1		Monday, 30 September 2024	1	Q.	A Recorder of the Crown Court in 2000?
2	(09.	.59 am)	2	A.	Yes.
3	LAI	DY JUSTICE THIRLWALL: Good morning, Mr De La Poer.	3	Q.	You were authorised to sit as a Deputy High Court Judge
4		I understand we have got Sir Robert Francis this	4		in 2009?
5		morning?	5	A.	Yes.
6	MR	R DE LA POER: My Lady, yes please.	6	Q.	You retired from those judicial roles in 2020?
7	LAI	DY JUSTICE THIRLWALL: Sir Robert, would you come to the	7	A.	I did.
8		witness box to be sworn, please.	8	Q.	As a practitioner, did you specialise in clinical
9		SIR ROBERT FRANCIS (sworn)	9		negligence healthcare, professional discipline and
10		Questioned by MR DE LA POER	10		medical decision-making?
11	LAI	DY JUSTICE THIRLWALL: Please sit down.	11	A.	Yes, I did.
12	A.	Thank you.	12	Q.	And as a practitioner, did you appear for interested
13	MR	R DE LA POER: Sir Robert, please could you state your full	13		parties in, among others, the Bristol Royal Infirmary
14		name?	14		Inquiry and the Royal Liverpool Children's Hospital
15	A.	Robert Anthony Francis.	15		Inquiry?
16		-	16	A.	Yes, I did.
17		by introducing you and everybody will know that you have	17	Q.	In terms of chairing Inquiries, were you the Chair of
18		had a long and distinguished career and your CV is set	18		both the Non-Statutory and Statutory Public Inquiries
19		out in appendix 3 of your report.	19		into Mid Staffordshire NHS Foundation Trust?
20		What we are going to cover now in the next couple of	20	Α.	Yes, I was.
21		minutes is just a selection of that.	21	Q.	You reported in 2010 and 2015 respectively in relation
22		Is it right that you were called to the Bar in 1973?	22		to those two inquiries?
23	A.	I'm afraid so.	23	Α.	2013, I think.
24	Q.	Appointed Queen's Counsel in 1992?	24	Q.	Forgive me, 2013.
25	A.	Yes.	25		Did you also conduct a review in 2015 entitled "The
		1			2
1		Freedom to Speak Up Review"?	1		elements, Part 1, Part 2 and the appendices; is that
2	A.	Yes, I did.	2		correct?
3	Q.	Have you given evidence as an expert on leadership and	3	A.	Yes.
4		culture to the Independent Inquiry into Child Sexual	4	Q.	And they were all dated 30 May 2024?
5		Abuse in 2020?	5	A.	Yes.
6	A.	Yes.	6	Q.	And is the contents of those reports true to the best of
7	Q.	As well as giving evidence to Parliamentary Select	7		your knowledge and belief?
8		Committees on a number of occasions?	8	A.	Yes, whether they are now entirely up to date I don't
9	A.	Yes.	9		know, because some things move very quickly in this
10	Q.	More recently, were you commissioned by the Cabinet	10		field.
11		Office to propose a framework for compensation for those	11	Q.	And so that everybody understands, those reports, if
12		affected by the Infected Blood scandal?	12		they have not already, will be published on the Inquiry
13	A.	Yes.	13		website in their entirety which will mean that you and
14	Q.	And are you currently the Interim Chair of the	14		I will not need to go to every single paragraph of them.
15		Compensation Committee?	15		So the first substantive topic that we are going to
16	A.	Yes, I am.	16		consider together is NHS structures.
17	Q.	Finally, do you hold honorary fellowships from a number	17	A.	Yes.
18		of Royal Colleges and an Honorary Doctorate of Medicine?	18	Q.	That is in section 1 of your Part 2 Report.
19	A.	Yes, with some embarrassment.	19	A.	Yes.
20	Q.	You were of course knighted for services to healthcare	20	Q.	I am just going to begin with some of your preliminary
21		and patients in 2014?	21		observations about NHS structures and then just
22	A.	Yes.	22		a whistlestop tour of the reforms.
23	Q.	So having provided that brief introduction, I am going	23		So starting with your preliminary observations, how
24		to turn now to your reports.	24		would you suggest a person should think about the NHS in
25		You have provided a report which comprised three 3	25		terms of how it is structured? 4

A. Well, people tend to think of the NHS, if they don't -haven't looked at an organisation diagram as though it was one single entity called the National Health Service, which of course its object is to provide care to all free at the point of delivery insofar as they need that care.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12 13

14 15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

In fact, it is a -- and I have described this as a series of planets, really, a whole series of organisations which together provide a service and I use the word "together" somewhat loosely.

The funding obviously comes from the Government, from the taxpayer and is filtered down through the Department of Health to a number of different entities. But you know if one starts with a point of the patient coming to a general practitioner or a hospital, each place, each organisation, that the doctor works in will be different.

So there is a different organisation running the hospital, different organisation running the general practice surgery, and obviously a different person running the chemist shop.

So there are a whole load of different entities that actually are called "service providers" who actually provide the service to patients.

Q. So those service providers are, would it be correct to

of NHS Foundation Trusts and I could go on. But there are a very large number of regulators, and some argue

- Q. Returning to the front line and your experience of hearing from front line workers, what is the effect or your impression of the effect of that vast amount of regulation on those who are delivering care?
- doctors and the nurses, if I could simplify it a little, find that puzzling and intimidating and leaves them sometimes uncertain as to where they should go if they have concerns to raise.

They also have conflicting obligations, not in the sense that their employer wants to go against what the General Medical Council would require of a doctor, simply the Codes of Practice or conduct that an employer might have, will incorporate what the General Medical Council expects but will also have other things in it as well.

professional to get their head round if a crisis develops.

Q. You have mentioned doctors and nurses. Is any challenge presented by this overarching regulatory regime to those who are managing hospitals?

1 observe, what people commonly refer to as "the front 2 line"?

3 Α. Yes.

4

5

6

7

8

9

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Q. Surrounding that front line, you mention in your report that there are a large number of regulatory and oversight bodies?

Yes Α.

And you make an observation, and I would just like for you to repeat here about how many of such bodies there 10

> Well, the truth is that I don't know and I am not sure anyone does. I notice Professor Dixon-Woods actually put a number to it last week and said there were I think 106 bodies and there were a few more all having some form of regulatory influence, as she put it, on the provision of services.

I thought when I did the Mid Staffordshire report from memory that there were some 60 bodies that had direct regulatory power. An example would be the General Medical Council who regulate doctors, the Nursing and Midwifery Council who regulate nurses and in those days the Healthcare Commission, now the Care Quality Commission that actually inspects premises and providers of healthcare services and regulates them. There are different regulators that look at the finances

Definitely. For a number of reasons.

A common complaint as long I have been interested in this field amongst the -- those who manage and lead the healthcare providers is the burden placed on them of having to provide information to regulators. Often the same piece of information is required in a number of different places but at different times and in different forms. So the bureaucratic burden of collecting data and passing it on is immense.

There is also an issue around, if I can put it broadly, of discipline and of control of that nature of staff because each professional member of staff depending on their profession will have a different professional regulator with whom the employer has to interact in relation to the behaviour of their staff and that in itself can cause hesitation, I think, uncertainty whether a matter should be dealt with internally or externally.

- Q. Staying on the subject of senior managers and speaking in general terms, what has been your observation about the effect of turnover in terms of those senior managers operating within that structure?
- A. Well, I was going to say start by saying, I will say it, that the one group of people who don't appear to be subject to regulations at all are the senior managers

A. I think many front line workers, principally I would say

So it is a very complicated picture for a busy

and that has a number of consequences. One is that there is no common standard for or qualification required to be for instance the Chief Executive of a large Hospital Trust, multi-million -- billion pound operation and there is no qualification for doing that.

Secondly, it means that they, of all people sitting round a board table, are the -- are not subject to a regulator as such. But they are subject to orders from on high, if you like, orders from the centre, mandates, directions which come down in huge volumes and expectations and their job is to fulfil those expectations, most which of course are extremely well intentioned.

But the pressure on them particularly today in relation to delivering a health service with constrained funds, increasing demand, often the shortage of staff is immense and the stress of that is also immense and therefore these aren't the most popular jobs on the planet, putting it frankly, and the skills required are the same, if not greater, than those perhaps required of the chief executive of a FTSE 100 company.

And the consequences of getting it wrong or failing to getting it right -- they are not necessarily the same thing -- can be being sacked, being asked to move on, asked to resign and for many people that's difficult.

those that perhaps don't have that information at their fingertips?

A. Yes. Well, General Sir Gordon Messenger was asked to do a review of how -- of leadership and culture of leadership in the NHS from, as it were, an external point of view and he basically found I think the sort of things I have been describing: a difficulty in recruitment, lack of support by way of training, and perhaps a lack of understanding of the type of culture required to run a healthcare organisation.

This particular passage though was about something I have mentioned which is the constant demand to produce statistics and measurements, whether they be financial, or of outcomes, all of which I should hasten to add are well intended because clearly the centre needs to know what's happening at the front line, but I think what he was getting at was the lack of co-ordination of some of these demands with different organisations, such as the Care Quality Commission on the one hand, NHS England on the other, sometimes the Department of Health directly of continually asking for streams of information, some of which is automated now so it's easier for it to be done but all in different formats and often about the same subject and so they spent a lot of their time delivering on feeding the machine, as it were, with

So you do have a big turnover and when I wrote this report and when I was doing the Mid Staffordshire report, the length of tenure of a director, an Executive Director of the NHS, was remarkably short, I think at one point it was under two years for a Chief Executive. It is now better than that but not much. When you consider that there are some successful Chief Executives who have been in place for 10 or even 15 years a lot have not stayed very long.

The difficulty about that is of course you lack continuity. It takes anyone leading a complex organisation time to get the measure of the job and the authority to do it and if it is known they are not going to be there very long then perhaps they don't get listened to very carefully.

So it has a sort of self-perpetuating effect the more difficult the job gets, and that's true of course of failing organisations: the more difficult it is to find good people to come and take over those roles.

Q. We will return to the topic of accountability of senior managers as its own discrete area but just before we look at the history of the NHS, you cite in your report at 1.5 from the Messenger Leadership Review and before I just invite you to speak to that, can you just give us a thumbnail sketch of what the Messenger Review was for

information.

- Q. One of the recommendations of the Messenger Review as you record below the quotation is a change from a punitive model to a remedial one?
- A. Yes.
- Q. In summary, what do you understand that to mean and do you think that is a step in the retrospective direction?
- A. I do. What I think is meant by this is that despite a number of attempts to give the front line organisations more independence of judgement and of action, the reality is that the centre by which in this case is represented really by NHS England who are the commissioning body for the entirety of the NHS because they hold the purse strings seek to control what is or try to control what is happening further down the financial food chain and therefore, understandably perhaps, there are constant conversations and pressures about how NHS Trusts and providers spend the money. And there is a constant conversation going on with the provider saying: I need more money to provide a safe service and the centre saying: some people are doing it on what you are getting, why can't you do it?

Then what happens is that if the service is not provided in the way that is expected, the

Chief Executive is expected to take responsibility and that's one of the obvious reasons why the Chief Executive then departs, either because they are dismissed, more usually you will see an announcement that they have decided either to spend more time with their family or even more often, actually, they have gone to a different job elsewhere in the health service.

Another way in which is punitive is and I used to sit on the board of the Care Quality Commission and therefore often used to see their inspection reports before they were published, but I didn't have to because quite often before the report would be published, the Chief Executive of the Trust would depart, coincidentally of course from his role or her role, and that was because that responsibility of downgrading of the rating of the Trust would be taken by the Chief Executive.

I always thought that was often wrong, sometimes of course Chief Executives are not up to the job but more often they haven't been given the tools to do the job properly but then they are asked it bear the personal consequences of that rather than anyone trying to sort the system out.

So I think what Sir Gordon was saying, and what I would agree with, is that in terms of a remedial

13

talking about is that at a human level your experience is extremely positive?

A. Yes, I think that's right. I mean, it is an essential to be a leader in these complicated organisations to develop the competencies to do it, to have had appropriate training but perhaps more important low experience that leads you to the job.

The problem sometimes, and this was the case at Mid Staffordshire, was that there were not many applicants for the job and a choice had to be made between two candidates that, frankly, the evidence showed no one was hugely enthusiastic about and that's terribly unfair on the person who then gets the job in those circumstances whereas -- and I am not going to name them -- I could name some Chief Executives currently in post in this country who have a marked record of success and we might come to it, there are certain things about the way they go about their business which makes it more likely that they will succeed than perhaps others.

- Q. Well, we will certainly come to that. Can I take you please to paragraph 1.9 of your report, which is on page 3. We are not going to speak to all the detail here but just work through the evolution of where we were to where we have got to --
- A. Yes.

system you need something that supports Chief
Executives, their boards, to do their job well rather
than continually holding a stick over their head and
implying that if you don't do this, then you won't have
a job.

- Q. So having given us what some may feel is a slightly bleak summary of where things are --
- A. I should say the positive -- we do always of course talk about the negative. There are many good Chief Executives and leaders who cope with these pressures and actually do manage to deliver what they are expected to deliver. But some might argue that many do that despite the system rather than because of it.
- Q. It was to a positive that you identify that I was just leading up to. You have obviously had the opportunity to meet very many front line healthcare providers and their managers and to hear from them firsthand. What you say near the start of your report is that there is a constant culture of very dedicated people who work in it and lead in it who often agree on values, the outline of strategic thinking and actions required to bring about improvement, but find it impossible within their own area to integrate and develop these in their own contexts.

So perhaps the other side of the coin that we are

Q. -- just as part of this scene-setting and then we will move on to a number of topics which directly engage the facts surrounding the Countess of Chester.

So the first date you go to, 1973, we see the introduction of Regional Health Authorities and Area Health Authorities, we don't need to go to the precise statutory mechanism by which that came about.

But seven years later the Area Health Authorities were disbanded and became District Health Authorities; is that correct?

- A. Yes.
- Q. In 1984, a significant moment in the evolution of the NHS, the Griffiths report, which recommended effectively an increase in the number of managers so as to support the doctors and nurses who were providing the care?
- A. Yes, the position before that, I think was -- obviously I am testing my memory a little -- that there was a slightly amateur nature in terms of the management of hospitals in particular, the great and good of the district would be on a board, the place would be largely run by the Medical Director and/or the Matron together and it would be that sort of atmosphere and of course what Griffiths correctly identified was that management administration and leadership are skills which aren't necessarily bred into anyone who is a doctor or a nurse.

This is a separate professional skill.

So he wanted that to be brought in but he also emphasised that clinicians are a very important part of the structure should remain so. It's often been interpreted that his recommendations were there should be this sort of entirely new management class separate and above the professionals and that I don't think was

- Q. So that recommendation in 1984, we move forward to 1990, where the NHS Management Board becomes the NHS Executive?
- 12 A. Yes.

- Q. And at this moment in time the idea of an internal market was imposed on the NHS where there would be purchases of healthcare and providers designed to make the State an enabler, you say, of health services rather than the supplier?
- A. The idea behind this, as I would understand it, was to bring an element of competition into the service so that with the premise being that competition between different units of health care provision would improve meaning they would all be incentivised to improve their standards.

The slight difficulty about that was that of course in order for there to be competition you actually had to

A. I am not entirely, entirely sure. I think the position was that commissioning remained and so basically, as is I think the case today, providers have to set out their business case for what it is they want to do. The centre can decide -- and it now does it in a different way -- what needs to be commissioned to reflect the needs of the local or the national community and so there is this -- there is a commission of customer service provider relationship.

So in theory the Commissioner will set out the terms of service to be -- and standards of service to be provided by the provider and one of the challenges I found when I looked at this in the Stafford Inquiry was that there was an understandable emphasis on how much things cost. There were provisions about the quality of what was to be provided but those were not monitored in a way that the financial performance was. So there was always a priority of examining the finance over monitoring how well the service was -- what the quality of service was like for the customer, the

- Q. 1998, an organisation everyone will have heard of, NICE, established to assess costs and benefits of intervention and make recommendations?
- A. Pausing there, it is only one sentence but I think it

provide choice, real choice to patients as to where they would go for their medical care and of course for many of us, particularly in more rural parts of the country, you have no choice where you go for your medical care on the NHS; the local hospital is the local hospital.

But it led to the development of a very complicated -- necessarily complicated -- commissioning system of purchasers and providers.

- Q. We then see at 1.9.6 the first of three waves of NHS Trusts?
- 11 A. Yes.
- Q. Obviously a legal identity for the particular healthcare provider?
- A. Yes, and part of that was to introduce the concept of
 a Board of Directors so to make the NHS a bit more
 comparable with an outside company in terms of how what its management and governance structure would be.
- Q. We then see another change in the overarching structure
 where Regional Health Authorities and District Health
 Authorities become Health Authorities?
- 21 A. Yes.

Q. 1997, the newly elected Labour Government wishes to maintain the purchase of provider split but abolish the internal market. Just very briefly, in practical terms what did that mean?

would be fair to say in my view NICE has been one of the successful reforms in that it provided a rational and objective means of assessing the benefit of treatments and providing guidance about standard ways of offering treatment in a very challenging landscape, where there would be competing demands for treatment, you know, between people, cancer and heart patients for instance, always new drugs always being brought in, was this something the NHS should pay for and previously it was very difficult for anyone to form an objective judgement about these things.

NICE provides a means of working out whether it is worth the taxpayer paying for a particular type of treatment and also how that treatment ought to be provided.

- Q. Moving forward, we have Primary Care Groups in 1999, although -- and the Commission for Health Improvement which had the power to review governance in every NHS organisation which you identify as the first time there was independent regulation of clinical performance?
- A. I think that's right, yes. The Commission for Health Improvement then changed its names and there is a confusion of successive bodies that occurred since then but that I think was the start of that.
- Q. In terms of 2000 we see The NHS Plan and payment by

- 2 A. Yes. 3 Q. And two years later the introduction of Foundation 4 Trusts which is plainly of an important moment in time 5 given the Countess of Chester status? 6 A. Yes. 7 8
 - Q. We will come back to Foundation Trusts in due course. We then see that succession that you have talked about 2002 also the Commission for Health Improvement merged with the National Care Standards Commission to become the Commission for Healthcare Audit and Inspection?
- 12 A. Yes.

results?

1

9

10

11

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

25

- 13 Q. 2004 the formal introduction of the NHS Foundation Trust 14 and the establishment of Monitor as its regulator?
 - Yes, Monitor was set up to basically provide the financial regulation of NHS Foundation Trusts and it played an important role in assessing in advance whether an NHS Trust was financially healthy enough to be given the autonomy that a Foundation Trust was theoretically

It was also meant to look a little at the safety and quality but that was largely in the remit -- that part was largely in the remit of the other regulator, the Healthcare Commission.

Q. So moving forward in time an example of perhaps

and it is perhaps, you will agree, a not dissimilar diagram to the visualisation you suggested of orbiting

Well, this diagram I took from the Department of Health's website and it was its diagrammatic explanation of the health service and what slightly amused me was that all these bodies seem to go round in an orbit but none of them seem to be connected with each other and one can always criticise a diagram and make fun of it. But it seeks clearly and correctly to make people, the patients, the most important part and at the centre of things. But it doesn't really reflect the relative importance or in terms of the influence really of any of the bodies that float around the outside.

But what it does do, I think, is give you an idea the complexity in terms of the number of organisations from the outside going inwards which have a role and often a changing role in how they influence the delivery of care to the people and the communities in the middle.

- Q. Moving forward in time, we can take that down, thank you very much. We had the NHS Five Year Forward View in 2014 which called for better integration of healthcare services and the development of local plans?
- 24
 - Q. And then, three years later the Next Steps on the

1 something that comes and goes, World Class Commissioning 2 in 2008 introduces a concept but within two years, your 3 understanding is that it was abandoned?

- 4 A. Yes, commissioning wasn't abandoned but this particular 5 method, particularly its name I think in the end, was 6 perhaps thought to be slightly ambitious.
- 7 Q. We then see a combining of regulators to create the Care 8 Quality Commission in 2009?
- 9 A. Yes.
- Q. And in 2012, referred to as the Lansley Reforms, where 10 11 the function for commissioning was given to what were 12 called Clinical Commissioning Groups, or CCGs?
- 13 Α.
- 14 You say here the system regulator was renamed "Monitor".
- 15 Yes, that can't be right because we -- no, I think I am 16 not sure about the date.
- 17 Q. Not sure about the date but at any event, Monitor --
- 18 I think the regulator was there. I think that was when 19 it became known as "Monitor".
- 20 Yes. So we have got to a moment in time which is just 21 before the facts that this Inquiry is focusing upon and 22 in 2013, the Department of Health published a diagram 23 which sought to explain to members of the public, and 24 probably those who operated within the system, how 25 healthcare was provided by the National Health Service

Five Year Forward View which put forward national priorities for the next two years; is that correct?

Α.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

11

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- In 2017 the establishment of the Health Service Investigation Branch, HSIB, and what was the function of that body?
- A. Well, until then, there was only a limited resource centrally for the investigation of things that would go -- had gone wrong for -- in terms of adverse 10 incidents and the idea behind this branch was to bring into the health service some of the techniques used for 12 instance in the aviation industry and in transport, 13 actually rail transport, for undertaking what I will 14 call no blame investigations; in other words to examine 15 in an objective and expert way why something had gone 16 wrong without attributing blame to anybody in 17 circumstances which were intended to encourage people to 18 be frank and open about things that had gone wrong.

So in the aviation industry, for instance, if an accident happens with a plane, and the pilot survives the pilot can explain what happened in an entirely confidential way to the relevant authority, produce a report having looked into the matter, to describe what went wrong and what lessons there are to be learned for it without any of that evidence capable of being used 24

to, as it were, punish the pilot if they made a mistake.

So it was -- the idea is to have an objective

investigation with a full and frank disclosure to the

investigators from everyone concerned. So that was the

idea and this was the first iteration -- not the last,

but the first iteration of that process.

Of course, being a central body, I should say

straight away, and given the large number of adverse

Of course, being a central body, I should say straight away, and given the large number of adverse incidents there are, inevitably it could not deal with them all and therefore it's had to be selective about which incidents it would choose to investigate and it usually done it on a thematic basis.

- Q. Just a couple more dates. 2019 the creation of Primary Care Networks.
- **A.** Yes.

- **Q.** You say there about 1,250 Primary Care Networks?
 - A. Yes
 - Q. Now, staying with the subject of reforms 2022, you say this gave:

"The Health and Care Act 2022 gave legal confirmation to organisational changes that had previously been occurring in 'shadow' form, following the Long Term Plan, by the abolition of clinical commissioning groups ..."

Those are the CCGs that we spoke about a moment ago,

it is only the beginnings, I think, for the social care budget to be fed into the local authorities and thereby into this integrated system, but it is a far from perfect union at the moment.

Q. And the --

A. Could I just say one thing about this diagram. One thing I think this does show diagrammatically a little better is that if you are in the position of the leader of an NHS Foundation Trust in that box at the bottom, you have coming down on you, through -- although it is through NHS England rather than particularly directly the Department of Health, but as the money is being funnelled down from NHS England through the Integrated Care Board to the NHS provider, the control is still there.

NHS England is still controlling the budget that comes down to the NHS Trust and there is still -- there should be a dotted line, there is still the regional directors of NHS England will be having direct communication all the time with the hospitals, particularly the ones who are in financial trouble, seeking to sort out their finances.

Q. The final date in what has been a whistlestop tour, 2023, the Health Services Safety Investigations Body was established, taking over from its predecessor which had and the introduction of Integrated Care Boards and Integrated Care Partnerships?

A. Yes. The idea behind this and this is now what -- what we have, the idea of the Integrated Care Board is to bring together the healthcare providers of a particular defined geographical area together with the social care providers to make jointly plans with their joint budgets to how to provide both health and social care in an integrated way to the people of the locality and in a somewhat complicated structure inevitably that's their responsibility.

So as you will see from the diagram at paragraph 1.9.28, the money now filters down from the taxpayer through the Integrated Care Board to the healthcare providers and via a different route to social care providers now this diagram has other faults but what at least it does is it does -- if you follow the arrows -- show you how the money goes from Parliament through the Department of Health to the various bodies and right at the bottom in the middle is the box to NHS providers and in that box sit all the hospitals and I think I am right in saying would be all -- primary care, as we see, comes down to the left through NHS England.

But there is now the beginnings of an attempt, and

one fewer S in the title?

- A. Yes. Yes, and it is in effect the same body but it has now has the statutory powers and the importance of that is that there is now a statutory protection in relation to information given to this body which can only be disclosed, this is the evidence as such, it can only be disclosed with the benefit of a court order, basically.
- Q. You draw things together at your paragraph 1.10 and speak about, as we have just seen for ourselves, the "continuous systemic change for the last 20 years". I think you have already said that the trend was to distance healthcare service from Government whilst maintaining control of the money?
- A. Mmm
- Q. But in your final sentence you make an observation in that paragraph about how that impacts -- how the structure impacts upon the culture.
- Yes, my experience -- and it comes from not only writing reports but then trying to see what's happened about them -- is that there is a culture and it persists at the provider level of waiting to be told what to do by the centre and that's partly due to the fact that, as you can see, reorganisations happen frequently and with the best of intentions and because of perceived problems. But it tends to be that no sooner has

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

11

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

24

25

an effort been put into -- and it often is a big effort administratively, to change from one bit of the system to another that you wait to be told what to do, and even -- I mean, if -- I take we will come to it I know, but my Freedom to Speak Up Review, the principles enunciated there could have been immediately instituted by any organisation straight away. There was nothing to stop a Chief Executive doing that. Quite a lot of them waited until a direction came from the centre to do it. They didn't have to do that but that's what happened and that's one thing.

The other thing is that the constant reorganisation means that there is often a lack of stability. People, for instance, in a Clinical Commissioning Group, or whatever its predecessor was, will be worried about what their next job is going to be. There will be a lot of focus on transferring of one place to another often with the result that the same person is doing the same job with a different label on the door, but getting there is -- causes anxiety, preoccupation and frankly takes people's eye off the ball.

So those are the risks that have to be taken into account when directing a reorganisation and I am not sure that those risks are always taken into account.

Q. Thank you very much, Sir Robert.

the culture, nothing much seems to happen to change it.

So it is -- the mystery I think that needs solving is how you create and maintain the culture universally in a universal health service that is what everyone would regard as a healthy culture.

- Q. If we consider this issue by what emanates from the centre.
- 8 A. Yes.

1 2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- 9 Q. And here I am looking at your paragraph 5.3.5, page 41.
- 10 A.
- Q. You draw attention to the NHS Constitution? 11
- 12 A. Yes.
 - Q. Firstly, is that a document that you think has value?
 - A. I think it could have value. It should have value because it is the obvious place where the common values and principles, cultural principles of the NHS should reside, as a common reference point for everybody.

It is a document that has statutory force, it is reviewed on a regular basis by the Department of Health the Secretary of State and I believe it's a document of considerable value.

But it's, you know, a piece of paper, which is what it is, is not enough to ensure the values that it espouses are sort of lived by at the shop floor.

It is I think the place where the common values and

We are going to move on from the topic of NHS structure and consider the issue of NHS culture which you deal with at section 5 of your Part 2 Report which starts on page 38.

- A. Thank you.
- **Q.** It may very well be that we can take this relatively shortly. I know that you have taken the opportunity to consider the evidence of Professor Dixon-Woods who gave her perspective on NHS culture and the challenges 10 it faces. Broadly speaking, do you find yourself in alignment with what Professor Dixon-Woods was saying or 12 was there any part of what she said that doesn't match 13 your experience?
 - Frankly I thought she gave a very impressive analysis of the -- first of all, the culture is what the problems with it are and what the problem -- what the culture should look like. I mean, it is actually one of the features of this that if one looks back over any number of Inquiries or the literature, that everyone's -- and frankly if you go to patient safety conferences, as I do from time to time, everyone is saying the same thing, often in different words but it amounts to the same thing and what worries me and puzzles me to this day is that when they leave those conferences and go back to wherever they come from in those places where that's not

description of the healthy culture, if I can use that expression, should be. But what happens and I am not saying it is necessarily wrong, these are taken by every single organisation in the NHS which then produces its own values and principles, often frankly using the same words but using the same values but different words, and I think you end up with a plethora of documents that don't necessarily lead to clarity.

- Q. Well, you give some examples of particular Trusts at 5.3.1?
- 11 A. Yes.
- 12 Q. There is no need to go to the detail of them, but is 13 that something that you see commonly across the NHS?
- 14 A. Yes, if you look at any NHS Trust, Foundation Trust 15 website they will all have their values blazened in 16 a banner across the top and I absolutely understand that 17 if you are at the University College London or Morecambe 18 Bay or Birmingham Hospital you want to create your own 19 identity, your own corporate loyalty, we all know that 20 teams work better because they -- if they have that 21 sense of shared identity. And it's easier to feel that 22 loyalty to the place you work in rather than this 23 somewhat ephemeral concept of the NHS.

I am not saying they shouldn't do this but all I am saying is that it does -- one of the risks of this is it

leads to a bit more confusion and lack of clarity about where it is that someone should refer to when the chips are down, where is the place I can go to to say this value means what I must do is X? Q. Now, when speaking about culture, you talk about safety culture? A. Yes. Q. Can you just tell us what you mean by that and how that -- I am here looking at 5.3.9, page 42? A. Yes, well clearly a healthy culture involves more than -- safety I think is one part of the culture but it is a very important, if not the, in my view, important part, which is that healthcare is by definition a risky business and it requires people to understand and weigh risks.

But, at the same time, safety as in terms of avoiding harm to patients should be really the priority because we all go to medical treatment hoping to be made better, but at least not made worse by it and at least in this we are told you have a hope of being made better but there is a risk of you being made worse.

So you -- it is up to the patient to decide what risk to take.

But most risks we are talking about are the avoidable risks and so the health, a safety culture

A. Well, one of the features of the healthy culture, the safety culture, the just culture, is the need for absolute honesty and openness, we will come to some aspects of this I am sure later, about what everyone has done and why it happened. If you don't have that, then you will develop unnecessary dangers in what you do.

So in order to do that, you have to relieve people of the fear that if they raise a concern or they are honest about a mistake they have made, that they will be punished for it.

Now, no one I think suggests that punishment is not appropriate or sanction is not appropriate where people have been reckless or irresponsible or committed a crime. No one is saying that. But generally speaking, most dangerous activities in the NHS are unintentional and there are reasons why they have happened, but in order to learn about those and deal with the idiosyncrasies of human behaviour which are often behind this, there needs to be openness and honesty and that's often immediately: I have just made a mistake by putting a needle in someone in the wrong way, please could you help me put it right?

If you are afraid that by putting the needle in the wrong place you might end up being disciplined or maybe even sacked or reported to your regulator, you will hide

requires people to be thinking all the time about safety and the challenge in a busy place, like an Accident and Emergency ward always is, is getting that notion across and of course sometimes risks have to be taken because there is no choice but there needs to be something conscious about that and all the time when things for instance go wrong, there needs to be this persistent curiosity about why they went wrong, what the answer to it is, and how we can avoid doing it in future and that's not just a job you can give to the safety officer to deal with. It has to be cultural because it's got to be everyone's business.

These are easy things to say, they are rather more difficult to put in practice, but if you don't start with this insistence that we are going to run things safely as best we can, in demanding circumstances, and when things go wrong let's be honest about it, let's see what we can do to put them right, then things will inevitably get unsafe.

- Q. In terms of the second part of what you said, so the scenario in which things have gone wrong, you talk about a "just culture"?
- A. Yes.
 - **Q.** Again just summarise for us in terms of concept how that interacts with a healthy culture?

what you have done, do your best to sort it out and get on with the next case and hope no one notices.

But the more that happens, the more dangerous your own practice will become but also the unit you work in will become more dangerous, so that's what I mean by just culture, it is a culture where people are not afraid to admit they have done something that in retrospect they shouldn't have done or they are not certain that's the case and there is a free discussion.

Another part of it is that you are that afraid to suggest that someone else could have done something better without the fear of thinking that you will suffer adverse or consequences for doing that.

- Q. So focusing upon neonatal units which you do at 5.4, page 47, in the middle of that paragraph, you make an observation about the nature of such units and I wonder if you could just speak to that, please, in terms of why you single out that particular part of a hospital in this context?
- A. Well, in one sense all of us if we go for medical treatment are vulnerable people because we are reliant almost entirely on the professionalism of those we approach for help to get things right.

I appreciate we can probably go and Google things more than we used to and become quasi experts of our own

2

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

but that's usually ill-advised. Babies can't do that. They can't -- they don't speak for themselves, they are desperately ill, they are -- the difference between life and death in a neonatal Intensive Care Unit can be a matter of a tiny measurement on a machine.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Their parents speak up for them potentially if you ask them and you allow them to but they have no advocate for most of the time and so they are probably the most vulnerable people in our society and therefore it would seem to me and this is -- I have to say straight away I have not had an inquiry that has dealt specifically with neonatal care but my medical practice -- sorry, professional practice as a barrister I spent a lot of time dealing with accidents at birth or soon after birth, so I am familiar with the issues that doctors and nurses face and these are -- I maintain from that that they are the most vulnerable people and therefore the most exquisite care needs to be taken of their safety.

One would hope that that happens and would be a given but unfortunately my experience is that things are often assumed and therefore you do need systems that make sure this is constantly in people's minds, that they are vulnerable people who need the safest possible

Q. Now we are likely to hear in the course of the inquiry 37

the procedures and processes that the experts think babies should be getting cannot be done in the way that is intended. Or if it is, it is only being done at the cost of exhausting the staff, probably filling them with that sort of moral dilemma, actually, of: do I carry on doing wrong thing because I've got no choice? And of course the more you stress your staff, the more you overwork them, the more they are likely to have to tolerate things they shouldn't tolerate and the more

- to meet the national guidelines?
- A. Yes.
- Q. So whatever evidence we will hear about the position at the Countess, that was the national picture?
- A. Yes. And it inevitably leads to unsafe practices which are probably unavoidable in the short term. But the issue I suppose may then be: is enough being done to cure that? There has been -- and it is getting better

39

about the British Association of Perinatal Medicine?

- A. Yes
- 3 Q. And in particular about staffing guidelines that they 4 issued. Just looking at the global picture, you draw 5 attention to a 2015 report in terms of the national 6 level of compliance with the BAPM requirements or rather guidelines, that's at your paragraph 5.4.3?
 - A.
 - Q. Can you just help us with what the position was?
 - Well, the position seemed to be if that report that there was a shortage in relation to the minimum staffing the experts considered was necessary to provide safe care.

It is fair to say that this is not the only area in which the issue of safe staffing has arisen and indeed there has been for years now a general debate about how, firstly how you should assess what is safe or unsafe in any particular area but secondly, what the consequences of not having a safe level of staff might be.

One of the dilemmas obviously is that if you have sick babies in particular perhaps, closing down a unit because it hasn't got a safe number of staff may not be an option because these poor babies have to go somewhere. But the consequence of not having the staff that the experts think you need means inevitably that

now in terms of planning but until relatively recently strategic planning of staff recruitment -- professional staff recruitment -- has been good because obviously in order to recruit new, more specialist nurses, there is a time lag because you have to recruit people, you have to train them and training for this sort of thing requires a significant period of time.

But there are of course -- if you know that there is a shortage of staff then clearly you need to look at what, what do you do to mitigate to reduce the risks that results from that. And that's of course where you would need the neonatal experts to tell you what it is you can do.

But the fundamental point is that automation can deal with a lot but actually at the end of the day a lot of care of this nature has to be dealt with face to face by trained human beings and in other industries you stop the activity. If you haven't got sufficient pilots to fly your plane, the plane doesn't take off. If a nuclear power station hasn't got staff in it, it will close

You can't do that with a neonatal unit. Or you might be able to if you have transferred the babies somewhere else but there may be risks about that so this is not an easy thing but it does mean that it should be

40

likely they are of eventually to give up doing the work. So you get into a vicious cycle of people leaving because they can't -- firstly they can't square this moral circle, if you like, that's the wrong analogy, but you know what I mean, any more. So they can't stand it, so they go and do something else. Q. And in 2015 the report suggested that two-thirds of neonatal units didn't have sufficient nursing staffing

a very high priority to get your staffing right and of course then you are met with well, we haven't got the money to do it, so where do you take the money from in order to do this, and that's a matter of priority.

But the worst thing to do is, going back to the cultural point, to deny this is a problem so you need to accept there is a problem, be honest about it and I believe -- and I speak here as a former Chair of Health Watch England and President of the Patients Association, you must involve the parents in this. You must be honest with them about the fact things aren't as they should be if that's the position. It will worry them, of course, but they have a right to know and a right to for themselves to work out whether what's happening has to happen or not.

- Q. I am going to move on to the next substantial topic, namely safeguarding in the NHS, which is section 6 --
- 18 A. Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- 19 Q. -- of your Part 2 of your report, starting at page 56. 20 And again there may be a relatively short way through 21 this because I think you have had an opportunity to 22 consider at least in part Dr Garstang's evidence?
- 23 A. Yes.
- 24 Q. Now, despite all of your very many interactions with the 25 National Health Service over the course of your career

a concept is a broader, I mean, patient safety tends to be obviously about the -- the safety of medical treatment and care and the provision of that, whereas safeguarding is about the protection more generally of in this case the child, but actually of that the safety of the patient is but one part of it, so a lot of safeguarding for instance quite properly is about safety in the family and in the home or in the community.

So patient safety I would say is part of safeguarding and clearly if one looks at the processes for Child Death Review and so on, if that's happening in a hospital, then the outcome of that is clearly relevant to the learning required to make patients safe or to make the children safe as patients.

- Q. So they are closely related but they are not the same thing, you would say?
- A. Yes, and I would suggest that the fact of for instance a safeguarding investigative process taking place is in itself necessary but it is no substitute for the investigations required from a patient safety angle in terms of learning from an incident about what went wrong, why it went wrong and what lessons there are to be learned.

Clearly there can be efforts saved by co-ordination of the two, so lots of people aren't doing the same 43

1 in different ways, do you regard yourself as an expert 2 in safeguarding?

3 No, I don't, and perhaps surprisingly it's not something 4 that really has cropped up a great deal in the Inquiries 5 that I have done and I am, when I look back at it, a bit 6 surprised about that because safeguarding as a concept 7 is about vulnerable people, it's not just about 8 vulnerable children, although that's clearly a hugely 9 important part of it. But vulnerable adults deserve 10 safeguarding processes as well and it's not something 11 that I therefore have come across a lot.

> But I have read Dr Garstang's evidence and I am somewhat relieved to find that most of what I had sighted was in her evidence much more thorough than

- 16 Q. What you will have heard about, I have no doubt, over 17 the course of those interactions with National Health 18 Service is patient safety?
- 19 Α.

12

13

14

15

- 20 Q. Although not an expert, do you think the two are the 21 same thing or do you think that there is a difference 22 between the two concepts?
- 23 A. Between patient safety and --
- 24 Q. And safeguarding.
- 25 -- safeguarding? Well, firstly I think safeguarding as

1 thing for no good reason but the concepts I think are 2 slightly different -- well, that's all I need say on 3

- **Q.** Now, under the topic of safeguarding, one matter that Dr Garstang assisted us with but stated in terms it was not an area that she was she would hold herself out as an expert in was medical examiners?
- Α. Yes.

4

5

6

7

8

- Q. You deal with these at page 62 in your paragraph 6.2.19? 9
- 10 A. Yes.
- 11 Q. As this is a topic that we are going to return to in 12 Part C, could you just assist us, please, with a summary 13 of what you understand the medical examiner system to be 14 and what its value is as far as you are concerned?
- 15 Yes. Well, interestingly it is one of those clearly 16 desirable measures that was included or first I think 17 identified by Dame Janet Smith in the Shipman Inquiry 18 and was part of -- I think it was the 2009 Coroners Act, 19 but has only finally been fully implemented this year, 20 so that tells you how long it takes for a measure which 21 by common consent was a good one to do for patient 22 safety to be fully implemented and I won't go into the 23 history of it. It still hadn't been implemented when 24 I did the Staffordshire Inquiry, there were pilot 25 schemes going, I heard evidence about them at that

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

q

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1 point. 2 I recommended that it should happen and that was in 3 2013 and literally the Royal College of Pathologists had 4 an event celebrating the final implementation of this 5 last month. 6 So that's how long it has taken. But the idea of 7 the medical examiner is that it is an independent 8 medically qualified person who reviews any death. It is 9 different from -- they -- the idea originally was they 10 should be part of the Coroners service, they have in fact now been employed by the hospitals, not everyone 11 12 thinks that's the best place for them to be, but that's 13 where they are. 14 They provide, whether the death is in hospital or 15 not, an independent view of two things really: one is 16 whether the death certificate -- whether the cause of 17 death has been established correctly, so they look at 18 that process, and they can in advance provide support to 19 the doctors who were thinking of signing a death 20 certificate as to what the cause of death is. 21 They the second function they perform is they 22 provide a means of communication with the family, the 23 bereaved family of the deceased, asking them whether 24 they have any concerns, which is in shorthand called 25 "the Shipman question" and the idea of that is that it 1 very likely to be -- have the ability to pick up early 2 warning signs of a problem developing in a hospital 3 because they will be dealing with the deaths in the 4 hospital and that's their -- at the moment that's deemed 5 principally what they do but they are now beginning to 6 deal with this in the community as well and so I believe 7 them to be one of the most valuable safety --8 interventions for safety in every sense of the word that 9 you could have because they are proactive, they are 10 available and without -- they are not -- and I hope they 11 don't become -- a bureaucratic process but they are able 12 to review notes, talk to people and so on in a slightly 13 less formal way than would happen at an inquest. 14 MR DE LA POER: Thank you very much. 15 My Lady, would that be a convenient moment? 16 LADY JUSTICE THIRLWALL: Yes, certainly, thank you, 17 Mr De La Poer. 18 Sir Robert, we are going to take a break now of 19 about 15 minutes. 20 THE WITNESS: Sure. 21 LADY JUSTICE THIRLWALL: So if we could all be back, please, 22 by 11.30. 23 (11.13 am)

(A short break)

47

24

25

(11.30 am)

was thought -- found in fact in the Shipman Inquiry that if only people had asked the families of these deceased elderly people information of concern would have come to light which would have lead to a quicker investigation. That's one of the purposes of it but there is also the purpose of providing compassion and support to people at a terrible time, but allows them to participate in whatever process is about to take place.

So they provide a safety valve, really, to ensure that deaths are be properly recorded, whether they are being properly referred to the Coroner, and most important in my view whether the family have concerns that they feel ought to be looked into. By reason of that work, because they will deal with the deaths obviously in a particular area, they will develop a systemic knowledge of training and one of the things I found at Mid Staffordshire, much to my surprise, was the Coroners deal with cases of necessity on a one by one basis, that they don't keep figures as to what types of death are occurring, there was no means by which the Staffordshire Coroner would have to detect whether there was an abnormal pattern of death coming out of their hospitals and that's not their job. The medical examiner, it may well be part of their job to do that.

So that's the value of them and therefore they are 46

MR DE LA POER: Sir Robert, we have two topics just to deal with briefly by way of introduction.

You will be asked further questions by Core
Participants about each of these in due course, so all
that we will do now is just introduce each of these
topics. The first topic is whistleblowing/Freedom to
Speak Up and of course as you told us at the beginning,
you conducted the Freedom to Speak Up Review in 2015.

Can you just help us briefly to understand how it was that you came to do that and any headline that came out of that for you at that time?

A. Yes. Well, following the Mid Staffordshire report, it was apparent from that that certain of the issues at Mid Staffordshire had been about a failure of the organisation to listen to concerns raised by staff on the one hand and on the other the bad treatment of staff, not actually by management, but by colleagues of someone who had raised concerns about not just mistakes but about transgression and I can deal with that in detail, if you want.

But because I was dealing with the events of one hospital it wasn't, although many things I was able to look at a national picture that I wasn't, and the then Secretary of State I think felt there was a bit of work to do on the ability of members of staff to speak up

when they were concerned about matters and what we basically wanted and also their treatment if they did that.

So I was commissioned to review those aspects which were called whistleblowing but actually right at the outset I made it clear to the Secretary of State that I didn't want to do a report called "the whistleblowing review", I wanted to talk about or look into the freedom of staff to speak up which it seemed to me the more positive way of putting it. The reason I did that is that whistleblowing is often thought of as in a pejorative sense, quite wrongly usually, but there is a sort of going right back to the school playground the idea of the sneak who's never been popular and whistleblowing often has a pejorative meaning, often when it shouldn't.

So I was -- I undertook a review of how people in the NHS were treated when they and how they were able to speak up and if they did speak up, what happened to them and a very sorry picture emerged. I can go into detail if you want.

- **Q.** I think for now that is sufficient for our purposes.
- A. Right.

Q. That's not because it is unimportant but it is because others will be asking you about it.

information should be provided willingly and without prevarication.

There are of course qualifications to that about personal data, confidentiality and so on which one might go to. But it is the willingness to provide that information. Transparency means -- and my definition was:

"The provision of facilities for all interested persons and organisations to see the information they need properly to meet their own legitimate needs in assessing the performance of a provider in the provision of services."

So it is one thing for people to be open but you have got to be able to act -- the people who need the information need to be able to access it, so whether it be by way of report, the Internet, answers to enquiries, and so on, the information that the people legitimately need should be provided and so there is an underlying thing about both these things which is of course the information provided must be true, it mustn't be misleading and particularly it mustn't be misleading by omission and a lot of what happened in Mid Staffordshire involved not giving information or putting an inaccurate spin on it, so all -- for instance, classically this was an organisation that told the Regulator it had no

A. Yes.

Q. So that, if you like, has set the scene for Freedom to Speak Up, which will come this afternoon.

The second topic that I am just going to introduce is your section 2 of your Part 2 Report, which you have headed "Openness, Transparency and Candour"?

- A. Yes
- Q. Again, as I make clear, the level of detail that I am dealing with this is not because it is unimportant but it is because others will deal with that but you provide a definition for each of these three words and I wonder if you can just as this introduction give us the definition. My Lady, it is on page 12 of the Part 2 Report.
- A. Yes, well, the openness, transparency and candour are in reality different aspects of the same thing, really, and I suppose openness is the overarching one and I defined it as the proactive provision of information about performance, negative as well as positive. And by that I meant proactive sharing of information internally and externally.

In my view, an NHS Trust provider of healthcare is something we all own, we should be entitled as members of the public or as patients or as parents to know what's going on inside this organisation and that

problem with mortality, while at the same time it was challenging the technical accuracy of figures showing it was an outlier in terms of mortality. Those two things just don't fit together.

So that's what I mean by open as transparency, it includes that concept.

Candour became to have a technical meaning. What I meant by that was, and the definition was:

"The volunteering of all relevant information to persons who have [and this is important] or may have been harmed by the provision of services, whether or not the information has been requested, and whether or not a complaint or a report about that provision has been made."

So candour in this sense is about being proactively honest with people when something either has -- is known to have gone wrong or might have gone wrong and we don't wait to be asked or for a complaint, we are just honest about it.

But that comes under the rubric of being open, it is one aspect of being open and but perhaps one of the most important ones, because it concentrates on the obligation of the organisation to be honest with its individual patients and their families of course.

Q. That's all I am going to ask you by way of introduction

1 to that topic, but you have just said it provides 1 properly investigated; 2 a segue into my next topic, which is concerns and 2 "To discuss the manner in which the complaint is to 3 complaints by parents and here we need to look at both 3 be handled ... 4 how things are or how they were? "To be kept informed of progress; 4 5 "To know the outcome of the investigation; Α. 6 Q. And then how you would wish them to be? 6 "To have an explanation of the conclusions; 7 A. Yes 7 "To have confirmation of any action to be taken." 8 Q. So we will take it in two parts. 8 And to complain to the Ombudsman if not satisfied. 9 The time period that we are focused upon, as you 9 So on the face of it, a multi-staged series of 10 know, Sir Robert, is 2015, 2016, 2017, so we will just 10 rights enshrined in a document under statute? consider, please, what the expectations were at that 11 Yes. And everything that you have read out from the NHS 11 12 time. This is dealt with by section 7, starting at 12 Constitution is founded on some statutory regulations 13 page 64, of your second part. 13 that specifically deal with complaints. 14 14 Perhaps I might interject, if I may. The A. Thank you. 15 Q. The starting point you identify is a document you have 15 question -- the examination question I was set at the 16 already introduced to us, namely the NHS Constitution? 16 top talks about concerns and complaints and what I then 17 A. Yes. Sorry, the answer is yes. 17 answered, to be honest, was really about complaints. Q. Yes? 18 18 There is a -- "concerns" is a rather ambiguous word 19 A. Sorry. 19 but it does include, it seems to me, worries that 20 Q. No, I made a statement. My rising inflection was 20 a parent might have or a patient might have about their 21 21 insufficient care which they raise on the ward, not as a complaint 22 So the NHS Constitution set out that patients have 22 but because they are just worried about something and 23 a right: 23 there are mechanisms for dealing with that which 24 24 I probably haven't dealt with there but for instance "To have an acknowledgement of their complaint about 25 the service in three working days and to have it 25 every hospital has a Patient Advisory Liaison Service 1 called PALS, and you are meant to be able to go there 1 Yes. 2 Q. In numerical terms could you just tell us what the with your concern and in a well-run place they will sort 2 3 things out for you, they will find the right person to 3 number of complaints the NHS received in 2022/2023 4 talk to and so on. 4 employees? 5 5 A. Well, so far as I can see, the total was 103,874 But we have also -- and I am sure I have already 6 dealt with this, what led to Martha's Law which is 6 complaints -- that's in hospital and community 7 7 about: I've got a concern, I don't really think the services -- of which 27% were upheld. 8 doctor has got it quite right, what do I do? I don't 8 A relatively smaller number of those related to 9 want to make a complaint. That I think was, it's always 9 paediatric clinical treatment, namely 2,136. Obviously 10 10 been people's right to go and get a second opinion but when I say it was a massive number probably if you 11 it wasn't well known and it's only sort of now that it's 11 divide that down into the number of hospitals it becomes 12 12 become much clearer, I think, what people's rights are less and somewhat more manageable. But that's -- and 13 and what can be done about that. 13 also when you consider and I don't know how many 14 But the complaint was really the next stage which is 14 millions of medical interventions there are in the NHS 15 15 every year, but it is tens of millions. So I think it

But the complaint was really the next stage which is if your concern hadn't been dealt with and complaints are usually something that are retrospective in the sense a treatment stopped, something has happened that you make a complaint and then you get into this statutory process which we might want to look into but it has a habit of becoming quite bureaucratic.

Q. Well, we will get into it, but we probably should understand the scale of what is being managed which you deal with at 7.7?

24 **A.** Yes

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

25

Q. You use the word "massive"?

A. 165.

ord "massive"? 55 A. Yes.
 Q. That there were by a small number in comparative terms more complaints 2015/2016 across the whole NHS?

Q. And you deal at 7.8, that was the position now by

is a relatively small proportion of medical treatment

ends up with a complaint. But it is still in absolute

24 **A.** Yes

16

17

18

19

20

25

Q. So this is plainly, would you agree, a very important

56

terms a large number.

reference to the latest data?

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

part of the NHS functioning? A. Definitely. I mean, I described it before and I will describe it again, this is gold dust. This is not -- it is obviously bad news that someone has felt the need to complain but it is good news that they have in the sense that it is an opportunity for the organisation involved to learn about something that's gone wrong and put it right. And importantly, not only is this about what the complainant has said has gone wrong they may be right or wrong about that but the fact that they have found a need to complain is itself something which they should 12 be able to learn from. 13

- Q. So if we look at how things have developed and in particular what was being said just before the period that we are focused on?
- 16 A. Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

14

15

17

18

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- Q. Your 7.11, you refer to a report about the NHS complaints system which was published in 2013?
- 19 Α.
 - Q. And what in your view was the main take away that NHS Trusts and Foundation Trusts should have been thinking about following that report?
 - A. Well, they found that I think there was considerable variation in practice across the system and but most importantly, that complaints were not being used to the

extent they could be in order to learn lessons from them and to change practice and they tended to be being dealt with in a sort of numerical way, you know, the fewer complaints there were was good news which of course is -- the fact the complaints have increased in number could actually be a good thing. It means people are being encouraged to be frank about things in a way perhaps they weren't before.

So you can only measure whether the system is working by looking at what's happened as a result of complaints and whether there is a successful outcome to it. So their recommendations were designed to make, to ensure that complaints reached the right level, they were investigated properly, they were collected together and that the relative -- sorry, the people who needed to know about this, including boards, actually got proper information. One of the things I found was the Mid Staffordshire board hardly got any information about its complaints at all and certainly no information about the content of them and some places there would be a categorisation of complaints, there are official categories, which aren't necessarily hugely helpful.

But sometimes I believe people need to look at the detail. It's -- when you see a real case and see what has actually happened, it tells you an awful lot about

whether something is going badly wrong in your organisation and you don't need to know there were 10 like that; one can be enough. It doesn't mean that a board need to deal or has the time to look at all complaints but I do believe it should every now and then pick one out and examine it in detail but that's really the -- answering your question, in a roundabout way, it was masses of that nature and I think that part included, identified and put together ways of making, doing the thing better and we have seen I put out in paragraph 7.11.1 some of the recommendations that were

- Q. And in terms of the implementation of that, those recommendations, you deal at 7.12, you have looked at the Countess of Chester Quality Account?
- A. Yes.
 - Q. For the same year. So can you just help us with what the Countess was saying about its own response to that report?
 - A. Yes, I should say that every Trust has produced every year -- I think still does, but certainly did then -what is called a Quality Account which is in effect an annual report on the quality of their performance and this report dealt with a number of reports including the Clwyd Hart Review and said that they were "Just

59

1 beginning to implement the changes recommended at 2 a local level". I don't think it said much more than 3 that, but that's what was mentioned there.

- 4 Q. It was at least an acknowledgement --
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. -- in a public-facing document that the fact of the report had been noted, that it had been accepted in principle, and that work had begun?
 - Yes. And of course that included if one looks back at 7.11.1 the Chief Executive should take personal responsibility for the complaints procedure and sign off the letters, which is a very good way obviously for the Chief Executive to be informed as to what's actually going on in his or her organisation.
 - Based on your experience of the NHS, is there a risk with that, though, that it will become an automated procedure because only one person is having to deal with so many that there isn't time to look at any, or do you think that it's essential that the very top of the organisation should have that complete overview?
 - Well, I -- I think like with any system it needs to be applied intelligently and obviously if there is a stream of complaints coming in about the lack of car parking spaces then that can probably be dealt with and believe me that's one of the not surprisingly in some places

a constant stream of complaints that can probably be dealt with in a slightly more automatic way than a complaint arising out of somebody who has been actually been harmed and you could say, I think, that when someone has been harmed that arguably avoidably the Chief Executive should take a personal interest.

One of the problems at Mid Staffordshire, again harking back to that, was that letters of this nature were drafted not surprisingly for the Chief Executive but which he would sign, frankly without paying much attention to the content to the extent that the letters were -- or one in particular I highlighted was completely insensitive to the feelings of a bereaved parent by suggesting to them that after a satisfactory resolution of the matter, no doubt they would feel able to move on, which wasn't received terribly well, as you might imagine, by the parent.

So it does tell you something about the organisation, how much care in every sense of the word the Chief Executive is taking for the people he serves or his organisation serves.

Q. So we have looked at the volume of complaints, we have looked at recommendations. You summarise at 7.17 on page 69 what the position was in 2015 and in summary, those principles from the NHS Constitution, did they

understanding of the frontline staff about what -- the value of this. So in a well-run healthy cultured hospital, staff will be actively encouraging their patients who are worried to make a complaint and think that's a good thing for them to do. Others would treat it as a personal insult and become hostile, not think this was a good thing so that's the -- whether it works or not is not to do so much with whether people have ticked the box of writing the letter about a complaint, it is actually what they have done about it. One of the things you can tell often by looking at a response to a complaint, you can ask yourself: has the letter actually answered the complaint? So often it hasn't answered the points that the poor person complaining has

Q. So that was the position then. If we bring ourselves right up to date, we -- you tell us at 7.22 that as recently as 15 May of this year, the Ombudsman, who as we heard at the outset of this section sits at the very back end of the complaints process as effectively a safety net or a check or a balance on the process that's gone before, has just published new good complaint handling guides for the NHS.

Is that something that you have had an opportunity to simply register as having happened or to consider in

govern the way in which a complaint ought to have been dealt with in 2015?

- A. Well, yes. That's what should happen. But obviously a procedure like that is set out in regulation and in a way it's how that gets implemented that matters as much as the formal compliance with it and I think it would be fair to say having read the Clwyd and Hart report that my own experience that the performance in relation to complaints in treating it as a benefit to the system about something you can genuinely use as a resource to learn from was very variable, it was happening in good places, well-run places, the places that needed it to do that most where there were problems were probably not doing quite so well. It is always the problem when you set out in rules and regulations, which you properly should do, this and that should happen, that busy people then concentrate on making sure the box is ticked rather than the purpose of it is fulfilled.
 - Q. So does it come to this: as far as you are aware, in 2015 there was a variable level of quality when it came to implementing what was required by the black letter of the rules?
- A. Yes and part of that I think would be that for the complaint system as designed here to be working properly it does need the wholehearted support of the staff and

any detail?

A. No, he -- he produced this Code of Conduct which has received widespread acceptance but he based it on his findings which I deal with at 7.21 about clinical failings leading to avoidable death and one can really sort of -- one of those points was about failing to be honest when things go wrong but another was a failure to respond to complaints in a timely and compassionate way.

Healthwatch England, when I was Chair, had only the year before undertaken a survey of complaints processes and found actually many Trusts were not even complying with the minimal, minimum annual reporting requirements for it. Very few seemed to be collecting figures that allowed you to work out what the complaints were about or what the trends were. I think the Ombudsman's Code, which he in fact I think brought about in conjunction with Healthwatch, although I left Healthwatch by that time, to sort of address some of these inconsistencies. So one would hope that some of this will get better.

- **Q.** Finally before we leave the topic, you at 7.25 express a personal view and then set out a list of factors that bear upon your opinion. Can you just refresh your ...
- A. Yes, I think I mentioned the first one already which is that first of all you need to have health, front line staff who welcome concerns being raised and I think it

is difficult for all of us as human beings to receive complaints easily, particularly if we are professionally self-confident people.

But actually we need to learn, maybe we need training in it, to accept that if someone is complaining about something we have done there is probably a reason for it even if we weren't conscious of it. So we need insight. But we need to welcome people raising these things. Complainants -- the ordinary member of the public, I don't mean that in a patronising sense, are often not terribly well-equipped to express things, they need help and support to do this. So you don't necessarily -- I am happy to say you don't necessarily need a lawyer to draft your complaint for you but you need someone to talk to, I think, who can then help you articulate what it is you want to complain about.

The next thing is that when a complaint is made, so often there is a sort of knee-jerk reaction to it in the sense of it could be either accepting or rejecting it but actually that any serious complaint needs to be investigated properly and it needs to be investigated by someone with an independent and objective mind and the training to do that. I thought at the time of the Mid Staffordshire Inquiry that serious complaints actually needed an external element to them.

we need to be involved as patients or as a family of a child in the formulation of treatment being told how it's going, I don't see why the same thing doesn't apply to the investigation of your complaint. This isn't meant to be an adversarial process.

It should be a collaborative process, producing a result that everyone feels has resolved the matter at issue.

Then the transparency of the process, I am -- the minimum reporting requirements I don't think at the moment provide sufficient detail for the outsider to understand what the problems are in a particular Trust and that tends to be because the shield of confidentiality is abused, I think in most cases it will be possible to publish much more of the case histories without prejudicing people's right to confidentiality because without that, I don't think you have got the full transparency that would actually motivate people to make the relevant changes.

LADY JUSTICE THIRLWALL: I'm sorry, Sir Robert, I wanted to check one thing. Did you say the shield of confidentiality is used or abused?

A. The easiest thing to do is say, well, this is about a treatment of a patient.

LADY JUSTICE THIRLWALL: Yes.

I find it odd that we have regulators who go and -the CQC inspect hospitals but they have no role in
overseeing the conduct of individual complaints and that
could be to do with numbers, but then neither as far as
I can see do many of the commissioning bodies. Whether
commissioning groups or Primary Care Trusts, very rarely
would any of them take up even an interest in conduct of
a complaint and yet, being an old-fashioned person, it
seems to me if you are paying an organisation to provide
a service, you should be taking an intimate interest in
seeing whether that service has been properly provided.

So I do think there should be an independent element in this and you do need to involve the complainants throughout. What happens at the moment often the complainant makes the formal complaint, they get a letter telling them you will hear from us within a certain timeframe, which is often extended, then there is silence and then they get a letter telling them what the result is, either upholding it, not upholding it or somewhere, somewhere in between; if it's upheld, then accompanied by an apology.

But often this process won't actually have addressed what the complainant was really talking about and they haven't been involved in the process of the investigations. They are just told a result and just as

A. I can't reveal the details because it might identify the patient. But you can sort of pull back or also it might identify some member of staff.

But I believe there is a public interest in knowing as much as you can properly put into the public domain about a complaint and I don't think that you -- most of the time you will be able to do that without identifying either the patient or the members of staff involved, but if there is a particular issue arising out of a particular department about monitoring in it or something like that, at least that amount should be put into the public domain and what's been done about it because that's the most important thing, not that there has been a complaint, it is what has been done to make sure it doesn't happen again.

LADY JUSTICE THIRLWALL: Thank you.

MR DE LA POER: So we are going to leave the topic of complaints, although all of these topics that we have covered are really building to this so that we have got the bedrock in place for our next topic, which is the accountability of NHS senior managers.

A. Yes.

Q. And you deal with this in sections 9 and 10 of Part 2 of your report. Section 9 begins on page 75 and you begin so that we have got a shared understanding of what we

		Ine
1		are talking about, providing a definition of "senior
2		manager"?
3	A.	Yes.
4	Q.	So can you just help us with that, please?
5	Α.	,
6		can consider it but I am using the term here as being at
7		a board, the level of the board of the provider, so it
8		would be the Chief Executive but also other Executive or
9		Non-Executive Directors, depending on the type of
10		organisation you are dealing with there might be others
11 12		just below that, who are I mean, a really big Trust, which I don't think this one was, might have several
13		hospitals and they each might have a sort of sub board
14		or an Executive Director in charge of the particular
15		site. They would also I think come within my definition
16		of senior managers. But we are talking about people who
17		aren't if I can be respectful, with all due respect,
18		aren't administrators, they are leaders of an
19		organisation.
20	Q.	And so in a smaller organisation synonymous with
21		directors but in a very large organisation, potentially
22		those immediately below them?
23	A.	Yes.
24	Q.	Now, one observation you make early in your answer to
25		the question that was posed to you was to observe what
		69
1		organisation like a hospital will run without good
2		managers by which I mean good leaders, really.
3		So I think it is a term which is bandied around and
4		it's easy doctors do it as well of course and nurses,
5		they blame the managers, the politicians blame the
6		managers, when actually all they are trying to do is to
7	_	do an extremely complicated job.
8	Q.	And so with that in mind, can you just tell us what the
9		objective is here, in other words good accountability
10 11		of managers is about keeping them accountable to
11 12	Α.	a particular standard? Yes.
13	Q.	So what is it that makes a good manager? That's a very
14	ų.	broad question I recognise, but you deal with it at
15		length and in a number of
16	Α.	Yes.
-		

Q. -- parts and if you just help us with what -- what is it

A. Yes. Well, as I say, often I think Florence Nightingale

put it quite pithily and I quote it here but I just say

in her head", she was talking in those days of course,

"not how can I always do this right thing myself, but 71

work out how to hold them to that standard?

that managers should be striving for so that we then can

"Let whoever is in charge keep this simple question

17

18

19 20

21 22

23

24

25

it:

22

23

24

25

was said in the King's Fund Report about how senior managers are often talked about? Mmm. Could you speak to that please ---- and then just give us your own perspective on the fairness or accuracy of that in general terms? Yes. With the King's Fund Report, so whenever politicians talk about "management" it is almost invariably a pejorative term and "bureaucrats" is used as well. Of course it is quite wrong because no organisation

can run without management and as I think we have already -- I have already indicated, management in this sense is complicated, it is -- there are sciences as well as art in doing it and without it, things will not happen and the mere qualification in this sense of being a doctor, a nurse or a physiotherapist does not equip you to be a manager of this type.

And there is -- it's not to say that doctors and nurses do not need leadership qualities, they certainly do, and some of them will become senior managers anyway, but at the level of clinical director, for instance, or matron or senior ward manager in the nursing profession all have elements of this, but the -- no complex

70

how can I provide for this right thing always to be done?'

Within that pithy quotation is actually the essence of what we are talking about. It's actually knowing the first you have got to do is know what is right which is not always easy but you have got to know what is right, and then you have got to ensure that it gets down.

That's what a leader is doing and how do you do that? Well, you do that -- firstly you must, your leader must be someone who understands and lives and breathes the appropriate values and I have set out at paragraph 9.15 what some of those are.

- Q. I mean, I think it would be useful for you just to draw out that list and bring it together for us please?
 - Probity obviously goes without saying. We need to be honest, have people who are honest and have the highest level of integrity. They need to be, partly for some of the reasons we have mentioned, they have to have courage. The leader who is unable to confront a senior consultant behaving badly has not got the relevant degree of courage. The leader who cannot bring him or herself to say to NHS England "My organisation is not safe because I haven't got enough money to do X" has not got the right courage. Openness and candour I think we

have already dealt with.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

But no organisation will have staff in it who are themselves open and candid unless the Chief Executive for instance is also the same. If they see -- the staff see a Chief Executive who denies that things have gone wrong, when they know things have gone wrong, they will not follow that leader or -- and what they will do is they will adopt that leader's habit of denial. So openness and candour is necessary.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

No leader is leading in my view properly unless they listen and learn from their patients and from their staff, their colleagues. Good leaders spend very little -- the ones that I have met in the health service spend very little time in their offices behind closed doors. Of course they do spend time there and they spend time in committee meetings and so on, but they are walking the shop floor; they are talking to nurses, they are talking to patients. They are asking people what they would like to see happen or what they think the problems are and of course you might say in a huge organisation they are not going to get round everywhere, of course they are not. But they will be people who go round themselves, their immediate staff will be doing the same. So listening and learning is really important.

The other side of that is by doing that they are

organisation does the same.

I have always said that you need to know -- you need to make sure that your finance department has at the front of its mind the interests of the patient, what is the best thing we can do for the patient and you will tend to find that the money then follows -- money problems are then solved. But you need to understand how to prioritise and you need to understand how to

You obviously need a willingness to challenge both internally and externally and, you know, all this means you need the ability to judge and analyse complex issues. Now, as a list of talents to get into one individual I believe that's a pretty demanding list but there are people who can do all that and of course where there are skills that an individual doesn't possess personally you need to have a good team around you to do that.

But if you don't have these values, you will soon find as a leader you are on your own and people aren't talking to you and things are going wrong you don't know about and you will be slowly but surely leading an organisation which is failing.

So that's a list. I mean, lots of people have different lists but that's mine.

inspiring and motivating their colleagues. They are spreading their method of behaviour, their civility, respect, respectful dealing with people. This is the way we behave, this is -- you are doing a good job, motivation, inspiration come from, from leadership.

They need an ability to create and communicate vision and strategy. Now, that sounds boring in a sense, but -- and it can be done extremely boringly, if you read the vision and strategic statements almost anywhere in the NHS, they won't keep you awake very long. But the purpose of the Chief Executive and the leaders are to communicate the values and the purpose of the strategy and why it matters to people, what is happening, and how it is going to affect people and if they can't do that or get it done, they are not leading effectively.

Of course in a place where -- a service in which resources are never going to be enough, we can never do everything all the time. They need to be able to understand how to prioritise things but -- and to protect patient safety and the provision of the fundamental standards and they must have those standards and the interests of the patient in the case of a hospital always at the forefront of everything they do and they must make sure that everyone in the

Q. So that is the way in which it is to be hoped that senior managers will --

Α.

-- behave. The question then comes, how can the system be designed to ensure that that occurs? We will begin with a historical perspective looking back at 2015 in terms of what was the position back then in terms of the systems that were in place and you deal at 9.22, which is on page 81, with one part of that standard setting/standard keeping, the appraisal process and you tell us at 9.22 although it's not a process that you are directly familiar with in terms of managers, that it is a process generally that you have some understanding of?

Yes. Well, I think the position was this: there were a number of framework documents which would set out the expectations for being a manager and to be fair some of that would probably have incorporated the sort of things I have been talking about. So I think there was documentation there which if followed could produce leaders of that nature but as I have said, I think the real problem was not so much the policies as finding the people who embody these values to recruit.

There was remarkably little competition for some of these jobs. So, for instance, at Mid Staffordshire an undoubtedly frankly unsuitable person got the job

76

protect patient safety in your organisation.

21 22 23

24

25

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

because there was no one else. I mean the evidence before the Inquiry was frankly explicitly that the person doing the appointment, that's what they felt. So I think that there was a problem then which

I think is increasingly being remedied of professional -- a lack of professional development of people in the health service to equip them eventually to take these very, very demanding roles.

What some people and a so-called elite almost were occasionally sent off to Harvard Business School or wherever, but generally speaking, people could arrive in these positions with very little training whereas I think now there is a leadership academy and things like that which have helped produce -- none of it seems to be compulsory but it is easier to access the training which you require to become a good leader or at least for people to be tested as to whether they are -whether it has gone far enough I rather doubt, but that's where we are.

- Just considering the appraisal process back in 2015 to the extent that you can assist us, is that a process that you understood was mandatory?
- 23 A. Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

24

25

1

2

7

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Q. In the sense that it was required that all senior managers were the subject of appraisal or was that 77

something that simply occurred because in hospitals doctors and nurses are regularly appraised and it sort of fed upwards?

A. No, there was -- board appraisals I think were a common feature by which I mean the Chair would be appraised there is a way of doing that, and I am pretty confident that Chief Executives would be have an annual appraisal from the board. This is different from the clinical governance system which would be to deal with doctors in particular, which has now become rather more sophisticated over the years.

But the thing about appraisals, and I have some personal experience of this sitting on boards, that they can be of two types, there is the type where the Chief Executive has a cup of coffee with or lunch with the Chair once a year and they just congratulate each other on how well things are going and there is the appraisal which is much more formal and involves the setting of objectives and assessment of whether last year's objectives have been met, what forms of personal or professional development the individual wants and so on. I think in well-run places that's what happens and therefore is something that's more than a tick box exercise but it is something that produces good value.

But I am not sure and I don't know whether that's

something that happened everywhere.

- Q. So the --
- 3 A. I would be more confident that it does now than it did 4
- 5 Q. So the appraisal process is one internal mechanism?
- 6 A. Yes.
 - Q. By which a senior manager can be held to account?
- 8 A. Yes.
- 9 Q. If, as you have described, it is done in the second way, 10 rather than perhaps the more self-congratulatory way?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. Aside from that internal mechanism, in 2015, what is your understanding about the way in which there was any other mechanism to ensure accountability for senior
 - A. Well, by then the Care Quality Commission had begun to inspect hospitals, Trusts in particular, and indeed all healthcare providers in a rather more -- I was going to say intrusive way, but that's certainly I think how it felt to them, but to look at the standards being applied in the hospital.

Part of that was -- and still is, the most important part of it is an assessment of the leadership of the organisation. So while that might not be directly personal, it would be -- the inspectors would see

whether there was effective leadership in a place by looking at how things were being run and they issued reams of guidance of what their expectations are for leadership in a Trust. I used to occasionally go on inspections when I was a director and I have to say I would not have wanted to be the Chief Executive or the Chair being asked the questions that were asked by the inspector, very politely. But, for instance, they would ask the Chief Executive or the Chair what they saw the problem as being in the hospital and they would ask -if there had been a previous report, how have you dealt with the problems you identified then? Then half an hour later we would be at a meeting with the inspector of nurses or doctors and asking them what they thought the current problems were and you would of course get an entirely different list of things, all of which were objectively verifiable and none of which the board seemed to either know about or if they did know

So that sort of inspection was really holding people to account and of course that tended to lead sometimes to the unfortunate consequence I think of making inspections look punitive because if the report was negative you could end up with the Chief Executive losing their job and -- which I don't think was

about it, they were not intending to tell the CQC about.

80

1		necessarily the right outcome.
2	Q.	So just focusing on 2015, 2016?
3	A.	Yes, what I described then would have been happening
4	_	then.
5	Q.	Well, exactly so, that was what I was just going to
6	_	cover.
7	Α.	But when inspections occurred was dependent on a lot and
8		sometimes there was quite a long time between
9		inspections and that time has now increased much more
10 11	_	now. So that's a form of external
12	Q. A.	Yes.
13	Q.	scrutiny
14	Q. A.	I should perhaps add those are routine inspections.
15	Λ.	Obviously the CQC have the power and did, if serious
16		concerns were raised, they could be prompted to
17		undertake a more immediate risk-based assessment and
18		that could again would include looking at the
19		leadership. So it didn't necessarily need to wait for
20		a routine three-yearly visit or whatever it was.
21	Q.	In terms of NHS England's role in 2015
22	A.	Yes.
23	Q.	what did you understand NHS England could do?
24		I think you deal with this at 10.4 which is on page 84
25		of your report.
		81
1		accountability was there because what various we
1 2		accountability was there because what various we could go into the technical ways in which Chief
		•
2		could go into the technical ways in which Chief
2 3		could go into the technical ways in which Chief Executives were hired and fired. But there is no doubt
2 3 4		could go into the technical ways in which Chief Executives were hired and fired. But there is no doubt at all that if NHS England thought that the
2 3 4 5	Q.	could go into the technical ways in which Chief Executives were hired and fired. But there is no doubt at all that if NHS England thought that the Chief Executive should go, the Chief Executive would go.
2 3 4 5 6	Q.	could go into the technical ways in which Chief Executives were hired and fired. But there is no doubt at all that if NHS England thought that the Chief Executive should go, the Chief Executive would go. So that's the accountability.
2 3 4 5 6 7	Q. A.	could go into the technical ways in which Chief Executives were hired and fired. But there is no doubt at all that if NHS England thought that the Chief Executive should go, the Chief Executive would go. So that's the accountability. So NHS England CQC through their regime of inspections
2 3 4 5 6 7 8		could go into the technical ways in which Chief Executives were hired and fired. But there is no doubt at all that if NHS England thought that the Chief Executive should go, the Chief Executive would go. So that's the accountability. So NHS England CQC through their regime of inspections and an internal process, the appraisal
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	A.	could go into the technical ways in which Chief Executives were hired and fired. But there is no doubt at all that if NHS England thought that the Chief Executive should go, the Chief Executive would go. So that's the accountability. So NHS England CQC through their regime of inspections and an internal process, the appraisal Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	A. Q.	could go into the technical ways in which Chief Executives were hired and fired. But there is no doubt at all that if NHS England thought that the Chief Executive should go, the Chief Executive would go. So that's the accountability. So NHS England CQC through their regime of inspections and an internal process, the appraisal Yes being one aspect Yes of that?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	A. Q. A.	could go into the technical ways in which Chief Executives were hired and fired. But there is no doubt at all that if NHS England thought that the Chief Executive should go, the Chief Executive would go. So that's the accountability. So NHS England CQC through their regime of inspections and an internal process, the appraisal Yes being one aspect Yes of that? I mean, the technical, where a Foundation Trust has
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	A. Q. A. Q.	could go into the technical ways in which Chief Executives were hired and fired. But there is no doubt at all that if NHS England thought that the Chief Executive should go, the Chief Executive would go. So that's the accountability. So NHS England CQC through their regime of inspections and an internal process, the appraisal Yes being one aspect Yes of that? I mean, the technical, where a Foundation Trust has a board of governors and that who appoint the Chair,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	A. Q. A. Q.	could go into the technical ways in which Chief Executives were hired and fired. But there is no doubt at all that if NHS England thought that the Chief Executive should go, the Chief Executive would go. So that's the accountability. So NHS England CQC through their regime of inspections and an internal process, the appraisal Yes being one aspect Yes of that? I mean, the technical, where a Foundation Trust has a board of governors and that who appoint the Chair, I think, but but obviously the board of an NHS Trust
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	A. Q. A. Q.	could go into the technical ways in which Chief Executives were hired and fired. But there is no doubt at all that if NHS England thought that the Chief Executive should go, the Chief Executive would go. So that's the accountability. So NHS England CQC through their regime of inspections and an internal process, the appraisal Yes being one aspect Yes of that? I mean, the technical, where a Foundation Trust has a board of governors and that who appoint the Chair, I think, but but obviously the board of an NHS Trust has a, or a Foundation Trust, would have a role in
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	A. Q. A. Q.	could go into the technical ways in which Chief Executives were hired and fired. But there is no doubt at all that if NHS England thought that the Chief Executive should go, the Chief Executive would go. So that's the accountability. So NHS England CQC through their regime of inspections and an internal process, the appraisal Yes being one aspect Yes of that? I mean, the technical, where a Foundation Trust has a board of governors and that who appoint the Chair, I think, but but obviously the board of an NHS Trust has a, or a Foundation Trust, would have a role in either of the in the dismissal of the Chief Executive
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A. Q. A. Q.	could go into the technical ways in which Chief Executives were hired and fired. But there is no doubt at all that if NHS England thought that the Chief Executive should go, the Chief Executive would go. So that's the accountability. So NHS England CQC through their regime of inspections and an internal process, the appraisal Yes being one aspect Yes of that? I mean, the technical, where a Foundation Trust has a board of governors and that who appoint the Chair, I think, but but obviously the board of an NHS Trust has a, or a Foundation Trust, would have a role in either of the in the dismissal of the Chief Executive as well.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A. Q. A. Q.	could go into the technical ways in which Chief Executives were hired and fired. But there is no doubt at all that if NHS England thought that the Chief Executive should go, the Chief Executive would go. So that's the accountability. So NHS England CQC through their regime of inspections and an internal process, the appraisal Yes being one aspect Yes of that? I mean, the technical, where a Foundation Trust has a board of governors and that who appoint the Chair, I think, but but obviously the board of an NHS Trust has a, or a Foundation Trust, would have a role in either of the in the dismissal of the Chief Executive as well. But there is a sort of collaboration. In real life
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	A. Q. A. Q.	could go into the technical ways in which Chief Executives were hired and fired. But there is no doubt at all that if NHS England thought that the Chief Executive should go, the Chief Executive would go. So that's the accountability. So NHS England CQC through their regime of inspections and an internal process, the appraisal Yes being one aspect Yes of that? I mean, the technical, where a Foundation Trust has a board of governors and that who appoint the Chair, I think, but but obviously the board of an NHS Trust has a, or a Foundation Trust, would have a role in either of the in the dismissal of the Chief Executive as well. But there is a sort of collaboration. In real life there is a collaboration there, but the final word
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A. Q. A. Q.	could go into the technical ways in which Chief Executives were hired and fired. But there is no doubt at all that if NHS England thought that the Chief Executive should go, the Chief Executive would go. So that's the accountability. So NHS England CQC through their regime of inspections and an internal process, the appraisal Yes being one aspect Yes of that? I mean, the technical, where a Foundation Trust has a board of governors and that who appoint the Chair, I think, but but obviously the board of an NHS Trust has a, or a Foundation Trust, would have a role in either of the in the dismissal of the Chief Executive as well. But there is a sort of collaboration. In real life there is a collaboration there, but the final word I would say would be held by NHS England.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A. Q. A. Q.	could go into the technical ways in which Chief Executives were hired and fired. But there is no doubt at all that if NHS England thought that the Chief Executive should go, the Chief Executive would go. So that's the accountability. So NHS England CQC through their regime of inspections and an internal process, the appraisal Yes being one aspect Yes of that? I mean, the technical, where a Foundation Trust has a board of governors and that who appoint the Chair, I think, but but obviously the board of an NHS Trust has a, or a Foundation Trust, would have a role in either of the in the dismissal of the Chief Executive as well. But there is a sort of collaboration. In real life there is a collaboration there, but the final word I would say would be held by NHS England. They might correct you if I am wrong about that.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	A. Q. A. Q. A.	could go into the technical ways in which Chief Executives were hired and fired. But there is no doubt at all that if NHS England thought that the Chief Executive should go, the Chief Executive would go. So that's the accountability. So NHS England CQC through their regime of inspections and an internal process, the appraisal Yes being one aspect Yes of that? I mean, the technical, where a Foundation Trust has a board of governors and that who appoint the Chair, I think, but but obviously the board of an NHS Trust has a, or a Foundation Trust, would have a role in either of the in the dismissal of the Chief Executive as well. But there is a sort of collaboration. In real life there is a collaboration there, but the final word I would say would be held by NHS England. They might correct you if I am wrong about that. So three different ways back in 2015, '16 and '17?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A. Q. A. Q.	could go into the technical ways in which Chief Executives were hired and fired. But there is no doubt at all that if NHS England thought that the Chief Executive should go, the Chief Executive would go. So that's the accountability. So NHS England CQC through their regime of inspections and an internal process, the appraisal Yes being one aspect Yes of that? I mean, the technical, where a Foundation Trust has a board of governors and that who appoint the Chair, I think, but but obviously the board of an NHS Trust has a, or a Foundation Trust, would have a role in either of the in the dismissal of the Chief Executive as well. But there is a sort of collaboration. In real life there is a collaboration there, but the final word I would say would be held by NHS England. They might correct you if I am wrong about that.

A. Well, yes, the -- as I think I have indicated before, NHS England was the source of the funding for all hospital trusts and there would have been a direct relationship between I would believe NHS England's regional director of the relevant area and the Chief Executive and there would be a pretty constant review of performance and that would -- for in effect all the things that were the responsibility of the Chief Executive who is the accounting officer for the Trust.

It would tend to be more, particularly in financially troubled trusts, more about the money I think than it would be about the quality and safety, although there would be different views I think you will find expressed from different people about that. So it might depend on a personal experience.

But the pressure, a lot of pressure could be applied and they complained about it in journals, you know, the HSJ and so on, a lot of pressure put on people where the finance wasn't being run to the liking of NHS England and, not surprisingly, therefore, there would be a focus from the Chief Executive on those aspects of their organisation.

- Q. So you have described three different mechanisms for --
- **A.** Yes, and I should add there, I mean, the direct

senior managers to account?

- A. I don't think they have, no. But --
- **Q.** One matter that you mention in your report is the fit and proper person test.
- A. Yes. Well, that was, that was then because it was part of the same regulations as the duty of candour and it's always been problematic I think in how it's been applied.

The technicality is that to be a director, you have to be a fit and proper person and it's the responsibility of the organisation of which you are a member to see that you, as a board member you are a fit and proper person both at the time you are appointed and throughout. It -- the CQC is the regulator for enforcing that regulation, but it doesn't actually or hasn't actually ever, as far as I know, investigated an individual as to whether they are a fit and proper person.

What they do is assure themselves that there is a process that's been followed to -- to -- so that to ensure people are fit and proper and, frankly, that hasn't worked, and the obvious human problem is that as a chair of an NHS Trust to decide that your Chief Executive is not a fit and proper person is quite difficult; and vice versa, who is -- adjudicates on the

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

chair of the organisation.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

So it's generally thought now that it is not a very effective way of checking whether people are fit and proper people and to my -- well, in my opinion, there have been some pretty egregious cases recently of evidence where there's been evidence of a Chief Executive, maybe the Chair for instance victimising whistleblowers which, to my mind, raises an issue as to whether they are fit and proper and nothing much happens frankly and the reason, it seems to me, is that there is -- there's no -- if a doctor is thought to be unfit or proper the General Medical Council will investigate, it's an independent body. If it sees fit, it refers a case to the Medical Professional Tribunal Service, which is an independent adjudicator, who decides on the fitness of that person to continue in practice. There is no equivalent for non-clinical managers and you won't be surprised to know I think there should be.

But because -- the absence of that means that there is no fair process for deciding these things and of course with all these cases there can be two sides to the story and you need a place where, where those, the issues can be resolved and currently I don't see that there is

85

someone from being the chief officer or senior director or a director of an NHS organisation.

- Q. Just advancing this as a lively debate --
- A. It is.
- Q. -- in terms of the pros and cons --
 - A. And it's the -- some -- you would expect all, all members of the management classes to oppose this, but interestingly enough they don't or there is a debate about it. A lot of it's about the cost of it, but actually many would actually welcome having the backing of an organisation which gives them a professional status, gives them professional obligations which then shelters them from some of the pressures that they currently face.
 - Well, it was on that very point that I was just going to ask you and present the counterargument for your comment that one of the counterarguments is that there may be a chilling effect in circumstances where there perhaps aren't as many good candidates that might be wished for, that good candidates would be deterred by the excessive or the extra layer of scrutiny. But from what you have just said, that isn't your expectation having spoken to those in that position?
 - Well, the -- they are justifiable complaints about the level of scrutiny that they are under, but frankly the 87

Q. So that is a change that you think would increase the accountability of senior managers?

A. Well, it would do, it would do that and it would also put non-clinical leaders and managers on the same footing as their colleagues around the board table.

And because I mean the interesting thing is or the sad thing is that if a doctor is brave enough to become a Chief Executive of a Trust and act in a way which is contrary to the patient's interests the GMC can, and occasionally has -- it happened to the Chief Executive of Bristol being hauled up before the General Medical Council for their conduct as the Chief Executive because they will be involved inevitably a breach of the Code of Conduct of a doctor.

There is no such, no such procedure for the non-clinical manager and the result I'm afraid is that people who haven't done terribly well, one way or the other, may leave one job. You will then find they crop up in another iob because there is no overall certification as to whether someone is a fit and proper person at any given time to do these roles.

So I am in favour of there being a system of regulation that at least has that element to it. I mean, there are various forms of regulation you can have but I think there ought to be a means of disqualifying

scrutiny might become better organised and fairer and more transparent if there was a system of regulation that I believe for the regulation of doctors protects good doctors. For instance, it allows, it tells them they must be candid and if they are not they might get

So they can go to their employer and say: I had to say this because that's what my professional obligation was. A manager can say that but there is -- but at the moment there's not many teeth, as it were, behind, behind that and I think something to be -- to actually have more of a certification that you are a fit and proper person would actually be a protection.

What scrutiny goes behind that is a different issue and there is clearly a debate to be had about whether the CQC or NHS England or whoever are doing too much burden -- overburdening the scrutiny or not. But I think that's a different issue for myself.

- Q. Thank you. Is there any other view that you have in terms of how the accountability of senior managers might be strengthened? I don't detect one, but I wanted to give the opportunity in case I've missed one.
- A. Well, I think I mentioned I think in my original report and I probably didn't say much about it here, was I think there is a place for a greater use of peer

88

struck off.

(22) Pages 85 - 88

review within the system by which -- certainly a lot of that does happen now with royal colleges coming in and reviewing clinical practice.

But there is no reason why you can't apply something similar in relation to management processes and so of course the problem we have at the moment is that the CQC will come and examine a place effectively or not, and there is a debate about that at the moment, but say find a need to change. You have got NHS England who will demand that change is made and may suggest things that need to be done.

But actually probably what you need is colleagues, trusted colleagues or from somewhere else in the system to come and help you make the changes and suggest ways of doing that. Now, some of that happens institutionally, but one of the burdens that successful Chief Executives in the NHS face is that they are often asked to go and help out, change other places and sometimes the worst thing that happens, and it does happen, if it happens to be a neighbouring Trust they are asked to be Chief Executive of both at the same time so that they hope that the positive things in one place infect the place which isn't working very well.

And that, that is -- it sometimes works, it sometimes doesn't. But I think there could be probably

more organisation into something less formal than that and of course any good Chief Executive will have a network of support, but I am asking for something a bit more than that

In the nuclear power industry they have a more formalised peer review whereby competitive, competing companies go and inspect the nuclear power facilities in other places and come up with quite critical recommendations, and the reason they do that is that they know even though they are competitors that one nuclear power station failure is a commercial disaster for them all. So they will help each other.

I mean, that may not be happening in Eastern Europe at the moment frankly but in the rest of the world it is and apparently, according to the people I have spoken to and seen evidence of, it works very well. You could organise something like that in the NHS but, you know, that's not a formal system of accountability but it is more something of support for people in very challenging circumstances.

- Q. Thank you. Now, the final topic that I intended to deal with before lunch was effective management in the NHS, but you have already dealt very substantially --
- **A.** Sorry.
- 25 Q. No, that's not you, that's me.

LADY JUSTICE THIRLWALL: No one is complaining.

MR DE LA POER: It certainly wasn't meant in a critical way.

So there is just one facet of it that you deal with in your section 12 that I don't think that we have looked at closely, your section 12 starting at 87, and this is just considering that interface between senior management and staff, the lines of communication and what may be thought from your very substantial review of a large number of public inquiries and investigations a perennial problem.

So if we could just start by summarising if you would for us, please, what sort of issues have been identified historically with communication breakdown between senior managers and staff?

A. Well, as I indicate here, going back as far as 1969, the then Mr Jeffrey Howe found in a place where there was corruption and abuse that staff had been persuaded that there was no point in complaining and that is a constant feature of so many of these inquiries particularly when I looked at it in this way dealing with what was called last week transgressive behaviour; that there's a feeling there is no point in complaining because nothing will happen.

We may come to it later when I am asked about it, but the Freedom to Speak Up agenda is not just about

speaking up. It's about listening and action in relation to the concerns raised and if you don't have that bit people stop complaining, they stop raising concerns and so that seems to have been, it seems through Shipman and I think the HSSIB Report, Airedale and certainly at Mid Staffordshire, where staff found it was -- there was no point in complaining about staffing being unsafe as a cause of an incident because nothing ever happened about it and indeed they were encouraged not to use that.

So there is this sort of blockage of information that's caused by culture. Sometimes it's more than culture, it's actually more deliberate than that.

But we can -- I mean again at Mid Staffordshire a nurse who raised concerns about the fabrication of medical records, discharge times in the casualty department, was sought to be discouraged by physical threats from her colleagues and -- that wasn't the management but that just shows how bad culture, culture can get.

So communication is really important. Recognising that barriers like this can occur in subunits of your bigger unit, your leadership needs to be able to get -- demonstrate that that's not -- it is not true that you don't want to hear about these things and you need to

.

communicate that by, well, one is actually physical presence and talking to people but obviously there are a need to be other means to do that as well and that needs to be a two-way process. You need to communicate. I mean if I go back to the nuclear power point again. A Chief Executive of a nuclear power station told me -- a power company -- that he would when he talked to engineers or whatever on his visits he would ask them if there was any concern. He would be told of a concern and he would make it his business within a short time to have sent an email back to that individual with what he found out about that concern and what he was going to do about it. He wouldn't necessarily agree with it but there would be that communication. That's a simple way of doing it. There obviously needs to be more complex ways as well. But the point is you need the message to get through that the senior leadership wants to know about this stuff and is going to congratulate you for telling us, thank you and not beat you up for it.

Q. How important do you view that positive acknowledgement as being part of the way to --

It is absolutely vital. Obviously it is quite difficult
 to arrange in some cases in the sense that ideally you
 93

recommendations by previous NHS inquiries to deal with.

My Lady, I wonder whether now might be a convenient moment rather than breaking it, although I can make a start. Entirely in my Lady's gift.

LADY JUSTICE THIRLWALL: It is entirely a matter for you, Mr de La Poer.

MR DE LA POER: Could I ask then that we break for an hour for lunch now?

LADY JUSTICE THIRLWALL: Yes.

MR DE LA POER: And resume with that topic which we will deal with as a piece.

LADY JUSTICE THIRLWALL: Is that convenient to you? **A.** Yes, certainly.

LADY JUSTICE THIRLWALL: So we will rise now and come back at a quarter to 2.

(12.44 pm)

(The lunch break)

(1.44 pm)

MR DE LA POER: Sir Robert, my final topic of any substance in terms of length is recommendations by previous NHS Inquiries, investigations and reviews.

You devote Part 1 of your report to this topic and of course appendix 4, which I am not going to invite you to turn up, deals with it in even greater detail and all of that will stand as part of the Inquiry's record.

want not only the individual who has given you the information to be congratulated, you want everyone else to know about this as well because then it encourages others to behave in the same way.

Clearly sometimes the issues are too delicate or, you know, if you are trying to assure the safety of the person who is giving you the information you may find that more difficult but -- and indeed I found in the Freedom to Speak Up Review one of the difficulties was actually finding the good practice, the good stories because they were too confidential for that reason. Or actually the other reason was it was so much a matter of normal practice no one actually knew that it was necessarily something to brag about.

But you do need to brag about these things and I have always thought for instance the Care Quality Commission could do more because when it receives information, as it does about concerns, it will often go and take action about them. I don't think it does enough to celebrate the fact that a member of staff somewhere has been brave enough to tell them whatever it was which has led to a positive change in a hospital.

But you do need that to happen.

MR DE LA POER: Thank you very much indeed.

I have one more substantial topic, namely

The first thing that I wanted to ask you about is what you say on page 3 of Part 1 in the final paragraph?

A. Yes.

Q. Now, you were asked by the Inquiry to consider whether or not particular recommendations had been implemented and you tell us in that paragraph that this was not a straightforward process and I wonder if you could just elaborate on the challenge that you found the task of just working out whether in the case of any particular Inquiry, in any particular recommendation within that Inquiry, it had in fact been implemented?

A. Well, an Inquiry will make recommendations. It will usually say who it thinks is responsible for implementing them. It's usually addressed -- the report is usually addressed of a Public Inquiry to the Government who issue usually issue a response. Not always, but usually. Frankly, one of the most dangerous words to see in a Government response to an Inquiry recommendation is that it welcomes it.

I don't say that completely in jest but almost invariably governments have a habit of accepting recommendations, in principle at least, and then after that it becomes quite difficult to establish precisely what has happened.

With my Inquiry I made a recommendation that the 96

.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Health Select Committee at the House of Commons should be invited to in effect monitor the response to the recommendations and I believe that had a positive effect. The Government very properly and notably actually did not one but two formal responses to my regrettably large number of recommendations, 290 in my case, but they did respond to all of them, not once but twice in different reports and the Health Select Committee reviewed the matter I think on two occasions, it may have been more.

I did also recommend that NHS Trusts should in their annual reports annually say what they were doing about the recommendations.

I confess not to have done an exhaustive survey of what happened about that, but I know that some did, for a time at least, and the reason I did that was that not all my recommendations required action by the Government. Some of them were matters that I hoped would be taken into account in normal every day practice near the front line.

But following -- so that was two or three years in. After that, it becomes increasingly difficult to work out what has happened for a number of reasons. If people stop or organisations stop reporting what they are doing about it, you then have to go into -- delve

been appointed or it hasn't, that's sort of relatively easy. But the more generic ones of changing culture are more difficult to audit and it is an area which I have given a bit of thought to over the years and I think there are some improvements that could be made to make better use of recommendations, if I can put it that way.

- Well, on that topic, can I just invite you to consider this analysis of what you said in your report about what makes a good recommendation --
- A. Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- Q. -- and the challenges to making a good recommendation. The first one you have already spoken to which is the continuous systemic and structural change that's occurring presents a challenge that must be overcome?
- A.
- The second is already referred to that there are overlapping recommendations.

The third is about how well focused the recommendations are and allied to that how easy it can be to measure whether or not they have been implemented .

The fourth I think you have referred to this morning about people taking guidance from the top who are in senior management positions, that it is very difficult to change culture through a recommendation coming in the front door if everybody is looking upward.

into quite a forensic research to find out.

Secondly, I was reporting into an environment in which what we now call for shorthand the Lansley Reforms were being implemented, so the organisations to which recommendations were addressed were changing and so that makes it difficult as well.

I think another problem is -- moving back from my own Inquiry to more general is that it is often observed that one Inquiry makes relatively similar recommendations to another, sometimes with a different emphasis because times have changed, but almost invariably in different words and those charged with implementing recommendations then have a really difficult job because they then have to match different recommendations and different phrases together, try and group them and make sense out of it and I think to be fair, that can often be very difficult.

So looking back at it, I would suggest that perhaps people like myself who were asked to make recommendations probably need a bit more discipline and maybe guidance actually in the way we actually formulate recommendations.

So I think it can be difficult to follow through over a period of years and some recommendations it is very easy: either a Freedom to Speak Up Guardian has

A. Yes.

Q. And the fifth challenge that you identify in your report is about silo thinking. That's not something that we have touched on yet. Can you just help us to understand how silo thinking can affect the recommendation process?

Well, there is a tendency, understandable in human terms, that an Inquiry report comes out about the Mid Staffordshire NHS Foundation NHS Trust, dare I say it the Countess of Chester Hospital, Airedale, wherever it is and the busy Chief Executive in Cornwall -- don't take, it is nothing personal about Cornwall, it could be anywhere -- thinks: oh well, it is nothing to do with me or alternatively if it is, someone will tell me about it.

That's understandable because we all, I'm afraid, write reports of a certain length, they all have Executive summaries, but in real life a Chief Executive of another Trust has not got time to digest all these things.

I can't tell you how often after the Mid Staffordshire Inquiry I was asked by different people in very senior positions that: wasn't that a one-off case? I would have to tell them, no, the recommendations -and I am talking about senior Ministers and the like, people senior in the NHS, who were seeking to comfort 100

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

themselves with the thought that this was only about Mid Staffordshire. So there is a communications aspect I think about the applicability of things.

Then also even at the level of specialty, Mid Staffordshire was a different issue because it did cover the whole hospital in a way, but even so, for instance a general surgeon might be thinking about, say, a case of an obstetrician's misconduct, that it had nothing to do with them.

So there is a sort of various silos, there are different -- the NHS healthcare system is made up of lots of different communities, professional communities and others, and they tend to look only at things that come over the wall because they are to do with them.

So I think it is important, looking back on it -and I criticise myself as much as I might others -- that we need to think more carefully about to whom we address recommendations, how -- to whom we say they apply which is possibly different from who's got to implement it and also put things in a way which makes it -- people understand a bit more about how -- what they are meant

I should say in my defence that when I was drafting or thinking about recommendations, I actually appointed a panel of experts informally and in private, to review

what I was proposing to say and the task I gave them was could you tell me whether this is practical, is this something that -- I am not asking whether you agree with it or not, but is it something that could be implemented or is it so impractical that it wouldn't be able to happen and I found that very valuable and I did make changes as a result of that sort of advice.

What I tried to avoid was any form of negotiation with those commissioning the Inquiry about it. I was -and again I say this without criticism, of course it was meant with the best of intentions, I was offered help on more than one occasion if I need any assistance in this regard, please let them know and the answer was: thank you very much, I don't need that assistance, I am an independent Inquiry.

But there is something about ensuring recommendations are implementable, if that's a word, and ensuring that that happens that I don't think we have quite got into the Inquiry process yet and there are of course I think probably various different ways in which one could try to do that.

Well, one perhaps very recent example that I know you have had an opportunity to consider is a publication by HSSIB, "Recommendations But No Action", a publication I think of the last two weeks?

102

1 Yes. 2

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11 12

13

14 15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Q. Have you had a chance to --

A. Yes, I have seen that.

Q. -- have a look at that?

5 A. Yes.

> Q. And also in parallel with that, you were asked to look at Dr Benneyworth's witness statement?

A. Which I'm afraid I couldn't do because I couldn't access it. I'm afraid I have not seen that.

- Q. Well, let's see how we get on with the report itself. In summary, do you agree with all of what it is saying, some of what it is saying or do you have reservations?
- A. I think the principles there are absolutely correct. The idea of Registers of Recommendations are good. I'm afraid I can't remember where, they did refer to NHS England having produced a register of I think recommendations actually in the paediatric field.
- Q. I think it was in maternity services? A. Maternity services, I am grateful, thank you. I did look at that and it is -- one attempt ironically got me to a page which said there wasn't a page but I did eventually get to a page which had it and I thought it demonstrated actually the challenge because what the spreadsheet did was to -- rather as in fact the spreadsheet given to me for this Inquiry, it set out the 103

recommendation, a lot of text, who was meant to be implementing it and then not a lot else. The trouble is a lot of the text of these recommendations doesn't leave you with a very clear idea what is required.

So a lot of recommendations are for instance to focus, give more focus to a particular value or culture. It doesn't really help you very much in deciding whether that's happened or not and I think that more, in addition to recording that there is a recommendation about something, particularly where there are numbers saying something similar, I'm afraid some analysis needs to be done by somebody to bring, consolidate them and turn that into what are the actions required and of course that has a lot of challenges.

But I think a lot of this would be simplified if, if Inquiries were asked not to -- if they were going to endorse a recommendation made by a previous Inquiry is maybe to change it, if that's necessary for a new context, but not just make a new recommendation because I think that makes the executive's life very difficult looking back at it.

Q. So that's a practical solution to the problem that presented itself to you when you looked at --

-- this attempt to create what I think the HSSIB

5

6

7

8

9

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

5

6

7

8

14

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- describes as a searchable repository of recommendations? A. Yes, yes. Because it is a, not a criticism but I do
- welcome that, it's a start.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- Q. When it comes to the formulation of recommendations, how important is it that they are narrowly focused or is it overly reductionist to come up with a hard and fast rule like that?
- A. I think it is pretty case-specific. I mean, if you are talking about culture generally you probably need some overarching themes and objectives but also which I did try not necessarily successfully to do, to suggest at least some practical ways in which one could assist in a change of culture but I think it's often important in addition to looking at a recommendation to actually bother to look at the text as to the explanation for what's behind the recommendation because that often brings to life what the problem was that the recommendation is seeking to solve and therefore makes it easier to see what it is that's meant -- intended to

But I don't think you can say all recommendations must be specific because sometimes frankly the Inquiry is not equipped to do more than say this is the problem, this needs to change, maybe you need a different method to decide what to do about it so the specifics, putting 105

of a disaster has, I'm afraid, to be about that disaster and if it is about an individual, that's where you start. But I do think it's important that Inquiries of that nature do take into account the wider context. It was sometimes said -- frankly, I think unfairly, but it was sometimes said of the Shipman Inquiry that it made recommendations relevant to the general health service on the back of an extraordinarily rare incident and therefore was potentially a disproportionate response to that. Personally I disagree with that because I think that Dame Janet actually explained pretty well what the general lessons were to be learned out of that dreadful tragedy.

But I think it is possible to seek to make general propositions about what -- how the systems should change to cure what actually is an individualistic problem but that doesn't mean that that is -- I mean sometimes that's necessary, I'm afraid. You are dealing -- if you are dealing with very rare but extremely serious events, then the system needs a means of coping with that, albeit without doing so at the expense of preventing for instance care being given to lots of decent people by other decent professionals.

Q. I just have two specific matters to draw to your attention and then we are going to have a look at 107

1 it in a contradictory way, can sometimes need to be 2 quite general. I don't think you can have a rule about 3

Q. You have touched on this already in terms of the silo thinking and the obstetrician in Cornwall becoming aware of the cardiologist in Leeds and whether one is really looking at the other. Very many previous investigations have been focused on a particular disaster or event or person and the recommendations necessarily are developed 10 by reference to that scenario.

Α. Yes.

> Q. How is that potential problem or challenge to be addressed in terms of the formulation of recommendations by which I mean should the person making the recommendation be consciously trying to apply it holistically or is it just an inevitable part of the Inquiry process that is always going to be generated by particular facts and it is then for others to derive value from it?

Well, I think there is a danger if you require all Inquiries to be holistic in the way you describe to turn every Inquiry into a sort of Royal Commission on the health service or the transport system or whatever is the subject.

The principal purpose of an Inquiry which arises out

1 a number of recommendations that have been made in the 2 past and seek your comments about it. 3 The first is in the first part of your report, 4 please, and it is to be found on page 64, which --

A. I am there.

Q. You are there.

Yes

recommendations you made in Mid Staffordshire Public 9 10 Inquiry and in particular at 8.11.1, your 11 Recommendations 57 and 58, to encourage CQC to involve 12 patients and representatives of the healthcare

Q. Here just to provide some context, we are dealing with

13 professions in the work of CQC?

> Α. Yes

15 Q. So that's the headline recommendation.

16 Α. Yes.

> The question I have is about your (g) and in particular the final sentence in it where you say:

"It is likely, in my view, that CQC could do more to involve staff and valuable information they hold in its regulatory activity and to provide them with meaningful feedback about the action taken on that information."

The question really is: can you expand upon the practical ways in which you envisage the CQC could do that?

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

A. What I had in mind there was that -- and I observed this in part of the CQC -- the organisation would receive increasingly encouragingly larger numbers of pieces of information from staff about concerns that they had and the process would be that the CQC would then decide what to do with that piece of information, sometimes they would log it as part of the genuine intelligence, sometimes it was at one end, at the other end it would be serious enough to undertake an immediate inspection.

Usually there would be no -- after the additional piece of information had come through there would be no further real contact with the individual who had provided the information. There might be some acknowledgement. But possibly, you know, "your information is important to us" type of communication without much else happening. I think the people who take the trouble sometimes at risk to themselves of providing information to the organisation ought to be more closely involved in what happens next and told what their part in it has been. So that would be one way of involving people.

So people should be getting feedback about what has happened as a result of their information. Sometimes you can't, it is difficult, obviously if it is anonymous you can't do it directly. But even if it is anonymous 109

you can by a general broadcast, "We received information from staff" sort of communication, because I think it is only in that way that the positive effect of making this sort of -- raising this sort of concern is encouraged.

So -- and also the other point about it is this: I think there should be -- once someone has come forward with information in good faith about a public concern of this nature, public interest concern, I believe not necessarily in a legal sense but a duty, a moral duty of care arises in relation to that individual and if they, the organisation CQC whoever it is, should be ensuring that those people who give the information are not suffering a detriment as a result.

I think many people who raise these concerns can feel a bit deserted, not being looked after and they deserve to be looked after because they have done a good thing. So for both the reason -- and also sometimes information gets misunderstood. So if you don't have a continuing conversation about it, you don't see that

So for those reasons, I think there should be a much more personal contact with the informant in these circumstances.

Q. Thank you.

Can I ask you to advance to page 82, which I think

1 is internal page 81. 2

- A. Yes.
- Q. Here, dealing with openness, transparency and candour and your Recommendations 173-184.
- 5

1 2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

3

4

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- Q. The guestion relates as I say to internal page 81 and letter (j).
- 8 A. Yes.
 - Q. It's really around the topic of whether or not the duty of candour is in your view being complied with and whether or not that there is anything the CQC should be doing in relation to that?
 - A. Well, it's always been part of the CQC's remit to be the regulator overseeing the compliance with Regulation 20 which is the duty of candour regulation. So it's always been, or should have been, part of what they look at when they go and inspect a provider.

I think that has been a little bit variable in how it's being done, I couldn't give you an analysis of that but that would be my impression. Certainly it is only fairly recently that they appear to have started using their powers to prosecute for non-compliance or to levy some form of penalty. So the accountability behind that has only been recently coming in and the understandable reason to begin with would be this is a new duty, it

takes time to embed things and what you want to see is things being developed rather than deterring people, punishing people prematurely about this.

But I think that there has I think developed a feeling that it's not working as well as it should do and I think my theory is really this: the regulation inevitably sets out what is a bureaucratic process, it involves a bureaucratic process, but as I think I said before, that's the beginning of what you have to do, it is by no means the purpose of it or the end and I'm afraid there's a sort of phrase that does the rounds a little bit of "doing candour", which is sort of "we have got a process to do".

But anecdotally, I hear of worrying things. Thinking of one case I heard about a doctor having made a mistake on a patient which caused some harm, not in the end life-threatening but it shouldn't have happened, and wanted to meet the patient about it, was told they couldn't and we need to look into this and so on, which was fine. But then presented with a letter to send which was fulfil the obligations of candour, but the doctor concerned said, "I can't send a letter like that, it will upset them even more, I want to explain this, it is complicated stuff". "No, you can't do that". So was made to send a draft letter which wasn't incorrect but

was sort of in tones which it was predicted by the doctor would upset the patient and indeed did, so went on the warpath. So again said "I want to have a meeting with the patient" and in this case family and it was only when the temperature had got really very high and lawyers had been involved that, oh, they finally agreed "you can have a meeting".

But, you know, the whole thing had become: we have a process and we have to follow this, rather than allowing it to be clinically led by a perfectly honest doctor trying to do their best to be candid and to support the patient about something that had happened and somehow or another the actual process had got in the way of a human interaction which caused much less distress all round. So there is something about processes in the NHS which sometimes gets in the way of the intention and I think there is a bit of that.

There is a feeling also that some of the bureaucratic requirements are burdensome and there would be a better way of doing it and that's undoubtedly the case. So I welcome the fact of the review because I think now we have got years of experience of this and there may well be improvements to be made in how this is all done.

Q. Do you think that the focus by providers is more in

of Regulation 22 rather than the general requirement under 21?

A. I suspect that's correct. I mean, the notifiable incident system is to be welcomed. It is much more I think sensitive to patient safety issues than it probably was. But I think sometimes it is forgotten that the overarching obligation is about openness and transparency of which candour and notification are an important part, but not the only part.

relation to the notifiable safety incident requirement

The most important part is looking after your patient and their family, where appropriate the family and their concerns. And the process as such is still I think treated -- the process of the duty of candour has been treated as a defensive mechanism rather than an involvement mechanism and a resolution mechanism. The whole point of the duty of candour is to satisfy people who have been harmed or might have been harmed, giving them an opportunity to understand what has happened, and to take part in the process of improvement, to receive redress by way of apology and if necessary some money, but all without having to bother lawyers or the courts or disciplinary processes but to actually do things quickly and resolve them quickly and allow people to feel that they have been respected all those things and

it doesn't happen if you have -- the instinct is well,
I am doing by the duty of candour is producing
a defensive position. You have got to start from the
position that: I am being candid because it is the right
thing to do for my patient.

- Q. Turn now to look at some recommendations that have been made previously and these have been drawn to your attention. Some are covered by your Part 1 report?
- A. Yes.

Q. Others appear in the appendix, but we are going to be focused here. Under the heading of "Institutional Memory" you of course have dealt with this in your Part 1 report, the Clothier Inquiry, Recommendation 13.
I will just read it out:

"[The Grantham disaster] should serve to heighten awareness in all those caring for children of the possibility of malevolent intervention as a cause of unexplained clinical events."

That Recommendation was made in 1994.

- A. Yes.
- **Q.** Now, while your Inquiries, as you have told us, were not focused upon neonatal services, what are you able to say about your understanding of the implementation of this particular recommendation?
- A. Well, I think what it was saying was if there has been 115

no explanation you must -- in these important and sad circumstances, you must seek it. You should never take for granted or take -- accept, as it were, that something is unexplained unless really you have gone as far as you can to explain it. There needs to be an institutional curiosity to sort things out as opposed to accept the thinking or getting away with thinking: this is just one of those things, it's unavoidable. Things are not -- very few things in hindsight are unavoidable if you know what it is you can put right about them.

So has that been -- I mean, in one sense you could say that all recommendations on safety and investigations that have been made since then and potentially implemented are an implementation of that but I suspect what has sometimes been missing is that drive to be curious about why something has happened and, you know, it is very easy for busy people to justify well, it is one of those things, there are always unavoidable complications and so on.

It is true that some complications are known risks of procedures, that's one thing. But equally that doesn't mean they are not avoidable. It doesn't mean that with some different practice you couldn't change them and, I mean, when it involves death or potentially serious transgressions short of death then it seems to

me you shouldn't just be satisfied that someone is saying "I can't prove that this happened", you need to look at the risks and you need to examine how those risks could be mitigated. You should never accept because -- treatment we know doesn't always work, but you shouldn't accept that it must cause harm without knowing why it's caused harm and whether that was part of the risk that you would reasonably expect to be -- happen in some part of the treatment, but also in most cases if that's the risk, then people should have been warned about it before anyway.

So it's about knowledge and it's about never being

So it's about knowledge and it's about never being satisfied with not knowing. If there will always be unknowables but you should push the -- you shouldn't just abandon the exercise of trying to find out what happened.

- Q. In terms of the recommendation itself, bearing in mind that it is framed in terms of heightening awareness, might this be an example of a recommendation that it's quite difficult to monitor in terms of numbers or do you think it is possible to measure?
- A. I mean, it depends. What with the reporting system that developed and is more sophisticated now, but has over the years, it's always been I think possible to identify trends. So it is possible to notice that -- I mean,

due to perpetrators when in fact as it turned out many of them had a clinical explanation is a classic example I think of an area where real curiosity, not accepting nostrums, as it were, eventually produced an answer which wasn't what people thought in the first place.

So I think, I think in a specialist area with specialist journals and so on, case reporting of that nature can keep these things in peoples' minds and if this is done in a culture which puts safety first, then people will be, one hopes, thinking more about the risks of particular things happening rather than just: can we prove it happened?

Q. The second topic covered by two recommendations made in Dame Janet's third report, I will read them out for you just so that you don't need to turn them up I know you will be familiar with them:

"Many of the functions currently carried out by the Coroners require the exercise of medical judgement. Some of those functions require legal expertise. In the future those functions should be carried out by a Medical Coroner and a Judicial Coroner."

Secondly:

"All deaths should be reported to the Coroner Service which would take responsibility for the certification of a death and for deciding whether obviously it is easier on the national basis than a local basis with rare events, that in certain types of cases, certain events seem to be happening, so why is that and what can we do about it?

So I think you can heighten awareness in having a sufficiently detailed reporting system that allows things like this to surface. But that's very difficult I think for extremely rare events on a national basis, less so perhaps if they are local, they are not so rare as they should be.

- Q. Well, the awareness is directed to the minds of those who are caring for children and one way of testing whether that is successful is how frequently when the possibility arises people's minds turn to it as an explanation and how many people just don't think of it?
- 17 A. Yes.
- 18 Q. Is that a fair way of assessing the success of the19 implementation of that particular recommendation?
 - A. I -- the -- the space or the issues around children and deaths sadly have always been an extremely sensitive area and if we go back to -- you have heard something about this last week -- the Sudden Infant Deaths in Infancy or Children, Sudden Unexpected Death in Infancy or Childhood, the sort of fear that many of these were

further investigation was necessary.

"Deaths where the doctor had expressed an opinion as to the cause of death would be considered for certification by the Coroner's Investigator after consultation with the deceased family. All other deaths would go for further investigation by the Medical Coroner".

So that is Recommendations 5 and 18.

On this same topic, your Recommendation 278:

"It should be a routine part of an independent Medical Examiner's role to seek out and consider any serious untoward incidents or adverse incident reports relating to the deceased to ensure that all circumstances are taken into account whether or not referred to in the medical records."

Finally on this topic, and I apologise for the amount of information I am giving you here, but I am sure you have seen these before, two from Morecambe Bay:

"Steps should be taken to implement the system based on Medical Examiners without delay."

Secondly:

"Given the systemic review of deaths by Medical Examiners should be in place, this system should be extended to stillbirths as well as neonatal deaths."

So that's a series of recommendations all driving in 120

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

the same direction. No doubt you would say an example of different reports saying very similar things about what needs to happen. Can you just speak to us, please, about the -- I know you have already spoken about Medical Examiners -- importance of those recommendations and your opinion about the speed with which it has been implemented and any explanation that you perceive for any barriers?

Well, they all did speak in the same way. Dame Janet's recommendations she started with about Coroners I don't think were implemented and I don't think they have been. But the -- in effect the Medical Examiner would be, and which I think she recommended as well, to my mind as an adequate substitute, because if independent, properly resourced, doing the job properly and so on, because you have an expert there, a qualified doctor, who is able to monitor, as it were, the certification of the causes of death, is able to gain an impression about patterns that might be happening in a particular place and can talk -most importantly can talk to families, so it has always been an important thing to do and recognised as such.

I have followed the progress or otherwise of this recommendation over the years because occasionally I have been asked by the Royal College of Pathologists to assist in the process and as is often the case my 121

with finding the money to do something, and that is often the reason for delay which is someone somewhere has to decide what to prioritise.

But in the meantime, it would be fair to say there were any number of pilot projects, I heard evidence of the pilot project in Sheffield which continually demonstrated that the system worked and it brought value and it brought particular comfort to bereaved families.

- The fourth topic "Encouraging or mandating the reporting of concerns in hospitals" and here we have two recommendations from the Bristol Royal Infirmary Public Inquiry. It is not one that we have touched on in any great detail, I am not intending to put you on the spot here but could you just give us a short summary of what the purpose of that Inquiry was?
- A. The?

1 2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Q. The purpose the Bristol Royal Infirmary Inquiry?

123

A. Yes, certainly. It arose out of apparently excess number of deaths of babies who were undergoing paediatric -- obviously paediatric heart surgery at the Bristol Royal Infirmary and a failure by that department and the Trust around it to respond to that excess of mortality, despite being informed about it and being given figures about it by a whistleblowing consultant anaesthetist in particular. What was found to be

take on it would be that it became delayed because of resource implications: it is obviously expensive, potentially expensive, you need to pay someone and employ someone to do this.

There were territorial arguments, if you like, between the local authority organisations who it was originally intended should fund the Medical Examiner because they fund the Coroner system. In the end that hasn't happened, not surprisingly local authorities as is well known are extremely short of resources.

So it is now something that's had to come out of the NHS budget and it has resulted in Medical Examiners being employed by the main hospital I think in a given area. To my mind, that still has a disadvantage potentially which is that the Medical Examiner is examining the causes of death in an institution in which they are employed.

Doctors are 99% of the time people with great integrity and independence and will give an independent view whoever they are employed by and that certainly seems to be the case so far.

But with that caveat, we have pretty well got to where Dame Janet and I and Dr Kirkup had said 10 years ago should have happened. It should not have taken that length of time but that's a political matter, all to do 122

an issue was that basically, well, the Medical Director was the head of the cardio team and therefore when reports were being made was judging his own calls, frankly, and needless to say he didn't think he -- there

was anything to be objected to about this.

So there was what Professor Kennedy said was a "club culture" which was basically a mutual reassurance between professionals that they weren't doing anything wrong, a categorisation of whistleblower as being a maverick and someone not to be disregarded, and a Chief Executive who I confess I represented at both the General Medical Council and at the Inquiry, who believed what he was told and did nothing more about it. So that was the story.

But the underlying recommendations, I think, in terms of reporting were that if you reported deaths, and this was in fact happening, but if you report outcomes -- putting it more widely, you report outcomes from particular types of procedure you develop a knowledge and understanding about what the complications are, what the expected outcomes are and you begin to see pretty quickly whether those organisations but also individuals are in any way out of line with the norm. Of course no one is precisely average and there has to be an acceptable range.

My view was that so far as possible, and some disciplines do this, that actually individual statistics should be available and I have to say if you want -- I have done this myself, if you are thinking of having a hip operation you can actually go online and put the surgeon's name and you will find out how many hip operations they have done in the last year and they will actually at the very small print tell you about any mortality, which of course with hip operations, touch wood, is quite small.

But you can do this without actually threatening the

But you can do this without actually threatening the world and provide transparency and you -- if you have this -- you lift the veil a bit, there is a much greater and wider understanding of why something, as paediatric heart surgery must be, very difficult, very challenging, but it can be done.

Q. The two particular recommendations to draw to your attention very much in the vein that you have been speaking about Recommendation 117 and Recommendation 118. First:

"There should be a stipulation in every healthcare professional's contract that sentinel events must be reported, that reporting can be confidential and that reporting within a specified period of time will not attract disciplinary action."

about outcomes, it must take advantage of all safety-related information, including that capable of being derived from incidents, complaints and investigations."

So that very much what you have been telling us about --

A. Yes.

- **Q.** -- the Bristol Royal Infirmary Inquiry and moving forward in time to your own Inquiry?
- A. Yes, I mean, it may be that it sounds rather ambitious for everything you have said to be put in one place and it probably is. My vision really, and we have got bits of that, but probably not the whole of it, is that we currently have a system whereby hospitals, for instance, are given -- controversially now -- a one-word rating from "outstanding" through to "inadequate". I have always said that actually what I am interested in as a member of the public is what's going on in the department of gastric surgery if I am going in for a gastric operation or my wife is going to have a baby, what's their performance in terms of obstetrics?

What the relevant information is might differ, but there should be available as near realtime as possible, certainly to regulators and people who have the ability to intervene, information that tells them pretty quickly Second:

"The process of reporting of sentinel events should be integrated into every Trust's internal communications, induction training and other staff training. Staff must know what is expected of them, to whom to report and what systems are in place to enable them to report."

- A. I absolutely agree with that and I would suggest that that's in effect a necessary sub structure for the duty of candour to be exercised. But it is all part in the case of the professional, their existing professional duty of candour to reporting that somewhere must be an essential part of that.
- Q. I am just going to park one word there, "confidential" and I will come back to that in a moment. But just to conclude with two more recommendations.

The first from you in the Mid Staffordshire Public Inquiry. Your Recommendation 36. I will just read it out:

"A coordinated collection of accurate information about the performance of organisations must be available to providers, Commissioners, regulators and the public in as near realtime as possible and should be capable of use by regulators in assessing the risk of non-compliance. It must not only include statistics

whether things are going right or wrong. Part of the problem with most data collection is that the results of it come out a year later, which is too late. In that time, people may have died who needn't have died and the data of that nature can often be dismissed by saying "that was last year's data, it was an exceptional year, we have done much better this year".

So you need something better than that. The public may not need realtime in quite that form, but they you should have -- they should be able to access the performance in whatever way it is fair to judge that performance of each department. CQC, if you delve down to their reports, give a rating, but that's not quite the same thing. It is actually I think what people want to know is in A&E how many people get readmitted after being discharged within 30 days, in terms of babies one would like to know what the outcomes are for babies who have been born; there are all sorts of different ways of doing it.

A lot of this is not a question of inventing new data, it is a question of using the existing data that already must be being collected, if only for the purposes of the hospital getting paid for what it does, this data is there. It is a question of how you collect it, put it together and how you disseminate it.

Q. The final topic is guidance on how to respond to suspicions of criminal activity and here this is paragraph 2.72 of the Committee of Inquiry Independent Investigation into how the NHS handle the allegations about the conduct of Clifford Ayling, and I will just read out the recommendation:

"Strategic Health Authorities should work together with the Department of Health to produce guidance for Primary Care Trusts and other NHS Trusts in the handling of incidents involving potential criminal activity."

That was dated 2004.

Now, your Inquiries haven't had as their focus criminal activity, but what you do have very great expertise in is understanding the importance of giving people clear guidance to follow in a single place when they are presented with a difficult situation and I am sure that you can, from your position of authority, endorse the idea that suspicions of criminal activity are exactly the sort of situation that requires a clear set of guidance for people to follow?

A. I think that's right. By definition thankfully, criminal activity of the type which harms patients directly is very rare. Fraud regrettably less so, but we are not dealing with that. It is the stuff that harms patients and because it is rare people will be 129

sufficiently clear that even if you are untrained, you can pick it off the internet or wherever and use it.

So, you know, a little like the sort -- of it was mentioned I think last week, the sort of checklist a pilot would have to deal with a crisis. Checklists do work in emergencies much as they do in less certain situations.

Q. Thank you, Sir Robert, that's all that I am going to ask you about the implementation of recommendations.

I just turn very briefly now to the topic of recommendations for this Inquiry and obviously we are at a very early stage now not having heard any of the factual evidence?

A. No.

Q. You have put down some of your thoughts in your report and that will speak for itself. There is just one matter that I just wanted to seek your assistance on and then we will conclude with just going back really to where we started.

The matter for your assistance is this: in other walks of life, there are confidential reporting systems where people can anonymously raise concerns, sometimes even very serious concerns, which are then logged centrally with an organisation or person who can then have oversight of the patterns and one report about an

uncertain about what to do. It's also extremely worrying, as you heard last week, to actually raise that potential issue that a colleague may be committing a crime and so there does need to be a clear process which can be followed to guide people as to what they should do and what the consequences of them doing it are. Obviously bearing in mind everything we have said it must be a system which makes it clear that raising a concern of that serious nature obviously needs to be done in good faith but you -- it doesn't matter if you turn out to be wrong, you are raising something that requires investigation and you are entitled and indeed it is your duty to do that. But then people need to know what to do after that and naturally it is probably a protocol that needs to be agreed, not only within the health service, but also with other agencies. You know, something similar to the Child Death Review, you need a multi-agency response and you need to know whether you should be reporting to the police or whether if you do report it to the police you can be carrying on some other investigation of your own and so on. These are all difficult issues and often time is at a premium in doing the right thing quickly.

So absolutely, you need clear guidance which needs a little bit of training but it also needs to be 130

individual for example or about a particular event may just be noted, but if a pattern develops -- the same individual, for example, is coming up in confidential report after confidential report -- then the organisation is on notice to do something.

So this is slightly different perhaps to the Freedom to Speak Up, which will happen more overtly. Is that a system that you could see working for the NHS if it were carefully circumscribed so we are not talking about necessarily clinical concerns, we are talking about concern about criminal activity, for example, that if you put it in that box and said: this is a hotline if you are concerned about criminal activity which you can engage with confidentially, is that something that you think might be something worth looking at?

A. Exactly. I believe actually I certainly know some hospital in the private -- independent sector that do just that and personally I would not limit it to, I would use it as something alongside your Freedom to Speak Up policy. We have talked about guardians and I am very keen on everywhere having guardians. But there is also a place for having an external agency to which people can go entirely confidentially.

One would like to think that the regulator would be sufficient but it may not be, so -- and if one is 132

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

talking about sensitive issues whether they be crime as in harm to patients or sexual misconduct and harassment and bullying, all of that would benefit from having an external place that you can go to, either anonymously or not to record your issue.

I would like to think that it needs to be an outsourced place which has a clinical and professional understanding and expertise within it. I don't think it's sufficient just to have a place where you have got a call handler who is unqualified to take a record of what they are being told. You do need some judgment applied there.

But I think that you are quite right that it is possible, actually particularly probably experience shows in cases of sexual misconduct, that sort of thing, but I would say also actual perpetrators harming patients that the name cropping up more than once, twice, three times from different sources is in itself an indicator of risk and therefore it could and probably would bring sort of an earlier notice of a problem to light.

What of course happens when -- I mean indeed it's a similar system that has been thought about for the judiciary, even, so if it works for the judiciary I don't see why it doesn't work for the health service.

133

it.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11 12

13

14 15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

I also think that you should consolidate the adjudication process for bringing professionals -healthcare professionals to account. At the moment, a doctor is dealt with by one tribunal, a nurse whose conduct may give rise to a question on precisely the same incident is dealt with by a different one. The physiotherapist a third.

There should be in my view just one tribunal service that deals with them all and the reason for that is you could deal with incidents together, you avoid families having to go through multiple processes which often produce inconsistent results. So why not do something like that as a starter? We do have a Professional Standards Authority which seeks to coordinate all this and that may be a start.

I think we could do with a system regulator that actually deals with them personally -- this is a little more controversial perhaps than what I have just said, but I will say it -- with not only the quality of safety but also the finance. The quality and safety should have priority, but financial regulation and the conduct of it should be something capable of regulation separately. At the moment NHS England has become in effect the financial regulator as well as the

Q. I said we were going to finish largely where we began. One of the very first things you told us about was the proliferation of regulators or bodies which have a regulatory function. Is it your view that that number needs to come down and, if so, and this is a big question, how might that be achieved?

A. As a first step -- I am going to avoid the question slightly. As a first step it would be helpful if the existing plethora of professional regulators coordinated for more with each other in their activities, and by that I mean starting with the guidance. They all have their different codes of conduct. A lot of them are saying much the same things. Obviously when you get down to some of the detail you apply it differently because of the different types of work people do.

But I think you need to develop a common -- after all everyone who is a healthcare worker should be espousing to the same values, the same standards of conduct and so on. Why do you need -- and I say this slightly rhetorically, I think there are about 10 different codes of conduct for the different types of person working in the health service. There should be an overarching one which of course descends eventually to the different duties a physiotherapist might have from a heart surgeon but you can consolidate a lot of

134

commissioner and I am not sure that's going to work very well

But I think the -- around the outside I think it is easier to co-ordinate the activities of these people, to make them organisations, to produce the same or coordinated sets of guidance than it is to merge them all together. I think if you do that first then you could see whether merging is actually possible.

There is always a danger that reorganising things disrupts things too much and actually leaves holes in the system but -- so I think that's the way I would do it. But the problem is not so much the numbers, it's actually that they all act without collaborating. They all try to collaborate, but they don't collaborate sufficiently in my view.

MR DE LA POER: Thank you, Sir Robert. Those are the questions that I have for you at this time.

My Lady, there are permissions for Core Participants but before I turn to them can I check with my Lady whether you have any questions for Sir Robert at this time?

Questioned by LADY JUSTICE THIRLWALL LADY JUSTICE THIRLWALL: Yes, Sir Robert, just a couple from

> Firstly, you have educated us very comprehensively 136

in a number of issues. I was particularly interested in your view of the Fit and Proper Person Test and how effective it is in really assuring quality in Chief Executives in particular and you gave a list of qualities that you would look for in a good Chief Executive and rightly said at the end of it you would be looking for those in someone to run a FTSE 100 company.

So what you are looking for, then, is the sort of tip-top leader who has an ethos of public service and is prepared to work at different rates from that which they might expect to get in a FTSE 100 company. From what you say there are a very few people like that running big Hospital Trusts at the moment, have I understood that correctly?

A. Yes, I hasten to add I am not saying that they lack all these qualities. I would like to think they all have integrity, probity and so on.

LADY JUSTICE THIRLWALL: Of course, yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

A. But some of the skills perhaps they would like support with

LADY JUSTICE THIRLWALL: Yes, understood.

Then you described -- I don't think you described them as a cohort, but there are those who are just really not up to the job --

137

A. Or possibly in the judicial colleges is a version of this, that where you bring together the people who are potential candidates for these higher positions long before they get there --

LADY JUSTICE THIRLWALL: Yes.

A. -- and give them intensive training which at the same time allows you to assess their competence, how good they are with teamwork, their behaviours and so on and my observation of how the police do it, I mean obviously the police like every other organisation doesn't always do terribly well but this method of training allows you to see or allow the authorities to see who is going to make the grade or not and also gives people the opportunity to get there and provide them with the equipment to do it.

We do have -- I mean there is a leadership college as such but it's not run -- it's actually run by a Hospital Trust Partnership. There is a leadership academy which provides training but there is nothing quite -- so there is lots of training around but I think that this more intensive focus on this group by -- as a more residential and assessment approach would produce candidates who would -- more certainly than we have at the moment. So I would look at something along those lines.

139

A. Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

LADY JUSTICE THIRLWALL: -- from what you have observed and whilst I can see that leadership courses and training and support might improve things, it occurred to me that by the time someone is in a position to apply to be a Chief Executive, it may be that it is very difficult to turn them into the sort of person that you require if you recruit someone who is not actually up to it in the first place.

Is that fair enough?

A. I think that could be right, my Lady. I think that two routes to becoming a Chief Executive, the rare route is for someone from outside the health service to come in with the experience of complexity elsewhere. There is a debate about whether that's good or not but the reality is most people are going to come up to theses roles via a career in the National Health Service and therefore because of that, if you start the professional development at an early enough stage, people should -this is how the police work or other authorities, you should be able to develop people with skills.

My -- I recommended that there should actually be a training college, rather similar to what the police have

LADY JUSTICE THIRLWALL: Yes.

138

But -- and if you can't find the people, well, then you need to actually change -- you need to have a better system of collective leadership maybe bringing bodies together sufficiently so they can actually have as the leader that the one person who can deal with the complexity.

But I don't think the situation is yet -- it is better than it was because there is much more training available, there is much more guidance and support. But it is -- it is still a job where there is a very high risk of failure.

LADY JUSTICE THIRLWALL: Yes, and there is then the merry-go-round of people moving from one institution to the other.

15

LADY JUSTICE THIRLWALL: Yes. Thank you.

You mentioned that you had read the evidence of Professor Dixon-Woods on Friday?

A. Yes.

LADY JUSTICE THIRLWALL: And one of the points she made, and I would like to know whether you agree with it, is that when you are looking at culture, that what comes from the centre, as she described it, politicians, DHSC, NHSE, has a very serious impact on the culture and in the boardroom and then indeed on the ward; is that

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

something you agree with? A. Yes, I -- that was the story of Mid Staffordshire and it continues to be the story. I mean, understandably and rightly, politicians and the people in the centre are acutely sensitive to being seen to be on top of things that are going wrong and I'm afraid the easy lever to pull is to blame the Chief Executive and unfortunately that produces the very culture of fear at that level that we are trying to avoid at the lower level.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

So I'm afraid this does start at the top and how you change that I think is very difficult but I increasingly believe that it brings in focus the absolutely essential need for organisations to start from the bottom by which is you involve your services or your patients and your staff in creating the environment that allows you to do your business effectively and you basically have an organisation that's actually listening to and responding to your staff more frankly than you are mandates from on hiah.

If you -- because then you have got people responding to the information about what's happening at the front line, what the needs of your community are and you involve them and you have a common consensus about how to deal with these problems, whereas if you deal with everything on the basis of a budget coming down

co-ordination between the higher level bodies and I wanted to ask you the same question that he asked you but in relation to guidance and I had in mind as you set out in chapter or Part 6 of the second part of your report, Chapter 6 of the second part of your report, the various guidance that you identified that was relevant to safeguarding and I am conscious of what you said about your expertise in safeguarding and I am equally conscious of the point that you make that you and Dr Garstang appear to have identified the same guidance.

But what one can see is that there is high level guidance from the Department, from NHS England, from the CQC, and from the Royal College amongst others. There will then, I am sure you will be aware, be potentially guidance at a regional level, guidance at a local level and then guidance at a Trust level and so my question is: what can we do to assist the clinician on the ground at the coalface with navigating his or her way through that multiplicity of guidance?

A. Well, recalling how long it took me to find it and, I mean, I know I am not a pediatrician, I am not a specialist in the field but then a lot of people who might be in the position of wanting to know about this would probably have to do the exercise I did, and more because, as you say, I didn't penetrate down into the 143

from on high, then you will get the problems that we have. I know I will be accused of oversimplification, and it is, but the current system does mean that the pressures coming from down are felt extremely heavily by the time you get down to the Chief Executive and even more further down the hierarchy.

LADY JUSTICE THIRLWALL: Thank you very much indeed,

Now, is this a good time to take a short break? MR DE LA POER: My Lady, I would suggest it is. LADY JUSTICE THIRLWALL: Yes. Very good. We will start again at quarter past 3. (2.57 pm)

(A short break)

14 15 (3.15 pm) LADY JUSTICE THIRLWALL: Mr Kennedy, is it you now? 16 17 MR KENNEDY: My Lady it is. LADY JUSTICE THIRLWALL: The floor is yours. 18 19 Questioned by MR KENNEDY 20 MR KENNEDY: Thank you very much.

> Sir Robert, my name is Andrew Kennedy I appear on behalf of the Countess of Chester Hospital.

I have just a few questions really following on from Mr De La Poer's last question to you and it relates to this idea or the concept of collaboration and 142

regional and local guidance, no one has who has got a front line job will have time to do that.

Well, the first thing is it must be the responsibility of that person's employer to -- if we have got a current system, to have simplified all that into something which could be used at their front line which requires in itself a degree of expertise and understanding of all that has gone on and actually is a job that shouldn't have to be replicated by every single administration in the country.

Clearly there will be local things that need to be known that are only relevant in the area like who do you -- who the relevant pediatrician is to speak to, what the phone number is for the review panel or whatever. But it can't be beyond the ability of a system such as the NHS to produce one set of guidance that is applied everywhere, with a page at the back which has whatever you need to know about locally and where I think there is a particular challenge and it is not only in this field is that you will have guidance from, say, the National Health Service itself or the Department of Health and you will have the Royal College guidance which might either precede or come after the other guidance and frankly I think there does need to be a decision made about whose guidance you are meant to

follow. The point is none of it probably contradicts with each other but if you have to spend your time reading all this, you will get lost.

So I would suggest that as a rule of thumb on

So I would suggest that as a rule of thumb on issues as important as this that things should be condensed by agreement if possible but if not, by someone taking the decision that this is the guidance, this is what you have to do and at the end of the day, it needs to be reduced to a pretty simple sheet of bullet point actions, albeit there needs to be in the background training, it is sufficient for people who understand the importance of what -- of this particular area and that's the way I would deal with it.

- **Q.** So it is co-ordination and collaboration at the levels
- 16 A. Yes.

- **Q.** -- of those bodies who are currently promulgating the guidance?
- A. Can I add to that that it is in itself a compromise of safety for different places to have different guidance because the NHS is full of staff, particularly medical trainees, who move from one place to the other on a regular basis.

And at the moment -- and I don't know about this particular area, but in some areas like surgical 145

occurred.

I am not saying it shouldn't be reviewed in the place where the individual has come from, clearly that may be appropriate. But if it is in relation to a hospital incident, then surely a review should be taking place there.

- **Q.** Yes, my Lady heard some evidence from Dr Garstang about that on Thursday.
- A. Yes.
- 10 Q. It may be that's something that we can come back to.
- **A.** Yes.
 - Q. Just following on from that, the idea of perhaps centralised guidance, and just going to some comments you made at the beginning of Part 2 of your report, so I am on your page 10, and this is a section I don't think we have looked at but at 1.15, so it is --
 - A. Yes
 - Q. -- page 11 of relativity. So one at the foot of the page at 1.15 you are talking here about a Reform report and we can find your comment about that earlier.

But at 1 -- and you cite from the Reform report and the identified two key pathologies, as they put it, and then they set them out in that passage that you have quoted from.

You say at 1.16:

procedures they find themselves dealing with an entirely
 different procedure in every place they go to. That is
 a compromise of safety.

- Q. And it risks?
- A. Yes.

- 6 Q. It risks concerns falling through gaps?
 - A. Yes, exactly.
 - Q. Okay. It would also need to make clear what I think you and Dr Garstang accept is not clear from the current safeguarding guidance: that it applies wherever the death occurs, so there's a tendency to believe that safeguarding -- as you recognise in your report that safeguarding relates to events that happen outside hospital?
- **A.** Yes.
 - Q. And it would need to make it clear that it covers safeguarding deaths wherever they might occur?
 - A. Yes, I think there are two things: one was that and I think, to be fair, my reading of the guidance, inexpert though it is, is that it has become a bit clearer than it was that it involves hospital deaths as well. But the other thing, which I found probably more concerning, actually, was the fact that the review would be taking place in the home patch, wherever the patient comes from, rather than the place where the incident has

"While agreeing with that observation I would add that the 'top down' structure makes it more difficult for recommendations for cultural and other forms of change to be implemented at local level because of the constant pressure on and focus on the requirements of the centre."

My question is this: is there a tension between that observation and what we have just been discussing about centralised guidance?

A. No, I don't think so. Because what you have been asking me about is a system for reporting something. The cultural culture behind that is a different matter. The culture is a willingness on the part of people locally to do the right thing in relation to reporting.

I mean, if you take an example of centralised guidance, NICE will lay down in its clinical guidance what the criteria are for giving a particular type of treatment in a particular way and that is guidance which is applied directly or should be applied directly in the units where that treatment is provided.

But the compassion, the involvement of patients, the cultural issue round that is more likely to be dealt with locally.

So I don't think there -- there can be a tension, granted, but it seems to me that on something as

13

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- universal as the requirement to report and review the death of a vulnerable child, that there is no reason for the structure of that reporting not to be the same everywhere and actually if you do that, then actually you have comparable figures coming from everywhere. And the culture that goes behind that is a different matter.

 Q. I appreciate the culture is a different matter and the
- Q. I appreciate the culture is a different matter and the example of the National Institute of Clinical Excellence is a helpful one --
- 10 **A.** Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

24

25

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- Q. -- where you have centralised decision-making andguidance --
- 13 **A.** Yes.
- Q. -- which is influencing an outward-looking provision ofhealthcare at a local level?
- 16 A. I mean, there are other initiatives which are 17 centralised and it is mentioned in various reports, 18 evidence in fact of Professor Dixon-Wood of the Getting 19 it Right First Time programme which is doing something 20 centrally. But by comparing what everyone is doing, 21 putting that together and then pointing out to the 22 outliers: you are doing something different, why are you 23 doing it differently?

That's a different form of centralisation but it actually arises out of good practice everywhere and 149

- 1 was left off or where Mr De La Poer left off, it is 2 page 12 of the internal numbering?
 - A. Yes, I have it.
 - Q. You set out here three statements, "Openness, transparency and candour". Are they interlinked but distinct concepts?
 - A. Yes, could I just start, because I haven't said so for before and you represent some of them, we have been talking in very general terms and I am absolutely aware, if I cannot understand, the agonies that your clients and others like them might be going through and I just want to express my sympathies to you.
- 13 Q. Thank you.
- A. But to your question, yes, they are associated and interlinked and I think it would be fair to say no one of them is sufficient but all of them are required.
 - Q. Yes. In terms of the relationship between the Trust and the patient, is candour the only one of those three that involves for direct interrelationship between the Trust and the patient in terms of giving information?
 - A. No, they all are, in different ways. The thing about candour, I see -- when something has gone wrong, I see the relationship between the doctors and the hospital on one hand and the patient and their family on the other as being a continuum from the time the patient first

learning from each other, so you could call that top
 down but it is actually receiving stuff at the front
 line and then digesting it.

- Q. Yes, and ultimately it is beneficial --
- A. And there is no reason for the guidance you produce
 about Child Death Reviews not to have a similar thing.
 The Royal College and others will collect together good
 practice, the learning and the expertise, it should then
 be condensed into whatever the appropriate practical
 guidance is and then disseminated as one thing.
- 11 Q. So in short, there isn't a tension?
- 12 A. Well.
 - Q. Not in this example --
- A. You need to be aware of the potential for there being
 a tension but I think in this, it is resolvable in an
 instance like that?
- MR KENNEDY: Sir Robert, thank you. My Lady, thank you.
 Those are my only questions.
 - **LADY JUSTICE THIRLWALL:** Thank you very much, Mr Kennedy. Mr Baker.

Questioned by MR BAKER

MR BAKER: Thank you, Sir Robert, I am Richard Baker, I ask questions on behalf of two of the Family Groups.

I am going to ask you some questions about candour. So if we could go to the section of your statement that 150

arrives and is referred for treatment through to the time when they get discharged at the end.

At the beginning of the process, there is openness and involvement in the terms of discussing with the patient what their history is, what could be done, what the choices are, all the stuff that goes into consent as we know about it.

While the treatment is happening, there is a continual dialogue, if we are talking about a conscious patient, about the treatment, how it's going, how you are feeling, and a conversation.

I see no reason why -- why that suddenly should stop when an outcome has happened which is unexpected and there is an aftermath. The -- the investigation and consideration of what has happened after a treatment actually is part of the care being delivered to the patient and therefore the relationship should be exactly the same. It should be open, it should involve frank discussion and it should be transparent because you are being told what's going on about your treatment.

Q. Yes

A. And at the moment, or too often rather, once we have got to that end point and things haven't gone as planned, we suddenly reverse into a sort of adversarial relationship which I'm afraid over the years has had a lot to do with 152

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

14

15

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1 people like you and me and how people get represented in 2 litigation. 3 Q. If we look at in general terms healthy culture within an 4 NHS Trust, one of the features of healthy culture is 5 a dialogue between patients and doctors? 6 A. Yes. 7 Q. So we can see candour playing a part there. Other 8 features would be a healthy dialogue between staff 9 within the NHS Trust; in other words, that you don't suppress --10 11 A. Yes. 12 Q. -- people who raise concerns? 13 A. Yes. 14 Q. And candour, openness, transparency all play a part of 15 that as well. In your report and in a number of reports 16 there is a discussion about a culture of secrecy within 17 NHS Trusts. And again if one sees a culture of secrecy, 18 one will also see that suppressing candour as well? 19 A. Yes, it will. And I think that the reason some people 20 ask, I think: why did you need to have a duty of 21 candour, it was there already? And the answer 22 was: well, it was for the professionals, albeit in 23 a professional sense. But it's not that easy, it is 24 a little rather more than the doctor or the nurse being 25 frank with the patient. 153 1 the forefront of the behaviours of some at least 2 NHS Trusts or their predecessors. 3 So I am not sure you are right in saying there has 4

always been organisational candour and you certainly would not be -- it would not be safe to assume there's you always been professional candour either but that's a different issue. There's always been an obligation on them and I do think that the behaviours of both professionals and of Trusts has become much more open and much more candid in a non-technical sense in the last 10 to 20 years than it probably was before.

- Q. There of course have been factors which suppressed candour so the effect of litigation may suppress candour?
- 15 A. Yes.

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

23

24

25

- 16 Q. A culture that priorities reputation over everything 17 else would suppress candour as well?
- 18 A. Yes.
- 19 Q. It may have been notional, but if one were to idealise 20 the perfect NHS Trust --
- 21 A. Yes, I accept that the expectation if asked would have 22 been there, yes.

155

Q. Yes. And the introduction of the legally enforceable duty of candour that was not because it was necessary to alter the concept of what a healthy culture would look

It can be quite complicated to work out why something has happened, let alone to work out how is the best way to tell the patient or their family, and that's the organisation's role and until Professor Kennedy firstly and then just following on what he had said, put it bluntly, there was no obligation on the organisation to do anything about it and so needless to say they

- 9 Q. But if we talk about what a healthy culture within an 10 NHS Trust looks like --
- 11
- 12 -- it has always involved candour? Q.
- 13 Α.
 - Q. A healthy Trust would always have been candid and open and transparent with its patients?
- 16 To tell you the truth -- and I am here to tell you the 17 truth -- I am not sure that in my professional career, 18 both appearing for NHS Trusts but also for patients when 19 things have gone wrong, would I say that I have 20 uniformly come across candour on the part of the 21 organisation. That has been because despite everything 22 that the Medical Defence Union, the Medical Protection 23 Society, the NHS centrally might have said, there was 24 this thought that you can't apologise because that might 25 be an admission of liability and liability was always at 154

1 like, but it was to give rights to individuals who might 2 have been victims of an unhealthy culture?

- That is correct. You say legally enforceable. There is an obligation under the Regulation to be candid, it is not enforceable that the -- or may not be enforceable at the behest of the patient, actually.
- Q. No and again when we come on to effectiveness of a duty, that is one of the key points.

If we look at the situation in Mid Staffordshire and the culture that had developed there. I can take you to the report, but you may know it already very well.

The key features of the culture at Mid Staffordshire was: a lack of openness to criticism; a lack of consideration for patients; defensiveness; looking inwards, not outwards; secrecy; misplaced assumptions about the judgments and actions of others; and acceptance of poor standards and a failure to put the patient first in everything that is done?

- Yes. A.
- Those are features that you highlighted. When you reported you said that to achieve change, it did not require radical reorganisation but reemphasis of what was truly important. So in other words that these were features of deviance from acceptable practice and there needed to be a reassertion of what acceptable or good 156

(39) Pages 153 - 156

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- 1 practice looks like? 2 Well, I felt then, as I feel now, that it's very 3 tempting to look at -- do one of two things, to find the 4 person to blame is one thing and the other is to we need 5 a wholesale reorganisation to prevent this happening 6 again when neither of those steps will actually change 7 the culture. And the culture that -- sorry, the 8 structure that existed then and the structure that 9 existed now are perfectly adequate if people behave in 10 an appropriate way culturally. So you need an appropriate leadership, who -- and that has to be values 11 12 driven at all levels and of course what you -- everyone 13 in an organisation providing health services has to do, 14 and I know this sounds trite, but it is too often 15 forgotten, is to put the patient first and that means 16 whatever you do, whether it be you are the front line 17 doctor or the accountant working out the budget, you 18 have to work out what the impact is of what you are 19 doing on the patient.
 - Q. Yes, the recommendations you were making, they weren't a radical change of what people would have expected of a Trust before then, once you were reasserting --
 - A. Well, a lot of what I was doing was frankly stating what one might have thought was obvious but it appeared not -- it appeared to have been forgotten. 157

the board dealt with other members of the board, but with the external optics of what they were doing?

Yes, it's throughout. I mean, how the different levels you have described act in order to do that of course will be different but it should be second or first nature for the doctor, the nurse, to be being frank with the patient about problems, if there are problems, affecting them.

Likewise it should be first nature for the board to be open about things that are not going well, both internally and where appropriate externally in order to effect improvements from their patients and not all things that have gone wrong are in the control of the board or the individual but they need to be open and honest about them and any attempt to shut that down in my view prejudices patient safety.

- Q. Yes. Because candour, openness, transparency, it is interweaved with patient safety along with a number of other issues?
- A. Yes.

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- Q. As I asked you at the outset, if you can trace the connection between candour and other issues within a failing Trust quite easily, it will be one of the symptoms, a failure to be candid and open?
- A. One of the first ways I knew there was a definite 159

Q. Yes.

A. I was -- when I delivered my report to the Government, without breaking confidences I was astonished at how many people at a very high level kept on telling me how shocked they were to read the report and I couldn't understand, and I still to some extent can't understand, why they thought that, bearing in mind they had already had at least one report telling them the story. The reason -- the reason is, I think, that the further away you get from the front line the less you think about the patient and the human impact of what -- of the decisions that you make and the actions that you take and you have to keep that -- everyone in the health service has to keep that at their mind all the time and as soon as they forget that, bad things happen.

Q. Yes, thank you.

One of the features that you -- and again going back to what we were saying at the start, one of the things that you felt needed reemphasising was openness, transparency and candour in all of the system's businesses?

22 Α. Yes

> Q. So in other words it was something that would be -- it would be across the board, it would be at a level from patient to doctor interaction but also in the way that 158

cultural issue at Mid Staffordshire was during my first Inquiry which happily not being a Statutory Inquiry meant I could go anywhere I wanted to and talk to anyone I wanted to without an array of people taking down what was said. And I bumped into a nurse in the corridor and I asked her how things were going and she looked --I know very scared, but I said "well, tell me about your ward" and she told me a story about how she had made an improvement on her ward, it was a nice little story, it was perfectly encouraging and so on. But she looked around her and she said "I can't believe I am telling you this" and I thought that if someone was scared to tell me about something that was good, what on earth was their mindset going to be about something that wasn't?

There was a consultant who would only see me at his home in secret to tell me about a matter of concern, a matter that concerned him. He wouldn't even put it in writing, he wouldn't come to -- as it happened, he probably wouldn't want you to know this now, but it was something I knew about already, but that was the level of fear, a senior consultant, long since retired now, felt he could not be open about something.

So that's the level -- and this is not uncommon, the experience around NHS Trusts in this country, still to this day.

- Q. You spoke about the airline industry and of course there is a culture within the airline industry that if there is a problem, even if it was caused by the pilot activating the wrong button, they should be open and talk about it because it allows people to engineer solutions to that problem. So you encourage an environment where people can talk about their own mistakes --
- A. Yes.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

- 10 Q. -- without consequence.
- A. Yes. People often -- one of the unfortunate
 characteristics of health service people, if I can be
 general, is that there tends to be a response saying:
 that's the aviation industry, that's the nuclear
 industry, we are different. To which my answer
 is: well, of course you are different, but you are both
 in the business of keeping people safe.
- 18 Q. Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

22

23

24

25

A. And the thing about pilot issues or the airline industry is not -- we often talk about the pilots, and of course they have their particular thing. But it's true of the engineers and the maintenance depot: a mechanic who drops a wingnut and can't find it will go and tell someone "I have dropped the wingnut, I can't find it", because he knows that's a potential safety issue and

then. But even without the regulation, without wishing to both boast about one's own report, the impact of that report or reports was sufficiently widespread that no one anywhere in the NHS was likely then to have not understood the importance of doing it.

So I think the duty of candour would have -- should have been pretty embedded by then. I wouldn't necessarily be confident that any -- that the actual process would, but the need for it.

In terms of Freedom to Speak Up, my report would have just come out and I would have expected leaders at least to be aware of the principles. It would be fair to say that by no means all, in fact probably only a minority of organisations at that time would have got around to having a Freedom to Speak Up Guardian. That took quite a long time to happen for reasons.

- Q. I should say Mr Skelton is dealing with --
- A. Okay I will leave that. You did ask what I thought about the -- but it is part of a healthy culture that there should be a feeling of lack of fear and I am not sure whether I could say that at that point that much had happened to change that, to be honest.
- Q. I mean, the concept of candour had existed, the idea you should be candid with patients had existed prior to 2015?

although he has made a mistake, he needs to do somethingabout it straight away.

3 That's what they do and it is second nature to them.

Q. Yes.

4

- A. I mean, there will be of course exceptions to that work,but that's their culture.
- Q. If we go back to your statement and it is internal
 page 19, it is page 20 of the pdf document that I have.
- 9 **A.** Yes.
- 10 Q. You were asked about the differences in -- forgive me11 a moment, sorry. Sorry, it is page 38.
- 12 A. Is that internal 38?
- 13 Q. It's entitled "Healthy culture"?
- 14 **A**. Yes
- Q. So you were asked to define a healthy culture in the NHSand specifically in any NHS neonatal unit?
- 17 **A.** Yes
- Q. How would you define a healthy culture in 2005 and the
 years that immediately followed that with regard to
 candour in an NHS Trust or NHS neonatal unit?
- A. Well, I think -- I mean, it is very difficult coming up
 with exhaustive definitions but in 2015, I would have
 expected a general understanding and acceptance and
 implementation of candour, the duty of candour as
 contained in what would have been the regulation by
 - A. Oh, yes.
 - Q. And I think failures in patient safety and the interrelationship between candour and poor safety culture of NHS Trusts was also well known about by the time we get to 2015?
- A. Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

- Q. It had been a feature of your report, it had beena feature of other reports as well.
- A. Yes, I think that I am not sure whether by then there
 was necessarily the full acceptance that there would be
 today of taking the risk, if you like, of fuelling
 litigation which is a mistaken fear, but I think was
 pretty strong at the beginning of this century and by
 2015 I don't think it would have necessarily dissipated.
- The MDU, the MPS and the NHS Litigation Authority were still having to keep on reminding people that apologies
- were not an admission of liability and all that and think that there was still a lot of professional fear
- of telling the truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth about things.
- 21 **Q.** At the organisational level by the time we get into 22 2015, we do have a statutory duty of candour being introduced --
- 24 **A.** We do.
- 25 Q. -- Which changes things significantly?

164

- A. Yes.
 Q. I think you say in your statement that it is inconceivable that any NHS manager would have been unaware of the duty of candour by 2015?
- A. Well, nothing is impossible, is it? But there is no reasonable excuse for anyone who had been in post in the NHS for more than -- less than a few months would not have known about it.
- Q. So unless they had literally just popped into the job with no prior warning, they should really have been aware of the duty of candour in 2015?
- A. Yes.

- Q. Certainly in terms of individual duties, I mean, there had been a professional duty upon doctors and nurses prior to 2015 to be candid where things went wrong or --
- A. Yes. Interesting there has always been -- but interestingly, not that I have done a survey on it, but I am not aware of a significant number of cases ever being taken by the GMC about candour. Lots of things about consent and relationships with patients and so on but just that little bit of it I am not sure produced much by way of enforcement at the time.
- Q. No. What do you say in relation to candour and neonatal units specifically? Do you think the duty of candour would have been felt or should have been felt to apply

regulations applies as much to neonatal units and care as any other treatment of care. Also clearly the patients are particularly vulnerable: they cannot speak for themselves, their mothers may be suffering from postnatal issues which reduce their ability to represent their baby's interests in the immediate aftermath of a birth, and parents and families will in any event be experiencing considerably emotional stress. Therefore the context in which all the values and duty considered in this report have to be complied with is particularly demanding."

A. I think that's what I meant by what I have just said that on any view there can be a little more of a nightmare facing any parents than having their child seriously ill in a neonatal unit, particularly a neonatal Intensive Care Unit. I have visited in the course of my career places like that and the atmosphere to them is entirely different to anywhere in the hospital I have ever been, but the care and compassion and the demands that brings on the staff are tremendous and also there is something about the speed at which things happen or have to be done.

This is not me being an expert pediatrician, but just observing it, the need to manage the exchange of information with very distressed people who may not be 167

to a neonatal unit in the same way or should it have been more enhanced in that context?

A. Well, I think it is the same duty. I mean, obviously the qualification is that you are being candid to parents or people with parental responsibility rather than the patient directly. But that's true across children, people who can't make decisions for themselves generally. I -- but the duty is as much there.

I think the relationship with -- between the medical profession and parents is a slightly more complicated one than directly with the patient because interspersed there is the need for a judgment about the best interests of the child and not -- not always do the interests of the child coincide entirely with the interests of the parents. So there can be issues there about how you say things, when you say it, I think in a way which are more complicated.

But that's about children in general. I would say that the duty of candour -- there is no difference in the quality of the candour required in a neonatal unit. Sensitivity is required, compassion is required of a very high order indeed, but that's not the only place in the hospital where those things are required.

Q. I think in your report at 3.5.1 you say:

"Clearly the duty of candour as formulated in the 166

able immediately to take in what you have said happens in many places in medicine, but I imagine are particularly acute in the setting of an Intensive Care Unit.

So I would say that the skills required are of a higher degree in a place like the neonatal unit than perhaps they are elsewhere.

- Q. Yes.
- A. We don't, I think, often pay -- give enough thought to the impact that those stresses have on the staff who work there and the need to provide them with support and advice to be able to do these very difficult tasks. And one of the reasons for having the organisational duty is to in effect meet -- to require the employer, the provider, the organisation, to give their staff the necessary support to do this job properly, because it's when you get around to candour in the sense that harm has been done to someone's child and you are having to explain that to the family, let alone when they have died, is of a particularly demanding nature and not many of us left to our own devices could do it well. We need help.
- **Q.** Yes and challenges of course to the dialogue and the circumstances in which the dialogue takes place?
- A. Yes.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Q. But of course you still have to be honest and not 1 2 mislead? 3 A. Yes. 4 Q. Parents of children, especially very vulnerable children 5 and very vulnerable parents, it is important to be 6 honest with them? 7 A. One of the things you have to be honest about is what 8 you don't know. 9 Q. Yes. 10 A. There's I think sometimes a feeling that candour is about producing a finished conclusion and result. It's 11 12 not. It's about telling people that something may have 13 happened. I mean, that's why the regulation talks about 14 "may have been harmed". It is not just about actual 15 16 Q. What role does leadership from the board and the 17 Chief Executive play in relation to a duty of candour,

how important is good leadership?

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- A. Well, it is absolutely essential in a number of ways. First I think as I have indicated if everyone in the organisation can see that Chief Executive or their leader is not being candid themselves about something everyone knows about internally, then they get a message about that. Equally, it is essential that the leaders produce the environment in which facilitates being 169
- 1 of the fact that there is investigation? 2 A. Yes, yes. 3 Q. Finally, it's -- I want to take you to a letter that 4 appeared in The Times over the course of the weekend. 5 A. Yes. 6 Q. It is at INQ0108030. 7 A. Yes, I did see it, but I would be grateful to see it 8 again if you want to ask me about it. 9 Q. It relates to an announcement of the Hillsborough Law 10 which may or may mirror the NHS duty of candour and it's 11 a letter from Bill Kirkup and Bishop James Jones, both

"A statutory duty of candour already applies to NHS providers and that the new law would widen this duty to include all public servants and bodies. There are significant problems with this. The NHS duty of candour is implemented at best as a one-off disclosure with no requirement for honesty and openness thereafter. The subsequent change of stance by staff, often evident in investigations, complaints, inquests and litigation is deeply distressing certainly to the families at the heart of these maternity services investigation and others. This would be a poor model.

of whom you refer to in your statement, reporting on the

introduction of the Hillsborough Law, you say that:

"If we suppose that the NHS already has this right 171

candid as opposed to insidious activities which may do the opposite. It is not difficult to discourage people from being honest, being open about things that have gone wrong and quite often in a human way sometimes it is much easier to do that. So it is important that leaders support and encourage people to do the right thing, even though that's very difficult.

- Q. And it starts with the example that they set?
- 9 A. It starts with the example they set and that can 10 permeate down through the organisation too. And I mean 11 the really difficult cases come where there is 12 disagreement. It's not always -- there are a lot of 13 things we talk about candour, it's assumed that we all 14 agree something has gone wrong and why it has gone 15 wrong. Often we know something has gone wrong but we 16 don't know why and sometimes there will be different 17 opinions about what has happened, let alone why it 18 happened, and that's why it is very necessary in 19 exercising the duty of candour to have a proper. 20 objective and fair investigation process which is so 21 often missing and so that must be particularly important 22 and difficult in the circumstances of a neonatal unit.
 - Q. Of course candour doesn't begin with reaching the conclusion that something has definitely gone wrong. It begins with the investigation and keeping patients aware 170

we will miss a major opportunity to reform the NHS duty of candour. A statutory and enduring duty of candour for the NHS is called for and the report of East Kent maternity services investigation would mean significant improvement in response to families harmed and bereaved by patient safety incidents."

A. I would. Both Bishop Jones and Bill Kirkup deserve a huge amount of respect for what they have done and contributed to the understanding of safety in this field, but I don't understand the NHS duty of candour as being a matter of a one-off disclosure. I have described it I think more as a process and if it is being treated as a matter of one-off disclosure, then I believe it is being treated wrongly.

Q. Yes.

And I would -- my interpretation of the regulation is that candour starts with telling the patient or the family something has or might have gone wrong and we are going to investigate it and it carries on until such time as there is a conclusion, often this will be at a meeting at which the results of the investigation are shared, and there is at that point -- maybe there has been already -- but a renewed apology, an explanation and a statement as to what's been done about to learn 172

Would you have any comments in relation to that?

(43) Pages 169 - 172

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1 lessons 2 If you call that one-off disclosure, well it's 3 actually a process and I think to say that there is no 4 requirement for honesty and openness after that is also, 5 with respect, wrong because clause 1 of the regulation 6 talks about the need for, overarching need for openness 7 and transparency so that never goes away. Candour, in 8 the technical sense, might because you have done 9 whatever you can do about the incident and the harm and 10 you then, as it were, move on from that particular incident. 11 12 But I don't -- I wouldn't -- I think it's unfair to 13 call that a one-off disclosure. 14 Q. I think the duty as drafted is not a one-off disclosure. 15 I think what's being said here and obviously Dr Kirkup's 16 experience of East Kent is that that's how it's treated 17 people; that have a tick box exercise at the start, they 18 do the candour and then they don't follow that 19 obligation through. 20 Yes, well, he does say that and that may be the case. 21 But I don't think that's the duty. That's the way it's 22 being dealt with at East Kent as he found and maybe some 23 other places. 24 So I think it would be fair to say that the duty of 25 candour as currently defined is not being properly 1 I think it's often forgotten, too often anyway, that 2 patients and their families are experts. They don't 3 know about medicine but they are experts in the way in 4 which they have been treated and that's often at the 5 root of what has gone wrong and needs to be put right. 6 So an investigation that doesn't involve them and take 7 them along and produce preferably a consensual useful 8 result has failed. And you don't do that just by asking 9 people to fill in forms and sending them letters; you do 10 it through human interaction and discussion. 11 It takes time and it takes resource but if you don't 12 do that, you haven't done the job properly. 13 MR BAKER: I am grateful. Thank you, Sir Robert, thank you, 14 my Lady. 15 LADY JUSTICE THIRLWALL: Thank you very much indeed, 16 Mr Baker. Mr Skelton. 17 Questioned by MR SKELTON 18 MR SKELTON: Sir Robert, I ask questions on behalf of one of 19 the other Family Groups. 20 I have got 30 minutes to ask you about speaking up.

I appreciate it has been a long day.

Can I just give you an outline of the topics I would

like to cover if I may. First of all, the review that

175

Q. So I will try and keep it focused.

21

22

23

24

25

A. Right.

implemented in a lot of places and I think it would be wrong to say that the duty of candour as defined is -would not -- is not appropriate in the NHS. Whether it's appropriate in that form to spread more widely I think is a question for others. and candour with patients?

Yes. So the duty as drafted requires ongoing honesty

Yes. Well, the -- the whole relationship, therapeutic relationship with a patient and their family is predicated on it being open and honest and, you know, with all that implies. The technical candour is about what happens to investigate a particular incident of harm and rather like an incident treatment there comes a point where everything has been done that could be done about it, but that doesn't mean that there is anything wrong with the duty underlying that.

Q. Would you change anything about the duty of candour as it stands at the moment?

A. Well, I would certainly change the way it's dealt with. I think it will be right to say, as I think I have already said, that it is treated too much as a bureaucratic process and a defence mechanism rather than an opportunity to involve the patient or the family in a process of investigation and learning to go forward.

174

you took in 2015; secondly, your view on the present state of play including the implementation of your review and its effects; a couple of specific issues about support for those speaking up and the guardians and response to those concerns when people do speak up which are clearly the sort of starting point and the end point of that process and then try and draw together your views on what now needs to change, but with a very specific, if I may, on transgressive behaviour and concerns about that behaviour for obvious reasons that

Can I start then with your review in 2015.

Could you summarise for the benefit of my Lady the principal problems that you diagnosed in 2015 when you undertook your review?

Well, I think it started with the reason the review was set up was that there were case histories, if you like, or cases of about 20 healthcare staff who one way or the other having raised concerns of a wide variety of things but some were patient safely, most were about patient safety, but some were about fraud or other issues, and all of these people having raised the concern had found themselves the subject of an investigation or adverse action of some shape or form.

Some had lost their jobs, many had been subjected to

resonate in this Inquiry.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

q

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

behaviours which had resulted in serious compromise of their mental health and none of them felt that they had received any sufficient remedy or justice for that. So that's why the review was set up.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

21

25

My job however was not to investigate the merits of their individual cases or to deliver justice, much to their distress I should say, but to review the treatment generally and what I found was -- not everywhere of course -- but insofar as one could tell there was far too many cases where doctors, nurses and others had raised issues of concern and had been immediately met with what I will call a personalisation of the issue.

So instead of someone looking into an issue, finding out what the truth of it was and then finding a remedy for it, it would be turned into a suggestion very quickly that fault was not in relation to the concern but the person raising it was doing this for an ulterior motive, sometimes allegedly to cover up their own incompetence, sometimes because of a personal vendetta against somebody else. So the whole thing would become an issue about looking at the person and their character and their ability rather than looking at the issue that they had raised.

And this seemed to permeate quite a lot. I discovered that certainly that the remedies available 177

need one or two such cases to send a message out to everybody else: it's not worth the candle raising my head above the parapet because I will become persecuted and I won't solve the problem because no one will listen to what I would say.

Then if you looked at it, it was -- we have got more information now than we had at the time, but staff surveys and so on would suggest that a significant proportion of staff at the NHS would not -- did not have confidence that if they raised issues that someone would deal with them, so there was: what was the point therefore of doing it?

So that was the sort of picture I was presented with

- Q. You have been involved with the Bristol Royal Infirmary?
- A. Yes.
- Q. And you mentioned that inquiry earlier and the paradigm example it that inquiry was the consultant anaesthetist
- 20 A. Yes.
- Q. Dr Steven Bolton, who raised concerns about higher than 22 normal, as he perceived it, cardiac mortality in infants 23 that were being treated there.
- 24 Yes, exactly.
 - Q. But eventually lost his job and in fact left the

by way of employment law didn't seem to protect anybody. The -- where this had happened there was no system providing support for people who had raised concerns at that worked.

> Often frankly their Union representative would be conflicted because if the concern was about another colleague then often they would be represented by the same, members of the same organisation basically -- and the effects on people's behaviour, this when all this happened to an individual put to these sort of detriments, they became desperate people and that sometimes led them to behaving in a way they would probably later regret. So they would often end up be provoked into providing their employer with an excuse to get rid of them, if I can put it bluntly, and this is desperate.

Quite often it would subsequently transpire that what the individual had complained about was correct, some time later, some bigger disaster would happen and eventually they would be vindicated. But that would be no comfort to them because they would be without a job, no one was going to offer them another job and so on.

So by no means was this something that happened everywhere or all the time. But the other thing was that you don't need many such cases, in fact you only 178

United Kingdom and went --

- 2 He's been in Australia ever since, yes.
 - And that was 1999, 1998/1999. Q.
- 4 A. Yes.
 - Q. So given that, did that in fact have the opposite effect it should have done? Rather than bringing to the fore the value of whistleblowers it had in fact publicised the demonisation of a whistleblower?
 - Well, I suspect it did have an effect like that, but I don't think his was the only case.

But his was a typical case, if you like. The isolation, to isolate someone as being different and a maverick and therefore you didn't have to look at his figures because he had -- he put together the figures that showed that there was a problem with this department.

And in the end, he had in order to get attention to them, he literally handed them over in a brown envelope in the back of a taxi to someone from the Department of Health and, you know, when you have to -- he subsequently found no one wanted to talk to him and he did leave the Trust and he never got another job in this country, so -- and he is not alone. There are a number of people who have suffered similar fates and are to this day concerned. The -- and many of them will have 180

been to and failed in tribunal cases which is perhaps another issue to be dealt with.

But, anyway, so that was what I had to confront. So I thought, again, you can have rules and regulations about fair treatment of people but again, I'm afraid, this is cultural and you need a system which welcomes people raising concerns and you need to have principles of good practice and I thought I was more likely to get somewhere if I set out some principles with one or two supports for that than trying to rewrite the law of discrimination.

- 12 Q. You did identify I think 12 -- sorry, 20 principles?
- 13 A. 20 principles.
- 14 Q. And associated actions.
- **A.** Yes.

- Q. I won't go through all of them.
- **A.** No.
- 18 Q. In the interests of time --
- 19 A. You could tell which page I put them down on.
- Q. I was looking at your original document. You do mentionthem in your first report at page 99, I think.
- 22 A. Thank you.
- 23 Q. At least in the overarching points.

But they include recommendations on improving the culture of safety, raising concerns, freedom from

and in some places slightly surprising appointments are made of people who clearly, in my view, have a conflict of interest. So it would not occur to me if I were Chief Executive of a Trust to appoint someone who was either the HR director or in the HR department to do this work, still less a non-executive member of the board. There is a place for someone to be interested in the subject of a board but not to be the guardian.

So, there -- but it took a long time to get there and so not everywhere had a guardian at all for quite some time. So, but I think that is -- we are now pretty well there.

And the advantage of that which we didn't have at the time, because we also of course have the National Guardian, is that figures are being collected about not only the numbers of cases they are dealing with, where they are dealing with them but information is coming in about places that are doing it well and places that are not doing it so well.

So I think it has changed. But there are still examples of, in my view, egregious behaviour towards whistleblowers which is often seen funnily enough -- well, not funny at all -- not so much in the raising of the concern end of it but where something has gone wrong often years ago and a place has gone to a tribunal you 183

bullying and visible leadership I think all of which youhave touched on today?

- A. Yes.
- Q. They also provided some specific measures for the
 investigation of concerns and of course the introduction
 of the guardians?
- A. Yes.
- **Q.** So that was 2015.
- A. Yes.

Q. For the purpose of this Inquiry, I think you have
 revisited the present state of play. Is your diagnosis
 essentially the same with some improvement?

A. Well, let me -- I think that the system of guardians is now I think 100% in place in that everyone has a guardian. Most organisations have in addition what one might call assistant guardians; they call them ambassadors or champions or whatever. So there is a system of people to whom members of staff can safely go to share a concern, get support and advice about what to do about it

That is working better in some places than others because not everywhere is supporting their guardians properly by giving them time to do the job, sufficient time or sufficient resource. It's demanding and stressful work, for reasons everyone will understand,

see the Trust defending the indefensible at a tribunal and then excoriating judgments being made about the behaviour not of the Chief Executive or whoever were in place at the time but the leadership today, at the time of the tribunal hearing, and then after that there is a decision and nothing follows from that because: well, that was a tribunal case, it's not fair to do anything because whoever the executive director was wasn't a party to the case, the decision is not binding on them and so on.

So I think there is work to do about the behaviour of leadership generally in the way that it treats the past whistleblower cases as well as the current ones.

- Q. So you report a number of sort of instances in the mediaof victimisation of whistleblowers --
- 16 A. Yes.
 - Q. -- which almost appear to be the same of those that would have occurred in Bristol and --
- 19 A. Yes.
- **Q.** -- formed the basis for your review?
- **A.** Yes
- 22 Q. That's still going on?
- A. That still is. Obviously when one is looking at
 individual reports and individual cases, it is very
 difficult to untangle the merit and it would be wrong

for me to make judgments. But where you get an employment tribunal who has looked into it, heard evidence and the Trust has been able to bring forward whatever evidence it wants to, and given the hurdles that a whistleblower has to overcome to get a remedy which are highly technical tests then it seems to me you have a strong basis for feeling that something bad has happened.

- Q. As far as you are concerned, is the problem to some extent implementation of the healthy culture and good practices that you have identified since there is no absence of wisdom?
- A. No, well, again, it's one of the things that a CQC when inspecting a hospital is meant to and does, will look at and also the National Guardian can undertake case reviews. It only has the resource frankly to do rather fewer of them than I suspect she would like to do. So there is a regulatory power at least to take action where the Freedom to Speak Up principles are not being applied.

But like all forms of sporadic regulation, if you like, which involves inspection or report, the inspectors are not there all the time and these things perhaps they -- unless you have got culture in place the wrong thing can easily happen.

report. The NHS England has a role to play, it seems to me, in ensuring that the right culture in this area is put into place and one of the things I recommended they do at NHS England, and to be fair they did it for a time, was that they should in themselves have a support mechanism for whistleblowers who have been victimised, particularly those who have lost their jobs, and for a time they sought to help people find jobs who fulfilled a certain definition.

They have now changed that, so what they are doing is -- they are not doing that but they are providing sort of psychological support and counselling to people who have suffered in this way. I am not saying that's a bad thing, but I don't know whether it is sufficient and I have not looked into it sufficiently to know, but I suspect it's not. And there is, it seems to me, a moral duty on the part of the National Health Service to do what it can to support people who have suffered in this way because they have done the right thing.

- **Q.** You mentioned the need to have the appropriate people being guardians and you gave --
- A. Yes.
- Q. -- an example of the Chief Executive or the human resources director perhaps not being the right kind of person.

Q. This is sort of culture by a deterrent effect of knowing that if you don't act appropriately the regulator is going to identify you as --

- A. Yes.
- Q. -- as not doing so?
- A. And -- but my -- the way I saw it at the time, and I think I have been proved wrong, was that the Fit and Proper Person regulation would be capable of being used to weed out of the system leaders who were oppressive towards people who raised concerns and I don't think that has happened. And the person to ask about that is Mr Tom Carver KC who has done a review on this very subject and all I will say about his report is that I agree with what he says.
 - Q. So by analogy with the duty of candour, is it the case that you would like to see the regulators being more engaged with this issue and actually taking direct action so as to send a message nationally?
 - A. Yes, well, I think it could -- I agree, I think a regulator could. But also insofar as we do have a top-down system and NHS Trusts are licensed by NHS England through its regulatory powers because it has taken over the power Monitor used to have, I mean it's one thing as I said we seem to see Chief Executives leaving the door when the CQC has made a negative

In a hospital or Trust, where almost everyone is
either employed by the Trust or engaged somewhere by
them as a non-executive director for example, how can
you have such a person?

A. Well, I notice that Professor Dixon-Woods suggested
there should be some externalisation of guardians.

- Q. Yes.
- A. I am not against the idea of there being some form of external support or overview, but the whole purpose of the guardian actually is that they are inside the organisation because they need to be -- firstly, they need to be people who are known or can get to be known by the staff and the executive and they need to be exceptional people in that they need to have the confidence of Trust, as it were, of both the staff and the people who lead the organisation and they need to be given the freedom to access anyone, open any door they want to and go and see people and informally suggest that something needs to change or be done and you -- to have someone external doing that, I don't think it necessarily works.

The point about it is you can rightly say it's a challenge to be working in that organisation, doing things that are uncomfortable but that frankly is the whole point of it and if -- the healthy culture is one

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

5

7

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

which encourages a level of awkwardness and challenge to what is going on in order to improve it, so it is an improvement culture.

So you need to have people who are diplomats certainly because, you know, you could do this the right -- you can do this in a way which gets everyone's back up and nothing ever changes, but you can get people who are very good at being facilitators. And the NHS is full of caring people -- you often forget that -- very caring and compassionate people, but who are also very determined and can analyse things.

So you need that sort of person doing the job but it can't be a director and it can't be someone who works in the HR department. But it can -- they range, the ones I have met, from people who work in quite apparently lowly positions but develop a natural authority to people who are middle-grade managers or doctors or nurses or whatever. They are often nurses who manage to do this job.

- Q. Is there a scope for having someone who does this as their job full time?
- Definitely, yes, in some cases it is.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

25

Q. Because certainly what one sees in this instance is that the people who you are going to go and see have clearly got a conflict of issue (sic). If you are raising

that they meet, the National Guardian sets this up. They have reachable meetings, so there is a network of guardians from -- so they can tell their story confidentially or get help and advice from people who don't work at their hospital. So they are not entirely isolated in the way that the individual whistleblower

I am not saying it will sort out all problems, but I would not like to see the guardian, the Freedom to Speak Up Guardian being something that is externalised. The way that works is in itself a strong signal as to whether the organisation is doing the right thing by way of Freedom to Speak Up. In a way, it would be able to say: this isn't my business, it's the business of this external independent person and, by the way, who is going to employ that person? You know, where do they -what's the career in that? There are all sorts of issues that I would think, with respect, would be quite difficult to solve.

- Q. So trying to see in practice how the guardian might work when they are receiving a concern about transgressive conduct, they are not just signposting the person in the right direction.
- 24
 - Q. They have a more active or proactive role in certain 191

a concern which could bring down all sorts of consequences upon the Trust. If you do act on it those consequences will happen, if you don't act on them they won't and you are clearly in a conflict situation there if you are employed by the Trust at a senior level.

But having someone independent within the Trust full time whose job is to bring those concerns to the board, to whoever needs to act on them, might be one potential solution?

A. I -- I think that organisationally that potentially becomes quite difficult and frankly if -- if you need that, if you actually need that because you can't have someone internally then I think you have already got a culture that you shouldn't have.

So I see guardians as being, you know, I hate to say this because it's a bit trite, but they're the sort canary in the mine. They are a first signal if the guardian is beginning to get into trouble for what they are being asked to deal with, that in itself is a heavy warning sign and they have a remedy.

They can externalise things in two ways: they can get help from the National Guardian, they can report matters themselves to the CQC or indeed NHS England. So they have an ability to get help and they have a network. One of the great things about guardians is

- 1 situations. What would you see as the appropriate response to a concern at that level raised by a member 2 3 of staff?
- 4 A. About transgression?
 - Q. About transgression, yes?
- 6 "I think someone may have killed somebody." A.
 - Sorry?
- 8 A. I think -- the concern being that you fear that someone 9 is murdering a patient.
 - Q. Well, that's the paradigm example, yes.
 - A. Well, firstly we might be assisted if we had the sort of checklist that we were talking about earlier; a protocol as to what to do when they raise concerns and the guardian would absolutely be someone who would be expected to know about that and be able to give advice from it as to where -- I mean a lot of this can be gatekeeping.

You would have thought, wouldn't you, that a guardian in those circumstances might advise the individual to go to the police. You would be -- expect the guardian to be offering fairly regular support to the individual to make sure that they weren't, you know, being victimised or whatever and you would be reassuring that person that they don't have to prove their suspicion; they just need to raise it in the right

quarters wherever that might be.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12 13

14 15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

25

If they have tried to raise it internally and they got nowhere, then you have to start advising them about the external places you can go to and we have listed them. It's the police, it's the Care Quality Commission. They may need support from a Union, but there are all sorts of things that can be done.

And the guardian also has a role, as you say it's not just a signposting exercise, the guardian's role is to open the door of whoever is necessary, one might have thought in this case the Chief Executive and say: a serious concern has been raised here, what is happening about it?

If they are told to get lost, putting it bluntly -and I am not commenting on this case at all, I have no
idea what happened at the Countess of Chester -- but if
they are told to get lost that's a point at which you
would like to think they would externalise it by going
to one of the people or organisations we have mentioned.

But the big thing about it would be that the person who has raised this concern has got the concern, he is no longer alone, they are not on their own. They have got someone who will help them if that victimisation process starts.

Q. Going back to a point I think you made earlier, which is 193

necessarily find the appropriate response outside of their usual zone of concern?

A. Yes

- Q. But when they attempt to trigger investigation internally the response isn't the appropriate one, the management aren't acting in the way you described the Florence Nightingale approach of understanding what they need to do in response to protect patient safety. What then happens or what should happen?
- A. Well, I believe that the -- a port of call, not necessarily the only one, would be the Care Quality Commission and you can point to the obligations of the Trust in terms of leadership in the face of a serious risk of an allegation and something which potentially is compromising the safety of patients, the Care Quality Commission is there to deal with it.

Now, what they would do you would have to ask them but I think that to my mind would be one the reasons we have a regulator.

- Q. Do you think the guardian sufficiently understand the intersection between their own role and that of safeguarding for example? You obviously have talked about that in your report.
- 24 **A.** Yes
 - **Q.** But to some extent, some of these policies sometimes 195

the person who is receiving it, whether it's the guardian or the person who the guardian then has to speak to initiate the investigation, the priority has to be thinking about the patients?

A. Yes

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

23

24

25

1

3

4

5

6

11

12

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Q. That has to be the touchstone for the appropriateness of the response?

A. Yes.

Q. So if an issue of transgressive behaviour is occurring, you have the existing patients who may have been harmed and you have the future patients who may be harmed if something is not done?

- 13 Yes. So the -- in an extreme, and we are talking about 14 a very extreme case, but that's what this Inquiry 15 appears to be about, then the responsibility taken on 16 the guardian's shoulders is also extreme and I can quite 17 imagine that a guardian might want to have external 18 advice pretty quickly on that and would get it, 19 I believe, from the National Guardian and they have 20 a direct line of contact. There are not so many of them 21 that the National Guardian doesn't know most of them 22 personally.
 - Q. Where might the roadblocks then occur? So if the guardian is responsive the guardian maybe takes external advice because the issue is too big for them to 194

exist in their own ecosystem --

- A. Yes.
 - Q. -- and there is another set of policies and procedures which you have heard about from Dr Garstang which is the investigation of children's deaths?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. So you have a safeguarding system, you have an
 investigation of children's death and mortality system,
 you have a whistleblowing or speaking up system.

10 Are they joined up sufficiently in your view?

- A. Well, I think the whistleblowing system as you call it, Freedom to Speak Up --
- 13 Q. Yes.

A. -- again I emphasise is not there to provide another
means of investigating things. It's there to facilitate
information getting to the people who ought to be doing
the investigating and if they are not, they shouldn't be
internally, then outside.

So clearly the protocol we have been talking about would need to include what you would do in a neonatal unit about referral to, say, the Medical Examiner or the Coroner or the police or the Child Death Review process or all of the above and so you can imagine because this is a rare event that it would be pretty quick that a guardian might well decide maybe even some external

Q. I think one of the watchwords that you mention repeatedly is the need to act swiftly when concerns are raised particularly if they engage patient safety.

advice about what should be done.

raised particularly if they engage patient safety issues?

A. Well, I think that's -- that's right. I mean the speed required will -- will depend on it. But something like this clearly is likely to need speed but equally caution because, you know, unintended consequences can flow.

So it needs to be got into the hands of the people who are expert in dealing with this sort of issue, which won't be the guardian and it won't be the whistleblower probably, but the point about the guardian is they will know where it should go.

- Q. The last subtopic I would like to ask you about which draws on Professor Dixon-Woods evidence and also topics you have talked about today is family engagement and it is something which is one of your principal concluding conclusions, if I can put it that way, in Part 2 of your report?
- A. Yes.

Q. I think you say all strategic decision-making bodies and frontline staff should be required to introduce service users and their representatives into their organisation decision-making processes.

the guardian to be immediately advising going to the family to talk about it, but as a matter of general principle the people who are most entitled to know that there is a concern are obviously the family of a recently deceased baby.

- Q. So trying to translate --
- A. But I think there is a tension, forgive me, a bit of a tension, which I am not sure I am qualified to resolve without knowing more of the facts, between the needs of an investigation into a criminal matter and the needs of the family to know that something is happening. There is a balance to be done there as to how much you would actually say to a family.

I mean, you might say to a family we -- there is -- a concern has been raised about the cause of death of your child and therefore we've had to inform the police. But whether you go further and say: well, we have actually -- it would be unlikely to me that you would go further and say: well, actually we suspect X of being the perpetrator. I don't think that would necessarily be appropriate.

- Q. No, there may -- it is understandable that there are certain instances where it might be counterproductive to involve the families --
- A. Yes.

So far as this issue is concerned, speaking up, how do you anticipate that working?

A. Well, one of the problems, challenges people who are speaking up can face is what, you know, what should or who should they be approaching, a family for instance or a patient, about something that -- concerns that they feel has been concealed from them and that is a not infrequent event.

There's been at least one case in the last few years where a whistleblower decided to approach a family but was feeling that not enough had been done. The Trust then -- this is in the public domain, that's why I can talk about it -- set out a forensic investigation to find out who wrote the letter, it was an anonymous letter, to the family to the extent of requiring, trying to get fingerprints off members of staff to do this. That was eventually stopped, it's not been heard of. But that's the extreme to which one Trust went when a whistleblower wanted to tell a family about a matter of concern.

So the -- clearly the duty of candour is an issue which you have got this sort of concern, what do you do about it? But obviously if you have got something that might involve a police investigation, I am not sure I would necessarily advise either the whistleblower or 198

- Q. -- early on particularly if criminal proceedings could be compromised as a result. But overall you are pro I think getting the families involved in the system?
- A. Definitely. I mean if a child has died of an unexpected death and no one knows what the cause is, then you should be involved with the family and sharing with them that uncertainty and that lack of knowledge and that is part of the duty of candour. They shouldn't be fobbed off, as I suspect families in the past might have been, with: I'm afraid that's one of those things. We don't always know what the answer is.

They should be part of the search for an answer.

- Q. And in some instances they in fact have information togive?
- 15 A. Exactly, yes.
- 16 Q. This may be an issue in this Inquiry --
- **A.** Yes
 - Q. -- where parents had concerns which they never articulated which, in a strange way, might have mirrored those of the professionals?
 - A. Yes, and that of course requires huge sensitivity and skill in doing that because the last thing anyone wants to do is to cause unnecessary distress to people who are already very distressed about a tragedy. But the fact of the matter is that when an unexpected outcome has

1	occurred there will be distress and the family as you	1	very helpful contribution to the Inquiry.
2	say will often have things to contribute.	2	A. Thank you.
3	They are and I have seen this time and time again	3	LADY JUSTICE THIRLWALL: Thank you very much indeed. We
4	in less dramatic circumstances the family are the	4	will rise now and start again tomorrow morning at
5	experts about the well-being of their child. They know	5	10 o'clock.
6	more about what their child looks like than what, even	6	(4.39 pm)
7	as a small baby, than a nurse will all the time and you	7	(The hearing was adjourned until 10 o'clock,
8	must listen to them.	8	Tuesday, 1 October 2024)
9	And it's true of all forms of medical care. You	9	,,
10	have got to listen to the patient, you have got to	10	
11	listen where there are children to their family and that	11	
12	is absolutely true about individual individual care	12	
13	but it is also true about dealing with system issues as	13	
14	well. You must involve the patients, otherwise you have	14	
15	a service which is providing the wrong service to the	15	
16	wrong people in the wrong way.	16	
17	Q. Sir Robert, thank you. Was there anything else from	17	
18	a speaking up perspective which you would like to add?	18	
19	A. I think I have spoken up enough.	19	
20	MR SKELTON: Thank you.	20	
21	LADY JUSTICE THIRLWALL: Thank you, Mr Skelton.	21	
22	Sir Robert, enormous thanks, firstly, for providing	22	
23	such a detailed and thoughtful report and then being	23	
24	kind enough to be here for over five and a half hours to	24	
25	develop your evidence. You have made an enormous and	25	
	201		202
1	INDEX		
2			
3	SIR ROBERT FRANCIS (sworn)		
4	Questioned by MR DE LA POER		
5	Questioned by LADY JUSTICE THIRLWALL 137		
6	Questioned by MR KENNEDY 143		
7	Questioned by MR BAKER 151		
8	Questioned by MR SKELTON		
9	·		
10			
11			
12			
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			

	11.30 am [1] 47/25	2023 [2] 27/24 56/3	87 [1] 91/5	29/24 59/15 59/22
LADY JUSTICE	117 [1] 125/19	2024 [3] 1/1 4/4	9	79/7 80/21 84/1 97/19
THIRLWALL: [30]	118 [1] 125/20	202/8		107/4 120/14 135/4
1/3 1/7 1/11 47/16	12 [5] 50/13 91/4	21 [1] 114/3	9.15 [1] 72/12	accountability [10]
47/21 67/20 67/25	91/5 151/2 181/12	22 [1] 114/2	9.22 [2] 76/8 76/11 99 [2] 122/18 181/21	10/20 68/21 71/9
68/16 91/1 95/5 95/9	12.44 pm [1] 95/16	27 [1] 56/7	99 [2] 122/10 101/21	79/14 83/1 83/6 86/2
95/12 95/14 136/23	13 [1] 115/13	278 [1] 120/9	A	88/20 90/18 111/23
137/19 137/22 138/2	15 May [1] 63/18 15 minutes [1] 47/19	290 [1] 97/6	abandon [1] 117/15	accountable [1] 71/10
138/25 139/5 140/12	15 minutes [1] 47/19	3	abandoned [2] 22/3	accountant [1]
140/16 140/20 142/7	472 404 [4] 111/4	3.15 [1] 142/15	22/4	157/17
142/11 142/16 142/18	40 [41 120/9	3.5.1 [1] 166/24	ability [9] 47/1 48/25	accounting [1] 82/9
150/19 175/15 201/21	184 [1] 111/4	30 days [1] 128/16	74/6 75/12 127/24	accuracy [2] 52/2
202/3 MR BAKER: [2]	19 [1] 162/8	30 May 2024 [1] 4/4	144/15 167/5 177/22	70/7
150/22 175/13	1969 [1] 91/15	30 minutes [1]	190/24	accurate [1] 126/20
MR DE LA POER:	1973 [2] 1/22 16/4	175/20	able [23] 40/23 47/11	accused [1] 142/2
[12] 1/6 1/13 47/14	1984 [2] 16/12 17/9	30 September 2024	48/22 49/18 51/14	achieve [1] 156/21
48/1 68/17 91/2 94/24	1990 [1] 17/9	[1] 1/1	51/15 55/1 57/12 61/15 68/7 74/19	achieved [1] 134/6
95/7 95/10 95/19	1992 [1] 1/24	36 [1] 126/18	92/23 102/5 115/22	acknowledgement
136/16 142/10	1994 [1] 115/19	38 [3] 30/4 162/11 162/12	121/16 121/18 128/10	[4] 53/24 60/4 93/22
MR KENNEDY: [3]	1997 [1] 18/22		138/21 168/1 168/12	109/14 across [9] 32/13
142/17 142/20 150/17	1998 [1] 19/22 1998/1999 [1] 180/3	4	185/3 191/13 192/15	32/16 34/3 42/11
MR SKELTON: [2]	1999 [3] 20/16 180/3	4.39 pm [1] 202/6	abnormal [1] 46/22	56/23 57/24 154/20
175/18 201/20	180/3	41 [1] 31/9	abolish [1] 18/23	158/24 166/6
THE WITNESS: [1]		42 [1] 33/9	abolition [1] 25/23	act [11] 25/20 44/18
47/20	2	47 [1] 36/15	about [353]	51/14 86/8 136/13
•	2,136 [1] 56/9	5	above [3] 17/7 179/3	159/4 186/2 190/2
'16 [1] 83/23	2.57 pm [1] 142/13		196/23	190/3 190/8 197/3
'17 [1] 83/23	2.72 [1] 129/3	5.3.1 [1] 32/10	absence [2] 85/20	acting [1] 195/6
'shadow' [1] 25/22	20 [5] 111/14 162/8	5.3.5 [1] 31/9 5.3.9 [1] 33/9	185/12	action [11] 12/12
'top [1] 148/2	176/18 181/12 181/13	5.4 [1] 36/14	absolute [2] 35/3 56/17	54/7 92/1 94/19 97/17
	20 years [2] 28/10 155/11	5.4.3 [1] 38/7	absolutely [10] 32/16	102/24 108/22 125/25
0	2000 [2] 2/1 20/25	56 [1] 41/19	93/24 103/13 126/8	
09.59 [1] 1/2	2000 [2] 2/120/23 2002 [1] 21/9	57 [1] 108/11	130/24 141/12 151/9	actions [6] 14/21 104/13 145/10 156/16
1	2004 [2] 21/13	58 [1] 108/11	169/19 192/14 201/12	158/12 181/14
1 October 2024 [1]	129/11	6	absolutely essential	activating [1] 161/4
202/8	2005 [1] 162/18	0 0 40 541 44/0	[1] 169/19	active [1] 191/25
1,250 [1] 25/16	2008 [1] 22/2	6.2.19 [1] 44/9	abuse [2] 3/5 91/17	actively [1] 63/3
1.10 [1] 28/8	2009 [3] 2/4 22/8	60 [1] 6/18 62 [1] 44/9	abused [2] 67/14	activities [4] 35/15
1.15 [2] 147/16	44/18	64 [2] 53/13 108/4	67/22	134/10 136/4 170/1
147/19	2010 [1] 2/21	69 [1] 61/24	academy [2] 77/13 139/19	activity [9] 40/18
1.16 [1] 147/25	2012 [1] 22/10		accept [8] 41/7 65/5	108/21 129/2 129/10
1.44 [1] 95/18	2013 [5] 2/23 2/24 22/22 45/3 57/18	7	116/3 116/7 117/4	129/13 129/18 129/22 132/11 132/13
1.5 [1] 10/23	2014 [2] 3/21 23/22	7.11 [1] 57/17	117/6 146/9 155/21	actual [4] 113/13
1.9 [1] 15/21	2015 [27] 2/21 2/25	7.11.1 [2] 59/11	acceptable [3]	133/16 163/8 169/14
1.9.28 [1] 26/13 1.9.6 [1] 18/9	38/5 39/16 48/8 53/10	60/10	124/25 156/24 156/25	actually [87] 5/23
10 [6] 10/8 59/2	61/24 62/2 62/20 76/6	7.12 [1] 59/14	acceptance [4] 64/3	5/23 6/12 6/23 13/6
68/23 134/20 147/15	77/20 79/12 81/2	7.17 [1] 61/23	156/17 162/23 164/10	14/11 17/25 24/13
155/11	81/21 83/23 162/22	7.21 [1] 64/4	accepted [1] 60/7	30/17 39/5 40/15 43/5
10 o'clock [2] 202/5	163/25 164/5 164/14	7.22 [1] 63/17 7.25 [1] 64/20	accepting [3] 65/19	48/17 49/5 58/6 58/16
202/7	164/22 165/4 165/11	7.7 [1] 55/23	96/21 119/3	58/25 60/13 61/4
10 years [1] 122/23	165/15 176/1 176/12	7.8 [1] 56/19	access [5] 51/15	63/10 63/13 64/11
10.4 [1] 81/24	176/14 182/8	75 [1] 68/24	77/15 103/8 128/10 188/17	65/4 65/20 65/24
100 [4] 9/21 137/7	2015/2016 [1] 56/23 2016 [3] 53/10 56/23		accident [2] 24/20	66/22 67/18 71/6 72/3
137/12 182/14	81/2	8	34/2	72/4 84/16 84/16 87/10 87/10 88/11
103,874 [1] 56/5	2017 [2] 24/4 53/10	8.11.1 [1] 108/10	accidents [1] 37/14	88/13 89/12 92/13
106 [1] 6/14	2019 [1] 25/13	81 [3] 76/9 111/1	accompanied [1]	93/1 94/10 94/12
11 [1]	2020 [2] 2/6 3/5	111/6	66/21	94/13 97/5 98/21
11.30 [1] 47/22	2022 [2] 25/18 25/20	82 [1] 110/25	according [1] 90/15	98/21 101/24 103/17
	2022/2023 [1] 56/3	84 [1] 81/24	account [11] 29/23	103/23 105/14 107/11
			(52) I ADV IIISTIO	 CE THIRLWALL: - actually

199/1 51/8 51/24 52/9 52/25 46/5 49/2 55/5 56/13 **ambiguous [1]** 54/18 **ambitious** [2] 22/6 **Advisory [1]** 54/25 58/19 59/4 64/24 65/1 68/2 69/8 69/15 73/3 actually... [37] advocate [1] 37/7 68/18 69/17 70/25 86/3 97/11 101/4 127/10 107/16 114/23 125/2 affect [2] 74/14 100/5 71/6 74/19 75/11 101/20 103/6 105/10 among [1] 2/13 125/5 125/8 125/11 affected [1] 3/12 75/15 77/24 79/17 110/5 110/17 113/18 amongst [2] 8/3 127/17 128/14 130/2 affecting [1] 159/8 80/16 82/2 82/8 83/4 117/9 124/23 130/1 143/13 132/16 133/14 135/18 afraid [18] 1/23 35/23 85/22 87/6 87/6 90/12 | 130/16 130/25 132/22 | **amount [4]** 7/6 68/11 136/8 136/10 136/13 36/7 36/10 86/16 95/24 97/7 97/17 133/16 135/2 135/21 120/17 172/9 138/8 138/22 139/17 100/15 103/8 103/9 100/15 100/16 100/18 139/13 146/8 153/18 amounts [1] 30/22 140/2 140/4 141/17 103/15 104/11 107/1 103/11 105/21 106/20 154/18 158/25 164/4 amused [1] 23/6 144/8 146/23 149/4 anaesthetist [2] 107/18 112/11 141/6 113/15 113/24 114/22 167/2 167/21 173/4 149/4 149/25 150/2 141/10 152/25 181/5 114/25 115/16 116/12 182/4 183/14 185/15 123/25 179/18 152/16 156/6 157/6 200/10 119/23 120/5 120/13 186/20 189/10 193/8 analogy [2] 39/13 173/3 186/17 188/10 after [18] 37/14 61/14 120/25 121/9 122/25 194/16 197/16 201/13 186/15 190/12 199/13 199/18 96/22 97/22 100/20 126/10 127/1 128/18 alter [1] 155/25 analyse [2] 75/12 199/19 109/10 110/15 110/16 130/22 131/8 133/3 alternatively [1] 189/11 acute [1] 168/3 114/11 120/4 128/15 134/11 134/17 135/10 100/13 analysis [4] 30/14 acutely [1] 141/5 135/15 136/7 136/13 130/14 132/4 134/16 although [11] 20/17 99/8 104/11 111/19 add [7] 11/14 81/14 136/14 137/16 137/17 144/23 152/15 173/4 27/10 42/8 42/20 **Andrew [1]** 142/21 82/25 137/16 145/19 184/5 144/5 144/8 145/3 48/22 64/17 68/18 anecdotally [1] 148/1 201/18 151/16 151/21 152/6 76/11 82/14 95/3 aftermath [2] 152/14 112/14 addition [3] 104/9 167/6 153/14 157/12 158/14 162/1 angle [1] 43/20 105/14 182/15 afternoon [1] 50/3 158/20 159/12 163/13 always [40] 13/18 announcement [2] additional [1] 109/10 again [23] 34/24 164/17 167/9 170/13 14/8 19/18 20/8 20/8 13/4 171/9 address [2] 64/18 41/20 50/8 57/3 61/7 171/16 174/11 175/25 23/9 29/24 34/3 55/9 annual [4] 59/23 101/17 176/22 178/9 178/24 68/15 81/18 92/14 62/14 71/25 72/1 72/6 64/12 78/7 97/12 addressed [5] 66/22 74/24 75/2 84/7 94/16 annually [1] 97/12 181/16 182/1 183/10 93/6 102/10 113/3 96/14 96/15 98/5 183/23 185/21 185/23 96/17 106/17 111/13 142/12 153/17 156/7 anonymous [3] 106/13 157/6 158/17 171/8 186/13 190/1 191/8 111/15 116/19 117/5 109/24 109/25 198/14 adequate [2] 121/14 117/13 117/24 118/21 anonymously [2] 181/4 181/5 185/13 191/17 193/7 193/15 157/9 196/23 197/22 201/7 196/14 201/3 202/4 121/20 127/17 136/9 131/22 133/4 adjourned [1] 202/7 139/10 154/12 154/14 another [17] 13/8 against [3] 7/14 201/9 adjudicates [1] 84/25 177/20 188/8 allegation [1] 195/14 154/25 155/4 155/6 18/18 29/3 29/17 adjudication [1] 155/7 165/16 166/13 agencies [1] 130/16 allegations [1] 129/4 36/10 64/7 86/19 98/7 135/3 agency [2] 130/18 allegedly [1] 177/18 170/12 200/11 98/10 100/18 113/13 adjudicator [1] 85/16 am [82] 1/2 3/16 3/23 178/6 178/22 180/22 132/22 allied [1] 99/19 administration [2] agenda [1] 91/25 allow [3] 37/7 114/24 4/20 6/11 15/14 16/17 181/2 196/3 196/14 16/24 144/10 ago [3] 25/25 122/24 139/12 19/1 22/15 26/22 answer [9] 34/8 administratively [1] 29/23 31/9 32/2 32/24 53/17 69/24 102/13 183/25 allowed [1] 64/14 29/2 32/24 33/9 35/4 37/15 119/4 153/21 161/15 agonies [1] 151/10 allowing [1] 113/10 administrators [1] agree [13] 13/25 allows [7] 46/7 88/4 41/16 42/5 42/12 200/11 200/12 69/18 14/20 23/1 56/25 118/6 139/7 139/11 47/23 47/25 50/4 50/8 answered [3] 54/17 admission [2] 154/25 93/14 102/3 103/11 141/15 161/5 52/25 55/5 65/13 67/9 63/13 63/14 164/17 126/8 140/21 141/1 69/6 78/6 78/25 83/22 answering [1] 59/7 almost [8] 36/22 70/9 admit [1] 36/7 170/14 186/14 186/19 74/9 77/9 96/20 98/11 86/22 90/3 91/24 answers [1] 51/16 adopt [1] 73/7 184/17 188/1 95/23 100/24 102/3 agreed [2] 113/6 Anthony [1] 1/15 adults [1] 42/9 alone [5] 154/2 102/14 103/19 108/5 anticipate [1] 198/2 130/15 advance [3] 21/17 agreeing [1] 148/1 168/19 170/17 180/23 115/2 115/4 120/17 anxiety [1] 29/20 45/18 110/25 agreement [1] 145/6 193/22 120/17 123/13 126/14 any [50] 7/23 22/17 advancing [1] 87/3 127/17 127/19 129/16 Airedale [2] 92/5 along [3] 139/24 23/13 24/25 29/7 advantage [2] 127/1 100/9 159/18 175/7 131/8 132/21 134/7 30/12 30/18 32/14 183/13 airline [3] 161/1 alongside [1] 132/19 136/1 137/16 143/7 38/18 39/14 45/8 adversarial [2] 67/5 161/2 161/19 143/8 143/14 143/21 45/24 48/10 54/7 already [24] 4/12 152/24 143/21 147/2 147/15 58/18 60/18 60/21 albeit [3] 107/21 28/11 53/16 55/5 adverse [5] 24/9 25/8 145/10 153/22 64/23 70/14 70/14 150/22 150/24 151/9 64/1 65/20 66/7 79/13 36/13 120/12 176/23 alignment [1] 30/11 72/25 90/23 99/12 154/16 154/17 155/3 86/21 88/19 90/2 93/9 advice [8] 102/7 99/16 106/4 121/4 160/11 163/20 164/9 95/19 96/9 96/10 all [125] 4/4 5/5 6/14 168/12 182/19 191/4 8/25 9/6 11/14 11/23 128/22 153/21 156/11 165/18 165/21 175/13 102/8 102/12 120/11 192/15 194/18 194/25 15/22 17/22 23/7 158/7 160/20 171/14 187/13 188/8 191/8 121/7 121/8 123/5 197/1 25/10 26/21 26/22 171/25 172/24 174/21 193/15 198/24 199/8 123/12 124/23 125/8 advise [2] 192/19 27/20 30/15 32/15 190/13 200/24 199/8 131/12 136/20 159/15 198/25 amateur [1] 16/18 32/19 32/24 33/18 also [54] 2/25 7/13 162/16 163/8 165/3 advised [1] 37/1 ambassadors [1] 34/1 34/6 36/20 41/24 7/18 8/10 9/17 17/2 167/2 167/7 167/13 advising [2] 193/3 44/2 47/21 48/4 50/23 20/14 21/9 21/21 36/4 182/17 167/14 172/7 177/3

89/21 91/24 96/4 135/15 164/15 189/16 ball [1] 29/21 appreciate [3] 36/24 149/7 175/21 98/19 100/21 103/6 **automated** [2] 11/22 **bandied** [1] 71/3 any... [1] 188/17 approach [4] 36/23 104/16 121/24 143/2 60/16 banner [1] 32/16 anybody [2] 24/16 139/22 195/7 198/10 155/21 159/21 160/6 **automatic** [1] 61/2 **BAPM** [1] 38/6 178/1 approaching [1] 162/10 162/15 190/19 automation [1] 40/14 Bar [1] 1/22 anyone [9] 6/12 198/5 asking [9] 11/21 **autonomy [1]** 21/19 barriers [2] 92/22 10/11 13/22 16/25 appropriate [17] 15/6 45/23 49/25 73/17 **available [6]** 47/10 121/8 20/10 160/3 165/6 125/3 126/21 127/23 80/14 90/3 102/3 **barrister** [1] 37/13 35/12 35/12 72/11 188/17 200/22 114/12 147/4 150/9 148/10 175/8 140/9 177/25 based [4] 60/15 64/3 anything [8] 111/11 157/10 157/11 159/11 aspect [3] 52/21 81/17 120/19 average [1] 124/25 124/5 124/8 154/7 174/3 174/4 187/20 83/10 101/2 aviation [3] 24/12 **basically [9]** 11/6 174/16 174/17 184/7 aspects [4] 35/4 49/4 24/19 161/14 192/1 195/1 195/5 19/2 21/15 28/7 49/2 201/17 avoid [5] 34/9 102/8 199/21 50/16 82/22 124/1 124/7 141/16 anyway [4] 70/22 assess [3] 19/23 134/7 135/11 141/9 178/8 appropriately [1] 117/11 175/1 181/3 186/2 38/17 139/7 avoidable [3] 33/25 basis [10] 25/12 anywhere [5] 74/10 64/5 116/22 31/19 46/19 118/1 appropriateness [1] assessing [5] 20/3 100/12 160/3 163/4 21/17 51/11 118/18 194/6 118/2 118/8 141/25 **avoidably [1]** 61/5 167/18 126/24 avoiding [1] 33/17 145/23 184/20 185/7 are [368] apologies [1] 164/16 area [19] 10/21 14/23 assessment [4] awake [1] 74/10 Bay [2] 32/18 120/18 apologise [2] 120/16 16/5 16/8 26/6 38/14 78/19 79/23 81/17 aware [9] 62/19 be [498] 154/24 38/18 44/6 46/15 82/5 106/5 143/14 150/14 bear [2] 13/21 64/22 139/22 apology [3] 66/21 99/3 118/22 119/3 assist [5] 44/12 151/9 163/12 165/11 bearing [3] 117/17 114/21 172/24 119/6 122/14 144/12 77/21 105/12 121/25 165/18 170/25 130/7 158/7 apparent [1] 48/13 145/12 145/25 187/2 143/17 awareness [4] beat [1] 93/21 apparently [3] 90/15 areas [1] 145/25 115/16 117/18 118/5 assistance [4] became [5] 16/9 123/18 189/15 aren't [10] 9/18 16/24 102/12 102/14 131/17 118/11 22/19 52/7 122/1 appear [7] 2/12 8/24 away [8] 25/8 29/7 41/11 43/25 58/22 131/20 178/11 111/21 115/10 142/21 69/17 69/18 75/20 assistant [1] 182/16 37/10 57/20 116/7 because [119] 4/9 143/10 184/17 87/19 195/6 assisted [2] 44/5 158/9 162/2 173/7 8/12 11/15 12/14 13/3 appeared [3] 157/24 13/11 13/15 14/13 **arguably [1]** 61/5 192/11 awful [1] 58/25 157/25 171/4 argue [2] 7/2 14/12 22/15 28/24 31/15 associated [2] awkwardness [1] appearing [1] 154/18 arguments [1] 122/5 151/14 181/14 189/1 32/20 33/18 34/4 **appears [1]** 194/15 arisen [1] 38/15 34/11 36/21 38/22 Association [2] 38/1 **Ayling [1]** 129/5 appendices [1] 4/1 arises [4] 106/25 41/10 38/23 39/6 39/12 40/3 appendix [3] 1/19 110/10 118/14 149/25 assume [1] 155/5 40/5 41/21 42/6 46/14 95/23 115/10 **babies [8]** 37/1 38/21 arising [2] 61/3 68/9 assumed [2] 37/21 47/3 47/9 48/21 49/24 appendix 3 [1] 1/19 38/23 39/2 40/23 49/24 50/9 50/10 arose [1] 123/18 170/13 appendix 4 [1] 95/23 123/19 128/16 128/17 around [14] 8/10 assumptions [1] 52/22 54/22 60/17 applicability [1] baby [3] 127/20 23/14 71/3 75/17 86/5 67/13 67/17 68/1 156/15 101/3 assure [2] 84/19 94/6 199/5 201/7 111/9 118/20 123/22 68/13 70/12 72/23 applicants [1] 15/9 baby's [1] 167/6 136/3 139/20 160/11 assuring [1] 137/3 77/1 78/1 80/23 83/1 applied [9] 60/22 back [34] 21/7 30/18 160/24 163/15 168/17 **astonished** [1] 158/3 84/5 85/20 86/6 86/13 79/20 82/17 84/8 30/24 41/5 42/5 47/21 arrange [1] 93/25 86/19 88/8 91/22 92/8 at [259] 133/12 144/17 148/19 49/13 60/9 61/8 63/20 94/3 94/11 94/17 array [1] 160/4 atmosphere [2] 148/19 185/20 68/2 76/6 76/7 77/20 arrive [1] 77/11 16/22 167/17 98/11 98/14 100/15 applies [3] 146/10 83/23 91/15 93/5 arrives [1] 152/1 101/5 101/14 103/8 attempt [5] 26/25 167/1 171/14 93/11 95/14 98/7 arrows [1] 26/18 103/20 104/25 159/15 103/23 104/19 105/2 apply [7] 67/3 89/4 98/18 101/15 104/21 105/16 105/22 107/10 art [1] 70/16 195/4 101/18 106/15 134/14 107/8 118/22 126/15 articulate [1] 65/16 attempts [1] 12/10 110/2 110/16 113/21 138/5 165/25 131/18 144/17 147/10 115/4 117/5 121/14 articulated [1] attention [7] 31/11 appoint [2] 83/14 158/17 162/7 180/19 200/19 38/5 61/11 107/25 121/15 121/23 122/1 183/4 189/7 193/25 as [269] 115/8 125/18 180/17 122/8 129/25 134/15 appointed [4] 1/24 **Aside [1]** 79/12 background [1] 138/18 140/8 141/20 attract [1] 125/25 84/14 99/1 101/24 145/10 143/25 145/21 148/4 ask [22] 37/7 52/25 attributing [1] 24/16 appointment [1] 77/3 63/12 80/9 80/10 backing [1] 87/10 148/10 151/7 152/19 audit [2] 21/11 99/3 appointments [1] **bad [6]** 48/16 57/4 87/16 93/9 95/7 96/1 **Australia** [1] 180/2 154/21 154/24 155/24 183/1 92/19 158/15 185/7 110/25 131/8 143/2 159/17 161/5 161/25 authorised [1] 2/3 appraisal [7] 76/10 187/14 150/22 150/24 153/20 authorities [12] 16/5 166/11 168/16 173/5 77/20 77/25 78/7 badly [2] 59/1 72/20 163/18 171/8 175/18 16/6 16/8 16/9 18/19 173/8 177/19 178/6 78/18 79/5 83/8 **Baker [5]** 150/20 175/20 186/11 195/17 18/20 18/20 27/2 178/21 179/3 179/4 appraisals [2] 78/4 150/21 150/22 175/16 197/15 122/9 129/7 138/20 180/14 182/22 183/14 78/12 203/7 asked [25] 9/24 9/25 139/12 184/8 186/22 187/19 appraised [2] 78/2 balance [2] 63/21 11/3 13/21 46/2 48/3 188/11 189/5 189/23 **authority [7]** 10/13 78/5 199/12 52/18 80/7 80/7 89/18 24/22 122/6 129/17 190/12 190/16 194/25

172/14 172/15 173/15 Bishop [2] 171/11 brag [2] 94/14 94/15 В before [24] 10/21 10/23 13/11 13/12 173/22 173/25 174/10 172/8 branch [2] 24/5 because... [3] 196/23 16/16 22/21 57/2 179/23 180/12 183/15 Bishop James Jones 24/10 197/9 200/22 57/14 58/8 63/22 184/2 185/19 186/8 **[1]** 171/11 brave [2] 86/7 94/21 because: [1] 184/6 64/10 64/20 77/2 82/1 186/16 187/21 187/24 Bishop Jones [1] breach [1] 86/14 because: well [1] 86/11 90/22 112/9 188/8 189/8 190/15 172/8 break [6] 47/18 47/24 184/6 117/11 120/18 136/19 190/19 191/10 192/8 bit [20] 18/15 29/2 95/7 95/17 142/9 become [20] 18/20 33/1 42/5 48/24 90/4 139/4 151/8 155/11 192/23 199/19 201/5 142/14 21/10 36/4 36/5 36/25 157/22 201/23 92/3 98/20 99/4 breakdown [1] 91/13 47/11 55/12 60/16 beings [2] 40/17 65/1 101/21 110/15 111/18 breaking [2] 95/3 began [1] 134/1 63/6 70/22 77/16 begin [7] 1/16 4/20 belief [1] 4/7 112/12 113/17 125/13 158/3 78/10 86/7 88/1 113/8 130/25 146/20 165/21 breathes [1] 72/11 68/24 76/5 111/25 believe [19] 31/20 135/24 146/20 155/9 124/22 170/23 41/8 47/6 58/23 59/5 190/16 199/7 **bred [1]** 16/25 177/20 179/3 60/24 68/4 75/14 82/4 bits [1] 127/12 **beginning [8]** 47/5 brief [1] 3/23 becomes [5] 17/10 briefly [4] 18/24 48/2 48/7 60/1 112/9 88/3 97/3 110/8 black [1] 62/21 56/11 96/23 97/22 147/14 152/3 164/13 132/16 141/12 146/11 blame [6] 24/14 48/9 131/10 190/11 160/11 172/15 194/19 24/16 71/5 71/5 141/7 bring [13] 14/21 190/18 becoming [3] 55/20 beginnings [2] 26/25 195/10 157/4 17/19 24/10 26/5 106/5 138/12 believed [1] 124/13 blazened [1] 32/15 63/16 72/14 72/21 27/1 bedrock [1] 68/20 104/12 133/20 139/2 begins [2] 68/24 below [3] 12/3 69/11 bleak [1] 14/7 been [137] 8/2 8/20 185/3 190/1 190/7 170/25 69/22 blockage [1] 92/11 10/8 11/7 13/20 17/4 begun [2] 60/8 79/16 **Blood** [1] 3/12 beneficial [1] 150/4 bringing [3] 135/3 20/1 25/22 27/23 29/1 behalf [3] 142/22 benefit [5] 20/3 28/7 **bluntly [3]** 154/6 140/3 180/6 29/6 35/13 38/16 150/23 175/18 62/9 133/3 176/13 178/15 193/14 brings [3] 105/17 39/25 40/3 44/19 141/12 167/20 behave [4] 74/4 76/4 benefits [1] 19/23 **board [29]** 9/7 13/9 44/23 45/11 45/17 94/4 157/9 16/20 17/10 18/15 Benneyworth's [1] **Bristol [8]** 2/13 86/11 48/14 49/14 52/11 103/7 26/4 26/14 27/14 123/11 123/17 123/21 behaving [2] 72/20 52/12 52/13 55/10 178/12 bereaved [4] 45/23 58/18 59/4 69/7 69/7 127/8 179/15 184/18 55/15 57/21 60/7 60/7 behaviour [11] 8/15 61/13 123/8 172/5 69/13 78/4 78/8 80/18 Bristol and [1] 61/3 61/4 61/5 62/1 35/18 74/2 91/21 83/14 83/15 84/12 best [11] 4/6 28/24 184/18 66/11 66/24 68/12 34/16 36/1 45/12 75/5 176/9 176/10 178/9 86/5 158/24 159/1 British [1] 38/1 68/14 68/14 76/18 183/21 184/3 184/11 102/11 113/11 154/3 159/1 159/9 159/14 **British Association** 78/20 80/11 81/3 82/3 194/9 166/12 171/18 169/16 183/7 183/8 **[1]** 38/1 84/7 84/7 84/20 85/5 **behaviours [4]** 139/8 **better [18]** 10/6 190/7 broad [1] 71/14 85/6 91/12 91/17 92/4 boardroom [1] 155/1 155/8 177/1 23/22 27/8 32/20 broadcast [1] 110/1 94/21 96/5 96/11 33/19 33/20 36/12 behest [1] 156/6 140/25 broader [1] 43/1 97/10 99/1 99/20 behind [12] 17/18 39/25 59/10 64/19 boards [4] 14/2 26/1 broadly [2] 8/11 106/8 108/1 109/20 24/10 26/3 35/19 88/1 99/6 113/20 58/16 78/13 30/10 111/13 111/16 111/16 128/7 128/8 140/2 73/13 88/10 88/11 brought [5] 17/2 20/8 boast [1] 163/2 111/18 111/24 113/6 88/14 105/16 111/23 bodies [15] 6/6 6/9 64/16 123/7 123/8 140/8 182/21 114/15 114/18 114/18 148/12 149/6 between [25] 15/10 6/14 6/18 20/23 23/7 **brown [1]** 180/18 114/25 115/6 115/7 being [98] 9/24 9/24 17/20 20/7 37/3 42/22 23/14 26/19 66/5 budget [5] 27/2 27/16 115/25 116/11 116/13 17/20 20/8 24/25 25/7 42/23 66/20 81/8 82/4 134/3 140/3 143/1 122/12 141/25 157/17 116/15 117/10 117/24 27/12 33/20 33/21 91/6 91/14 122/6 145/17 171/16 197/22 budgets [1] 26/7 118/21 121/6 121/11 35/24 39/3 39/24 124/8 143/1 148/7 **body [7]** 12/14 24/6 **building [1]** 68/19 121/21 121/24 125/18 46/11 52/15 52/20 151/17 151/19 151/23 25/7 27/24 28/2 28/5 **bullet [1]** 145/9 127/5 128/18 133/23 52/21 55/22 57/14 153/5 153/8 159/22 85/14 **bullying [2]** 133/3 148/8 148/10 151/8 57/25 58/2 58/7 64/25 164/3 166/9 195/21 **Bolton [1]** 179/21 182/1 154/14 154/21 155/4 66/8 67/2 69/6 70/17 199/9 boring [1] 74/7 **bumped [1]** 160/5 155/6 155/7 155/12 76/16 77/5 79/20 80/2 beyond [1] 144/15 **boringly [1]** 74/8 burden [3] 8/4 8/8 155/19 155/22 156/2 big [7] 10/1 29/1 80/7 80/10 82/20 born [1] 128/18 88/17 157/25 162/25 163/7 83/10 86/11 86/22 69/11 134/5 137/14 both [17] 2/18 26/8 **burdens [1]** 89/16 164/7 164/7 165/3 87/1 92/8 93/23 98/4 193/20 194/25 51/19 53/3 75/10 burdensome [1] 165/6 165/10 165/14 107/22 110/15 111/10 bigger [2] 92/23 84/13 89/21 110/17 113/19 165/16 165/25 165/25 111/19 112/2 115/4 178/19 124/11 154/18 155/8 bureaucratic [7] 8/8 166/2 167/19 168/18 117/12 122/13 123/23 **Bill [2]** 171/11 172/8 159/10 161/16 163/2 47/11 55/20 112/7 169/14 172/24 172/25 112/8 113/19 174/22 123/23 124/3 124/9 **Bill Kirkup [2]** 171/11 171/11 172/8 188/15 174/14 175/4 175/21 127/3 128/16 128/22 172/8 bother [2] 105/15 bureaucrats [1] 176/25 177/11 179/15 133/11 141/5 150/14 **billion [1]** 9/4 114/22 70/10 180/2 181/1 185/3 151/25 152/16 152/20 binding [1] 184/9 **bottom [3]** 26/20 **business** [10] 15/18 186/7 187/6 193/12 153/24 159/6 160/2 Birmingham [1] 27/9 141/13 19/4 33/14 34/12 194/10 196/19 198/7 box [9] 1/8 26/20 164/22 165/19 166/4 32/18 77/10 93/10 141/16 198/9 198/11 198/17 167/23 169/22 169/25 26/21 27/9 62/17 63/9 161/17 191/14 191/14 **birth [3]** 37/14 37/15 199/15 200/9 170/3 170/3 172/12 167/7 78/23 132/12 173/17 businesses [1]

176/12 178/15 181/4 112/16 113/14 117/7 105/24 107/15 116/23 В cardio [1] 124/2 182/18 185/15 185/25 cardiologist [1] 161/3 140/2 141/11 148/4 businesses... [1] 187/18 188/3 188/12 106/6 causes [3] 29/20 156/21 157/6 157/21 158/21 care [53] 5/4 5/6 6/22 188/22 189/6 189/7 121/17 122/16 163/22 171/20 174/17 busy [5] 7/20 34/2 189/11 189/14 190/21 7/7 11/19 13/9 16/15 caution [1] 197/8 174/19 176/8 188/19 62/17 100/10 116/17 190/21 190/22 191/3 17/21 18/2 18/4 20/16 caveat [1] 122/22 changed [5] 20/22 but [434] 192/16 193/4 193/7 21/10 22/7 23/19 83/25 98/11 183/20 CCGs [2] 22/12 **button [1]** 161/4 194/16 195/12 196/23 25/14 25/16 25/20 25/25 187/10 197/9 197/19 198/4 26/1 26/2 26/4 26/6 celebrate [1] 94/20 changes [7] 25/21 198/12 26/8 26/14 26/16 60/1 67/19 89/14 celebrating [1] 45/4 Cabinet [1] 3/10 can't [29] 12/23 26/23 27/1 27/14 37/4 102/7 164/25 189/7 central [1] 25/7 call [11] 24/14 98/3 22/15 37/1 37/2 39/12 37/12 37/18 37/24 centralisation [1] changing [3] 23/18 133/10 150/1 173/2 39/12 39/14 40/22 38/13 40/16 43/3 149/24 98/5 99/2 173/13 177/12 182/16 68/1 74/15 89/4 54/21 61/19 66/6 centralised [5] **chapter [2]** 143/4 182/16 195/10 196/11 100/20 103/15 109/24 79/16 94/16 107/22 147/13 148/9 148/15 143/5 called [13] 1/22 5/3 109/25 112/22 112/24 110/10 129/9 152/16 149/11 149/17 **character** [1] 177/21 5/23 22/12 23/22 117/2 140/1 144/15 167/1 167/2 167/16 centrally [4] 24/8 characteristics [1] 45/24 49/5 49/7 55/1 154/24 158/6 160/11 167/19 168/3 193/5 131/24 149/20 154/23 161/12 59/22 77/9 91/20 161/23 161/24 166/7 195/11 195/15 201/9 centre [12] 9/9 11/15 charge [2] 69/14 172/3 189/13 189/13 190/12 201/12 12/12 12/22 19/5 71/23 calls [1] 124/3 canary [1] 190/17 career [6] 1/18 41/25 23/11 28/22 29/9 31/7 **charged [1]** 98/12 came [5] 16/7 29/9 cancer [1] 20/7 138/17 154/17 167/17 140/23 141/4 148/6 check [3] 63/21 48/10 48/10 62/20 candid [13] 73/2 88/5 191/17 century [1] 164/13 67/21 136/19 can [162] 8/10 8/16 113/11 115/4 154/14 carefully [3] 10/15 certain [11] 15/17 checking [1] 85/3 9/24 10/24 15/20 19/5 155/10 156/4 159/24 101/17 132/9 36/9 48/13 66/17 **checklist [2]** 131/4 23/9 23/20 24/21 28/5 163/24 165/15 166/4 100/16 118/2 118/3 caring [4] 115/16 192/12 28/6 28/23 30/6 32/1 131/6 187/9 191/25 169/22 170/1 118/12 189/9 189/10 **Checklists** [1] 131/5 33/3 33/8 34/9 34/10 candidates [5] 15/11 carried [2] 119/17 199/23 chemist [1] 5/21 34/16 34/18 36/24 87/19 87/20 139/3 119/20 certainly [23] 15/20 Chester [6] 16/3 21/5 37/4 38/9 40/13 40/14 139/23 47/16 58/19 59/21 59/15 100/9 142/22 carries [1] 172/20 43/24 45/18 48/9 70/21 79/19 89/1 91/2 193/16 candle [1] 179/2 carry [1] 39/5 48/19 49/20 50/12 candour [75] 50/6 carrying [1] 130/20 92/6 95/13 111/20 chief [60] 9/3 9/21 55/13 56/5 58/9 59/3 50/15 52/7 52/15 122/20 123/18 127/24 10/5 10/7 13/1 13/2 Carver [1] 186/12 59/17 60/24 61/1 13/13 13/17 13/19 72/24 73/8 84/6 111/3 case [36] 12/13 15/8 132/16 139/23 155/4 62/10 63/11 63/12 165/13 171/22 174/19 111/10 111/15 112/12 19/3 19/4 36/2 36/9 14/1 14/9 15/15 29/8 64/5 64/22 65/15 66/5 112/21 114/9 114/14 43/5 58/24 67/15 177/25 189/5 189/23 60/10 60/13 61/6 61/9 68/2 68/5 69/4 69/6 61/20 69/8 73/2 73/4 114/17 115/2 126/10 74/23 85/14 88/22 certificate [2] 45/16 69/17 70/13 71/8 126/12 150/24 151/5 96/9 97/7 100/22 45/20 74/11 78/7 78/15 80/6 71/18 71/25 72/1 74/8 151/18 151/22 153/7 101/8 105/8 112/15 80/9 80/24 82/6 82/9 certification [5] 74/18 75/5 75/15 76/4 153/14 153/18 153/21 86/20 88/12 119/25 82/22 83/2 83/5 83/5 113/4 113/21 119/7 77/21 78/14 79/7 154/12 154/20 155/4 121/25 122/21 126/11 120/4 121/17 83/17 84/24 85/7 86/8 85/22 85/24 86/9 155/6 155/13 155/14 173/20 176/17 180/10 chain [1] 12/17 86/10 86/13 87/1 86/24 88/7 88/9 92/14 155/17 155/24 158/20 180/11 184/7 184/9 chair [12] 2/17 3/14 89/17 89/21 90/2 93/6 92/20 92/22 95/3 159/17 159/22 162/20 185/15 186/15 193/11 41/8 64/9 78/5 78/16 100/10 100/17 124/11 98/17 98/23 99/6 99/7 162/24 162/24 163/6 193/15 194/14 198/9 80/7 80/9 83/14 84/23 137/3 137/6 138/6 99/19 100/4 100/5 138/12 141/7 142/5 163/23 164/3 164/22 case-specific [1] 85/1 85/7 105/21 106/1 106/2 165/4 165/11 165/19 169/17 169/21 183/4 chairing [1] 2/17 105/8 108/23 110/1 110/14 184/3 186/24 187/23 165/23 165/24 166/19 cases [20] 46/18 **challenge** [11] 7/23 110/25 113/7 116/5 166/20 166/25 168/17 67/14 85/5 85/22 34/2 75/10 96/8 99/14 193/11 116/10 118/4 118/5 169/10 169/17 170/13 93/25 117/10 118/3 100/2 103/23 106/12 chief executive [37] 119/8 119/11 121/3 170/19 170/23 171/10 133/15 165/18 170/11 144/19 188/23 189/1 9/3 9/21 13/1 13/13 121/19 121/20 125/5 171/14 171/17 172/2 176/18 177/6 177/10 **challenges** [6] 19/12 13/17 60/10 60/13 125/11 125/16 125/23 172/2 172/11 172/18 178/25 179/1 181/1 30/9 99/11 104/14 61/6 61/9 61/20 69/8 128/5 129/17 130/5 173/7 173/18 173/25 183/16 184/13 184/24 168/23 198/3 73/2 74/11 78/15 80/6 130/20 131/2 131/22 174/2 174/7 174/11 189/22 challenging [4] 20/5 80/9 80/24 82/6 82/9 131/24 132/13 132/23 174/17 186/15 198/21 casualty [1] 92/16 52/2 90/19 125/15 82/22 83/5 83/5 83/17 133/4 134/25 136/19 200/8 84/24 86/10 86/13 categories [1] 58/22 champions [1] 138/3 140/4 140/5 cannot [4] 39/2 72/21 categorisation [2] 182/17 89/21 90/2 100/10 143/11 143/17 145/19 58/21 124/9 chance [1] 103/2 137/6 141/7 169/17 151/10 167/3 147/10 147/20 148/24 capable [5] 24/25 change [30] 12/3 169/21 183/4 184/3 cause [10] 8/16 153/7 154/1 156/10 18/18 28/10 29/2 31/1 187/23 193/11 126/23 127/2 135/23 45/16 45/20 92/8 159/21 161/7 161/12 186/8 115/17 117/6 120/3 58/2 86/1 89/9 89/10 child [16] 3/4 43/5 166/15 167/13 169/21 199/15 200/5 200/23 89/18 94/22 99/13 43/11 67/2 130/17 car [1] 60/23 170/9 173/9 175/24 cardiac [1] 179/22 caused [5] 92/12 99/24 104/18 105/13 149/2 150/6 166/13

C 101/14 105/6 109/11 96/20 clinician [1] 143/17 communities [3] **clinicians** [1] 17/3 110/6 122/11 126/15 23/19 101/12 101/12 complex [4] 10/11 child... [8] 166/14 close [1] 40/21 128/3 134/5 138/13 **community [5]** 19/7 70/25 75/12 93/17 167/14 168/18 196/22 closed [1] 73/13 138/16 144/23 147/3 43/8 47/6 56/6 141/22 **complexity** [3] 23/16 199/16 200/4 201/5 closely [3] 43/15 147/10 154/20 156/7 **companies** [1] 90/7 138/14 140/6 201/6 91/5 109/19 160/18 163/11 170/11 company [5] 9/21 compliance [5] 38/6 Childhood [1] 118/25 comes [12] 5/11 22/1 18/16 93/7 137/8 62/6 111/14 111/22 closing [1] 38/21 children [11] 42/8 26/23 27/17 28/18 126/25 Clothier [1] 115/13 137/12 43/14 115/16 118/12 comparable [2] complicated [11] club [1] 124/6 52/20 76/4 100/7 118/20 118/24 166/7 Clwyd [2] 59/25 62/7 105/4 140/22 146/25 18/16 149/5 7/20 15/4 18/7 18/7 166/18 169/4 169/4 Clwyd Hart [1] 59/25 174/13 comparative [1] 26/10 70/15 71/7 201/11 co [5] 11/17 43/24 112/24 154/1 166/10 comfort [3] 100/25 56/22 children's [3] 2/14 136/4 143/1 145/14 123/8 178/21 166/17 comparing [1] 196/5 196/8 co-ordinate [1] 136/4 149/20 **coming [13]** 5/15 complications [3] chilling [1] 87/18 co-ordination [4] 27/10 46/22 60/23 compassion [4] 46/6 116/19 116/20 124/21 chips [1] 33/2 11/17 43/24 143/1 89/2 99/24 111/24 148/21 166/21 167/19 complied [2] 111/10 choice [6] 15/10 18/1 compassionate [2] 132/3 141/25 142/4 145/14 167/10 18/1 18/4 34/5 39/6 **complying [1]** 64/11 **coalface [1]** 143/18 149/5 162/21 183/18 64/8 189/10 **choices [1]** 152/6 Code [3] 64/2 64/15 comment [2] 87/16 compensation [2] comprehensively [1] choose [1] 25/11 86/14 136/25 147/20 3/11 3/15 circle [1] 39/13 codes [3] 7/16 commenting [1] competence [1] comprised [1] 3/25 circumscribed [1] 134/12 134/21 193/15 139/7 compromise [3] 132/9 145/19 146/3 177/1 coffee [1] 78/15 **comments** [3] 108/2 competencies [1] circumstances [12] cohort [1] 137/24 147/13 172/7 15/5 compromised [1] 15/13 24/17 34/16 coin [1] 14/25 commercial [1] competing [2] 20/6 200/2 87/18 90/20 110/23 coincide [1] 166/14 90/11 90/6 compromising [1] 116/2 120/14 168/24 195/15 coincidentally [1] commission [18] competition [4] 170/22 192/19 201/4 13/14 6/22 6/23 11/19 13/9 17/19 17/20 17/25 compulsory [1] cite [2] 10/22 147/21 19/8 20/17 20/21 21/9 76/23 77/15 collaborate [2] civility [1] 74/2 136/14 136/14 21/10 21/11 21/24 competitive [1] 90/6 **concealed** [1] 198/7 clarity [2] 32/8 33/1 collaborating [1] 22/8 79/16 94/17 competitors [1] concentrate [1] class [2] 17/6 22/1 136/13 106/22 193/6 195/12 90/10 62/17 classes [1] 87/7 195/16 complain [4] 54/8 collaboration [4] concentrates [1] classic [1] 119/2 83/19 83/20 142/25 commissioned [3] 57/5 57/11 65/16 52/22 classically [1] 51/24 145/14 3/10 19/6 49/4 complainant [3] 57/9 concept [10] 18/14 **clause [1]** 173/5 commissioner [2] 66/15 66/23 22/2 32/23 34/24 42/6 collaborative [1] clause 1 [1] 173/5 67/6 19/10 136/1 complainants [2] 43/1 52/6 142/25 clear [12] 49/6 50/8 Commissioners [1] 65/9 66/13 155/25 163/23 **colleague [2]** 130/3 104/4 129/15 129/19 126/22 178/7 complained [2] 82/18 concepts [3] 42/22 130/4 130/8 130/24 178/18 44/1 151/6 colleagues [7] 48/17 commissioning [12] 131/1 146/8 146/9 73/11 74/1 86/5 89/12 12/14 18/7 19/2 22/1 complaining [7] concern [32] 35/8 146/16 89/13 92/18 22/4 22/11 22/12 63/14 65/5 91/1 91/18 46/3 55/2 55/7 55/15 clearer [2] 55/12 25/24 29/14 66/5 66/6 91/22 92/3 92/7 93/9 93/10 93/12 collect [2] 128/24 146/21 110/4 110/7 110/8 150/7 102/9 **complaint [26]** 8/2 clearly [22] 11/15 collected [3] 58/14 committed [1] 35/13 52/13 52/18 53/24 130/9 132/11 160/16 23/10 33/10 40/9 42/8 176/22 177/11 177/16 128/22 183/15 **committee [5]** 3/15 54/2 54/21 55/9 55/14 43/10 43/12 43/24 73/15 97/1 97/9 129/3 55/18 56/17 61/3 62/1 178/6 182/19 183/24 collecting [2] 8/8 44/15 88/15 94/5 190/1 191/21 192/2 64/13 Committees [1] 3/8 62/24 63/4 63/9 63/12 144/11 147/3 166/25 **committing** [1] 130/3 collection [2] 126/20 63/13 63/23 65/14 192/8 193/12 193/21 167/2 176/6 183/2 65/17 65/20 66/8 193/21 195/2 198/20 128/2 common [9] 8/2 9/2 189/24 190/4 196/19 collective [1] 140/3 31/15 31/17 31/25 66/15 67/4 68/6 68/14 198/22 199/4 199/15 197/8 198/21 college [8] 32/17 44/21 78/4 134/16 **complaints [33]** 53/3 concerned [9] 25/4 clients [1] 151/10 45/3 121/24 138/23 141/23 54/13 54/16 54/17 44/14 49/1 112/22 **Clifford [1]** 129/5 139/16 143/13 144/22 commonly [2] 6/1 132/13 160/17 180/25 55/15 56/3 56/6 56/23 Clifford Ayling [1] 150/7 32/13 57/18 57/25 58/4 58/5 185/9 198/1 129/5 colleges [3] 3/18 Commons [1] 97/1 58/11 58/13 58/19 concerning [1] clinical [19] 2/8 89/2 139/1 58/21 59/5 60/11 communicate [4] 146/23 20/20 22/12 25/23 combining [1] 22/7 74/6 74/12 93/1 93/4 60/23 61/1 61/22 62/9 concerns [37] 7/12 29/14 56/9 64/4 70/23 come [38] 1/7 9/10 63/20 64/8 64/10 45/24 46/12 48/15 communication [8] 78/8 85/18 86/4 86/16 10/19 15/17 15/20 27/20 45/22 91/7 64/14 65/2 65/24 66/3 48/18 53/2 54/16 89/3 115/18 119/2 91/13 92/21 93/15 21/7 29/4 30/25 35/3 68/18 87/24 127/3 54/18 64/25 81/16 132/10 133/7 148/16 42/11 46/3 50/3 62/19 109/15 110/2 171/21 92/2 92/4 92/15 94/18 149/8 69/15 74/5 89/7 89/14 109/4 110/14 114/13 communications [2] **complete [1]** 60/20 clinically [1] 113/10 90/8 91/24 95/14 101/2 126/4 **completely [2]** 61/13 123/10 131/22 131/23

C 159/22 47/9 47/21 56/2 58/1 171/4 177/9 182/5 continuing [1] cons [1] 87/5 110/19 58/6 61/4 65/19 66/4 183/14 200/21 concerns... [17] **conscious [5]** 34/6 continuity [1] 10/11 70/4 76/19 77/11 courses [1] 138/3 132/10 146/6 153/12 court [3] 2/1 2/3 28/7 65/7 143/7 143/9 continuous [2] 28/10 80/24 81/16 81/18 176/5 176/10 176/19 152/10 99/13 81/23 82/17 83/2 courts [1] 114/22 178/3 179/21 181/7 89/25 90/16 91/11 cover [5] 1/20 81/6 consciously [1] continuum [1] 181/25 182/5 186/10 151/25 94/17 95/7 96/7 99/5 101/6 175/25 177/18 106/15 190/7 192/13 197/3 100/11 102/2 102/4 consensual [1] 175/7 contract [1] 125/22 covered [3] 68/19 198/6 200/18 contradictory [1] consensus [1] 102/21 105/12 108/19 115/8 119/13 conclude [2] 126/16 141/23 106/1 108/24 116/11 117/4 covers [1] 146/16 131/18 consent [3] 44/21 contradicts [1] 145/1 123/14 132/8 133/19 **CQC [20]** 66/2 80/19 concluding [1] 152/6 165/20 135/11 135/17 136/8 81/15 83/7 84/14 contrary [1] 86/9 197/18 consequence [3] **contribute [1]** 201/2 138/11 144/6 150/1 88/16 89/6 108/11 conclusion [3] 38/24 80/22 161/10 150/25 151/7 152/5 108/13 108/19 108/24 contributed [1] 169/11 170/24 172/21 160/3 160/22 163/21 consequences [9] 172/10 109/2 109/5 110/11 conclusions [2] 54/6 9/1 9/22 13/22 36/13 contribution [1] 168/21 174/14 176/13 111/11 128/12 143/13 197/19 38/18 130/6 190/2 177/9 181/19 186/19 185/13 186/25 190/23 202/1 condensed [2] 145/5 186/20 189/5 190/1 190/3 197/9 control [6] 8/11 **CQC's [1]** 111/13 150/9 consider [14] 4/16 12/15 12/16 27/14 200/1 create [5] 22/7 31/3 conduct [14] 2/25 28/13 159/13 10/7 30/2 30/8 31/6 couldn't [6] 103/8 32/18 74/6 104/25 7/16 64/2 66/3 66/7 controlling [1] 27/16 103/8 111/19 112/19 41/22 53/11 56/13 create what [1] 86/12 86/14 129/5 63/25 69/6 96/4 99/7 controversial [1] 116/23 158/5 104/25 134/12 134/19 134/21 102/23 120/11 135/19 Council [7] 6/20 6/21 creating [1] 141/15 135/6 135/22 191/22 considerable [2] controversially [1] 7/15 7/18 85/13 86/12 creation [1] 25/13 **conducted** [1] 48/8 31/21 57/23 124/12 crime [3] 35/14 130/4 127/15 conferences [2] convenient [3] 47/15 Counsel [1] 1/24 133/1 considerably [1] 30/20 30/24 95/2 95/12 167/8 criminal [9] 129/2 counselling [1] confess [2] 97/14 consideration [2] conversation [3] 187/12 129/10 129/13 129/18 124/11 counterargument [1] 152/15 156/14 12/20 110/19 152/11 129/22 132/11 132/13 confidence [2] **considered [3]** 38/12 199/10 200/1 conversations [1] 87/16 179/10 188/15 120/3 167/9 12/18 counterarguments **crisis [2]** 7/21 131/5 confidences [1] considering [2] coordinate [1] **[1]** 87/17 criteria [1] 148/17 158/3 critical [2] 90/8 91/2 77/20 91/6 135/15 counterproductive confident [4] 65/3 consolidate [3] criticise [2] 23/9 coordinated [3] **[1]** 199/23 78/6 79/3 163/8 126/20 134/9 136/6 104/12 134/25 135/2 Countess [8] 16/3 101/16 confidential [7] constant [9] 11/12 21/5 39/21 59/15 criticism [3] 102/10 cope [1] 14/10 24/22 94/11 125/23 59/18 100/9 142/22 12/18 12/20 14/19 coping [1] 107/20 105/2 156/13 126/14 131/21 132/3 29/12 61/1 82/6 91/18 Core [2] 48/3 136/18 193/16 **crop [1]** 86/18 132/4 **Cornwall [3]** 100/10 148/5 **country [5]** 15/16 cropped [1] 42/4 confidentiality [4] 18/3 144/10 160/24 **constantly** [1] 37/22 100/11 106/5 **cropping [1]** 133/17 51/4 67/14 67/16 Constitution [5] Coroner [8] 46/11 180/23 Crown [1] 2/1 67/22 46/21 119/21 119/21 31/11 53/16 53/22 couple [4] 1/20 25/13 cultural [8] 31/16 confidentially [3] 54/12 61/25 119/23 120/7 122/8 136/23 176/3 34/11 41/6 148/3 132/14 132/23 191/4 148/12 148/22 160/1 constrained [1] 9/15 196/22 courage [3] 72/19 confirmation [2] 72/21 72/24 **consultant [5]** 72/20 Coroner's [1] 120/4 181/6 25/21 54/7 123/24 160/15 160/21 course [68] 3/20 5/4 **culturally [1]** 157/10 **Coroners [5]** 44/18 conflict [3] 183/2 179/18 45/10 46/18 119/18 9/12 10/10 10/17 **culture [71]** 3/4 11/4 189/25 190/4 consultation [1] 121/10 13/14 13/19 14/8 11/9 14/19 28/17 conflicted [1] 178/6 120/5 corporate [1] 32/19 16/22 17/24 18/2 21/7 28/20 30/2 30/9 30/15 conflicting [1] 7/13 25/7 34/4 37/25 39/7 30/16 31/1 31/3 31/5 contact [3] 109/12 correct [9] 4/2 5/25 **confront** [2] 72/19 110/22 194/20 16/10 24/2 83/22 40/8 40/11 41/2 41/13 32/1 33/5 33/6 33/10 181/3 contained [1] 162/25 103/13 114/4 156/3 41/25 42/17 48/4 48/7 33/11 33/25 34/22 confusion [2] 20/23 34/25 35/1 35/2 35/2 178/18 51/3 51/19 52/24 58/4 content [2] 58/20 33/1 60/9 70/12 71/4 71/24 correctly [4] 16/23 36/6 36/6 92/12 92/13 61/11 congratulate [2] 73/14 73/19 73/21 92/19 92/19 99/2 **contents** [1] 4/6 23/10 45/17 137/15 78/16 93/20 context [6] 36/19 corridor [1] 160/5 74/17 75/15 80/16 99/24 104/6 105/9 congratulated [1] 104/19 107/4 108/8 80/21 85/22 89/6 90/2 105/13 119/9 124/7 corruption [1] 91/17 94/2 140/22 140/24 141/8 166/2 167/9 cost [3] 19/15 39/4 95/23 102/10 102/20 congratulatory [1] contexts [1] 14/24 104/14 115/12 124/24 148/12 148/13 149/6 87/9 79/10 continual [1] 152/9 costs [1] 19/23 125/9 133/22 134/23 149/7 153/3 153/4 conjunction [1] 137/19 155/12 157/12 153/16 153/17 154/9 continually [3] 11/21 could [70] 1/13 7/1 64/16 14/3 123/6 7/9 15/14 25/9 27/6 159/4 161/1 161/16 155/16 155/25 156/2 connected [1] 23/8 29/6 31/14 35/22 161/20 162/5 167/17 156/10 156/12 157/7 continue [1] 85/17 connection [1] **continues** [1] 141/3 36/11 36/17 44/12 168/23 169/1 170/23 157/7 161/2 162/6

(58) concerns... - culture

C 115/3 22/22 23/2 23/4 23/9 dealing [19] 37/14 depot [1] 161/22 47/3 48/21 50/9 54/23 defensiveness [1] **Deputy [1]** 2/3 26/12 26/16 27/6 culture... [13] 162/13 69/10 74/3 91/20 156/14 **derive [1]** 106/18 diagrammatic [1] 162/15 162/18 163/19 107/18 107/19 108/8 define [2] 162/15 derived [1] 127/3 23/5 164/4 181/25 185/10 111/3 129/24 146/1 162/18 descends [1] 134/23 diagrammatically [1] 185/24 186/1 187/2 163/17 183/16 183/17 defined [4] 26/6 describe [3] 24/23 27/7 188/25 189/3 190/14 197/11 201/13 50/17 173/25 174/2 57/3 106/21 dialogue [5] 152/9 cultured [1] 63/2 deals [3] 95/24 definite [1] 159/25 described [11] 5/7 153/5 153/8 168/23 **cup [1]** 78/15 135/10 135/18 definitely [5] 8/1 57/2 57/2 79/9 81/3 82/24 168/24 cure [2] 39/25 107/16 dealt [23] 8/17 37/11 170/24 189/22 200/4 137/23 137/23 140/23 did [46] 2/7 2/8 2/11 **curiosity** [3] 34/8 40/16 53/12 54/24 definition [9] 33/13 159/4 172/13 195/6 2/12 2/16 2/25 3/2 116/6 119/3 50/11 50/13 51/6 52/8 describes [1] 105/1 55/6 55/15 58/2 59/24 6/17 18/25 44/24 49/2 curious [1] 116/16 60/24 61/2 62/2 72/25 69/1 69/15 129/21 describing [1] 11/7 49/10 49/19 59/21 **current [5]** 80/15 80/11 90/23 115/12 187/9 61/25 67/21 79/3 description [1] 32/1 142/3 144/5 146/9 135/5 135/7 148/22 definitions [1] deserted [1] 110/15 80/18 81/15 81/23 184/13 159/1 173/22 174/19 162/22 deserve [3] 42/9 97/5 97/7 97/11 97/15 currently [8] 3/14 97/16 101/5 102/6 181/2 degree [3] 72/21 110/16 172/8 15/15 85/24 87/14 death [26] 37/4 43/11 144/7 168/6 designed [4] 17/15 103/15 103/19 103/21 119/17 127/14 145/17 58/12 62/24 76/5 45/8 45/14 45/16 103/24 105/10 113/2 delay [2] 120/20 173/25 45/17 45/19 45/20 121/9 124/13 143/24 123/2 **desirable [1]** 44/16 customer [2] 19/8 46/20 46/22 64/5 153/20 156/21 163/18 delayed [1] 122/1 desperate [2] 178/11 19/20 116/24 116/25 118/24 **deliberate [1]** 92/13 178/16 171/7 179/9 180/5 CV [1] 1/18 119/25 120/3 121/18 delicate [1] 94/5 desperately [1] 37/3 180/9 180/22 181/12 cycle [1] 39/11 122/16 130/17 146/11 deliver [3] 14/11 187/4 despite [5] 12/9 149/2 150/6 196/8 14/12 177/6 14/12 41/24 123/23 didn't [12] 13/11 D 196/22 199/15 200/5 154/21 29/10 39/17 49/7 delivered [2] 152/16 Dame [5] 44/17 detail [12] 15/22 81/19 88/24 124/4 **deaths [15]** 46/10 158/2 107/11 119/14 121/9 46/14 47/3 118/21 delivering [3] 7/7 32/12 48/20 49/20 143/25 154/8 178/1 122/23 118/23 119/23 120/2 9/15 11/25 50/8 58/24 59/6 64/1 180/13 183/13 danger [2] 106/20 delivery [2] 5/5 23/18 died [4] 128/4 128/4 120/5 120/22 120/24 67/11 95/24 123/13 136/9 123/19 124/16 146/17 delve [2] 97/25 134/14 168/20 200/4 dangerous [4] 35/15 146/21 196/5 128/12 detailed [2] 118/6 differ [1] 127/22 36/3 36/5 96/17 debate [6] 38/16 87/3 demand [3] 9/16 201/23 difference [3] 37/3 dangers [1] 35/6 87/8 88/15 89/8 11/12 89/10 details [1] 68/1 42/21 166/19 dare [1] 100/8 differences [1] 138/15 demanding [6] 34/16 detect [2] 46/21 data [9] 8/8 51/4 75/14 77/8 167/11 162/10 deceased [5] 45/23 88/21 56/20 128/2 128/5 46/2 120/5 120/13 168/20 182/24 determined [1] different [75] 5/13 128/6 128/21 128/21 199/5 demands [3] 11/18 189/11 5/17 5/18 5/19 5/20 128/24 decent [2] 107/22 20/6 167/20 5/22 6/25 8/7 8/7 8/7 deterred [1] 87/20 date [6] 4/8 16/4 8/13 11/18 11/23 13/7 107/23 demonisation [1] deterrent [1] 186/1 22/16 22/17 27/23 decide [7] 19/5 33/22 180/8 deterring [1] 112/2 17/21 19/5 26/15 63/17 84/23 105/25 109/5 demonstrate [1] **detriment [1]** 110/13 29/19 30/22 32/6 42/1 dated [2] 4/4 129/11 123/3 196/25 44/2 45/9 50/16 69/5 92/24 detriments [1] dates [1] 25/13 75/25 78/8 80/16 decided [2] 13/5 demonstrated [2] 178/11 day [7] 30/23 40/15 develop [9] 14/23 82/14 82/15 82/24 198/10 103/23 123/7 97/19 145/8 160/25 decides [1] 85/16 83/23 88/14 88/18 denial [1] 73/7 15/5 35/6 46/15 175/21 180/25 denies [1] 73/4 deciding [3] 85/21 124/19 134/16 138/21 97/8 98/10 98/12 days [4] 6/22 53/25 104/7 119/25 deny [1] 41/6 189/16 201/25 98/14 98/15 100/21 71/24 128/16 decision [8] 2/10 depart [1] 13/13 **developed [6]** 57/13 101/5 101/11 101/12 de [7] 1/3 1/10 47/17 144/25 145/7 149/11 106/9 112/2 112/4 department [20] 5/13 101/19 102/20 105/24 95/6 142/24 151/1 184/6 184/9 197/22 11/20 22/22 23/4 117/23 156/10 116/23 121/2 128/18 203/4 197/25 26/19 27/12 31/19 developing [1] 47/2 132/6 133/18 134/12 deal [38] 25/9 30/3 68/10 75/3 92/17 134/15 134/21 134/21 decision-making [4] development [5] 34/11 35/17 40/15 2/10 149/11 197/22 123/21 127/19 128/12 18/6 23/23 77/6 78/21 134/24 135/7 137/11 42/4 44/9 46/14 46/18 197/25 129/8 143/12 144/22 138/19 145/20 145/20 146/2 47/6 48/1 48/19 50/10 decisions [2] 158/11 180/16 180/19 183/5 develops [2] 7/22 148/12 149/6 149/7 54/13 55/23 56/19 149/22 149/24 151/21 166/7 189/14 132/2 59/4 59/14 60/17 64/4 dedicated [1] 14/19 departs [1] 13/3 deviance [1] 156/24 155/7 159/3 159/5 68/23 71/14 76/8 78/9 161/15 161/16 167/18 deemed [1] 47/4 depend [2] 82/16 devices [1] 168/21 81/24 90/21 91/3 95/1 deeply [1] 171/22 devote [1] 95/22 170/16 180/12 197/7 95/11 131/5 135/11 defence [3] 101/23 dependent [1] 81/7 **DHSC [1]** 140/23 differently [2] 134/14 140/5 141/24 141/24 154/22 174/22 diagnosed [1] 176/14 149/23 depending [2] 8/13 145/13 179/11 190/19 defending [1] 184/1 69/9 diagnosis [1] 182/11 difficult [36] 9/25 195/16 **defensive [2]** 114/15 depends [1] 117/22 diagram [8] 5/2 10/17 10/18 20/10

173/2 173/13 173/14 181/20 172/11 173/12 173/18 125/17 176/7 D discourage [1] 170/2 documentation [1] 173/21 175/2 175/8 drawn [1] 115/7 difficult... [32] 34/14 discouraged [1] 76/19 175/11 178/25 180/10 draws [1] 197/16 65/1 84/25 93/24 94/8 92/17 documents [2] 32/7 186/2 186/10 187/14 dreadful [1] 107/12 96/23 97/22 98/6 discovered [1] 76/15 188/20 190/3 191/5 drive [1] 116/16 98/14 98/17 98/23 177/25 does [30] 6/12 19/5 192/24 199/20 200/10 driven [1] 157/12 99/3 99/23 104/20 discrete [1] 10/21 23/15 26/17 26/17 done [53] 11/23 driving [1] 120/25 109/24 117/20 118/7 27/7 32/25 40/25 25/12 35/5 36/1 36/7 discrimination [1] dropped [1] 161/24 125/15 129/16 130/22 181/11 54/19 59/21 61/18 36/8 36/11 39/2 39/3 drops [1] 161/23 138/6 141/11 148/2 62/19 62/25 70/18 39/24 42/5 55/13 discuss [1] 54/2 drugs [1] 20/8 162/21 168/12 170/2 discussing [2] 148/8 75/1 79/3 89/2 89/19 63/10 65/6 68/12 due [5] 21/7 28/22 170/7 170/11 170/22 68/14 72/2 74/8 74/15 48/4 69/17 119/1 94/18 94/19 112/11 152/4 184/25 190/11 191/19 discussion [4] 36/9 128/23 130/4 141/10 79/9 86/17 89/11 during [1] 160/1 difficulties [1] 94/9 152/19 153/16 175/10 142/3 144/24 169/16 97/14 104/12 110/16 **dust [1]** 57/3 **difficulty [3]** 10/10 173/20 185/14 189/20 111/19 113/24 119/9 dismissal [1] 83/17 duties [2] 134/24 11/7 17/24 doesn't [23] 23/12 125/4 125/7 125/16 165/13 dismissed [2] 13/4 digest [1] 100/18 duty [48] 84/6 110/9 30/12 40/19 59/3 67/3 128/7 130/10 152/5 128/5 digesting [1] 150/3 68/15 75/16 84/15 156/18 165/17 167/22 110/9 111/9 111/15 disproportionate [1] dilemma [1] 39/5 89/25 104/3 104/7 168/18 172/9 172/25 111/25 114/14 114/17 107/9 dilemmas [1] 38/20 107/17 115/1 116/22 173/8 174/14 174/15 115/2 126/9 126/12 disqualifying [1] diplomats [1] 189/4 116/22 117/5 130/10 175/12 180/6 186/12 130/13 153/20 155/24 86/25 direct [7] 6/19 27/19 disregarded [1] 133/25 139/10 170/23 187/19 188/19 193/7 156/7 162/24 163/6 82/3 82/25 151/19 124/10 174/15 175/6 194/21 194/12 197/1 198/11 164/22 165/4 165/11 186/17 194/20 disrupts [1] 136/10 doing [55] 9/5 10/2 199/12 165/14 165/24 166/3 directed [1] 118/11 12/22 29/8 29/18 34/9 **door [5]** 29/19 99/25 166/8 166/19 166/25 disseminate [1] directing [1] 29/23 167/9 168/13 169/17 36/13 39/6 39/10 186/25 188/17 193/10 128/25 direction [4] 12/8 43/25 59/10 62/14 170/19 171/10 171/14 disseminated [1] doors [1] 73/14 29/9 121/1 191/23 70/16 72/8 73/22 150/10 dotted [1] 27/18 171/15 171/17 172/1 directions [1] 9/10 dissimilar [1] 23/1 73/25 74/4 77/3 78/6 doubt [5] 42/16 61/15 172/2 172/11 173/14 directly [11] 11/20 88/16 89/15 93/16 77/18 83/3 121/1 173/21 173/24 174/2 dissipated [1] 164/14 16/2 27/11 76/12 distance [1] 28/12 97/12 97/25 107/21 down [31] 1/11 5/12 174/6 174/16 174/17 79/24 109/25 129/23 distinct [1] 151/6 111/12 112/12 113/20 9/10 12/16 23/20 186/15 187/17 198/21 148/19 148/19 166/6 115/2 121/15 124/8 26/13 26/23 27/10 200/8 distinguished [1] 166/11 1/18 128/19 130/6 130/23 27/13 27/17 33/3 director [16] 10/3 Ε 149/19 149/20 149/22 distress [4] 113/15 38/21 56/11 72/7 10/4 16/21 69/14 each [14] 5/15 5/16 177/7 200/23 201/1 149/23 157/19 157/23 128/12 131/15 134/5 70/23 80/5 82/5 84/9 8/12 23/8 48/4 48/5 distressed [2] 159/2 163/5 177/17 134/14 141/25 142/4 87/1 87/2 124/1 183/5 50/11 69/13 78/16 167/25 200/24 179/12 183/18 183/19 142/5 142/6 143/25 184/8 187/24 188/3 90/12 128/12 134/10 148/16 150/2 159/15 186/5 187/10 187/11 distressing [1] 189/13 145/2 150/1 188/20 188/23 189/12 160/4 170/10 181/19 171/22 directors [4] 18/15 earlier [5] 133/20 district [3] 16/9 191/12 196/16 200/22 186/21 190/1 27/19 69/9 69/21 147/20 179/17 192/12 16/20 18/19 domain [3] 68/5 down' [1] 148/2 disadvantage [1] divide [1] 56/11 68/12 198/12 193/25 downgrading [1] 122/14 early [5] 47/1 69/24 **Dixon [7]** 6/12 30/8 don't [87] 4/8 5/1 13/15 disagree [1] 107/10 131/12 138/19 200/1 30/11 140/18 149/18 6/11 8/24 10/14 11/1 **Dr [11]** 41/22 42/12 disagreement [1] 188/5 197/16 14/4 16/6 17/7 32/8 44/4 103/7 122/23 earth [1] 160/13 170/12 easier [7] 11/22 do [215] 34/14 35/5 37/2 42/3 143/10 146/9 147/7 disaster [6] 90/11 32/21 77/15 105/19 doctor [19] 5/16 7/15 46/19 47/11 52/4 173/15 179/21 196/4 106/8 107/1 107/1 118/1 136/4 170/5 16/25 55/8 70/18 52/17 55/7 55/8 56/13 Dr Benneyworth's [1] 115/15 178/19 85/11 86/7 86/14 59/2 60/2 65/10 65/12 easiest [1] 67/23 103/7 disbanded [1] 16/9 easily [3] 65/2 159/23 112/15 112/22 113/2 65/13 67/3 67/10 Dr Garstang [4] discharge [1] 92/16 185/25 113/11 120/2 121/16 67/17 68/6 69/12 143/10 146/9 147/7 discharged [2] 135/5 153/24 157/17 75/19 75/21 78/25 East [3] 172/3 173/16 196/4 128/16 152/2 80/25 84/2 85/24 87/8 Dr Garstang's [1] 173/22 158/25 159/6 disciplinary [2] 88/21 91/4 92/2 92/25 41/22 **East Kent [1]** 173/22 **Doctorate** [1] 3/18 114/23 125/25 **Eastern [1]** 90/13 doctors [19] 6/20 7/9 94/19 96/20 100/10 **Dr Steven [1]** 179/21 discipline [3] 2/9 102/14 102/18 105/21 draft [2] 65/14 7/23 16/15 37/15 Eastern Europe [1] 8/11 98/20 90/13 45/19 70/20 71/4 78/2 106/2 110/18 110/19 112/25 disciplined [1] 35/24 easy [10] 34/13 78/9 80/14 88/3 88/4 118/15 119/15 121/10 drafted [3] 61/9 disciplines [1] 125/2 40/25 71/4 72/6 98/25 122/18 151/23 153/5 121/11 133/8 133/25 173/14 174/6 disclosed [2] 28/6 99/2 99/19 116/17 165/14 177/10 189/17 136/14 137/23 140/7 drafting [1] 101/23 28/7 141/6 153/23 document [8] 31/13 145/24 147/15 148/10 dramatic [1] 201/4 disclosure [7] 25/3 31/18 31/20 53/15 ecosystem [1] 196/1 148/24 153/9 164/14 draw [7] 28/8 31/11 171/18 172/12 172/14 **educated [1]** 136/25 54/10 60/6 162/8 168/9 169/8 170/16 38/4 72/13 107/24

(60) difficult... - educated

122/13 122/17 122/20 72/23 74/18 77/18 Ε event [7] 22/17 45/4 **examiners** [5] 44/7 188/2 190/5 86/7 87/8 94/20 94/21 106/8 132/1 167/7 120/20 120/23 121/5 effect [20] 7/5 7/6 **employees** [1] 56/4 109/9 138/10 138/19 196/24 198/8 122/12 8/21 10/16 28/2 59/22 **employer [7]** 7/14 168/9 183/22 198/11 events [9] 48/21 examining [2] 19/18 82/7 87/18 97/2 97/4 7/16 8/14 88/7 144/4 107/19 115/18 118/2 201/19 201/24 122/16 110/3 121/12 126/9 168/14 178/14 enquiries [1] 51/16 118/3 118/8 125/22 **example** [19] 6/19 135/25 155/13 159/12 enshrined [1] 54/10 126/2 146/13 21/25 102/22 117/19 employment [2] 168/14 180/5 180/9 ensure [8] 31/23 46/9 eventually [8] 39/10 119/2 121/1 132/1 178/1 185/2 186/1 58/13 72/7 76/5 79/14 77/7 103/22 119/4 employment tribunal 132/3 132/11 148/15 effective [4] 80/1 84/21 120/13 134/23 178/20 179/25 149/8 150/13 170/8 **[1]** 185/2 85/3 90/22 137/3 enable [1] 126/6 ensuring [4] 102/16 198/17 170/9 179/18 187/23 effectively [5] 16/13 102/18 110/11 187/2 ever [6] 84/16 92/9 188/3 192/10 195/22 enabler [1] 17/16 63/20 74/16 89/7 encourage [4] 24/17 enthusiastic [1] 165/18 167/19 180/2 **examples [2]** 32/9 141/16 108/11 161/6 170/6 15/12 189/7 183/21 effectiveness [1] every [17] 4/14 20/18 Excellence [1] 149/8 encouraged [3] 58/7 entirely [14] 4/8 17/6 156/7 92/9 110/4 19/1 19/1 24/21 36/22 32/3 47/8 54/25 56/15 exceptional [2] 128/6 **effects [2]** 176/3 59/5 59/20 59/20 encourages [2] 94/3 80/16 95/4 95/5 188/14 178/9 **exceptions [1]** 162/5 132/23 146/1 166/14 61/19 97/19 106/22 189/1 effort [2] 29/1 29/1 encouraging [3] 63/3 167/18 191/5 125/21 126/3 139/10 excess [2] 123/18 efforts [1] 43/24 144/9 146/2 123/22 123/9 160/10 entirety [2] 4/13 **egregious [2]** 85/5 encouragingly [1] 12/14 everybody [5] 1/17 **excessive [1]** 87/20 183/21 109/3 entities [2] 5/13 5/22 4/11 31/17 99/25 exchange [1] 167/24 either [16] 13/3 13/5 end [19] 22/5 32/7 entitled [5] 2/25 179/2 excoriating [1] 184/2 52/16 65/19 66/19 35/24 40/15 63/20 50/23 130/12 162/13 everyone [18] 19/22 excuse [2] 165/6 68/8 80/18 83/17 80/24 109/8 109/8 199/3 25/4 30/21 31/4 35/4 178/14 98/25 110/20 133/4 112/10 112/17 122/8 45/11 67/7 74/25 94/2 executive [59] 9/3 entity [1] 5/3 144/23 155/6 183/5 137/6 145/8 152/2 134/17 149/20 157/12 9/21 10/3 10/5 13/1 enunciated [1] 29/6 188/2 198/25 152/23 176/6 178/13 158/13 169/20 169/23 13/3 13/13 13/17 envelope [1] 180/18 elaborate [1] 96/8 180/17 183/24 environment [4] 98/2 182/14 182/25 188/1 17/11 29/8 60/10 elderly [1] 46/3 141/15 161/7 169/25 60/13 61/6 61/9 61/20 endorse [2] 104/17 **everyone's [3]** 30/19 elected [1] 18/22 34/12 189/6 69/8 69/8 69/9 69/14 129/18 envisage [1] 108/24 element [4] 17/19 ends [1] 56/17 ephemeral [1] 32/23 everything [10] 73/2 73/4 74/11 78/15 65/25 66/12 86/23 54/11 74/19 74/24 enduring [1] 172/2 equally [4] 116/21 80/6 80/9 80/24 82/6 **elements** [2] 4/1 127/11 130/7 141/25 enforceable [4] 143/8 169/24 197/8 82/9 82/22 83/5 83/5 70/25 154/21 155/16 156/18 155/23 156/3 156/5 equip [2] 70/18 77/7 83/17 84/24 85/7 86/8 elite [1] 77/9 156/5 174/14 86/10 86/13 89/21 equipment [1] else [11] 36/11 39/15 90/2 93/6 100/10 enforcement [1] 139/15 everywhere [11] 40/24 77/1 89/13 94/2 165/22 73/20 79/1 132/21 100/17 100/17 124/11 equipped [2] 65/11 104/2 109/16 155/17 144/17 149/4 149/5 137/6 138/6 138/12 **enforcing [1]** 84/15 105/23 177/20 201/17 149/25 177/8 178/24 141/7 142/5 169/17 **engage [3]** 16/2 equivalent [1] 85/18 else: [1] 179/2 132/14 197/4 **especially [1]** 169/4 182/22 183/10 169/21 183/4 183/6 else: it's [1] 179/2 engaged [2] 186/17 espouses [1] 31/24 evidence [24] 3/3 3/7 184/3 184/8 187/23 **elsewhere** [3] 13/7 espousing [1] 134/18 15/11 24/25 28/6 30/8 188/3 188/13 193/11 188/2 138/14 168/7 39/20 41/22 42/12 essence [1] 72/3 executive's [1] engagement [1] email [1] 93/11 42/14 44/25 77/1 85/6 104/20 **essential** [6] 15/3 197/17 **emanates** [1] 31/6 85/6 90/16 123/5 60/19 126/13 141/12 engineer [1] 161/5 **Executives [10]** 10/7 embarrassment [1] 131/13 140/17 147/7 **engineers** [2] 93/8 169/19 169/24 13/19 14/2 14/10 3/19 149/18 185/3 185/4 15/15 78/7 83/3 89/17 161/22 essentially [1] embed [1] 112/1 England [25] 11/19 182/12 197/16 201/25 137/4 186/24 **embedded [1]** 163/7 12/13 26/24 27/11 establish [1] 96/23 evident [1] 171/20 exercise [6] 78/24 **embody [1]** 76/22 27/13 27/16 27/19 established [3] 19/23 evolution [2] 15/23 117/15 119/18 143/24 emerged [1] 49/20 41/9 64/9 72/22 81/23 27/25 45/17 173/17 193/9 16/12 emergencies [1] 82/2 82/20 83/4 83/7 establishment [2] exactly [7] 81/5 exercised [1] 126/10 131/6 129/19 132/16 146/7 83/21 88/16 89/9 21/14 24/4 **exercising [1]** 170/19 **Emergency** [1] 34/3 103/16 135/24 143/12 152/17 179/24 200/15 exhausting [1] 39/4 ethos [1] 137/10 **emotional** [1] 167/8 186/22 187/1 187/4 Europe [1] 90/13 **exhaustive** [2] 97/14 examination [1] **emphasis** [2] 19/14 even [23] 10/8 13/6 190/23 54/15 162/22 98/11 England's [2] 81/21 29/4 35/25 64/11 65/7 examine [4] 24/14 exist [1] 196/1 emphasise [1] 82/4 66/7 90/10 95/24 59/6 89/7 117/3 existed [4] 157/8 196/14 enhanced [1] 166/2 101/4 101/6 109/25 **examiner** [7] 44/13 157/9 163/23 163/24 emphasised [1] 17/3 enormous [2] 201/22 45/7 46/24 121/12 112/23 131/1 131/23 existing [4] 126/11 employ [2] 122/4 201/25 133/24 142/5 160/17 122/7 122/15 196/21 128/21 134/9 194/10 191/16 enough [19] 21/18 Examiner's [1] 161/3 163/1 170/7 **expand [1]** 108/23 employed [6] 45/11 expect [4] 87/6 117/8 31/23 39/24 59/3 196/25 201/6 120/11

E	externalised [1]	familiar [3] 37/15	field [6] 4/10 8/3	181/21
expect [2] 137/12	191/10 externally [4] 8/18	76/12 119/16 families [12] 46/2	103/17 143/22 144/20 172/11	firsthand [1] 14/17 firstly [10] 31/13
192/20	50/21 75/11 159/11	52/24 121/20 123/8	fifth [1] 100/2	38/17 39/12 42/25
expectation [2]	extra [1] 87/21	135/11 167/7 171/22	figures [8] 46/19	72/9 136/25 154/5
87/22 155/21	extraordinarily [1]	172/5 175/2 199/24	52/2 64/13 123/24	188/11 192/11 201/22
expectations [5] 9/11 9/12 53/11 76/16	107/8	200/3 200/9	149/5 180/14 180/14	fit [14] 52/4 84/3
80/3	extreme [4] 194/13	families harmed [1]	183/15	84/10 84/13 84/17
expected [9] 12/25	194/14 194/16 198/18		fill [1] 175/9	84/21 84/24 85/3 85/9
13/1 14/11 124/21	extremely [10] 9/12	family [32] 13/6 43/8		85/14 86/20 88/12
126/5 157/21 162/23	15/2 71/7 74/8 107/19		filtered [1] 5/12	137/2 186/7
163/11 192/15	118/8 118/21 122/10 130/1 142/4	67/1 113/4 114/12 114/12 120/5 150/23	filters [1] 26/13 final [9] 27/23 28/15	fitness [1] 85/16 five [3] 23/21 24/1
expects [1] 7/18	eye [1] 29/21	151/24 154/3 168/19	45/4 83/20 90/21	201/24
expense [1] 107/21		172/19 174/9 174/23	95/19 96/2 108/18	five and [1] 201/24
expensive [2] 122/2 122/3	F	175/19 197/17 198/5	129/1	Five Year [2] 23/21
experience [15] 7/4	fabrication [1] 92/15	198/10 198/15 198/19	finally [6] 3/17 44/19	24/1
15/1 15/7 28/18 30/13	face [8] 37/16 40/16	199/2 199/4 199/11	64/20 113/6 120/16	float [1] 23/14
37/20 60/15 62/8	40/10/34/9/07/14	199/13 199/14 200/6	171/3	floor [3] 31/24 73/16
78/13 82/16 113/22	89/17 195/13 198/4	201/1 201/4 201/11	finance [4] 19/18	142/18
133/14 138/14 160/24	faces [1] 30/10 facet [1] 91/3	far [14] 27/3 44/14	75/3 82/20 135/21	Florence [2] 71/20
173/16	facilitate [1] 196/15	56/5 62/19 66/4 77/18 84/16 91/15 116/5	[1] 75/3	195/7 Florence Nightingale
experiencing [1]	facilitates [1] 169/25	122/21 125/1 177/9	finances [2] 6/25	[2] 71/20 195/7
167/8	facilitators [1] 189/8	185/9 198/1	27/22	flow [1] 197/9
expert [8] 3/3 24/15	facilities [2] 51/8	fashioned [1] 66/8	financial [7] 11/13	fly [1] 40/19
42/1 42/20 44/7 121/16 167/23 197/11	90/7	fast [1] 105/6	12/17 19/17 21/16	fobbed [1] 200/8
expertise [6] 119/19	facing [2] 60/6	fates [1] 180/24	27/21 135/22 135/25	focus [9] 29/17 82/21
129/14 133/8 143/8	167/14	fault [1] 177/16	financially [2] 21/18	104/6 104/6 113/25
144/7 150/8	fact [26] 5/7 28/22 41/11 43/17 45/11	faults [1] 26/16	82/12	129/12 139/21 141/12
experts [9] 36/25	46/1 57/10 58/5 60/6	favour [1] 86/22	find [26] 7/10 10/19 14/22 30/10 42/13	148/5
38/12 38/25 39/1	64/16 94/20 96/11	fear [9] 35/8 36/12 118/25 141/8 160/21	55/3 66/1 75/6 75/20	focused [8] 53/9 57/15 99/18 105/5
40/12 101/25 175/2	103/24 113/21 119/1	163/20 164/12 164/18		106/8 115/11 115/22
175/3 201/5	124/17 146/23 149/18		98/1 117/15 125/6	175/23
explain [5] 22/23 24/21 112/23 116/5	163/13 171/1 178/25	feature [4] 78/5	140/1 143/20 146/1	focusing [3] 22/21
168/19	179/25 180/5 180/7	91/19 164/7 164/8	147/20 157/3 161/23	36/14 81/2
explained [1] 107/11	200/13 200/24	features [8] 30/18	161/24 187/8 195/1	follow [8] 26/17 73/6
explanation [8] 23/5	factors [2] 64/21	35/1 153/4 153/8	198/14	98/23 113/9 129/15
54/6 105/15 116/1	155/12 facts [4] 16/3 22/21	156/12 156/20 156/24		129/20 145/1 173/18
118/15 119/2 121/7	106/18 199/9	158/17 fed [2] 27/2 78/3	94/10 123/1 177/13 177/14	followed [5] 76/19 84/20 121/22 130/5
172/24	factual [1] 131/13	feedback [2] 108/22	findings [1] 64/4	162/19
explicitly [1] 77/2	failed [2] 175/8 181/1	109/22	fine [1] 112/20	following [7] 25/22
express [3] 64/20 65/11 151/12	failing [5] 9/22 10/18	feeding [1] 11/25	fingerprints [1]	48/12 57/22 97/21
expressed [2] 82/15	64/6 75/23 159/23	feel [8] 14/6 32/21	198/16	142/23 147/12 154/5
120/2	failings [1] 64/5	46/13 61/15 110/15	fingertips [1] 11/2	follows [2] 75/6
expression [1] 32/2	failure [7] 48/14 64/7	114/25 157/2 198/7	finish [1] 134/1	184/6
exquisite [1] 37/18	90/11 123/21 140/11 156/17 159/24	feeling [8] 91/22	finished [1] 169/11	food [1] 12/17
extended [2] 66/17	failures [1] 164/2	112/5 113/18 152/11 163/20 169/10 185/7	fired [1] 83/3 first [38] 4/15 16/4	foot [1] 147/18 footing [1] 86/5
120/24	fair [18] 20/1 38/14	198/11	18/9 20/19 25/5 25/6	force [1] 31/18
extent [7] 58/1 61/11	62/7 76/16 85/21	feelings [1] 61/13	30/15 44/16 48/6	fore [1] 180/6
77/21 158/6 185/10	98/17 118/18 123/4	feels [1] 67/7	64/23 64/24 72/5 96/1	
195/25 198/15 external [13] 11/5	128/11 138/10 146/19	fellowships [1] 3/17	99/12 108/3 108/3	155/1
65/25 81/11 132/22	151/15 163/12 170/20		119/5 119/9 125/20	forensic [2] 98/1
133/4 159/2 188/9	173/24 181/5 184/7	77/3 79/20 142/4	126/17 134/2 134/7	198/13
188/20 191/15 193/4	187/4 fairer [1] 88/1	157/2 158/19 160/22	134/8 136/7 138/9	forget [2] 158/15
194/17 194/24 196/25	fairly [2] 111/21	165/25 165/25 177/2 few [7] 6/14 64/13	144/3 149/19 151/25 156/18 157/15 159/5	189/9
externalisation [1]	192/21	116/9 137/13 142/23	159/9 159/25 160/1	forgive [3] 2/24 162/10 199/7
188/6	fairness [1] 70/7	165/7 198/9	169/20 175/25 181/21	
externalise [2]	faith [2] 110/7 130/10		190/17	157/15 157/25 175/1
190/21 193/18	falling [1] 146/6	185/17	first report [1]	form [11] 6/15 20/10
			- -	_
			I	(62) expect form

163/15 181/25 185/19 8/20 11/3 38/16 70/7 gives [3] 87/11 87/12 | 175/5 183/24 183/25 188/17 191/9 191/13 85/13 86/12 98/8 139/13 **good [49]** 1/3 10/19 form... [9] 25/22 giving [9] 3/7 51/23 196/12 101/7 106/2 107/7 14/9 16/19 40/3 44/1 81/11 102/8 111/23 frequently [2] 28/23 107/12 107/14 110/1 94/7 114/18 120/17 44/21 57/5 58/4 58/6 128/9 149/24 174/4 118/13 114/2 124/12 151/9 129/14 148/17 151/20 60/12 62/12 63/5 63/7 176/24 188/8 Friday [1] 140/18 153/3 161/13 162/23 182/23 63/22 71/1 71/2 71/9 formal [8] 21/13 front [18] 6/1 6/4 7/4 166/18 199/2 71/13 73/11 74/4 global [1] 38/4 47/13 62/6 66/15 7/5 7/8 11/16 12/10 75/17 77/16 78/24 **General Medical GMC [2]** 86/9 165/19 78/18 90/1 90/18 97/5 go [63] 4/14 7/1 7/11 14/16 64/24 75/4 Council [6] 6/20 87/19 87/20 88/4 90/2 formalised [1] 90/6 97/20 99/25 141/22 7/15 7/18 85/13 86/12 7/14 15/18 16/4 16/6 94/10 94/10 99/9 formats [1] 11/23 144/2 144/6 150/2 124/12 18/2 18/4 23/7 24/9 99/11 103/14 110/7 formed [1] 184/20 157/16 158/10 30/20 30/24 32/12 110/16 130/10 137/5 generally [9] 35/14 former [1] 41/8 43/4 76/13 77/11 85/2 frontline [2] 63/1 33/3 33/18 34/7 34/17 138/15 139/7 142/9 forms [7] 8/8 78/20 105/9 166/8 177/8 36/20 36/24 38/23 142/11 149/25 150/7 197/23 86/24 148/3 175/9 **FTSE [3]** 9/21 137/7 184/12 39/15 44/22 49/20 156/25 160/13 169/18 185/21 201/9 137/12 generated [1] 106/17 51/5 55/1 55/10 64/7 181/8 185/10 189/8 formulate [1] 98/21 66/1 73/21 80/4 83/2 fuelling [1] 164/11 generic [1] 99/2 Google [1] 36/24 formulated [1] fulfil [2] 9/11 112/21 genuine [1] 109/7 83/5 83/5 88/7 89/18 **Gordon [2]** 11/3 166/25 fulfilled [2] 62/18 genuinely [1] 62/10 90/7 93/5 94/18 97/25 13/24 formulation [3] 67/2 111/17 118/22 120/6 got [56] 1/4 15/24 187/9 geographical [1] 105/4 106/13 125/5 132/23 133/4 full [8] 1/13 25/3 26/6 22/20 34/11 38/22 forward [11] 17/9 get [56] 7/21 10/12 67/18 145/21 164/10 135/12 140/13 146/2 39/6 40/18 40/20 41/2 20/16 21/25 23/20 189/9 189/21 190/6 10/14 34/19 36/1 150/25 160/3 161/23 51/14 55/7 55/8 58/16 23/21 24/1 24/1 110/6 fully [2] 44/19 44/22 36/23 39/11 41/1 162/7 174/24 181/16 58/18 67/17 68/19 127/9 174/25 185/3 fun [1] 23/9 55/10 55/18 55/21 182/19 188/18 189/24 68/25 72/5 72/6 72/7 found [21] 11/6 19/13 64/19 66/15 66/18 192/20 193/4 197/14 72/20 72/23 72/24 function [4] 22/11 46/1 46/17 57/10 73/20 74/15 75/13 199/17 199/18 76/25 89/9 100/18 24/5 45/21 134/4 57/23 58/17 64/11 101/19 102/19 103/20 functioning [1] 57/1 80/16 88/5 92/20 goes [7] 22/1 26/18 91/16 92/6 93/12 94/8 functions [3] 119/17 92/23 93/18 103/10 72/15 88/14 149/6 112/13 113/5 113/13 96/8 102/6 108/4 119/19 119/20 103/22 128/15 134/13 152/6 173/7 113/22 115/3 122/22 123/25 146/22 173/22 127/12 133/9 141/20 fund [4] 70/1 70/8 137/12 139/4 139/14 going [76] 1/16 1/20 176/22 177/8 180/21 122/7 122/8 142/1 142/5 145/3 3/23 4/15 4/20 8/23 144/1 144/5 152/22 **Foundation [13]** 2/19 152/2 153/1 158/10 10/13 12/20 15/14 163/14 175/20 179/6 fundamental [2] 7/1 21/3 21/7 21/13 40/14 74/22 164/5 164/21 168/17 15/22 21/20 23/17 180/22 185/24 189/25 21/16 21/19 27/9 29/16 30/1 34/15 41/5 **funding [2]** 5/11 82/2 169/23 178/15 180/17 190/13 193/3 193/21 32/14 57/21 83/13 funds [1] 9/16 181/8 182/19 183/9 41/16 44/11 44/25 193/23 197/10 198/22 83/16 100/8 185/1 185/5 188/12 47/18 49/13 50/4 198/23 201/10 201/10 funnelled [1] 27/13 founded [1] 54/12 189/7 190/18 190/22 50/25 52/25 59/1 funnily [1] 183/22 govern [1] 62/1 fourth [2] 99/21 funny [1] 183/23 60/14 67/3 68/17 governance [3] 190/24 191/4 193/14 123/9 further [9] 12/16 48/3 193/17 194/18 198/16 73/20 74/14 74/18 18/17 20/18 78/9 framed [1] 117/18 109/12 120/1 120/6 **gets [7]** 10/17 15/13 75/21 78/17 79/18 **Government [8]** 5/11 framework [2] 3/11 142/6 158/9 199/17 62/5 72/7 110/18 81/5 87/15 91/15 18/22 28/12 96/16 76/15 199/19 113/16 189/6 93/13 93/19 95/23 96/18 97/4 97/18 Francis [4] 1/4 1/9 getting [14] 9/22 9/23 104/16 106/17 107/25 158/2 future [3] 34/9 1/15 203/3 115/10 126/14 127/18 governments [1] 11/17 12/23 29/19 119/20 194/11 frank [6] 24/18 25/3 127/19 127/20 128/1 34/3 39/2 39/25 96/21 58/7 152/18 153/25 G 109/22 116/7 128/23 131/8 131/18 134/1 **governors** [1] 83/14 159/6 gain [1] 121/18 134/7 136/1 138/16 149/18 196/16 200/3 grade [2] 139/13 frankly [24] 9/19 gaps [1] 146/6 gift [1] 95/4 139/12 141/6 147/13 189/17 15/11 29/20 30/14 **Garstang [5]** 44/5 give [23] 10/24 12/10 150/24 151/11 152/11 granted [2] 116/3 30/20 32/5 61/10 143/10 146/9 147/7 23/15 32/9 34/10 152/20 158/17 159/10 148/25 76/25 77/2 84/21 196/4 39/10 50/12 70/6 160/6 160/14 172/20 **Grantham [1]** 115/15 85/10 87/25 90/14 Garstang's [2] 41/22 88/22 104/6 110/12 178/22 184/22 186/3 grateful [3] 103/19 96/17 105/22 107/5 42/12 111/19 122/19 123/14 189/2 189/24 191/16 171/7 175/13 124/4 141/18 144/24 gastric [2] 127/19 128/13 135/6 139/6 193/18 193/25 199/1 great [6] 16/19 42/4 157/23 178/5 185/16 127/20 156/1 168/9 168/15 gold [1] 57/3 122/18 123/13 129/13 188/24 190/11 gatekeeping [1] 175/24 192/15 200/14 gone [28] 13/7 24/9 190/25 fraud [2] 129/23 192/17 given [21] 3/3 13/20 24/15 24/18 34/21 greater [4] 9/20 176/21 14/6 21/5 21/18 22/11 gave [7] 25/19 25/20 52/17 52/17 57/7 57/9 88/25 95/24 125/13 free [2] 5/5 36/9 30/9 30/14 102/1 63/22 73/4 73/5 77/18 Griffiths [2] 16/13 25/8 28/5 37/20 86/21 freedom [19] 3/1 137/4 187/21 94/1 99/4 103/25 116/4 144/8 151/22 16/23 29/5 48/6 48/8 49/8 gave her [1] 30/9 107/22 120/22 122/13 152/23 154/19 159/13 ground [1] 143/17 50/2 91/25 94/9 98/25 general [26] 5/15 123/24 127/15 180/5 170/4 170/14 170/14 group [4] 8/24 29/14 132/6 132/19 163/10 5/19 6/20 7/15 7/18 185/4 188/17 170/15 170/24 172/19 98/16 139/21

133/2 193/21 194/2 194/3 180/20 187/17 G 158/8 160/8 163/22 163/23 163/24 164/7 hard [1] 105/6 194/6 198/7 199/15 Health's [1] 23/5 groups [6] 20/16 healthcare [26] 2/9 164/7 165/6 165/9 hardly [1] 58/18 200/4 200/25 22/12 25/24 66/6 165/14 176/22 176/25 harking [1] 61/8 hasn't [7] 38/22 3/20 6/22 6/24 8/4 150/23 175/19 176/25 177/1 177/2 harm [9] 33/17 40/20 63/13 84/16 11/10 14/16 17/15 guardian [30] 98/25 177/10 177/11 177/23 112/16 117/6 117/7 84/22 99/1 122/9 18/12 21/11 21/24 163/15 182/15 183/8 178/2 178/3 178/18 133/2 168/17 169/15 hasten [2] 11/14 22/25 23/22 26/5 183/10 183/15 185/15 179/7 180/7 180/14 173/9 174/13 137/16 26/15 28/12 33/13 188/10 190/18 190/22 180/17 181/3 183/10 harmed [9] 52/11 hate [1] 190/15 50/22 79/18 101/11 191/1 191/9 191/10 108/12 125/21 134/17 192/11 198/11 199/16 61/4 61/5 114/18 hauled [1] 86/11 191/20 192/14 192/19 200/18 114/18 169/14 172/5 135/4 149/15 176/18 have [470] 192/21 193/8 194/2 hadn't [2] 44/23 194/10 194/11 haven't [12] 5/2 Healthwatch [3] 64/9 194/2 194/17 194/19 55/15 harming [1] 133/16 13/20 40/18 41/2 64/17 64/17 194/21 194/24 194/24 half [2] 80/12 201/24 harms [2] 129/22 54/24 66/24 72/23 healthy [19] 21/18 195/20 196/25 197/12 86/17 129/12 151/7 hand [3] 11/19 48/16 129/25 31/5 32/1 33/10 34/25 197/13 199/1 Hart [2] 59/25 62/7 152/23 175/12 35/1 63/2 153/3 153/4 151/24 guardian's [2] 193/9 handed [1] 180/18 153/8 154/9 154/14 **Harvard [1]** 77/10 having [32] 3/23 6/14 194/16 handle [1] 129/4 has [153] 8/14 8/20 8/5 14/6 24/23 27/19 155/25 162/13 162/15 guardians [12] handled [1] 54/3 9/1 10/16 20/1 26/16 38/19 38/24 60/17 162/18 163/19 185/10 132/20 132/21 176/4 handler [1] 133/10 27/23 28/2 28/3 28/25 62/7 63/25 87/10 188/25 182/6 182/13 182/16 87/22 103/16 112/15 handling [2] 63/23 31/13 31/18 34/11 hear [6] 14/17 37/25 182/22 187/21 188/6 129/9 35/4 37/11 38/15 114/22 118/5 125/4 39/20 66/16 92/25 190/15 190/25 191/3 hands [1] 197/10 38/16 39/25 40/3 131/12 132/21 132/22 112/14 guidance [39] 20/4 happen [28] 28/23 40/16 41/15 42/4 133/3 135/12 163/15 heard [13] 19/22 80/3 98/21 99/22 31/1 41/15 45/2 47/13 44/19 45/6 45/17 164/16 167/14 168/13 42/16 44/25 63/19 129/1 129/8 129/15 49/15 50/2 52/12 168/18 176/19 176/22 62/3 62/16 68/15 112/15 118/22 123/5 129/20 130/24 134/11 70/17 73/18 89/2 189/20 190/6 52/13 52/16 54/25 130/2 131/12 147/7 136/6 140/9 143/3 89/20 91/23 94/23 55/8 55/17 55/20 57/4 he [43] 11/6 11/16 185/2 196/4 198/17 143/6 143/10 143/12 102/6 105/20 115/1 57/9 57/9 58/25 59/4 17/2 17/2 61/10 61/20 hearing [3] 7/5 184/5 143/15 143/15 143/16 117/9 121/3 132/7 59/20 61/3 61/5 63/12 64/2 64/2 64/3 64/16 202/7 143/19 144/1 144/16 93/7 93/7 93/8 93/9 146/13 158/15 163/16 63/14 63/22 64/2 heart [5] 20/7 123/20 144/20 144/23 144/24 167/22 178/19 185/25 66/11 67/7 68/14 93/10 93/12 93/13 125/15 134/25 171/23 144/25 145/7 145/18 93/13 124/4 124/4 190/3 195/9 68/14 72/20 72/23 heavily [1] 142/4 145/20 146/10 146/19 happened [42] 24/21 75/3 77/18 78/10 124/13 143/2 154/5 heavy [1] 190/19 147/13 148/9 148/16 160/17 160/18 160/18 heighten [2] 115/15 28/19 29/10 35/5 78/15 81/9 83/13 148/16 148/18 149/12 35/17 49/19 51/22 83/16 86/10 86/23 160/22 161/25 162/1 118/5 150/5 150/10 55/17 58/10 58/25 heightening [1] 94/1 94/21 94/22 162/1 173/20 173/22 guide [1] 130/5 63/25 79/1 86/10 92/9 96/24 97/23 98/25 179/22 180/14 180/14 117/18 guidelines [3] 38/3 180/17 180/18 180/20 held [2] 79/7 83/21 100/18 104/14 107/1 96/24 97/15 97/23 38/7 39/18 180/21 180/22 180/23 help [21] 35/22 36/23 104/8 109/23 112/17 109/20 109/22 110/6 guides [1] 63/23 113/12 114/19 116/16 111/18 111/24 112/4 186/14 193/21 38/9 48/9 59/17 65/12 117/2 117/16 119/12 114/15 114/19 115/25 **He's [1]** 180/2 65/15 69/4 71/17 122/9 122/24 152/13 116/11 116/15 116/16 head [5] 7/21 14/3 89/14 89/18 90/12 habit [3] 55/20 73/7 152/15 154/2 160/18 117/23 121/6 121/20 71/24 124/2 179/3 100/4 102/11 104/7 96/21 163/22 169/13 170/17 122/12 122/14 123/3 168/22 187/8 190/22 headed [1] 50/6 had [90] 1/18 6/18 124/25 133/7 133/23 170/18 178/2 178/10 190/24 191/4 193/23 heading [1] 115/11 14/15 15/5 15/10 178/23 185/8 186/11 135/24 137/10 140/24 helped [1] 77/14 headline [2] 48/10 17/25 20/18 23/21 144/1 144/1 144/8 193/16 108/15 helpful [4] 58/22 24/9 24/15 24/18 happening [18] 144/18 146/20 146/25 health [55] 5/3 5/13 134/8 149/9 202/1 25/10 25/21 27/25 147/3 151/22 152/13 9/15 11/20 13/7 16/5 11/16 12/16 41/15 her [10] 13/14 30/9 37/11 41/21 42/13 43/11 62/12 74/14 152/15 152/25 154/2 16/6 16/8 16/9 17/16 42/14 60/14 71/24 45/3 46/2 48/14 48/18 81/3 90/13 109/16 154/12 154/21 155/3 17/21 18/19 18/19 92/18 143/18 160/6 51/25 60/7 60/7 60/8 118/3 119/11 121/19 155/9 157/11 157/13 18/20 20/17 20/21 160/9 160/11 63/24 64/9 79/16 124/17 141/21 152/8 158/13 162/1 165/16 21/9 22/22 22/25 23/6 here [26] 6/9 15/23 80/11 88/7 88/15 157/5 193/13 199/11 168/18 170/14 170/14 24/4 24/11 25/20 26/8 22/14 31/9 33/9 41/8 91/17 96/5 96/11 97/3 happens [17] 12/24 170/15 170/17 170/24 26/19 27/12 27/24 53/3 62/24 69/6 71/9 101/8 102/23 103/2 24/20 32/2 36/3 37/19 171/25 172/19 172/23 31/4 31/19 33/25 41/9 71/21 88/24 91/15 103/22 109/1 109/4 66/14 78/22 85/10 174/14 175/5 175/8 41/25 42/17 64/24 108/8 111/3 115/11 109/11 109/12 113/5 89/15 89/19 89/20 175/21 182/14 183/20 73/12 77/7 97/1 97/8 120/17 123/10 123/14 113/6 113/8 113/12 102/18 109/19 133/22 183/24 183/25 185/2 106/23 107/7 129/7 129/2 147/19 151/4 113/13 119/2 120/2 168/1 174/12 195/9 185/3 185/5 185/7 129/8 130/16 133/25 154/16 173/15 193/12 122/11 122/23 129/12 134/22 138/13 138/17 happily [1] 160/2 185/16 186/11 186/12 201/24 140/17 143/3 152/25 happy [1] 65/13 144/21 144/22 157/13 186/22 186/25 187/1 herself [2] 44/6 72/22 154/5 156/10 158/7 harassment [1] 193/8 193/12 193/21 158/13 161/12 177/2 hesitation [1] 8/16

Н hide [1] 35/25 hierarchy [1] 142/6 high [10] 2/3 9/9 41/1 113/5 140/10 141/19 142/1 143/11 158/4 166/22 **High Court [1]** 2/3 higher [4] 139/3 143/1 168/6 179/21 highest [1] 72/16 highlighted [2] 61/12 156/20 highly [1] 185/6 Hillsborough [2] 171/9 171/13 Hillsborough Law [2] 171/9 171/13 **him [3]** 72/21 160/17 180/21 hindsight [1] 116/9 hip [3] 125/5 125/6 125/9 hired [1] 83/3 his [16] 13/14 17/5 17/8 60/14 61/21 64/3 93/8 93/10 124/3 143/18 160/15 179/25 180/10 180/11 180/13 186/13 historical [1] 76/6 historically [1] 91/13 **histories** [2] 67/15 176/17 history [3] 10/22 44/23 152/5 hold [5] 3/17 12/15 44/6 71/19 108/20 holding [3] 14/3 80/20 83/25 holes [1] 136/10 holistic [1] 106/21 holistically [1] 106/16 home [3] 43/8 146/24 160/16 honest [19] 34/17 35/9 41/7 41/11 52/16 52/18 52/23 54/17 64/7 72/16 72/16 113/10 159/15 163/22 169/1 169/6 169/7 170/3 174/10 honesty [5] 35/3 35/20 171/19 173/4 174/6 honorary [2] 3/17 3/18 hope [6] 33/20 36/2 37/19 47/10 64/19 89/22 hoped [2] 76/1 97/18 hopes [1] 119/10

hoping [1] 33/18 hospital [39] 2/14 58/22 5/15 5/19 9/4 18/5 human [11] 15/1 18/5 32/18 36/19 35/18 40/17 65/1 43/12 45/14 47/2 47/4 48/22 54/25 56/6 63/3 71/1 74/24 79/21 80/10 82/3 94/22 100/9 101/6 122/13 128/23 132/17 137/14 hurdles [1] 185/4 139/18 142/22 146/14 146/21 147/5 151/23 166/23 167/19 185/14 | I absolutely [2] 32/16 103/8 111/19 158/5 188/1 191/5 hospitals [13] 7/25 16/19 26/21 27/20 45/11 46/23 56/11 66/2 69/13 78/1 79/17 123/10 127/14 hostile [1] 63/6 hotline [1] 132/12 hour [2] 80/13 95/7 hours [1] 201/24 House [1] 97/1 how [98] 4/23 4/25 6/9 11/4 12/19 18/16 19/14 19/19 20/14 22/24 23/18 26/8 26/18 28/16 28/16 31/3 33/8 34/9 34/24 38/16 38/17 44/20 45/6 48/9 49/17 49/18 53/4 53/4 53/6 56/13 57/13 61/19 62/5 67/2 70/1 71/19 71/25 72/1 72/8 74/14 74/20 75/8 75/8 76/4 78/17 79/19 80/2 80/11 84/7 88/20 92/19 93/22 99/18 99/19 100/5 100/20 101/18 101/21 103/10 105/4 106/12 107/15 111/18 113/23 117/3 118/13 118/15 125/6 128/15 128/24 128/25 129/1 129/4 134/6 137/2 138/20 139/7 139/9 141/10 141/24 143/20 152/10 152/11 153/1 154/2 158/3 158/4 159/3 160/6 160/8 162/18 166/16 169/18 173/16 188/3 191/20 198/1 199/12 Howe [1] 91/16 however [1] 177/5 HR [3] 183/5 183/5 189/14 **HSIB** [1] 24/5 **HSJ[1]** 82/19 **HSSIB [3]** 92/5 102/24 104/25 huge [4] 9/10 73/19 172/9 200/21

84/22 100/6 113/14 158/11 170/4 175/10 187/24 human resources [1] I confess [2] 97/14 187/24 126/8 I actually [1] 101/24 **I add [1]** 145/19 I agree [2] 186/14 186/19 I also [1] 135/2 I always [2] 13/18 71/25 I am [77] 3/23 4/20 6/11 15/14 16/17 19/1 22/15 26/22 29/23 33/9 35/4 37/15 41/16 143/25 42/5 42/12 50/4 50/8 52/25 55/5 65/13 67/9 I discovered [1] 69/6 78/6 78/25 83/22 177/25 86/22 90/3 91/24 95/23 100/24 102/3 102/14 103/19 108/5 115/2 115/4 120/17 120/17 123/13 126/14 17/7 55/7 55/8 56/13 127/17 127/19 129/16 131/8 132/21 134/7 136/1 137/16 143/7 143/8 143/14 143/21 143/21 147/2 147/15 150/22 150/24 151/9 154/16 154/17 155/3 160/11 163/20 164/9 165/18 165/21 187/13 188/8 191/8 193/15 198/24 199/8 199/8 I and [1] 122/23 I appear [1] 142/21 I appreciate [3] 36/24 149/7 175/21 lask [4] 95/7 110/25 150/22 175/18 l asked [2] 159/21 160/6 I believe [13] 31/20 41/8 47/6 58/23 68/4 75/14 88/3 97/3 110/8 | I had [4] 42/13 109/1 132/16 172/15 194/19 143/3 181/3 195/10 I bumped [1] 160/5 I can [16] 32/1 33/3 48/19 49/20 56/5 66/5 69/17 95/3 99/6 138/3 156/10 161/12 178/15 194/16 197/19 198/12

hugely [3] 15/12 42/8 I can't [6] 68/1 100/20 103/15 112/22 160/11 161/24 I cannot [1] 151/10 I carry [1] 39/5 I certainly [1] 132/16 I check [1] 136/19 124/11 I could [5] 7/1 7/9 15/14 160/3 163/21 I couldn't [4] 103/8 I criticise [1] 101/16 I deal [1] 64/4 I defined [1] 50/17 I delivered [1] 158/2 **I described [2]** 57/2 81/3 I did [15] 2/7 2/11 2/16 3/2 6/17 44/24 49/10 97/11 97/16 102/6 103/19 103/21 105/10 143/24 171/7 31/9 32/2 32/24 32/24 I didn't [3] 13/11 49/7 71/21 99/7 107/24 | I disagree [1] 107/10 I do [7] 12/9 30/20 59/5 66/12 105/2 107/3 155/8 I don't [43] 4/8 6/11 60/2 65/10 67/3 67/10 I look [1] 42/5 67/17 68/6 69/12 78/25 80/25 84/2 85/24 88/21 91/4 94/19 96/20 102/14 102/18 105/21 106/2 121/10 121/11 133/8 133/25 137/23 140/7 173/21 180/10 186/10 187/14 188/20 199/20 I emphasise [1] 196/14 I feel [1] 157/2 I find [1] 66/1 I found [7] 19/13 46/17 58/17 94/8 102/6 146/22 177/8 I gave [1] 102/1 Igo [1] 93/5 I hate [1] 190/15 I have [49] 5/7 8/2 11/7 11/12 37/10 37/11 42/5 42/12 42/16 55/5 70/14 72/11 73/12 75/2 76/18 78/12 80/5 82/1|I might [2] 54/14

90/15 94/16 94/25 103/3 103/9 108/17 121/22 121/24 125/3 125/4 127/16 135/19 136/17 142/23 154/19 161/24 162/8 165/17 167/12 167/16 167/19 169/20 172/12 174/20 175/20 186/7 187/15 189/15 193/15 201/3 201/19 I haven't [2] 72/23 151/7 I hear [1] 112/14 I heard [3] 44/25 112/15 123/5 I hope [1] 47/10 I hoped [1] 97/18 I imagine [1] 168/2 I increasingly [1] 141/11 I indicate [1] 91/15 I intended [1] 90/21 I just [10] 10/24 27/6 131/10 131/17 151/7 151/11 175/24 I knew [2] 159/25 160/20 I know [11] 29/4 30/7 84/16 97/15 102/22 119/15 121/4 142/2 143/21 157/14 160/7 | I left [1] 64/17 I looked [2] 19/13 91/20 I made [3] 49/6 53/20 96/25 I maintain [1] 37/16 I make [1] 50/8 | I may [4] 54/14 145/24 147/15 148/24 136/24 175/25 176/9 164/14 172/11 173/12 I mean [49] 15/3 29/4 30/17 36/5 39/14 43/1 52/5 57/2 69/11 71/2 72/13 75/24 77/1 78/5 82/25 83/13 86/6 90/13 92/14 93/5 105/8 106/14 107/17 114/4 116/11 116/24 117/22 117/25 127/10 133/22 134/11 139/9 139/16 141/3 143/21 148/15 149/16 159/3 162/5 162/21 163/23 165/13 166/3 169/13 170/10 192/16 197/6 199/14 200/4 I meant [3] 50/20 52/8 167/12 I mentioned [1] 64/23

79/19 80/22 81/24 10/2 14/14 48/21 147/22 185/11 114/11 116/1 121/21 82/1 82/13 82/14 48/22 49/4 49/17 identify [9] 14/14 145/5 156/23 169/5 I might... [1] 101/16 83/15 84/7 85/19 54/15 64/9 79/18 80/5 20/19 53/15 68/1 68/3 169/18 170/5 170/21 I must [1] 33/4 86/25 88/11 88/18 81/5 87/15 98/2 100/2 117/24 181/12 importantly [3] 57/8 I need [2] 44/2 88/23 88/25 89/25 100/21 101/23 102/1 186/3 57/25 121/20 102/12 92/5 97/9 98/7 98/16 102/9 102/11 137/1 identifying [1] 68/7 imposed [1] 17/14 I notice [2] 6/12 98/23 99/21 101/3 157/23 158/2 158/3 identity [3] 18/12 **impossible [2]** 14/22 188/5 179/13 181/20 101/15 102/20 102/25 32/19 32/21 165/5 I observed [1] 109/1 103/13 103/16 103/18 I wasn't [1] 48/23 impractical [1] 102/5 idiosyncrasies [1] I probably [2] 54/24 104/8 104/15 104/20 I welcome [1] 113/21 35/18 impression [3] 7/6 88/24 104/25 105/8 105/13 I were [1] 183/3 111/20 121/18 if [213] I provide [1] 72/1 107/5 107/10 107/14 **ill [3]** 37/1 37/3 I will [16] 4/14 8/23 **impressive** [1] 30/14 I put [2] 59/10 181/19 109/16 110/2 110/6 24/13 57/2 115/14 167/15 improve [4] 17/21 I rather [1] 77/18 110/14 110/21 110/25 119/14 126/15 126/18 ill-advised [1] 37/1 17/22 138/4 189/2 I recognise [1] 71/14 111/18 112/4 112/4 129/5 135/20 142/2 imagine [4] 61/17 improvement [9] I recommended [3] 112/6 112/8 113/17 163/18 175/23 177/12 168/2 194/17 196/23 14/22 20/17 20/22 45/2 138/22 187/3 113/22 114/6 114/7 21/9 114/20 160/9 179/3 186/13 **immediate [4]** 73/22 I represented [1] 114/14 117/24 118/5 I won't [3] 44/22 81/17 109/9 167/6 172/5 182/12 189/3 124/11 immediately [6] 29/6 | improvements [3] 118/8 119/3 119/6 179/4 181/16 I said [4] 112/8 134/1 119/6 121/13 122/13 69/22 162/19 168/1 I wonder [4] 36/17 99/5 113/23 159/12 160/7 186/24 124/15 128/14 129/21 50/11 95/2 96/7 177/11 199/1 improving [1] 181/24 I saw [1] 186/6 131/4 133/13 134/16 I would [38] 6/8 7/8 immediately: [1] **inaccurate [1]** 51/23 I say [7] 56/10 71/20 134/20 135/17 136/3 13/25 17/18 43/9 35/20 inadequate [1] 100/8 102/10 111/6 43/17 79/3 80/6 82/4 136/3 136/7 136/11 127/16 immediately: I have 134/19 154/19 138/11 138/11 139/20 83/21 98/18 100/23 incentivised [1] **[1]** 35/20 I see [4] 151/22 immense [3] 8/9 9/17 141/11 144/19 144/24 126/8 132/18 132/19 17/22 151/22 152/12 190/15 146/8 146/18 146/19 133/6 133/16 136/11 incident [13] 43/21 9/17 I set [1] 181/9 150/15 151/15 153/19 137/17 139/24 140/21 impact [5] 140/24 92/8 107/8 114/1 I should [8] 11/14 153/20 158/9 162/21 142/10 145/4 145/13 157/18 158/11 163/2 114/5 120/12 135/7 14/8 25/7 81/14 82/25 163/6 164/2 164/9 148/1 162/22 163/11 146/25 147/5 173/9 168/10 101/23 163/17 177/7 164/12 164/18 165/2 166/18 168/5 171/7 impacts [2] 28/16 173/11 174/12 174/13 I speak [1] 41/8 166/9 166/16 166/24 172/8 174/19 175/24 28/17 incidents [8] 24/10 I spent [1] 37/13 167/12 168/9 169/10 179/5 191/9 191/18 **implement [3]** 60/1 25/9 25/11 120/12 I start [1] 176/12 169/20 172/13 173/3 197/15 198/25 101/19 120/19 127/3 129/10 135/11 I still [1] 158/6 173/12 173/14 173/15 I wouldn't [2] 163/7 implementable [1] 172/6 I suppose [2] 39/24 173/24 174/1 174/5 173/12 102/17 include [6] 54/19 50/17 174/20 174/20 175/1 I wrote [1] 10/1 implementation [9] 81/18 126/25 171/16 I suspect [6] 114/4 176/16 181/12 181/21 **I'm [16]** 1/23 67/20 45/4 59/13 115/23 181/24 196/20 116/15 180/9 185/17 116/14 118/19 131/9 182/1 182/10 182/13 86/16 100/15 103/8 included [3] 44/16 187/16 200/9 182/14 183/11 183/20 103/9 103/15 104/11 162/24 176/2 185/10 59/9 60/9 I take [2] 15/20 29/4 184/11 186/7 186/19 107/1 107/18 112/11 implemented [15] includes [1] 52/6 I then [1] 54/16 190/10 190/13 192/6 141/6 141/10 152/25 44/19 44/22 44/23 including [4] 58/16 I therefore [1] 42/11 192/8 193/25 195/18 181/5 200/10 62/5 96/5 96/11 98/4 59/24 127/2 176/2 I think [203] 2/23 196/11 197/2 197/22 incompetence [1] I'm afraid [14] 1/23 99/20 102/4 116/14 6/13 7/8 8/16 10/4 86/16 100/15 103/8 199/7 200/3 201/19 121/7 121/11 148/4 177/19 11/6 11/16 12/9 13/24 103/9 103/15 104/11 I thought [8] 6/17 171/18 174/1 inconceivable [1] 15/3 16/16 19/1 19/3 30/14 65/23 103/22 107/1 107/18 112/11 implementing [4] 165/3 19/25 20/21 20/24 160/12 163/18 181/4 141/6 141/10 152/25 62/21 96/14 98/13 inconsistencies [1] 22/5 22/15 22/18 181/8 181/5 104/2 64/18 22/18 23/15 26/22 I took [1] 23/4 I've [3] 39/6 55/7 implications [1] inconsistent [1] 27/1 27/7 28/11 31/2 I tried [1] 102/8 88/22 122/2 135/13 31/14 31/25 32/7 I turn [1] 136/19 I've got [2] 39/6 55/7 incorporate [1] 7/17 implies [1] 174/11 33/11 35/11 41/21 I understand [1] 1/4 idea [20] 17/13 17/18 implying [1] 14/4 incorporated [1] 42/25 44/1 44/16 23/15 24/10 25/2 25/5 importance [6] 23/13 76/17 I understood [1] 44/18 48/24 49/22 137/14 26/3 26/4 45/6 45/9 28/3 121/5 129/14 incorrect [1] 112/25 55/9 55/12 56/15 45/25 49/14 103/14 145/12 163/5 increase [2] 16/14 I undertook [1] 49/17 57/23 59/8 59/21 104/4 129/18 142/25 I use [1] 5/9 important [32] 15/6 86/1 60/21 61/4 62/6 62/23 17/3 21/4 21/17 23/11 increased [2] 58/5 l used [2] 13/8 80/4 147/12 163/23 188/8 64/15 64/16 64/23 I want [3] 112/23 33/12 33/12 42/9 193/16 81/9 64/25 65/15 67/14 113/3 171/3 idealise [1] 155/19 46/12 52/10 52/22 increasing [1] 9/16 69/15 70/13 71/3 I wanted [7] 49/8 ideally [1] 93/25 56/25 68/13 73/24 increasingly [4] 77/5 71/20 72/13 72/24 67/20 88/21 96/1 identified [9] 16/23 79/22 92/21 93/22 97/22 109/3 141/11 76/14 76/18 76/20 143/2 160/3 160/4 44/17 59/9 80/12 101/15 105/5 105/13 indeed [14] 38/15 77/4 77/5 77/13 78/22 I was [26] 2/20 8/23 91/13 143/6 143/10 107/3 109/15 114/10 79/17 92/9 94/8 94/24

164/23 8/6 11/1 11/21 12/1 80/23 81/7 81/9 81/14 183/7 28/5 46/3 50/18 50/20 83/7 interesting [2] 86/6 introduces [1] 22/2 indeed... [9] 113/2 51/1 51/6 51/9 51/15 **inspector** [2] 80/8 165/16 introducing [1] 1/17 130/12 133/22 140/25 51/17 51/20 51/23 80/14 interestingly [3] introduction [11] 142/7 166/22 175/15 52/9 52/12 58/17 inspectors [2] 79/25 44/15 87/8 165/17 3/23 16/5 21/3 21/13 190/23 202/3 58/18 58/19 92/11 185/23 interests [8] 74/23 26/1 48/2 50/12 52/25 indefensible [1] 94/2 94/7 94/18 155/23 171/13 182/5 inspects [1] 6/23 75/4 86/9 166/13 184/1 108/20 108/22 109/4 inspiration [1] 74/5 166/14 166/15 167/6 **intrusive [1]** 79/19 independence [2] 109/6 109/11 109/13 inspiring [1] 74/1 181/18 invariably [3] 70/10 12/11 122/19 109/15 109/18 109/23 instance [24] 9/3 96/21 98/12 **interface** [1] 91/6 independent [16] 3/4 Interim [1] 3/14 110/1 110/7 110/12 20/7 24/12 24/19 inventing [1] 128/20 20/20 45/7 45/15 110/18 120/17 126/20 29/14 34/7 43/7 43/17 interject [1] 54/14 investigate [5] 25/11 65/22 66/12 85/14 127/2 127/22 127/25 51/24 54/24 70/23 **interlinked [2]** 151/5 85/13 172/20 174/12 85/16 102/15 120/10 141/21 151/20 167/25 73/3 76/24 80/8 85/7 151/15 177/5 121/14 122/19 129/3 179/7 183/17 196/16 88/4 94/16 101/7 internal [11] 17/13 investigated [5] 54/1 132/17 190/6 191/15 200/13 104/5 107/22 127/14 18/24 79/5 79/12 83/8 58/14 65/21 65/21 indicate [1] 91/15 informed [3] 54/4 150/16 189/23 198/5 111/1 111/6 126/3 84/17 indicated [3] 70/14 151/2 162/7 162/12 60/13 123/23 instances [3] 184/14 investigating [2] 82/1 169/20 **infrequent [1]** 198/8 199/23 200/13 **internally [9]** 8/18 196/15 196/17 indicator [1] 133/19 instead [1] 177/13 50/20 75/11 159/11 initiate [1] 194/3 investigation [29] individual [27] 52/24 169/23 190/13 193/2 24/5 24/8 25/3 46/4 initiatives [1] 149/16 instinct [1] 115/1 66/3 75/14 75/16 INQ0108030 [1] Institute [1] 149/8 195/5 196/18 54/5 67/4 120/1 120/6 78/21 84/17 93/12 171/6 instituted [1] 29/6 internet [2] 51/16 129/4 130/12 130/21 94/1 107/2 109/12 inquest [1] 47/13 institution [2] 122/16 131/2 152/14 170/20 170/25 110/10 125/2 132/1 inquests [1] 171/21 140/13 171/1 171/23 172/4 interpretation [1] 132/3 147/3 159/14 172/22 174/24 175/6 inquiries [14] 2/17 institutional [2] 172/17 165/13 177/6 178/10 2/18 2/22 30/19 42/4 176/23 182/5 194/3 115/11 116/6 interpreted [1] 17/5 178/18 184/24 184/24 91/9 91/19 95/1 95/21 195/4 196/5 196/8 institutionally [1] interrelationship [2] 191/6 192/20 192/22 104/16 106/21 107/3 89/16 151/19 164/3 198/13 198/24 199/10 201/12 201/12 115/21 129/12 insufficient [1] 53/21 intersection [1] investigations [10] individualistic [1] inquiry [54] 2/14 2/15 insult [1] 63/6 195/21 24/14 27/24 43/20 107/16 3/4 4/12 19/13 22/21 integrate [1] 14/23 interspersed [1] 66/25 91/9 95/21 individuals [2] 37/11 37/25 44/17 integrated [8] 26/1 166/11 106/7 116/13 127/4 124/23 156/1 44/24 46/1 65/24 77/2 26/2 26/4 26/9 26/14 intervene [1] 127/25 171/21 induction [1] 126/4 intervention [2] 96/4 96/10 96/11 27/3 27/13 126/3 investigative [1] industries [1] 40/17 96/12 96/15 96/18 integration [1] 23/22 19/23 115/17 43/18 industry [8] 24/12 96/25 98/8 98/9 100/7 interventions [2] integrity [3] 72/17 Investigator [1] 24/19 90/5 161/1 100/21 102/9 102/15 122/19 137/18 47/8 56/14 120/4 161/2 161/14 161/15 102/19 103/25 104/17 intelligence [1] 109/7 interweaved [1] investigators [1] 161/19 105/22 106/17 106/22 intelligently [1] 60/22 159/18 25/4 inevitable [1] 106/16 106/25 107/6 108/10 intended [6] 11/15 **intimate [1]** 66/10 invite [3] 10/24 95/23 inevitably [7] 25/9 115/13 123/12 123/15 24/17 39/3 90/21 intimidating [1] 7/10 99/7 26/10 34/19 38/25 123/17 124/12 126/18 105/19 122/7 into [57] 2/19 3/4 invited [1] 97/2 39/22 86/13 112/7 127/8 127/9 129/3 16/25 17/19 24/11 intending [2] 80/19 **involve [12]** 41/10 inexpert [1] 146/20 131/11 160/2 160/2 24/23 27/2 27/3 29/1 66/13 108/11 108/20 123/13 Infancy [2] 118/24 176/11 179/17 179/18 intensive [5] 37/4 29/22 29/24 39/11 141/14 141/23 152/18 118/24 182/10 194/14 200/16 174/23 175/6 198/24 139/6 139/21 167/16 44/22 46/13 49/8 **Infant [1]** 118/23 202/1 168/3 49/20 53/2 55/18 199/24 201/14 infants [1] 179/22 Inquiry's [1] 95/25 **intention [2]** 17/8 55/19 55/21 56/11 involved [12] 51/23 infect [1] 89/23 insensitive [1] 61/13 68/5 68/12 75/13 83/2 57/6 66/24 67/1 68/8 113/17 Infected [1] 3/12 inside [2] 50/25 intentioned [1] 9/13 90/1 97/19 97/25 98/1 86/13 109/19 113/6 **Infirmary [6]** 2/13 188/10 intentions [2] 28/24 98/2 102/19 104/13 154/12 179/15 200/3 123/11 123/17 123/21 102/11 106/22 107/4 112/19 200/6 insidious [1] 170/1 127/8 179/15 120/14 126/3 129/4 involvement [3] insight [1] 65/8 interact [1] 8/15 **inflection [1]** 53/20 138/7 143/25 144/6 **insistence** [1] 34/15 interaction [3] 114/16 148/21 152/4 **influence [3]** 6/15 insofar [3] 5/5 177/9 113/14 158/25 175/10 150/9 152/6 152/24 involves [7] 33/10 23/13 23/18 interactions [2] 160/5 164/21 165/9 78/18 112/8 116/24 186/20 influencing [1] inspect [4] 66/2 41/24 42/17 177/13 177/15 178/14 146/21 151/19 185/22 149/14 79/17 90/7 111/17 185/2 187/3 187/15 interacts [1] 34/25 involving [2] 109/21 inform [1] 199/16 interest [6] 61/6 66/7 190/18 197/10 197/24 129/10 inspecting [1] 185/14 **informally [2]** 101/25 66/10 68/4 110/8 inspection [5] 13/10 199/10 inwards [2] 23/17 188/18 21/11 80/20 109/9 183/3 introduce [4] 18/14 156/15 informant [1] 110/22 48/5 50/4 197/23 185/22 interested [6] 2/12 ironically [1] 103/20 information [50] 8/5 8/2 51/8 127/17 137/1 introduced [2] 53/16 inspections [6] 80/5 irresponsible [1]

188/22 190/16 191/14 just [115] 1/21 4/20 150/17 175/14 176/13 knee [1] 65/18 193/5 193/5 193/8 4/21 6/8 10/21 10/24 knee-jerk [1] 65/18 203/5 irresponsible... [1] Lady's [1] 95/4 knew [3] 94/13 194/1 196/15 198/17 10/24 14/14 15/23 35/13 201/9 16/1 18/24 22/20 159/25 160/20 lag [1] 40/5 is [847] iteration [2] 25/5 25/13 27/6 28/9 33/8 knighted [1] 3/20 landscape [1] 20/5 is: [3] 108/23 143/17 25/6 34/10 34/22 34/24 know [87] 1/17 4/9 **Lansley [2]** 22/10 161/16 35/2 35/20 36/6 36/17 5/14 6/11 11/15 20/6 its [20] 5/4 10/21 98/3 is: can [1] 108/23 38/4 38/9 42/7 44/12 29/4 30/7 31/22 32/19 large [8] 6/5 7/2 9/4 18/17 20/22 21/14 is: well [1] 161/16 22/5 23/5 27/25 29/15 48/1 48/5 48/9 48/18 39/14 40/8 41/13 25/8 56/18 69/21 91/9 is: what [1] 143/17 50/4 50/12 52/4 52/18 50/24 53/10 54/5 97/6 32/4 44/14 52/23 isn't [7] 60/18 67/4 58/18 59/18 75/4 53/1 53/10 54/22 56/2 56/13 58/3 58/16 59/2 largely [4] 16/20 87/22 89/23 150/11 108/20 148/16 154/15 57/14 59/17 59/25 72/5 72/6 73/5 75/2 21/22 21/23 134/1 191/14 195/5 176/3 186/22 63/22 64/22 66/25 75/11 75/21 78/25 larger [1] 109/3 isolate [1] 180/12 itself [13] 8/16 43/19 66/25 69/4 69/11 70/6 80/18 80/18 82/18 last [17] 6/13 25/5 isolated [1] 191/6 57/11 103/10 104/23 71/8 71/17 71/21 84/16 85/19 90/10 28/10 45/5 78/19 isolation [1] 180/12 117/17 131/16 133/18 72/13 77/20 78/16 90/17 93/19 94/3 94/6 91/21 102/25 118/23 issue [33] 8/10 30/2 144/7 144/21 145/19 81/2 81/5 87/3 87/15 97/15 102/13 102/22 125/7 128/6 130/2 31/6 38/15 39/24 67/8 190/19 191/11 87/22 91/3 91/6 91/11 109/14 113/8 116/10 131/4 142/24 155/11 68/9 85/9 88/14 88/18 91/25 92/19 96/7 96/9 116/17 117/5 119/15 197/15 198/9 200/22 96/16 96/16 101/5 99/7 100/4 104/19 121/4 126/5 128/15 late [1] 128/3 124/1 130/3 133/5 James [1] 171/11 106/16 107/24 108/8 128/17 130/14 130/16 later [9] 16/8 21/3 148/22 155/7 160/1 Janet [3] 44/17 115/14 116/8 117/1 130/18 131/3 132/16 23/25 35/4 80/13 161/25 177/12 177/13 107/11 122/23 117/15 118/15 119/11 140/21 142/2 143/21 91/24 128/3 178/13 177/21 177/22 181/2 143/23 144/18 145/24 Janet's [2] 119/14 119/15 121/3 123/14 178/19 186/17 189/25 194/9 121/9 126/14 126/15 126/18 152/7 156/11 157/14 latest [1] 56/20 194/25 197/11 198/1 Jeffrey [1] 91/16 129/5 131/10 131/16 160/7 160/19 169/8 law [6] 55/6 171/9 198/21 200/16 jerk [1] 65/18 131/17 131/18 132/2 170/15 170/16 174/10 171/13 171/15 178/1 issued [2] 38/4 80/2 jest [1] 96/20 175/3 180/20 187/14 132/18 133/9 135/9 181/10 issues [24] 37/15 job [41] 9/11 10/12 135/19 136/23 137/24 187/15 189/5 190/15 lawyer [1] 65/14 48/13 75/13 85/24 142/23 147/12 147/13 191/16 192/15 192/22 lawyers [2] 113/6 10/17 13/7 13/19 91/12 94/5 114/6 13/20 14/2 14/5 15/7 148/8 151/7 151/11 194/21 197/9 197/14 114/22 118/20 130/22 133/1 15/10 15/13 29/16 154/5 163/11 165/9 198/4 199/3 199/11 lay [1] 148/16 137/1 145/4 159/19 29/18 34/10 46/23 165/21 167/12 167/24 200/11 201/5 layer [1] 87/21 159/22 161/19 166/15 46/24 71/7 74/4 76/25 169/14 175/8 175/24 knowing [6] 68/4 lead [6] 8/3 14/20 167/5 176/3 176/21 80/25 86/18 86/19 191/22 192/25 193/9 72/4 117/7 117/13 32/8 46/4 80/21 177/11 179/10 191/18 98/14 121/15 137/25 justice [4] 136/22 188/16 186/1 199/9 197/5 201/13 140/10 144/2 144/9 177/3 177/6 203/5 knowledge [5] 4/7 leader [13] 15/4 27/8 it [789] 165/9 168/16 175/12 46/16 117/12 124/20 72/8 72/10 72/19 justifiable [1] 87/24 it last [1] 6/13 177/5 178/21 178/22 200/7 72/21 73/6 73/9 75/20 justify [1] 116/18 it's [89] 11/22 17/4 179/25 180/22 182/23 77/16 137/10 140/5 **known [11]** 10/13 25/10 31/20 31/22 189/12 189/19 189/21 22/19 52/16 55/11 169/22 32/21 34/11 42/3 42/7 **KC [1]** 186/12 190/7 116/20 122/10 144/12 leader's [1] 73/7 42/10 55/9 55/11 jobs [5] 9/18 76/24 keen [1] 132/21 164/4 165/8 188/12 **leaders [11]** 14/10 55/11 58/24 60/19 176/25 187/7 187/8 keep [8] 46/19 71/23 188/12 69/18 71/2 73/11 62/5 66/20 67/3 70/20 joined [1] 196/10 74/10 119/8 158/13 knows [3] 161/25 74/12 76/20 86/4 71/4 72/4 76/11 84/6 joint [1] 26/7 158/14 164/16 175/23 169/23 200/5 163/11 169/24 170/6 84/7 84/10 85/2 85/13 jointly [1] 26/7 keeping [4] 71/10 186/9 87/6 87/9 92/1 92/12 Jones [2] 171/11 76/10 161/17 170/25 leadership [25] 3/3 92/13 96/14 105/3 La [7] 1/3 1/10 47/17 Kennedy [7] 124/6 172/8 10/23 11/4 11/5 16/24 111/13 111/15 111/19 **journals [2]** 82/18 142/16 142/19 142/21 95/6 142/24 151/1 70/21 74/5 77/13 150/19 154/4 203/6 203/4 79/23 80/1 80/4 81/19 112/5 116/8 117/7 judge [3] 2/3 75/12 label [1] 29/19 Kent [3] 172/3 92/23 93/19 138/3 117/12 117/12 117/19 128/11 173/16 173/22 **Labour [1]** 18/22 139/16 139/18 140/3 117/24 130/1 133/9 judgement [3] 12/11 kept [2] 54/4 158/4 **Labour Government** 157/11 169/16 169/18 133/22 136/12 139/17 20/10 119/18 key [3] 147/22 156/8 **[1]** 18/22 182/1 184/4 184/12 139/17 152/10 153/23 lack [13] 10/10 11/8 judging [1] 124/3 156/12 195/13 157/2 159/3 161/21 11/9 11/17 29/13 33/1 leading [6] 10/11 judgment [2] 133/11 killed [1] 192/6 162/13 168/16 169/11 166/12 kind [2] 187/25 60/23 77/6 137/16 14/15 64/5 73/9 74/15 169/12 170/12 170/13 156/13 156/13 163/20 75/22 judgments [3] 201/24 171/3 171/10 173/2 King's [2] 70/1 70/8 156/16 184/2 185/1 200/7 leads [3] 15/7 33/1 173/12 173/16 173/21 judicial [3] 2/6 **Lady [15]** 1/6 47/15 **Kingdom [1]** 180/1 39/22 174/4 174/19 175/1 119/21 139/1 Kirkup [3] 122/23 50/13 95/2 136/18 learn [8] 35/17 57/7 179/2 182/24 184/7 171/11 172/8 136/19 136/22 138/11 judiciary [2] 133/24 57/12 58/1 62/11 65/4 185/13 186/23 187/16 142/10 142/17 147/7 133/24 Kirkup's [1] 173/15 73/10 172/25

learned [3] 24/24 43/23 107/12 learning [6] 43/13 43/21 73/23 150/1 150/8 174/24 least [17] 26/17 33/19 33/19 41/22 60/4 68/11 77/16 86/23 96/22 97/16 105/12 155/1 158/8 163/12 181/23 185/18 198/9 leave [7] 30/24 64/20 68/17 86/18 104/3 163/18 180/22 leaves [2] 7/10 136/10 leaving [2] 39/11 186/25 led [5] 18/6 55/6 94/22 113/10 178/12 Leeds [1] 106/6 left [6] 26/23 64/17 151/1 151/1 168/21 179/25 legal [4] 18/12 25/20 110/9 119/19 legally [2] 155/23 156/3 legitimate [1] 51/10 legitimately [1] 51/17 length [5] 10/3 71/15 95/20 100/16 122/25 less [11] 47/13 56/12 90/1 113/14 118/9 129/23 131/6 158/10 165/7 183/6 201/4 lessons [5] 24/24 43/22 58/1 107/12 173/1 let [6] 71/23 102/13 154/2 168/19 170/17 182/13 let's [3] 34/17 34/17 103/10 letter [13] 62/21 63/9 63/12 66/16 66/18 111/7 112/20 112/22 112/25 171/3 171/11 198/14 198/15 letters [4] 60/12 61/8 61/11 175/9 level [30] 15/1 28/21 38/6 38/19 50/8 58/13 60/2 62/20 69/7 70/23 72/17 87/25 101/4 141/8 141/9 143/1 143/11 143/15 143/15 143/16 148/4 149/15 158/4 158/24 160/20 160/23 164/21 189/1

190/5 192/2

levels [3] 145/14 157/12 159/3 lever [1] 141/6 levy [1] 111/22 **liability [3]** 154/25 154/25 164/17 Liaison [1] 54/25 licensed [1] 186/21 life [7] 37/3 83/19 100/17 104/20 105/17 112/17 131/21 life-threatening [1] 112/17 lift [1] 125/13 light [2] 46/4 133/21 like [58] 6/8 9/9 19/20 30/17 34/2 39/13 50/2 59/3 60/21 62/4 68/11 71/1 73/18 locality [1] 26/9 77/14 90/17 92/22 98/19 100/24 105/7 112/22 118/7 122/5 128/17 131/3 132/24 133/6 135/14 137/13 137/17 137/20 139/10 long [15] 1/18 8/2 140/21 144/12 145/25 10/9 10/14 25/23 150/16 151/11 153/1 154/10 156/1 157/1 164/11 167/17 168/6 174/13 175/25 176/17 180/9 180/11 185/17 185/21 185/22 186/16 191/9 193/18 197/7 197/15 201/6 201/18 likely [10] 15/18 37/25 39/8 39/10 47/1 108/19 148/22 163/4 181/8 197/8 Likewise [1] 159/9 liking [1] 82/20 limit [1] 132/18 limited [1] 24/7 line [19] 6/2 6/4 7/4 7/5 7/8 11/16 12/10 14/16 27/18 64/24 97/20 124/24 141/22 144/2 144/6 150/3 157/16 158/10 194/20 lines [2] 91/7 139/25 list [7] 64/21 72/14 75/13 75/14 75/24 80/16 137/4 listed [1] 193/4 listen [6] 48/15 73/10 179/4 201/8 201/10 201/11 listened [1] 10/15 listening [3] 73/23 92/1 141/17 lists [1] 75/25 **literally [3]** 45/3 165/9 180/18 literature [1] 30/19 **litigation [5]** 153/2

171/21 little [17] 7/9 16/17 21/21 27/7 73/12 73/13 76/23 77/12 111/18 112/12 130/25 131/3 135/18 153/24 160/9 165/21 167/13 lived [1] 31/24 lively [1] 87/3 **Liverpool** [1] 2/14 lives [1] 72/10 load [1] 5/22 local [15] 18/5 18/5 19/7 23/23 27/2 60/2 118/2 118/9 122/6 122/9 143/15 144/1 144/11 148/4 149/15 locally [3] 144/18 148/13 148/23 log [1] 109/7 logged [1] 131/23 London [1] 32/17 44/20 45/6 74/11 81/8 37/5 139/3 143/20 160/21 163/16 175/21 183/9 longer [1] 193/22 look [36] 6/25 10/22 21/21 30/17 32/14 40/9 42/5 45/17 48/23 49/8 53/3 55/19 57/13 58/23 59/4 60/18 79/20 80/23 101/13 103/4 103/6 103/20 105/15 107/25 111/16 112/19 115/6 117/3 137/5 139/24 153/3 155/25 156/9 157/3 180/13 185/14 looked [18] 5/2 19/13 122/13 24/23 46/13 59/14 61/22 61/23 91/4 91/20 104/23 110/15 110/16 147/16 160/6 160/10 179/6 185/2 187/15 looking [26] 31/9 76/6 80/2 81/18 98/18 18/15 19/24 23/9 99/25 101/15 104/21 105/14 106/7 114/11 132/15 137/7 137/9 140/22 149/14 156/14 58/12 63/4 67/19 177/13 177/21 177/22 181/20 184/23 looks [6] 30/18 43/10 60/9 154/10 157/1 201/6 loosely [1] 5/10 losing [1] 80/25 lost [6] 145/3 176/25

155/13 164/12 164/15 179/25 187/7 193/14

193/17

lot [33] 10/8 11/24 29/8 29/16 37/13 40/15 40/15 42/11 43/6 51/22 58/25 81/7 59/9 62/17 80/22 82/17 82/19 87/9 89/1 104/1 104/2 104/3 104/5 104/14 104/15 128/20 134/12 134/25 malevolent [1] 143/22 152/25 157/23 115/17 164/18 170/12 174/1 177/24 192/16 lots [6] 43/25 75/24 101/12 107/22 139/20 56/12 165/19 **low [1]** 15/6 **lower [1]** 141/9 lowly [1] 189/16 loyalty [2] 32/19 32/22 lunch [4] 78/15 90/22 95/8 95/17 machine [2] 11/25 made [40] 15/10 25/1 33/18 33/19 33/20 33/21 35/9 35/20 49/6 52/14 53/20 59/12 63/15 65/17 89/10 96/25 99/5 101/11 104/17 107/6 108/1 108/9 112/15 112/25 113/23 115/7 115/19 116/13 119/13 124/3 140/20 144/25 147/14 160/8 162/1 183/2 184/2 186/25 193/25 201/25 main [2] 57/20 maintain [3] 18/23 31/3 37/16 maintaining [1] 28/13 maintenance [1] 161/22 major [1] 172/1 33/9 38/4 58/10 63/11 make [41] 6/8 17/15 23/10 26/7 28/15 36/15 37/22 43/13 43/14 50/8 55/9 55/18 Martha's [1] 55/6 68/14 69/24 74/25 75/3 89/14 93/10 95/3 56/10 96/12 98/16 98/19 99/5 102/6 104/19 107/14 136/5 139/13 143/9 146/8 146/16 158/12 166/7 185/1

192/22

66/15 71/13 98/6 98/9 99/9 101/20 104/20 105/18 130/8 148/2 making [11] 2/10 99/11 106/14 110/3 149/11 157/20 197/22 197/25 manage [4] 8/3 14/11 167/24 189/18 manageable [1] managed [1] 55/22 management [16] 16/18 16/23 17/6 17/10 18/17 48/17 70/9 70/13 70/14 87/7 89/5 90/22 91/7 92/19 99/23 195/6 manager [9] 69/2 70/19 70/24 71/13 76/16 79/7 86/16 88/9 165/3 managers [26] 8/19 8/21 8/25 10/21 14/17 16/14 68/21 69/16 70/2 70/22 71/2 71/5 71/6 71/10 71/18 76/2 76/12 77/25 79/15 84/1 85/18 86/2 86/4 88/20 91/14 189/17 managing [1] 7/25 mandates [2] 9/10 141/18 mandating [1] 123/9 mandatory [1] 77/22 manner [1] 54/2 many [35] 6/9 7/3 7/8 9/25 14/9 14/12 14/16 15/9 18/2 41/24 48/22 56/13 60/18 64/11 66/5 87/10 87/19 88/10 91/19 106/7 110/14 118/15 118/25 119/1 119/17 125/6 128/15 158/4 168/2 168/20 176/25 177/10 178/25 180/25 194/20 marked [1] 15/16 market [2] 17/14 18/24 masses [1] 59/8 massive [2] 55/25 match [2] 30/12 98/14 maternity [4] 103/18 103/19 171/23 172/4 matron [2] 16/21 70/24

makes [11] 15/18

107/17 107/17 114/4 М 116/11 116/22 116/22 matter [26] 8/17 116/24 117/22 117/25 meet [6] 14/16 39/18 24/23 37/5 41/4 44/4 127/10 133/22 134/11 61/15 67/7 84/3 94/12 139/9 139/16 141/3 95/5 97/9 122/25 142/3 143/21 148/15 130/10 131/17 131/20 149/16 159/3 162/5 148/12 149/6 149/7 162/21 163/23 165/13 160/16 160/17 172/12 166/3 169/13 170/10 172/14 198/19 199/2 172/4 174/15 186/23 199/10 200/25 192/16 197/6 199/14 matters [6] 49/1 62/5 200/4 74/13 97/18 107/24 meaning [3] 17/22 190/23 49/15 52/7 maverick [2] 124/10 meaningful [1] 180/13 108/21 may [53] 4/4 14/6 means [20] 9/6 20/3 30/6 38/22 39/24 20/12 29/13 33/4 40/24 41/20 46/24 38/25 45/22 46/20 52/10 54/14 57/9 51/6 58/6 75/11 85/20 mental [1] 177/2 63/18 86/18 87/17 86/25 93/3 107/20 89/10 90/13 91/8 112/10 157/15 163/13 91/24 94/7 97/10 178/23 196/15 113/23 127/10 128/4 meant [15] 12/9 128/9 130/3 132/1 21/21 50/20 52/8 55/1 132/25 135/6 135/16 67/5 91/2 101/21 136/24 138/6 147/4 102/11 104/1 105/19 147/10 155/13 155/19 144/25 160/3 167/12 156/5 156/11 167/4 185/14 167/25 169/12 169/14 meantime [1] 123/4 170/1 171/10 171/10 measure [5] 10/12 173/20 175/25 176/9 44/20 58/9 99/20 192/6 193/6 194/10 117/21 194/11 199/22 200/16 measurement [1] maybe [11] 35/24 37/5 65/4 85/7 98/21 measurements [1] 104/18 105/24 140/3 11/13 172/23 173/22 194/24 measures [2] 44/16 196/25 182/4 **MDU [1]** 164/15 mechanic [1] 161/22 me [42] 2/24 23/6 mechanism [9] 16/7 30/23 30/23 35/22 79/5 79/12 79/14 37/10 49/9 54/19 114/15 114/16 114/16 60/25 66/9 85/11 174/22 187/6 90/25 93/7 100/12 mechanisms [2] 100/13 102/2 103/20 54/23 82/24 103/25 117/1 136/24 mechanisms for [1] 138/4 143/20 148/11 82/24 148/25 153/1 158/4 media [1] 184/14 160/7 160/8 160/13 medical [41] 2/10 160/15 160/16 162/10 6/20 7/15 7/18 16/21 167/23 171/8 182/13 18/2 18/4 33/18 36/20 183/3 185/1 185/6 37/12 43/2 44/7 44/13 Mid Staffordshire [4] 187/2 187/16 199/7 45/7 46/23 56/14 199/18 56/16 85/13 85/15 mean [64] 4/13 12/6 86/12 92/16 119/18 15/3 18/25 29/4 30/17 119/21 120/6 120/11 33/8 36/5 39/14 40/25 120/15 120/20 120/22 middle-grade [1] 43/1 52/5 57/2 59/3 121/5 121/12 122/7 65/10 69/11 71/2 122/12 122/15 124/1 72/13 75/24 77/1 78/5 124/12 145/21 154/22 82/25 83/13 86/6 154/22 166/9 196/21 86/24 90/13 92/14 201/9 93/5 105/8 106/14 medically [1] 45/8

55/19 61/17 68/1 68/2 medicine [4] 3/18 38/1 168/2 175/3 51/10 112/18 168/14 191/1 meeting [4] 80/13 113/3 113/7 172/22 meetings [2] 73/15 191/2 member [9] 8/12 65/9 68/3 84/12 84/12 94/20 127/18 183/6 192/2 members [9] 22/23 48/25 50/23 68/8 87/7 159/1 178/8 182/18 198/16 memory [3] 6/18 million [1] 9/4 16/17 115/12 56/15 mention [4] 6/4 84/3 181/20 197/2 mentioned [12] 7/23 11/12 60/3 64/23 72/18 88/23 131/4 140/17 149/17 179/17 187/20 193/19 mere [1] 70/17 merge [1] 136/6 190/17 merged [1] 21/9 merging [1] 136/8 merit [1] 184/25 merits [1] 177/5 64/12 67/10 merry [1] 140/13 merry-go-round [1] 140/13 message [4] 93/18 47/19 175/20 169/23 179/1 186/18 **Messenger [4]** 10/23 10/25 11/3 12/2 met [5] 41/2 73/12 78/20 177/11 189/15 method [4] 22/5 74/2 105/24 139/11 51/21 Mid [24] 2/19 6/17 miss [1] 172/1 10/2 15/8 46/17 48/12 48/13 51/22 58/17 61/7 65/23 76/24 92/6 missing [2] 116/15 92/14 100/7 100/20 170/21 101/2 101/5 108/9 126/17 141/2 156/9 156/12 160/1 61/7 101/2 101/5 161/8 108/9 middle [4] 23/19 110/18 26/20 36/15 189/17 189/17 Midwifery [1] 6/21 model [2] 12/4 might [64] 7/17 14/12 171/24 15/16 35/24 38/19 40/23 51/4 52/17 54/14 54/20 54/20

69/10 69/12 69/13 89/6 89/8 90/14 95/3 73/19 79/24 82/16 126/15 135/4 135/24 83/22 87/19 88/1 88/5 137/14 139/24 145/24 88/20 95/2 101/7 152/22 162/11 174/18 101/16 109/13 114/18 Monday [1] 1/1 117/19 121/19 127/22 money [14] 12/19 132/15 134/6 134/24 12/21 26/13 26/18 137/12 138/4 143/23 27/12 28/13 41/3 41/3 144/23 146/17 151/11 72/23 75/6 75/6 82/12 154/23 154/24 156/1 114/21 123/1 157/24 172/19 173/8 monitor [9] 21/14 182/16 190/8 191/20 21/15 22/14 22/17 192/11 192/19 193/1 22/19 97/2 117/20 193/10 194/17 194/23 121/17 186/23 196/25 198/24 199/14 monitored [1] 19/17 199/23 200/9 200/19 **monitoring [2]** 19/19 68/10 millions [2] 56/14 month [1] 45/5 months [1] 165/7 mind [13] 65/22 71/8 moral [4] 39/5 39/13 75/4 85/8 109/1 110/9 187/17 117/17 121/13 122/14 more [111] 3/10 6/14 130/7 143/3 158/7 10/17 10/18 12/11 158/14 195/18 12/21 13/4 13/5 13/6 13/19 15/6 15/18 18/3 minds [4] 37/22 118/11 118/14 119/8 18/15 25/13 33/1 mindset [1] 160/14 33/10 34/13 36/3 36/3 mine [3] 42/15 75/25 36/5 36/25 39/7 39/7 39/8 39/9 39/14 40/4 minimal [1] 64/12 42/14 43/4 49/9 56/12 **minimum [3]** 38/11 56/23 60/2 61/2 67/15 78/10 78/18 78/23 Ministers [1] 100/24 79/3 79/10 79/18 81/9 minority [1] 163/14 81/17 82/11 82/12 88/2 88/12 90/1 90/4 minutes [3] 1/21 90/5 90/19 92/12 92/13 93/17 94/8 mirror [1] 171/10 94/17 94/25 97/10 mirrored [1] 200/19 misconduct [3] 98/8 98/20 99/2 99/3 101/8 133/2 133/15 101/17 101/21 102/12 mislead [1] 169/2 104/6 104/8 105/23 108/19 109/19 110/22 misleading [2] 51/21 112/23 113/25 114/5 misplaced [1] 156/15 117/23 119/10 124/13 124/18 126/16 132/7 133/17 134/10 135/19 missed [1] 88/22 139/21 139/22 139/23 140/8 140/9 141/18 142/6 143/24 146/22 mistake [5] 25/1 35/9 35/21 112/16 162/1 148/2 148/22 153/24 mistaken [1] 164/12 155/9 155/10 165/7 166/2 166/10 166/17 mistakes [2] 48/18 167/13 172/13 174/4 misunderstood [1] 179/6 181/8 186/16 191/25 199/9 201/6 mitigate [1] 40/10 Morecambe [2] 32/17 120/18 mitigated [1] 117/4 **Mmm [2]** 28/14 70/3 Morecambe Bay [1] 120/18 morning [4] 1/3 1/5 moment [24] 16/12 99/21 202/4 17/13 21/4 22/20 **mortality [6]** 52/1 25/25 27/4 47/4 47/15 52/3 123/23 125/9

66/14 67/11 88/10

150/19 155/9 155/10 104/19 111/25 128/20 М namely [4] 41/17 128/9 130/4 130/13 163/21 165/22 166/8 53/16 56/9 94/25 130/17 130/18 130/24 171/15 mortality... [2] 133/11 134/16 134/19 newly [1] 18/22 167/1 170/5 174/21 names [1] 20/22 179/22 196/8 175/15 177/6 183/23 narrowly [1] 105/5 140/2 140/2 141/13 news [3] 57/4 57/5 most [29] 9/12 9/18 national [23] 5/3 19/7 199/12 202/3 144/11 144/18 144/24 58/4 23/11 33/24 35/15 multi [3] 9/4 54/9 21/10 22/25 24/1 38/5 146/8 146/16 150/14 next [11] 1/20 23/25 37/8 37/8 37/17 37/18 39/18 39/21 41/25 153/20 157/4 157/10 130/18 24/2 29/16 36/2 41/16 42/13 46/11 47/7 42/17 48/23 118/1 159/14 163/9 166/12 multi-million [1] 9/4 53/2 55/14 65/17 52/21 57/24 62/13 multiple [1] 135/12 118/8 138/17 144/21 167/24 168/11 168/21 68/20 109/19 67/14 68/6 68/13 149/8 183/15 185/15 173/6 173/6 178/25 NHS [130] 2/19 4/16 multiplicity [1] 79/22 96/17 114/11 143/19 187/17 190/22 191/1 179/1 181/6 181/7 4/21 4/24 5/1 7/1 10/4 117/9 121/20 128/2 194/19 194/21 murdering [1] 192/9 187/20 188/11 188/12 10/22 11/5 11/19 138/16 176/20 182/15 must [27] 33/4 41/10 **National Guardian [6]** 188/13 188/14 188/16 12/13 12/14 12/19 194/21 199/3 41/11 51/20 72/9 189/4 189/12 190/11 16/13 17/10 17/10 183/15 185/15 mothers [1] 167/4 72/10 74/22 74/25 190/22 191/1 194/19 190/12 192/25 193/6 17/14 18/5 18/9 18/15 motivate [1] 67/18 88/5 99/14 105/22 194/21 195/8 196/20 197/3 20/9 20/18 20/25 motivating [1] 74/1 116/1 116/2 117/6 21/13 21/16 21/18 nationally [1] 186/18 197/8 motivation [1] 74/5 125/15 125/22 126/5 **natural** [1] 189/16 **needed [5]** 58/15 23/21 26/20 26/24 motive [1] 177/18 126/12 126/21 126/25 naturally [1] 130/14 62/13 65/25 156/25 27/9 27/11 27/13 move [9] 4/9 9/24 127/1 128/22 130/8 27/14 27/16 27/17 nature [16] 8/11 158/19 16/2 17/9 30/1 41/16 144/3 170/21 201/8 16/18 36/16 40/16 needle [2] 35/21 27/19 30/1 30/2 30/9 61/16 145/22 173/10 201/14 59/8 61/8 76/20 107/4 35/23 31/11 31/16 32/4 moving [6] 20/16 mustn't [2] 51/20 110/8 119/8 128/5 needless [2] 124/4 32/13 32/14 32/23 21/25 23/20 98/7 130/9 159/6 159/9 154/7 35/15 41/17 49/18 51/21 127/8 140/13 162/3 168/20 50/22 53/16 53/22 mutual [1] 124/7 needn't [1] 128/4 **MPS [1]** 164/15 54/11 56/3 56/14 navigating [1] 143/18 needs [37] 11/15 my [79] 1/6 1/16 Mr [22] 1/3 1/10 16/17 20/1 28/18 29/5 near [4] 14/18 97/20 19/6 19/7 31/2 34/5 56/23 57/1 57/17 47/17 91/16 95/6 57/20 60/15 61/25 33/12 37/12 37/20 126/23 127/23 34/7 35/19 37/18 142/16 142/19 142/24 46/12 46/17 47/15 necessarily [24] 9/23 51/10 60/21 65/20 63/23 68/21 72/22 150/19 150/20 150/21 50/13 50/22 51/6 53/2 16/25 18/7 32/3 32/8 65/21 92/23 93/4 74/10 81/21 81/23 151/1 163/17 175/16 53/20 62/8 69/15 58/22 65/13 65/13 93/17 104/11 105/24 82/2 82/4 82/20 83/4 175/16 175/17 186/12 72/22 73/9 85/4 85/4 81/1 81/19 93/14 107/20 116/5 121/3 83/7 83/15 83/21 201/21 203/4 203/6 85/8 88/8 88/23 95/2 94/14 105/11 106/9 130/9 130/15 130/24 84/23 87/2 88/16 89/9 203/7 203/8 95/4 95/19 96/25 97/5 110/9 132/10 163/8 130/25 133/6 134/5 89/17 90/17 90/22 Mr Baker [4] 150/20 164/10 164/14 188/21 97/6 97/17 98/7 141/22 145/8 145/10 95/1 95/20 97/11 150/21 175/16 203/7 101/23 108/19 111/20 195/1 195/11 198/25 162/1 175/5 176/8 100/8 100/8 100/25 Mr de [1] 95/6 199/20 112/6 115/5 121/13 188/19 190/8 197/10 101/11 103/16 113/16 Mr De La Poer [3] 121/25 122/14 125/1 necessary [12] 38/12 199/9 199/10 122/12 129/4 129/9 1/3 47/17 151/1 127/12 127/20 135/9 132/8 135/24 143/12 43/19 73/8 104/18 negative [4] 14/9 Mr De La Poer's [1] 136/15 136/18 136/19 107/18 114/21 120/1 50/19 80/24 186/25 144/16 145/21 153/4 142/24 138/11 138/22 139/9 126/9 155/24 168/16 negligence [1] 2/9 153/9 153/17 154/10 Mr Jeffrey Howe [1] 142/10 142/17 142/21 170/18 193/10 negotiation [1] 102/8 154/18 154/23 155/2 91/16 143/16 146/19 147/7 155/20 160/24 162/15 necessity [1] 46/18 neighbouring [1] Mr Kennedy [1] 148/7 150/17 150/18 162/16 162/20 162/20 need [120] 4/14 5/6 89/20 150/19 12/21 14/1 16/6 32/12 neither [2] 66/4 151/12 154/17 158/2 163/4 164/4 164/15 Mr Skelton [5] 159/16 160/1 161/15 35/2 37/21 37/23 165/3 165/7 171/10 157/6 163/17 175/16 175/17 171/14 171/17 171/25 163/10 167/17 172/17 38/25 40/9 40/12 41/6 neonatal [19] 36/14 201/21 203/8 175/14 176/13 177/5 44/2 51/10 51/14 37/4 37/12 39/17 172/1 172/3 172/11 Mr Tom Carver KC 179/2 183/2 183/21 51/15 51/18 53/3 57/4 40/12 40/22 115/22 174/3 179/9 186/21 **[1]** 186/12 57/11 58/23 59/2 59/4 120/24 162/16 162/20 186/22 187/1 187/4 186/6 191/14 195/18 much [57] 10/6 19/15 my Lady [13] 1/6 62/25 64/24 65/4 65/4 165/23 166/1 166/20 189/8 190/23 23/21 29/25 31/1 47/15 50/13 95/2 65/7 65/8 65/12 65/14 167/1 167/15 167/16 NHS England [23] 42/14 46/17 47/14 65/15 66/13 67/1 168/6 170/22 196/20 11/19 12/13 26/24 136/18 136/19 138/11 55/12 60/2 61/10 142/10 142/17 147/7 70/21 72/15 72/17 **net** [1] 63/21 27/11 27/13 27/16 61/19 62/6 63/8 67/15 150/17 175/14 176/13 74/6 74/19 75/2 75/2 network [3] 90/3 27/19 72/22 81/23 68/5 76/21 78/18 81/9 mvself [5] 71/25 75/7 75/8 75/10 75/12 190/25 191/2 82/2 82/20 83/4 83/7 85/10 88/16 88/24 88/18 98/19 101/16 75/17 81/19 85/23 83/21 88/16 89/9 **Networks [2]** 25/14 94/12 94/24 101/16 125/4 89/9 89/11 89/12 25/16 103/16 135/24 143/12 102/14 104/7 109/16 92/25 93/3 93/4 93/18 never [9] 49/14 74/18 186/22 187/1 187/4 mystery [1] 31/2 110/21 113/14 114/5 94/15 94/23 98/20 74/18 116/2 117/4 190/23 125/13 125/18 127/5 101/17 102/12 102/14 117/12 173/7 180/22 NHS England's [2] 128/7 131/6 134/13 name [7] 1/14 15/14 105/9 105/24 106/1 200/18 81/21 82/4 136/10 136/12 140/8 15/15 22/5 125/6 112/19 117/2 117/3 new [9] 17/6 20/8 NHS Trusts [1] 155/2 140/9 142/7 142/20 133/17 142/21 119/15 122/3 128/8 40/4 63/22 104/18 **NHSE [1]** 140/24

Ν notices [1] 36/2 objected [1] 124/5 notifiable [2] 114/1 **objective [7]** 20/3 nice [5] 19/22 20/1 114/4 20/10 24/15 25/2 20/12 148/16 160/9 notification [1] 114/9 65/22 71/9 170/20 Nightingale [2] 71/20 notion [1] 34/3 objectively [1] 80/17 notional [1] 155/19 **objectives [3]** 78/19 nightmare [1] 167/14 78/20 105/10 now [68] 1/20 3/24 **no [87]** 9/2 9/5 15/11 4/8 6/22 10/6 11/22 obligation [7] 52/23 87/1 18/4 22/15 24/14 19/5 25/18 26/3 26/13 88/8 114/8 154/6 28/25 32/12 34/5 26/16 26/25 28/3 28/4 155/7 156/4 173/19 35/11 35/14 36/2 37/7 33/5 35/11 37/25 obligations [4] 7/13 39/6 42/3 42/16 43/19 38/16 40/1 41/24 44/4 87/12 112/21 195/12 44/1 46/20 51/25 45/11 47/5 47/18 48/5 observation [8] 6/8 53/20 58/19 61/15 49/22 55/11 56/19 8/20 28/15 36/16 64/2 66/2 70/12 70/25 59/5 69/24 74/7 75/13 69/24 139/9 148/1 73/1 73/9 77/1 78/4 77/13 78/10 79/3 81/9 148/8 83/3 84/2 85/11 85/17 81/10 85/2 89/2 89/15 observations [2] 85/21 86/15 86/15 90/21 95/2 95/8 95/14 4/21 4/23 86/19 89/4 90/25 91/1 96/4 98/3 113/22 observe [2] 6/1 69/25 91/18 91/22 92/7 115/6 115/21 117/23 **observed [3]** 98/8 94/13 100/23 102/24 122/11 127/15 129/12 109/1 138/2 109/10 109/11 112/10 131/10 131/12 142/9 observing [1] 167/24 112/24 116/1 121/1 142/16 157/2 157/9 obstetrician [1] 124/24 131/14 144/1 160/19 160/21 176/8 106/5 148/10 149/2 150/5 179/7 182/14 183/11 obstetrician's [1] 151/15 151/21 152/12 187/10 195/17 202/4 101/8 154/6 156/7 163/3 nowhere [1] 193/3 obstetrics [1] 127/21 163/13 165/5 165/10 nuclear [7] 40/20 **obvious [5]** 13/2 165/23 166/19 171/18 90/5 90/7 90/11 93/5 31/15 84/22 157/24 173/3 178/2 178/21 176/10 93/6 161/14 178/22 178/23 179/4 number [41] 3/8 3/17 **obviously [37]** 5/11 180/21 181/17 185/11 5/13 6/5 6/13 7/2 8/1 5/20 14/15 16/16 185/13 191/24 193/15 8/6 9/1 12/10 16/2 18/12 38/20 40/3 43/2 oh [3] 100/12 113/6 193/22 199/22 200/5 16/14 23/16 25/8 46/15 56/9 57/4 60/12 164/1 non [10] 2/18 69/9 30/18 38/22 56/3 56/8 60/22 62/3 69/5 72/15 Okay [2] 146/8 85/18 86/4 86/16 56/10 56/11 56/18 75/10 81/15 83/15 111/22 126/25 155/10 93/2 93/16 93/24 56/22 58/5 59/24 183/6 188/3 71/15 76/15 91/9 97/6 109/24 118/1 122/2 non-clinical [3] 85/18 97/23 108/1 123/5 123/20 130/7 130/9 66/8 86/4 86/16 131/11 134/13 139/9 123/19 134/4 137/1 non-compliance [2] 144/14 153/15 159/18 166/3 173/15 184/23 63/18 111/22 126/25 165/18 169/19 180/23 195/22 198/23 199/4 Non-Executive [1] 184/14 occasion [1] 102/12 64/15 69/9 **numbering [1]** 151/2 occasionally [4] Non-Statutory [1] 77/10 80/4 86/10 numbers [6] 66/4 2/18 104/10 109/3 117/20 121/23 none [5] 23/8 77/14 136/12 183/16 occasions [2] 3/8 80/17 145/1 177/2 numerical [2] 56/2 97/9 norm [1] 124/24 58/3 occur [4] 92/22 normal [3] 94/13 nurse [8] 16/25 70/18 146/17 183/3 194/23 97/19 179/22 92/15 135/5 153/24 occurred [7] 20/23 nostrums [1] 119/4 159/6 160/5 201/7 78/1 81/7 138/4 147/1 not [267] nurses [15] 6/21 7/9 184/18 201/1 notably [1] 97/4 7/23 16/15 37/16 40/4 occurring [4] 25/22 **noted [2]** 60/7 132/2 70/21 71/4 73/16 78/2 46/20 99/14 194/9 **notes** [1] 47/12 80/14 165/14 177/10 occurs [2] 76/5 nothing [14] 29/7 189/18 189/18 146/11 31/1 85/10 91/23 92/8 nursing [3] 6/21 October [1] 202/8 100/11 100/12 101/9 39/17 70/24 odd [1] 66/1 124/13 139/19 164/19 off [17] 29/21 40/19 165/5 184/6 189/7 O 60/11 77/10 88/6 notice [5] 6/12 o'clock [2] 202/5 100/22 131/2 151/1 117/25 132/5 133/20 202/7 151/1 171/18 172/12 188/5 object [1] 5/4 172/14 173/2 173/13

173/14 198/16 200/9 68/24 69/9 70/6 73/15 offer [1] 178/22 75/20 76/9 78/13 offered [1] 102/11 78/17 78/22 80/4 81/2 offering [2] 20/4 81/7 81/24 82/16 192/21 82/19 82/19 82/22 Office [1] 3/11 84/25 85/16 86/4 officer [3] 34/10 82/9 87/15 93/8 96/2 96/8 97/9 99/7 100/4 offices [1] 73/13 101/15 102/11 103/10 106/4 106/8 106/22 official [1] 58/21 often [65] 8/5 9/16 107/8 108/4 108/22 11/23 13/6 13/10 112/16 112/19 113/3 13/12 13/18 13/20 116/12 116/19 118/1 14/20 17/4 23/18 29/1 118/8 119/7 120/9 29/13 29/17 30/22 120/16 120/20 121/15 32/5 35/19 35/20 122/1 123/12 123/13 37/21 49/11 49/15 127/18 129/1 130/20 49/15 63/11 63/13 130/21 131/17 132/5 65/11 65/18 66/14 132/21 134/19 135/6 137/18 139/8 139/21 66/17 66/22 70/2 71/20 89/17 94/18 140/18 140/24 140/25 98/8 98/17 100/20 141/5 141/18 141/25 105/13 105/16 121/25 142/1 142/21 142/23 123/2 128/5 130/22 143/17 144/8 145/4 135/12 152/22 157/14 145/22 147/8 147/12 161/11 161/20 168/9 147/15 148/5 148/5 170/4 170/15 170/21 148/13 148/25 150/23 171/20 172/21 175/1 151/23 151/24 152/20 175/1 175/4 178/5 154/5 154/6 154/20 178/7 178/13 178/17 155/7 156/7 157/19 183/22 183/25 189/9 158/4 160/9 160/10 189/18 201/2 160/13 164/16 165/17 165/20 167/13 167/20 168/10 171/12 172/20 173/10 174/10 175/18 163/18 176/1 176/8 176/9 178/9 178/22 179/8 old [1] 66/8 181/19 181/24 182/2 old-fashioned [1] 184/9 184/10 184/22 **Ombudsman [2]** 54/8 186/12 187/17 189/2 190/2 190/3 190/8 Ombudsman's [1] 193/15 193/22 194/15 194/18 197/7 197/16 omission [1] 51/22 200/1 on [201] 3/3 3/8 4/12 once [6] 78/16 97/7 6/15 7/1 7/7 8/4 8/9 110/6 133/17 152/22 8/13 8/19 9/9 9/14 157/22 one [188] 5/3 5/14 9/18 9/24 11/19 11/19 11/25 12/20 12/23 8/24 9/1 10/5 11/19 13/9 14/20 15/12 12/2 12/4 13/2 15/11 15/21 16/2 16/20 19/12 19/25 20/1 23/9 17/14 18/4 19/14 27/6 27/6 28/1 29/2 23/25 25/12 27/10 29/11 29/17 30/17 29/17 29/19 30/1 30/4 30/18 32/25 33/11 30/9 31/19 36/2 36/22 35/1 35/11 35/14 36/2 37/5 39/5 41/16 43/11 36/20 37/19 38/20 44/2 46/18 47/12 43/6 43/10 44/4 44/15 48/15 48/16 48/25 44/21 45/15 46/5 50/13 50/25 51/4 46/16 46/18 46/19 51/17 51/24 52/22 47/7 48/16 48/21 54/9 54/12 54/21 55/4 50/17 51/4 51/13 57/15 59/23 60/14 52/21 52/21 58/17 60/15 61/16 61/23 59/3 59/6 60/9 60/17 62/17 63/21 64/3 60/25 61/7 61/12

180/10 183/16 185/16 131/24 132/5 139/10 41/14 44/6 46/22 0 overly [1] 105/6 195/11 141/17 154/6 154/21 48/11 53/22 54/11 overseeing [2] 66/3 one... [131] 63/10 open [18] 24/18 157/13 168/15 169/21 55/3 59/6 59/10 61/3 111/14 64/5 64/6 64/19 64/23 51/13 52/5 52/20 170/10 178/8 188/11 62/4 62/15 64/14 oversight [2] 6/6 67/21 69/5 69/12 52/21 73/2 152/18 188/16 188/23 191/12 64/21 68/9 71/19 131/25 69/24 75/13 76/9 77/1 154/14 155/9 159/10 197/24 72/11 72/14 76/15 oversimplification [1] 79/5 83/10 84/3 86/17 159/14 159/24 160/22 organisation's [1] 89/18 93/12 96/9 142/2 86/18 87/17 88/21 161/4 170/3 174/10 154/4 97/23 98/1 98/16 overtly [1] 132/7 88/22 89/16 89/22 188/17 193/10 100/7 103/25 106/25 overview [2] 60/20 organisational [4] 90/10 91/1 91/3 93/1 25/21 155/4 164/21 107/12 112/7 115/14 openness [18] 35/3 188/9 94/9 94/13 94/25 35/19 50/6 50/15 168/13 116/6 117/15 119/1 overwork [1] 39/8 96/17 97/5 98/9 99/12 50/17 72/24 73/8 119/14 119/17 119/20 own [25] 10/21 14/23 organisationally [1] 100/22 102/12 102/21 111/3 114/8 151/4 190/10 120/11 122/11 123/18 14/23 32/5 32/18 102/22 103/20 105/12 152/3 153/14 156/13 124/23 125/6 126/19 32/19 36/4 36/25 organisations [17] 106/6 109/8 109/20 158/19 159/17 171/19 5/9 10/18 11/18 12/11 128/3 129/6 130/11 50/23 51/10 59/18 112/15 116/8 116/11 173/4 173/6 15/4 23/16 51/9 97/24 143/4 147/23 149/21 62/8 70/6 75/20 98/8 116/18 116/21 118/12 98/4 122/6 124/23 149/25 151/4 154/1 124/3 127/9 130/21 operated [1] 22/24 119/10 123/12 124/24 operating [1] 8/22 126/21 136/5 141/13 154/2 157/17 157/18 161/7 163/2 168/21 126/14 127/11 127/15 operation [3] 9/5 163/14 182/15 193/19 163/11 177/14 179/1 177/18 193/22 195/21 128/16 131/16 131/25 181/9 186/9 191/8 196/1 125/5 127/20 organise [1] 90/17 132/24 132/25 134/2 operations [2] 125/7 organised [1] 88/1 198/13 198/14 134/23 135/5 135/7 P 125/9 original [2] 88/23 outcome [6] 43/12 135/9 140/5 140/13 paediatric [5] 56/9 opinion [5] 55/10 181/20 54/5 58/11 81/1 140/20 143/11 144/1 103/17 123/20 123/20 64/22 85/4 120/2 152/13 200/25 originally [2] 45/9 144/16 145/22 146/18 125/14 121/6 122/7 outcomes [6] 11/14 147/18 149/9 150/10 other [59] 7/18 11/20 page [31] 15/22 30/4 124/18 124/18 124/21 opinions [1] 170/17 151/15 151/18 151/24 31/9 33/9 36/15 41/19 14/25 21/23 23/8 127/1 128/17 opportunity [11] 153/4 153/17 153/18 44/9 50/13 53/13 14/15 30/7 41/21 57/6 24/14 26/16 29/12 outlier [1] 52/3 155/19 156/8 157/3 61/24 68/24 76/9 63/24 88/22 102/23 40/17 48/16 69/8 71/9 outliers [1] 149/22 157/4 157/24 158/8 81/24 96/2 103/21 114/19 139/14 172/1 73/25 78/17 79/14 outline [2] 14/20 158/17 158/18 159/23 103/21 103/22 108/4 174/23 86/18 88/19 89/18 175/24 159/25 161/11 163/4 90/8 90/12 93/3 94/12 outset [3] 49/6 63/19 110/25 111/1 111/6 oppose [1] 87/7 166/11 168/13 169/7 144/17 147/15 147/18 106/7 107/23 109/8 159/21 **opposed [2]** 116/6 171/18 172/12 172/14 147/19 151/2 162/8 110/5 120/5 126/4 outside [8] 18/16 173/2 173/13 173/14 162/8 162/11 181/19 opposite [2] 170/2 129/9 130/16 130/21 23/14 23/17 136/3 175/18 176/18 177/9 181/21 131/20 134/10 138/20 138/13 146/13 195/1 180/5 178/22 179/1 179/4 page 10 [1] 147/15 139/10 140/14 144/24 oppressive [1] 186/9 196/18 180/21 181/9 182/16 page 11 [1] 147/18 optics [1] 159/2 145/2 145/22 146/22 outsider [1] 67/11 184/23 185/13 186/24 page 12 [2] 50/13 option [1] 38/23 148/3 149/16 150/1 outsourced [1] 133/7 187/3 188/25 189/23 151/24 153/7 153/9 151/2 or [233] outstanding [1] 190/8 190/25 193/10 page 19 [1] 162/8 orbit [1] 23/7 156/23 157/4 158/23 127/16 193/19 195/5 195/11 orbiting [1] 23/2 159/1 159/19 159/22 outward [1] 149/14 page 20 [1] 162/8 195/18 197/2 197/18 order [12] 17/25 28/7 164/8 167/2 173/23 page 3 [2] 15/22 96/2 outward-looking [1] 198/3 198/9 198/18 35/7 35/17 40/4 41/4 175/19 176/19 176/21 page 38 [2] 30/4 149/14 200/5 200/10 162/11 58/1 159/4 159/11 178/24 outwards [1] 156/15 one's [1] 163/2 page 41 [1] 31/9 166/22 180/17 189/2 over [19] 10/19 14/3 others [19] 2/13 one-off [2] 172/14 page 42 [1] 33/9 orders [2] 9/8 9/9 19/19 27/25 30/18 15/19 49/25 50/10 173/2 page 47 [1] 36/15 ordinary [1] 65/9 63/5 69/10 94/4 41/25 42/16 78/11 ones [6] 27/21 52/22 page 56 [1] 41/19 ordinate [1] 136/4 101/13 101/16 106/18 98/24 99/4 101/14 73/12 99/2 184/13 page 64 [2] 53/13 ordination [4] 11/17 115/10 143/13 150/7 117/23 121/23 152/25 189/14 108/4 43/24 143/1 145/14 151/11 156/16 171/24 155/16 171/4 180/18 ongoing [1] 174/6 174/5 177/10 182/21 page 69 [1] 61/24 organisation [59] 5/2 186/23 201/24 online [1] 125/5 page 75 [1] 68/24 5/16 5/18 5/19 10/12 otherwise [2] 121/22 overall [2] 86/19 only [39] 19/25 24/7 page 81 [3] 76/9 11/10 19/22 20/19 201/14 200/2 27/1 28/5 28/6 28/18 111/1 111/6 29/7 32/4 48/15 50/25 ought [6] 20/14 46/13 overarching [8] 7/24 38/14 39/3 44/19 46/2 page 82 [1] 110/25 51/25 52/23 57/6 59/2 62/1 86/25 109/18 18/18 50/17 105/10 55/11 57/8 58/9 60/17 60/14 60/20 61/19 page 84 [1] 81/24 196/16 114/8 134/23 173/6 64/9 94/1 101/1 our [5] 36/25 37/9 page 99 [1] 181/21 61/21 66/9 69/10 181/23 101/13 110/3 111/20 paid [1] 128/23 69/19 69/20 69/21 49/22 68/20 168/21 overburdening [1] 111/24 113/5 114/10 **PALS [1]** 55/1 70/12 71/1 72/22 73/1 ourselves [2] 28/9 88/17 126/25 128/22 130/15 panel [2] 101/25 73/20 75/1 75/9 75/23 63/16 overcome [2] 99/14 135/20 144/12 144/20 144/14 79/24 82/23 84/11 out [71] 1/19 13/23 185/5 150/18 151/18 160/15 paper [1] 31/22 85/1 87/2 87/11 90/1 19/3 19/10 20/12 overlapping [1] 163/13 166/22 178/25 paradigm [2] 179/17 109/2 109/18 110/11 27/22 36/1 36/18 99/17

158/11 158/25 159/7 109/22 110/12 110/14 177/24 P Part 2 [9] 4/1 4/18 30/3 41/19 50/5 50/13 159/16 159/18 164/2 112/2 112/3 114/17 permissions [1] paradigm... [1] 68/23 147/14 197/19 166/6 166/11 172/6 114/24 116/17 117/10 136/18 192/10 Part C [1] 44/12 172/18 174/9 174/23 118/15 119/5 119/10 perpetrator [1] paragraph [14] 4/14 Participants [2] 48/4 176/20 176/20 192/9 122/18 127/24 128/4 199/20 15/21 26/13 28/8 195/8 197/4 198/6 128/14 128/15 129/15 perpetrators [2] 136/18 28/16 31/9 36/15 38/7 201/10 129/20 129/25 130/5 participate [1] 46/7 119/1 133/16 44/9 59/11 72/12 96/2 130/13 131/22 132/23 particular [47] 11/11 patient's [1] 86/9 perpetuating [1] 96/6 129/3 16/19 18/12 20/13 patients [39] 3/21 134/15 136/4 137/13 10/16 paragraph 1.10 [1] 138/16 138/19 138/21 22/4 26/5 32/9 36/18 5/24 18/1 20/7 23/11 **persecuted** [1] 179/3 28/8 38/3 38/18 38/21 33/17 41/9 43/13 139/2 139/13 140/1 persistent [1] 34/7 paragraph 1.9 [1] 46/15 57/14 61/12 43/14 50/24 52/24 140/13 141/4 141/20 persists [1] 28/20 15/21 143/22 145/11 148/13 person [42] 4/24 67/12 68/9 68/10 53/22 63/4 67/1 73/10 paragraph 1.9.28 [1] 69/14 71/11 78/10 73/17 108/12 129/22 153/1 153/1 153/12 5/20 15/13 29/18 45/8 26/13 79/17 96/5 96/9 96/10 129/25 133/2 133/17 153/19 157/9 157/21 55/3 60/17 63/14 66/8 paragraph 2.72 [1] 104/6 106/8 106/18 141/14 148/21 153/5 158/4 160/4 161/5 76/25 77/3 84/4 84/10 129/3 108/10 108/17 115/24 154/15 154/18 156/14 161/7 161/11 161/12 84/13 84/18 84/24 paragraph 5.3.5 [1] 159/12 163/24 165/20 161/17 164/16 166/5 118/19 119/11 121/19 85/17 86/21 88/13 31/9 123/8 123/25 124/19 167/3 170/25 174/7 166/7 167/25 169/12 94/7 106/9 106/14 paragraph 5.4.3 [1] 125/17 132/1 137/4 175/2 194/4 194/10 170/2 170/6 173/17 131/24 134/22 137/2 38/7 144/19 145/12 145/25 194/11 195/15 201/14 175/9 176/5 176/22 138/7 140/5 157/4 paragraph 6.2.19 [1] 148/17 148/18 161/21 177/17 177/21 186/8 patronising [1] 65/10 178/3 178/11 180/24 44/9 173/10 174/12 pattern [2] 46/22 181/5 181/7 182/18 186/11 187/25 188/4 paragraph 7.11.1 [1] particularly [22] 9/14 132/2 183/2 186/10 187/8 189/12 191/15 191/16 59/11 18/3 22/5 27/11 27/21 patterns [2] 121/18 187/12 187/18 187/20 191/22 192/24 193/20 parallel [1] 103/6 188/12 188/14 188/16 194/1 194/2 51/21 65/2 82/11 131/25 parapet [1] 179/3 188/18 189/4 189/7 91/19 104/10 133/14 Pausing [1] 19/25 person's [1] 144/4 parent [3] 54/20 137/1 145/21 167/3 pay [3] 20/9 122/3 189/9 189/10 189/15 personal [13] 13/21 61/14 61/17 167/10 167/15 168/3 168/9 189/17 189/24 191/4 51/4 60/10 61/6 63/6 parental [1] 166/5 168/20 170/21 187/7 193/19 196/16 197/10 64/21 78/13 78/20 paying [3] 20/13 parents [12] 37/6 197/4 200/1 61/10 66/9 198/3 199/3 200/23 79/25 82/16 100/11 41/10 50/24 53/3 parties [1] 2/13 payment [1] 20/25 201/16 110/22 177/19 166/5 166/10 166/15 partly [2] 28/22 72/17 pdf [1] 162/8 people's [7] 29/21 personalisation [1] 167/7 167/14 169/4 Partnership [1] pediatrician [3] 37/22 55/10 55/12 177/12 169/5 200/18 139/18 143/21 144/13 167/23 67/16 118/14 178/9 personally [5] 75/17 park [1] 126/14 107/10 132/18 135/18 Partnerships [1] peer [2] 88/25 90/6 peoples' [1] 119/8 parking [1] 60/23 26/2 **pejorative [3]** 49/12 perceive [1] 121/7 194/22 **Parliament [1]** 26/18 parts [3] 18/3 53/8 49/15 70/10 persons [2] 51/9 perceived [2] 28/24 Parliamentary [1] 3/7 179/22 52/10 71/17 penalty [1] 111/23 part [72] 4/1 4/1 4/18 party [1] 184/9 penetrate [1] 143/25 perennial [1] 91/10 perspective [4] 30/9 16/1 17/3 18/14 21/22 passage [2] 11/11 **people [174]** 5/1 6/1 perfect [2] 27/4 70/6 76/6 201/18 23/11 30/3 30/12 147/23 8/24 9/6 9/25 10/19 155/20 persuaded [1] 91/17 33/11 33/13 34/20 12/22 14/19 20/7 perfectly [3] 113/10 passing [1] 8/9 phone [1] 144/14 36/10 36/18 41/19 past [4] 108/2 142/12 23/10 23/19 24/17 157/9 160/10 phrase [1] 112/11 41/22 42/9 43/6 43/9 26/9 29/13 33/14 34/1 perform [1] 45/21 184/13 200/9 phrases [1] 98/15 44/12 44/18 45/10 35/7 35/12 36/6 36/21 performance [11] physical [2] 92/17 patch [1] 146/24 46/24 50/5 50/13 37/9 37/17 37/23 pathologies [1] 19/17 20/20 50/19 93/1 53/13 57/1 59/8 62/23 147/22 39/11 40/5 42/7 43/25 51/11 59/23 62/8 82/7 physiotherapist [3] 68/23 76/9 79/22 Pathologists [2] 45/3 46/2 46/3 46/6 47/12 126/21 127/21 128/11 70/18 134/24 135/8 79/23 84/5 93/23 49/17 51/13 51/14 pick [3] 47/1 59/6 121/24 128/12 95/22 95/25 96/2 patient [62] 5/14 51/17 52/16 58/6 perhaps [30] 9/20 131/2 106/16 108/3 109/2 19/21 30/20 33/22 58/15 58/23 61/20 10/14 11/1 11/9 12/18 picture [6] 7/20 38/4 109/7 109/20 111/13 42/18 42/23 43/1 43/6 62/17 63/8 65/3 65/8 14/25 15/6 15/19 39/21 48/23 49/20 111/16 114/10 114/10 43/9 43/20 44/21 67/18 69/16 72/16 21/25 22/6 23/1 38/21 179/13 114/11 114/20 115/8 54/20 54/25 67/24 73/17 73/21 74/3 42/3 52/21 54/14 58/8 piece [5] 8/6 31/22 115/13 117/7 117/9 68/2 68/8 74/21 74/23 74/13 74/14 75/15 79/10 81/14 87/18 95/11 109/6 109/11 120/10 126/10 126/13 75/4 75/5 75/9 112/16 75/20 75/24 76/22 98/18 102/22 118/9 pieces [1] 109/3 128/1 143/4 143/4 112/18 113/2 113/4 77/7 77/9 77/11 77/17 132/6 135/19 137/20 pilot [9] 24/20 24/21 143/5 147/14 148/13 113/12 114/6 114/12 80/20 82/15 82/19 147/12 168/7 181/1 25/1 44/24 123/5 152/16 153/7 153/14 115/5 146/24 151/18 84/21 85/3 85/4 86/17 185/24 187/24 123/6 131/5 161/3 154/20 163/19 187/17 151/20 151/24 151/25 90/15 90/19 92/3 93/2 Perinatal [1] 38/1 161/19 197/19 200/8 200/12 152/5 152/10 152/17 97/24 98/19 99/22 period [5] 40/7 53/9 **pilots [2]** 40/18 Part 1 [5] 4/1 95/22 153/25 154/3 156/6 100/21 100/25 101/20 57/14 98/24 125/24 161/20 96/2 115/8 115/13 107/22 109/16 109/21 permeate [2] 170/10 156/18 157/15 157/19 pithily [1] 71/21

174/14 176/6 176/7 142/1 159/7 159/7 P 186/23 preventing [1] 179/11 188/22 188/25 powers [3] 28/3 107/21 171/17 176/14 191/8 pithy [1] 72/3 193/17 193/25 195/12 111/22 186/22 previous [5] 80/11 198/3 place [50] 5/16 10/8 197/13 practical [6] 18/24 95/1 95/20 104/17 procedure [6] 60/11 16/20 29/17 31/15 pointing [1] 149/21 102/2 104/22 105/12 106/7 60/17 62/4 86/15 31/25 32/22 33/3 34/2 points [5] 63/14 64/6 108/24 150/9 previously [3] 20/9 124/19 146/2 35/24 43/18 45/12 procedures [4] 39/1 140/20 156/8 181/23 practice [20] 5/20 25/22 115/7 46/8 55/2 68/20 74/17 7/16 34/14 36/4 37/12 police [11] 130/19 primary [6] 20/16 116/21 146/1 196/3 76/8 80/1 85/23 88/25 130/20 138/20 138/23 37/13 57/24 58/2 25/13 25/16 26/22 proceedings [1] 89/7 89/22 89/23 139/9 139/10 192/20 85/17 89/3 94/10 200/1 66/6 129/9 91/16 119/5 120/23 193/5 196/22 198/24 94/13 97/19 116/23 principal [3] 106/25 process [50] 25/6 121/19 126/6 127/11 199/16 149/25 150/8 156/24 176/14 197/18 43/18 45/18 46/8 129/15 132/22 133/4 policies [3] 76/21 157/1 181/8 191/20 47/11 55/19 63/20 principally [2] 7/8 133/7 133/9 138/9 195/25 196/3 63/21 66/22 66/24 practices [2] 39/22 47/5 145/22 146/2 146/24 policy [1] 132/20 185/11 **principle [3]** 60/8 67/5 67/6 67/9 76/10 146/25 147/3 147/6 politely [1] 80/8 practitioner [3] 2/8 96/22 199/3 76/11 76/13 77/20 166/22 168/6 168/24 77/21 79/5 83/8 84/20 political [1] 122/25 2/12 5/15 principles [12] 29/5 182/14 183/7 183/25 politicians [4] 70/9 precede [1] 144/23 31/16 31/16 32/5 85/21 93/4 96/7 100/5 184/4 185/24 187/3 71/5 140/23 141/4 precise [1] 16/6 61/25 103/13 163/12 102/19 106/17 109/5 placed [1] 8/4 poor [5] 38/23 63/14 precisely [3] 96/23 181/7 181/9 181/12 112/7 112/8 112/13 places [20] 8/7 30/25 156/17 164/3 171/24 113/9 113/13 114/13 124/24 135/6 181/13 185/19 58/20 60/25 62/12 popped [1] 165/9 predecessor [2] print [1] 125/8 114/14 114/20 121/25 62/12 62/12 78/22 prior [3] 163/24 popular [2] 9/18 27/25 29/15 126/2 130/4 135/3 89/18 90/8 145/20 49/14 165/10 165/15 152/3 163/9 170/20 predecessors [1] 167/17 168/2 173/23 port [1] 195/10 172/13 173/3 174/22 155/2 **priorities** [2] 24/2 174/1 182/21 183/1 174/24 176/7 193/24 posed [1] 69/25 predicated [1] 155/16 183/18 183/19 193/4 174/10 196/22 position [18] 16/16 **prioritise** [3] 74/20 plainly [2] 21/4 56/25 19/1 27/8 38/9 38/10 predicted [1] 113/1 75/8 123/3 **processes** [9] 39/1 Plan [2] 20/25 25/23 39/20 41/12 56/19 **preferably [1]** 175/7 priority [6] 19/18 42/10 43/10 64/10 plane [3] 24/20 40/19 61/24 63/16 76/7 33/17 41/1 41/4 89/5 113/16 114/23 prejudices [1] 40/19 135/22 194/3 76/14 87/23 115/3 159/16 135/12 197/25 planet [1] 9/19 115/4 129/17 138/5 prejudicing [1] 67/16 private [2] 101/25 produce [12] 11/12 planets [2] 5/8 23/3 143/23 132/17 preliminary [2] 4/20 24/22 76/19 77/14 planned [1] 152/23 positions [5] 77/12 **pro [1]** 200/2 129/8 135/13 136/5 planning [2] 40/1 99/23 100/22 139/3 prematurely [1] proactive [4] 47/9 139/22 144/16 150/5 40/2 112/3 50/18 50/20 191/25 169/25 175/7 189/16 plans [2] 23/23 26/7 positive [10] 14/8 premise [1] 17/20 proactively [1] 52/15 produce preferably **play [5]** 153/14 14/14 15/2 49/10 **premises** [1] 6/23 probably [33] 22/24 **[1]** 175/7 169/17 176/2 182/11 36/24 37/8 39/4 39/23 produced [5] 59/20 50/19 89/22 93/22 premium [1] 130/22 187/1 94/22 97/3 110/3 preoccupation [1] 54/24 55/21 56/10 64/2 103/16 119/4 played [1] 21/17 possess [1] 75/16 29/20 60/24 61/1 62/14 65/6 165/21 **playground** [1] 49/13 possibility [2] 115/17 prepared [1] 137/11 76/17 88/24 89/12 produces [3] 32/4 playing [1] 153/7 89/25 98/20 102/20 78/24 141/8 118/14 presence [1] 93/2 please [17] 1/6 1/8 105/9 114/7 127/12 **producing [3]** 67/6 possible [12] 37/23 present [3] 87/16 1/11 1/13 15/21 35/22 67/15 107/14 117/21 127/13 130/14 133/14 115/2 169/11 176/1 182/11 36/17 44/12 47/21 133/19 143/24 145/1 117/24 117/25 125/1 presented [5] 7/24 profession [3] 8/13 53/11 69/4 70/4 72/14 126/23 127/23 133/14 146/22 155/11 160/19 70/24 166/10 104/23 112/20 129/16 91/12 102/13 108/4 163/13 178/13 197/13 136/8 145/6 179/13 professional [26] 2/9 121/3 probity [2] 72/15 possibly [3] 101/19 presents [1] 99/14 7/21 8/12 8/14 17/1 plethora [2] 32/7 109/14 139/1 **President [1]** 41/9 137/18 37/13 40/2 77/6 77/6 134/9 post [2] 15/15 165/6 **pressure [5]** 9/14 problem [27] 15/8 78/21 85/15 87/11 **pm [5]** 95/16 95/18 **postnatal** [1] 167/5 82/17 82/17 82/19 30/16 41/6 41/7 47/2 87/12 88/8 101/12 142/13 142/15 202/6 148/5 52/1 62/15 76/21 77/4 126/11 126/11 133/7 potential [7] 106/12 Poer [6] 1/3 1/10 80/10 84/22 89/6 134/9 135/14 138/18 129/10 130/3 139/3 pressures [4] 12/18 47/17 95/6 151/1 150/14 161/25 190/8 14/10 87/13 142/4 91/10 98/7 104/22 153/23 154/17 155/6 203/4 potentially [10] 37/6 pretty [15] 75/14 78/6 105/17 105/23 106/12 164/18 165/14 Poer's [1] 142/24 69/21 107/9 116/14 107/16 128/2 133/20 82/6 85/5 105/8 professional's [1] point [33] 5/5 5/14 116/24 122/3 122/15 107/11 122/22 124/22 136/12 161/3 161/6 125/22 10/5 11/6 31/17 40/14 143/14 190/10 195/14 127/25 145/9 163/7 179/4 180/15 185/9 professionalism [1] 41/6 45/1 53/15 87/15 pound [1] 9/4 164/13 183/11 194/18 problematic [1] 84/7 36/22 91/18 91/22 92/7 93/5 power [12] 6/19 196/24 problems [17] 28/25 professionally [1] 93/17 110/5 114/17 20/18 40/20 81/15 30/15 61/7 62/13 prevarication [1] 65/2 143/9 145/1 145/9 90/5 90/7 90/11 93/5 67/12 73/19 75/7 51/2 professionals [8] 152/23 163/21 172/23 93/6 93/7 185/18 80/12 80/15 141/24 17/7 107/23 124/8 prevent [1] 157/5

21/15 26/8 38/12 62/18 74/11 74/12 80/7 136/17 136/20 P 45/14 45/18 45/22 106/25 112/10 123/15 142/23 150/18 150/23 rating [3] 13/16 professionals... [5] 46/9 50/10 51/5 66/9 123/17 182/10 188/9 150/24 175/18 135/3 135/4 153/22 67/11 72/1 108/8 purposes [3] 46/5 quick [1] 196/24 155/9 200/20 108/21 125/12 139/14 49/22 128/23 quicker [1] 46/4 professions [1] 168/11 196/14 purse [1] 12/15 quickly [8] 4/9 108/13 provided [15] 3/23 push [1] 117/14 **Professor [9]** 6/12 127/25 130/23 177/16 reaction [1] 65/18 3/25 12/25 19/12 put [37] 6/13 6/15 30/8 30/11 124/6 19/16 20/2 20/15 8/10 24/1 29/1 34/14 194/18 140/18 149/18 154/4 22/25 51/1 51/18 34/18 35/22 57/7 59/9 quite [34] 13/12 29/8 188/5 197/16 51/20 66/11 109/13 59/10 68/5 68/11 43/7 49/12 55/8 55/20 **Professor** 148/20 182/4 71/21 82/19 86/4 99/6 62/14 70/12 71/21 Dixon-Wood [1] 81/8 84/24 90/8 93/24 reading [2] 145/3 provider [12] 12/21 101/20 116/10 123/13 149/18 18/13 18/23 19/9 125/5 127/11 128/25 96/23 98/1 102/19 **Professor** 131/15 132/12 147/22 106/2 117/20 125/10 19/12 27/14 28/21 Dixon-Woods [6] 50/22 51/11 69/7 154/5 156/17 157/15 128/9 128/13 133/13 6/12 30/8 30/11 111/17 168/15 160/17 175/5 178/10 139/20 154/1 159/23 140/18 188/5 197/16 providers [18] 5/23 178/15 180/14 181/19 163/16 170/4 177/24 **Professor Kennedy** 178/17 183/10 189/15 109/12 119/3 5/25 6/24 8/4 12/19 187/3 197/19 **[2]** 124/6 154/4 14/16 17/15 18/8 19/3 puts [1] 119/9 190/11 191/18 194/16 reality [3] 12/12 programme [1] 26/5 26/7 26/15 26/16 putting [9] 9/19 quotation [2] 12/3 149/19 26/21 79/18 113/25 35/21 35/23 49/10 72/3 progress [2] 54/4 126/22 171/15 51/23 105/25 124/18 quote [1] 71/21 121/22 provides [3] 20/12 149/21 193/14 quoted [1] 147/24 project [1] 123/6 53/1 139/19 puzzles [1] 30/23 projects [1] 123/5 providing [11] 16/15 **puzzling** [1] 7/10 proliferation [1] radical [2] 156/22 20/4 46/6 69/1 109/18 134/3 157/21 157/13 178/3 178/14 prompted [1] 81/16 187/11 201/15 201/22 qualification [4] 9/2 rail [1] 24/13 promulgating [1] raise [10] 7/12 35/8 provision [10] 6/16 9/5 70/17 166/4 145/17 17/21 43/3 50/18 51/8 qualifications [1] 54/21 110/14 130/2 proper [15] 58/16 51/3 131/22 153/12 192/13 51/11 52/11 52/13 84/4 84/10 84/13 qualified [3] 45/8 192/25 193/2 74/21 149/14 84/18 84/21 84/24 121/16 199/8 raised [19] 48/15 **provisions** [1] 19/15 85/4 85/9 85/12 86/20 qualities [3] 70/21 48/18 64/25 81/16 **provoked [1]** 178/14 88/13 137/2 170/19 137/5 137/17 92/2 92/15 176/19 psychological [1] 186/8 176/22 177/11 177/23 quality [21] 6/23 187/12 properly [20] 13/21 11/19 13/9 19/16 178/3 179/10 179/21 public [21] 2/18 43/7 46/10 46/11 19/20 21/22 22/8 186/10 192/2 193/12 22/23 50/24 60/6 51/10 54/1 58/14 65/10 68/4 68/5 68/12 59/15 59/22 59/23 193/21 197/4 199/15 62/16 62/24 65/21 raises [1] 85/8 62/20 79/16 82/13 91/9 96/15 108/9 66/11 68/5 73/9 97/4 94/16 135/20 135/21 raising [11] 65/8 92/3 110/7 110/8 123/11 121/14 121/15 168/16 137/3 166/20 193/5 110/4 130/8 130/11 126/17 126/22 127/18 173/25 175/12 182/23 128/8 137/10 171/16 195/11 195/15 177/17 179/2 181/7 **proportion [2]** 56/16 quarter [2] 95/15 181/25 183/23 189/25 198/12 179/9 142/12 range [2] 124/25 publication [2] propose [1] 3/11 quarters [1] 193/1 189/14 102/23 102/24 **proposing [1]** 102/1 rare [9] 107/8 107/19 quasi [1] 36/25 **publicised** [1] 180/7 propositions [1] Queen's [1] 1/24 118/2 118/8 118/9 **publish [1]** 67/15 107/15 129/23 129/25 138/12 question [23] 45/25 **published** [6] 4/12 pros [1] 87/5 54/15 54/15 59/7 196/24 13/11 13/12 22/22 prosecute [1] 111/22 69/25 71/14 71/23 rarely [1] 66/6 57/18 63/22 protect [4] 74/21 76/4 108/17 108/23 pull [2] 68/2 141/7 rates [1] 137/11 75/9 178/1 195/8 111/6 128/20 128/21 rather [32] 13/22 punish [1] 25/1 protection [4] 28/4 128/24 134/6 134/7 14/2 14/13 17/16 punished [1] 35/10 43/4 88/13 154/22 135/6 142/24 143/2 27/11 32/22 34/13 **punishing** [1] 112/3 **protects** [1] 88/3 143/16 148/7 151/14 38/6 54/18 62/18 punishment [1] protocol [3] 130/15 174/5 77/18 78/10 79/10 35/11 192/12 196/19 punitive [3] 12/4 13/8 Questioned [10] 1/10 79/18 95/3 103/24 **prove [3]** 117/2 136/22 142/19 150/21 112/2 113/9 114/2 80/23 119/12 192/24 114/15 119/11 127/10 109/2 114/20 175/17 203/4 203/5 purchase [1] 18/23 proved [1] 186/7 203/6 203/7 203/8 138/23 146/25 152/22 purchasers [1] 18/8 provide [24] 5/4 5/9 questioning [1] 1/16 153/24 166/5 174/13 **purchases** [1] 17/15 5/24 8/5 12/21 18/1 174/22 177/22 180/6 purpose [10] 46/6 questions [9] 48/3

127/15 128/13 rational [1] 20/2 reachable [1] 191/2 reached [1] 58/13 114/24 114/24 124/22 reaching [1] 170/23 read [10] 42/12 54/11 62/7 74/9 115/14 119/14 126/18 129/6 140/17 158/5 146/19 readmitted [1] 128/15 real [7] 18/1 58/24 76/21 83/19 100/17 50/16 138/16 really [36] 5/8 12/13 23/12 23/13 33/17 42/4 45/15 46/9 50/16 54/17 55/7 55/14 59/6 64/5 66/23 68/19 69/11 71/2 73/23 80/20 92/21 98/13 104/7 106/6 108/23 111/9 112/6 113/5 116/4 127/12 131/18 137/3 137/25 142/23 165/10 170/11 realtime [3] 126/23 127/23 128/9 reams [1] 80/3 reason [21] 44/1 46/13 49/10 65/6 85/10 89/4 90/9 94/11 94/12 97/16 110/17 111/25 123/2 135/10 149/2 150/5 152/12 153/19 158/9 158/9 176/16 **reasonable [1]** 165/6 reasonably [1] 117/8 reasons [11] 8/1 13/2 35/16 72/18 97/23 110/21 163/16 168/13 176/10 182/25 195/18 reasserting [1] 157/22 reassertion [1] 156/25 reassurance [1] 124/7 reassuring [1] 192/23 recalling [1] 143/20 receive [3] 65/1 received [5] 56/3 61/16 64/3 110/1 177/3

185/16

R receives [1] 94/17 receiving [3] 150/2 191/21 194/1 recent [1] 102/22 recently [7] 3/10 40/1 63/18 85/5 111/21 111/24 199/5 reckless [1] 35/13 recognise [2] 71/14 146/12 recognised [1] 121/21 Recognising [1] 92/21 recommend [1] 97/11 recommendation **[29]** 17/9 96/10 96/19 96/25 99/9 99/11 99/24 100/5 104/1 104/9 104/17 104/19 105/14 105/16 105/18 106/15 108/15 115/13 115/19 115/24 117/17 117/19 118/19 120/9 121/23 125/19 125/19 126/18 129/6 recommendations **[62]** 12/2 17/5 19/24 58/12 59/11 59/14 61/23 90/9 95/1 95/20 96/5 96/12 96/22 97/3 97/6 97/13 97/17 98/5 98/10 98/13 98/15 98/20 98/22 98/24 99/6 99/17 99/19 100/23 101/18 101/24 102/17 102/24 103/14 103/17 104/3 104/5 105/1 105/4 105/21 106/9 106/13 107/7 108/1 108/9 108/11 111/4 115/6 116/12 119/13 120/8 120/25 121/5 121/10 123/11 124/15 125/17 126/16 131/9 131/11 148/3 157/20 181/24 recommended [6] 16/13 45/2 60/1 121/13 138/22 187/3 record [5] 12/3 15/16 95/25 133/5 133/10 recorded [1] 46/10 **Recorder [1]** 2/1 recording [1] 104/9 records [2] 92/16 120/15 recruit [4] 40/4 40/5 76/22 138/8 recruitment [3] 11/8 40/2 40/3

redress [1] 114/21 reduce [2] 40/10 167/5 reduced [1] 145/9 reductionist [1] 105/6 reemphasis [1] 156/22 reemphasising [1] 158/19 refer [5] 6/1 33/2 57/17 103/15 171/12 reference [3] 31/17 56/20 106/10 referral [1] 196/21 referred [6] 22/10 46/11 99/16 99/21 120/15 152/1 refers [1] 85/14 reflect [2] 19/6 23/12 reform [3] 147/19 147/21 172/1 reforms [5] 4/22 20/2 22/10 25/18 98/3 refresh [1] 64/22 regard [4] 31/5 42/1 102/13 162/19 regime [2] 7/24 83/7 regional [6] 16/5 18/19 27/18 82/5 143/15 144/1 register [2] 63/25 103/16 **Registers [1]** 103/14 regret [1] 178/13 regrettably [2] 97/6 129/23 regular [3] 31/19 145/23 192/21 regularly [1] 78/2 regulate [2] 6/20 6/21 regulates [1] 6/24 regulation [23] 7/7 20/20 21/16 62/4 84/15 86/23 86/24 88/2 88/3 111/14 111/15 112/6 114/2 135/22 135/23 156/4 162/25 163/1 169/13 172/17 173/5 185/21 186/8 regulations [6] 8/25 54/12 62/15 84/6 167/1 181/4 regulator [16] 8/14 9/8 21/14 21/23 22/14 22/18 35/25 51/25 84/15 111/14 132/24 135/17 135/25 186/2 repeat [1] 6/9 186/20 195/19 repeatedly [1] 197/3 regulators [11] 6/25 replicated [1] 144/9 7/2 8/5 22/7 66/1 report [87] 1/19 3/25

126/22 126/24 127/24

134/3 134/9 186/16 regulatory [8] 6/5 6/15 6/19 7/24 108/21 134/4 185/18 186/22 rejecting [1] 65/19 related [3] 43/15 56/8 52/13 57/17 57/22 127/2 relates [4] 111/6 142/24 146/13 171/9 relating [1] 120/13 relation [18] 2/21 8/15 9/15 28/4 38/11 62/9 89/5 92/2 110/10 111/12 114/1 143/3 147/4 148/14 165/23 169/17 172/7 177/16 relationship [9] 19/9 82/4 151/17 151/23 152/17 152/24 166/9 174/8 174/9 relationships [1] 165/20 relative [2] 23/12 58/15 relatively [7] 30/6 40/1 41/20 56/8 56/16 98/9 99/1 relativity [1] 147/18 relevant [11] 24/22 43/12 52/9 67/19 72/20 82/5 107/7 127/22 143/6 144/12 144/13 reliant [1] 36/21 relieve [1] 35/7 relieved [1] 42/13 remain [1] 17/4 remained [1] 19/2 remarkably [2] 10/4 76/23 remedial [2] 12/4 13/25 remedied [1] 77/5 remedies [1] 177/25 remedy [4] 177/3 177/14 185/5 190/20 remember [1] 103/15 representative [1] reminding [1] 164/16 remit [3] 21/22 21/23 111/13 renamed [1] 22/14 renewed [1] 172/24 reorganisation [4] 29/12 29/23 156/22 157/5 reorganisations [1] 28/23 reorganising [1] 136/9

4/18 6/4 6/17 10/2

10/3 10/22 13/12 14/18 15/21 16/13 24/23 30/3 38/5 38/10 requirement [5] 39/16 41/19 48/12 49/7 50/5 50/14 51/16 171/19 173/4 59/19 59/23 59/24 60/7 62/8 68/24 70/1 70/8 80/11 80/23 81/25 84/3 88/23 92/5 95/22 96/14 99/8 100/2 100/7 103/10 108/3 115/8 115/13 126/6 126/7 130/20 131/15 131/25 132/4 132/4 143/5 143/5 146/12 147/14 147/19 residential [1] 147/21 149/1 153/15 156/11 158/2 158/5 158/8 163/2 163/3 163/10 164/7 166/24 167/10 172/3 181/21 187/1 190/22 195/23 197/20 201/23 reported [6] 2/21 35/25 119/23 124/16 125/23 156/21 reporting [19] 64/12 67/10 97/24 98/2 117/22 118/6 119/7 123/9 124/16 125/23 125/24 126/2 126/12 130/19 131/21 148/11 148/14 149/3 171/12 reports [18] 3/24 4/6 4/11 13/10 28/19 59/24 97/8 97/12 100/16 120/12 121/2 124/3 128/13 149/17 153/15 163/3 164/8 184/24 **repository [1]** 105/1 **represent [2]** 151/8 167/5 178/5 representatives [2] 108/12 197/24 represented [4] 12/13 124/11 153/1 178/7 reputation [1] 155/16 13/1 13/15 26/11 **requested [1]** 52/12 require [8] 7/15 77/16 106/20 119/18 119/19 138/7 156/22 168/14 required [21] 8/6 9/3 9/19 9/20 11/10 14/21 43/13 43/20 62/21 77/24 97/17 104/4

168/5 197/7 197/23 114/1 114/2 149/1 requirements [5] 38/6 64/12 67/10 113/19 148/5 requires [8] 33/14 34/1 40/7 129/19 130/12 144/7 174/6 200/21 requiring [1] 198/15 119/14 124/17 124/18 research [1] 98/1 reservations [1] 103/12 reside [1] 31/17 139/22 resign [1] 9/25 resolution [2] 61/15 114/16 resolvable [1] 150/15 184/14 185/22 186/13 resolve [2] 114/24 199/8 resolved [2] 67/7 85/24 resonate [1] 176/11 resource [6] 24/7 62/11 122/2 175/11 182/24 185/16 resourced [1] 121/15 **resources [3]** 74/18 122/10 187/24 respect [5] 69/17 74/3 172/9 173/5 191/18 respected [1] 114/25 respectful [2] 69/17 74/3 respectively [1] 2/21 respond [4] 64/8 97/7 123/22 129/1 responding [2] 141/17 141/21 response [15] 59/18 63/11 96/16 96/18 97/2 107/9 130/18 161/13 172/5 176/5 192/2 194/7 195/1 195/5 195/8 responses [1] 97/5 responsibility [10] 60/11 82/8 84/11 119/24 144/4 166/5 194/15 responsible [1] 96/13 responsive [1] 194/24 rest [1] 90/14 result [12] 29/18 104/13 151/16 166/20 58/10 66/19 66/25 (77) receives - result

166/21 166/21 166/23

141/4 188/22 121/1 121/9 128/14 12/21 R running [4] 5/18 5/19 rights [3] 54/10 5/21 137/13 132/2 134/13 134/18 says [1] 186/14 result... [8] 67/7 55/12 156/1 rural [1] 18/3 134/18 135/7 136/5 scale [1] 55/22 86/16 102/7 109/23 rise [3] 95/14 135/6 139/6 143/2 143/10 scandal [1] 3/12 110/13 169/11 175/8 202/4 149/3 152/18 166/1 scared [2] 160/7 200/2 rising [1] 53/20 sacked [2] 9/24 166/3 178/8 178/8 160/12 resulted [2] 122/12 35/25 182/12 184/17 risk [12] 33/21 33/23 scenario [2] 34/21 177/1 sad [2] 86/7 116/1 60/15 81/17 109/17 **sanction** [1] 35/12 106/10 results [5] 21/1 40/11 sadly [1] 118/21 117/8 117/10 126/24 scene [2] 16/1 50/2 satisfactory [1] 128/2 135/13 172/22 safe [11] 12/21 38/12 133/19 140/11 164/11 61/14 scene-setting [1] resume [1] 95/10 38/15 38/17 38/19 195/14 **satisfied [3]** 54/8 16/1 retired [2] 2/6 160/21 38/22 43/13 43/14 risk-based [1] 81/17 117/1 117/13 schemes [1] 44/25 retrospect [1] 36/8 72/23 155/5 161/17 risks [15] 29/22 satisfy [1] 114/17 **school [2]** 49/13 retrospective [2] safeguarding [20] 29/24 32/25 33/15 77/10 saved [1] 43/24 12/7 55/16 41/17 42/2 42/6 42/10 33/24 33/25 34/4 saw [2] 80/9 186/6 sciences [1] 70/15 **return [2]** 10/20 42/24 42/25 42/25 40/10 40/24 116/20 say [96] 7/8 8/23 8/23 scope [1] 189/20 44/11 43/4 43/7 43/10 43/18 14/8 14/18 17/16 20/1 scrutiny [6] 81/13 117/3 117/4 119/10 Returning [1] 7/4 44/4 143/7 143/8 146/4 146/6 22/14 25/7 25/16 87/21 87/25 88/1 reveal [1] 68/1 146/10 146/12 146/13 risky [1] 33/13 25/18 27/6 33/3 34/13 88/14 88/17 reverse [1] 152/24 146/17 195/22 196/7 37/10 38/14 43/9 roadblocks [1] search [1] 200/12 review [38] 2/25 3/1 safely [3] 34/16 43/16 44/2 56/10 194/23 searchable [1] 105/1 10/23 10/25 11/4 12/2 176/20 182/18 Robert [25] 1/4 1/7 59/20 61/4 62/7 65/13 second [13] 34/20 20/18 29/5 43/11 safest [1] 37/23 1/9 1/13 1/15 1/16 67/21 67/23 70/20 45/21 50/4 53/13 47/12 48/8 49/4 49/8 safety [49] 21/21 29/25 47/18 48/1 71/20 71/21 72/22 55/10 79/9 99/16 49/17 59/25 82/7 89/1 53/10 67/20 95/19 27/24 30/20 33/5 73/19 79/19 80/5 119/13 126/1 143/4 90/6 91/8 94/9 101/25 33/11 33/16 33/25 83/21 88/8 88/9 88/24 131/8 136/16 136/20 143/5 159/5 162/3 113/21 120/22 130/17 34/1 34/10 35/2 37/18 89/8 96/2 96/13 96/20 secondly [6] 9/6 136/23 142/8 142/21 144/14 146/23 147/5 42/18 42/23 43/1 43/2 150/17 150/22 175/13 97/12 100/8 101/7 38/18 98/2 119/22 149/1 175/25 176/3 43/5 43/7 43/9 43/20 175/18 201/17 201/22 101/18 101/23 102/1 120/21 176/1 176/12 176/15 176/16 44/22 46/9 47/7 47/8 102/10 105/21 105/23|secrecy [3] 153/16 203/3 177/4 177/7 184/20 63/21 74/21 75/9 108/18 111/6 115/22 153/17 156/15 role [16] 13/14 13/14 186/12 196/22 82/13 94/6 114/1 21/17 23/17 23/18 116/12 121/1 123/4 secret [1] 160/16 reviewed [3] 31/19 66/2 81/21 83/16 114/6 116/12 119/9 124/4 125/3 133/16 **Secretary [3]** 31/20 97/9 147/2 127/2 135/20 135/21 120/11 154/4 169/16 134/19 135/20 137/13 48/24 49/6 reviewing [1] 89/3 145/20 146/3 159/16 187/1 191/25 193/8 143/25 144/21 147/25 section [11] 4/18 reviews [4] 45/8 159/18 161/25 164/2 193/9 195/21 151/15 154/7 154/19 30/3 41/17 50/5 53/12 95/21 150/6 185/16 164/3 172/6 172/10 156/3 163/13 163/17 roles [5] 2/6 10/19 63/19 68/24 91/4 91/5 revisited [1] 182/11 176/21 181/25 195/8 77/8 86/21 138/17 163/21 165/2 165/23 147/15 150/25 rewrite [1] 181/10 195/15 197/4 166/16 166/16 166/18 section 1 [1] 4/18 root [1] 175/5 rhetorically [1] safety-related [1] 166/24 168/5 171/13 round [8] 7/21 9/7 section 12 [2] 91/4 134/20 127/2 23/7 73/20 73/22 173/3 173/20 173/24 91/5 **Richard [1]** 150/22 said [41] 6/13 28/11 113/15 140/13 148/22 174/2 174/20 177/7 section 2 [1] 50/5 Richard Baker [1] 30/12 34/20 53/1 57/9 179/5 186/13 188/22 roundabout [1] 59/7 section 5 [1] 30/3 150/22 57/14 59/25 60/2 70/1 190/15 193/8 196/21 rounds [1] 112/11 section 6 [1] 41/17 rid [1] 178/15 75/2 76/20 87/22 99/8 197/22 199/13 199/14 section 7 [1] 53/12 route [2] 26/15 right [54] 1/22 9/23 103/21 107/5 107/6 138/12 201/2 Section 9 [1] 68/24 15/3 20/21 22/15 112/8 112/22 113/3 routes [1] 138/12 **say: [5]** 88/7 191/14 **sections** [1] 68/23 26/20 26/22 34/18 122/23 124/6 127/11 193/12 199/17 199/19 routine [3] 81/14 **sector [1]** 132/17 35/22 36/23 41/1 127/17 130/7 134/1 see [57] 13/4 13/10 81/20 120/10 say: I had [1] 88/7 41/13 41/14 49/5 135/19 137/6 143/7 16/4 18/9 18/18 20/25 royal [15] 2/13 2/14 say: this [1] 191/14 49/13 49/23 53/23 151/7 154/5 154/23 3/18 45/3 89/2 106/22 say: well [2] 199/17 21/8 22/7 26/12 26/23 55/3 55/8 55/10 57/8 156/21 160/5 160/7 199/19 121/24 123/11 123/17 28/19 28/23 32/13 57/9 58/13 63/17 123/21 127/8 143/13 160/11 167/12 168/1 34/17 51/9 56/5 58/24 saying [27] 8/23 67/16 71/25 72/1 72/5 173/15 174/21 186/24 12/22 13/24 26/22 144/22 150/7 179/15 58/24 66/5 67/3 73/3 72/6 72/24 81/1 115/4 said: [1] 132/12 rubric [1] 52/20 30/11 30/21 32/3 73/4 73/18 79/25 116/10 128/1 129/21 said: this [1] 132/12 rule [3] 105/6 106/2 32/24 32/25 35/14 84/12 85/24 96/18 130/23 133/13 138/11 same [47] 8/6 9/20 59/18 72/15 103/11 103/10 105/19 110/19 145/4 148/14 149/19 155/3 9/23 11/24 28/2 29/18 103/12 104/11 115/25 rules [3] 62/15 62/22 112/1 124/22 132/8 170/6 171/25 174/20 29/18 30/21 30/22 117/2 121/2 128/5 133/25 136/8 138/3 181/4 175/5 175/22 187/2 32/5 32/6 33/16 42/21 run [14] 11/10 16/21 134/13 137/16 147/2 139/12 139/12 143/11 187/19 187/24 189/6 43/15 43/25 50/16 34/15 55/2 62/12 63/2 155/3 158/18 161/13 151/22 151/22 152/12 191/12 191/23 192/25 52/1 59/17 67/3 73/3 70/13 71/1 78/22 80/2 187/13 191/8 153/7 153/18 160/15 197/6 73/23 75/1 84/6 86/4 82/20 137/7 139/17 169/21 171/7 171/7 **saying:** [1] 12/21 rightly [3] 137/6 89/21 94/4 120/9 139/17 saying: I need [1] 184/1 186/16 186/24

173/8 147/5 148/19 150/8 29/25 47/18 48/1 S several [1] 69/12 sensitive [4] 114/6 sexual [3] 3/4 133/2 152/12 152/17 152/18 53/10 67/20 95/19 see... [6] 188/18 118/21 133/1 141/5 133/15 152/18 152/19 159/5 131/8 136/16 136/20 189/24 190/15 191/9 136/23 142/8 142/21 sensitivity [2] 166/21 shape [1] 176/24 159/9 161/4 163/6 191/20 192/1 200/21 **share [1]** 182/19 163/17 163/20 163/24 150/17 150/22 175/13 seeing [1] 66/11 sent [2] 77/10 93/11 shared [3] 32/21 165/10 165/25 166/1 175/18 201/17 201/22 seek [6] 12/15 68/25 172/23 177/7 180/6 187/5 203/3 **sentence [3]** 19/25 107/14 108/2 116/2 188/6 195/9 197/1 28/15 108/18 sharing [2] 50/20 Sir Robert [20] 1/7 120/11 131/17 sentinel [2] 125/22 200/6 197/14 197/23 198/4 1/9 1/13 1/16 29/25 seeking [3] 27/22 she [16] 6/15 30/12 198/5 200/6 200/12 47/18 48/1 53/10 126/2 100/25 105/18 separate [2] 17/1 30/14 44/6 44/6 71/24 shoulders [1] 194/16 67/20 95/19 136/16 seeks [2] 23/10 121/10 121/13 140/20 shouldn't [13] 32/24 136/20 142/8 142/21 17/6 135/15 separately [1] 135/24 140/23 160/6 160/8 36/8 39/9 49/16 150/22 175/13 175/18 seem [6] 23/7 23/8 160/8 160/10 160/11 112/17 117/1 117/6 201/17 201/22 203/3 **September [1]** 1/1 37/10 118/3 178/1 series [4] 5/8 5/8 185/17 117/14 144/9 147/2 Sir Robert Francis 186/24 54/9 120/25 **sheet [1]** 145/9 190/14 196/17 200/8 **[1]** 1/4 seemed [5] 38/10 serious [13] 65/20 sit [4] 1/11 2/3 13/9 **Sheffield [1]** 123/6 **show [2]** 26/18 27/7 49/9 64/13 80/18 26/21 65/24 81/15 107/19 **shelters** [1] 87/13 **showed [2]** 15/11 177/24 109/9 116/25 120/12 **shield [2]** 67/13 180/15 site [1] 69/15 seems [13] 31/1 130/9 131/23 140/24 67/21 **showing [1]** 52/2 sits [1] 63/19 54/19 66/9 77/14 177/1 193/12 195/13 Shipman [5] 44/17 **shows [2]** 92/19 sitting [2] 9/6 78/13 85/10 92/4 92/4 seriously [1] 167/15 45/25 46/1 92/5 107/6 133/15 **situation** [5] 129/16 116/25 122/21 148/25 servants [1] 171/16 **shocked [1]** 158/5 **shut [1]** 159/15 129/19 140/7 156/9 185/6 187/1 187/16 **serve [1]** 115/15 **shop [3]** 5/21 31/24 sic [1] 189/25 190/4 seen [9] 28/9 59/10 73/16 serves [2] 61/20 sick [1] 38/21 **situations** [2] 131/7 90/16 103/3 103/9 short [11] 10/4 39/23 side [2] 14/25 73/25 61/21 192/1 120/18 141/5 183/22 service [49] 5/4 5/9 **Skelton [5]** 163/17 41/20 47/24 93/11 sides [1] 85/22 201/3 116/25 122/10 123/14 5/23 5/24 5/25 9/15 sighted [1] 42/14 175/16 175/17 201/21 sees [3] 85/14 12/22 12/24 13/7 142/9 142/14 150/11 sign [3] 60/11 61/10 203/8 153/17 189/23 17/19 19/9 19/11 190/20 **shortage** [3] 9/16 **sketch [1]** 10/25 seque [1] 53/2 19/11 19/19 19/20 38/11 40/9 signal [2] 190/17 **skill [2]** 17/1 200/22 **Select [3]** 3/7 97/1 22/25 23/6 24/4 24/11 **shorthand** [2] 45/24 191/11 **skills [6]** 9/19 16/24 97/8 75/16 137/20 138/21 28/12 31/4 41/25 98/3 significant [6] 16/12 **selection [1]** 1/21 42/18 45/10 53/25 shortly [1] 30/7 40/7 165/18 171/17 168/5 selective [1] 25/10 54/25 66/10 66/11 should [122] 4/24 172/4 179/8 slight [1] 17/24 self [3] 10/16 65/3 73/12 74/17 77/7 7/11 8/17 11/14 14/8 significantly [1] slightly [12] 14/6 79/10 85/15 106/23 107/7 17/4 17/5 20/9 25/7 164/25 16/18 22/6 23/6 44/2 self-confident [1] 119/24 130/16 133/25 27/18 30/17 31/14 47/12 61/2 132/6 **signing [1]** 45/19 65/3 134/22 135/9 137/10 31/16 32/2 33/2 33/17 134/8 134/20 166/10 signposting [2] self-congratulatory 138/13 138/17 144/21 38/17 39/2 40/25 191/22 193/9 183/1 **[1]** 79/10 158/13 161/12 187/17 41/12 45/2 45/10 signs [1] 47/2 slowly [1] 75/22 self-perpetuating [1] 197/23 201/15 201/15 50/23 51/1 51/18 silence [1] 66/18 **small [5]** 56/16 56/22 10/16 services [16] 3/20 55/21 57/11 57/21 **silo [3]** 100/3 100/5 125/8 125/10 201/7 send [5] 112/20 59/5 59/20 60/10 106/4 6/16 6/24 17/16 23/23 smaller [2] 56/8 112/22 112/25 179/1 27/24 51/12 52/11 60/20 61/6 62/3 62/16 silos [1] 101/10 69/20 186/18 56/7 103/18 103/19 62/16 66/10 66/12 **similar [9]** 89/5 98/9 **Smith [1]** 44/17 sending [1] 175/9 115/22 141/14 157/13 67/6 68/11 71/18 104/11 121/2 130/17 sneak [1] 49/14 senior [28] 8/19 8/21 171/23 172/4 81/14 82/25 83/5 133/23 138/23 150/6 so [314] 8/25 10/20 68/21 69/1 set [25] 1/18 19/3 85/19 97/1 97/11 180/24 so-called [1] 77/9 69/16 70/1 70/22 101/23 106/14 107/15 simple [3] 71/23 19/10 21/15 50/2 social [4] 26/6 26/8 70/24 72/19 76/2 53/22 54/15 62/4 109/22 110/6 110/11 93/16 145/9 26/15 27/1 77/24 79/7 79/14 84/1 62/15 64/21 72/11 110/21 111/11 111/16 simplified [2] 104/15 society [2] 37/9 86/2 87/1 88/20 91/6 76/15 103/25 129/20 112/5 115/15 116/2 144/5 154/23 91/14 93/18 99/23 143/3 144/16 147/23 117/4 117/10 117/14 **simplify** [1] 7/9 **solution [2]** 104/22 100/22 100/24 100/25 151/4 170/8 170/9 118/10 119/20 119/23 simply [3] 7/16 63/25 190/9 160/21 190/5 176/17 177/4 181/9 120/10 120/19 120/23 78/1 **solutions** [1] 161/6 sense [23] 7/14 196/3 198/13 120/23 122/7 122/24 since [6] 20/23 83/25 solve [3] 105/18 32/21 36/20 47/8 sets [3] 112/7 136/6 122/24 125/3 125/21 116/13 160/21 180/2 179/4 191/19 49/12 52/15 55/17 126/2 126/23 127/23 191/1 185/11 solved [1] 75/7 57/5 61/19 65/10 setting [4] 16/1 76/10 128/10 128/10 129/7 single [6] 4/14 5/3 solving [1] 31/2 65/19 70/15 70/17 some [92] 3/19 4/9 78/19 168/3 130/6 130/19 134/17 32/4 36/18 129/15 74/8 77/24 93/25 setting/standard [1] 134/22 135/2 135/9 144/10 4/20 6/14 6/18 7/2 98/16 110/9 116/11 135/21 135/23 138/19 **Sir [26]** 1/4 1/7 1/9 10/7 11/17 11/21 76/10 153/23 155/10 168/17 seven [1] 16/8 138/21 138/22 145/5 1/13 1/16 11/3 13/24 12/22 14/6 14/12

S 128/8 130/11 130/17 132/5 132/14 132/15 some... [80] 15/15 132/19 135/13 135/23 24/11 32/9 35/3 54/12 139/24 141/1 144/6 58/20 59/11 60/25 147/10 148/11 148/25 92/17 187/8 64/18 64/19 68/3 149/19 149/22 151/22 sounds [3] 74/7 70/22 72/12 72/17 154/2 158/23 160/13 76/13 76/16 76/23 160/14 160/20 160/22 source [1] 82/2 77/9 78/12 85/5 87/6 162/1 167/21 169/12 87/13 89/15 93/25 169/22 170/14 170/15 space [1] 118/20 97/15 97/18 98/24 170/24 172/19 178/23 spaces [1] 60/24 99/5 103/12 104/11 183/24 185/7 188/19 105/9 105/12 108/8 191/10 194/12 195/14 109/13 111/23 112/16 197/7 197/18 198/6 113/18 114/21 115/6 198/23 199/11 115/8 116/20 116/23 something like [1] 117/9 119/19 125/1 90/17 130/20 131/15 132/16 **sometimes [35]** 7/11 133/11 134/14 137/20 11/20 13/18 15/8 34/4 145/25 147/7 147/13 58/23 80/21 81/8 150/24 151/8 153/19 89/19 89/24 89/25 155/1 158/6 173/22 92/12 94/5 98/10 176/20 176/21 176/24 105/22 106/1 107/5 176/25 178/19 178/19 107/6 107/17 109/6 181/9 182/4 182/12 109/8 109/17 109/23 182/21 183/1 183/11 110/17 113/16 114/7 185/9 188/6 188/8 116/15 131/22 169/10 189/22 195/25 195/25 170/4 170/16 177/18 196/25 200/13 177/19 178/12 195/25 specialist [4] 40/4 somebody [4] 61/3 somewhat [5] 5/10 104/12 177/20 192/6 26/10 32/23 42/13 **somehow [1]** 113/13 56/12 someone [41] 33/2 somewhere [10] 35/21 36/11 48/18 38/24 40/24 66/20 57/4 61/5 65/5 65/15 66/20 89/13 94/21 65/22 72/10 86/20 123/2 126/12 181/9 87/1 100/13 110/6 188/2 117/1 122/3 122/4 soon [3] 37/14 75/19 123/2 124/10 137/7 158/14 138/5 138/8 138/13 sooner [1] 28/25 145/6 160/12 161/24 sophisticated [2] 177/13 179/10 180/12 78/11 117/23 180/19 183/4 183/7 **sorry [12]** 37/12 188/20 189/13 189/20 49/20 53/17 53/19 190/6 190/13 192/6 58/15 67/20 90/24 192/8 192/14 193/23 157/7 162/11 162/11 someone's [1] 181/12 192/7 168/18 sort [57] 10/16 11/6 something [94] 13/22 16/22 17/6 11/11 14/1 20/9 22/1 27/22 31/24 36/1 39/5 24/15 32/13 34/5 36/7 40/6 49/13 55/2 55/11 36/11 39/15 42/3 58/3 64/6 64/18 65/18 sporadic [1] 185/21 42/10 50/23 52/16 68/2 69/13 76/17 78/2 spot [1] 123/13 54/22 55/16 55/17 80/20 83/19 91/12 57/7 57/11 59/1 61/18 92/11 99/1 101/10 62/10 63/24 65/6 102/7 106/22 110/2 68/11 78/1 78/23 110/4 110/4 112/11 78/24 79/1 88/11 89/4 112/12 113/1 116/6 90/1 90/3 90/17 90/19 118/25 129/19 131/3 94/14 100/3 102/3 131/4 133/15 133/20 102/4 102/16 104/10 137/9 138/7 152/24 104/11 113/12 113/15 176/6 178/10 179/13 116/4 116/16 118/22 184/14 186/1 187/12

122/11 123/1 125/14

192/11 197/11 198/22 sorts [4] 128/18 190/1 191/17 193/7 sought [3] 22/23 127/10 157/14 **sources [1]** 133/18 speak [35] 3/1 10/24 15/22 28/9 29/5 36/17 37/2 37/6 41/8 48/7 94/9 98/25 121/3 121/9 131/16 132/7 163/15 167/3 176/5 185/19 191/10 191/13 194/3 196/12 speaking [13] 8/19 30/10 33/5 35/15 77/11 92/1 125/19 175/20 176/4 196/9 198/1 198/4 201/18 specialise [1] 2/8 119/6 119/7 143/22 specialty [1] 101/4 **specific [6]** 105/8 105/22 107/24 176/3 176/9 182/4 specifically [4] 37/11 54/13 162/16 165/24 **specifics [1]** 105/25 specified [1] 125/24 speed [4] 121/6 167/21 197/6 197/8 spend [7] 12/19 13/5 73/11 73/13 73/14 73/15 145/2 spent [2] 11/24 37/13 **spin [1]** 51/24 **split [1]** 18/23 **spoke [2]** 25/25 161/1 spoken [5] 87/22 90/15 99/12 121/4 201/19 spread [1] 174/4 spreading [1] 74/2 spreadsheet [2] 103/24 103/25 square [1] 39/12 **stability [1]** 29/13 staff [54] 8/12 8/12 38/24 39/4 39/7 40/2 189/12 190/16 191/8 48/17 48/25 49/9

68/3 68/8 73/1 73/3 73/11 73/22 91/7 91/14 91/17 92/6 94/20 108/20 109/4 110/2 126/4 126/5 141/15 141/18 145/21 statute [1] 54/10 153/8 167/20 168/10 168/15 171/20 176/18 179/7 179/9 182/18 188/13 188/15 192/3 197/23 198/16 **staffing [6]** 38/3 38/11 38/15 39/17 48/8 48/25 49/9 49/19 41/1 92/7 49/19 50/3 70/4 91/25 Stafford [1] 19/13 Staffordshire [26] 2/19 6/17 10/2 15/9 132/20 144/13 163/10 44/24 46/17 46/21 48/12 48/14 51/22 58/18 61/7 65/24 76/24 92/6 92/14 100/8 100/21 101/2 101/5 108/9 126/17 141/2 156/9 156/12 160/1 stage [3] 55/14 131/12 138/19 staged [1] 54/9 stance [1] 171/20 stand [2] 39/14 95/25 125/21 standard [6] 9/2 20/4 stop [7] 29/8 40/17 71/11 71/19 76/9 76/10 **standards** [9] 17/23 19/11 21/10 74/22 74/22 79/20 134/18 135/15 156/17 **stands** [1] 174/18 **start [20]** 8/23 14/18 20/24 34/14 91/11 95/4 105/3 107/3 115/3 135/16 138/18 141/10 141/13 142/11 straightforward [1] 151/7 158/18 173/17 176/12 193/3 202/4 started [4] 111/21 121/10 131/19 176/16 **starter [1]** 135/14 **starting [7]** 4/23 41/19 53/12 53/15 91/5 134/11 176/6 starts [6] 5/14 30/4 170/8 170/9 172/18 193/24 state [7] 1/13 17/16 31/20 48/24 49/6 176/2 182/11 **stated [1]** 44/5 **statement [7]** 53/20 8/15 9/16 38/19 38/22 103/7 150/25 162/7 165/2 171/12 172/25 40/3 40/9 40/20 48/15 statements [2] 74/9 151/4

62/25 63/1 63/3 64/25| **stating [1]** 157/23 station [3] 40/20 90/11 93/6 **statistics** [3] 11/13 125/2 126/25 status [2] 21/5 87/12 **statutory [12]** 2/18 2/18 16/7 28/3 28/4 31/18 54/12 55/19 160/2 164/22 171/14 172/2 stayed [1] 10/9 staying [2] 8/19 25/18 step [3] 12/7 134/7 134/8 steps [3] 23/25 120/19 157/6 **Steven [1]** 179/21 stick [1] 14/3 still [20] 27/14 27/16 27/17 27/18 44/23 56/17 59/21 79/22 114/13 122/14 140/10 158/6 160/24 164/16 164/18 169/1 183/6 183/20 184/22 184/23 stillbirths [1] 120/24 stipulation [1] 92/3 92/3 97/24 97/24 152/12 stopped [2] 55/17 198/17 stories [1] 94/10 **story [8]** 85/23 124/14 141/2 141/3 158/8 160/8 160/9 191/3 straight [4] 25/8 29/7 37/10 162/2 96/7 **strange** [1] 200/19 **strategic** [5] 14/21 40/2 74/9 129/7 197/22 strategy [2] 74/7 74/13 stream [2] 60/22 61/1 streams [1] 11/21 strengthened [1] 88/21 stress [3] 9/17 39/7 167/8 stresses [1] 168/10 stressful [1] 182/25 **strings** [1] 12/15 **striving [1]** 71/18 strong [3] 164/13 185/7 191/11 struck [1] 88/6

151/16 177/3 182/23 123/20 125/15 127/19 127/1 133/10 142/9 198/19 S 182/24 187/14 surgical [1] 145/25 148/15 156/10 158/12 telling [10] 66/16 **structural** [1] 99/13 surprise [1] 46/17 sufficiently [8] 118/6 168/1 171/3 175/6 66/18 93/20 127/5 **structure [12]** 8/22 131/1 136/15 140/4 surprised [2] 42/6 185/18 158/4 158/8 160/11 17/4 18/17 18/18 163/3 187/15 195/20 85/19 taken [17] 13/16 164/19 169/12 172/18 26/10 28/17 30/2 196/10 **surprising** [1] 183/1 29/22 29/24 30/7 32/3 tells [4] 44/20 58/25 126/9 148/2 149/3 suggest [12] 4/24 34/4 37/18 45/6 54/7 88/4 127/25 surprisingly [5] 42/3 157/8 157/8 36/11 43/17 89/10 97/19 108/22 120/14 60/25 61/9 82/21 temperature [1] structured [1] 4/25 89/14 98/18 105/11 122/9 120/19 122/24 165/19 113/5 structures [2] 4/16 126/8 142/10 145/4 186/23 194/15 surrounding [2] 6/4 tempting [1] 157/3 4/21 179/8 188/18 16/3 takes [8] 10/11 29/20 tend [4] 5/1 75/6 stuff [5] 93/19 112/24 44/20 112/1 168/24 82/11 101/13 suggested [3] 23/2 survey [3] 64/10 129/24 150/2 152/6 39/16 188/5 97/14 165/17 175/11 175/11 194/24 tended [2] 58/2 80/21 sub [2] 69/13 126/9 suggesting [1] 61/14 taking [11] 27/25 surveys [1] 179/8 tendency [2] 100/6 **sub board [1]** 69/13 suggestion [1] survives [1] 24/20 43/18 61/20 66/10 146/11 sub structure [1] 177/15 suspect [7] 114/4 99/22 145/6 146/24 tends [4] 28/25 43/1 126/9 67/13 161/13 116/15 180/9 185/17 147/6 160/4 164/11 **suggests [1]** 35/11 subject [11] 8/19 187/16 199/19 200/9 186/17 tens [1] 56/15 summaries [1] 8/25 9/7 9/8 11/24 suspicion [1] 192/25 talents [1] 75/13 tension [6] 148/7 100/17 25/18 77/25 106/24 **summarise [3]** 34/24 **suspicions [2]** 129/2 talk [19] 14/8 33/5 148/24 150/11 150/15 176/23 183/8 186/13 34/21 47/12 49/8 55/4 199/7 199/8 61/23 176/13 129/18 subjected [1] 176/25 summarising [1] swiftly [1] 197/3 65/15 70/9 121/19 tenure [1] 10/3 subsequent [1] 91/11 sworn [3] 1/8 1/9 121/20 154/9 160/3 term [5] 25/23 39/23 171/20 summary [6] 12/6 203/3 161/5 161/7 161/20 69/6 70/10 71/3 subsequently [2] 14/7 44/12 61/24 170/13 180/21 198/13 terms [52] 2/17 4/25 sympathies [1] 178/17 180/21 103/11 123/14 199/2 8/20 8/21 13/25 16/18 151/12 **substance** [1] 95/19 symptoms [1] 159/24 talked [6] 21/8 70/2 18/16 18/24 19/10 supplier [1] 17/17 substantial [3] 41/16 93/8 132/20 195/22 20/25 23/13 23/16 **support [24]** 11/8 synonymous [1] 91/8 94/25 16/14 45/18 46/6 69/20 197/17 24/9 33/16 34/20 substantially [1] system [55] 13/23 62/25 65/12 90/3 34/24 36/18 38/5 40/1 talking [23] 15/1 90/23 14/1 14/13 18/8 22/14 33/24 66/23 69/1 43/21 44/5 52/3 56/2 90/19 113/12 137/20 substantive [1] 4/15 138/4 140/9 168/11 22/24 27/3 29/2 44/13 69/16 71/24 72/4 56/18 56/22 59/13 **substitute [2]** 43/19 168/16 170/6 176/4 57/18 57/24 58/9 73/16 73/17 75/21 70/7 76/7 76/7 76/12 121/14 60/21 62/10 62/24 81/21 83/25 87/5 178/3 182/19 187/6 76/18 93/2 100/24 **subtopic** [1] 197/15 187/12 187/18 188/9 76/4 78/9 86/22 88/2 105/9 132/9 132/10 88/20 95/20 100/7 **subunits [1]** 92/22 192/21 193/6 89/1 89/13 90/18 133/1 147/19 151/9 106/4 106/13 117/17 succeed [1] 15/19 101/11 106/23 107/20 152/9 192/12 194/13 supporting [1] 117/18 117/20 124/16 success [2] 15/16 114/5 117/22 118/6 196/19 127/21 128/16 151/9 182/22 118/18 120/19 120/23 122/8 151/17 151/20 152/4 talks [3] 54/16 supports [2] 14/1 successful [5] 10/7 123/7 127/14 130/8 153/3 163/10 165/13 181/10 169/13 173/6 20/2 58/11 89/16 suppose [3] 39/24 132/8 133/23 135/17 task [2] 96/8 102/1 195/13 118/13 50/17 171/25 136/11 140/3 142/3 tasks [1] 168/12 terrible [1] 46/7 successfully [1] suppress [3] 153/10 144/5 144/16 148/11 taxi [1] 180/19 terribly [5] 15/12 105/11 178/2 181/6 182/13 61/16 65/11 86/17 155/13 155/17 taxpayer [3] 5/12 succession [1] 21/8 182/18 186/9 186/21 20/13 26/14 139/11 suppressed [1] **successive [1]** 20/23 196/7 196/8 196/9 team [2] 75/17 124/2 territorial [1] 122/5 155/12 such [16] 6/9 9/8 196/11 200/3 201/13 teams [1] 32/20 suppressing [1] test [2] 84/4 137/2 11/18 28/6 36/16 153/18 system's [1] 158/20 teamwork [1] 139/8 tested [1] 77/17 86/15 86/15 114/13 systemic [4] 28/10 sure [26] 6/11 19/1 technical [8] 52/2 testing [2] 16/17 121/21 139/17 144/16 46/16 99/13 120/22 22/16 22/17 29/24 52/7 83/2 83/13 118/12 172/20 178/25 179/1 35/4 37/22 47/20 55/5 systems [5] 37/21 155/10 173/8 174/11 tests [1] 185/6 188/4 201/23 62/17 68/15 74/25 76/8 107/15 126/6 185/6 text [3] 104/1 104/3 Sudden [2] 118/23 75/3 78/25 120/18 131/21 technicality [1] 84/9 105/15 118/24 129/17 136/1 143/14 than [61] 9/20 10/6 techniques [1] 24/11 **suddenly [2]** 152/12 154/17 155/3 163/21 teeth [1] 88/10 13/22 14/3 14/13 152/24 table [2] 9/7 86/5 164/9 165/21 192/22 tell [27] 33/8 40/12 15/19 17/17 27/11 suffer [1] 36/12 198/24 199/8 take [36] 10/19 13/1 56/2 61/18 63/11 32/22 33/11 36/25 suffered [3] 180/24 15/20 23/20 29/4 30/6 surely [2] 75/22 63/17 71/8 76/11 42/14 47/13 60/2 61/2 187/13 187/18 33/23 40/19 41/3 46/8 80/19 94/21 96/6 62/18 78/23 79/3 147/5 **suffering [2]** 110/13 47/18 53/8 57/20 surface [1] 118/7 100/13 100/20 100/23 79/10 82/13 90/1 90/4 167/4 60/10 61/6 66/7 77/8 102/2 125/8 154/3 92/12 92/13 95/3 surgeon [2] 101/7 sufficient [12] 39/17 94/19 100/11 107/4 154/16 154/16 160/7 102/12 105/23 112/2 134/25 40/18 49/22 67/11 109/17 114/20 116/2 160/13 160/16 161/23 113/9 114/2 114/6 surgeon's [1] 125/6 132/25 133/9 145/11 116/3 119/24 122/1 surgery [4] 5/20 177/9 181/19 191/3 114/15 118/1 119/11

195/9 196/18 198/12 177/4 184/22 187/13 136/19 137/24 138/7 20/11 23/12 24/8 192/10 193/17 194/14 139/6 139/14 141/23 200/5 201/23 24/18 28/8 34/6 34/13 than... [27] 128/8 197/6 197/6 198/12 147/23 151/8 151/11 theoretically [1] 34/15 34/17 34/18 133/17 135/19 136/6 151/16 151/16 155/8 198/18 200/10 21/19 34/21 36/23 36/24 139/23 140/8 141/18 theory [2] 19/10 their [112] 4/13 7/14 158/8 159/8 159/15 37/20 39/9 41/11 146/21 146/25 153/24 7/21 8/13 8/15 9/11 162/3 167/18 168/11 112/6 45/15 46/16 48/22 155/11 165/7 165/7 11/1 11/24 13/6 13/10 169/6 175/6 175/7 therapeutic [1] 174/8 51/19 52/3 53/4 55/3 166/6 166/11 167/14 14/2 14/2 14/3 14/17 175/9 177/2 178/12 57/13 58/7 58/17 there [342] 168/6 174/23 177/22 14/22 14/23 15/18 178/15 178/21 178/22 there's [10] 85/6 63/11 64/7 65/9 65/11 179/7 179/21 180/6 17/22 18/2 19/3 26/7 179/11 180/18 180/18 85/11 88/10 91/21 70/16 73/4 73/5 74/20 181/10 182/21 185/17 26/10 27/22 29/16 180/25 181/16 181/19 112/11 146/11 155/5 75/21 76/17 77/13 201/6 201/7 32/15 37/6 37/18 181/21 182/16 182/23 155/7 169/10 198/9 78/17 80/2 80/16 82/8 thank [35] 1/12 23/20 46/22 46/23 46/24 183/17 184/9 185/17 **thereafter [1]** 171/19 83/25 85/21 89/10 29/25 30/5 47/14 47/4 49/2 51/10 52/24 188/3 190/3 190/8 thereby [1] 27/2 89/22 92/25 94/15 47/16 53/14 68/16 193/3 193/5 193/23 53/24 54/20 58/12 therefore [21] 9/18 100/19 101/3 101/13 88/19 90/21 93/20 59/23 63/3 73/10 194/20 194/21 194/25 12/17 13/10 25/10 101/20 112/1 112/2 94/24 102/13 103/19 73/10 73/11 73/13 195/17 198/7 200/6 37/9 37/17 37/21 112/14 114/23 114/25 110/24 131/8 136/16 42/11 46/25 78/23 73/22 74/1 74/2 74/2 201/8 116/6 116/8 116/8 140/16 142/7 142/20 80/3 80/25 82/22 83/7 thematic [1] 25/12 82/21 105/18 107/9 116/9 116/18 118/7 150/17 150/17 150/19 86/5 86/12 88/7 97/11 themes [1] 105/10 124/2 133/19 138/18 119/8 119/11 121/2 150/22 151/13 158/16 109/20 109/23 111/22 themselves [14] 37/2 152/17 167/8 179/12 128/1 134/2 134/13 175/13 175/13 175/15 113/11 114/12 114/13 41/14 73/2 73/22 180/13 199/16 136/9 136/10 138/4 181/22 201/17 201/20 126/11 127/21 128/13 84/19 101/1 109/17 these [52] 9/18 11/18 141/5 144/11 145/5 201/21 202/2 202/3 129/12 134/10 134/12 146/1 166/7 167/4 14/10 14/23 15/4 146/18 152/23 154/19 thankfully [1] 129/21 139/7 139/8 144/6 169/22 176/23 187/5 20/11 23/7 32/3 34/13 157/3 158/15 158/18 thanks [1] 201/22 151/24 152/5 154/3 37/16 38/23 44/9 46/2 159/10 159/13 160/6 190/23 that [1136] then [129] 4/21 10/14 155/2 158/14 159/12 48/4 48/5 50/11 51/19 164/20 164/25 165/15 that's [130] 9/25 12/24 13/3 13/21 14/4 160/14 161/7 161/21 64/18 65/8 68/18 165/19 166/16 166/23 10/17 13/2 15/3 15/12 162/6 167/4 167/5 15/13 16/1 18/9 18/18 75/19 76/22 76/24 167/22 169/7 170/3 20/21 26/10 28/22 167/6 167/14 168/15 20/22 20/24 21/8 22/7 77/8 77/12 85/21 170/13 176/19 185/13 29/10 29/11 30/25 85/22 86/21 91/19 169/21 174/9 175/2 23/25 24/7 28/19 32/4 185/23 187/3 188/24 34/10 35/20 36/5 36/9 176/25 177/2 177/6 34/18 35/5 39/24 40/9 92/25 94/15 100/18 189/11 190/21 190/25 37/1 38/7 39/13 40/11 177/7 177/18 177/21 41/2 43/12 48/23 53/6 104/3 110/14 110/22 193/7 196/15 200/10 41/4 41/12 42/8 43/11 115/7 116/1 118/25 177/22 178/5 178/14 54/16 55/18 59/5 201/2 44/2 45/6 45/12 45/12 182/22 187/7 189/21 59/21 60/24 62/17 119/8 120/18 130/21 think [270] 46/5 46/23 46/25 47/4 191/3 191/5 192/24 63/16 64/21 65/15 136/4 137/17 139/3 thinking [16] 14/21 47/4 49/24 52/5 52/25 193/22 195/2 195/21 66/4 66/17 66/18 141/24 156/23 168/12 34/1 36/12 45/19 56/6 56/12 57/7 59/6 196/1 197/24 197/24 66/20 67/9 70/6 71/18 171/23 176/22 178/10 57/21 100/3 100/5 60/3 60/25 62/3 63/5 201/5 201/6 201/11 72/7 75/6 75/7 76/4 185/23 195/25 101/7 101/24 106/5 63/7 63/22 68/13 them [133] 4/14 6/24 76/7 77/4 79/4 79/16 theses [1] 138/16 112/15 116/7 116/7 71/13 72/8 75/14 80/12 80/12 81/3 81/4 they [366] 7/10 8/4 9/14 14/17 119/10 125/4 194/4 75/24 75/25 77/3 15/14 23/8 25/10 83/25 84/5 86/18 they're [1] 190/16 thinks [3] 45/12 77/19 78/22 78/23 28/20 29/8 32/12 87/12 91/16 94/3 95/7 thing [61] 9/24 27/6 96/13 100/12 78/25 79/19 81/11 34/18 37/6 37/7 37/7 96/22 97/25 98/13 27/7 29/11 29/12 third [3] 99/18 83/6 84/20 88/8 88/18 39/4 39/8 40/6 41/11 98/14 101/4 104/2 30/21 30/23 39/6 40/6 119/14 135/8 90/18 90/25 90/25 41/13 44/25 45/12 106/18 107/20 107/25 40/25 41/5 42/21 thirds [1] 39/16 92/12 92/24 93/16 THIRLWALL [2] 45/23 46/7 46/25 47/7 109/5 112/20 116/13 43/16 44/1 50/16 99/1 99/13 100/3 49/19 53/6 58/1 58/20 116/25 117/10 119/9 51/13 51/19 58/6 136/22 203/5 100/15 102/17 104/8 61/14 63/5 65/25 66/7 130/13 131/18 131/23 59/10 63/5 63/7 65/17 this [262] 104/18 104/22 105/19 66/16 66/18 69/22 131/24 132/4 136/7 67/3 67/21 67/23 this: [2] 112/6 148/7 107/2 107/18 108/15 70/22 71/10 71/19 137/9 137/23 140/1 68/13 71/25 72/1 75/5 this: is [1] 148/7 112/9 113/20 114/4 78/12 86/6 86/7 89/19 this: the [1] 112/6 77/7 79/20 80/14 140/12 140/25 141/20 116/21 117/10 118/7 87/11 87/12 87/13 142/1 143/14 143/16 96/1 110/17 113/8 thorough [1] 42/14 120/25 122/11 122/25 88/4 90/12 93/9 94/19 143/22 147/5 147/23 115/5 116/21 121/21 those [75] 2/6 2/22 126/9 128/13 129/21 149/4 149/21 150/3 128/14 130/23 133/15 3/11 4/6 4/11 5/25 94/21 96/14 97/7 131/8 136/1 136/11 97/18 98/16 100/23 150/8 150/10 154/5 144/3 146/22 148/14 6/22 7/7 7/24 8/3 8/21 138/15 141/17 145/12 101/9 101/14 102/1 157/2 157/8 157/22 150/6 150/10 151/21 9/11 9/20 10/19 11/1 147/10 149/24 154/3 102/13 104/12 108/21 163/1 163/4 163/7 157/4 161/19 161/21 15/13 19/16 22/24 155/6 160/23 161/14 112/23 114/19 114/24 164/9 169/23 172/14 170/7 177/20 178/24 25/25 29/22 29/24 161/14 161/25 162/3 116/10 116/24 119/2 173/10 173/18 176/7 185/25 186/24 187/14 30/24 30/25 35/17 162/6 166/6 166/18 119/14 119/15 119/16 176/12 177/14 178/7 187/19 191/12 193/20 36/22 42/17 44/15 166/22 167/12 169/13 126/5 126/7 127/25 179/6 184/2 184/5 200/22 49/4 52/3 56/8 59/13 170/7 170/18 173/16 things [111] 4/9 7/19 130/6 134/12 135/10 185/6 190/13 193/3 61/25 64/6 69/22 173/21 173/21 175/4 135/18 136/5 136/6 194/2 194/15 194/23 11/7 14/7 15/17 19/15 71/24 72/12 74/22

48/16 49/2 55/17 56/9 trying [12] 13/22 48/11 52/1 53/9 53/12 topic [26] 4/15 10/20 Т 59/4 60/18 64/18 30/1 41/16 44/4 44/11 56/16 67/2 67/24 those... [38] 81/14 65/23 68/7 73/13 48/6 50/4 53/1 53/2 117/5 117/9 148/18 82/22 85/23 87/23 73/14 73/15 74/19 64/20 68/17 68/20 148/20 152/1 152/8 98/12 102/9 110/12 81/8 81/9 84/13 86/21 90/21 94/25 95/10 152/10 152/15 152/20 198/15 199/6 110/21 114/25 115/16 89/21 93/11 97/16 95/19 95/22 99/7 167/2 174/13 177/7 116/8 116/18 117/3 100/18 112/1 122/18 111/9 119/13 120/9 181/5 118/11 119/19 119/20 122/25 125/24 127/9 120/16 123/9 129/1 treatments [1] 20/3 121/5 124/22 136/16 treats [1] 184/12 128/4 130/22 136/17 131/10 137/7 137/24 139/24 136/21 138/5 139/7 topics [6] 16/2 48/1 tremendous [1] 145/17 150/18 151/18 142/5 142/9 144/2 48/6 68/18 175/24 167/20 156/20 157/6 166/23 145/2 149/19 151/25 197/16 trend [1] 28/11 168/10 176/4 176/5 152/2 158/14 163/14 total [1] 56/5 trends [2] 64/15 184/17 187/7 190/2 163/16 164/5 164/21 touch [1] 125/9 117/25 190/7 192/19 200/10 165/22 172/21 175/11 touched [4] 100/4 **tribunal [9]** 85/15 200/20 178/19 178/24 179/7 106/4 123/12 182/2 135/5 135/9 181/1 though [6] 5/2 11/11 181/18 182/23 182/24 touchstone [1] 194/6 183/25 184/1 184/5 60/16 90/10 146/20 183/9 183/11 183/14 tour [2] 4/22 27/23 184/7 185/2 170/7 184/4 184/4 185/23 towards [2] 183/21 tried [2] 102/8 193/2 thought [28] 6/17 186/6 187/5 187/8 186/10 trigger [1] 195/4 13/18 22/6 30/14 46/1 189/21 190/7 201/3 trace [1] 159/21 trite [2] 157/14 49/11 65/23 80/15 201/3 201/7 tragedy [2] 107/13 190/16 83/4 85/2 85/12 91/8 timeframe [1] 66/17 200/24 trouble [4] 27/21 94/16 99/4 101/1 timely [1] 64/8 train [1] 40/6 104/2 109/17 190/18 103/22 119/5 133/23 times [5] 8/7 92/16 trained [1] 40/17 troubled [1] 82/12 154/24 157/24 158/7 98/11 133/18 171/4 trainees [1] 145/22 true [10] 4/6 10/17 160/12 163/18 168/9 training [19] 11/8 51/20 92/24 116/20 tiny [1] 37/5 181/4 181/8 192/18 tip [1] 137/10 15/6 40/6 46/16 65/5 161/21 166/6 201/9 193/11 tip-top [1] 137/10 65/23 77/12 77/15 201/12 201/13 thoughtful [1] 201/23 126/4 126/5 130/25 title [1] 28/1 truly [1] 156/23 **thoughts [1]** 131/15 138/3 138/23 139/6 today [6] 9/14 19/3 Trust [51] 2/19 9/4 threatening [2] 164/11 182/2 184/4 139/11 139/19 139/20 13/13 13/16 21/13 112/17 125/11 197/17 140/8 145/11 21/18 21/19 27/9 threats [1] 92/18 together [22] 4/16 transferred [1] 40/23 27/17 32/14 32/14 three [12] 3/25 18/9 5/9 5/10 16/21 26/5 transferring [1] 50/22 59/20 67/12 23/25 50/11 53/25 26/6 28/8 52/4 58/14 69/11 80/4 82/10 29/17 81/20 82/24 83/23 83/13 83/15 83/16 59/9 72/14 98/15 transgression [3] 97/21 133/18 151/4 128/25 129/7 135/11 48/19 192/4 192/5 84/23 86/8 89/20 151/18 136/7 139/2 140/4 100/8 100/18 123/22 transgressions [1] three years [1] 23/25 149/21 150/7 176/7 139/18 143/16 151/17 116/25 three-yearly [1] 180/14 transgressive [4] 151/19 153/4 153/9 81/20 told [19] 28/21 29/3 91/21 176/9 191/21 through [26] 5/12 33/20 48/7 51/25 194/9 157/22 159/23 162/20 unable [1] 72/19 15/23 26/14 26/19 66/25 67/2 93/7 93/9 translate [1] 199/6 180/22 183/4 184/1 26/23 27/10 27/11 109/19 112/18 115/21 185/3 188/1 188/2 transparency [14] 27/13 41/20 83/7 92/5 124/13 133/11 134/2 188/15 190/2 190/5 50/6 50/15 51/6 52/5 93/18 98/23 99/24 152/20 160/8 193/14 190/6 195/13 198/11 67/9 67/18 111/3 109/11 127/16 135/12 193/17 114/9 125/12 151/5 198/18 143/18 146/6 151/11 Trust's [1] 126/3 tolerate [2] 39/9 39/9 153/14 158/20 159/17 152/1 170/10 173/19 **Tom [1]** 186/12 173/7 trusted [1] 89/13 175/10 181/16 186/22 tomorrow [1] 202/4 transparent [3] 88/2 trusts [25] 7/1 12/19 throughout [3] 66/14 152/19 154/15 18/10 21/4 21/7 21/16 uncomfortable [1] tones [1] 113/1 84/14 159/3 too [13] 7/3 88/16 32/9 57/21 57/21 transpire [1] 178/17 thumb [1] 145/4 64/11 66/6 79/17 82/3 uncommon [1] 94/5 94/11 128/3 transport [3] 24/12 thumbnail [1] 10/25 136/10 152/22 157/14 24/13 106/23 82/12 97/11 129/9 **Thursday [1]** 147/8 170/10 174/21 175/1 129/9 137/14 153/17 treat [1] 63/5 tick [2] 78/23 173/17 177/10 194/25 154/18 155/2 155/9 treated [9] 49/18 ticked [2] 62/18 63/9 took [5] 23/4 143/20 114/14 114/15 172/14 160/24 164/4 186/21 time [87] 10/12 11/24 163/16 176/1 183/9 172/15 173/16 174/21 truth [7] 6/11 154/16 undergoing [1] 13/5 17/13 20/19 21/4 tools [1] 13/20 175/4 179/23 154/17 164/19 164/19 21/25 22/20 23/20 top [9] 32/16 54/16 treating [1] 62/9 164/20 177/14 27/20 30/21 30/21 60/19 99/22 137/10 treatment [27] 20/5 try [7] 12/16 98/15 33/16 34/1 34/6 37/8 141/5 141/10 150/1 20/6 20/14 20/14 37/14 40/5 40/7 46/7

33/18 36/21 43/3

175/23 176/7

186/21

28/19 71/6 94/6 106/15 113/11 117/15 141/9 181/10 191/20 Tuesday [1] 202/8 turn [11] 3/24 95/24 104/13 106/21 115/6 118/14 119/15 130/11 131/10 136/19 138/7 turned [2] 119/1 177/15 turnover [2] 8/21 10/1 twice [2] 97/8 133/18 two [35] 2/22 10/5 15/10 21/3 22/2 24/2 39/16 42/20 42/22 43/25 45/15 48/1 52/3 53/8 78/14 85/22 93/4 97/5 97/9 97/21 102/25 107/24 119/13 120/18 123/10 125/17 126/16 138/11 146/18 147/22 150/23 157/3 179/1 181/9 190/21 two weeks [1] 102/25 two years [3] 21/3 22/2 24/2 two-thirds [1] 39/16 type [8] 11/9 20/13 69/9 70/19 78/14 109/15 129/22 148/17 types [6] 46/19 78/14 118/2 124/19 134/15 134/21 typical [1] 180/11 ulterior [1] 177/17 154/10 154/14 155/20 ultimately [1] 150/4 unavoidable [4]

39/23 116/8 116/9 116/19 unaware [1] 165/4 uncertain [2] 7/11 130/1 uncertainty [2] 8/17 200/7 188/24 160/23 under [8] 10/5 44/4 52/20 54/10 87/25 114/3 115/11 156/4 123/19 underlying [3] 51/18 124/15 174/16 102/21 105/11 136/14 understand [23] 1/4 12/6 17/18 32/16

U understand... [19] 33/14 44/13 48/9 55/22 67/12 74/20 75/7 75/8 81/23 100/4 101/21 114/19 145/11 151/10 158/6 158/6 172/11 182/25 195/20 understandable [5] 19/14 100/6 100/15 111/24 199/22 understandably [2] 12/17 141/3 understanding [15] 11/9 22/3 63/1 68/25 76/13 79/13 115/23 124/20 125/14 129/14 133/8 144/8 162/23 172/10 195/7 understands [2] 4/11 72/10 understood [4] 77/22 137/14 137/22 163/5 undertake [3] 81/17 109/9 185/15 undertaken [1] 64/10 undertaking [1] 24/13 undertook [2] 49/17 176/15 undoubtedly [2] 76/25 113/20 unexpected [4] 118/24 152/13 200/4 200/25 unexplained [2] 115/18 116/4 unfair [2] 15/12 173/12 **unfairly [1]** 107/5 unfit [1] 85/12 unfortunate [2] 80/22 161/11 unfortunately [2] 37/20 141/7 unhealthy [1] 156/2 uniformly [1] 154/20 unimportant [2] 49/24 50/9 unintended [1] 197/9 unintentional [1] 35/16 union [4] 27/4 154/22 178/5 193/6 Union representative **[1]** 178/5 unit [15] 36/4 37/4 38/21 40/22 92/23 162/16 162/20 166/1 166/20 167/15 167/16 168/4 168/6 170/22 196/21 United [1] 180/1

United Kingdom [1] 180/1 units [7] 17/21 36/14 36/16 39/17 148/20 165/24 167/1 universal [2] 31/4 149/1 universally [1] 31/3 University [1] 32/17 **University College** London [1] 32/17 unknowables [1] 117/14 unless [5] 73/2 73/9 116/4 165/9 185/24 unlikely [1] 199/18 unnecessary [2] 35/6 200/23 unqualified [1] 133/10 unsafe [4] 34/19 38/17 39/22 92/8 unsuitable [1] 76/25 untangle [1] 184/25 until [6] 24/7 29/9 40/1 154/4 172/20 202/7 untoward [1] 120/12 untrained [1] 131/1 **up [65]** 3/1 4/8 13/19 14/15 21/15 29/5 32/7 33/22 35/24 37/6 39/10 42/4 47/1 48/7 48/8 48/25 49/9 49/19 49/19 50/3 56/17 63/17 66/7 80/24 86/11 86/19 90/8 91/25 92/1 93/21 94/9 95/24 98/25 101/11 105/6 119/15 132/3 132/7 132/20 133/17 137/25 138/8 138/16 162/21 163/10 163/15 175/20 176/4 176/5 176/17 177/4 177/18 178/13 185/19 189/7 191/1 191/10 191/13 196/9 196/10 196/12 198/1 198/4 201/18 201/19 upheld [2] 56/7 66/20 **upholding [2]** 66/19 vast [1] 7/6 veil [1] 125/13 66/19 vein [1] 125/18 upon [9] 22/21 28/17 vendetta [1] 177/19 36/14 53/9 64/22 **verifiable [1]** 80/17 108/23 115/22 165/14 versa [1] 84/25 190/2 version [1] 139/1 upset [2] 112/23 very [114] 4/9 7/2 113/2 upward [1] 99/25 **upwards** [1] 78/3 18/24 20/5 20/10 us [37] 10/24 14/6 23/21 29/25 30/6 18/3 33/8 34/24 36/20

38/9 44/5 44/12 48/7

48/9 50/12 53/16 56/2 41/24 47/1 47/14 59/17 63/17 65/1 66/16 69/4 70/6 71/8 71/17 72/14 76/11 77/21 91/12 93/20 96/6 100/4 109/15 115/21 121/3 123/14 127/5 134/2 136/25 168/21 use [10] 5/9 32/1 55/25 62/10 88/25 92/10 99/6 126/24 131/2 132/19 used [12] 13/8 13/10 24/11 24/25 36/25 57/25 67/22 70/10 80/4 144/6 186/8 186/23 useful [2] 72/13 175/7 users [1] 197/24 using [5] 32/5 32/6 69/6 111/21 128/21 usual [1] 195/2 usually [11] 13/4 25/12 37/1 49/12 55/16 96/13 96/14 96/15 96/16 96/17 109/10 valuable [3] 47/7 102/6 108/20 value [13] 31/13 31/14 31/14 31/21 33/4 44/14 46/25 63/2 78/24 104/6 106/19 123/7 180/7 values [14] 14/20 31/15 31/23 31/25 32/5 32/6 32/15 72/11 74/12 75/19 76/22 134/18 157/11 167/9 valve [1] 46/9 variable [3] 62/11 62/20 111/18 variation [1] 57/24 variety [1] 176/19 various [7] 26/19 83/1 86/24 101/10 102/20 143/6 149/17

30/14 33/12 41/1

64/13 66/6 69/21 71/13 73/11 73/13 74/10 77/8 77/8 77/12 **W** 80/8 85/2 87/15 89/23 wait [3] 29/3 52/18 90/16 90/19 90/23 91/8 94/24 97/4 98/17 98/25 99/23 100/22 102/6 102/14 102/22 104/4 104/7 104/20 106/7 107/19 113/5 116/9 116/17 118/7 121/2 125/8 125/15 125/15 125/18 127/5 129/13 129/23 131/10 131/12 131/23 132/21 134/2 136/1 136/25 137/13 138/6 140/10 140/24 141/8 141/11 142/7 142/11 142/20 150/19 151/9 156/11 157/2 158/4 160/7 162/21 166/22 167/25 168/12 169/4 169/5 170/7 170/18 175/15 176/8 177/15 184/24 186/12 189/8 189/9 189/10 194/14 200/24 ward [6] 34/3 54/21 202/1 202/3 via [2] 26/15 138/17 vice [1] 84/25 vice versa [1] 84/25 vicious [1] 39/11 victimisation [2] 184/15 193/23 victimised [2] 187/7 192/23 victimising [1] 85/8 victims [1] 156/2 view [27] 11/6 20/1 23/21 24/1 33/12 45/15 46/12 50/22 57/20 64/21 73/9 88/19 93/22 108/19 111/10 122/20 125/1 134/4 135/9 136/15 137/2 159/16 167/13 176/1 183/2 183/21 196/10 views [2] 82/14 176/8 vindicated [1] 178/20 visible [1] 182/1 vision [3] 74/7 74/9 127/12 visit [1] 81/20 visited [1] 167/16 visits [1] 93/8 7/20 10/9 10/14 10/15 visualisation [1] 23/2 14/16 14/19 17/3 18/6 vital [1] 93/24 volume [1] 61/22 **volumes [1]** 9/10 volunteering [1] 52/9

vulnerable [11] 36/21 37/9 37/17 37/23 42/7 42/8 42/9 149/2 167/3 169/4 169/5

49/20 56/25 60/12

60/19 62/11 63/19

81/19 waited [1] 29/9 waiting [1] 28/21 walking [1] 73/16 walks [1] 131/21 wall [1] 101/14 want [22] 19/4 32/18 48/20 49/7 49/21 55/9 55/19 65/16 92/25 94/1 94/2 112/1 112/23 113/3 125/3 128/14 151/12 160/19 171/3 171/8 188/18 194/17 wanted [14] 17/2 49/2 49/8 67/20 80/6 88/21 96/1 112/18 131/17 143/2 160/3 160/4 180/21 198/19 wanting [1] 143/23 wants [5] 7/14 78/21 93/19 185/4 200/22 70/24 140/25 160/8 160/9 warned [1] 117/11 warning [3] 47/2 165/10 190/20 warpath [1] 113/3 was [321] was: [1] 153/22 was: well [1] 153/22 wasn't [14] 22/4 48/22 48/23 55/11 61/16 82/20 91/2 92/18 100/22 103/21 112/25 119/5 160/14 184/8 Watch [1] 41/9 watchwords [1] 197/2 waves [1] 18/9 way [89] 11/8 12/25 13/8 15/17 19/6 19/17 24/15 24/22 26/9 35/22 39/2 41/20 47/13 48/2 49/10 51/16 52/25 58/3 58/7 59/7 60/12 61/2 62/1 62/5 64/8 74/4 76/1 78/6 79/9 79/10 79/13 79/19 85/3 86/8 86/17 91/2 91/20 93/4 93/16 93/23 94/4 98/21 99/6 101/6 101/20 106/1 106/21 109/20 110/3

(84) understand... - way

113/14 113/16 113/20

115/25 116/18 118/11 124/8 157/20 192/22 43/3 77/12 141/24 96/13 96/16 98/19 W 120/24 121/9 121/13 what [300] whereby [2] 90/6 99/22 100/25 104/1 way... [37] 114/21 122/10 122/22 124/1 **what's [15]** 11/16 127/14 109/12 109/16 110/12 118/12 118/18 121/9 135/25 136/2 139/11 28/19 41/14 50/25 wherever [8] 30/25 110/14 114/18 118/12 124/23 128/11 136/11 140/1 143/20 144/3 58/10 60/13 68/12 77/11 100/9 131/2 121/16 122/6 123/19 143/18 145/13 148/18 146/22 150/12 153/15 105/16 127/18 127/21 146/10 146/17 146/24 124/11 124/12 127/24 154/3 157/10 158/25 141/21 152/20 172/25 193/1 153/18 153/22 155/17 128/4 128/17 131/24 165/22 166/1 166/17 173/15 191/17 156/11 157/2 157/23 133/10 134/17 137/10 whether [64] 4/8 8/17 170/4 173/21 174/19 159/10 160/7 161/16 whatever [17] 29/15 11/13 20/12 21/17 137/24 138/8 139/2 175/3 176/18 178/1 162/21 164/4 164/8 39/20 46/8 81/20 93/8 41/14 45/14 45/16 139/12 139/23 140/5 178/12 184/12 186/6 165/5 166/3 168/21 94/21 106/23 128/11 45/16 45/23 46/10 143/22 144/1 144/12 187/13 187/19 189/6 169/19 173/2 173/20 144/15 144/18 150/9 46/12 46/21 51/15 144/13 145/11 145/17 191/6 191/11 191/12 174/8 174/19 176/16 157/16 173/9 182/17 52/11 52/12 58/9 145/22 153/12 156/1 191/13 191/15 195/6 180/9 182/13 183/12 185/4 189/18 192/23 58/11 59/1 63/7 63/8 157/11 160/15 161/22 197/19 200/19 201/16 183/18 183/19 183/23 when [72] 6/17 10/1 66/5 66/11 77/17 165/6 166/7 167/25 ways [15] 20/4 42/1 184/6 184/13 185/13 10/2 10/6 19/13 22/18 77/18 78/19 78/25 168/10 176/18 178/3 59/9 69/5 83/2 83/23 186/19 188/5 192/10 29/23 30/24 33/2 33/5 80/1 84/17 85/3 85/9 179/21 180/24 183/2 89/14 93/17 102/20 192/11 195/10 196/11 34/6 34/17 42/5 44/23 86/20 88/15 95/2 96/4 183/4 185/2 186/9 105/12 108/24 128/18 196/25 197/6 198/3 49/1 49/16 49/18 96/9 99/20 102/2 186/10 186/12 187/6 151/21 159/25 169/19 102/3 104/7 106/6 187/7 187/8 187/13 199/17 199/19 201/5 52/16 56/10 56/13 ways: [1] 190/21 111/9 111/11 117/7 187/18 188/12 188/16 201/14 58/24 61/5 62/15 ways: they [1] well-being [1] 201/5 62/20 64/7 64/9 65/17 118/13 119/25 120/14 189/4 189/8 189/10 190/21 well-equipped [1] 71/6 73/5 80/5 81/7 124/22 128/1 130/18 189/13 189/15 189/17 we [216] 65/11 91/19 91/24 93/7 130/19 133/1 136/8 189/18 189/20 189/24 we've [1] 199/16 94/17 101/23 104/23 136/20 138/15 140/21 191/4 191/15 192/14 well-run [2] 62/12 website [3] 4/13 23/5 105/4 111/17 113/5 157/16 163/21 164/9 193/21 193/23 194/1 78/22 32/15 174/4 187/14 191/12 194/2 194/10 194/11 went [8] 24/24 34/8 116/24 118/13 119/1 weed [1] 186/9 124/2 129/15 133/22 194/1 199/17 43/21 43/22 113/2 196/16 197/11 198/3 week [5] 6/13 91/21 165/15 180/1 198/18 134/13 140/22 151/22 which [223] 198/5 198/14 199/3 118/23 130/2 131/4 were [111] 1/22 2/3 152/2 152/13 154/18 while [5] 52/1 79/24 200/23 weekend [1] 171/4 156/7 156/20 157/6 2/17 3/10 3/20 4/4 115/21 148/1 152/8 who's [2] 49/14 weeks [1] 102/25 6/13 6/14 6/18 11/5 158/2 166/16 168/17 whilst [2] 28/12 101/19 weigh [1] 33/14 11/25 13/11 15/9 168/19 176/5 176/14 138/3 whoever [8] 71/23 welcome [5] 64/25 whistleblower [9] 88/16 110/11 122/20 15/24 16/9 16/15 17/5 178/9 180/20 184/23 65/8 87/10 105/3 19/15 19/16 22/11 185/13 186/25 191/21 124/9 180/8 184/13 184/3 184/8 190/8 113/21 24/17 25/1 44/24 192/13 195/4 197/3 185/5 191/6 197/12 193/10 welcomed [1] 114/5 45/19 49/1 49/5 49/18 whole [12] 5/8 5/22 198/18 200/25 198/10 198/19 198/25 welcomes [2] 96/19 49/18 53/4 53/11 56/7 whenever [1] 70/8 whistleblowers [5] 56/23 101/6 113/8 181/6 56/22 57/25 58/4 where [75] 7/11 14/7 85/8 180/7 183/22 114/17 127/13 164/19 well [144] 3/7 5/1 58/12 58/14 58/14 174/8 177/20 188/9 15/23 15/24 17/10 184/15 187/6 6/11 7/19 8/23 9/12 17/14 18/1 18/4 18/19 whistleblowing [8] 59/2 59/11 59/25 61/9 188/25 11/3 11/15 14/2 15/20 61/12 62/13 62/14 20/5 22/10 30/25 48/6 49/5 49/7 49/11 wholehearted [1] 19/19 23/4 24/7 30/6 64/11 64/14 64/15 31/15 31/25 33/2 33/3 49/15 123/24 196/9 62/25 32/9 33/10 35/1 36/20 76/8 76/14 77/9 77/25 35/12 36/6 40/11 41/3 196/11 wholesale [1] 157/5 38/10 41/2 42/10 78/4 80/2 80/7 80/15 45/13 62/13 74/17 whistleblowing/Free whom [6] 8/14 42/25 44/2 44/15 101/17 101/18 126/6 80/17 80/19 81/16 75/15 77/19 78/14 dom [1] 48/6 46/24 47/6 48/12 82/8 83/3 88/10 92/9 82/19 83/13 85/6 171/12 182/18 whistlestop [2] 4/22 50/15 50/19 55/2 94/11 96/4 97/12 85/23 85/23 87/18 27/23 whose [3] 135/5 55/11 55/21 56/5 97/18 98/4 98/5 98/5 91/16 92/6 103/15 who [140] 5/23 6/20 144/25 190/7 57/23 60/21 61/16 98/19 100/25 103/6 104/10 107/2 108/18 6/21 7/7 7/25 8/3 8/24 why [31] 12/23 13/2 62/3 62/12 62/14 63/2 104/16 104/16 107/12 114/12 119/3 120/2 10/8 12/13 14/10 24/15 34/8 35/5 35/16 65/11 67/23 69/5 115/21 116/3 118/25 122/23 131/19 131/22 14/19 14/20 15/13 36/18 43/22 67/3 70/11 70/16 71/4 119/4 121/11 121/17 133/9 134/1 139/2 15/16 16/15 16/25 74/13 89/4 116/16 71/20 72/9 76/14 122/5 123/5 123/19 140/10 144/19 146/25 22/24 27/21 30/8 117/7 118/3 125/14 78/17 78/22 79/16 147/3 148/20 149/11 124/3 124/16 132/9 37/23 45/8 45/19 133/25 134/19 135/13 81/5 82/1 83/18 84/5 134/1 155/19 156/23 151/1 159/11 161/7 48/18 51/14 52/10 149/22 152/12 152/12 85/4 86/3 86/17 87/15 157/20 157/22 158/5 165/15 166/23 170/11 58/15 61/3 63/4 63/18 153/20 154/1 158/7 87/24 88/23 89/23 158/18 159/2 160/6 174/14 177/10 178/2 64/25 65/15 66/1 169/13 170/14 170/16 90/16 91/15 93/1 93/3 162/10 162/15 164/15 183/17 183/24 185/1 69/11 69/16 72/10 170/17 170/18 177/4 93/17 94/3 96/12 98/6 164/17 173/10 176/17 185/19 188/1 191/16 72/16 72/19 72/21 198/12 99/7 99/18 100/6 176/20 176/20 176/21 192/16 194/23 197/14 73/1 73/4 73/21 75/15 wide [1] 176/19 100/12 102/22 103/10 179/23 183/3 184/3 198/10 199/23 200/18 76/22 82/9 83/14 widely [2] 124/18 106/20 107/11 111/13 84/25 85/16 86/17 186/9 188/15 192/12 201/11 174/5 112/5 113/23 115/1 weren't [5] 58/8 65/7 whereas [4] 15/14 89/9 92/15 94/1 94/7 widen [1] 171/15

W 200/10 125/12 your [143] 1/13 1/18 within [21] 8/22 worried [3] 29/15 1/19 3/24 4/7 4/18 wider [2] 107/4 14/22 22/2 22/24 54/22 63/4 4/20 4/23 6/4 7/4 7/6 125/14 66/16 69/15 72/3 89/1 worries [2] 30/23 8/20 10/22 14/18 15/1 widespread [2] 64/3 93/10 96/10 125/24 54/19 15/21 18/4 22/2 28/8 163/3 128/16 130/15 133/8 worry [1] 41/12 28/15 30/3 30/13 31/9 wife [1] 127/20 32/18 32/19 35/25 153/3 153/9 153/16 worrying [2] 112/14 will [148] 1/17 4/12 154/9 159/22 161/2 130/2 36/1 36/3 38/7 39/7 4/13 4/14 5/16 7/17 worse [2] 33/19 190/6 40/19 41/1 41/19 7/18 8/13 8/23 10/20 41/19 41/24 41/25 without [28] 24/16 33/21 13/4 15/19 15/20 16/1 worst [2] 41/5 89/19 24/25 36/12 47/10 44/9 50/5 50/5 53/13 19/10 19/22 21/7 23/1 51/1 61/10 67/16 worth [3] 20/13 55/2 55/15 57/17 24/13 26/12 27/19 67/17 68/7 70/13 132/15 179/2 57/20 59/1 59/7 60/15 29/4 29/15 29/16 70/16 71/1 72/15 would [240] 64/22 64/22 65/14 32/15 34/18 35/3 35/6 wouldn't [8] 93/13 102/10 107/21 109/16 67/4 68/24 69/24 70/6 35/9 35/25 36/4 36/5 114/22 117/6 120/20 102/5 160/17 160/18 72/9 75/3 75/9 75/20 36/12 39/20 40/20 125/11 136/13 158/3 160/19 163/7 173/12 79/13 81/25 84/3 41/12 42/16 46/14 160/4 161/10 163/1 192/18 84/23 87/16 87/22 46/15 47/3 48/3 48/5 163/1 178/21 199/9 write [1] 100/16 91/4 91/5 91/8 92/22 49/25 50/3 50/10 53/8 witness [2] 1/8 103/7 writing [3] 28/18 63/9 92/23 95/22 99/8 53/10 55/2 55/3 55/21 won't [10] 14/4 44/22 160/18 100/2 107/24 108/2 57/2 60/16 63/3 64/19 wrong [55] 9/22 66/22 74/10 85/18 108/3 108/10 108/17 66/16 67/14 68/7 179/4 181/16 190/4 13/18 24/9 24/16 109/14 111/4 111/10 70/16 70/22 71/1 73/1 197/12 197/12 24/18 24/24 32/3 34/7 114/11 115/7 115/8 73/5 73/6 73/7 73/21 34/8 34/17 34/21 115/12 115/21 115/23 wonder [4] 36/17 73/22 75/5 75/19 50/11 95/2 96/7 35/21 35/24 39/6 120/9 121/6 125/17 75/22 76/2 76/5 82/14 39/13 43/22 43/22 126/18 127/9 129/12 wood [2] 125/10 85/13 86/13 86/18 52/17 52/17 57/7 57/9 129/17 130/13 130/21 149/18 89/7 89/9 90/2 90/12 Woods [6] 6/12 30/8 57/10 59/1 64/7 70/12 131/15 131/15 131/17 91/23 94/18 95/10 30/11 140/18 188/5 73/5 73/5 75/21 83/22 131/20 132/19 133/5 95/14 95/25 96/12 197/16 124/9 128/1 130/11 134/4 137/2 141/14 96/12 100/13 112/23 word [9] 5/10 47/8 141/6 151/22 154/19 141/14 141/14 141/16 115/14 117/13 119/10 141/18 141/22 143/4 54/18 55/25 61/19 159/13 161/4 165/15 119/14 119/16 122/19 83/20 102/17 126/14 170/4 170/14 170/15 143/5 143/8 145/2 125/6 125/7 125/24 127/15 170/15 170/24 172/19 146/12 147/14 147/15 126/15 126/18 129/5 173/5 174/2 174/16 147/20 150/25 151/10 words [11] 24/14 129/25 131/16 131/18 30/22 32/6 32/6 50/11 175/5 183/24 184/25 151/14 152/20 153/15 132/7 135/20 142/1 71/9 96/18 98/12 185/25 186/7 201/15 160/7 162/7 164/7 142/2 142/11 143/14 153/9 156/23 158/23 165/2 166/24 171/12 201/16 201/16 143/14 144/2 144/11 work [33] 14/19 wrongly [2] 49/12 176/1 176/2 176/8 144/20 144/22 145/3 15/23 32/20 32/22 172/15 176/12 176/15 181/20 148/16 150/7 153/18 36/4 39/10 41/14 wrote [2] 10/1 198/14 181/21 182/11 184/20 153/19 157/6 159/5 46/14 48/24 60/8 195/23 196/10 197/18 159/23 161/23 162/5 64/14 71/19 97/22 197/19 199/16 201/25 163/18 167/7 170/16 year [13] 23/21 24/1 108/13 117/5 129/7 yours [1] 142/18 172/1 172/21 174/20 44/19 56/15 59/17 131/6 133/25 134/15 yourself [2] 30/10 175/23 177/12 179/3 59/21 63/18 64/10 136/1 137/11 138/20 42/1 179/4 180/25 182/25 78/16 125/7 128/3 154/1 154/2 157/18 yourself: [1] 63/12 185/14 186/13 190/3 128/6 128/7 162/5 168/11 182/25 yourself: has [1] 191/8 193/23 197/7 year's [2] 78/20 183/6 184/11 189/15 63/12 197/7 197/13 201/1 128/6 191/5 191/20 201/2 201/7 202/4 worked [3] 84/22 yearly [1] 81/20 willingly [1] 51/1 years [22] 10/5 10/8 zone [1] 195/2 123/7 178/4 willingness [3] 51/5 16/8 21/3 22/2 23/25 worker [1] 134/17 75/10 148/13 24/2 28/10 38/16 workers [2] 7/5 7/8 wingnut [2] 161/23 working [13] 20/12 78/11 97/21 98/24 161/24 99/4 113/22 117/24 53/25 58/10 62/24 wisdom [1] 185/12 121/23 122/23 152/25 89/23 96/9 112/5 wish [1] 53/6 155/11 162/19 183/25 132/8 134/22 157/17 wished [1] 87/19 198/9 182/21 188/23 198/2 wishes [1] 18/22 yes [248] works [8] 5/16 63/7 wishing [1] 163/1 yet [4] 66/8 100/4 89/24 90/16 133/24 with: [1] 200/10 102/19 140/7 188/21 189/13 191/11 with: I'm afraid [1] world [3] 22/1 90/14 you [788]