 197/24 204/25 Child I towards [1] central [3] 108/4 6/16 6/17 6/19 7/13 144/4 148/24 149/1 108/13 108/17 Cheshire Police [6] 15/17 15/17 16/7 Child I's [7] 13/17 194/12 196/24 200/23 137/5 150/25 180/19 **centre [2]** 55/15 16/10 16/19 16/22 200/24 201/1 201/3 182/17 183/16 204/25 55/22 112/12 113/22 115/1 27/24 29/15 34/6 201/9 201/9 202/7 centres [2] 6/20 **Chester [55]** 5/13 122/24 123/1 123/12

19/2 19/9 19/13 19/18 collect [1] 53/6 C 172/15 173/15 180/10 119/15 134/20 152/6 collectively [1] 50/20 54/10 54/14 **committee [1]** 115/8 152/10 177/20 177/24 child's [4] 69/12 54/17 57/17 58/1 165/16 committees [1] 203/13 208/14 112/17 112/18 198/1 College [23] 41/21 66/11 66/12 66/13 148/12 concerned [37] Childhood [2] 98/4 66/13 66/21 66/24 42/13 43/22 44/9 45/8 common [9] 39/23 11/10 20/21 25/17 98/18 69/19 76/21 82/9 94/9 81/6 93/4 94/14 94/20 40/3 40/16 40/20 25/20 26/3 27/1 28/7 children [18] 63/2 96/17 113/8 127/7 94/23 96/5 103/22 74/25 75/7 75/9 75/22 28/14 29/11 29/14 64/1 70/3 72/4 75/21 162/18 186/20 196/5 34/11 34/25 37/1 109/11 110/4 112/19 128/1 81/17 100/16 125/24 clinically [2] 54/22 113/23 114/18 146/9 commonalities [1] 43/20 47/15 57/6 57/6 140/4 149/10 185/6 55/17 153/4 160/17 167/1 57/14 58/22 62/4 202/7 186/20 188/21 191/3 clinician [6] 174/10 171/1 203/6 commonality [1] 68/14 72/10 73/2 77/3 191/7 193/16 206/18 187/21 188/17 206/4 83/21 99/15 107/23 College's [1] 42/4 75/23 206/19 206/9 207/13 columns [4] 23/18 commonest [1] 111/4 111/19 112/24 children's [4] 2/9 clinicians [12] 55/18 24/6 32/6 69/18 128/2 138/25 145/4 154/8 20/1 75/1 75/8 56/1 65/13 126/25 combination [2] communicate [2] 185/16 188/19 202/1 chronology [6] 54/9 170/10 171/16 172/22 152/2 152/3 176/21 207/4 206/22 65/19 76/16 203/19 175/7 175/10 175/11 come [49] 1/5 1/7 concerns [54] 16/17 communicated [2] 204/16 205/16 175/13 176/11 10/1 10/11 12/18 138/1 170/3 26/13 48/3 54/10 56/6 **CID [2]** 183/4 183/5 close [11] 41/23 12/19 15/8 18/11 communicating [1] 56/11 57/1 57/5 57/23 circulated [2] 35/13 113/16 115/6 115/18 18/20 22/22 24/15 62/19 71/22 72/1 74/8 149/5 36/11 115/23 128/6 130/4 32/16 78/13 80/17 75/17 75/18 77/4 communication [5] circulation [2] 20/16 148/13 156/9 173/4 85/5 86/16 87/3 87/3 65/18 157/8 190/11 109/25 110/4 110/10 39/21 177/11 87/5 88/13 95/5 98/15 195/13 207/2 116/13 118/12 119/11 circumstance [1] closed [12] 112/21 103/2 108/5 114/10 **community [9]** 81/14 121/20 128/25 133/7 196/22 112/23 115/2 122/25 126/10 129/14 131/6 84/10 84/22 86/25 146/3 146/15 146/23 circumstances [9] 136/11 137/22 138/13 149/20 149/20 185/6 147/1 147/4 149/22 123/22 124/5 124/6 60/24 84/17 92/5 125/3 125/4 129/18 142/11 147/11 156/8 150/1 154/5 155/17 195/8 197/16 98/24 125/21 150/3 130/1 130/1 159/5 163/21 165/6 162/22 164/16 164/17 comorbidities [1] 186/5 204/19 205/1 closer [1] 3/1 165/19 169/22 172/11 113/10 164/19 170/13 171/3 civil [1] 47/24 177/16 180/13 183/17 compared [2] 48/22 closing [3] 163/3 171/4 176/17 179/1 clarification [1] 163/4 178/22 186/1 186/17 202/20 49/6 179/2 181/18 187/14 148/2 203/23 204/22 205/22 comparing [1] clothes [2] 158/4 189/21 190/18 191/18 clarified [2] 174/16 158/6 comes [9] 37/7 88/10 200/24 191/19 206/4 206/5 175/9 cluster [5] 94/25 95/2 88/15 105/25 144/19 competency [3] 206/10 209/6 clarify [7] 46/18 89/5 147/14 194/23 201/22 57/22 58/2 58/10 103/23 144/24 145/9 concise [1] 181/11 114/5 134/13 148/23 co [4] 48/19 127/22 compile [1] 46/2 204/15 conclude [2] 46/22 174/20 206/13 181/7 195/1 comfortable [3] compiled [1] 9/22 60/4 clarifying [1] 172/10 co-morbidity [1] 170/15 170/16 179/13 **complete [10]** 36/18 **concluded [5]** 67/9 classification [2] 36/21 37/5 37/9 37/13 72/15 124/8 124/17 127/22 coming [10] 88/12 5/17 5/25 88/16 88/18 103/16 38/12 41/7 108/6 co-sign [1] 48/19 128/10 clear [26] 4/8 5/11 **COCH [4]** 162/17 141/1 165/22 179/17 129/11 197/8 **concluding [1]** 165/2 6/15 18/13 32/25 168/12 169/7 172/14 180/11 185/7 209/12 completed [12] 8/21 **conclusion [6]** 25/5 33/16 35/10 40/12 cohort [1] 114/2 9/15 10/24 12/12 38/6 52/6 60/9 60/11 commence [1] 40/22 40/24 47/13 129/21 coin [1] 63/23 204/17 12/18 12/21 37/11 49/3 55/20 67/16 37/14 63/4 81/1 113/7 condition [1] 67/25 coincidence [1] commenced [1] 76/14 81/24 85/24 113/14 conduct [4] 42/25 162/8 139/4 88/22 91/3 115/23 **completely [3]** 48/20 collaboration [1] comment [8] 32/22 73/14 204/25 205/15 144/10 173/21 174/1 54/23 33/4 84/18 181/24 176/12 185/18 conducted [11] 4/1 175/15 189/20 198/21 collapse [6] 31/4 191/11 207/11 207/13 completing [1] 7/2 29/16 34/9 61/24 clearer [2] 10/22 33/16 40/23 67/24 209/7 130/11 61/24 63/21 124/8 40/11 125/16 177/10 commentary [1] **compliance** [1] 185/1 160/17 171/8 187/2 clearly [21] 27/4 collapsed [5] 68/1 129/18 **compliant** [1] 49/4 **confidence [3]** 190/2 58/16 63/18 89/10 70/8 125/21 177/3 190/18 190/23 commented [2] concern [44] 26/19 90/16 107/21 146/2 153/8 167/6 177/7 26/24 29/3 34/15 confident [1] 161/5 147/16 149/7 152/12 collapses [4] 37/16 commenting [3] 34/16 34/16 37/19 confidential [7] 154/8 154/10 154/23 164/2 186/24 187/1 153/7 166/22 167/16 38/20 39/2 39/12 43/6 110/11 110/25 121/19 182/4 187/10 188/22 collated [1] 178/11 comments [4] 21/10 43/9 43/23 47/22 121/23 122/4 122/13 189/8 191/8 191/13 **colleague** [3] 3/16 49/24 172/5 178/22 56/19 59/4 59/19 163/24 208/22 209/6 159/12 175/5 59/19 60/16 60/17 commissioned [1] confirm [10] 9/2 clinical [45] 2/12 3/5 41/22 63/3 68/13 69/1 73/25 33/13 50/7 60/19 colleagues [12] 3/7 3/11 3/22 5/4 5/6 76/18 76/20 76/23 78/24 97/12 138/24 17/15 21/1 61/17 Commissioning [1] 6/23 7/10 7/16 8/9 85/22 87/17 104/20 82/9 76/24 77/19 77/20 151/6 178/1 193/4 12/5 12/11 14/7 15/8 105/4 109/18 110/5 77/23 106/3 111/22 commit [1] 20/25 confirmed [4] 56/12 15/23 16/1 17/6 18/11 129/1 159/9 203/5 committed [3] 114/1 117/24 117/24 60/16 63/10 63/11

(59) child's - confirmed

12/23 90/24 91/19 91/20 C contact [15] 83/3 **culture [5]** 16/14 87/4 89/1 117/11 correct [59] 1/15 91/23 91/25 92/4 18/23 54/23 116/18 confused [1] 46/15 131/3 134/18 147/8 1/18 1/25 3/17 4/24 92/24 94/23 99/24 190/5 **congenital** [1] 11/16 149/23 149/24 150/9 5/5 5/14 7/4 9/1 9/13 102/5 102/5 102/6 curious [3] 24/7 connection [1] 30/14 151/4 181/14 190/13 9/17 17/9 17/10 22/15 102/17 104/12 105/1 24/11 107/1 consequence [2] 190/17 195/16 33/18 39/1 39/10 111/3 119/18 122/2 cut [1] 98/14 111/2 119/7 40/18 47/20 48/24 126/8 126/11 131/8 contacted [6] 51/9 135/19 135/24 137/13 **D** consequences [2] 49/3 52/3 54/11 54/12 83/1 83/1 90/20 119/1 190/15 142/15 144/12 144/21 dangerous [1] 155/12 156/7 54/16 58/12 58/14 consider [13] 23/5 172/25 contain [1] 146/15 65/15 75/10 78/22 144/23 157/21 158/1 32/22 46/6 51/24 159/15 160/12 163/25 data [10] 9/20 50/11 contained [4] 8/8 79/2 79/13 79/25 58/24 94/1 97/1 50/14 50/16 53/6 53/6 13/11 92/23 172/13 80/21 81/5 81/10 164/10 172/12 173/6 108/20 116/5 141/17 53/9 53/14 164/12 **contains** [1] 50/18 81/13 81/23 83/10 173/9 173/12 173/21 141/18 144/3 204/7 165/11 83/16 87/15 87/16 173/25 174/8 174/18 contemplating [1] consideration [6] 92/6 98/22 99/5 100/6 180/18 203/25 204/14 database [1] 103/20 117/7 13/20 14/17 14/23 contemporaneous date [9] 17/3 22/12 100/23 106/8 106/13 207/2 207/20 100/15 161/10 161/21 107/11 107/14 107/24 country [2] 89/7 89/8 41/4 61/16 125/4 **[1]** 101/10 considered [8] 10/7 141/9 141/9 192/19 content [7] 1/19 109/6 109/15 114/7 couple [6] 83/25 46/21 69/5 88/1 209/5 78/24 98/6 100/7 120/19 127/3 139/15 86/19 96/13 151/23 112/13 141/21 194/12 dated [6] 1/16 17/18 147/2 174/12 192/1 183/3 163/25 176/1 205/17 22/11 78/21 138/23 contents [6] 138/24 correcting [3] 79/20 course [13] 24/10 considering [3] 15/1 204/12 146/5 167/24 168/24 80/4 80/8 36/8 59/1 71/13 73/9 27/22 165/25 dates [2] 7/19 90/14 169/14 174/14 correction [1] 78/23 73/15 80/18 101/24 consisted [1] 4/14 **Datix** [1] 136/7 context [11] 40/19 corrections [1] 80/19 111/10 128/24 152/1 consistent [1] 40/6 48/16 61/5 70/19 178/9 198/19 day [15] 6/13 13/17 **correctly [2]** 5/15 consistently [1] 53/7 19/18 21/1 21/18 82/20 128/19 130/6 covered [5] 94/4 11/15 **consisting [1]** 171/5 21/22 22/19 65/23 139/12 146/24 168/11 100/24 157/13 192/9 correlation [1] constable [10] 84/13 143/4 158/16 200/12 143/23 195/12 160/10 170/22 172/10 170/4 178/7 181/2 continue [3] 157/21 covering [1] 195/16 correspondence [1] 173/11 173/18 173/24 204/2 159/14 192/2 **CPAP [1]** 127/20 56/8 174/16 182/22 184/11 days [9] 19/23 22/20 **continued [3]** 45/11 corridor [3] 104/14 created [2] 20/8 204/15 86/19 88/19 95/24 75/18 191/18 105/5 109/19 33/20 Constabulary [22] 95/24 152/19 162/10 cot [1] 67/22 creates [3] 172/24 **continues** [1] 35/15 139/11 139/21 140/22 **continuing [1]** 202/4 194/5 cots [1] 201/21 189/24 190/1 140/24 160/4 160/11 **DC [1]** 182/22 Contrary [1] 50/3 could [52] 1/12 2/25 creating [1] 4/4 161/1 161/6 161/17 **De [6]** 1/3 1/9 78/15 credit [1] 61/8 contribute [1] 64/3 6/7 11/8 12/18 12/19 162/16 163/7 163/9 121/9 210/4 210/8 contributed [1] 19/11 16/22 18/13 21/2 crime [1] 157/22 168/12 168/25 173/22 deal [12] 62/13 79/14 control [1] 142/3 21/21 21/24 26/14 criminal [23] 73/6 174/9 175/6 181/15 83/15 104/8 116/4 132/18 132/20 161/9 convene [1] 25/4 30/18 41/15 42/22 182/18 201/7 202/25 128/5 128/5 180/11 convenient [3] 22/3 161/11 161/14 161/15 49/1 52/21 54/18 207/2 195/22 202/22 204/2 53/21 121/3 57/22 70/15 70/20 162/21 171/18 171/19 Constabulary's [1] 206/3 conversation [12] 78/17 86/17 86/18 172/15 173/5 173/15 173/25 17/8 21/20 56/18 105/14 111/9 126/2 173/23 176/20 180/16 dealing [6] 66/20 **constitute** [3] 102/12 91/9 94/4 135/6 104/18 148/21 164/8 126/19 127/25 142/3 183/5 186/8 186/10 171/5 171/18 149/21 179/24 169/25 170/18 174/11 143/9 143/15 151/21 187/10 196/13 196/17 **constitutes [1]** 173/5 157/21 158/22 165/4 174/20 175/10 175/12 dealings [1] 18/25 206/7 constructive [1] deals [2] 70/21 85/9 170/17 174/17 175/1 **criminally [1]** 75/12 conversations [3] 24/19 dealt [8] 80/23 87/18 176/17 176/23 177/20 35/3 42/11 206/16 **criteria** [1] 6/10 consult [1] 13/7 94/17 96/21 131/16 convinced [1] 60/21 186/7 188/12 188/12 critical [1] 176/7 Consultant [19] 2/2 191/4 193/10 195/21 133/20 198/18 203/19 copied [2] 48/10 criticised [2] 154/17 43/17 81/12 82/21 death [91] 12/1 12/3 107/8 198/21 202/2 204/10 208/15 86/6 87/5 88/23 89/3 12/17 12/17 13/18 copies [1] 164/3 208/4 **criticism [2]** 11/1 89/12 90/17 90/23 14/19 17/1 18/13 24/3 couldn't [7] 11/19 42/8 copy [5] 86/17 104/16 105/4 107/17 28/1 32/13 33/1 33/17 108/15 121/21 121/23 42/1 42/6 46/4 49/2 **crop [1]** 193/10 107/20 110/5 116/22 40/23 63/7 67/10 122/3 182/5 207/10 cross [9] 31/12 119/24 129/1 67/16 67/22 69/12 copying [1] 19/24 Counsel [1] 203/3 151/22 152/2 156/20 Consultants [11] core [2] 55/9 138/2 69/20 82/18 84/9 159/10 159/23 170/1 Countess [74] 5/13 47/23 90/1 90/5 90/25 7/25 11/11 17/5 18/23 183/17 208/3 84/16 84/21 84/23 Core Participants [1] 104/24 105/18 155/5 84/25 85/5 85/11 43/8 48/21 56/25 81/8 Cross' [2] 79/5 79/16 138/2 164/17 173/2 177/15 85/11 85/12 86/8 81/10 82/6 82/21 83/5 cross-purposes [1] corner [1] 93/23 187/21 86/24 87/6 87/12 **Coroner [7]** 10/1 67/15 67/17 100/17 83/9 83/14 85/4 86/7 31/12 Consultants' [3] 48/3 87/24 88/1 88/11 87/18 89/3 89/7 89/12 crossed [2] 59/10 121/20 133/7 88/13 88/15 88/24 89/16 89/17 89/19 112/17 128/8 128/16 59/13 consulted [1] 62/22 89/15 89/17 89/18 Coroner's [2] 9/25 89/21 89/22 90/6 **Crucially [1]** 175/23

61/2 111/14 115/10 186/5 determine [1] 111/14 103/5 103/20 104/6 D depth [5] 33/23 33/25 developing [1] 140/23 140/24 157/6 104/9 105/7 105/12 death... [48] 90/2 158/14 158/14 160/1 34/1 61/23 72/15 106/22 109/23 111/5 111/14 90/4 93/10 94/1 95/6 160/6 160/7 161/18 deputised [1] 42/22 development [1] 116/16 116/25 118/14 96/2 98/3 98/18 99/2 163/10 166/9 174/2 deputy [2] 19/25 81/17 118/24 119/16 120/2 103/18 106/17 108/16 180/16 189/3 202/24 139/17 developmental [1] 121/14 124/10 124/18 113/22 125/11 125/22 205/23 81/15 126/14 128/22 131/10 derive [1] 11/13 125/25 126/12 127/4 134/10 135/5 136/12 decision-makers [1] describe [4] 5/16 devote [1] 2/17 127/12 127/16 128/10 160/1 137/17 160/3 179/22 diagnosis [1] 21/6 158/15 181/12 184/3 128/13 128/17 130/9 184/3 184/5 186/15 decision-making [2] described [8] 5/15 dialogue [1] 196/1 135/12 136/5 136/7 160/7 174/2 11/12 29/18 84/12 186/22 diary [1] 41/25 136/10 139/13 140/4 die [5] 11/18 102/7 85/22 88/25 155/10 decisions [6] 61/9 did [115] 1/22 1/24 141/9 141/16 147/21 140/16 140/18 140/21 171/9 2/16 2/20 3/5 8/2 148/9 178/16 186/20 148/24 150/3 150/4 21/14 22/9 22/22 23/5 died [20] 9/19 9/21 174/3 176/22 describing [1] 26/22 150/14 162/20 178/23 decline [1] 52/2 description [3] 4/24 23/11 25/16 27/15 10/22 15/18 16/9 20/4 185/5 185/6 185/14 declined [1] 61/5 127/9 155/14 28/10 29/17 36/24 25/18 27/6 27/22 31/9 186/21 193/19 195/7 37/15 37/17 42/7 43/5 63/20 67/4 67/20 dedication [1] 19/5 designated [30] 197/5 198/1 201/12 deemed [2] 147/25 81/22 81/25 81/25 44/9 45/18 45/21 67/22 84/2 88/5 deaths [124] 8/12 45/22 46/17 46/21 82/2 82/3 82/7 82/18 178/15 199/1 206/18 162/17 8/19 8/24 8/25 9/5 9/6 85/25 86/11 95/20 deficiencies [1] 7/13 46/24 47/1 50/5 50/11 206/19 11/22 11/25 12/2 12/6 96/8 96/14 96/15 98/9 52/4 57/20 60/20 72/3 dies [1] 149/2 definite [1] 130/8 13/11 14/13 14/18 **definitely [2]** 80/12 98/21 98/25 99/18 74/6 74/12 74/23 difference [4] 154/4 17/4 18/8 18/10 18/12 202/11 100/4 104/25 107/1 76/16 77/7 81/8 81/21 171/17 185/5 185/11 18/15 18/18 26/1 86/1 86/4 87/17 87/24 differences [1] 4/16 degree [3] 176/15 107/16 111/6 113/3 26/21 27/12 27/18 186/18 189/6 117/19 119/19 119/21 90/18 93/7 102/21 different [19] 4/8 4/9 28/21 29/22 56/12 122/1 122/12 128/4 103/21 104/20 109/4 delay [2] 81/17 9/7 38/14 41/11 48/16 57/2 57/2 57/7 63/18 147/24 109/21 110/10 111/5 83/2 88/3 99/21 200/20 67/9 68/7 69/5 73/13 114/18 115/6 115/25 delays [4] 141/14 detach [1] 198/9 101/18 103/12 104/13 74/10 75/1 75/8 80/2 141/24 142/2 142/9 detail [22] 6/24 8/5 115/25 116/20 117/8 123/24 156/3 156/4 82/4 82/5 83/22 84/7 delete [1] 80/13 165/3 165/20 187/11 9/1 10/16 10/24 13/1 117/10 117/12 118/2 84/24 85/6 85/10 118/10 118/14 118/19 187/12 deliberate [2] 73/14 15/7 18/21 19/20 85/10 85/19 86/2 21/11 21/14 21/14 118/21 118/25 119/5 differentiating [1] 76/15 86/12 86/15 86/15 24/17 39/4 44/20 119/13 119/18 119/24 23/10 deliberately [3] 28/24 86/23 87/18 90/18 87/22 88/7 112/20 121/23 122/17 123/11 difficult [7] 90/7 177/21 179/11 91/4 91/6 94/16 94/18 deliver [3] 54/25 90/9 124/2 124/21 125/14 124/7 124/12 124/16 116/1 130/8 153/23 94/25 95/3 96/5 96/21 155/20 128/25 132/11 136/13 172/24 186/6 186/14 91/24 96/22 97/1 101/4 141/17 141/24 142/10 difficulty [1] 60/24 delivered [4] 90/8 detailed [22] 26/1 101/19 102/1 102/1 90/14 92/1 195/1 27/2 29/7 29/14 34/4 146/9 146/14 146/16 diligence [1] 19/6 102/2 102/3 102/5 146/20 149/18 153/17 direct [2] 184/13 delivering [10] 34/6 42/25 43/10 43/14 102/8 102/11 102/12 51/11 58/20 60/3 153/21 154/18 155/3 60/6 72/13 89/23 205/20 102/13 102/13 102/14 89/25 90/5 90/13 61/23 74/7 74/13 157/3 157/4 161/22 directed [1] 181/9 102/16 103/4 103/4 91/10 195/22 196/4 74/15 74/21 75/14 161/23 164/6 167/3 direction [3] 52/14 103/6 103/16 103/23 delivery [3] 4/18 5/10 77/25 124/10 154/21 168/13 168/19 177/3 163/16 163/16 104/1 104/2 105/11 53/12 162/2 165/15 178/9 178/21 180/12 directly [12] 14/15 111/24 114/8 114/16 details [7] 21/19 183/16 184/6 185/4 19/22 64/1 87/1 108/3 demand [2] 50/18 114/20 123/7 126/17 108/5 108/7 109/10 186/12 189/23 191/17 24/22 25/19 88/13 59/21 128/2 128/15 131/24 194/12 198/4 198/25 149/5 174/7 190/14 **demanded** [3] 76/8 112/17 151/4 191/5 141/19 142/15 142/16 76/11 77/25 detect [1] 201/2 208/15 201/23 144/11 144/15 144/24 demanding [1] 61/22 detective [13] 131/5 didn't [83] 3/13 9/3 director [7] 3/19 42/6 145/4 145/5 145/9 42/14 52/6 83/17 demonstrate [1] 138/18 139/8 139/8 10/19 11/20 22/10 148/5 148/8 148/10 131/21 139/10 139/17 182/22 24/12 24/13 25/4 25/4 135/2 153/19 149/2 149/19 149/20 182/24 183/1 183/14 28/13 28/17 29/19 demonstrated [1] Directorate [2] 164/2 184/16 184/17 183/15 195/8 210/9 30/9 33/20 36/10 74/13 139/19 139/20 185/19 186/3 186/18 37/12 37/12 37/20 **demoted** [1] 184/10 **detectives** [1] 158/8 disagree [2] 155/1 186/18 187/1 187/3 department [2] deteriorate [1] 32/25 41/25 46/20 49/6 202/8 187/19 188/20 201/25 144/24 150/11 deteriorated [1] 40/6 50/13 52/8 52/9 53/9 disappointing [1] 202/9 205/1 deterioratingn [1] 53/14 53/16 60/11 170/9 departmental [1] debate [2] 96/12 97/3 90/9 162/7 61/8 64/6 69/16 69/17 discharge [1] 2/21 decide [1] 196/12 deterioration [4] 33/1 70/1 70/14 72/7 72/19 discharged [1] 63/17 dependent [1] decided [3] 33/17 40/8 40/22 40/23 72/20 73/1 73/25 126/25 discipline [1] 140/3 52/2 136/3 74/12 74/20 75/16 depending [1] 12/20 deterioration/death disclose [2] 144/7 deciding [1] 129/25 depends [8] 68/13 **[1]** 33/1 76/4 76/19 76/20 77/9 174/13 decision [24] 51/21 101/16 128/18 130/2 77/12 77/16 89/1 deteriorations [2] disclosed [1] 174/22 51/23 52/7 52/8 52/10 130/5 130/6 130/10 26/21 27/11 95/25 102/23 103/3 discuss [7] 21/25

44/5 45/25 47/3 48/20 36/2 36/8 38/10 39/6 D 51/8 51/19 51/21 55/9 39/20 40/15 45/18 discuss... [6] 71/11 55/23 59/1 59/20 79/17 93/16 163/20 94/9 94/11 95/21 70/14 71/16 72/3 72/8 164/18 164/21 165/3 98/12 148/17 72/19 74/11 75/13 165/5 165/6 165/7 discussed [52] 6/25 75/14 76/2 76/13 165/8 165/20 168/6 7/10 10/9 11/11 14/22 77/21 78/16 79/1 169/7 173/24 175/25 20/22 25/13 25/15 81/16 90/24 91/16 180/13 192/14 192/19 30/10 30/11 30/13 91/19 91/23 92/18 193/9 193/13 194/18 30/22 31/1 31/6 33/5 96/1 96/16 97/5 99/19 202/20 203/20 33/6 36/13 38/25 39/4 documentation [3] 101/17 105/3 106/19 39/16 48/8 51/12 52/5 106/23 106/25 107/2 90/17 101/11 197/9 56/20 57/25 58/3 70/1 107/6 107/15 109/16 documented [3] 70/4 70/5 70/7 85/17 109/21 109/23 111/21 154/9 163/5 175/20 93/24 94/12 95/8 97/9 112/21 114/10 114/12 documents [13] 97/12 104/9 105/3 114/12 119/6 119/13 23/16 29/25 69/19 121/13 124/2 143/15 119/22 120/2 120/12 161/2 161/5 164/12 144/4 144/8 146/4 123/16 123/23 123/24 165/17 167/3 167/11 154/23 154/25 155/1 125/18 125/25 126/18 168/6 169/13 203/4 155/17 161/1 167/22 129/5 130/22 130/24 203/8 167/25 174/25 131/1 131/22 132/1 Dodd [8] 90/11 103/5 discussing [6] 12/6 132/6 132/23 133/9 103/8 104/3 104/12 14/17 70/2 94/6 156/6 133/12 133/13 134/4 104/18 105/3 145/1 168/21 134/7 135/7 135/13 **Dodd's [1]** 103/13 discussion [46] 7/3 136/12 137/15 137/17 does [27] 2/5 10/21 7/6 12/9 13/4 13/9 15/13 16/6 23/25 138/21 139/2 139/3 15/14 16/4 18/16 141/13 141/17 142/7 24/11 28/8 34/21 21/15 25/7 30/6 48/2 145/7 145/10 145/22 34/23 35/1 40/3 59/21 51/8 56/24 57/18 58/8 148/19 154/19 155/10 59/24 83/11 94/21 70/10 71/12 95/7 155/23 160/13 160/18 98/5 117/5 143/3 95/13 96/4 97/6 169/5 170/9 172/8 160/19 166/1 166/4 100/12 100/14 100/21 171/9 171/20 181/3 187/16 192/25 196/6 104/4 104/6 114/7 184/11 185/2 187/16 196/8 197/11 197/12 114/11 114/15 123/5 189/16 189/17 189/18 doesn't [26] 8/15 129/20 132/14 134/15 190/11 190/16 191/2 15/24 21/8 28/3 28/6 145/8 145/23 147/17 194/23 196/20 198/19 29/9 29/10 36/5 38/2 147/24 148/7 151/20 201/15 205/7 205/12 39/21 46/14 63/10 154/24 169/22 179/17 205/13 63/11 64/7 64/14 88/7 180/20 196/23 208/22 120/17 128/5 136/18 doctor [43] 1/22 discussions [8] 80/25 81/22 81/25 169/5 188/8 188/9 25/10 39/18 42/15 82/1 82/3 82/3 82/7 190/5 192/25 202/6 56/22 65/25 111/1 82/18 82/24 83/7 209/16 132/6 164/1 85/25 86/12 89/15 doing [14] 15/25 dismissed [1] 159/2 89/17 95/20 96/8 27/25 87/6 89/22 dispute [2] 17/22 96/14 98/9 98/21 89/24 91/9 91/21 18/2 98/25 99/19 100/4 98/15 114/16 117/2 disruptive [1] 155/16 133/2 134/3 148/12 104/25 107/1 107/16 disseminate [1] 111/7 116/14 117/19 208/9 12/14 117/20 118/6 118/11 domain [1] 189/2 dissemination [1] 119/6 119/19 119/21 don't [84] 8/4 8/22 121/21 122/1 122/12 147/23 14/5 14/5 14/5 14/13 distinguished [1] 147/24 152/11 153/17 15/4 15/20 15/25 5/19 191/4 206/18 17/24 20/16 20/19 distress [1] 65/7 doctor's [1] 150/1 21/19 23/17 24/21 **DM [3]** 170/1 170/13 doctors [18] 7/7 25/9 25/14 26/2 28/11 175/22 96/15 113/3 128/4 30/8 30/11 31/1 31/8 do [145] 1/7 1/10 149/18 152/3 152/13 37/9 38/24 39/1 39/16 2/23 8/25 9/23 10/12 154/8 160/2 166/21 39/17 43/2 43/25 45/6 11/1 15/12 16/3 16/6 175/16 177/15 178/4 45/17 47/2 47/24 51/3 16/11 16/13 24/7 28/4 178/10 179/18 191/17 59/25 62/10 64/21 28/18 29/8 30/6 30/22 204/1 206/16 64/22 65/9 66/10 32/1 33/4 35/5 35/6 document [39] 22/2 67/12 68/2 71/17 35/17 38/3 38/5 38/14 22/5 22/18 22/23 23/1 72/12 79/10 90/14 38/19 41/10 42/12

23/5 23/8 24/8 25/8

93/6 95/21 97/11

97/24 102/4 102/9 104/23 105/17 106/5 107/18 108/7 110/1 123/14 123/25 128/17 132/7 140/23 142/20 147/8 150/5 151/4 151/11 153/7 154/16 154/25 156/25 167/8 167/14 167/21 177/22 177/22 179/1 179/4 183/22 187/12 192/14 204/2 done [29] 9/24 13/24 26/25 33/14 34/2 38/9 60/12 72/8 72/19 75/15 75/16 75/17 78/2 85/5 110/24 113/17 114/6 125/2 144/6 151/12 158/21 159/19 170/17 171/10 177/20 177/25 188/21 199/9 200/15 door [1] 105/15 doors [3] 158/17 172/6 205/17 double [2] 41/6 143/2 double-check [1] 41/6 doubt [1] 193/7 down [44] 1/10 22/16 32/15 32/18 41/15 45/16 49/11 50/2 66/17 78/16 81/18 85/20 95/7 97/19 101/1 108/21 129/15 137/22 138/21 142/11 147/11 151/8 152/9 159/5 161/8 165/6 165/19 169/15 170/7 170/20 174/5 174/15 175/25 177/9 177/13 179/3 180/13 190/1 191/19 198/15 198/20 199/19 202/20 205/22 downgrade [1] 109/13 downgraded [2] 108/22 109/24 downgrading [1] 41/18 Dr [154] 1/5 1/8 1/15 2/25 3/16 8/11 8/14 13/2 17/8 18/5 19/1 19/5 19/24 20/13 20/21 26/11 27/17 28/10 32/19 33/20 34/11 34/15 35/3 35/18 36/25 37/15 37/17 37/23 38/4 39/12 40/25 42/11 42/24 43/18 43/18 45/17 45/20 45/22 46/19 46/21 47/13 48/13 48/17 49/12

49/13 49/15 49/16 51/6 53/19 54/5 56/5 56/18 56/24 57/5 58/9 58/21 59/8 59/16 61/17 66/6 66/19 68/4 69/21 71/2 76/18 77/2 78/9 78/13 78/14 78/20 79/7 79/8 79/22 79/22 80/17 80/23 82/25 84/20 85/7 85/20 87/8 88/21 89/9 92/19 93/15 95/10 96/3 98/11 98/14 99/12 100/3 100/7 100/12 100/19 105/22 106/3 106/20 109/9 112/19 115/17 116/6 116/7 116/11 116/13 116/21 118/2 119/12 119/17 120/3 120/25 121/10 121/14 124/7 124/24 125/4 133/25 135/16 137/23 137/25 138/4 152/5 152/18 153/4 153/6 160/17 163/20 164/24 165/11 165/13 165/23 166/7 166/18 166/25 167/18 168/24 174/6 174/9 174/11 174/20 174/24 175/6 175/24 176/1 176/2 176/2 176/25 177/5 177/9 178/18 178/20 179/3 184/9 210/3 210/7 Dr Brearey [47] 8/11 8/14 13/2 17/8 18/5 19/1 19/5 19/24 20/13 20/21 28/10 32/19 33/20 34/11 34/15 35/3 35/18 36/25 37/15 37/17 38/4 39/12 42/11 43/18 48/13 49/13 49/16 51/6 56/5 56/18 56/24 57/5 58/9 59/8 59/16 61/17 66/19 76/18 77/2 79/7 79/22 116/13 165/11 176/2 177/5 178/18 184/9 Dr Brearey's [5] 26/11 27/17 49/12 58/21 69/21 **Dr Garstang** [1] 68/4 Dr Garstang's [1] 85/7 **Dr Gibbs [9]** 48/17 98/11 99/12 100/7 100/12 100/19 105/22 106/3 106/20

**Dr Gibbs's [1]** 109/9

Dr Hawdon [8] 42/24

46/19 47/13 49/15

124/7 153/4 153/6

D 186/25 duties [2] 2/21 19/6 Dr Hawdon... [1] duty [9] 23/11 27/5 160/17 63/6 63/12 63/16 Dr Hawdon's [6] 174/9 177/17 178/25 45/17 45/20 45/22 178/25 46/21 124/24 125/4 **Dr Holt [2]** 79/22 dying [3] 75/21 104/5 176/2 185/8 **Dr Howie [1]** 82/25 **Dr Isaac [8]** 116/6 Ε 116/7 116/11 116/21 each [23] 13/22 118/2 119/12 119/17 14/16 14/18 24/4 121/14 24/23 25/2 25/6 Dr Jayaram [24] 100/21 100/21 102/20 37/23 43/18 152/5 129/25 141/7 141/13 152/18 164/24 165/13 143/1 143/3 143/15 165/23 166/7 166/18 166/25 167/18 168/24 174/6 174/9 174/11 197/3 174/20 174/24 175/6 Eagles [1] 71/2 175/24 176/1 176/25 earlier [12] 19/23 177/9 178/20 179/3 **Dr Mittal [23]** 78/13 116/12 147/8 147/12 78/20 80/17 80/23 85/20 87/8 88/21 89/9 199/20 92/19 93/15 95/10 early [10] 69/4 117/2 96/3 98/14 100/3 134/3 148/17 148/21 112/19 115/17 120/3 181/2 182/22 206/25 120/25 121/10 135/16 207/9 209/15 137/23 137/25 138/4 ease [2] 107/22 DR RAJIV MITTAL [2] 149/23 78/14 210/7 easier [1] 107/7 **Dr Ravi [1]** 163/20 easily [1] 100/2 Dr Subhedar [8] 1/5 easy [1] 142/21 1/15 2/25 40/25 53/19 eat [1] 143/12 66/6 78/9 84/20 echo [1] 81/19 Dr Susie Holt [1] effect [1] 187/6 79/8 effective [1] 24/19 **Dr V [1]** 71/2 effectively [11] 50/20 **Dr Yoxall [1]** 3/16 106/3 107/7 107/12 draft [11] 25/23 133/6 144/13 149/12 29/20 30/1 30/5 31/25 161/1 197/19 203/3 32/19 33/19 35/16 204/5 37/3 37/6 37/10 Effectiveness [19] drafted [4] 50/22 3/11 3/22 5/7 6/23 59/16 116/13 155/25 7/11 7/16 8/9 12/6 drafting [2] 163/11 12/11 14/7 15/8 15/23 163/13 16/2 17/6 19/3 19/14 dramatic [1] 109/12 19/19 54/14 54/18 draw [1] 193/10 effects [1] 111/10 drawing [2] 91/13 efficient [1] 106/12 106/20 effort [1] 115/23 drawn [1] 28/22 eight [4] 13/11 20/8 drew [1] 100/8 49/14 49/16 drifted [1] 77/22 Eirian [5] 13/4 13/10 driven [1] 54/22 19/1 19/24 71/2 dubious [1] 21/11 Eirian Powell [5] due [1] 16/19 during [16] 26/6 71/2 39/11 58/8 64/6 64/11 69/25 71/19 74/8 74/9 26/10 43/12 60/7 90/6 139/6 142/13

164/1 175/2 181/1

143/19 143/20 143/23 147/20 148/16 186/25 30/18 61/4 62/18 69/9 166/18 191/12 191/15 13/4 13/10 19/1 19/24 71/20 72/7 77/25 78/8 86/16 171/15 196/10

**elaborate** [1] 101/22 **electronic** [1] 108/3 electronically [1] 108/18 element [2] 63/23 163/6 duty-bound [1] 174/9 elements [1] 14/9 else [11] 33/5 33/6 76/13 91/22 127/25 137/20 146/25 147/14 177/24 203/10 174/12 174/21 194/7 elsewhere [3] 102/7 106/10 117/17 email [50] 17/14 17/17 17/20 18/3 18/22 19/16 19/17 19/21 20/11 20/14 20/14 22/4 22/24 22/25 32/16 37/23 45/19 48/10 49/9 49/9 182/19 49/13 56/7 86/17 103/11 105/20 105/21 106/2 106/16 106/23 107/6 108/3 109/9 163/20 166/15 166/24 environment/behavio 167/18 167/24 168/4 168/5 168/24 169/11 169/18 170/2 172/12 172/13 172/17 174/7 204/4 204/6 204/15 email's [1] 169/21 emailed [1] 25/23 emails [4] 48/9 108/4 151/8 166/17 **embedded [3]** 23/16 69/19 71/13 emerged [2] 175/2 175/4 emphasis [1] 29/21 emphasise [3] 22/9 25/24 163/5 employed [2] 89/21 91/18 empowered [2] 140/15 140/20 encompassed [1] 36/7 encompasses [1] 35/24 encourage [1] 38/16 encouraging [1] 171/22 end [22] 12/4 13/2 25/21 58/23 59/8 61/7 71/12 76/9 109/10 110/2 125/8 129/11 132/21 136/9 151/18 172/4 178/6 179/16 199/2 199/19 205/8 206/15 either [12] 19/2 19/13 ended [1] 51/19 engage [4] 28/25 54/23 149/14 149/16 engaged [3] 191/15

196/10 203/10 110/17 117/12 121/23 engagement [4] 19/6 157/8 157/23 195/13 engaging [4] 91/23 154/2 158/13 158/17 **England [1]** 55/8 **Enjoy [1]** 209/16 enough [6] 28/24 41/25 77/3 105/8 **enquiries** [1] 158/9 **enquiring [1]** 45/19 enquiry [4] 46/9 170/17 192/1 199/16 ensure [11] 20/3 89/2 everyone's [1] 68/23 89/12 90/25 91/16 92/3 148/4 157/11 173/6 174/1 200/10 entire [2] 76/25 entirety [1] 130/22 entry [1] 35/13 environment [3] 171/4 172/25 199/3 ur [1] 171/4 equal [3] 55/17 56/1 62/18 equally [6] 16/17 52/16 63/23 65/9 170/16 173/23 errata [1] 145/21 escalate [2] 34/14 59/4 **escalated** [3] 51/7 61/18 62/2 escalation [1] 59/9 especially [4] 15/7 16/8 16/16 73/8 essentially [3] 56/10 71/9 194/24 establish [3] 162/20 193/17 198/1 established [1] 90/16 example [17] 6/10 establishing [1] 111/18 **estimates** [1] 192/6 et [1] 153/20 et cetera [1] 153/20 even [4] 119/24 123/22 135/5 147/5 evening [1] 181/1 event [14] 6/5 8/23 68/18 108/20 121/16 126/23 127/5 127/9 127/11 127/14 127/15 exclude [3] 60/19 128/12 176/8 197/5 events [13] 9/9 43/5 54/9 65/19 77/18 115/1 159/15 178/6 180/2 182/7 187/13 191/16 194/5 ever [14] 37/15 37/18 46/17 50/11 74/25

123/11 124/17 146/9 183/22 194/12 194/13 every [18] 4/9 4/10 4/11 6/25 7/5 19/15 63/20 68/25 70/5 84/16 89/24 100/21 102/14 103/10 130/9 130/16 130/23 136/7 everybody [8] 26/6 92/3 96/8 96/9 96/10 115/11 128/6 194/7 **everyone** [2] 95/22 140/8 everything [9] 91/9 91/9 91/22 108/2 108/19 110/25 129/8 129/10 146/25 everywhere [3] 99/24 155/9 155/16 evidence [36] 13/19 26/11 40/14 45/2 50/7 54/8 56/4 56/16 58/21 59/3 61/4 64/20 68/5 69/3 69/9 69/21 69/24 71/21 80/18 93/21 99/12 104/3 116/6 128/24 145/1 156/20 157/23 161/11 161/15 162/7 162/19 171/18 171/19 178/11 185/17 203/15 **evolution [1]** 84/12 evolved [1] 84/1 **evolving [2]** 11/6 53/10 exactly [16] 10/19 18/6 21/23 24/22 26/2 33/10 34/1 51/17 71/24 98/24 116/25 117/14 118/24 134/13 148/23 159/3 17/13 34/15 64/13 65/2 76/20 139/24 142/3 142/25 144/5 148/13 190/14 195/7 196/17 201/6 201/20 205/18 **examples** [1] 127/5 **except [1]** 29/19 **excessive [1]** 106/4 **exchange** [1] 105/22 **exchanged** [1] 48/9 65/11 179/10 **excluded [4]** 34/24 58/25 59/5 75/11 **excluding** [1] 205/3 **exclusion [1]** 75/22 **excuse [1]** 192/10 Exec [4] 44/4 77/1 136/10 178/25

110/9 123/24 123/25 168/21 181/11 182/22 147/22 148/1 149/8 88/19 95/24 Ε 177/24 178/24 186/20 184/10 189/20 206/23 157/19 169/18 172/3 flag [1] 117/18 **Execs [2]** 65/13 flesh [1] 186/16 explanations [2] fairness [2] 40/25 176/15 176/16 180/2 160/2 27/23 60/5 41/9 180/5 flicking [1] 41/3 Executive [18] 44/4 flows [2] 27/3 74/18 explicit [2] 38/20 fall [1] 135/21 few [5] 22/20 54/6 45/7 61/17 116/15 73/2 falsely [1] 126/3 66/15 79/6 194/1 focus [11] 10/1 12/11 153/15 154/6 160/12 explicitly [1] 36/5 familial [1] 144/7 fewer [1] 187/19 15/4 21/12 21/17 24/2 166/10 167/12 169/8 24/5 87/9 98/17 **explore** [3] 29/17 familiar [3] 6/3 32/4 figure [1] 145/7 169/13 169/18 174/8 54/6 173/6 32/5 figures [1] 143/2 118/18 157/7 179/5 180/15 189/25 families [30] 54/25 **explored [1]** 105/17 files [1] 157/24 focused [8] 21/23 204/13 207/3 fill [2] 127/1 136/6 **expressed [7]** 39/12 55/15 55/18 55/21 23/15 23/17 69/18 Executives [20] 39/12 47/22 59/1 74/8 156/10 157/8 157/9 filled [2] 97/22 145/3 96/4 123/8 157/10 43/19 110/4 111/5 158/13 158/18 160/16 **filling [3]** 105/22 170/8 179/1 158/13 111/14 116/23 152/4 170/14 170/19 175/11 106/6 107/7 **extended** [1] 31/18 focusing [2] 30/16 155/4 159/24 159/25 **extent [1]** 11/17 180/21 180/25 181/2 final [18] 16/24 35/21 | 93/13 163/1 166/4 166/5 external [11] 18/10 181/4 181/14 192/8 37/6 37/10 38/6 49/12 **follow [12]** 37/15 166/7 171/22 179/2 20/24 43/13 74/3 194/8 194/12 194/16 50/24 90/4 97/20 37/20 74/12 83/11 180/18 203/23 204/1 77/16 78/1 170/23 198/3 198/7 198/17 116/4 129/3 129/11 89/13 91/1 91/5 92/5 204/5 205/15 172/3 175/17 190/11 199/11 199/13 199/16 129/16 135/9 200/8 94/21 119/13 140/8 **exercise** [1] 143/6 194/16 206/1 206/5 204/17 204/20 205/11 199/7 **exhibited [2]** 165/7 externally [1] 190/7 family [18] 54/6 66/7 finalised [2] 45/3 followed [16] 28/16 167/4 extra [1] 209/16 144/6 158/16 181/6 181/1 38/4 38/5 40/9 53/11 existed [1] 38/20 extract [1] 144/20 181/7 182/15 191/3 finally [4] 30/19 77/16 84/20 84/22 expand [1] 62/24 eyes [5] 18/14 56/9 193/18 194/21 195/13 35/13 52/17 205/21 84/24 85/1 89/6 91/4 expect [15] 8/16 9/15 56/10 60/16 158/25 195/16 196/10 196/11 financial [1] 102/16 93/7 99/8 114/2 34/12 59/9 64/2 98/8 196/19 199/13 201/13 **find [12]** 2/20 24/3 114/20 98/20 98/25 100/10 206/1 41/13 43/7 109/18 following [18] 47/15 102/18 178/19 186/23 face [8] 40/15 46/5 family's [1] 201/11 109/24 120/1 124/17 48/11 52/14 85/18 188/3 190/8 190/8 46/13 113/21 123/18 far [21] 3/21 10/21 124/25 184/6 186/20 101/19 113/25 124/8 expectation [8] 7/5 124/12 125/20 156/24 11/9 17/10 18/4 20/20 204/11 124/20 131/3 136/2 34/8 125/16 130/16 fact [37] 8/4 12/7 144/24 150/17 176/8 24/21 51/22 58/22 finding [1] 77/10 132/21 156/5 184/16 14/12 26/1 27/13 findings [1] 24/4 62/3 64/7 68/6 74/22 176/18 181/2 184/20 207/8 75/6 83/20 99/14 fine [2] 6/4 33/8 27/19 28/5 28/20 185/19 203/15 expectations [1] 28/21 29/21 39/7 107/22 112/23 119/19 finish [2] 188/10 follows [1] 112/20 173/22 39/17 42/19 46/3 138/25 185/16 209/16 footing [1] 115/8 expected [19] 7/9 49/15 52/12 74/9 fashion [1] 12/20 finished [1] 45/5 fora [1] 94/16 9/23 10/18 11/17 12/7 74/14 76/15 83/13 fatalities [1] 202/4 finishing [2] 95/6 force [3] 148/15 12/8 14/1 14/11 15/6 95/10 100/7 103/25 fear [3] 116/18 164/22 192/20 192/22 24/25 28/14 29/3 106/21 106/23 125/3 190/14 206/6 firm [1] 51/22 forensic [5] 43/1 29/24 37/8 46/10 136/17 137/4 137/15 46/23 177/1 204/18 feared [1] 177/17 first [43] 1/4 17/8 67/16 177/2 177/4 157/3 175/25 177/1 fearful [1] 118/25 20/8 30/1 37/4 40/17 204/25 178/21 182/5 184/9 200/8 fearlessly [1] 118/12 40/18 40/19 40/21 forget [1] 157/2 expecting [7] 10/20 202/10 204/23 fears [2] 120/10 54/17 55/12 55/12 forgive [3] 49/25 96/3 31/5 32/8 34/2 164/23 factor [4] 14/19 120/11 56/5 57/9 62/25 66/8 115/20 165/13 186/19 60/20 201/21 201/22 feature [3] 13/21 71/10 76/8 76/14 80/3 forgotten [1] 132/2 experience [7] 3/9 factors [6] 57/20 16/13 47/8 80/9 83/1 86/13 90/2 **form [42]** 23/24 45/3 65/8 68/5 113/4 58/2 62/6 118/21 103/24 106/15 110/10 86/13 86/14 87/22 featured [1] 145/24 185/12 199/1 205/6 142/4 201/19 110/13 111/22 111/25 features [2] 62/3 87/24 88/4 88/4 88/8 experienced [1] facts [6] 27/10 95/25 198/3 112/9 137/4 143/5 88/9 88/10 88/10 171/5 111/18 116/25 162/19 **February [12]** 32/19 144/10 152/5 165/6 88/14 88/15 97/22 expert [2] 85/7 126/4 201/23 32/20 44/8 45/12 170/25 182/15 193/12 98/25 99/15 99/23 **expertise** [2] 66/9 factual [1] 181/10 47/17 56/16 92/12 193/14 194/1 202/24 100/1 100/1 100/4 162/18 failed [2] 53/7 91/16 92/25 116/11 121/14 206/2 100/8 101/6 101/21 **explain [7]** 49/1 failing [3] 53/18 121/16 134/25 102/20 106/9 107/7 firstly [3] 25/12 52/5 57/22 58/13 73/25 90/25 120/4 feel [8] 16/6 16/11 110/3 107/9 108/6 108/10 75/8 79/2 86/10 fails [1] 199/6 five [7] 88/19 95/24 115/6 115/25 170/9 108/11 108/15 113/7 explaining [2] 61/5 failure [3] 91/13 172/7 174/12 208/1 95/24 99/3 143/17 113/23 115/13 125/12 113/1 120/21 123/23 feels [3] 170/15 174/5 194/5 127/1 136/7 144/8 explains [2] 54/21 fair [12] 11/1 11/5 206/21 209/15 149/3 196/25 197/1 five days [1] 95/24 55/6 11/5 16/18 26/22 five hour [1] 99/3 fell [3] 83/5 105/1 **Form A [7]** 86/13 **explanation** [16] 28/3 53/10 91/17 92/7 92/8 **five hours [1]** 143/17 122/2 88/4 88/10 108/10 31/22 33/16 59/12 95/9 120/14 127/2 108/11 108/15 196/25 fellow [2] 1/24 five paragraphs [1] 60/22 67/5 72/22 fairly [11] 41/23 42/5 189/16 174/5 Form As [5] 87/22 91/15 91/15 110/8 141/8 154/21 168/10 felt [12] 33/21 115/22 five working [2] 87/24 101/6 101/21

F
<del>-</del>
Form As [1] 102/20
Form B [7] 88/8 88/14 88/15 106/9
107/9 115/13 197/1
Form Bs [1] 113/7 Form C [1] 125/12
formal [6] 64/9 79/4
134/19 151/13 188/18
188/18
formally [3] 92/20 131/9 204/24
l .
formatting [1] 135/17 FORMER [2] 138/18
210/9
forms [9] 88/4 99/20
103/7 105/12 105/23
106/19 130/11 145/3
201/25
forward [8] 1/5 1/7 33/7 78/13 165/18
172/24 180/11 193/8
forwarded [2] 19/22
22/4
forwards [1] 165/1
found [3] 75/4 75/9
77/7
Foundation [1] 2/3
four [12] 2/19 2/22
17/4 30/17 31/10
46/23 49/15 82/16
88/14 91/8 143/17
188/13
four-hour [1] 31/10
fourth [1] 49/22
fractious [1] 179/4
frame [10] 59/7 93/20
115/3 126/23 131/2
150/19 150/23 150/25
164/7 203/25
frankly [1] 193/7
free [3] 78/9 138/5
209/12
freely [1] 175/17
frequently [3] 4/6 143/2 143/11
fresh [4] 56/10 60/16 94/19 158/25
l .
front [10] 32/3 39/25
79/10 126/16 127/23
129/8 130/7 130/10
139/2 171/12
frustration [1]
176/15
fulfil [1] 140/12
full [8] 1/12 10/5
11/20 78/17 116/25
130/25 143/4 152/15
Full-time [1] 152/15
fully [1] 116/9
function [2] 3/22
1.04/1.5

54/13

funding [1] 82/15

98/11 99/12 100/7 further [38] 29/18 46/23 47/4 50/12 100/12 100/19 105/22 53/20 58/17 58/17 106/3 106/20 58/19 59/15 60/18 Gibbs's [1] 109/9 67/13 76/12 101/22 Gill [1] 150/25 104/7 104/9 107/25 113/22 121/1 124/9 125/5 136/3 159/14 161/8 161/24 162/24 169/5 169/10 169/25 170/20 174/5 176/19 177/9 177/13 179/3 187/20 188/23 199/18 given [29] 14/18 209/7 future [4] 10/20 134/17 174/4 176/22 G gain [1] 174/12 gaps [3] 63/13 202/11 202/18 **Garstang [1]** 68/4 **Garstang's [1]** 85/7 gather [6] 159/14 160/5 162/19 163/8 188/13 188/23 gathering [7] 62/23 63/4 63/24 64/13 157/23 157/24 182/2 gave [9] 26/23 56/4 56/16 59/2 61/4 68/4 101/5 169/11 169/14 general [11] 16/3 18/7 25/12 96/6 114/7 114/15 123/5 129/16 130/8 148/25 189/13 generally [7] 143/4 143/6 147/17 148/12 158/8 184/22 194/2 genuinely [1] 172/1 geographic [1] 200/21 geographical [1] 183/21 geographically [1] 183/19 geography [1] 201/17 gestation [1] 178/19 get [33] 18/21 25/2 46/13 59/20 60/3 82/16 85/20 86/12 86/24 95/22 103/11 106/14 107/18 108/3 108/7 108/9 108/15 110/12 112/6 112/8 125/13 129/6 140/25 143/14 146/16 155/3 160/6 163/9 164/19 165/13 181/21 190/1

194/25

gets [1] 207/14

getting [1] 91/8

Gibbs [9] 48/17

give [16] 7/19 41/5 43/5 61/8 64/18 70/17 80/24 101/25 102/25 130/8 143/3 151/24 161/24 175/6 181/14 201/22 15/12 37/2 37/16 38/4 147/9 157/22 158/6 64/20 93/20 99/12 104/25 104/25 105/1 105/14 118/6 121/2 125/12 125/15 127/5 127/10 127/19 132/1 161/13 175/18 191/5 191/5 193/12 giving [7] 16/21 18/15 27/24 49/1 62/18 62/18 181/19 **GMC [3]** 172/20 173/8 174/23 go [93] 8/9 9/23 24/17 30/3 30/4 31/5 32/17 35/12 39/21 42/6 45/18 47/2 49/14 got [33] 28/2 32/3 51/23 52/4 60/1 63/19 36/25 39/25 64/10 70/20 71/5 78/10 87/25 93/14 96/16 97/11 97/25 103/12 104/7 104/9 104/20 106/9 108/18 109/17 109/17 112/14 119/21 122/23 123/11 123/21 125/12 127/6 128/22 134/7 135/10 137/8 138/5 141/2 144/17 147/10 150/18 150/21 151/8 151/21 152/5 153/2 155/7 156/3 156/11 157/3 157/4 158/15 158/15 158/24 GPs [1] 83/2 159/6 159/17 162/9 164/12 166/11 169/10 84/8 170/7 170/11 171/11 172/18 175/16 175/25 176/23 187/20 188/6 188/10 188/13 188/14 grateful [1] 43/13 193/8 194/17 194/18 196/1 199/17 199/23 200/6 201/4 203/25 204/3 204/10 207/25 209/12 **goal [1]** 160/5 goes [9] 21/3 21/12 108/11 108/15 203/20 going [72] 6/16 17/19

18/20 21/18 26/16

43/7 48/18 51/23 62/17 69/5 73/24 87/22 93/15 95/5 97/25 101/3 103/7 **Gill Frame [1]** 150/25 | 105/20 107/3 109/18 111/21 116/22 117/1 117/15 118/2 118/4 124/25 125/16 129/10 129/25 132/11 132/12 133/12 134/4 134/7 134/7 134/17 138/11 161/4 163/14 163/17 165/16 166/1 166/1 172/3 172/4 176/20 178/1 180/6 182/2 186/9 186/12 195/23 195/25 200/25 201/2 204/21 204/23 205/8 207/25 gone [9] 48/17 60/10 67/13 105/4 135/4 165/1 166/15 167/2 193/4 **good [7]** 19/9 24/19 28/2 53/6 53/14 67/25 138/3 109/8 109/9 109/10 113/3 117/19 117/21 150/1 152/2 152/11 154/21 168/1 169/3 170/5 177/16 184/13 198/9 201/8 201/24 206/16 governance [4] 19/10 66/12 66/14 143/7 governing [1] 192/18 **gradually [2]** 84/5 granted [1] 137/25 graph [2] 164/20 168/8 gravity [1] 77/23 great [2] 107/17 180/11 greater [1] 29/20 grievance [3] 154/13 154/20 208/11 grounds [1] 174/3 36/1 50/5 108/6 108/8 **group [44]** 3/11 3/12 3/13 3/15 3/17 3/20 3/22 3/23 3/24 4/6 5/7 6/23 7/8 7/11 7/16

33/24 39/20 41/24 8/10 8/18 12/6 12/11 12/25 14/7 15/9 15/23 16/2 17/6 19/3 19/4 19/13 19/14 19/19 36/12 53/8 54/15 54/15 54/18 55/4 170/10 172/21 185/24 188/3 188/7 188/10 194/15 201/19 group's [1] 162/25 132/13 132/22 133/11 groups [6] 16/8 16/21 54/6 66/7 82/9 182/15 guess [1] 129/12 45/8 47/14 53/14 54/8 158/12 159/19 159/24 guidance [11] 67/23 148/17 162/18 189/17 189/20 190/12 193/12 193/15 194/6 199/24 207/14 guideline [1] 88/20 guidelines [2] 4/5 19/11 guiding [1] 55/25 had [245] hadn't [12] 18/12 29/16 35/2 39/3 44/13 71/14 114/19 114/24 118/19 153/10 167/11 208/6 half [5] 21/1 21/18 21/22 41/16 193/10 Halfway [1] 152/9 hand [3] 93/22 152/14 152/17 152/25 152/11 195/1 153/17 153/18 153/20 hand-delivered [1] 195/1 hands [1] 101/8 188/19 189/20 190/19 happen [21] 10/21 16/5 34/13 41/24 64/6 64/7 95/23 100/19 102/3 102/4 102/9 102/11 114/8 125/6 132/12 171/22 177/18 186/15 192/25 194/22 206/10 happened [23] 6/21 24/22 75/20 76/7 88/23 95/1 95/3 95/4 99/16 99/20 113/12 113/19 120/7 136/8 137/6 150/5 150/5 166/6 177/11 187/9 198/22 198/25 199/11 happening [13] 16/4 42/19 42/20 104/4 104/20 104/21 105/5 105/19 112/7 158/19 178/20 199/15 206/6 happens [1] 67/11 happy [4] 20/24 44/5 65/4 178/25 hard [1] 201/2

her [21] 42/18 46/2 Н 150/19 150/23 164/7 home [3] 67/22 84/3 Howie [1] 82/25 203/25 208/9 208/18 46/3 68/5 104/3 199/3 **HR [4]** 146/16 154/13 harm [9] 27/25 28/4 116/17 119/11 119/13 homicide [2] 183/8 Hayley Frame [4] 154/20 208/11 28/24 63/8 63/18 150/19 150/23 164/7 124/19 126/24 127/2 183/10 huge [1] 115/4 72/21 76/15 76/22 203/25 131/4 131/6 131/11 honest [1] 23/14 **Hummingbird** [1] 177/21 131/12 131/12 131/13 hope [3] 48/20 he [82] 1/5 8/16 8/16 136/4 harmed [5] 62/7 18/6 18/8 20/13 20/22 137/9 150/20 162/11 115/20 206/23 68/10 68/15 72/4 21/1 21/2 21/3 21/5 208/19 hoped [1] 43/14 156/7 laccept [1] 30/25 21/7 21/8 21/10 26/11 here [28] 21/16 22/14 hospital [71] 2/3 2/8 harming [3] 28/8 26/12 26/22 27/10 60/5 84/18 94/15 96/9 2/9 7/2 7/7 66/10 68/6 I accompany [1] 179/11 188/21 131/11 28/13 28/20 28/21 96/13 96/20 114/5 68/7 68/12 73/24 Harvey [18] 45/19 lact [1] 18/10 29/3 29/11 29/20 37/1 114/10 123/2 125/11 75/20 76/7 81/9 83/4 46/18 47/19 49/18 I admit [1] 103/14 37/1 39/13 50/5 50/13 135/16 136/9 146/25 83/8 83/23 84/8 84/9 49/24 50/11 131/3 lagree [6] 11/7 29/10 52/1 56/8 57/6 57/8 166/19 167/7 168/9 84/22 84/23 84/24 150/19 151/2 152/2 84/25 85/4 85/6 85/12 85/14 113/17 125/10 58/22 58/23 59/1 70/1 171/12 171/23 172/3 152/14 152/25 154/13 133/23 70/3 70/4 70/9 70/14 179/6 186/9 197/21 85/19 86/2 89/20 90/1 159/10 159/23 160/23 70/21 71/12 71/21 198/10 198/14 198/18 91/4 91/6 94/16 94/17 | I agreed [1] 25/5 172/16 184/4 I also [1] 91/25 71/25 72/10 72/18 203/11 95/11 96/9 96/21 Harvey's [2] 51/14 I always [1] 130/24 73/2 78/13 99/14 herself [1] 119/1 96/22 97/2 102/4 151/1 102/9 103/17 103/21 99/15 100/8 106/4 l am [51] 9/7 13/14 Hey [1] 2/9 has [71] 10/24 13/18 23/7 26/10 31/18 106/5 106/17 107/5 hierarchical [1] 109/13 114/8 114/16 16/8 20/2 20/13 27/10 46/15 51/11 60/13 140/22 150/21 164/11 118/16 115/13 116/14 119/6 28/21 35/17 40/14 61/25 65/16 67/22 164/15 167/16 168/20 high [6] 72/24 133/17 122/10 123/7 133/14 48/17 59/10 63/4 63/8 68/2 81/15 91/24 169/4 173/1 173/2 133/20 134/1 137/2 135/1 135/2 136/5 63/8 64/14 64/25 69/1 173/20 176/1 177/13 147/19 147/21 148/6 92/10 96/8 96/14 162/5 72/4 83/25 84/5 84/8 178/3 183/18 183/20 97/11 105/25 110/20 high-profile [1] 137/2 148/9 149/2 149/2 86/13 86/21 88/1 88/5 110/21 110/22 110/23 183/24 184/2 184/3 149/19 149/22 156/11 higher [3] 133/18 95/3 95/4 95/4 97/7 111/18 113/1 119/21 184/6 184/10 184/10 134/24 135/4 156/24 157/3 157/11 98/11 99/1 99/12 128/3 128/4 128/15 206/4 206/21 206/21 highlight [3] 33/21 158/7 184/17 184/21 100/12 103/9 108/2 135/15 136/19 145/17 206/21 207/14 199/5 206/6 178/23 202/7 110/17 114/6 114/10 147/9 149/25 155/20 he's [6] 21/15 27/10 highlighted [7] 22/10 hospital's [1] 65/4 115/3 120/7 124/20 156/15 156/19 157/2 28/21 71/17 169/3 22/13 74/8 168/16 hospitals [5] 83/2 125/21 126/10 128/9 159/19 161/4 161/4 206/22 171/25 193/15 194/21 83/7 94/1 149/21 128/10 129/8 135/4 164/2 167/10 186/7 head [4] 19/25 119/9 highly [1] 177/7 158/3 137/21 137/25 144/23 199/5 199/25 200/17 139/10 139/18 **him [24]** 17/16 28/13 hot [2] 152/25 153/19 145/19 150/20 156/20 204/21 204/23 204/24 heading [8] 70/23 28/14 28/17 28/19 hour [5] 31/10 99/3 161/14 162/12 164/11 207/12 98/3 98/5 98/6 98/17 29/3 29/17 37/2 37/16 129/23 130/3 143/16 165/10 166/25 169/7 I appreciate [6] 135/18 135/22 166/23 50/12 51/18 57/14 hours [19] 2/16 2/19 170/3 174/6 174/21 35/22 36/5 57/17 headings [1] 100/24 72/3 73/25 74/1 77/12 2/22 27/8 30/17 32/13 175/22 177/11 178/5 106/18 129/22 185/4 106/22 164/8 168/22 health [9] 81/7 83/2 70/8 82/16 88/11 179/9 186/18 196/24 lask [9] 54/5 66/6 87/1 146/10 167/1 170/2 170/3 176/1 88/19 91/8 95/24 199/1 206/9 206/18 66/8 81/18 116/5 171/1 199/4 199/7 183/23 201/25 143/17 158/16 194/1 hasn't [1] 197/18 182/14 182/15 189/9 200/12 hindsight [25] 23/25 194/3 195/10 197/4 have [510] 192/7 24/10 28/20 38/8 206/2 healthcare [2] have told [1] 114/3 lasked [2] 29/20 189/15 190/13 69/14 73/9 73/12 house [1] 160/25 haven't [6] 32/3 hear [3] 40/7 132/14 102/21 105/6 105/16 126/24 how [51] 2/16 4/6 39/25 66/16 85/4 I assume [1] 165/9 107/4 109/20 113/17 15/7 15/12 15/13 193/7 154/21 187/2 I assumed [1] 37/25 heard [5] 15/10 131/2 118/1 118/1 119/23 17/20 38/11 75/11 having [22] 17/17 83/15 85/22 97/5 97/7 I be [1] 18/14 145/1 156/20 206/3 120/6 120/10 120/20 21/10 33/13 42/23 100/18 101/16 127/7 I became [4] 25/20 hearing [3] 15/22 125/2 126/21 128/20 44/7 46/12 46/21 57/1 26/3 81/6 112/1 129/4 174/23 133/16 158/23 191/13 129/20 134/16 141/6 60/9 63/21 74/16 77/5 heightened [1] 147/5 his [21] 19/5 19/6 143/2 145/10 145/23 I been [1] 34/15 100/21 104/18 106/10 19/6 21/1 26/11 26/19 151/12 155/10 155/14 I believe [2] 52/19 held [7] 4/10 36/12 106/22 116/12 164/7 155/18 156/11 156/24 74/6 100/13 147/20 175/23 51/17 56/6 61/17 164/24 194/21 196/23 I believed [1] 26/1 69/21 69/24 70/9 70/9 184/5 195/10 157/3 157/3 158/20 206/16 | I can [22] 9/2 17/10 Hello [1] 163/24 70/16 71/20 71/21 165/15 165/18 173/4 Hawdon [9] 42/24 help [11] 5/9 8/23 176/11 179/20 179/22 21/21 21/25 21/25 73/24 73/25 106/15 46/19 47/13 49/15 30/11 35/9 36/20 15/10 21/9 81/19 206/5 206/20 181/21 186/3 188/6 124/7 153/4 153/6 42/22 48/20 64/24 116/10 127/1 127/6 188/6 194/18 194/22 history [2] 64/1 64/16 160/17 164/4 71/17 75/5 79/9 128/8 132/3 171/16 196/3 197/14 198/6 **hm [1]** 169/20 Hawdon's [6] 45/17 102/25 132/7 144/10 198/25 206/17 206/19 helpful [2] 151/18 hoc [3] 89/23 90/8 45/20 45/22 46/21 151/22 171/11 171/11 194/24 207/3 207/6 207/9 104/6 124/24 125/4 176/11 184/13 Holt [3] 79/8 79/22 helping [1] 100/18 **How did [1]** 198/25 Hayley [7] 142/9 I can't [31] 9/2 18/6 hence [1] 24/5 176/2 however [1] 61/23

34/23 34/24 35/24 182/24 191/11 193/3 I expected [1] 29/24 I might [2] 66/17 I feel [1] 172/7 167/20 36/6 37/23 38/8 40/6 I wasn't [10] 47/13 I can't... [29] 18/6 I felt [4] 33/21 149/8 I missed [2] 132/7 40/12 40/24 40/25 60/25 62/11 68/8 75/3 25/14 26/8 30/24 40/1 172/3 176/16 152/8 42/22 44/12 45/6 75/13 75/13 76/24 41/11 48/5 48/5 51/17 I first [1] 144/10 I need [1] 136/5 47/12 47/22 47/23 96/3 185/23 60/25 61/16 62/10 49/11 49/22 51/15 I genuinely [1] 172/1 I needed [1] 73/1 I welcomed [1] 43/11 75/4 136/14 137/10 I get [5] 82/16 86/12 53/7 53/10 53/17 56/8 I went [3] 104/23 I never [2] 161/7 145/11 146/4 154/22 56/17 59/24 63/5 86/24 108/15 110/12 183/20 105/18 131/12 155/20 161/25 168/1 I nor Cheshire [1] I give [1] 201/22 64/21 65/6 66/10 I will [7] 7/19 8/23 168/23 168/23 171/13 141/11 66/11 66/15 66/16 48/12 52/18 103/10 I had [10] 18/25 19/4 179/22 181/5 188/15 22/9 38/9 42/15 81/1 Inoticed [1] 209/1 66/22 67/11 67/14 119/21 151/24 208/24 209/3 82/2 94/19 116/24 I obviously [1] 25/24 67/15 69/13 71/20 I wish [1] 38/9 I cannot [2] 100/1 I only [4] 57/4 57/5 116/25 72/15 74/6 74/11 I wonder [9] 1/5 2/25 151/11 I hadn't [2] 153/10 77/24 78/25 81/19 77/9 124/19 53/20 78/13 79/1 I certainly [1] 44/20 208/6 I probably [1] 66/23 84/13 94/18 99/3 97/23 104/19 121/2 I clearly [1] 146/2 I have [38] 13/1 104/3 105/16 113/12 150/24 I promised [1] 33/7 I come [1] 136/11 17/14 20/7 20/17 22/8 | I put [2] 153/25 113/19 114/6 117/10 I would [63] 3/11 I could [4] 2/25 21/21 37/4 37/24 40/4 40/4 186/16 131/23 134/10 138/11 8/16 10/17 13/7 14/10 54/18 70/15 41/1 41/2 43/25 49/25 I qualified [1] 81/1 142/10 145/14 146/19 15/2 19/20 23/7 23/15 I couldn't [3] 42/1 50/7 69/23 78/6 78/8 153/10 153/25 154/2 23/16 24/22 27/1 I raised [3] 94/14 42/6 207/10 157/17 160/8 160/12 81/2 94/15 97/20 94/18 96/23 27/20 28/14 29/2 29/2 I delivered [2] 90/8 97/21 98/16 110/1 I read [2] 44/11 71/6 160/24 160/24 161/1 29/11 31/3 32/14 90/14 120/25 137/24 138/4 I realised [1] 79/6 161/16 163/5 165/1 41/14 42/17 43/10 I did [8] 8/2 22/9 154/9 155/24 157/17 I really [1] 93/7 165/4 166/12 166/12 48/7 48/10 53/17 45/21 47/1 74/6 167/3 183/22 184/13 176/2 180/10 182/11 55/24 64/5 66/22 I recall [1] 151/10 102/21 103/21 186/12 67/15 68/18 69/14 185/13 187/8 192/2 182/18 183/18 183/20 I received [1] 41/12 I didn't [33] 3/13 184/22 185/7 186/5 192/5 193/3 206/23 70/19 77/24 83/1 I recognise [1] 72/9 24/12 25/4 28/17 191/16 194/19 197/14 I haven't [4] 39/25 I recollect [1] 25/9 83/24 84/18 86/10 29/19 36/10 37/12 66/16 85/4 154/21 I recorded [1] 155/21 198/9 198/10 206/24 91/2 96/13 107/18 37/12 37/20 41/25 111/20 120/23 145/13 I hear [1] 40/7 I relied [1] 8/7 I thought [5] 25/24 52/8 69/17 72/7 74/20 145/17 151/10 156/12 I highlighted [1] 74/8 | I remember [6] 11/15 | 31/17 133/18 134/23 75/16 76/4 76/19 24/21 51/22 137/1 I hope [3] 48/20 135/4 156/13 159/1 160/21 76/20 77/12 77/16 115/20 206/23 143/11 207/9 160/25 161/18 163/5 I understand [10] 103/3 103/5 103/20 I hoped [1] 43/14 I said [4] 38/24 69/13 22/17 39/11 46/11 167/10 169/4 186/11 105/12 116/25 118/24 I joined [1] 81/10 119/8 132/17 71/25 78/23 149/19 188/2 188/22 192/9 119/16 120/2 124/18 185/17 185/23 193/2 199/17 204/9 205/5 I just [23] 11/23 I saw [2] 38/11 135/5 184/3 184/3 14/15 24/16 41/1 41/5 146/11 206/24 205/19 207/18 184/5 I say [4] 12/10 38/22 41/8 55/11 85/20 I understood [2] 69/3 I would've [1] 188/22 I discussed [1] 52/5 105/11 114/5 118/18 135/21 163/8 69/9 **I wouldn't [5]** 15/6 **I do [9]** 41/10 48/20 129/15 148/23 153/25 | I see [1] 52/25 lused [4] 90/9 90/11 23/14 37/8 123/4 59/1 92/18 96/16 155/1 162/2 180/2 I seen [1] 50/8 137/9 137/11 205/9 114/10 131/1 139/3 187/11 193/9 197/20 I sent [1] 137/11 I want [3] 49/17 51/4 **I'II [1]** 197/14 141/13 204/20 205/22 206/3 I should [12] 92/1 l'm [24] 8/14 15/3 209/1 I don't [58] 8/22 14/5 I knew [7] 75/2 76/23 93/6 102/21 103/15 I wanted [4] 45/24 30/8 44/1 46/11 49/24 14/5 14/5 14/13 15/4 45/24 202/22 203/21 77/3 77/14 109/3 105/16 119/23 120/7 61/16 63/15 71/24 15/25 17/24 20/16 131/10 134/25 135/6 75/4 97/7 98/14 117/14 184/4 I was [63] 2/14 2/23 21/19 23/17 24/21 123/17 160/24 165/15 I know [7] 44/2 64/7 135/8 166/2 3/7 3/12 3/14 20/16 25/9 25/14 26/2 30/8 71/18 120/9 166/5 I suggest [1] 206/9 25/3 26/16 37/22 184/8 187/16 188/19 30/11 31/1 31/8 37/9 198/5 208/1 I summarise [1] 41/20 41/23 42/1 42/5 194/14 194/14 197/21 38/24 39/16 39/17 198/21 206/25 207/10 I mainly [1] 81/16 106/4 43/2 43/13 43/25 60/2 43/2 43/25 45/6 47/24 I make [1] 191/25 I suppose [7] 29/2 61/15 66/13 68/14 I'm afraid [2] 30/8 51/3 62/10 65/9 67/12 I may [3] 88/21 29/10 61/25 123/10 69/18 72/1 74/3 75/14 61/16 68/2 71/17 72/12 76/18 77/19 85/6 **I's [7]** 13/17 112/12 130/25 184/1 158/22 160/3 195/21 90/14 93/6 104/23 89/18 89/20 89/21 I mean [24] 10/21 I suspect [1] 188/20 113/22 115/1 122/24 105/17 108/7 110/1 27/15 35/7 36/22 I suspected [1] 75/3 89/23 89/24 89/24 123/1 123/12 123/14 123/25 132/7 38/22 40/3 56/23 I then [1] 70/5 91/8 91/18 91/20 I've [3] 190/20 199/21 142/20 147/8 151/4 58/16 74/13 95/7 92/16 92/21 94/13 202/13 I think [116] 3/16 6/3 153/7 154/16 154/25 lan [14] 45/19 46/18 105/7 115/3 123/18 11/5 11/5 11/15 13/4 95/2 96/19 103/22 167/8 167/14 167/21 136/17 146/2 149/19 14/10 16/6 16/16 104/2 104/12 111/1 47/19 49/18 49/24 177/22 179/4 183/22 179/8 181/19 190/16 16/22 22/6 22/24 23/3 113/2 115/9 115/11 50/11 51/14 131/3 187/12 192/14 204/2 190/20 194/17 199/17 23/3 23/15 26/8 26/14 122/19 131/9 131/13 152/2 154/13 159/10 I doubt [1] 193/7 202/13 209/4 26/18 26/18 27/1 27/9 136/1 136/3 136/10 159/23 160/23 184/4 I elaborate [1] 27/20 31/8 31/11 137/19 138/7 141/22 I meant [1] 74/15 lan Harvey [13] 101/22 I met [1] 163/25 32/11 33/17 34/14 142/13 171/25 175/15 45/19 46/18 47/19

155/3 162/25 163/1 128/10 129/4 180/22 individuals [19] 16/8 158/13 205/14 16/18 16/21 16/21 initiate [5] 86/9 87/13 Inquests [1] 142/4 lan Harvey... [10] inquiries [1] 167/2 impressions [2] 27/24 29/15 67/24 88/16 96/1 96/22 49/18 49/24 50/11 24/17 116/24 149/16 154/1 157/11 initiated [6] 67/6 inquiry [25] 1/16 131/3 152/2 154/13 157/12 160/7 181/13 improve [1] 142/1 68/11 84/11 85/13 11/21 13/18 22/6 159/10 159/23 160/23 improved [5] 16/20 188/13 188/25 189/23 85/15 88/19 40/14 43/17 54/10 184/4 16/23 52/22 92/12 190/2 190/16 199/12 58/21 64/21 69/22 initiating [1] 91/6 lan Harvey's [1] ineffective [1] 141/18 78/20 104/4 116/7 92/25 inkling [1] 117/1 51/14 improvements [3] inevitable [1] 201/1 input [2] 56/8 64/25 116/11 121/18 129/9 ICB [6] 82/8 82/10 45/13 52/24 107/25 138/23 139/7 155/25 inevitably [1] 185/7 **INQ000 [1]** 48/12 82/17 83/17 91/18 incident [4] 3/25 INQ0000108 [1] 156/19 165/10 189/11 Infancy [2] 98/3 110/21 98/18 203/15 207/19 209/19 19/12 64/14 67/11 97/25 idea [2] 42/3 80/24 incidents [3] 152/20 infant [2] 144/15 INQ0001944 [1] inserted [1] 136/21 identifiable [1] 75/21 125/13 152/23 152/24 195/7 insofar [2] 48/25 **identified [27]** 7/12 include [1] 149/18 infants [3] 84/1 84/2 INQ0001953 [1] 111/3 30/12 30/18 31/1 33/1 included [9] 20/4 150/14 122/23 inspector [4] 139/10 34/10 39/7 39/8 39/23 24/2 47/18 49/8 49/10 inference [1] 106/22 INQ0001954 [1] 183/14 183/15 195/8 40/4 40/8 40/20 58/9 50/16 83/9 84/8 147/3 inflation [1] 127/19 44/21 instance [1] 170/25 62/20 70/12 75/7 76/6 including [3] 27/23 influenced [1] 161/19 INQ0003190 [1] 22/5 instead [7] 39/22 88/24 114/19 142/17 92/4 140/3 influences [1] 175/18 INQ0003217 [1] 30/4 79/22 96/7 147/11 148/6 151/23 171/25 incorrect [1] 79/7 inform [2] 136/6 INQ0003395 [1] 49/9 147/14 163/21 165/5 186/24 194/19 200/9 increase [18] 12/8 176/22 INQ0004715 [1] instincts [1] 203/11 200/13 49/1 101/16 101/20 informally [1] 39/14 135/10 **instructed [4]** 42/25 identifiers [1] 9/3 103/25 104/19 104/22 information [88] 8/8 INQ0006105 [1] 52/1 124/20 161/9 identify [19] 18/13 109/5 109/14 110/9 8/15 8/17 9/5 11/20 48/12 **Integrated [3]** 82/10 30/17 30/20 46/25 142/14 142/16 142/22 12/15 12/17 12/19 82/10 82/13 INQ0006817 [1] 74/25 90/18 101/16 144/11 144/15 185/18 17/16 20/18 29/6 29/8 35/11 intended [2] 27/11 127/8 142/21 142/25 186/3 202/4 29/11 45/25 50/19 INQ0012008 [1] 135/21 151/16 162/19 162/21 increased [7] 20/22 50/21 62/12 62/23 144/18 intending [3] 35/19 171/2 201/10 201/12 63/5 63/22 63/24 64/3 INQ00178115 [2] 57/1 84/5 84/6 131/18 116/15 116/21 201/17 201/20 202/19 intensity [1] 164/13 147/6 186/18 64/13 64/18 65/2 69/1 93/14 112/11 identifying [4] 12/13 incredibly [1] 165/17 69/11 74/21 77/7 INQ0017817 [1] intensive [7] 5/20 32/1 141/12 141/19 indeed [11] 22/5 86/13 86/19 101/7 6/12 6/13 6/16 6/17 147/12 ie [1] 196/24 73/21 78/9 97/18 105/8 105/13 105/15 **INQ005643 [1]** 19/17 67/20 68/3 if [219] 101/2 108/21 138/5 106/6 106/9 107/8 INQ0102288 [1] **intention [3]** 35/8 ignore [2] 98/5 98/16 140/1 172/6 187/18 124/10 126/1 126/19 192/16 35/25 123/21 **IH [2]** 208/7 208/11 intentional [2] 72/5 209/11 127/7 129/9 130/6 INQ0102292 [1] imagine [3] 21/21 130/12 130/17 132/25 151/25 independence [1] 72/6 21/25 207/18 78/2 137/9 137/10 137/11 intentionally [2] INQ0102298 [1] immediate [7] 59/22 independent [8] 44/7 137/16 150/6 154/3 159/18 34/17 60/7 60/20 67/5 83/18 157/24 157/25 159/15 INQ0102300 [1] 65/3 93/20 111/15 interact [1] 54/24 119/10 144/3 190/25 126/23 150/25 164/3 160/5 160/8 161/16 163/22 interest [3] 54/7 immediately [5] 35/4 175/7 161/20 163/8 163/9 INQ0102301 [1] 66/25 67/2 57/22 71/19 127/12 indicate [1] 50/8 164/23 165/12 165/12 164/16 **interested [1]** 92/18 157/7 165/15 166/21 168/11 INQ0102303 [2] indicated [2] 20/20 interesting [1] impact [1] 15/24 169/17 174/2 181/3 165/5 165/9 123/12 171/23 impending [1] indicating [1] 122/25 181/19 181/22 182/2 INQ0102306 [1] interests [2] 16/14 180/22 indication [1] 128/11 182/3 186/16 187/4 165/21 55/14 **implicated** [1] 76/11 187/22 188/14 188/24 INQ0102309 [1] indictment [4] 12/2 internal [9] 29/23 **implicitly [2]** 28/23 189/1 189/7 190/22 21/8 90/3 168/8 176/24 37/25 38/1 38/2 57/5 190/8 individual [33] 14/2 190/25 191/10 201/6 INQ0102319 [1] 77/17 164/5 165/24 implied [1] 27/16 14/3 15/15 16/7 21/20 203/4 203/16 204/10 200/1 important [18] 25/25 24/1 24/4 28/7 28/23 informed [4] 8/11 INQ0102367 [2] internally [2] 56/25 33/21 36/23 40/7 40/9 29/12 29/14 48/3 58/1 63/1 154/10 194/8 140/7 151/16 190/9 44/21 63/24 72/9 INQ0102684 [2] 59/11 60/21 70/3 informing [4] 103/11 internet [4] 44/9 72/16 74/20 97/24 71/23 76/19 129/21 136/10 193/17 195/22 32/17 54/19 99/25 100/2 121/22 118/10 124/23 165/17 140/20 142/5 143/16 infrequently [1] 6/21 INQ0102758 [1] interpret [1] 205/19 176/8 193/16 194/8 148/24 149/1 150/2 inherited [1] 115/5 150/18 interpretation [1] 194/22 inhibit [1] 15/13 157/19 181/18 181/24 INQ0103104 [1] 127/1 **impossible [1]** 186/6 182/7 188/24 189/4 initial [11] 17/16 98/4 70/20 interpretations [1] impressed [2] 191/2 202/6 98/7 98/18 99/3 INQ0103110 [1] 154/4 179/18 179/20 individual's [1] 34/25 131/15 180/24 193/24 105/21 interpreted [1] impression [8] 18/23 individually [3] 193/25 193/25 205/25 INQ102292 [1] 208/3 184/22 37/22 51/14 153/20 187/24 200/23 201/1 initially [2] 158/10 Inquest [4] 12/23 interrupting [1]

204/7 204/20 180/21 189/10 189/21 62/9 98/2 98/6 98/16 99/13 invited [12] 23/20 190/18 191/1 198/17 **Jayaram [26]** 37/23 99/15 101/3 101/25 interrupting... [1] 42/5 51/25 131/5 198/23 199/3 199/5 43/18 152/5 152/18 104/7 105/11 105/15 115/20 131/9 131/13 133/6 201/20 208/12 163/20 164/6 164/24 106/15 107/8 108/5 intervene [3] 116/21 150/20 151/2 151/5 it [623] 165/13 165/23 166/7 108/20 110/14 110/14 120/4 120/21 155/19 194/13 it criminal [1] 196/13 166/18 166/25 167/18 111/11 111/21 112/7 intervened [1] invites [1] 32/20 it's [100] 11/1 11/5 168/24 174/6 174/9 113/1 114/5 115/11 120/23 17/12 17/14 19/24 174/11 174/20 174/24 116/4 118/18 120/3 involve [12] 2/5 intervening [2] 42/18 52/15 52/16 61/3 26/14 27/18 27/21 175/6 175/24 176/1 121/11 123/10 123/19 117/21 61/10 64/14 77/3 27/23 28/5 30/12 176/25 177/9 178/20 127/6 128/3 128/8 interview [1] 166/1 87/13 111/5 171/16 30/12 36/6 36/17 179/3 128/14 129/15 133/25 intimidated [1] 180/7 195/15 195/23 36/18 36/20 37/5 38/2 **job [3]** 46/14 60/15 135/16 136/19 137/17 intimidation [1] involved [34] 11/16 40/2 40/24 49/11 82/15 138/1 142/24 144/18 174/18 49/22 51/6 55/5 58/10 jobs [1] 117/16 16/18 42/10 65/25 148/17 148/23 149/15 into [35] 39/21 46/14 67/15 68/18 85/11 58/10 64/2 68/21 join [3] 81/8 90/12 149/16 150/3 151/17 47/2 48/10 49/12 100/15 108/7 110/12 68/22 70/17 70/20 202/2 151/22 153/25 155/1 50/21 65/7 69/11 71/7 73/5 73/15 78/25 joined [1] 81/10 110/13 111/1 131/24 155/20 157/2 158/1 69/11 70/2 76/25 132/17 133/17 136/18 79/14 85/2 90/16 159/1 159/7 159/25 journey [2] 160/5 77/22 80/19 89/18 139/13 147/24 149/16 90/23 93/16 95/7 95/8 202/23 161/22 162/2 163/7 107/9 113/22 124/21 151/10 155/11 155/12 95/8 97/2 97/5 101/23 judged [1] 173/3 166/10 167/8 167/9 126/9 126/9 136/21 155/15 160/11 162/16 116/1 118/16 120/17 169/10 169/15 175/3 judgement [1] 105/8 139/17 143/2 150/13 173/8 178/5 182/7 123/8 124/2 131/22 judgment [1] 111/6 177/23 180/2 180/4 158/6 166/15 182/3 191/17 194/9 201/4 135/10 135/21 140/2 Julie [5] 3/18 42/7 180/6 180/9 181/16 184/24 185/7 189/1 202/10 202/14 206/1 140/20 150/1 153/23 42/15 44/12 52/5 182/16 186/7 186/15 189/2 189/2 201/6 involvement [9] 54/9 154/2 157/25 161/16 Julie Maddocks [5] 187/6 187/11 188/2 204/18 204/25 207/20 168/9 170/8 171/23 3/18 42/7 42/15 44/12 188/13 188/24 189/15 61/14 62/14 64/8 introduce [1] 70/2 80/11 131/18 132/10 172/5 172/7 175/9 193/9 194/17 194/18 52/5 introduction [2] 176/2 184/20 184/22 July [6] 38/3 41/19 196/18 197/20 197/21 141/12 194/20 193/9 193/11 involving [6] 32/24 186/5 186/13 186/14 108/23 109/12 164/15 199/23 200/6 202/22 invariably [1] 197/16 62/5 68/19 88/17 188/15 188/24 191/1 192/23 203/2 203/20 203/22 investigated [2] 192/15 192/16 193/13 July 2016 [1] 164/15 204/9 204/20 205/22 175/11 193/18 128/9 162/24 is [530] 193/24 193/25 194/22 jump [3] 60/9 60/11 206/3 206/13 207/25 investigating [4] is another [1] 183/7 194/22 194/24 195/23 194/17 208/5 209/1 157/14 181/9 182/5 **JUSTICE [2]** 207/23 **Isaac [9]** 82/25 116/6 196/9 196/9 198/13 June [12] 1/16 8/25 183/10 116/7 116/11 116/21 198/25 199/6 199/11 12/1 43/19 78/21 90/4 210/14 investigation [54] 118/2 119/12 119/17 199/25 200/18 201/8 90/4 101/12 110/2 justification [4] 47/5 58/17 64/4 65/22 202/13 204/9 204/13 138/23 145/5 145/6 29/23 33/24 36/24 121/14 69/11 113/22 124/9 isn't [21] 27/19 28/10 204/14 205/20 208/3 June 2015 [1] 90/4 38/17 124/23 125/5 125/9 28/24 42/8 44/21 item [7] 30/5 55/12 June 2016 [1] 145/6 justified [3] 35/20 129/10 134/5 135/19 95/18 135/12 135/20 120/10 120/12 54/11 68/21 75/6 junior [3] 177/15 135/25 136/2 137/5 80/23 101/21 107/9 135/21 144/20 178/4 178/10 137/21 155/18 156/25 117/23 120/9 124/22 item 11.5 [1] 135/12 iust [179] 2/25 6/2 157/4 157/7 157/15 128/11 135/20 145/18 item 5 [1] 144/20 6/23 7/19 9/4 11/23 Karen [1] 137/7 157/15 158/11 158/15 163/14 168/5 199/10 Karen Milne [1] iteration [1] 13/13 12/25 13/24 14/15 162/18 163/12 163/13 137/7 201/1 its [6] 40/15 78/24 15/10 18/4 19/15 163/14 172/2 173/4 19/20 21/5 21/22 22/2 keep [5] 10/19 29/9 109/13 115/8 184/19 isolated [1] 67/11 173/16 173/23 176/20 115/18 134/17 166/10 isolation [1] 143/20 189/13 22/22 23/1 24/16 178/9 180/17 181/17 Kelly [3] 116/16 issue [38] 22/4 28/6 itself [10] 24/15 26/6 26/20 29/18 29/25 181/20 181/25 182/8 119/9 136/6 28/9 28/11 28/15 38/23 39/5 39/6 39/17 30/2 31/2 31/9 33/10 183/6 183/8 187/10 36/25 57/22 58/17 58/8 168/4 189/24 33/10 34/5 34/5 36/12 kept [2] 110/11 203/1 204/18 204/25 110/25 59/17 63/1 70/6 71/13 190/4 39/19 41/1 41/5 41/8 205/7 205/17 205/24 82/20 83/12 85/3 85/3 41/15 42/24 46/7 key [5] 151/20 206/7 206/8 206/23 85/16 85/16 88/25 48/14 49/3 49/3 49/25 151/21 157/7 158/10 207/1 207/10 Jamieson [5] 191/23 52/18 54/6 55/11 57/2 205/25 96/11 96/21 97/9 investigations [8] 191/24 192/4 207/24 kicks [1] 198/13 118/7 123/6 131/21 71/6 74/16 79/1 79/2 65/1 67/6 74/24 79/9 79/9 79/15 80/19 killed [1] 62/7 210/13 133/9 133/14 133/20 132/18 132/20 183/9 134/16 140/25 141/14 Jane [1] 164/4 80/24 81/18 81/19 kind [4] 3/2 140/17 187/1 196/12 Jane Hawdon [1] 148/19 187/23 141/24 147/10 172/21 82/19 83/7 83/24 investigative [2] 164/4 King's [1] 203/3 173/8 189/12 189/14 84/18 85/20 86/10 126/5 156/10 January [10] 7/21 King's Counsel [1] 201/13 87/8 88/5 89/5 89/5 invitation [4] 52/2 16/25 17/4 17/12 203/3 issues [21] 54/7 89/7 91/11 91/12 92/9 61/5 131/4 204/16 18/17 22/11 56/6 62/9 knew [24] 39/7 57/4 66/20 94/11 100/16 92/22 93/13 93/14 invite [7] 2/25 108/20 139/16 164/14 57/5 64/23 75/2 76/23 142/2 142/5 142/7 95/6 95/10 95/18 150/22 150/24 175/25 January 2016 [1] 77/3 77/8 77/14 89/13 144/16 154/14 154/20 95/18 96/7 96/10 97/4

97/3 111/19 113/10 113/13 log [3] 10/19 113/13 K **LADO [2]** 134/18 134/18 legitimise [1] 61/8 114/10 114/13 115/9 113/15 knew... [14] 90/25 Lady [46] 1/4 17/25 115/10 115/14 118/16 logging [1] 10/22 less [1] 107/13 91/16 94/22 96/18 31/24 53/20 66/2 78/4 lessons [1] 62/15 120/6 122/5 123/5 long [4] 90/6 129/14 105/11 109/3 110/12 78/6 78/12 79/3 80/7 let [8] 62/13 62/24 124/18 127/11 128/14 129/20 133/13 117/14 119/3 124/15 80/15 80/22 81/23 63/6 71/22 114/14 128/20 129/8 130/2 longer [4] 2/22 124/16 124/19 144/6 82/8 82/16 82/24 130/4 130/4 130/25 138/12 141/11 159/20 116/10 116/10 167/8 184/4 132/9 132/9 132/13 83/10 83/16 83/24 **let's [15]** 19/16 29/25 looing [1] 92/7 knocking [1] 158/17 84/19 86/3 88/3 89/5 54/17 55/3 100/9 132/19 135/1 150/22 look [53] 6/15 6/23 know [110] 8/22 9/1 92/16 93/6 94/7 95/23 106/15 112/7 115/18 159/4 165/3 167/17 7/15 17/19 18/11 10/12 13/2 13/9 13/14 98/10 99/23 100/23 125/22 133/25 156/3 169/2 169/3 169/5 19/16 19/19 19/20 14/5 15/20 21/14 108/2 112/25 115/9 160/14 163/7 163/9 169/21 170/3 172/5 35/11 36/15 36/25 24/23 30/25 36/10 121/2 121/10 126/3 166/19 175/13 175/14 176/2 37/12 47/9 48/7 54/17 39/20 43/2 43/25 44/2 128/14 130/2 134/23 Letby [6] 43/20 70/1 180/6 186/11 188/10 59/15 70/16 71/7 74/5 45/6 45/13 45/22 137/24 182/12 191/21 121/20 161/6 161/7 198/13 201/19 204/9 82/19 87/22 89/17 45/24 56/4 56/7 56/15 191/25 207/22 207/23 179/17 205/5 209/15 93/23 100/9 103/20 59/1 59/20 59/25 letter [22] 107/8 103/21 105/20 106/5 210/14 likely [4] 26/14 72/22 62/10 63/7 64/7 64/25 LANGDALE [6] 116/13 116/17 117/9 73/5 162/13 106/15 108/14 108/18 65/14 71/18 71/22 138/20 164/25 184/15 117/13 118/3 118/5 **likewise [1]** 173/8 112/7 124/21 125/22 75/16 76/4 76/19 203/3 208/13 210/11 118/15 118/19 118/23 limited [2] 101/6 141/4 141/17 144/21 76/20 77/16 79/10 121/14 166/15 174/12 130/21 154/12 155/19 160/14 language [3] 87/14 90/2 90/16 91/21 108/23 205/10 174/14 174/21 194/25 line [5] 80/9 106/15 163/10 166/19 170/20 92/14 93/4 96/9 97/5 large [2] 73/13 195/22 196/4 204/6 119/10 198/15 199/19 172/8 172/18 188/10 101/10 101/15 108/22 143/13 204/9 204/12 205/12 lines [3] 50/2 99/13 200/23 200/25 202/9 110/2 112/13 113/7 level [54] 5/15 5/16 203/21 204/10 208/4 larger [3] 23/1 85/9 127/21 114/1 114/10 114/18 5/21 5/25 5/25 6/6 6/8 link [3] 35/8 144/7 208/5 85/9 116/20 116/25 117/8 last [10] 14/15 20/3 6/11 6/14 6/18 6/19 196/20 looked [22] 7/24 23/7 118/24 120/9 120/10 7/2 7/10 9/15 9/20 66/15 85/8 138/12 linked [2] 35/19 80/2 30/2 53/2 58/23 62/17 120/11 122/17 123/16 170/20 172/8 172/18 10/19 10/24 15/7 links [1] 187/3 103/15 112/10 112/15 124/1 124/7 124/12 28/15 28/16 29/3 list [10] 9/21 20/3 114/20 121/11 123/14 174/5 180/2 124/18 124/24 128/20 20/8 20/10 20/17 129/17 129/17 139/23 late [6] 32/18 42/5 34/14 34/16 44/25 128/25 129/4 129/5 65/22 88/12 95/25 50/19 57/21 59/4 27/21 36/16 55/12 151/20 161/14 162/5 132/7 148/9 150/5 164/19 200/7 185/19 68/13 74/20 76/20 166/18 168/11 192/15 150/11 150/17 153/7 later [7] 13/13 37/21 83/9 83/13 101/18 listed [7] 31/6 69/5 200/19 154/16 158/2 158/18 43/3 88/20 115/19 108/24 108/24 133/17 70/24 143/1 143/22 looking [30] 14/2 158/23 166/4 166/5 203/24 208/10 133/21 134/1 134/24 143/25 201/3 18/14 19/17 23/9 177/22 177/22 180/10 launch [3] 157/6 135/5 135/6 136/25 listen [2] 92/22 24/11 39/19 62/15 180/20 181/3 181/12 180/16 205/24 149/10 152/24 160/12 190/21 65/18 69/14 74/17 182/5 184/3 184/3 164/23 165/12 166/10 listened [6] 144/1 launched [1] 187/10 79/5 92/2 98/15 184/5 184/11 187/9 167/12 170/17 176/22 175/19 180/4 188/22 lawyers' [1] 192/5 100/11 102/20 110/21 187/12 188/8 188/12 lay [2] 24/9 126/24 189/25 202/1 207/3 189/24 190/4 114/3 126/9 126/9 191/12 191/14 191/17 lead [16] 2/12 3/6 5/4 Level 2 [2] 5/25 6/19 listening [2] 15/21 130/20 158/23 158/25 197/17 198/5 201/23 13/3 18/11 50/20 **Level 3 [3]** 5/21 6/8 203/14 159/8 164/13 171/23 202/15 205/8 206/17 54/11 66/11 66/13 173/4 189/11 191/13 6/18 **literally [1]** 46/13 208/1 66/21 67/1 68/11 levels [3] 50/15 53/4 little [12] 6/24 10/11 191/16 202/17 knowing [2] 60/24 116/15 158/9 160/6 13/1 20/25 64/8 looks [11] 35/22 164/22 92/18 liaison [7] 148/22 125/13 129/23 183/18 98/11 167/17 168/9 196/18 knowledge [9] 1/20 leading [1] 50/9 158/17 181/6 181/7 188/1 188/10 192/10 169/2 169/3 169/5 61/1 80/21 145/17 leads [1] 150/13 195/16 206/1 207/20 208/10 169/21 170/3 205/19 161/2 168/1 184/13 learn [1] 110/10 **liaisons [1]** 148/13 live [2] 158/15 208/17 185/13 189/2 lost [1] 49/25 learned [1] 62/15 lies [1] 60/1 177/23 knowledgeable [2] lifted [1] 49/12 **lived [1]** 180/1 lot [8] 103/10 107/19 learning [12] 3/25 176/13 179/23 157/24 172/2 178/5 3/25 4/3 7/13 10/2 like [85] 5/20 15/20 **Liverpool [5]** 2/2 2/8 known [3] 9/19 81/22 11/13 11/13 12/12 191/17 196/12 208/2 16/17 18/14 19/20 102/4 102/7 103/17 137/14 14/9 14/9 15/4 126/7 34/7 41/14 46/14 48/7 local [19] 4/24 5/18 lots [1] 113/10 knows [4] 11/21 least [4] 27/16 35/25 48/10 48/19 63/20 6/14 9/15 9/22 10/4 loud [1] 204/23 43/17 121/18 134/18 64/11 70/19 83/24 63/17 73/2 12/13 12/15 14/10 low [2] 127/20 led [6] 37/2 55/17 84/7 84/18 84/20 85/2 28/15 49/7 83/2 140/3 158/10 131/4 160/10 169/25 85/15 86/10 86/13 142/6 142/6 148/14 **LSCBs** [1] 200/10 **La [6]** 1/3 1/9 78/15 88/14 89/24 90/8 148/15 149/10 193/16 **Lucy [1]** 70/1 173/4 121/9 210/4 210/8 91/18 93/7 96/13 97/7 left [3] 65/21 93/22 locality [8] 4/20 4/21 **Lucy Letby [1]** 70/1 lack [3] 10/16 184/25 5/1 5/2 5/12 9/16 11/3 **lunch [4]** 116/5 98/11 99/24 102/1 146/2 185/2 103/2 103/11 103/16 left-hand [1] 93/22 52/20 123/19 143/12 143/12 lacking [1] 65/20 legitimate [2] 96/12 104/5 108/16 110/23 locations [1] 201/18 luncheon [1] 121/7

(70) knew... - luncheon

mean [38] 3/6 4/3 4/4 75/19 75/23 76/6 129/6 144/13 150/17 М 176/9 179/16 185/23 4/9 4/17 4/25 5/2 76/10 77/5 80/1 80/11 Maddocks [5] 3/18 202/24 203/2 203/23 10/21 15/12 27/15 81/4 81/6 110/6 42/7 42/15 44/12 52/5 111/23 115/11 131/18 172/1 205/20 March 2009 [1] 81/11 28/3 35/7 36/22 38/22 made [31] 5/11 21/16 131/23 134/20 136/23 minute [2] 129/23 marked [6] 36/18 40/3 56/23 58/16 40/11 50/25 51/21 36/20 41/7 93/22 72/24 74/13 95/7 145/2 149/22 152/6 51/23 61/2 67/17 121/20 135/20 105/7 115/3 123/18 152/9 162/6 186/25 71/25 72/1 76/14 136/17 146/2 149/19 188/17 188/20 202/3 material [3] 130/13 79/18 80/20 92/10 168/14 203/9 155/23 163/3 171/11 members [19] 3/8 92/15 106/18 108/1 matter [10] 51/3 51/6 175/15 179/8 181/19 3/14 15/16 16/10 24/2 142/13 142/21 146/22 62/2 73/16 79/24 190/16 190/20 194/17 25/10 25/21 27/5 156/23 157/6 157/17 111/4 111/13 116/4 199/17 202/13 209/4 32/11 34/4 69/7 72/13 158/14 166/10 168/20 120/17 135/16 meaning [1] 31/15 73/4 126/24 143/11 169/19 173/2 180/16 matters [3] 93/24 189/17 193/18 194/21 meaningless [1] 191/10 205/24 120/18 151/23 27/19 202/6 main [2] 95/2 108/14 maximum [1] 194/5 means [8] 16/19 memory [1] 168/13 mainly [1] 81/16 may [60] 6/12 10/3 46/12 53/3 63/19 mention [11] 14/1 majority [2] 102/12 88/17 126/1 135/15 13/21 14/19 17/25 27/6 27/7 66/9 66/10 202/11 27/17 35/3 35/9 40/20 154/16 80/1 80/6 80/10 88/7 make [30] 37/12 61/9 43/20 48/25 52/24 117/10 131/17 meant [1] 74/15 78/24 84/18 91/20 61/9 73/5 85/23 88/21 meantime [1] 29/9 mentioned [16] 99/6 105/8 107/7 110/6 111/23 125/23 measures [1] 60/14 14/24 26/10 26/12 107/12 111/6 119/13 126/13 130/25 134/21 mechanisms [2] 29/1 57/25 72/18 76/5 80/3 123/1 134/18 134/21 135/16 136/24 142/7 193/17 84/20 86/14 87/24 136/23 140/15 140/21 159/9 165/4 165/21 96/5 145/12 145/22 media [2] 173/8 146/20 161/18 163/10 165/24 166/4 166/8 182/6 184/9 208/21 207/7 170/2 175/16 187/16 171/14 171/18 173/15 mediation [2] 154/14 mentioning [2] 71/11 188/14 189/2 190/24 174/13 175/23 179/15 208/12 137/4 191/25 195/17 197/11 180/15 181/1 181/2 medical [15] 1/22 mentions [3] 121/19 198/21 183/20 184/1 184/1 27/7 30/20 32/10 136/17 177/1 makers [1] 160/1 187/7 187/9 188/20 32/12 34/3 40/10 67/5 Merseyside [5] 2/12 makes [6] 15/21 21/7 191/25 192/13 192/16 72/14 74/19 75/22 4/21 4/22 5/3 5/23 107/5 145/14 172/7 196/20 197/20 198/21 113/9 135/2 153/18 message [1] 10/3 172/13 199/23 201/10 201/17 187/2 met [3] 77/1 163/25 making [8] 134/5 201/17 201/22 204/13 medically [2] 75/8 183/23 140/23 147/7 160/7 205/24 206/19 75/9 microphone [1] 3/1 174/2 182/4 198/7 maybe [6] 21/5 medicine [2] 47/2 middle [2] 152/10 199/15 143/16 154/6 169/22 172/1 127/1 malformations [1] 188/9 205/20 Medland [2] 51/25 midnight [1] 177/7 11/17 might [56] 1/5 4/3 4/4 moment [14] 15/1 MBBS [1] 81/1 61/6 manage [3] 155/18 meet [8] 4/6 51/25 me [74] 17/17 24/13 9/19 11/13 14/24 16/9 173/9 207/3 25/23 26/12 27/1 160/11 164/6 166/14 27/22 31/11 32/22 managed [5] 143/24 29/20 32/3 33/12 37/6 166/18 174/24 175/16 42/3 53/20 58/24 154/7 157/18 199/9 39/13 39/25 42/16 meeting [276] 59/20 60/18 60/19 207/9 meetings [32] 3/11 42/23 44/11 44/18 61/9 63/1 63/12 63/13 **Monday [1]** 116/8 management [7] 49/25 62/13 62/24 3/14 5/7 6/23 6/25 7/3 64/2 64/18 64/25 65/8 monitor [2] 12/16 66/11 66/14 111/3 70/17 71/21 71/22 7/17 8/1 10/21 19/3 66/17 67/15 72/24 111/11 113/8 117/16 71/25 72/20 73/1 19/4 37/21 44/4 53/9 119/18 113/15 131/23 136/14 month [7] 2/16 76/18 77/9 79/5 83/3 64/12 85/17 93/10 manager [3] 19/25 86/16 86/19 87/1 87/3 93/11 95/23 96/1 140/19 141/1 149/6 83/18 119/10 87/4 87/4 87/5 87/6 96/23 100/19 104/6 149/24 150/22 151/14 managers [2] 55/19 88/16 89/19 90/7 112/8 114/13 123/7 155/11 155/11 156/24 monthly [1] 4/7 56/1 90/12 91/19 92/17 140/3 140/18 142/21 158/20 161/23 165/1 Manchester [2] 92/20 96/3 103/2 143/4 194/11 205/16 167/20 168/3 174/10 103/18 103/19 103/11 103/20 108/17 member [55] 3/12 175/13 185/8 188/8 mandated [1] 19/14 110/11 110/25 111/9 18/17 20/24 25/4 195/22 196/3 196/18 manner [1] 141/20 114/23 114/25 115/20 25/18 25/21 26/4 201/12 many [11] 2/16 30/2 116/1 116/10 116/10 26/13 27/12 27/17 Milne [1] 137/7 37/21 75/21 94/15 mind [22] 13/24 117/10 119/20 119/22 34/9 34/12 34/18 104/5 108/11 108/15 121/25 132/16 136/6 38/22 38/25 39/3 39/8 27/17 34/16 36/22 116/3 128/15 142/5 136/14 137/8 137/19 42/8 42/9 47/10 49/2 39/13 48/3 54/24 March [16] 36/19 138/1 141/1 167/8 56/20 60/5 60/6 68/9 59/7 67/19 70/15 50/25 61/20 81/11 171/12 192/10 203/13 72/2 72/10 72/11 75/11 88/22 102/20 97/15 122/22 128/25 208/5 209/6 72/17 73/6 75/18 119/17 128/8 137/14

mindset [3] 154/10 130/5 minuted [1] 145/8 minutes [30] 7/16 8/5 8/7 8/16 8/18 10/8 13/7 16/25 48/6 48/7 51/5 53/23 79/4 79/5 83/25 130/4 130/5 138/13 143/16 144/20 145/19 146/11 151/13 162/1 171/12 171/24 185/25 192/2 192/5 209/16 miscommunication **[1]** 35/18 misleading [1] 167/20 missed [3] 132/7 152/8 173/6 misunderstood [1] 85/23 Mittal [27] 78/13 78/14 78/19 78/20 80/17 80/23 85/20 87/8 88/21 89/9 92/19 93/15 95/10 96/3 98/14 100/3 112/19 115/17 120/3 120/25 121/10 133/25 135/16 137/23 137/25 138/4 210/7 Mm [1] 169/20 Mm-hm [1] 169/20 moderate [1] 63/17 modifiable [1] 201/19 53/21 60/13 63/15 64/15 71/6 98/5 101/5 110/12 111/12 119/19 121/3 149/4 194/23 53/3 78/13 79/1 83/7 110/8 monitoring [1] 52/20 103/10 123/10 141/7 144/25 145/9 203/24 months [11] 4/9 4/10 4/11 6/25 37/21 42/18 77/22 89/24 103/10 141/11 202/1 morbidity [1] 127/22 more [71] 5/17 6/24 7/11 10/11 11/22 12/17 16/20 20/25 24/7 26/1 26/14 29/6 29/8 29/11 32/18 34/4 38/20 43/10 43/14 44/5 46/25 61/23 73/5

182/1 182/8 196/12

206/21 207/25

Μ more... [48] 74/16 75/21 78/2 85/15 86/10 90/8 92/17 94/25 95/22 96/15 97/8 102/8 102/13 102/17 104/2 104/5 104/8 105/11 105/17 109/24 113/3 120/7 123/5 124/21 125/13 126/7 132/5 132/19 137/16 137/20 142/24 147/5 152/19 154/6 161/2 161/23 162/13 172/24 178/1 186/16 188/1 188/14 189/1 189/17 196/9 200/2 203/11 203/20 morning [8] 54/8 56/5 56/9 56/17 59/3 128/24 143/8 209/17 mortality [22] 4/1 7/1 7/5 7/9 7/24 9/9 10/8 12/8 13/22 17/9 20/22 20/24 52/21 64/9 69/4 101/11 109/5 109/8 109/14 110/9 131/19 164/14 most [10] 18/25 49/4 58/24 72/21 102/3 176/7 178/19 182/24 183/4 192/9 mostly [1] 143/20 motion [2] 74/24 74/25 move [7] 3/1 41/14 159/7 164/21 172/24 173/7 202/22 moved [6] 83/23 152/21 152/22 162/10 165/18 170/10 moving [3] 117/23 154/10 163/15 Mr [54] 1/3 1/9 66/4 66/5 78/5 78/15 79/5 121/9 132/14 138/17 138/22 140/9 144/19 150/19 151/1 151/2 151/22 152/14 152/25 156/20 159/6 159/19 165/7 165/22 168/15 168/19 170/13 170/15 170/24 172/16 172/16 172/20 175/9 175/13 176/6 179/15 182/11 182/11 182/11 182/13 182/14 191/20 191/22 191/23 191/24 192/4 192/7 207/24 207/25 210/4 210/6 210/8 210/12 210/13

Mr Baker [1] 182/11

Mr Chambers [9]

209/11

multi [3] 87/15 140/2

168/15 168/19 170/13 186/10 170/15 170/24 172/16 multi-agency [2] 172/20 175/9 175/13 Mr Cross [2] 151/22 156/20 Mr De La Poer [6] 1/3 1/9 78/15 121/9 210/4 210/8 Mr Harvey [4] 150/19 151/2 152/14 152/25 Mr Harvey's [1] 151/1 Mr Jamieson [5] 191/23 191/24 192/4 207/24 210/13 Mr Skelton [8] 66/4 66/5 78/5 182/11 182/13 191/22 210/6 210/12 Mr Stephen Cross' **[1]** 79/5 Mr Wenham [16] 132/14 138/17 138/22 140/9 144/19 159/6 159/19 165/7 165/22 176/6 179/15 182/11 182/14 191/20 192/7 207/25 Mrs [5] 90/11 103/8 103/13 104/3 104/12 Mrs Dodd [2] 104/3 104/12 Mrs Sharon [3] 90/11 103/8 103/13 Ms [20] 20/1 22/5 54/3 54/4 66/3 71/2 71/3 93/20 104/18 105/3 115/3 126/23 131/2 138/20 164/25 184/15 203/3 208/13 210/5 210/11 Ms Dodd [2] 104/18 Ms Frame [4] 93/20 115/3 126/23 131/2 MS LANGDALE [6] 138/20 164/25 184/15 203/3 208/13 210/11 **Ms Peacock [1]** 71/3 Ms Powell [2] 20/1 22/5 **Ms Rong [4]** 54/3 54/4 66/3 210/5 much [29] 3/2 23/14 43/21 50/24 69/17 78/2 78/9 78/11 85/9 85/9 88/7 92/17 97/18 101/1 102/17 107/19 108/21 129/12 129/23 130/9 134/24 138/5 143/3 158/10 158/13 163/15 202/21 207/21

87/15 186/10 multiple [1] 106/6 murder [2] 76/15 183/8 murdered [2] 68/16 77/5 murdering [3] 43/21 110/6 191/7 **Murphy [1]** 71/2 must [9] 47/12 71/25 101/24 113/12 113/19 119/2 119/2 129/12 169/4 my [139] 1/4 2/23 3/10 13/8 14/15 17/15 83/7 89/15 89/16 17/25 18/7 18/10 18/15 19/8 20/17 24/2 24/5 29/6 31/24 34/16 34/16 35/8 35/25 41/25 48/1 49/25 53/20 66/2 67/19 68/13 76/7 78/4 78/6 78/12 79/3 79/7 80/4 80/7 80/15 80/22 81/1 81/23 82/8 82/16 82/16 82/18 82/24 83/10 83/16 83/16 83/18 83/24 84/19 85/3 85/20 86/3 86/11 87/8 88/3 89/5 89/11 89/21 91/3 92/16 92/18 92/22 93/3 93/6 natural [1] 199/6 94/7 94/7 95/23 96/17 98/10 99/23 100/23 101/23 103/3 108/2 110/16 110/23 111/21 111/25 112/25 113/1 115/9 115/22 118/18 121/2 121/10 126/3 126/13 126/18 128/7 128/14 128/14 129/11 170/18 130/2 131/1 132/4 132/7 134/23 135/23 137/23 137/24 142/13 143/23 144/2 147/1 147/4 153/25 154/9 154/10 154/21 155/21 155/22 155/24 156/2 157/17 160/8 163/15 172/1 176/7 176/18 176/19 176/21 182/10 182/12 182/24 183/19 185/12 185/13 189/2 189/2 191/11 191/21 191/25 195/20 199/1 209/9 my Lady [43] 1/4 17/25 53/20 66/2 78/4 78/6 78/12 79/3 80/7 80/15 80/22 81/23 82/8 82/16 82/24

108/2 112/25 115/9 121/2 121/10 126/3 128/14 130/2 134/23 137/24 182/12 191/21 191/25 207/22 myself [4] 48/8 **name [5]** 1/13 78/18 135/11 147/13 191/5 named [15] 82/24 89/20 90/3 90/12 108/16 116/14 117/20 118/6 118/11 119/6 137/7 178/24 namely [1] 11/12 names [9] 22/10 22/13 23/19 24/1 24/11 69/15 69/18 74/16 74/18 narrative [1] 125/15 **narrow [2]** 141/16 181/11 **national** [8] 49/5 67/23 85/3 85/16 85/17 96/19 164/20 189/13 nature [1] 147/2 nearly [1] 178/14 necessarily [8] 12/10 14/6 14/14 16/1 44/1 67/12 85/22 185/11 necessary [2] 12/22 146/16 necessitate [1] need [38] 6/13 6/16 16/16 16/17 28/11 28/25 29/6 39/21 45/18 47/2 59/15 81/24 87/12 95/21 97/11 99/2 99/6 106/5 107/2 108/5 119/8 129/7 134/11 134/16 134/17 134/19 134/19 136/5 136/22 141/2 159/13 162/17 173/5 173/7 173/21 198/18 199/20 200/17 needed [25] 21/17 200/25 207/22 207/22 21/23 33/11 33/23 36/23 43/7 58/17 58/19 60/3 60/20 60/23 61/18 62/2 73/1 89/1 92/11 92/14 92/24 93/4 96/4 122/12 124/9 125/5

83/10 83/16 83/24

98/10 99/23 100/23

84/19 86/3 88/3 89/5 needs [22] 6/6 16/14 92/16 93/6 94/7 95/23 16/18 51/7 63/17 73/16 73/18 88/18 95/22 96/9 100/22 106/9 137/8 140/23 157/8 157/10 162/23 168/10 173/16 173/19 173/24 206/10 negligent [1] 73/6 160/23 169/22 179/13 negligently [1] 72/4 Neither [1] 141/11 neonatal [81] 2/12 3/9 3/12 3/19 4/1 4/14 4/22 4/23 5/3 5/12 5/19 5/19 6/14 6/17 9/22 10/4 10/4 11/23 12/13 12/15 13/3 13/11 14/10 16/22 17/5 18/24 19/3 19/7 19/8 28/2 46/6 46/12 46/14 49/4 49/7 52/21 53/8 54/11 55/7 67/20 68/3 74/4 85/10 85/10 85/11 85/12 88/1 95/1 96/15 96/16 101/11 102/8 102/13 104/1 104/21 106/16 108/22 113/4 126/23 128/2 135/19 135/25 136/2 136/5 142/15 142/16 144/12 144/21 144/24 145/5 148/10 156/8 156/25 164/1 164/13 176/14 185/1 185/14 185/18 197/18 202/9 neonate [2] 86/8 115/13 neonates [4] 83/22 102/3 128/5 128/6 neonatologist [8] 2/2 3/9 42/25 46/9 46/15 124/7 124/20 128/15 neonatologists [3] 6/19 126/9 171/6 nervous [1] 16/7 network [57] 2/13 3/6 3/8 3/10 3/13 3/19 4/13 4/18 4/19 4/24 5/1 5/2 5/3 5/3 5/6 5/10 5/12 9/16 9/20 10/19 11/3 11/9 12/5 18/11 19/3 19/7 19/11 20/23 21/2 42/6 42/7 42/14 43/7 43/23 44/16 44/25 45/10 48/23 49/7 50/14 50/17 52/6 52/8 52/9 52/13 52/20 52/25 53/8 53/12 53/13 54/11 54/14 55/22 57/20 61/14 61/22 164/13 network's [2] 44/2

175/15 189/17

Ν network's... [1] 53/18 networks [4] 4/17 4/20 4/21 53/13 **neuro [1]** 81/15 neutrally [1] 26/22 never [11] 43/25 76/2 76/17 92/17 120/11 121/25 122/19 161/7 161/7 183/20 185/13 **nevermind** [1] 119/7 new [5] 7/13 166/24 167/18 172/11 172/17 news [1] 158/18 next [15] 8/20 49/11 71/5 78/12 96/11 105/15 132/6 138/10 147/13 151/19 154/11 158/16 171/14 173/1 201/8 **NHS [4]** 2/3 55/8 55/9 118/16 NHS England [1] 55/8 **NIGEL [3]** 138/18 150/24 210/10 **NIGEL WENHAM [2]** 138/18 210/10 night [1] 32/19 nights [7] 152/19 152/20 152/20 152/21 152/22 152/23 162/10 **NIMISH [3]** 1/8 1/14 210/3 Nimish Subhedar [1] 1/14 nine [7] 4/14 4/22 4/23 5/12 70/7 177/6 177/6 **NNU [3]** 56/25 57/21 83/23 no [109] 3/18 7/9 12/10 15/2 18/2 18/19 18/19 20/16 22/13 22/13 22/20 22/20 23/25 25/14 25/14 25/15 26/8 26/8 28/2 28/5 28/13 28/17 29/10 29/19 30/8 32/25 33/15 35/14 35/22 36/14 36/14 37/20 39/6 39/10 39/23 40/3 40/15 40/22 43/18 46/20 50/7 50/13 51/22 59/11 60/4 60/22 64/16 68/8 72/20 75/6 75/7 75/9 75/21 77/12 78/6 78/8 79/3 80/6 80/14 87/20 89/15 92/21 92/21 95/13 103/14 103/19 105/9

109/22 110/17 110/19

118/20 119/16 125/15 127/23 131/17 138/1 138/4 140/22 144/2 144/2 152/20 152/23 152/24 154/14 155/6 156/12 160/2 161/11 161/15 162/7 162/21 164/9 169/17 178/23 183/18 184/13 195/24 200/2 202/2 202/2 205/9 205/11 205/11 205/13 208/14 209/8 No one [1] 110/17 **Nobody [1]** 93/1 Nobody's [1] 178/4 Nods [21] 7/18 11/24 14/20 17/2 23/22 55/2 79/19 90/22 93/12 95/15 97/17 99/17 101/14 110/7 113/24 116/19 131/25 134/9 159/21 176/4 204/8 noise [1] 172/3 non [1] 12/2 non-indictment [1] 12/2 **none [4]** 10/14 90/19 196/14 196/14 nor [2] 50/7 141/11 normally [7] 102/17 104/8 104/8 143/7 150/10 195/6 199/2 North [2] 4/19 53/12 North West [2] 4/19 53/12 not [225] notable [1] 162/5 **note [5]** 122/25 144/17 157/1 170/11 208/3 noted [1] 44/15 notes [14] 25/1 79/16 112/18 123/18 151/15 151/22 154/9 154/21 155/22 156/2 157/18 159/17 159/19 176/23 number [43] 15/11 noteworthy [1] 26/9 nothing [16] 58/6 58/11 95/3 95/3 113/6 115/12 126/10 126/15 166/24 167/18 172/11 172/13 172/17 172/17 173/13 174/21 notice [2] 87/25 102/22 noticed [7] 25/17 37/4 41/3 102/19 104/21 105/2 209/1 notification [6] 86/14 88/4 88/10 105/12 202/23 202/24 notifications [3] 108/18 197/9 198/14

110/19 114/25 116/10 notified [8] 8/19 9/18 number one [1] 10/23 43/24 63/1 185/14 191/12 191/15 numbers [6] 12/17 November [15] 1/1 7/20 10/7 11/9 11/21 13/5 17/13 45/3 45/12 nurse [35] 34/3 70/7 93/25 95/9 97/13 139/9 147/13 209/20 now [119] 5/12 6/22 7/15 8/22 10/21 10/25 11/21 12/5 13/17 17/7 18/20 20/7 21/14 22/2 22/17 24/10 31/24 35/2 35/13 37/14 43/17 44/8 44/15 45/2 45/16 46/21 49/16 50/11 54/17 55/3 56/4 56/22 58/10 58/21 59/1 60/13 61/12 62/13 62/19 64/10 69/14 77/10 77/21 79/1 80/23 81/21 81/24 82/10 83/20 86/21 87/17 87/21 89/16 90/16 92/9 93/9 74/19 162/6 98/2 98/5 99/12 101/3 **NW [3]** 153/4 169/14 101/10 108/2 108/9 108/13 108/17 108/19 110/2 116/4 119/12 121/18 122/1 122/21 122/24 124/1 124/4 124/6 125/11 125/22 127/6 128/20 131/2 131/7 131/15 132/17 133/3 133/18 133/19 134/24 135/4 135/9 138/5 145/1 151/8 151/21 157/3 158/23 158/25 163/12 168/13 observations [2] 169/7 169/13 171/21 171/23 172/5 175/25 176/20 180/3 180/13 181/3 184/22 188/6 190/16 197/17 198/3 198/5 201/10 206/24 208/9 209/12 18/8 20/13 21/3 21/24 22/8 35/14 35/23 38/12 41/11 47/18 62/6 73/13 90/18 93/10 101/18 101/21 102/8 102/16 104/1 104/2 105/11 106/19 72/20 73/1 76/16 112/16 126/14 128/21 128/23 142/15 143/1 144/11 144/15 145/7 occurred [11] 8/25 145/10 145/21 147/18 13/17 14/13 17/4 18/9 149/7 171/9 186/3 186/17 186/22 187/19 184/17 187/23 188/19 200/24 number 1 [1] 35/23 **number 2 [1]** 112/16 number 4 [1] 147/18

35/14 21/20 49/5 57/1 104/7 202/10 73/12 74/1 75/12 76/15 77/10 90/12 108/16 137/7 146/15 146/16 146/21 146/22 offered [1] 197/25 146/23 152/15 152/19 offering [1] 3/7 154/17 154/25 156/7 162/4 162/12 171/6 177/10 177/17 178/24 178/25 182/6 206/20 208/7 208/9 208/14 208/18 208/22 209/5 **nurse's [1]** 58/1 nurses [1] 19/25 nursing [17] 13/12 23/9 27/5 30/16 30/20 32/1 32/11 34/5 40/10 49/5 56/19 57/21 58/10 72/11 72/25 174/6 o'clock [2] 209/14 209/17 object [1] 11/12 **objective [1]** 200/14 objectives [4] 199/24 old [3] 5/20 141/8 200/3 200/5 200/8 observation [4] 106/18 127/2 168/20 174/6 30/16 109/8 **obvious [4]** 144/5 179/4 186/21 191/14 **obviously [15]** 25/3 25/24 68/18 99/6 109/10 123/9 150/13 153/24 184/4 186/13 187/19 189/12 190/7 193/3 203/10 occasion [1] 106/20 Occasionally [1] 201/11 occasions [1] 141/15

101/19

20/9 129/5

off [9] 95/9 152/21 152/22 156/9 157/22 158/24 162/11 163/21 197/8 offences [2] 172/15 173/15 offer [2] 21/22 123/24 office [5] 103/13 108/14 108/17 112/2 164/20 officer [18] 86/25 87/1 112/3 139/5 148/15 148/22 150/10 157/14 172/9 175/12 175/14 176/22 181/9 183/11 183/25 195/25 205/6 205/21 officer's [1] 203/11 officers [4] 139/22 158/4 158/6 181/7 official [1] 204/6 oh [2] 37/13 192/23 okay [18] 6/4 13/23 41/10 58/9 105/18 141/3 156/1 159/20 171/14 192/12 193/6 195/14 195/19 195/21 199/21 199/22 204/7 206/24 157/25 on [231] once [9] 8/20 12/18 99/1 112/18 123/13 136/3 137/21 158/14 158/14 one [99] 2/8 2/18 3/14 4/21 5/13 7/23 8/17 10/14 17/1 17/25 20/20 25/17 27/13 29/14 29/24 30/25 32/18 32/22 33/22 34/9 35/14 38/3 38/13 38/14 41/12 46/3 47/22 48/10 48/11 51/3 61/3 61/9 64/24 68/21 68/25 68/25 70/17 70/24 76/10 **occupancy [1]** 50/15 80/10 82/17 84/18 occur [6] 23/12 24/13 85/11 85/11 91/8 93/24 94/8 97/4 100/8 103/10 103/18 104/6 106/22 110/17 111/10 112/9 112/10 113/1 37/6 63/8 63/8 184/16 115/9 115/11 116/2 119/2 121/19 121/21 occurring [1] 134/22 128/4 129/15 138/12 occurs [2] 28/2 196/3 143/8 146/11 152/6 October [4] 12/4 13/2 152/9 152/11 153/11 153/13 157/17 160/19

**ODN [1]** 55/7

140/25 141/15 142/1 144/23 148/16 149/16 89/13 89/25 90/13 0 159/14 151/14 153/14 154/10 90/18 91/21 104/16 one... [23] 160/20 ordinarily [2] 23/23 158/9 171/24 172/11 107/17 107/20 110/22 161/7 170/21 171/6 47/9 177/6 180/11 182/2 113/8 116/22 117/15 172/9 180/25 180/25 ordinator [2] 181/7 184/6 189/22 190/3 119/25 127/24 152/4 182/15 186/24 192/22 195/1 190/20 193/10 199/25 166/14 193/1 193/2 193/5 204/15 204/23 207/14 Paediatrics [4] 81/7 organisation [5] 195/11 196/14 196/23 189/1 190/3 190/5 outcomes [3] 53/4 94/14 167/1 171/1 198/3 200/2 200/7 page [98] 23/4 30/4 190/17 190/21 53/5 54/25 200/16 203/20 205/24 outlier [3] 48/21 49/6 organisations [1] 32/17 32/18 35/12 205/25 54/24 35/23 36/15 39/25 89/7 one month [1] 40/2 41/3 48/14 48/18 page 8 [2] 155/7 orientate [2] 144/18 outlined [5] 140/13 103/10 203/22 159/3 184/21 185/13 49/10 49/11 49/14 one's [1] 27/21 49/21 54/19 54/21 Originally [1] 84/1 187/6 onerous [1] 107/13 other [67] 2/9 10/4 outset [2] 181/25 55/3 55/5 70/21 71/5 ones [6] 19/1 31/19 10/4 11/14 12/15 182/9 80/9 93/14 93/18 31/21 159/20 167/4 14/10 15/24 20/14 93/21 97/25 98/1 outside [13] 21/2 177/2 21/3 21/22 22/23 65/21 73/24 83/3 84/8 100/9 112/14 122/23 ongoing [6] 6/16 27/23 29/15 34/24 84/9 84/21 111/2 124/3 125/23 127/6 38/1 43/11 59/23 75/2 135/11 140/7 144/18 111/11 142/2 149/6 49/7 53/13 54/24 75/3 147/12 150/19 150/21 57/20 58/24 59/5 188/18 189/14 online [2] 99/24 59/12 60/4 63/23 outsider [2] 110/21 150/21 151/2 151/5 108/6 64/20 72/13 77/18 110/23 151/5 151/16 151/19 only [48] 6/11 6/13 79/24 83/7 89/25 97/4 over [24] 48/14 49/10 152/1 152/5 152/17 7/6 15/3 21/17 23/9 97/24 100/5 104/16 73/21 89/6 90/21 153/2 153/2 154/12 27/4 27/4 31/15 34/12 105/13 109/10 113/3 155/7 155/7 159/18 100/9 102/16 103/16 40/18 49/17 51/3 53/3 113/6 121/20 128/18 137/21 141/10 144/25 160/14 162/9 162/9 53/13 57/4 57/5 57/18 163/22 164/16 164/19 93/11 93/25 94/6 142/4 143/21 143/23 145/9 151/5 153/2 63/7 76/10 77/9 84/1 144/15 146/5 148/11 155/7 162/9 173/20 164/22 165/2 165/9 84/2 84/4 84/7 85/11 148/12 148/16 149/11 177/19 178/14 178/15 165/21 166/19 170/7 89/18 89/20 91/8 149/17 158/2 158/2 178/18 198/10 198/10 170/11 170/20 171/14 96/14 99/10 102/5 159/9 160/20 162/8 200/6 171/14 172/8 172/18 115/9 122/11 124/19 168/8 175/1 177/12 overall [3] 52/19 173/20 175/21 176/24 129/11 129/11 132/9 177/15 187/3 188/25 54/13 165/16 176/24 177/19 177/19 132/13 133/3 137/6 190/10 193/18 196/15 overarching [1] 4/18 178/13 178/18 178/18 153/11 166/5 166/7 197/3 200/22 201/15 178/22 192/16 192/21 overlap [1] 89/14 171/11 171/11 203/8 207/7 overleaf [1] 164/12 193/8 193/11 194/18 209/9 others [3] 38/15 overriding [1] 4/18 197/22 199/24 200/1 onwards [1] 43/16 oversight [2] 52/20 200/1 200/2 200/3 150/22 190/15 open [7] 16/16 16/20 200/6 200/7 204/11 otherwise [4] 27/18 123/23 51/20 170/18 182/1 80/20 92/22 148/8 overtime [1] 152/15 208/4 182/8 201/11 ought [3] 7/7 60/14 overview [11] 14/12 page 1 [2] 127/6 operate [3] 45/12 24/24 25/2 74/4 93/10 151/5 62/21 54/22 134/1 our [23] 1/4 20/21 135/13 139/14 140/5 page 10 [4] 36/15 operated [3] 11/3 21/19 53/15 55/15 169/11 169/14 193/19 55/3 55/5 124/3 19/9 44/25 owe [1] 180/10 78/12 85/7 90/12 page 12 [1] 164/19 operating [1] 55/6 own [9] 34/16 64/25 119/10 131/10 137/7 page 13 [2] 151/16 operation [2] 29/5 140/3 148/2 156/13 68/11 73/24 88/22 194/18 136/4 159/3 161/18 164/1 106/18 111/6 161/18 page 178 [1] 98/1 Operation 177/24 178/15 179/1 176/18 page 19 [1] 135/11 **Hummingbird** [1] 179/2 179/14 187/1 ownership [1] 38/10 page 2 [13] 93/14 136/4 ourselves [2] 44/7 93/18 93/21 112/14 operational [4] 4/18 147/12 151/2 152/1 203/22 5/10 53/12 157/20 out [54] 24/3 30/9 pace [1] 158/12 152/5 159/18 164/16 opinion [1] 153/21 pack [1] 130/22 32/19 33/20 39/22 165/21 176/24 192/16 opportunity [5] 7/15 paediatric [2] 47/23 43/7 52/18 66/17 71/6 page 2014 [1] 192/21 44/10 136/22 149/13 73/16 73/19 77/7 146/9 page 214 [1] 32/17 180/3 77/10 85/10 91/6 paediatrician [8] page 3 [9] 80/9 opposed [2] 17/13 122/23 144/18 150/21 81/3 81/12 81/16 94/17 96/22 102/2 186/9 82/21 86/6 87/4 88/24 102/13 106/6 106/10 152/17 160/14 163/22 opposite [1] 43/9 99/1 109/18 109/24 120/1 165/9 176/24 options [1] 61/3 paediatricians [24] 123/24 124/17 124/25 page 33 [1] 70/21

44/3 44/6 47/18 59/8

61/13 62/1 62/4 89/3

132/11 135/20 140/1

141/6 142/24 144/5

or [231]

order [5] 38/16

(74) one... - paragraph 16

166/19 177/19

199/24 200/1

200/1

200/7

89/17

page 6 [5] 140/7

page 7 [10] 30/4

35/12 40/2 154/12

164/22 165/2 172/18

178/22 200/3 208/4

page 9 [1] 175/21

pages 21 [1] 44/22

paid [3] 23/14 82/17

pair [4] 18/14 56/9

Pan [5] 94/5 139/13

Pan Cheshire [5]

192/19 197/24

94/5 139/13 148/4

96/14 97/1 115/10

148/4 192/19 197/24

panel [31] 20/24 25/3

115/12 115/15 122/5

123/11 123/23 124/6

122/6 122/9 122/12

126/1 126/5 126/8

126/25 128/1 128/4

129/6 129/7 129/14

143/11 171/5

86/17

135/13 139/14 140/5

panellist [1] 130/17

paper [3] 33/3 33/3

papers [6] 131/1

161/14 161/22

113/7 130/10

panellists [1] 130/13

131/1 146/12 161/10

paperwork [3] 106/4

paragraph [39] 21/4

50/1 50/3 50/22 69/24

71/6 71/7 78/25 79/3

139/12 140/1 140/10

141/4 142/11 143/19

159/7 159/11 163/18

170/12 170/21 172/9

176/5 176/25 180/14

180/20 180/23 193/14

200/18 204/18 204/21

paragraph 10 [1]

paragraph 16 [5]

78/25 79/3 79/15

79/24 80/20

140/1

page 4 [6] 54/19

150/19 153/2 162/9

144/9 144/22 151/7

49/12 49/18 49/22

79/15 79/24 80/20

56/10 60/16

palsy [1] 81/17

pages [1] 44/22

page 5 [6] 125/23

170/11 178/13 193/8

171/14 172/8 178/18

149/18 151/24 158/24 picture [6] 12/25 42/3 160/6 160/20 161/3 P participated [2] 35/2 176/1 190/8 190/22 13/20 14/21 49/2 95/5 161/5 166/22 167/15 paragraph 199 [2] 47/11 people's [1] 84/25 206/5 170/16 171/15 172/21 69/24 71/7 particular [24] 6/6 per [7] 2/16 2/19 **piece [2]** 161/19 177/3 177/10 177/16 paragraph 23 [1] 7/17 12/25 13/20 82/17 101/12 102/2 191/10 179/16 181/12 181/23 140/10 102/6 102/9 17/11 18/17 21/7 piecemeal [1] 12/20 184/1 187/13 199/18 paragraph 24 [1] 23/17 30/3 35/20 200/6 207/12 207/19 per year [3] 101/12 place [23] 10/3 12/9 141/4 38/21 39/8 47/8 51/4 12/23 46/24 49/25 208/24 209/3 102/6 102/9 paragraph 33 [2] 54/7 59/8 72/11 57/9 76/1 76/5 84/17 pointed [1] 171/24 perceive [1] 186/2 142/11 200/18 107/22 112/8 129/3 perception [2] 98/8 98/20 108/13 pointing [2] 62/3 paragraph 35 [1] 143/10 146/23 150/2 177/14 180/8 129/5 150/15 162/11 126/16 144/9 perfectly [1] 47/24 166/3 169/22 178/9 points [11] 29/24 152/19 paragraph 5 [1] particularly [4] 11/13 performance [2] 181/8 194/1 194/2 35/24 151/15 151/16 139/12 43/18 129/19 142/9 52/19 53/3 198/15 205/12 151/17 151/20 151/21 paragraph 50 [1] parties [1] 194/15 **performed** [1] 60/3 **placed [3]** 173/16 173/2 175/21 179/24 151/7 partnership [4] 103/8 perhaps [12] 7/13 173/19 207/15 188/2 paragraph 68 [1] 103/13 149/9 149/11 9/24 27/24 34/7 42/24 places [1] 106/6 police [100] 51/7 159/7 parts [4] 30/3 62/25 43/3 44/20 60/5 71/20 plain [3] 39/2 158/4 51/9 51/12 51/20 paragraph 69 [1] 71/10 94/8 100/3 140/6 208/14 158/6 52/15 52/16 61/4 159/11 **perimeters** [1] 65/22 61/10 61/14 61/19 party [2] 43/12 75/3 **plainly [2]** 106/12 paragraph 73 [1] perinatal [5] 126/22 62/2 62/5 62/5 68/19 passed [2] 101/7 131/21 163/18 178/5 127/5 127/9 127/11 plan [10] 36/1 37/7 77/3 86/25 86/25 paragraph 90 [1] passing [1] 96/11 128/12 38/10 51/20 59/16 88/17 110/13 111/5 176/5 **passion [1]** 176/13 period [14] 2/11 65/18 157/15 166/14 111/7 111/8 111/13 paragraph 94 [1] past [2] 121/5 180/7 45/12 50/9 73/21 166/16 180/24 111/15 111/17 111/17 180/14 **planning [2]** 151/11 112/3 132/17 132/22 73/22 90/6 90/21 paternalistic [1] paragraph 95 [1] 101/17 141/22 144/25 133/2 133/11 133/17 174/24 65/10 180/20 145/4 145/9 164/14 134/3 134/5 135/2 path [1] 172/2 please [53] 1/6 1/12 paragraph 96 [1] pathology [1] 158/1 195/9 5/9 30/4 32/17 41/15 136/3 136/4 136/6 180/23 patient [14] 9/3 16/15 period January 2015 54/19 55/3 70/21 136/10 136/17 137/5 paragraphs [7] 140/6 78/13 78/17 87/9 137/21 139/5 140/10 58/16 59/21 60/22 **[1]** 164/14 169/15 172/19 174/5 65/20 66/25 67/3 permission [1] 93/21 97/23 98/1 140/11 140/12 140/13 174/15 177/19 194/24 68/10 73/17 85/6 137/25 98/17 100/9 103/1 140/19 141/11 147/8 parent [1] 195/25 148/14 148/21 149/5 94/16 102/11 178/7 person [12] 68/25 111/9 122/23 125/23 parents [15] 62/18 138/17 139/19 140/7 patient-centered [1] 73/23 73/24 82/22 150/9 150/9 150/25 62/21 63/6 64/1 64/9 82/23 89/19 89/20 144/17 147/11 151/1 153/16 155/11 155/15 65/20 64/10 64/15 64/22 122/1 134/21 187/21 151/18 151/25 155/13 155/18 156/6 156/11 patients [7] 55/14 64/24 65/8 65/21 59/22 63/25 120/18 196/11 196/20 159/17 163/21 163/21 156/21 157/4 157/22 65/24 193/18 194/20 179/14 206/17 206/17 164/15 164/18 165/5 159/9 165/25 173/4 personal [3] 169/17 197/25 165/19 170/11 172/19 173/16 174/7 174/25 pattern [2] 103/15 176/18 185/21 parents' [1] 62/14 202/4 personally [4] 30/24 176/23 192/10 192/13 175/12 175/14 176/17 Park [1] 103/17 patterns [6] 141/19 37/20 51/18 183/22 192/17 193/8 193/11 180/19 182/17 183/16 part [50] 12/7 14/3 200/9 200/13 201/1 194/23 199/24 200/2 185/15 186/8 190/13 perspective [14] 14/25 23/1 23/24 200/6 203/21 204/11 190/17 190/20 191/4 201/16 201/18 48/22 72/25 120/17 32/10 53/1 53/15 Paula [1] 83/18 127/7 133/15 134/14 205/5 208/4 191/6 191/12 195/9 54/13 55/4 61/6 62/18 pm [5] 121/6 121/8 195/25 203/1 203/11 Paula Wedd [1] 185/15 185/21 186/8 69/10 70/16 71/10 203/17 204/3 204/17 186/9 189/18 189/19 138/14 138/16 209/18 83/18 74/6 81/21 82/15 91/3 204/25 205/6 206/8 pause [2] 79/9 199/23 203/9 **PMRTs** [1] 64/9 91/13 91/15 94/8 Poer [6] 1/3 1/9 170/16 phone [4] 86/16 206/11 206/11 206/22 94/10 94/12 100/8 78/15 121/9 210/4 Pausing [1] 164/6 86/24 103/11 150/9 207/15 207/18 100/10 110/22 115/23 pay [2] 69/17 91/23 phoned [1] 99/1 210/8 police representative 116/17 120/4 120/21 paying [3] 23/18 phrase [3] 15/11 35/5 point [64] 14/8 21/7 **[1]** 140/13 125/9 132/5 132/10 24/20 26/3 27/5 30/15 policing [1] 190/20 89/19 91/19 115/4 143/5 143/5 143/5 policy [5] 28/17 29/4 pdf [2] 200/1 200/6 phrased [4] 96/25 30/22 32/9 34/13 143/8 146/11 146/12 **Peacock** [1] 71/3 97/2 97/5 97/7 34/23 35/23 35/24 143/6 185/1 189/13 149/4 154/24 154/24 36/6 36/7 39/19 41/24 poor [1] 16/7 pending [1] 116/3 physically [1] 104/11 157/14 162/4 174/18 people [31] 4/8 4/17 43/15 44/13 53/5 physiologically [1] poorly [1] 152/16 194/14 197/7 201/14 5/16 13/5 15/20 16/6 177/4 55/13 55/16 57/19 populated [1] 100/22 201/19 pick [5] 126/14 150/8 populating [1] 107/8 16/11 18/25 20/14 59/10 60/4 61/22 **Part A [2]** 143/5 32/11 46/13 69/6 151/23 186/11 197/20 62/22 63/3 89/9 95/6 population [1] 85/9 143/5 84/21 85/2 85/18 99/9 picked [2] 199/4 99/18 107/16 109/13 pose [2] 134/22 Participants [1] 99/10 99/25 105/14 208/6 112/9 114/5 120/9 136/24 138/2 108/11 108/14 108/16 124/22 135/16 135/22 picking [2] 111/11 position [13] 44/1 participate [2] 42/1 117/16 117/22 149/7 115/14 153/10 155/2 159/25 48/21 49/10 61/1

53/10 60/2 60/10 63/4 140/13 150/15 192/15 49/17 51/21 60/25 P presentation [4] 123/2 123/3 123/4 63/20 64/4 64/6 67/7 192/18 193/5 193/23 75/5 77/12 85/21 position... [9] 75/14 176/12 67/18 67/19 68/10 provide [11] 6/11 86/11 87/8 89/11 84/15 91/11 95/21 presented [21] 8/13 70/2 77/14 77/15 29/23 38/16 53/1 53/9 92/22 93/3 97/20 140/14 173/12 174/1 9/4 9/11 9/12 10/9 83/20 83/25 84/3 53/14 74/4 137/11 101/23 111/9 111/21 195/2 206/15 10/20 17/1 24/23 84/10 84/19 84/22 180/24 193/3 201/5 115/22 115/24 118/18 positions [1] 184/4 46/22 112/19 126/1 84/24 85/1 85/13 86/9 provided [23] 1/15 123/11 126/18 129/3 possibilities [2] 126/19 144/1 145/13 86/17 89/13 89/18 129/16 135/23 155/13 16/10 23/12 34/17 34/24 59/5 146/6 147/3 172/7 91/1 91/7 92/5 92/11 39/3 50/17 69/4 69/11 156/3 168/18 186/6 possibility [8] 27/16 176/11 187/3 188/5 92/24 94/24 125/8 72/23 78/20 122/3 188/16 190/6 197/24 28/5 28/23 72/6 73/7 203/4 142/6 142/18 146/16 130/17 137/16 138/22 199/21 200/25 204/21 73/10 75/12 191/6 147/7 148/1 148/19 146/7 146/13 168/24 209/9 press [2] 6/1 27/2 possible [8] 30/13 pressing [2] 29/6 149/3 154/13 154/20 181/4 189/7 192/15 questioned [1] 45/14 73/14 73/15 170/14 179/6 179/9 192/21 201/7 201/8 43/10 170/22 93/5 115/7 150/20 pressure [5] 115/6 184/18 185/20 193/19 provider [1] 4/23 questioning [1] 175/9 115/22 115/25 116/2 193/20 193/22 194/9 providers [1] 4/2 101/3 possibly [7] 26/9 194/15 194/25 195/5 153/1 **providing [5]** 15/18 questions [40] 1/9 35/8 42/14 145/12 pressures [1] 21/22 195/18 196/10 196/21 29/15 34/18 44/6 53/20 54/4 54/5 64/11 147/5 183/18 184/24 197/3 197/7 198/7 135/12 66/5 66/6 78/6 78/8 presumably [5] post [2] 160/4 185/3 45/13 83/5 166/20 199/6 199/8 199/18 78/15 97/21 121/1 proving [1] 149/7 postdates [1] 41/4 137/23 137/24 138/1 178/6 200/12 199/20 202/2 202/6 provision [4] 62/22 postmortem [5] 9/24 presumption [1] 208/11 63/13 63/14 63/22 138/4 138/20 177/25 11/18 12/21 33/2 84/25 processes [5] 64/10 proximity [1] 177/11 179/7 179/10 182/10 preterm [2] 127/19 74/2 149/6 159/3 public [8] 99/23 182/12 182/13 182/14 postmortems [1] 190/10 139/11 139/19 139/20 188/4 188/4 191/24 127/22 158/2 pretty [2] 67/22 68/2 158/15 181/24 183/6 192/7 195/2 199/19 **produced [2]** 13/10 **Postnatal** [1] 67/24 207/22 207/23 210/4 32/8 200/12 prevent [1] 15/13 **posts** [1] 66/9 210/5 210/6 210/8 prevented [1] 134/22 **producing [1]** 53/16 publication [1] potential [2] 172/15 prevents [1] 16/4 professional [9] 87/2 121/17 210/11 210/12 210/13 206/7 previous [8] 5/17 149/14 150/9 154/1 210/14 published [6] 44/9 **potentially [5]** 62/12 159/2 176/12 179/19 10/13 41/3 145/24 92/12 92/25 121/22 quickly [4] 62/13 158/25 173/14 174/17 146/1 154/15 201/12 195/10 196/18 123/10 123/13 78/3 115/7 115/23 175/1 208/14 **pulling [1]** 165/11 quite [13] 30/3 30/13 professionally [1] Powell [7] 13/4 13/10 previously [3] 37/6 77/9 purpose [8] 12/16 32/18 39/10 43/9 19/1 19/24 20/1 22/5 84/7 112/15 professionals [11] 14/7 20/21 33/12 109/12 124/22 126/25 71/2 63/5 130/11 149/17 99/22 111/16 140/10 131/22 150/4 153/23 primary [1] 3/24 powerful [3] 165/17 175/15 196/5 principal [1] 69/22 153/15 171/9 180/3 171/16 179/23 180/9 197/8 199/4 199/7 principle [1] 55/25 purposes [3] 31/12 **PPD [4]** 139/18 R 201/4 201/15 139/7 140/3 principles [3] 55/6 139/19 139/20 140/2 raise [12] 28/6 28/8 55/12 68/22 profile [1] 137/2 **push [1]** 180/6 practical [2] 3/5 28/11 96/20 96/20 prior [10] 27/8 42/15 progress [1] 176/20 pushed [2] 95/7 95/9 82/19 118/7 118/12 119/21 44/13 63/20 74/19 **pushing [3]** 52/15 progressed [1] practice [6] 4/2 7/14 188/3 190/9 191/17 144/14 145/13 160/25 207/10 61/11 61/14 10/2 12/13 85/16 191/18 167/21 168/25 promised [1] 33/7 put [24] 10/3 14/15 148/3 raised [23] 12/9 14/2 priorities [2] 205/25 promoted [2] 139/10 29/21 37/18 55/14 practising [1] 3/8 28/14 37/1 38/4 60/15 56/9 59/25 60/14 205/25 139/16 preceding [4] 19/21 70/5 83/12 83/13 93/9 proactive [1] 120/7 **prompt [3]** 106/25 64/24 95/18 113/14 32/13 33/4 34/7 94/14 94/15 94/18 probability [1] 26/17 109/16 109/17 118/3 118/4 153/25 precisely [1] 151/12 95/4 96/23 110/5 158/24 163/19 164/17 probably [13] 16/6 prompted [2] 76/24 prejudicing [3] 119/14 131/21 141/15 165/5 181/8 186/16 31/1 36/14 37/11 77/19 181/20 206/7 206/22 145/7 147/1 187/14 40/12 66/16 66/23 proper [2] 36/23 193/13 198/10 200/18 premeet [1] 166/13 188/2 142/5 181/11 184/2 73/19 200/22 prepare [2] 129/13 186/11 189/14 208/1 raises [1] 71/12 putting [1] 170/14 **properly [2]** 37/9 201/14 raising [3] 96/20 97/8 problem [9] 60/1 89/4 prepared [2] 129/9 106/3 60/1 60/8 94/22 96/19 protect [4] 59/22 172/22 QC [5] 51/25 61/6 **RAJIV [3]** 78/14 189/24 190/1 200/21 60/22 65/10 208/7 presence [1] 206/20 78/19 210/7 161/9 161/14 171/16 204/7 protected [1] 120/18 present [19] 9/8 13/5 protecting [1] 181/20 qualified [2] 80/25 **Rajiv Mittal [1]** 78/19 problems [2] 88/6 13/13 30/23 51/15 range [3] 129/24 protection [8] 139/11 81/1 113/9 62/8 69/7 69/7 74/14 139/19 139/20 139/24 qualify [2] 1/22 63/18 140/2 149/11 procedure [1] 184/20 79/23 84/13 140/19 148/11 149/10 162/12 quality [5] 16/22 53/1 rank [5] 140/14 183/1 procedures [4] 148/2 141/23 147/9 153/25 183/13 184/6 184/11 53/6 53/14 83/17 148/5 148/9 150/15 183/7 154/8 154/25 177/10 protocol [10] 68/3 question [38] 14/16 rape [1] 139/24 process [73] 9/10 186/25 25/11 31/17 40/10 rapid [17] 87/14 94/2 9/17 11/6 16/19 35/4 87/13 87/19 95/14

R rapid... [15] 114/12 147/20 147/25 148/20 193/19 193/20 193/22 194/2 195/5 195/5 195/11 195/12 196/24 197/4 199/8 rate [6] 12/8 17/9 101/11 109/5 109/8 178/14 rates [1] 12/16 rather [10] 24/24 27/25 31/15 44/6 97/4 175/20 177/23 196/25 200/23 208/2 rationalise [1] 21/24 ratios [1] 49/5 Ravi [3] 155/8 163/20 164/6 **RCPCH [22]** 1/24 42/20 81/4 92/9 92/16 114/3 121/17 121/24 123/2 123/3 123/5 123/9 123/13 124/8 124/12 146/8 153/11 160/19 164/3 171/4 171/8 172/23 reach [3] 34/21 148/16 149/16 reached [4] 9/16 59/4 129/21 176/16 reaction [2] 51/15 51/18 read [20] 17/14 40/17 44/10 44/11 52/18 69/21 70/19 71/6 130/17 130/22 130/25 131/1 145/12 145/13 151/24 167/8 167/9 172/5 194/23 204/23 reader [2] 39/21 39/22 readers [1] 193/12 readily [3] 11/4 147/10 148/16 reading [2] 31/15 85/7 reads [2] 168/10 168/20 ready [2] 9/12 144/1 reaffirmed [1] 70/10 real [4] 67/14 82/19 152/24 179/25 real-time [2] 67/14 179/25 realise [1] 89/1 realised [1] 79/6 realistic [1] 156/5 reality [2] 156/24 159/4 really [25] 3/25 15/24 24/13 37/8 38/9 61/25 68/14 69/17 74/12

85/18 93/7 93/7 128/12 136/14 149/9 161/24 181/12 183/21 186/6 188/15 193/13 199/5 201/23 207/12 208/5 reason [15] 9/11 17/11 57/8 58/10 95/2 96/19 96/23 97/9 110/18 116/17 126/13 144/2 159/24 187/18 187/20 reasonable [4] 42/17 64/2 74/7 174/19 reasonably [2] 161/4 162/2 reasons [7] 73/17 102/25 119/2 142/22 142/25 164/16 198/2 reassurance [2] 126/10 181/14 reassured [2] 126/3 126/8 recall [11] 17/10 18/4 21/19 30/22 51/19 141/13 151/10 151/11 166/9 176/11 180/20 recap [1] 182/16 receive [3] 12/17 46/17 198/4 received [15] 13/19 20/15 40/14 41/12 44/12 45/17 55/21 62/21 63/2 71/14 116/6 163/19 165/22 166/21 198/7 receiving [1] 37/10 recently [1] 5/17 recipients [1] 20/20 recognise [5] 72/8 72/9 77/21 103/21 105/25 recognised [4] 141/22 141/23 142/8 189/4 recognition [1] 175/12 recollect [7] 18/19 23/18 24/21 25/9 30/6 30/8 30/24 recollection [23] 8/4 17/12 18/7 48/1 51/8 51/11 70/9 70/13 79/21 94/7 103/24 111/25 113/1 119/8 123/14 126/14 128/14 131/15 132/4 132/8 146/2 146/3 160/9 recommendation **[10]** 44/16 59/14 92/10 92/14 92/23 93/3 124/13 154/14 172/23 208/11 recommendations

**[3]** 44/19 45/9 189/9

recommended [1] 12/14 record [10] 17/1 24/16 50/25 99/7 99/15 99/21 103/3 113/21 124/4 163/4 recorded [9] 21/16 51/5 51/6 100/13 103/4 115/1 131/15 155/21 163/2 recording [1] 103/6 records [4] 65/2 126/15 127/23 131/20 recurring [1] 198/3 red [3] 22/10 22/13 117/18 redacted [5] 146/17 147/3 153/12 160/19 203/6 redeployed [1] 162/10 reduce [1] 142/9 refamiliarise [1] 98/2 relating [2] 142/4 refer [5] 6/2 51/5 61/12 109/9 159/8 reference [40] 31/14 43/12 45/20 45/23 46/3 46/5 46/16 46/19 53/15 54/18 55/1 55/4 203/7 55/20 62/17 70/18 112/20 145/9 145/15 146/15 146/20 146/22 relationships [1] 149/23 153/2 154/22 155/8 156/2 156/23 157/17 161/7 163/11 163/12 163/13 167/10 169/3 171/2 174/23 178/17 200/4 200/17 208/2 referenced [1] 145/18 references [1] 185/24 referral [3] 51/12 61/19 67/17 referrals [1] 174/24 referred [9] 17/16 32/16 67/23 94/2 97/2 112/18 157/1 168/13 168/14 referring [8] 36/16 61/13 61/15 140/17 146/8 152/6 171/8 195/4 refers [1] 168/5 reflect [4] 52/17 136/22 153/23 155/21 reflected [5] 148/2 154/3 154/9 155/22 156/12 reflecting [2] 184/23 200/19 reflection [1] 205/5 reflections [1]

205/15 regard [1] 99/19 regarding [8] 59/17 62/20 159/15 170/14 180/21 180/22 181/24 189/21 regardless [2] 84/16 84/17 region [1] 53/2 regional [1] 164/13 regularly [2] 19/12 64/7 reintroduced [3] 154/18 208/16 208/18 replies [3] 20/13 reiterated [1] 175/22 relate [1] 187/11 related [7] 8/24 114/9 106/14 114/11 114/17 127/5 128/13 142/5 relates [2] 32/23 165/20 168/7 relation [11] 44/24 46/18 46/24 52/20 114/2 114/20 134/20 143/23 191/2 203/2 relationship [3] 144/3 162/6 179/4 117/22 release [1] 6/1 relevant [21] 13/21 14/6 14/10 14/19 15/5 16/1 27/9 67/20 101/23 139/6 142/6 160/8 161/10 161/14 161/16 161/22 193/5 197/9 201/5 201/21 201/25 reliably [1] 19/15 relied [1] 8/7 relieved [1] 176/16 reluctant [1] 20/25 rely [2] 130/11 202/6 relying [1] 171/7 remain [1] 178/25 remained [3] 33/19 34/11 159/2 remember [55] 11/15 representing [1] 18/6 24/21 25/9 25/14 111/16 26/2 26/8 30/11 39/17 request [2] 46/18 41/12 48/5 48/5 51/17 131/12 51/22 61/16 70/13 71/16 71/17 90/7 90/14 92/11 116/1 132/7 137/1 137/10 143/11 145/7 145/10 145/11 145/23 146/4 153/8 153/25 154/19 154/22 155/2 155/10 155/20 157/1 160/18

168/1 168/23 168/23 171/10 171/13 171/15 171/20 181/5 207/9 208/20 208/24 209/3 remind [2] 44/18 48/8 remit [2] 84/5 189/14 removed [1] 157/20 repeat [3] 25/1 155/13 206/13 repeatedly [1] 191/18 reinforced [1] 176/19 repeating [1] 178/18 repeats [1] 202/19 170/24 173/11 reply [3] 32/20 45/18 report [60] 11/18 25/23 29/20 31/25 38/16 38/23 44/9 44/16 45/3 45/5 45/9 45/17 46/2 46/21 50/16 50/17 50/18 53/6 92/9 92/12 92/23 92/25 112/19 113/23 114/22 114/22 121/17 121/24 122/4 122/18 122/20 123/2 123/3 123/5 123/9 123/13 124/13 124/20 135/10 136/16 137/1 137/9 137/12 137/13 137/13 137/15 137/17 145/13 146/5 146/7 146/9 147/2 153/6 153/11 164/3 164/13 167/24 171/8 200/14 201/14 reported [3] 133/8 201/25 203/17 reporting [2] 88/9 190/6 reports [5] 153/4 153/16 168/7 179/6 179/9 represent [3] 134/1 140/11 147/14 representative [3] 140/11 140/13 140/19 represented [3] 19/2 19/12 145/25 requesting [1] 204/24 requests [1] 207/6 required [6] 2/18 26/2 60/19 173/23 189/6 203/11 requires [3] 63/11 100/15 127/4 requiring [1] 47/4

161/25 162/2 167/10

139/14 156/18 160/13 safe [3] 29/9 134/6 37/7 37/10 37/25 38/1 26/5 26/16 28/10 R 38/2 38/7 38/19 38/22 167/23 178/16 179/7 134/17 28/13 28/17 28/24 research [4] 144/6 179/10 182/23 183/11 safeguarder [1] 38/25 40/9 41/15 29/2 29/19 31/3 32/20 201/5 201/9 201/10 41/22 41/24 42/4 188/9 194/7 198/16 133/3 34/1 36/6 37/17 38/6 resolved [1] 140/25 42/10 42/16 42/20 203/12 209/8 safeguarder's [1] 38/22 40/3 48/18 49/2 respect [3] 40/24 43/1 43/10 43/12 rightly [1] 190/7 120/16 50/5 50/6 52/23 56/18 64/8 72/17 43/14 43/16 46/24 57/4 65/10 65/13 rigorous [1] 91/5 safeguarding [51] respected [1] 19/4 47/10 47/13 47/16 28/6 28/9 28/11 28/15 70/14 72/3 72/7 76/13 rigour [1] 96/22 respond [11] 107/6 56/16 56/23 57/13 ring [2] 87/1 136/5 28/16 28/16 28/25 77/8 79/21 88/1 88/21 150/12 174/9 177/3 risk [8] 66/11 66/14 57/17 57/24 58/3 29/4 34/21 68/11 96/13 100/1 100/3 178/21 186/4 186/23 58/18 58/20 58/23 120/18 134/21 136/23 68/18 68/22 82/3 101/24 107/2 107/6 190/23 191/3 200/10 59/9 60/3 61/23 63/21 139/23 157/18 157/20 82/20 82/25 83/12 107/18 119/5 119/20 207/6 64/9 67/7 69/6 69/25 risk-assessed [1] 100/16 116/14 117/20 120/23 123/4 135/21 responded [2] 70/23 72/12 72/16 157/18 118/7 118/11 119/9 136/18 138/12 140/9 166/17 191/9 142/12 142/19 142/25 73/3 73/19 73/20 74/4 road [1] 95/7 119/14 120/11 133/8 response [25] 46/17 74/6 74/7 74/9 74/9 robust [2] 11/8 38/17 133/14 133/23 134/11 143/19 144/14 145/20 48/18 49/18 77/23 74/23 75/2 75/3 75/4 role [37] 2/15 2/18 134/14 134/16 135/6 151/9 159/11 161/4 87/14 114/12 134/11 163/8 165/25 166/2 75/15 76/7 76/8 76/9 2/21 3/5 3/24 14/14 135/9 136/16 136/19 136/19 136/23 147/20 76/10 77/17 77/25 18/11 44/2 44/7 52/19 136/22 136/24 137/13 169/4 169/5 171/11 147/22 147/25 148/20 94/2 112/17 114/19 52/25 53/8 53/18 140/4 142/6 147/23 174/5 176/10 179/18 156/13 187/23 193/19 124/8 124/13 124/17 54/10 66/17 67/1 149/9 149/10 183/6 180/14 180/23 181/17 193/20 193/22 194/2 141/9 141/16 143/1 81/21 82/7 82/18 189/18 189/19 189/21 184/13 185/10 197/14 195/5 195/6 195/11 144/21 144/23 146/8 83/17 83/19 86/12 190/9 190/25 191/2 199/2 206/21 207/15 195/12 197/4 199/8 160/17 160/18 162/11 89/20 89/21 91/19 193/16 196/13 saying [30] 20/22 responsibilities [2] 164/4 166/20 166/23 92/18 96/17 101/4 **safeguards** [1] 59/25 21/8 21/21 22/1 31/18 96/16 140/12 166/24 167/18 170/23 104/25 110/22 110/23 35/6 40/7 40/15 49/13 safely [1] 207/16 responsibility [21] 171/5 171/10 177/1 133/6 139/14 139/17 49/16 61/25 72/5 85/8 **safety [9]** 58/17 45/7 63/6 63/16 68/23 140/11 197/24 199/14 178/17 196/24 203/6 95/2 96/7 97/4 104/7 59/21 60/23 66/25 69/1 83/6 83/8 87/23 reviewed [13] 11/19 roles [3] 3/10 81/3 67/1 67/3 73/17 178/7 106/5 134/15 152/14 87/25 89/2 89/11 18/9 21/10 60/15 140/12 179/14 153/19 154/19 156/19 90/24 91/3 92/1 92/3 124/19 141/6 141/10 **Rong [4]** 54/3 54/4 said [44] 8/19 10/1 171/10 180/5 180/10 119/5 135/24 136/1 141/13 143/10 143/20 66/3 210/5 11/7 15/3 18/7 26/12 185/8 187/17 198/21 140/15 141/12 176/21 148/5 177/6 192/24 28/19 37/24 38/24 200/17 room [1] 26/7 responsible [7] 40/4 43/18 43/21 46/4 says [26] 31/13 37/5 reviewing [6] 21/5 root [1] 17/20 27/18 111/23 122/2 31/14 31/20 31/21 round [2] 157/7 46/23 51/6 61/10 39/6 40/21 49/18 55/7 127/16 135/23 140/2 143/13 143/18 69/13 75/25 85/10 70/3 80/5 106/4 172/3 178/7 99/1 100/22 104/3 106/17 150/23 157/1 reviews [30] 3/25 4/1 route [2] 196/25 resting [1] 84/15 4/4 7/1 7/9 7/12 7/25 197/1 114/24 119/8 124/25 161/8 161/21 166/23 result [3] 13/9 32/8 128/12 131/23 132/2 8/20 9/10 10/9 12/12 routine [1] 86/15 169/16 169/24 173/1 184/17 12/18 12/21 19/12 132/17 156/16 156/19 Royal [23] 41/21 42/4 173/18 177/5 177/13 resulted [1] 75/17 23/16 33/14 43/11 42/13 43/22 44/9 81/6 156/23 159/1 161/14 178/3 192/23 197/22 results [2] 12/22 59/18 59/23 65/12 82/1 93/4 94/13 94/20 165/2 168/9 186/2 197/23 208/18 164/4 65/25 77/16 77/21 94/23 96/5 103/22 186/17 188/18 190/7 says July [1] 192/23 resuscitate [1] 141/7 142/21 143/8 109/11 110/4 112/19 190/8 195/21 206/12 **SC [1]** 170/1 186/23 160/15 160/16 162/4 114/18 146/9 153/4 207/16 scaremongering [1] retired [2] 139/5 160/17 167/1 170/25 same [20] 2/1 22/12 164/5 158/22 183/1 rich [1] 141/1 203/6 38/3 50/8 67/8 82/13 scenario [4] 128/19 retrieving [1] 140/9 right [70] 1/23 2/7 Royal College [15] 91/5 94/17 96/22 186/14 188/5 191/16 retrospectively [1] scenarios [1] 128/1 2/10 4/14 5/8 6/9 7/1 41/21 42/13 43/22 104/14 105/5 106/6 193/4 8/7 10/10 11/15 13/6 44/9 93/4 94/20 96/5 123/6 149/23 161/23 scenes [1] 157/22 return [4] 6/22 101/5 20/19 22/7 34/10 35/8 103/22 109/11 110/4 168/16 170/13 177/16 scheduled [1] 201/3 123/1 208/19 112/19 114/18 153/4 36/17 40/12 42/4 45/1 187/23 201/15 **scope [2]** 170/23 returned [1] 209/5 47/19 52/2 56/14 160/17 203/6 sat [4] 12/7 119/17 171/3 revealed [1] 187/2 174/21 194/11 56/21 64/6 64/23 screen [9] 22/13 Royal College's [1] review [125] 7/6 8/12 64/25 66/12 66/24 42/4 satisfied [3] 154/7 140/7 144/18 144/19 9/15 9/24 10/24 13/15 68/21 73/5 74/11 **RRM [1]** 114/8 173/13 177/25 147/10 163/21 165/20 14/25 18/12 18/21 79/12 81/15 83/15 ruled [2] 73/16 73/19 save [1] 62/6 167/4 192/14 20/25 21/9 21/13 84/13 84/14 94/6 99/4 run [3] 100/20 186/11 saw [7] 13/13 23/8 scrutinise [1] 110/23 23/20 23/24 24/5 104/17 108/25 112/5 23/8 38/11 87/21 197/2 **scrutinised [2]** 15/16 24/25 25/1 25/22 26/2 115/8 118/8 118/9 running [1] 100/20 146/11 146/14 15/19 27/2 29/7 29/14 29/23 120/13 122/15 122/16 **say [87]** 4/14 8/15 9/2 runs [1] 197/7 **scrutiny [1]** 43/13 30/2 30/16 33/13 sealing [1] 157/22 123/3 125/7 129/2 10/15 10/17 11/1 33/17 33/23 33/25 131/8 131/16 133/4 12/10 12/24 15/11 second [15] 18/14 34/1 34/4 34/4 34/8 **sadly [1]** 191/19 133/5 136/13 138/3 16/25 21/12 25/16 21/4 41/16 54/20 56/9

116/16 118/14 118/23 sexual [1] 139/24 94/17 96/21 97/2 S sites [1] 2/6 121/14 137/9 204/4 **shall [1]** 138/12 100/4 100/19 102/21 sits [1] 195/20 second... [10] 70/17 share [5] 150/6 204/6 102/24 103/6 103/15 sitting [4] 26/7 80/10 94/9 94/12 sending [1] 130/12 153/15 167/3 168/12 105/4 105/6 105/16 158/11 171/23 181/3 122/17 166/3 170/12 **situation [4]** 155/19 sends [1] 32/19 181/21 109/17 111/7 113/16 170/12 176/25 204/11 senior [7] 157/14 **shared [22]** 7/7 44/13 114/8 118/11 118/13 166/23 176/15 199/2 secondarily [1] 68/1 171/6 181/9 183/10 119/23 120/7 120/23 79/4 92/20 114/23 Situational [1] secondly [1] 110/4 183/24 183/25 184/10 121/25 153/5 153/9 122/3 122/5 122/5 166/20 secretary [2] 103/5 sense [8] 14/7 15/19 154/3 160/22 161/17 122/7 125/2 125/6 six [10] 21/13 31/13 103/7 38/15 56/10 120/16 166/20 166/25 167/11 125/8 128/21 128/22 31/15 70/7 88/14 section [8] 54/20 153/17 172/7 197/11 167/14 167/19 167/21 129/10 131/10 132/6 177/6 177/19 178/15 54/20 55/6 70/21 168/2 168/25 169/7 132/15 132/15 132/16 190/21 202/13 sense-check [1] 100/17 136/21 152/10 56/10 169/13 170/13 132/17 133/20 134/24 Skelton [8] 66/4 66/5 168/16 sharing [3] 3/24 4/2 134/25 135/6 135/7 78/5 182/11 182/13 **sensitive [5]** 15/7 securing [1] 157/24 15/12 15/19 16/11 15/4 135/8 137/15 137/19 191/22 210/6 210/12 see [78] 9/25 19/23 133/20 **Sharon [5]** 90/11 137/20 137/20 144/17 skills [2] 154/17 20/1 20/19 20/21 103/5 103/8 103/13 147/20 147/22 147/23 sensitivity [3] 15/13 208/14 22/14 30/5 30/12 31/8 15/15 16/4 145/1 147/25 148/1 149/23 slightly [3] 3/1 38/14 32/18 35/5 35/7 35/12 sent [26] 17/15 19/21 **Sharon Dodd [1]** 150/24 151/2 166/2 81/19 36/18 36/20 36/25 19/23 22/17 24/8 171/22 174/3 175/6 slow [1] 81/18 103/5 37/12 42/22 48/16 186/4 189/22 190/2 29/20 36/24 41/2 41/6 she [58] 20/2 42/20 slower [1] 141/2 49/8 49/13 52/25 41/8 44/11 45/19 42/22 44/12 45/24 191/12 191/14 203/15 slowly [4] 163/7 59/16 70/22 70/23 48/11 48/13 49/9 45/25 46/1 46/4 46/4 203/17 207/6 163/8 163/8 163/9 71/17 72/12 93/15 112/17 117/12 118/19 46/5 46/23 74/14 shouldn't [9] 65/13 small [2] 121/1 132/5 93/18 93/22 93/23 137/11 164/2 164/11 82/25 83/17 83/18 99/19 99/20 113/17 smoking [1] 201/20 97/15 100/10 100/14 165/10 169/12 169/17 85/7 85/10 85/10 115/2 117/24 125/6 so [413] 106/16 112/14 112/21 solely [1] 159/24 174/6 204/16 90/12 115/4 115/5 199/15 202/5 113/25 121/23 122/13 116/13 116/14 116/16 shown [2] 22/6 77/18 some [80] 7/10 8/4 sentence [6] 40/17 123/8 124/4 125/11 40/18 61/12 95/11 116/16 116/20 117/8 **shut [5]** 157/5 172/6 9/5 13/20 14/12 21/1 125/14 125/23 128/16 137/4 200/22 117/10 117/10 117/12 190/1 191/19 205/16 21/11 25/2 25/19 135/11 135/13 135/18 **separate [5]** 56/18 117/12 118/2 118/4 sick [3] 102/10 32/23 33/15 33/23 136/16 137/3 146/9 85/1 132/18 135/20 118/6 118/11 118/12 152/15 172/25 37/24 38/1 40/5 42/23 147/10 147/17 150/22 165/8 118/13 118/14 118/14 sickest [1] 162/14 43/3 53/19 57/6 57/24 152/7 159/4 159/22 118/19 118/19 118/21 side [3] 63/23 142/8 **September [16]** 7/20 64/17 64/20 65/8 160/2 160/14 161/8 118/21 118/22 118/25 198/11 8/10 12/3 43/21 93/16 65/17 74/10 78/1 162/3 162/15 163/23 94/22 95/19 104/1 119/12 119/14 119/15|sight [1] 153/11 80/24 90/10 91/2 91/2 164/15 164/16 166/20 105/21 109/11 110/3 119/25 124/25 131/5 sighted [1] 202/10 93/21 96/12 97/3 169/15 170/7 170/11 112/9 121/13 122/24 131/11 142/10 145/2 **sign [1]** 48/19 100/7 102/25 103/16 170/17 176/24 178/13 103/17 103/18 104/4 123/13 123/19 145/4 162/10 178/24 significance [1] 192/20 194/20 197/21 116/24 116/24 116/25 series [4] 29/21 192/1 146/1 204/12 209/16 77/21 162/23 200/5 she's [4] 72/19 93/20 significant [15] 63/8 124/21 129/18 130/3 seeing [1] 39/3 serious [2] 68/17 117/7 131/5 101/15 101/20 117/18 130/4 130/25 142/2 seek [5] 24/16 29/17 shift [1] 34/7 117/23 117/24 120/4 142/7 142/10 142/24 99/21 65/2 65/3 109/23 120/21 131/22 132/9 144/6 146/3 153/24 service [6] 19/8 20/1 **short [5]** 6/11 54/1 seeking [2] 29/8 44/25 45/11 182/16 138/15 168/5 203/7 145/10 162/22 182/6 154/7 155/17 155/22 92/21 shortcoming [2] 11/2 186/3 203/10 201/11 157/17 160/7 160/14 seem [6] 24/1 24/11 services [3] 197/22 161/2 167/10 172/5 202/5 significantly [1] 96/10 117/5 166/17 197/25 198/5 shortcomings [2] 11/22 174/13 176/14 177/24 186/22 session [3] 2/18 63/14 200/20 similar [4] 32/10 68/5 181/14 182/12 184/1 seemed [2] 20/25 82/17 91/8 **shorthand** [1] 192/1 78/1 200/24 188/3 188/4 188/12 123/12 sessions [1] 90/13 **shortly [2]** 56/17 **Simon [2]** 51/25 61/6 188/23 188/23 189/10 seems [4] 71/9 set [12] 6/10 74/24 112/6 simple [2] 47/3 95/10 190/12 198/15 207/1 136/20 148/7 174/19 208/21 209/6 74/24 115/7 123/23 should [109] 15/25 **simply [4]** 21/15 **seen [30]** 5/24 6/1 140/1 141/6 142/24 16/4 24/7 28/18 31/9 158/21 168/5 178/21 **somebody [8]** 35/2 8/5 20/17 22/8 37/24 145/24 151/14 188/9 31/18 38/3 38/5 38/12 since [6] 81/2 131/20 89/1 91/23 91/24 45/10 50/7 50/8 56/7 199/25 38/19 40/11 40/11 152/24 178/6 202/13 137/20 148/15 156/19 58/7 79/15 93/17 40/12 43/23 45/4 45/6 202/16 setting [9] 4/4 14/21 191/6 123/19 129/18 131/20 63/9 68/5 120/20 45/10 46/24 51/9 single [7] 39/3 72/17 someone [12] 3/18 145/21 146/7 153/6 184/22 197/17 197/18 53/16 55/25 65/11 75/18 75/19 75/23 18/10 28/4 28/8 68/14 153/10 158/22 160/18 199/5 72/8 78/2 80/13 82/23 76/6 85/5 74/3 145/20 147/14 160/19 160/20 161/7 seven [2] 49/16 84/11 84/24 85/15 **SIO [2]** 157/14 180/4 186/1 186/17 165/10 167/5 168/19 202/13 87/2 87/6 88/17 88/18 183/10 191/9 169/4 173/3 88/22 89/13 91/1 91/4|sit [4] 1/10 78/16 someone's [2] several [4] 141/15 send [8] 116/15 142/22 180/2 185/10 91/23 92/1 93/6 94/1 138/21 143/11 184/23 189/20

35/6 37/17 38/7 39/18 station [1] 204/4 S 66/24 subsequent [3] 8/13 **specialist [2]** 150/10 40/10 48/22 50/15 **statistical** [1] 162/5 56/24 58/8 something [45] 53/4 58/2 59/17 69/10 Statistics [1] 164/20 183/7 subsequently [2] 14/24 14/25 26/23 specialists [1] 85/23 70/6 71/13 73/3 74/7 staying [1] 92/9 166/6 184/6 27/21 28/18 33/5 33/6 **Specialty' [1]** 162/13 74/13 74/16 162/4 steering [9] 3/12 **substance** [1] 123/9 33/9 34/7 37/5 43/15 **specific [15]** 14/9 164/14 164/22 3/13 3/20 19/4 19/13 **substantial [2]** 49/12 47/8 47/9 56/12 78/1 stage [19] 25/16 53/8 54/15 55/4 143/6 115/4 23/18 55/11 64/11 94/5 99/19 102/19 77/12 129/22 144/10 25/19 34/21 62/5 62/7 **step [3]** 59/14 62/14 success [1] 142/10 107/5 109/1 111/19 155/2 161/19 172/13 67/23 77/4 93/13 109/12 such [18] 6/8 11/3 117/1 119/6 127/25 181/13 183/5 188/1 100/21 114/22 120/5 **Stephen [8]** 79/5 19/11 25/19 28/12 130/21 137/8 139/18 134/23 148/17 150/5 208/24 209/3 79/16 152/2 159/10 46/16 57/20 58/1 140/23 144/5 148/20 specifically [17] 3/10 181/16 191/13 193/22 159/23 170/1 183/17 65/21 72/14 73/2 171/18 175/2 175/3 28/17 44/19 76/19 193/24 198/13 208/3 76/24 99/20 117/22 177/17 177/20 177/22 104/24 114/9 114/11 stages [3] 134/4 125/24 127/24 133/17 Stephen Cross [6] 178/1 186/8 186/10 114/17 118/4 124/18 206/25 207/9 152/2 159/10 159/23 143/13 188/11 197/20 200/14 137/10 145/11 145/18 stakeholders [1] 170/1 183/17 208/3 sudden [20] 26/20 200/19 205/22 208/5 146/4 148/24 154/22 27/11 37/16 40/8 54/24 Stephen Cross' [1] sometimes [8] 5/1 176/25 stand [2] 30/9 108/9 79/16 40/21 67/24 68/7 98/3 86/18 129/23 130/3 spell [1] 33/20 **stepped [1]** 66/17 98/17 125/25 126/12 standalone [1] 130/24 141/10 148/13 126/16 128/17 147/21 spelt [1] 39/22 142/17 **steps [3]** 141/25 188/8 somewhere [3] 32/23 spend [3] 83/24 148/5 148/8 149/1 standard [2] 27/25 154/11 188/23 130/3 130/9 72/24 Steve [10] 17/15 150/3 150/14 185/6 71/20 101/18 spent [7] 7/11 129/24 **standards** [1] 49/5 25/23 39/11 57/4 suddenly [2] 32/24 soon [7] 45/4 45/14 132/5 132/10 182/18 **standing [1]** 144/5 70/25 72/3 73/23 76/2 40/5 92/15 93/4 110/15 183/4 208/1 76/13 77/8 SUDiC [69] 67/18 **stands** [1] 139/20 150/18 150/20 spoke [3] 46/1 100/7 Steve Brearey [6] 67/19 68/3 68/6 83/20 **stark [1]** 25/19 sorry [23] 9/2 31/11 start [13] 30/1 34/10 179/23 17/15 25/23 70/25 83/22 83/25 84/10 39/25 44/18 49/24 spoken [6] 17/17 38/2 48/12 48/13 73/23 76/2 76/13 84/15 84/22 84/24 51/17 80/7 98/14 42/13 42/18 82/22 53/23 90/3 121/5 still [17] 11/19 27/2 85/1 85/5 85/12 85/18 146/12 152/8 153/15 82/23 178/10 192/13 192/16 194/7 33/15 37/18 51/20 85/23 86/1 86/9 86/24 155/13 156/15 158/5 sporadically [1] 194/21 196/1 61/22 76/11 84/20 87/2 87/6 87/13 87/19 164/25 166/12 167/8 12/20 started [8] 49/15 84/21 84/25 85/14 88/2 88/15 88/16 167/20 194/17 199/25 **spot [1]** 35/14 84/3 87/3 106/23 128/16 128/17 133/14 88/16 88/18 89/6 91/6 200/3 206/13 206/14 132/16 137/5 181/2 163/6 176/11 189/5 spreadsheet [1] 92/10 92/11 92/24 sort [21] 4/5 6/24 13/10 182/21 **stop [3]** 10/5 110/14 93/9 93/14 94/24 12/19 14/12 25/2 32/7 staccato [1] 192/11 95/11 95/23 96/1 96/4 starting [2] 53/5 110/14 37/25 38/1 46/9 50/16 96/22 97/12 97/21 staff [80] 13/12 15/15 152/1 **stops** [1] 178/8 50/19 65/17 67/14 16/10 18/17 23/9 24/2 starts [2] 106/2 98/10 98/12 98/21 straight [5] 88/10 67/21 129/24 160/4 25/10 25/18 25/20 88/18 114/13 135/11 98/23 99/14 101/4 189/25 182/24 198/14 199/18 25/21 25/21 26/4 state [6] 1/12 39/1 152/13 110/20 114/2 114/19 199/19 203/16 26/13 27/5 27/7 27/12 58/15 59/18 68/14 straightforward [2] 123/7 132/15 132/16 sorts [2] 178/20 27/17 30/20 32/1 78/17 11/4 96/8 132/18 147/19 149/3 206/10 32/11 34/5 34/9 34/12 stated [6] 9/11 46/16 184/15 184/18 185/7 strange [1] 24/1 sought [2] 6/18 62/8 71/18 166/24 167/17 34/18 38/22 38/25 **Strategic [1]** 139/11 185/9 185/11 189/12 sound [2] 46/14 **strategy [10]** 98/4 39/3 39/8 39/13 46/6 172/20 190/10 193/21 193/23 188/9 196/25 197/3 48/4 56/20 60/5 60/6 98/7 98/18 100/12 **statement [51]** 1/16 sounded [1] 208/17 68/9 69/6 69/7 70/11 100/13 143/6 157/15 **SUDiCs [1]** 147/17 1/19 7/24 8/3 8/11 sounds [2] 165/2 158/17 180/21 181/8 71/11 72/2 72/4 72/10 17/7 26/11 51/6 52/17 sufficient [3] 2/20 196/4 72/12 72/13 72/14 54/21 66/9 69/22 70/9 strong [1] 149/9 106/25 173/3 **space [1]** 187/12 72/16 72/17 73/6 70/16 70/17 71/20 **structure** [7] 4/13 suggest [11] 8/18 speak [20] 62/11 74/18 75/15 75/18 78/21 79/6 79/7 79/10 54/13 111/3 111/11 45/2 74/7 92/21 92/17 111/20 119/8 75/19 75/23 76/6 79/18 79/22 80/4 80/5 118/17 119/18 142/20 161/11 161/15 161/19 119/10 119/24 166/2 76/10 77/5 80/2 80/11 80/20 80/24 85/7 **structured** [7] 86/18 162/22 172/17 204/3 175/6 175/14 175/14 110/6 111/23 131/18 107/5 131/16 131/20 88/13 100/24 103/9 206/9 175/17 176/17 180/4 138/23 140/7 142/12 131/23 132/10 134/20 103/14 103/19 171/2 **suggested [5]** 21/5 189/22 189/22 190/3 136/24 139/22 149/22 151/8 151/15 154/1 **structures** [1] 149/11 32/14 48/25 52/13 190/18 204/4 207/14 155/21 155/23 155/24 stuff [1] 58/1 152/6 152/10 153/1 209/5 207/18 156/10 162/6 177/12 159/6 163/3 163/19 suggesting [8] 26/23 **Subhedar [12]** 1/5 speaking [6] 71/21 186/25 188/17 188/20 167/5 176/5 180/14 1/8 1/14 1/15 2/25 60/13 61/18 65/17 79/11 119/25 179/13 189/15 189/17 190/13 185/17 191/11 192/21 40/25 53/19 54/5 66/6 93/1 96/3 150/1 180/11 188/25 207/4 193/3 200/17 204/2 78/9 84/20 210/3 156/15 spearheaded [1] statements [1] staffing [28] 13/21 subject [6] 6/22 93/9 suggestion [1] 21/16 65/17 14/19 23/24 25/8 97/12 141/7 181/5 181/24 suggestions [2] special [2] 5/21 25/12 27/3 29/7 34/3 states [1] 88/5 189/5 32/20 158/20

181/18 38/11 44/4 45/7 52/7 S **suspicious** [3] 113/9 61/17 68/19 77/2 77/2 suggests [3] 46/13 115/12 149/20 92/16 94/14 94/20 97/3 150/21 129/13 153/15 154/6 **sworn [2]** 138/19 suitability [1] 148/8 210/10 169/8 169/14 169/18 **summarise [4]** 41/16 system [11] 11/2 174/8 174/10 180/15 48/20 91/11 106/4 86/21 88/12 103/3 182/5 186/21 190/9 summarised [2] 103/9 112/25 182/3 207/19 50/21 206/23 201/6 202/2 202/12 teams [1] 16/21 summarises [1] 36/4 telephone [4] 169/25 202/18 summarising [1] systematic [1] 86/22 180/15 180/17 206/11 49/9 **systems [2]** 201/5 tell [23] 7/23 8/10 summary [5] 27/4 202/16 9/14 10/8 17/7 18/4 48/14 69/19 125/14 52/23 64/22 77/9 162/15 Т 96/10 117/12 118/2 superintendent [8] table [14] 13/10 118/14 118/19 118/21 131/6 138/18 139/8 13/12 13/13 22/22 119/20 128/11 139/4 139/9 139/17 163/24 23/2 23/4 24/2 25/8 139/12 139/18 144/9 183/2 210/9 31/25 32/1 32/4 69/4 163/18 176/5 support [13] 3/7 44/6 70/12 71/14 telling [7] 28/20 54/23 61/21 107/19 take [42] 22/16 32/15 119/12 134/6 152/12 131/12 142/1 143/25 41/15 45/16 46/24 153/18 194/6 206/5 157/9 157/23 180/21 48/11 53/22 55/11 tells [1] 194/25 197/22 198/8 59/14 59/18 59/20 template [6] 98/10 supported [2] 37/23 61/6 63/25 65/7 65/9 98/12 98/22 99/7 157/13 71/6 78/25 91/25 100/11 100/20 supporting [2] 52/9 97/18 98/8 98/19 temporarily [1] 62/1 101/1 108/21 121/5 139/16 **supportive [1]** 52/13 129/15 133/11 133/13 tended [1] 200/23 suppose [7] 29/2 134/20 135/15 136/3 tension [1] 117/15 29/10 61/25 123/10 138/8 140/15 140/24 term [5] 6/11 46/11 158/22 160/3 195/21 140/24 141/25 172/22 67/25 67/25 184/19 supposed [6] 46/7 178/9 184/19 194/1 termed [1] 5/18 91/20 192/24 194/19 194/2 194/23 201/14 terms [69] 2/15 3/5 194/22 197/15 takeaway [1] 56/22 4/13 4/16 6/2 6/2 sure [34] 9/7 15/3 taken [15] 12/23 10/12 15/23 16/3 18/7 44/1 46/11 67/22 68/2 40/15 60/20 60/23 19/5 29/7 33/8 33/9 70/14 71/24 75/4 65/1 67/12 67/13 35/18 39/2 41/7 43/12 81/20 87/2 91/21 68/19 114/6 129/12 43/19 44/5 45/20 92/10 97/7 97/11 137/21 140/19 167/3 105/25 119/14 123/17 168/4 169/22 46/19 47/3 53/15 134/5 134/18 134/21 takes [5] 35/14 84/16 54/18 55/1 55/4 55/20 136/15 136/23 137/19 133/13 166/3 198/15 62/14 62/17 63/4 150/4 160/24 165/15 taking [7] 12/9 62/14 64/13 73/2 83/11 167/10 175/16 179/6 80/19 116/5 135/23 83/15 87/23 95/6 179/9 184/8 198/7 136/1 162/11 100/18 118/22 141/8 198/22 talk [5] 5/24 15/20 145/25 147/7 149/21 surgically [1] 30/3 16/20 196/1 204/1 149/25 154/4 156/12 **surprised [3]** 15/2 talked [3] 5/7 71/21 157/19 161/17 161/25 145/18 145/22 178/4 163/6 163/11 163/13 surrounding [1] talking [17] 5/10 7/12 165/18 171/2 181/21 205/1 13/14 16/12 20/2 182/8 184/19 184/21 **survival [2]** 164/20 63/25 64/16 66/20 178/14 117/21 137/20 148/23 **surviving [1]** 100/16 152/25 193/21 196/22 202/18 **Susie** [1] 79/8 201/16 201/18 206/1 text [4] 49/8 49/10 suspect [2] 188/20 talks [1] 6/1 98/16 193/13 189/16 tandem [1] 197/2 than [26] 2/22 11/22 suspected [3] 68/9 tape [8] 155/8 155/16 21/1 24/24 27/25 75/3 77/10 156/9 156/16 156/24 29/18 31/15 44/6 73/6 suspicion [5] 33/14 157/2 157/5 158/21 74/16 75/21 88/20 76/14 127/24 189/5 task [1] 188/10 97/4 97/25 100/5 206/18 TC [2] 166/24 167/17 102/8 102/13 102/17

team [25] 37/22

104/8 104/10 116/12

suspicions [1]

196/25 200/23 thank [56] 1/11 3/2 3/4 18/1 22/16 31/23 31/24 32/15 41/10 44/23 45/16 50/24 54/20 55/5 66/2 66/2 66/3 78/4 78/4 78/5 78/9 78/11 80/16 80/17 81/8 97/18 101/1 108/21 121/4 121/10 129/16 137/22 137/23 138/4 138/6 142/11 151/8 151/19 152/22 159/5 182/10 191/20 191/20 191/22 192/3 202/20 202/20 203/19 205/21 207/21 207/24 208/4 209/8 209/10 209/11 209/13 that [1651] that make [1] 187/16 that March [1] 203/2 **that's [142]** 1/18 1/23 1/25 2/7 2/10 5/5 5/14 6/4 6/9 7/4 8/7 9/8 9/13 9/17 9/17 10/10 11/5 11/15 15/25 17/20 20/10 21/5 26/22 28/18 36/17 37/5 37/11 37/14 39/10 39/20 45/1 45/7 45/15 45/15 47/20 48/1 48/24 49/3 51/4 52/3 53/15 54/11 54/12 54/16 56/14 56/21 57/8 58/12 58/14 59/15 60/2 60/8 63/7 63/20 68/25 45/22 46/3 46/5 46/16 72/15 74/5 74/15 75/10 78/22 79/13 81/10 81/13 81/15 81/23 83/10 83/13 83/16 84/14 87/16 88/20 92/6 94/14 98/22 99/5 100/6 100/23 101/20 104/9 104/17 106/8 106/13 107/11 107/14 107/24 108/25 109/6 109/15 110/19 112/5 113/19 184/25 187/13 189/15 114/3 115/15 115/24 198/23 201/16 201/18 116/20 118/9 119/10 120/13 120/19 121/21 122/16 125/7 127/3 128/7 129/2 129/13 132/6 132/19 133/5 139/15 145/21 152/3 152/12 153/7 154/3 154/8 159/20 163/3 165/21 165/22 167/6 167/19 173/18 175/3 183/3 186/21 189/13

134/24 146/5 162/8

193/12 193/13 193/21 195/3 195/4 195/11 195/20 197/14 199/9 199/23 201/19 204/12 their [41] 18/12 19/10 19/12 25/5 28/16 33/14 52/8 55/14 55/18 56/11 61/21 62/1 63/2 64/25 77/19 77/19 114/19 120/10 126/25 143/12 156/9 157/11 164/17 164/21 167/17 173/2 173/22 176/12 176/14 176/17 186/24 189/16 190/3 190/9 191/5 195/2 201/5 206/17 206/18 206/19 207/4 them [60] 5/13 6/3 8/6 9/23 11/23 14/11 23/19 38/13 39/22 47/5 48/7 54/7 62/18 64/1 64/17 64/22 65/11 65/11 82/14 86/9 90/19 92/17 103/3 105/18 109/11 111/15 111/20 123/19 125/1 128/17 128/18 130/4 130/18 140/15 145/19 148/18 151/3 153/22 154/19 158/9 159/17 163/19 166/21 167/17 168/4 171/10 175/18 177/21 180/6 180/11 181/14 186/24 188/23 194/25 195/1 195/17 196/1 198/5 199/6 206/12 Thematic [33] 13/15 18/20 23/20 23/24 25/22 30/1 33/13 33/17 37/7 38/6 38/19 38/22 38/25 41/14 42/10 42/16 43/16 47/10 47/12 47/16 56/15 56/23 57/13 57/24 58/23 59/9 69/25 70/23 74/4 74/6 74/9 74/23 178/17 theme [12] 30/12 110/16 110/17 110/18 32/23 39/7 39/23 40/3 40/7 40/9 40/16 40/19 40/21 59/17 115/15 themes [2] 30/25 39/6 themselves [3] 8/8 65/3 190/15 then [95] 6/13 9/23 10/1 10/23 10/25 12/2 12/3 12/14 21/13 26/14 26/15 30/19 31/13 32/16 40/18 40/18 44/14 50/5 52/11 59/12 60/22

47/6 51/23 52/7 52/10 153/8 154/7 157/22 187/20 189/17 190/12 85/2 110/6 111/7 52/10 55/7 55/25 57/1 160/15 178/20 179/25 191/16 192/14 194/19 115/14 131/11 133/18 then... [74] 63/5 67/9 58/4 60/12 60/15 190/9 195/12 196/14 197/14 198/9 198/10 134/23 134/25 135/4 68/1 68/20 70/3 70/5 60/15 60/16 61/9 62/8 198/10 200/16 199/25 206/24 138/11 146/24 155/4 70/9 71/5 71/11 76/11 62/9 62/12 64/2 64/10 think [207] 3/16 6/3 thinking [15] 24/9 161/24 171/17 171/22 77/6 80/10 84/10 64/11 64/18 65/1 65/2 11/1 11/5 11/5 11/15 33/9 72/5 73/10 117/8 184/10 186/4 84/22 85/25 87/5 65/3 65/4 82/10 82/13 13/4 14/5 14/6 14/10 117/20 117/23 119/15 thoughts [1] 59/2 94/21 95/19 100/16 126/11 127/25 132/19 thread [1] 106/2 82/15 83/3 86/7 87/2 14/13 15/4 15/25 16/3 101/19 101/23 102/7 87/3 87/3 87/4 87/5 16/6 16/9 16/13 16/16 135/7 163/14 186/7 threatened [1] 180/7 102/11 103/12 108/4 87/5 87/18 88/13 16/22 20/16 21/8 22/6 187/5 three [37] 4/20 4/21 108/5 108/18 113/15 88/18 89/1 89/13 22/24 23/3 23/3 23/15 third [7] 11/18 50/1 7/16 8/12 8/18 9/9 115/14 129/11 135/2 89/16 91/1 91/16 24/7 24/12 26/8 26/14 50/2 55/16 93/23 10/8 10/12 10/13 147/4 150/8 151/5 10/15 10/18 11/10 91/21 92/17 92/17 26/18 26/18 27/1 27/9 171/15 194/15 151/25 152/13 152/17 27/20 28/18 31/2 31/8 THIRLWALL [2] 96/18 100/20 102/4 11/22 11/25 12/6 12/7 152/20 152/23 157/10 102/6 102/9 102/10 31/11 32/11 33/17 207/23 210/14 13/25 14/17 14/18 157/20 162/15 163/18 102/11 102/13 103/2 34/14 34/23 34/24 this [343] 19/23 23/4 46/25 47/4 165/6 165/8 165/23 35/17 35/24 36/1 36/6 this November [1] 103/15 105/15 107/18 50/2 88/3 89/24 167/16 168/6 169/24 108/3 108/5 108/14 37/9 37/13 37/23 38/3 13/5 101/12 102/5 102/9 171/14 173/16 175/20 38/5 38/8 38/19 38/24 thorough [2] 76/7 108/18 110/5 110/12 128/3 151/19 157/25 178/22 181/7 182/3 113/4 114/20 114/24 39/1 39/16 40/6 40/12 76/8 165/17 169/10 169/15 185/8 185/24 188/2 119/22 120/1 127/24 40/24 40/25 42/12 172/18 188/13 those [123] 2/8 3/13 188/14 189/21 195/8 131/9 132/19 132/23 42/22 43/6 44/12 45/6 4/3 4/5 4/21 6/1 6/2 three days [1] 19/23 196/17 197/7 201/3 6/19 7/3 7/6 7/12 7/19 three years [1] 133/7 133/12 134/4 47/3 47/12 47/22 201/19 202/11 202/16 134/4 134/6 134/6 47/23 47/24 49/11 8/1 8/24 9/5 9/18 157/25 202/17 203/24 204/1 134/7 141/24 142/3 49/22 51/3 51/15 52/4 10/12 10/14 10/14 three-page [1] 23/4 205/2 208/8 208/10 143/12 146/8 148/9 52/24 53/7 53/10 10/18 12/7 13/5 13/22 threshold [4] 34/22 208/15 148/18 150/4 150/5 53/17 56/8 56/17 14/3 14/16 14/18 59/3 59/10 59/12 there [364] 150/6 150/6 151/2 57/20 59/24 63/5 18/10 18/12 18/15 through [25] 11/23 there any [1] 187/15 154/1 155/11 155/12 64/21 65/6 65/9 66/10 18/18 23/10 23/11 29/25 33/10 48/17 there's [14] 10/21 156/8 160/1 160/21 66/11 66/15 66/16 23/17 24/6 24/11 60/2 60/10 63/19 35/14 95/13 96/11 100/20 101/7 125/9 160/22 161/22 161/23 66/22 67/11 67/12 24/14 27/8 27/10 104/19 137/5 145/8 164/17 164/18 164/21 67/14 67/15 68/2 28/25 31/2 31/9 32/6 129/25 141/22 141/25 149/19 155/7 166/3 167/5 167/14 167/21 69/13 71/20 72/3 32/12 34/5 39/1 40/6 141/25 143/12 143/14 166/24 167/18 201/12 167/22 167/25 170/5 72/15 72/19 74/6 42/11 43/5 45/14 143/24 164/18 164/21 202/11 170/10 171/1 171/7 74/11 77/8 77/24 50/19 56/22 57/2 167/4 170/14 188/24 thereafter [1] 56/15 171/7 171/10 171/17 78/25 81/19 84/13 57/22 57/24 58/2 58/3 191/25 201/17 207/19 therefore [4] 15/17 173/3 173/12 173/13 84/21 86/1 90/24 58/25 59/2 59/18 throughout [2] 73/22 65/11 141/18 162/13 174/13 174/19 175/17 91/16 93/6 94/18 99/3 59/22 60/24 62/6 76/25 therein [1] 8/8 104/3 104/23 105/3 64/12 65/12 65/25 175/19 176/12 176/13 thrust [2] 106/7 these [48] 6/25 28/21 176/15 176/16 176/16 105/16 105/17 106/25 69/15 69/17 72/7 107/9 30/21 31/14 37/8 55/9 177/3 177/3 178/10 107/2 107/15 109/7 72/13 72/23 74/5 Thursday [1] 209/20 55/20 64/2 64/17 72/4 179/20 179/23 179/23 109/16 109/23 110/1 75/25 76/4 76/5 76/17 tick [2] 128/17 74/25 75/8 88/5 90/18 179/24 179/25 179/25 111/22 113/12 113/19 79/10 84/4 87/23 128/18 100/18 100/24 102/3 180/1 180/3 180/5 90/13 90/14 90/25 ticked [3] 126/2 114/6 115/4 116/1 102/20 103/3 103/4 180/6 180/8 180/9 117/10 118/10 123/14 92/5 110/10 111/1 126/20 126/22 103/6 103/6 105/17 180/9 180/12 184/4 128/22 131/10 131/23 124/24 130/11 137/24 tiered [1] 181/6 127/25 129/25 131/24 time [152] 2/20 5/18 184/5 188/6 189/16 132/1 132/11 132/22 144/4 149/16 149/17 140/18 141/14 148/10 5/23 5/24 7/11 10/18 189/22 190/3 190/16 133/12 134/4 134/7 151/24 153/16 153/25 151/21 161/2 161/25 190/17 190/18 191/19 134/10 134/19 135/5 154/1 154/25 157/12 11/3 11/7 14/23 17/8 162/1 176/11 177/1 137/15 137/17 138/11 158/18 160/11 160/18 17/20 17/25 18/7 194/13 194/24 197/2 178/6 178/20 178/20 197/2 198/4 198/19 142/10 142/20 145/14 161/4 161/5 161/16 21/15 23/11 24/12 179/11 179/18 179/25 198/24 199/15 201/7 146/19 147/9 148/19 167/5 174/3 175/16 25/22 27/1 27/15 28/1 180/1 182/7 187/14 204/6 205/12 205/13 153/10 153/25 154/2 177/6 180/3 181/13 28/1 29/16 32/13 34/6 201/23 201/24 205/2 34/19 37/4 38/21 39/9 206/10 207/3 207/6 157/17 160/8 160/12 181/13 182/10 191/17 205/15 160/20 160/24 160/24 191/18 196/14 196/24 41/8 41/25 42/1 43/3 207/15 they [200] 6/7 6/7 thing [9] 32/7 67/21 161/1 161/16 161/22 199/12 201/9 202/2 49/4 52/16 53/11 58/7 8/19 9/12 10/23 11/11 74/11 85/17 90/9 163/5 165/1 165/4 202/11 203/8 205/11 59/2 59/18 59/20 62/8 15/17 15/18 15/24 166/12 166/12 166/13 206/9 207/9 207/21 66/15 66/19 66/23 113/2 113/6 131/22 18/9 18/12 19/1 19/9 160/13 167/8 167/14 167/21 though [7] 123/22 67/11 67/12 67/14 19/9 19/10 19/12 things [27] 4/5 4/9 168/19 176/2 179/1 149/8 168/9 172/7 67/14 67/19 71/18 19/14 21/23 25/16 71/25 72/18 73/11 6/24 7/23 21/3 57/24 180/10 182/11 182/18 180/5 208/17 208/17 25/20 26/3 26/9 32/11 58/24 58/25 72/7 183/18 183/20 183/22 thought [26] 7/7 21/1 73/21 73/22 74/17 33/14 38/11 38/12 75/25 76/4 76/5 79/6 184/22 184/24 185/2 24/23 25/24 31/17 74/19 74/19 75/15 43/19 43/20 43/21 96/13 108/9 148/17 185/7 186/5 187/18 33/11 35/4 49/15 68/6 76/25 77/1 79/17 80/3

tone [3] 47/21 171/20 138/24 206/5 166/23 175/21 193/11 46/14 56/12 60/11 T 171/21 trust [10] 2/3 28/15 200/5 62/21 67/5 74/4 88/1 time... [90] 86/21 Tony [4] 159/10 underlined [1] 52/1 56/2 66/18 67/2 95/1 101/12 104/21 86/22 88/12 89/14 159/23 166/22 204/13 154/17 161/9 164/2 193/13 107/3 108/22 108/24 89/16 89/18 90/17 Tony Chambers [4] 190/2 underneath [1] 109/13 109/24 135/19 91/4 91/14 95/14 96/1 159/10 159/23 166/22 **try [7]** 24/8 131/1 152/13 135/25 139/11 142/16 102/22 102/23 103/24 204/13 141/15 141/24 142/1 144/12 152/25 153/19 underpinning [1] 104/11 105/7 105/18 too [5] 68/6 95/25 193/4 200/2 153/20 155/17 156/8 19/10 108/23 109/2 109/4 107/17 116/2 188/15 trying [10] 24/3 28/4 understand [27] 5/9 156/9 156/25 157/5 111/22 111/25 113/13 took [6] 52/14 129/5 65/10 107/12 135/15 8/24 11/6 22/17 24/9 157/18 162/7 162/11 113/16 113/20 114/23 129/5 129/14 188/23 153/23 155/20 156/10 27/15 35/4 35/9 39/11 162/14 164/21 176/14 116/2 116/12 117/7 172/6 205/20 46/11 71/25 72/10 185/1 185/14 208/13 117/17 119/25 120/2 turn [2] 55/3 204/3 top [11] 27/21 93/22 78/23 100/18 109/4 units [15] 4/15 4/23 120/8 120/22 120/24 124/3 144/22 150/22 118/25 134/16 149/19 4/23 5/13 6/8 10/4 turns [1] 193/6 121/2 124/6 124/11 152/17 153/3 171/15 **TV [1]** 159/4 171/17 185/4 185/17 10/4 11/14 12/15 126/4 126/15 127/11 176/25 178/19 208/6 Twinned [1] 67/1 185/23 186/12 187/16 14/10 15/24 49/4 49/7 128/22 129/12 129/24 topic [6] 14/16 42/12 two [48] 2/6 4/9 4/10 193/2 203/16 206/24 64/17 96/17 130/9 130/21 132/5 unlawful [1] 162/22 92/10 97/20 107/15 4/11 6/13 6/25 10/14 understanding [14] 133/16 135/5 137/11 135/9 11/16 12/2 13/25 9/9 13/8 34/10 34/20 unleashing [1] 137/15 139/21 141/16 topics [1] 192/9 18/16 19/24 27/10 41/16 83/21 85/21 190/14 141/18 143/3 143/9 35/9 54/6 55/11 62/25 143/23 144/2 150/13 total [1] 13/11 unless [1] 144/4 145/19 147/20 149/6 touch [1] 205/22 64/25 71/9 77/11 84/2 184/25 185/3 189/16 unlikely [1] 142/16 149/8 149/15 150/11 touched [1] 121/17 84/6 86/12 94/8 96/2 195/20 unnatural [1] 205/3 150/16 152/15 153/24 towards [8] 12/3 61/7 96/15 99/3 99/10 understood [8] 36/9 unnecessary [1] 153/24 154/9 156/5 62/4 64/3 90/3 93/22 101/5 101/12 102/5 50/21 69/3 69/9 92/4 170/15 159/1 159/19 159/23 126/16 200/7 102/8 102/16 103/10 95/16 145/25 179/2 unpleasantness [1] 161/13 161/24 165/14 trace [1] 164/18 112/8 121/18 122/19 undertake [2] 41/22 47/25 177/11 178/5 179/1 128/5 130/5 151/18 tracker [1] 203/7 46/4 unpublished [1] 179/25 181/4 183/19 tragedy [1] 120/6 157/25 160/16 164/7 undertaken [5] 38/21 147/4 185/3 187/11 188/7 60/19 67/8 73/3 77/17 unsure [1] 119/13 171/5 174/15 178/23 trail [1] 174/1 192/6 195/9 198/1 trailed [1] 10/13 196/14 198/9 undertaking [1] 41/5 untangle [1] 133/25 198/15 198/22 199/13 trained [1] 89/4 two months [4] 4/10 unexpected [40] until [14] 9/24 37/21 208/2 training [11] 85/3 4/11 6/25 103/10 25/25 26/20 29/22 38/3 64/23 65/22 timeframe [1] 20/5 85/16 88/25 89/23 two weeks [1] 164/7 31/4 47/6 56/11 57/3 66/14 73/3 103/22 timeframes [1] 139/6 89/25 90/5 90/8 90/10 two years [2] 77/11 57/7 67/10 67/24 129/5 136/9 183/22 timeline [5] 122/21 91/10 91/22 91/24 74/10 83/22 84/10 185/19 185/23 209/19 96/2 160/21 169/21 187/13 trainings [1] 90/15 type [3] 136/12 84/23 86/2 86/8 87/12 until July [1] 38/3 209/4 trajectories [1] 50/9 193/20 207/7 87/24 88/8 88/24 unusual [9] 9/14 timeliness [1] 142/1 90/19 94/1 97/1 98/3 **typed [1]** 106/10 24/13 26/9 69/10 transactional [1] times [5] 15/11 30/2 **types [2]** 88/3 185/5 98/17 99/2 125/25 69/14 85/14 141/9 196/7 70/8 94/16 130/25 transfer [1] 6/8 126/11 126/12 126/17 177/8 186/18 timing [4] 30/5 30/7 transferred [4] 6/17 128/17 141/19 147/21 unwell [1] 30/17 30/14 35/16 102/6 102/10 185/8 ultimate [1] 160/5 148/5 148/8 149/1 up [53] 4/4 17/3 22/2 today [2] 66/21 84/15 unable [1] 10/17 150/4 150/14 186/19 31/7 37/15 37/20 38/4 transparent [1] together [6] 9/22 unanimous [1] 188/20 38/5 49/14 50/9 70/15 170/18 26/7 149/12 164/17 115/10 74/12 84/2 84/6 84/13 transport [2] 44/24 unexpectedly [5] 165/12 202/2 unascertained [4] 32/25 40/5 67/4 84/3 89/6 93/13 97/15 45/11 told [49] 9/4 13/1 125/12 125/22 128/11 treat [1] 190/22 125/21 97/23 97/24 101/12 18/5 18/22 19/16 128/16 treatment [2] 55/22 unexplained [15] 106/1 111/12 114/4 19/18 20/7 26/19 unavailability [1] 114/10 115/14 119/13 177/4 25/25 29/22 31/4 26/20 27/10 33/8 42/9 trend [2] 103/22 33/19 47/7 56/11 57/3 122/22 124/2 130/3 34/21 39/13 45/4 106/22 unaware [1] 185/18 57/7 67/10 74/10 88/8 150/8 151/23 163/19 79/15 79/20 86/7 unbalanced [2] 95/3 125/25 141/19 165/5 165/22 169/5 trends [3] 126/7 87/21 90/19 91/12 168/10 168/21 169/10 169/10 172/4 200/9 200/13 164/1 93/1 95/11 99/3 99/13 uncertain [3] 21/6 trial [1] 181/21 uniform [1] 182/21 177/19 186/11 188/10 101/7 105/2 110/17 43/19 186/21 tried [3] 130/24 142/9 unintentionally [2] 189/22 194/5 197/20 110/18 114/3 115/3 unclear [1] 149/25 199/2 199/4 199/7 186/23 34/18 60/7 116/7 116/11 116/16 uncomfortable [2] unit [61] 4/1 5/15 trigger [1] 74/1 200/18 204/3 205/8 116/20 126/23 130/20 15/21 16/11 5/16 5/19 5/20 5/21 206/15 208/6 triggered [2] 29/4 131/5 146/25 160/15 under [18] 2/21 8/12 5/22 6/6 6/11 6/14 **update** [1] 135/13 67/21 161/13 184/1 190/24 14/22 35/24 36/7 triggers [1] 63/12 6/14 6/17 9/22 11/23 updated [1] 20/10 200/16 200/20 203/2 37/22 98/20 115/6 12/14 13/3 17/5 18/24 uplift [1] 6/9 Triplets [1] 178/23 205/7 205/14 205/23 true [7] 1/19 45/15 115/22 115/25 135/18 19/12 19/25 20/4 28/2 upon [8] 96/4 121/17 206/2 135/21 153/1 155/8 63/7 78/25 120/17 41/18 46/6 46/12 123/8 126/25 130/2

## U **upon... [3]** 167/7 190/15 205/22 upset [1] 64/22 urgency [2] 75/24 189/6 urgent [3] 73/19 76/9 77/25 urgently [1] 73/17 us [81] 5/9 7/23 8/6 8/10 9/4 9/7 9/14 10/6 10/8 10/8 15/10 17/7 18/4 18/22 19/17 19/18 26/20 33/8 33/10 33/12 44/3 44/8 45/8 52/23 79/1 79/2 79/15 79/20 80/24 84/13 87/21 88/16 90/19 91/12 93/20 95/4 95/11 99/3 99/13 100/18 101/5 101/7 105/2 114/3 115/3 116/16 116/20 122/21 126/10 126/16 126/24 127/6 127/8 127/23 128/8 128/11 129/8 130/7 130/10 130/12 130/20 131/5 132/3 139/4 139/12 139/18 144/9 163/18 165/7 168/7 176/5 178/8 179/5 192/15 194/19 200/16 200/20 205/7 205/14 205/23 206/2 use [3] 108/23 156/10 205/9 used [24] 5/16 5/24 76/17 82/8 86/16 90/9 90/11 90/11 90/12 91/5 98/11 98/25 99/15 99/21 100/1 100/4 103/12 115/4 137/8 137/9 137/11 148/10 149/3 193/1 useful [4] 64/3 148/21 149/6 151/14 using [3] 68/3 87/13 156/2 usual [4] 9/17 74/1 75/21 75/22 usually [3] 96/1 99/9 137/7

## V

Vale [1] 82/1 valid [4] 57/15 59/19 60/17 64/3 value [2] 174/14 175/11 values [2] 55/8 55/9 variant [1] 15/11 various [6] 27/6 81/3 94/16 140/18 164/19

168/7 version [18] 20/8 22/9 22/17 25/7 35/13 35/21 41/2 41/5 41/6 121/23 122/13 122/17 146/14 146/17 146/19 147/4 153/12 203/6 versions [4] 22/8 41/11 121/18 122/19 very [67] 3/1 3/2 6/3 40/7 40/8 42/11 50/24 53/22 62/13 65/22 65/22 68/17 77/8 78/9 78/11 81/18 81/18 85/24 86/18 86/22 86/22 88/12 88/22 89/9 95/10 96/7 97/18 ward [3] 178/7 97/24 100/23 101/1 101/15 101/20 103/9 106/12 106/15 108/21 warrant [2] 173/4 110/11 110/25 115/3 117/18 117/23 118/16 was [729] 132/4 133/19 138/3 138/5 144/10 152/25 156/9 156/14 158/10 158/12 159/2 163/15 168/5 180/9 181/10 181/13 182/1 182/8 185/19 196/7 197/21 201/2 202/21 207/21 209/11 via [2] 86/16 190/10 view [26] 18/15 19/8 24/20 29/6 39/20 57/13 57/19 58/18 61/21 61/22 62/1 62/22 65/10 99/18 107/16 109/13 120/9 122/11 124/22 125/4 163/15 176/7 176/19 177/16 179/24 205/3 views [3] 151/1 167/17 189/10 vigour [1] 94/17 virtue [1] 52/12 visible [2] 156/9 158/11 vision [1] 54/21 visit [1] 180/24 visitors [1] 83/3 visits [1] 181/1 vitally [2] 193/15 194/8 voice [5] 55/17 56/1 62/19 175/7 175/18 volume [1] 143/14 vulnerability [1] 139/23

## W

wait [2] 9/24 88/20 waiting [1] 142/4 want [36] 22/9 27/3 29/11 41/1 41/8 45/13

45/22 47/25 49/17 51/4 52/8 52/9 54/6 55/11 61/8 64/22 64/22 65/1 65/2 65/3 70/1 71/6 72/21 77/3 78/25 85/20 111/5 111/14 111/16 117/11 150/6 179/4 179/5 179/10 206/17 209/1 wanted [10] 25/24 27/2 45/24 45/24 126/10 132/23 193/9 202/22 203/21 205/22 wanting [3] 42/16 76/22 158/24 wants [1] 88/16 184/24 185/8 wards [1] 179/17 173/15 wasn't [42] 9/14 10/25 11/8 12/16 14/8 19/14 23/1 27/6 27/7 27/24 31/22 36/12 44/16 47/13 49/6 51/22 52/4 58/9 60/14 118/25 120/25 122/6 60/25 62/11 67/20 68/8 72/23 73/7 73/10 75/3 75/13 75/13 76/24 95/18 96/3 97/13 117/18 119/19 134/6 149/3 157/21 185/23 196/17 202/5 202/10 way [50] 9/7 10/22 22/3 26/22 35/20 52/10 52/25 68/13 73/10 84/19 89/6 91/5 91/5 92/2 92/4 92/7 92/8 96/25 97/2 97/4 97/5 100/19 103/2 103/14 103/19 110/19 191/20 192/7 207/25 110/20 112/25 113/12 210/10 114/6 114/7 123/11 125/9 129/7 137/18 149/5 155/11 155/17 156/4 160/3 168/10 168/21 171/3 172/6 180/12 199/10 205/19 205/20 207/13 207/14 ways [3] 7/13 86/12 103/12 we [475] we're [1] 189/25

we've [1] 208/1

Wedd [1] 83/18

2/19 2/24 82/17

99/25

weakness [1] 53/18

website [2] 99/24

Wednesday [1] 1/1

week [6] 2/16 2/18

127/12 weekly [1] 2/22 weeks [8] 13/25 64/18 77/22 88/14 163/25 164/7 178/15 185/10 weighing [1] 118/22 weight [4] 127/20 161/12 161/12 161/18 welcomed [1] 43/11 welfare [1] 157/12 well [76] 5/9 5/24 10/17 16/18 19/9 25/12 31/21 32/3 32/10 33/12 34/3 34/14 36/10 36/20 38/24 39/10 39/15 40/17 41/13 45/11 53/22 57/10 59/24 60/21 62/10 68/17 72/20 73/8 74/15 74/20 75/6 77/1 77/8 77/15 85/18 94/15 96/25 102/25 105/7 106/10 106/21 108/15 109/12 112/6 113/3 113/5 113/18 116/10 126/6 126/18 133/24 134/15 147/1 158/8 163/3 164/4 165/10 167/16 183/9 184/24 186/7 187/15 188/8 188/12 191/8 193/6 193/25 196/18 197/2 198/17 201/22 206/15 207/17 Wenham [20] 131/6 132/14 138/17 138/18 138/22 140/9 144/19 159/6 159/19 163/24 165/7 165/22 176/6 179/15 182/11 182/14 went [8] 9/25 52/10 104/23 105/18 131/12 153/13 182/21 195/8 were [281] weren't [20] 5/22 9/12 24/25 43/11 49/4 53/13 57/25 58/2 58/3 65/24 68/2 105/15 110/18 144/14 147/16 148/10 178/10 180/6 186/19 189/23 West [5] 4/19 53/12 82/1 183/20 183/21 what [210] 3/5 3/22 4/17 8/10 9/14 9/25 11/7 12/24 13/1 13/14 13/21 15/12 15/24 18/4 18/6 18/22 20/1 21/23 24/9 24/22

26/16 26/22 32/13 33/17 34/1 34/8 34/11 34/12 34/20 35/7 35/19 35/20 36/6 38/6 40/7 42/19 43/7 43/14 44/19 45/24 45/25 46/11 47/5 47/21 49/13 49/18 51/14 51/17 52/15 52/23 53/15 55/22 57/14 57/19 59/20 59/25 60/2 60/24 61/10 61/25 65/1 65/1 65/3 67/5 67/18 68/10 72/9 72/19 74/5 74/15 75/4 76/23 77/16 77/17 79/2 80/8 81/22 83/21 85/21 88/22 91/11 91/16 92/18 93/15 94/18 95/14 98/5 98/15 98/20 99/16 100/3 100/14 100/22 102/17 104/8 104/21 106/4 106/5 107/6 109/18 109/25 113/19 116/7 116/20 116/21 118/2 118/4 118/21 118/24 119/13 119/20 120/1 120/17 123/23 124/17 124/25 127/4 127/8 128/20 131/19 132/6 132/11 132/23 133/2 133/12 134/3 134/4 134/6 134/13 136/9 136/19 137/10 137/14 140/1 140/17 140/17 145/25 146/5 146/23 150/4 152/12 153/20 154/16 154/17 154/19 155/3 155/21 156/13 158/19 159/3 159/4 161/12 161/12 164/15 165/25 166/6 168/13 168/14 168/19 168/21 170/17 171/17 171/20 171/21 173/18 173/21 173/25 174/2 174/16 175/22 180/5 180/7 180/9 181/3 181/18 184/6 186/2 187/4 187/9 187/13 187/16 188/21 189/16 189/18 190/6 190/11 190/23 190/24 193/9 193/21 194/6 194/23 195/4 195/4 195/21 197/14 197/17 198/6 204/5 204/21 204/22 205/5 206/6 206/10 206/12 206/12 207/15 207/16 208/9 208/15 what's [14] 104/4 104/19 105/5 105/19 107/2 112/7 129/24

25/12 26/5 29/12 166/4 166/9 179/5 W whichever [2] 52/10 witness [13] 1/4 1/6 34/17 41/7 45/7 47/13 52/13 179/20 1/16 1/19 7/23 8/11 what's... [7] 150/5 48/8 51/9 51/19 52/14 while [5] 36/5 140/9 wide [1] 140/2 78/12 79/18 131/16 167/6 167/16 171/12 165/22 183/16 197/20 widely [2] 153/4 138/11 200/16 201/24 56/10 59/1 59/17 181/19 186/12 204/17 whilst [5] 59/22 71/18 75/16 75/16 153/9 206/4 whatever [5] 52/7 76/21 76/21 77/17 60/18 133/11 143/12 widen [1] 101/3 witnesses [1] 64/20 60/18 63/9 72/24 77/18 83/22 87/2 87/6 wider [7] 7/8 10/3 162/11 Women's [5] 2/2 2/8 157/1 87/19 88/7 101/17 36/9 39/21 82/7 95/5 102/4 102/7 103/17 white [6] 155/8 when [87] 9/4 12/21 111/4 114/1 114/8 155/16 156/16 156/24 172/20 wonder [11] 1/5 2/25 12/21 12/22 16/4 16/8 115/22 117/12 118/22 157/2 157/5 23/12 53/20 69/15 width [1] 153/21 16/16 23/8 26/6 26/19 120/11 120/17 121/2 who [85] 3/9 3/18 wilfully [2] 27/25 78/13 79/1 97/23 27/21 29/14 33/14 124/24 132/14 132/16 3/19 8/14 9/21 11/16 72/21 104/19 121/2 150/24 34/1 34/23 36/24 137/19 138/24 148/19 13/3 15/18 19/2 23/10 will [66] 6/2 7/19 8/22 wondered [1] 105/2 37/21 38/22 43/2 44/2 150/24 153/8 175/9 23/11 25/18 27/7 8/23 9/7 10/11 16/19 wondering [1] 56/19 57/4 59/2 59/3 179/9 188/24 189/10 27/12 29/15 32/12 21/9 30/1 36/19 41/6 136/20 59/3 59/5 59/18 61/4 191/1 191/11 192/1 34/5 34/6 34/9 35/2 41/13 44/15 48/12 word [4] 27/3 37/13 61/4 61/12 62/19 63/7 197/25 199/11 199/14 36/10 36/13 46/8 48/16 52/18 53/19 47/25 80/14 63/8 63/17 64/14 202/25 46/13 51/15 53/2 53/22 53/23 55/14 wording [1] 136/13 64/16 71/24 72/12 which [150] 2/19 53/14 55/21 61/14 55/17 60/23 80/17 words [4] 76/17 76/13 76/15 77/7 4/19 5/6 5/19 5/20 62/6 67/20 67/25 68/4 81/19 87/1 87/3 87/4 204/22 205/2 205/11 80/24 90/7 90/14 5/22 8/25 10/3 10/19 69/6 74/17 77/8 79/8 87/5 92/11 93/13 work [25] 2/5 2/22 105/25 106/20 109/7 10/22 13/11 13/12 79/10 79/22 82/23 93/14 93/18 98/15 2/23 11/23 13/24 109/11 110/10 111/21 17/4 17/15 17/16 83/23 84/2 85/22 103/10 105/25 110/13 21/22 29/25 33/10 115/2 122/24 123/1 19/21 19/22 20/8 89/11 90/11 90/11 112/6 115/20 119/21 60/12 60/18 81/14 126/24 127/18 131/19 20/10 20/11 21/7 22/2 96/15 98/6 103/5 121/5 121/5 122/23 101/17 107/22 110/20 141/17 144/10 144/19 25/25 26/3 27/6 28/22 103/7 105/4 105/14 124/2 125/13 129/22 110/20 110/24 148/11 147/14 148/4 148/9 31/25 34/4 36/2 37/24 108/14 113/3 119/9 130/17 133/13 135/10 149/12 156/10 158/12 149/19 154/24 156/6 135/11 150/10 151/6 38/20 39/13 41/4 125/20 128/4 128/5 176/14 179/18 186/10 157/6 161/21 163/8 41/12 41/24 43/14 128/9 137/20 145/2 151/24 163/19 173/7 197/15 197/17 164/6 164/19 171/25 44/25 46/3 46/6 48/14 148/16 149/23 149/24 175/3 175/23 190/22 worked [5] 139/8 172/5 174/23 177/17 49/21 50/18 52/10 156/20 157/19 158/8 193/6 195/1 195/2 156/20 183/20 183/21 178/9 179/1 181/16 52/15 53/3 55/3 56/23 160/7 160/10 161/9 200/9 201/7 202/16 197/18 181/21 185/3 186/23 166/1 166/14 172/22 204/11 206/11 207/15 working [16] 2/5 2/23 58/16 60/4 61/9 61/10 194/11 199/1 201/3 6/19 7/14 41/2 81/2 62/3 63/19 63/23 64/9 176/3 178/4 178/15 willing [1] 21/2 202/9 203/23 205/7 67/8 69/4 69/19 70/9 179/11 184/3 184/3 willingness [1] 82/2 82/6 88/19 95/24 205/23 70/21 71/13 74/20 184/5 195/1 195/15 149/15 153/1 157/11 189/5 where [54] 5/9 7/12 78/1 79/4 79/6 79/16 195/16 199/12 203/15 window [2] 31/10 196/11 196/19 199/12 11/18 28/1 31/22 82/17 82/17 82/25 who's [8] 46/7 89/17 99/3 workload [1] 2/15 33/15 36/25 48/6 195/15 196/11 196/18 Wirral [2] 103/8 84/7 84/12 85/8 85/8 works [3] 112/25 49/24 59/19 60/1 85/12 86/13 86/14 196/19 196/19 203/14 103/13 129/7 207/13 61/17 63/3 63/25 86/14 87/13 87/14 whoever [1] 145/19 wise [2] 73/23 208/19 world [1] 82/19 64/10 70/21 71/10 88/4 88/9 88/14 89/13 whole [5] 53/12 wish [7] 24/16 38/9 worried [8] 27/11 81/24 82/21 84/16 90/25 91/18 92/4 92/4 76/16 89/8 94/4 96/6 58/24 78/24 79/2 28/21 119/7 119/20 85/5 85/12 94/9 94/10 95/1 95/1 98/15 98/16 whom [6] 31/4 32/25 79/25 174/13 120/1 148/18 152/13 94/23 104/11 108/14 98/24 99/7 99/13 34/2 46/1 61/13 73/23 within [52] 3/10 4/19 179/14 108/17 125/21 128/16 99/19 101/6 101/19 4/22 5/12 20/4 36/12 whomever [1] 61/24 worry [1] 37/18 135/11 140/18 148/14 109/9 110/24 112/2 whose [2] 64/1 89/2 44/16 49/7 54/22 would [316] 149/12 149/21 172/4 112/3 113/7 113/12 why [58] 15/25 17/11 55/22 56/1 56/25 would've [1] 188/22 172/4 172/21 173/13 120/7 121/19 122/2 23/12 24/8 27/22 66/10 66/17 67/1 67/2 wouldn't [17] 15/6 176/15 187/6 195/20 123/9 124/19 125/24 29/11 31/8 33/11 71/14 79/24 83/6 23/14 37/8 75/24 196/10 196/23 196/24 126/12 126/13 126/15 33/24 37/12 45/22 88/11 88/19 92/3 108/9 111/4 111/13 199/6 199/18 199/24 126/16 127/16 127/23 46/15 47/3 52/4 52/23 95/23 95/24 99/2 111/13 118/23 123/4 200/9 200/13 203/24 128/1 130/6 130/10 57/8 61/5 69/15 69/16 105/1 119/17 127/12 145/22 163/12 181/23 205/8 206/16 206/17 131/20 136/11 137/6 72/10 72/11 72/15 130/4 130/5 139/21 185/10 187/23 202/8 Where's [1] 169/9 141/20 145/13 151/7 72/18 73/2 73/25 77/9 140/24 142/6 147/19 205/9 whereas [4] 96/16 153/13 154/9 155/25 77/9 77/13 91/16 147/21 149/18 161/1 writer [1] 192/1 154/7 196/9 199/19 169/17 172/17 181/15 writing [4] 117/8 159/9 160/25 162/1 91/25 94/14 95/2 whereby [2] 150/1 185/14 188/25 190/2 165/20 170/1 171/2 95/18 96/19 97/5 97/9 173/17 173/19 204/24 179/24 written [4] 155/24 172/14 172/24 173/15 100/1 104/5 105/10 190/5 190/9 194/1 whether [70] 7/24 174/13 174/21 177/7 109/23 109/24 114/3 194/3 195/9 197/4 166/15 171/12 174/19 8/22 9/1 10/2 10/12 180/7 183/7 189/14 114/10 114/16 115/15 197/18 199/4 201/12 wrong [1] 158/21 10/14 10/22 10/23 193/4 193/8 197/3 123/16 129/5 129/13 without [8] 60/9 67/5 wrote [3] 121/14 13/21 14/18 21/24 200/18 201/7 205/23 132/1 132/7 132/19 71/11 73/12 143/22 131/19 145/19 24/3 25/3 25/3 25/5 208/5 208/13 144/2 160/1 160/1 175/10 175/17 190/14

Y year-long [1] 90/6	163/18 167/4 175/5 176/5 180/14 182/16 182/19 183/4 184/16		
years [19] 53/11 66/15 77/11 77/22 84/2 84/6 84/6 85/4	185/17 185/17 189/14 192/21 198/6 199/23		
96/2 102/16 145/24 146/1 157/25 180/2	200/16 200/17 202/24 203/5 203/9 203/10 203/14 204/2 204/4		
182/18 182/20 190/21 202/13 202/13 yes [276]	204/14 204/20 205/5 205/14 205/14 209/16		
yesterday [7] 20/23 26/11 58/22 70/10 71/21 145/1 184/9	yourself [3] 17/20 98/2 144/19 Yoxall [1] 3/16		
yet [6] 9/12 19/14 33/19 160/18 187/2 192/15			
you [967]			
you'd [1] 190/24 you'll [2] 111/17 199/2			
you've [4] 117/19 120/9 187/6 188/2			
your [162] 1/12 1/20 2/5 2/21 3/16 7/23 8/3 8/11 14/4 17/7 17/12			
18/22 21/15 24/16 24/20 25/10 28/22 33/4 33/9 34/8 34/10			
34/20 35/4 35/13 36/22 40/10 41/16			
42/9 46/18 47/10 48/17 48/20 49/2 49/10 51/5 51/14			
52/17 54/9 54/10 56/5 56/8 57/13 58/8 58/18			
59/5 59/14 60/14 61/7 62/8 66/8 66/9 66/9 66/10 67/5 68/6 68/11			
69/3 69/9 70/13 75/11 75/20 78/17 79/10 79/18 79/20 79/21			
79/24 80/5 80/18 80/21 80/23 81/14			
81/21 82/15 83/8 83/21 85/21 85/22 87/9 87/17 87/23			
88/22 91/11 91/13 92/3 99/18 101/7 103/24 104/20 104/25			
105/4 106/18 106/20 107/3 107/6 107/16 107/21 107/22 109/8			
109/18 110/5 110/15 110/17 111/6 111/12			
111/14 119/5 119/11 120/4 120/21 122/11 123/3 128/24 129/1			
131/15 131/16 131/19 132/21 135/11 136/21 139/4 140/7 142/12			
147/13 151/7 151/15 151/15 151/15 155/23			
159/6 159/11 162/25			
		1	(86) year-long - Yoxall